

140
E2100.6 L113F 89/9

NON-CIRCULATING

LABOR FORCE ESTIMATES
FOR TEXAS COUNTIES - SEP '89

TEXAS STATE
DOCUMENTS COLLECTION

AREA	C. L. F	EMP.	UNEMP.	RATE
ANDERSON	23,162	21,665	1,497	6.5
ANDREWS	7,017	6,614	403	5.7
ANGELINA	33,806	31,623	2,183	6.5
ARANSAS	7,624	7,127	497	6.5
ARCHER	3,371	3,296	75	2.2
ARMSTRONG	1,113	1,067	46	4.1
ATASCOSA	12,002	11,040	962	8.0
AUSTIN	10,115	9,719	396	3.9
BAILEY	2,809	2,718	91	3.2
BANDERA	4,036	3,897	139	3.4
BASTROP	17,455	16,532	923	5.3
BAYLOR	2,254	2,206	48	2.1
BEE	10,330	9,580	750	7.3
BELL	79,240	74,187	5,053	6.4
BEXAR	546,291	507,510	38,781	7.1
BLANCO	3,240	3,133	107	3.3
BORDEN	454	420	34	7.5
BOSQUE	6,401	6,093	308	4.8
BOWIE	39,599	37,042	2,557	6.5
BRAZORIA	84,099	78,555	5,544	6.6
BRAZOS	59,887	57,570	2,317	3.9
BREWSTER	3,727	3,609	118	3.2
BRISCOE	1,130	1,103	27	2.4
BROOKS	3,967	3,671	296	7.5
BROWN	16,716	15,663	1,053	6.3
BURLESON	6,195	5,780	415	6.7
BURNET	9,877	9,430	447	4.5
CALDWELL	10,216	9,562	654	6.4
CALHOUN	9,366	8,705	661	7.1
CALLAHAN	5,533	5,257	276	5.0
CAMERON	98,347	87,225	11,122	11.3
CAMP	6,940	6,466	474	6.8
CARSON	4,341	4,213	128	2.9
CASS	16,608	15,216	1,392	8.4
CASTRO	4,914	4,629	285	5.8
CHAMBERS	7,389	7,043	346	4.7
CHEROKEE	19,635	18,550	1,085	5.5
CHILDRESS	2,084	1,966	118	5.7
CLAY	4,817	4,637	180	3.7
COCHRAN	2,009	1,927	82	4.1
COKE	1,611	1,559	52	3.2
COLEMAN	5,063	4,717	346	6.8
COLLIN	119,001	113,435	5,566	4.7
COLLINGSWORTH	1,928	1,844	84	4.4
COLORADO	7,436	7,140	296	4.0
COMAL	25,487	24,024	1,463	5.7
COMANCHE	5,166	4,874	292	5.7
CONCHO	1,746	1,609	137	7.8

OCT 31 1989

DEPOSITORY
LABOR FORCE ESTIMATES
 FOR TEXAS COUNTIES - SEP '89

AREA	C. L. F.	EMP.	UNEMP.	RATE
COOKE	12,359	11,746	613	5.0
CORYELL	16,510	15,142	1,368	8.3
COTTLE	1,192	1,169	23	1.9
CRANE	1,419	1,346	73	5.1
CROCKETT	1,739	1,630	109	6.3
CROSBY	2,957	2,798	159	5.4
CULBERSON	1,604	1,506	98	6.1
DALLAM	3,146	3,045	101	3.2
DALLAS CO.	1,109,188	1,050,415	58,773	5.3
DAWSON	7,233	6,629	604	8.4
DEAF SMITH	8,987	8,477	510	5.7
DELTA	2,269	2,141	128	5.6
DENTON	131,638	125,145	6,493	4.9
DE WITT	8,612	8,065	547	6.4
DICKENS	974	938	36	3.7
DIMMIT	4,528	3,997	531	11.7
DONLEY	2,040	1,960	80	3.9
DUVAL	5,769	5,065	704	12.2
EASTLAND	8,490	7,955	535	6.3
ECTOR	51,471	47,486	3,985	7.7
EDWARDS	903	844	59	6.5
ELLIS	41,292	38,486	2,806	6.8
EL PASO	245,683	221,751	23,932	9.7
ERATH	13,124	12,675	449	3.4
FALLS	6,538	6,212	326	5.0
FANNIN	12,192	11,477	715	5.9
FAYETTE	10,175	9,854	321	3.2
FISHER	2,433	2,267	166	6.8
FLOYD	4,215	4,106	109	2.6
FOARD	1,120	1,049	71	6.3
FT. BEND	89,191	85,296	3,895	4.4
FRANKLIN	3,773	3,561	212	5.6
FREESTONE	5,992	5,600	392	6.5
FRIO	5,701	5,159	542	9.5
GAINES	6,370	5,955	415	6.5
GALVESTON	105,069	97,618	7,451	7.1
GARZA	1,968	1,827	141	7.2
GILLESPIE	7,338	7,185	153	2.1
GLASSCOCK	1,154	1,138	16	1.4
GOLIAD	2,576	2,451	125	4.9
GONZALES	7,100	6,710	390	5.5
GRAY	11,645	10,996	649	5.6
GRAYSON	47,378	44,758	2,620	5.5
GREGG	53,653	49,491	4,162	7.8
GRIMES	10,792	10,238	554	5.1
GUADALUPE	29,096	27,462	1,634	5.6
HALE	18,259	17,225	1,034	5.7
HALL	2,220	2,040	180	8.1

