Chapter 699

H.B. No. 2048

| 1 | AN ACT |
|----|---|
| 2 | relating to certain procedures for cases and orders relating to the |
| 3 | Title IV-D agency. |
| 4 | BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF TEXAS: |
| 5 | SECTION 1. Section 201.104(e), Family Code, is amended to |
| 6 | read as follows: |
| 7 | (e) Notwithstanding Subsection (d) and subject to Section |
| 8 | 201.1042(g), an associate judge may hear and render an order on any |
| 9 | matter necessary to be decided in connection with a Title IV-D |
| 10 | service, including: |
| 11 | (1) a suit to modify or clarify an existing child |
| 12 | support order; |
| 13 | (2) a motion to enforce a child support order or revoke |
| 14 | a respondent's community supervision and suspension of commitment; |
| 15 | (3) a respondent's compliance with the conditions |
| 16 | provided in the associate judge's report for suspension of the |
| 17 | respondent's commitment; [or] |
| 18 | (4) a motion for postjudgment relief, including a |
| 19 | motion for a new trial or to vacate, correct, or reform a judgment, |
| 20 | if neither party has requested a de novo hearing before the |
| 21 | referring court <u>;</u> |
| 22 | (5) a suit affecting the parent-child relationship; |
| 23 | and |
| 24 | (6) a suit for modification under Chapter 156. |
| | |

H.B. No. 2048

- 1 SECTION 2. Section 231.118, Family Code, is amended by
- 2 adding Subsection (d) to read as follows:
- 3 (d) Notwithstanding Subsection (c), a return of the process
- 4 made under this section in a suit may not include the address served
- 5 <u>if:</u>
- 6 (1) a pleading filed in the suit requests a finding
- 7 under Section 105.006(c); or
- 8 (2) the court has previously made a finding and
- 9 ordered nondisclosure under Section 105.006(c) relating to the
- 10 parties and the order has not been superseded.
- SECTION 3. Section 233.024(a), Family Code, is amended to
- 12 read as follows:
- 13 (a) On the filing of an agreed child support review order
- 14 signed by all parties, together with waiver of service, the court
- 15 shall sign the order not later than the third day after the filing
- 16 of the order. On expiration of the third day after the filing of the
- 17 order, the order is considered confirmed by the court by operation
- 18 of law, regardless of whether the court has signed the order. The
- 19 court may sign the order before filing the order, but the signed
- 20 order shall immediately be filed.
- 21 SECTION 4. (a) The change in law made by this Act to Section
- 22 201.104, Family Code, applies only to a Title IV-D case referred to
- 23 an associate judge under Subchapter B, Chapter 201, Family Code, on
- 24 or after the effective date of this Act. A Title IV-D case referred
- 25 to an associate judge before the effective date of this Act is
- 26 governed by the law in effect on the date the case was referred, and
- 27 the former law is continued in effect for that purpose.

H.B. No. 2048

- 1 (b) The change in law made by this Act to Section 231.118,
 2 Family Code, applies to a suit affecting the parent-child
 3 relationship filed on or after the effective date of this Act. A
 4 suit affecting the parent-child relationship filed before the
 5 effective date of this Act is governed by the law in effect on the
- 5 effective date of this Act is governed by the law in effect on the
- 6 date the suit was filed, and the former law is continued in effect
- 7 for that purpose.
- 8 SECTION 5. This Act takes effect September 1, 2017.

President of the Senate

H.B. No. 2048

Speaker of the House

I certify that H.B. No. 2048 was passed by the House on April 27, 2017, by the following vote: Yeas 144, Nays 0, 3 present, not voting.

Chief Clerk of the House

I certify that H.B. No. 2048 was passed by the Senate on May 19, 2017, by the following vote: Yeas 31, Nays 0.

Secretary of the Senate

APPROVED:

Date

Governor

FILED IN THE OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY OF STATE

3:30 pm O'CLOCK

Secretary of State

LEGISLATIVE BUDGET BOARD Austin, Texas

FISCAL NOTE, 85TH LEGISLATIVE REGULAR SESSION

May 10, 2017

TO: Honorable Joan Huffman, Chair, Senate Committee on State Affairs

FROM: Ursula Parks, Director, Legislative Budget Board

IN RE: HB2048 by Dutton (Relating to certain procedures for cases and orders relating to the

Title IV-D agency.), As Engrossed

No significant fiscal implication to the State is anticipated.

The bill would amend the Family Code to expand the types of cases that an associate judge may hear. The bill would also prohibit the inclusion of the return address of a conservator in certain circumstances. The bill would also provide for the automatic confirmation of an agreed child support order in certain circumstances. Based on the analysis of the Office of Court Administration, duties and responsibilities associated with implementing the provisions of the bill could be accomplished using existing resources.

Local Government Impact

No significant fiscal implication to units of local government is anticipated.

Source Agencies: 212 Office of Court Administration, Texas Judicial Council

LBB Staff: UP, AG, FR, MW, PBO

LEGISLATIVE BUDGET BOARD Austin, Texas

FISCAL NOTE, 85TH LEGISLATIVE REGULAR SESSION

April 11, 2017

TO: Honorable Harold V. Dutton, Jr., Chair, House Committee on Juvenile Justice & Family Issues

FROM: Ursula Parks, Director, Legislative Budget Board

IN RE: HB2048 by Dutton (Relating to certain procedures for cases and orders relating to the Title IV-D agency.), Committee Report 1st House, Substituted

No significant fiscal implication to the State is anticipated.

The bill would amend the Family Code to expand the types of cases that an associate judge may hear. The bill would also prohibit the inclusion of the return address of a conservator in certain circumstances. The bill would also provide for the automatic confirmation of an agreed child support order in certain circumstances. Based on the analysis of the Office of Court Administration, duties and responsibilities associated with implementing the provisions of the bill could be accomplished using existing resources.

Local Government Impact

, 🖟

No significant fiscal implication to units of local government is anticipated.

Source Agencies: 212 Office of Court Administration, Texas Judicial Council

LBB Staff: UP, FR, MW, PBO

LEGISLATIVE BUDGET BOARD Austin, Texas

FISCAL NOTE, 85TH LEGISLATIVE REGULAR SESSION

March 20, 2017

TO: Honorable Harold V. Dutton, Jr., Chair, House Committee on Juvenile Justice & Family

FROM: Ursula Parks, Director, Legislative Budget Board

IN RE: HB2048 by Dutton (Relating to certain procedures for cases and orders relating to the Title IV-D agency.), As Introduced

No significant fiscal implication to the State is anticipated.

The bill would amend the Family Code to expand the types of cases that an associate judge may hear. The bill would also prohibit the inclusion of the return address of a conservator in certain circumstances. The bill would also provide for the automatic confirmation of an agreed child support order in certain circumstances. The Office of Court Administration has indicated it could implement the provisions of the bill with existing resources.

Local Government Impact

No significant fiscal implication to units of local government is anticipated.

Source Agencies: 212 Office of Court Administration, Texas Judicial Council

LBB Staff: UP, FR, MW, PBO