

Table 1. Regional, Rural, and Urban Funding Amounts

Region	Place for Geographical Reference	Regional Funding Amount	Regional Funding %	Rural Funding Amount	Rural Funding %	Urban Funding Amount	Urban Funding %
1	Lubbock	\$338,651	5.6%	\$338,588	100.0%	\$63	0.0%
2	Abilene	\$222,314	3.7%	\$217,610	97.9%	\$4,705	2.1%
3	Dallas/Fort Worth	\$1,061,218	17.7%	\$325,808	30.7%	\$735,409	69.3%
4	Tyler	\$762,787	12.7%	\$594,896	78.0%	\$167,891	22.0%
5	Beaumont	\$352,566	5.9%	\$319,290	90.6%	\$33,275	9.4%
6	Houston	\$426,081	7.1%	\$174,842	41.0%	\$251,239	59.0%
7	Austin/Round Rock	\$255,271	4.3%	\$143,729	56.3%	\$111,542	43.7%
8	Waco	\$281,592	4.7%	\$149,773	53.2%	\$131,819	46.8%
9	San Antonio	\$306,291	5.1%	\$192,194	62.7%	\$114,096	37.3%
10	Corpus Christi	\$434,016	7.2%	\$359,610	82.9%	\$74,406	17.1%
11	Brownsville/Harlingen	\$1,054,571	17.6%	\$764,704	72.5%	\$289,868	27.5%
12	San Angelo	\$304,627	5.1%	\$212,604	69.8%	\$92,024	30.2%
13	El Paso	\$200,015	3.3%	\$111,033	55.5%	\$88,982	44.5%
	Total	\$6,000,000	100.0%	\$3,904,682	65.1%	\$2,095,318	34.9%