LABOR FORCE ESTIMATES
FOR TEXAS COUNTIES - SEP '89

AREA	C. L. F	EMP.	UNEMP.	RATE
HAMILTON	3,625	3,493	132	3.6
HANSFORD	3,538	3,461	77	2.2
HARDEMAN	2,770	2,672	98	3.5
HARDIN	17,088	15,857	1,231	7.2
HARRIS	1,443,320	1,361,183	82,137	5.7
HARRISON	25,230	23,183	2,047	8.1
HARTLEY	1,929	1,873	56	2.9
HASKELL	3,266	3,136	130	4.0
HAYS	31,645	29,749	1,896	6.0
HEMPHILL	1,739	1,673	66	3.8
HEMPERSON	25,355	23,518	1,837	7.2
HIDALGO	151,639	125,709	25,930	17.1
HILL	10,988	10,320	668	6.1
HOCKLEY	11,131	10,502	629	5.7
HOOD	14,478	13,822	656	4.5
HOPKINS	13,679	12,774	905	6.6
HOUSTON	8,015	7,705	310	3.9
HOWARD	14,757	13,862	895	6.1
HUDSPETH	1,262	1,239	23	1.8
HUNT	34,728	32,789	1,939	5.6
HUTCHINSON	13,227	12,587	640	4.8
IRION	781	758	23	2.9
JACK	3,097	2,983	114	3.7
JACKSON	5,832	5,561	271	4.6
JASPER	15,433	14,230	1,203	7.8
JEFF DAVIS	797	783	14	1.8
JEFFERSON	107,640	99,626	8,014	7.4
JIM HOGG	2,526	2,305	221	8.7
JIM WELLS	15,537	14,220	1,317	8.5
JOHNSON	47,467	45,119	2,348	4.9
JONES	6,100	5,740	360	5.9
KARNES	4,518	4,265	253	5.6
KAUFMAN	27,280	25,871	1,409	5.2
KENDALL	7,453	7,221	232	3.1
KENEDY	358	351	7	2.0
KENT	527	518	9	1.7
KERR	16,371	15,877	494	3.0
KIMBLE	2,380	2,344	36	1.5
KING	229	220	9	3.9
KINNEY	965	931	34	3.5
KLEBERG	14,487	13,585	902	6.2
KNOX	2,182	2,098	84	3.8
LAMAR	21,095	19,808	1,287	6.1
LAMB	6,663	6,337	326	4.9
LAMPASAS	5,954	5,594	360	6.0
LA SALLE	2,037	1,873	164	8.1
LAVACA	8,120	7,919	201	2.5
LEE	4,802	4,506	296	6.2

LABOR FORCE ESTIMATES
FOR TEXAS COUNTIES - SEP '89

AREA	C. L. F	EMP.	UNEMP.	RATE
LEON	6,480	6,168	312	4.8
LIBERTY	21,822	20,275	1,547	7.1
LIMESTONE	8,974	8,660	314	3.5
LIPSCOMB	1,460	1,444	16	1.1
LIVE OAK	4,022	3,790	232	5.8
LLANO	4,643	4,504	139	3.0
LOVING	72	72	0	0.0
LUBBOCK	114,629	109,527	5,102	4.5
LYNN	2,513	2,365	148	5.9
MC CULLOCH	4,938	4,268	670	13.6
MC LENNAN	91,875	87,022	4,853	5.3
MC MULLEN	494	485	9	1.8
MADISON	4,900	4,713	187	3.8
MARION	4,292	3,934	358	8.3
MARTIN	2,432	2,396	36	1.5
MASON	1,611	1,529	82	5.1
MATAGORDA	21,889	19,692	2,197	10.0
MAVERICK	11,832	9,146	2,686	22.7
MEDINA	11,669	11,008	661	5.7
MENARD	922	867	55	6.0
MIDLAND	48,592	45,755	2,837	5.8
MILAM	9,088	8,505	583	6.4
MILLS	2,041	1,982	59	2.9
MITCHELL	4,051	3,716	335	8.3
MONTAGUE	6,832	6,431	401	5.9
MONTGOMERY	77,919	73,348	4,571	5.9
MOORE	8,978	8,668	310	3.5
MORRIS	4,905	3,875	1,030	21.0
MOTLEY	892	867	25	2.8
NACOGDOCHES	28,568	26,832	1,736	6.1
NAVARRO	18,647	17,273	1,374	7.4
NEWTON	5,186	4,687	499	9.6
NOLAN	8,073	7,444	629	7.8
NUECES	132,458	122,490	9,968	7.5
OCHILTREE	4,114	4,000	114	2.8
OLDHAM	1,334	1,304	30	2.2
ORANGE	36,758	33,298	3,460	9.4
PALO PINTO	11,242	10,613	629	5.6
PANOLA	13,903	13,306	597	4.3
PARKER	29,909	28,687	1,222	4.1
PARMER	6,418	6,188	230	3.6
PECOS	6,090	5,691	399	6.6
POLK	11,333	10,599	734	6.5
POTTER	50,744	47,190	3,554	7.0
PRESIDIO	1,936	1,722	214	11.1
RAINS	2,532	2,395	137	5.4
RANDALL	47,527	45,510	2,017	4.2
REAGAN	1,854	1,788	66	3.6

LABOR FORCE ESTIMATES
FOR TEXAS COUNTIES - SEP '89

AREA	C. L. F	EMP.	UNEMP.	RATE
REAL	1,378	1,319	59	4.3
RED RIVER	6,308	5,886	422	6.7
REEVES	6,114	5,369	745	12.2
REFUGIO	3,837	3,723	114	3.0
ROBERTS	552	534	18	3.3
ROBERTSON	6,149	5,707	442	7.2
ROCKWALL	14,521	14,006	515	3.5
RUNNELS	5,368	5,115	253	4.7
RUSK	17,505	16,033	1,472	8.4
SABINE	3,176	2,978	198	6.2
SAN AUGUSTINE	3,666	3,429	237	6.5
SAN JACINTO	5,666	5,340	326	5.8
SAN PATRICIO	24,412	22,233	2,179	8.9
SAN SABA	2,972	2,803	169	5.7
SCHLEICHER	1,276	1,196	80	6.3
SCURRY	9,095	8,589	506	5.6
SHACKELFORD	1,790	1,735	55	3.1
SHELBY	10,928	10,322	606	5.5
SHERMAN	1,661	1,636	25	1.5
SMITH	74,370	69,239	5,131	6.9
SOMERVELL	3,051	2,887	164	5.4
STARR	14,059	10,502	3,557	25.3
STEPHENS	4,302	4,106	196	4.6
STERLING	820	786	34	4.1
STONEWALL	1,199	1,147	52	4.3
SUTTON	2,047	1,933	114	5.6
SWISHER	3,901	3,755	146	3.7
TARRANT	608,285	575,102	33,183	5.5
TAYLOR	53,393	50,146	3,247	6.1
TERRELL	922	904	18	2.0
TERRY	6,096	5,688	408	6.7
THROCKMORTON	1,025	1,018	7	0.7
TITUS	12,936	12,025	911	7.0
TOM GREEN	44,433	41,872	2,561	5.8
TRAVIS	324,733	308,107	16,626	5.1
TRINITY	5,011	4,744	267	5.3
TYLER	5,857	5,392	465	7.9
UPSHUR	17,940	16,730	1,210	6.7
UPTON	1,968	1,854	114	5.8
UVALDE	13,427	12,260	1,167	8.7
VAL VERDE	12,798	11,424	1,374	10.7
VAN ZANDT	16,602	15,672	930	5.6
VICTORIA	36,395	34,328	2,067	5.7
WALKER	21,866	21,069	797	3.6
WALLER	9,508	8,932	576	6.1
WARD	6,221	5,736	485	7.8
WASHINGTON	13,330	12,865	465	3.5
WEBB	48,994	43,917	5,077	10.4

LABOR FORCE ESTIMATES
FOR TEXAS COUNTIES - SEP '89

AREA	C. L. F	EMP.	UNEMP.	RATE
WHARTON	20,867	19,892	975	4.7
WHEELER	3,172	3,058	114	3.6
WICHITA	56,285	53,100	3,185	5.7
WILBARGER	8,455	8,068	387	4.6
WILLACY	6,604	5,679	925	14.0
WILLIAMSON	64,867	61,667	3,200	4.9
WILSON	8,502	8,108	394	4.6
WINKLER	3,684	3,395	289	7.8
WISE	14,580	13,824	756	5.2
WOOD	12,470	11,716	754	6.0
YOAKUM	4,580	4,389	191	4.2
YOUNG	8,898	8,581	317	3.6
ZAPATA	3,301	2,943	358	10.8
ZAVALA	5,413	4,780	633	11.7
TEXAS	8,265,600	7,744,600	521,000	6.3
U. S.	123,828,000	117,498,000	6,330,000	5.1

Estimates prepared by the Economic Research and Analysis Department, Texas Employment Commission in cooperation with the Bureau of Labor Statistics, U. S. Department of Labor. Estimates reflect actual (not seasonally adjusted) data and are preliminary.