## Chapter 515

· - ----

. . .

· ·····

υ

<u>S.B. No. 40</u>

TBEE

1	AN ACT
2	relating to the bond required and the bond insurance obtained for
3	certain judges.
4	BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF TEXAS:
5	SECTION 1. Section 25.0006, Government Code, is amended by
6	amending Subsection (a) and adding Subsections $(a-1)$ , $(a-2)$ , $(a-3)$ ,
7	and (a-4) to read as follows:
8	(a) Notwithstanding any other law except Subsection (a-4),
9	Subsections (a-1), (a-2), and (a-3) control over a specific
10	provision for a particular court or county that attempts to create a
11	requirement for a bond or insurance that conflicts with those
12	subsections.
13	(a-1) Before beginning the duties of the office, the [The]
14	judge of a statutory county court must execute a bond <u>that:</u>
15	(1) is payable to the treasurer of the county;
16	(2) is in the amount set by the commissioners court of:
17	(A) subject to Paragraph (B), not less than
18	\$1,000 nor more than \$10,000; or
19	(B) for a judge presiding in the court over
20	guardianship proceedings, as defined by Section 1002.015, Estates
21	Code, or over probate proceedings, as defined by Section 22.029,
22	<u>Estates Code, not less than:</u>
23	(i) \$100,000 for a court in a county with a
24	population of 125,000 or less; or

<u>1</u>

S.B. No. 40 1 (ii) \$250,000 for a court in a county with a 2 population of more than 125,000; and 3 (3) \_is conditioned that the judge will: 4 (A) faithfully perform all duties of office; and (B) for a judge presiding in the court over 5 6 guardianship or probate proceedings, perform the duties required by 7 the Estates Code [as prescribed by law for county judges]. 8 (a-2) The bond executed as required by Subsection (a-1) must be approved by the commissioners court. 9 (a-3) In lieu of the bond required by Subsection 10 11 (a-1)(2)(B), a county may elect to obtain insurance against losses caused by the gross negligence of a judge of a statutory county 12 court in performing the duties of office. The commissioners court 13 of a county shall pay the premium for the insurance out of the 14 general funds of the county. 15 16 (a-4) This section does not apply to: 17 (1) a judge of a statutory county court who does not preside over guardianship proceedings, as defined by Section 18 1002.015, Estates Code; 19 20 (2) a judge of a statutory probate court who executes a bond, obtains insurance, or self-insures pursuant to Section 21 22 25.00231; or (3) a judge who presides over a county criminal court. 23 SECTION 2. Section 26.001, Government Code, is amended to 24 read as follows: 25 Sec. 26.001. BOND. (a) Before beginning [entering] the 26 duties of the office, the county judge must execute a bond that: 27

JBEE

2

JBOE

## <u>S.B. No. 40</u>

1	(1) is payable to the treasurer of the county;
2	(2) is in the amount set by the commissioners court of <u>:</u>
3	(A) subject to Paragraph (B), not less than
4	\$1,000 nor more than \$10,000 <u>; or</u>
5	(B) for a county judge presiding in the county
6	court over guardianship proceedings, as defined by Section
7	1002.015, Estates Code, or over probate proceedings, as defined by
8	Section 22.029, Estates Code, not less than:
9	(i) \$100,000 for a court in a county with a
10	population of 125,000 or less; or
11	(ii) \$250,000 for a court in a county with a
12	population of more than 125,000; and
13	(3) is conditioned that the judge will:
14	(A) <u>faithfully perform all duties of office</u> [ <del>pay</del>
15	all money that comes into his hands as county judge to the person or
16	officer entitled to it]; and
17	(B) for a county judge presiding in the county
18	court over guardianship or probate proceedings, perform the duties
19	required by the Estates Code [pay to the county all money illegally
20	paid to the judge out of county funds; and
21	[ <del>(C) not vote or consent to pay out county funds</del>
22	for other than lawful purposes].
23	(b) The bond <u>executed as required by Subsection (a)</u> must be
24	approved by the commissioners court.
25	(c) In lieu of the bond required by Subsection (a)(2)(B), a
26	county may elect to obtain insurance against losses caused by the
27	gross negligence of a county judge in performing the duties of

Ð

#### S.B. No. 40

office. The commissioners court of a county shall pay the premium
for the insurance out of the general funds of the county.

3 SECTION 3. A judge of a statutory county court, a statutory 4 probate court, or a constitutional county court who is serving on 5 the effective date of this Act shall comply with the requirements of 6 Section 25.0006 or 26.001, Government Code, as amended by this Act, 7 as applicable, not later than November 1, 2017.

8

SECTION 4. This Act takes effect September 1, 2017.

peaker of the House Senate hereby certify that S.B. No. 40 passed the Senate on Ľ.

<u>I Mereby certify</u> that 5.5. No. 40 passed the behave on

March 14, 2017, by the following vote: Yeas 31, Nays 0.

Secretary of

<u>I hereby certify</u> that S.B. No. 40 passed the House on May 24, 2017, by the following vote: Yeas 146, Nays O, two present not voting.

Chief Clerk the House of

Approved:

Shhott Date vernor

FILED IN THE OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY OF STATE \_\_\_\_\_7:00 PMO'CLOCK

Secretary of State

## LEGISLATIVE BUDGET BOARD Austin, Texas

## FISCAL NOTE, 85TH LEGISLATIVE REGULAR SESSION

## May 9, 2017

TO: Honorable John T. Smithee, Chair, House Committee on Judiciary & Civil Jurisprudence

## **FROM:** Ursula Parks, Director, Legislative Budget Board

# **IN RE: SB40** by Zaffirini (Relating to the bond required and the bond insurance obtained for certain judges.), **As Engrossed**

## No significant fiscal implication to the State is anticipated.

The bill would increase the bond amount for bond and bond insurance obtained by a constitutional or county court judge presiding over a guardianship proceeding to not less than \$100,000 for a court in a county with a population of 125,000 or less; or \$250,000 for a court in a county with a population of 125,000 or more. In lieu of the bond, a county may elect to obtain insurance against losses caused by the county judge's gross negligence in performing the duties of office. The commissioner's court of a county is required to pay the premium for the insurance out of the general funds of the county. The bill would make the bond requirements inapplicable to a statutory court judge that does not preside over guardianship or probate proceedings or to a statutory probate court judge that executes a bond, obtains insurance, or self-insures. Based on the analysis of the Office of Court Administration, duties and responsibilities associated with implementing the provisions of the bill could be accomplished by utilizing existing resources.

#### Local Government Impact

According to the Texas Association of Counties, Travis County and Denton County reported the fiscal impact would not be significant.

**Source Agencies:** 212 Office of Court Administration, Texas Judicial Council **LBB Staff:** UP, LBO, AG, MW, GDz, JGA

## LEGISLATIVE BUDGET BOARD Austin, Texas

. . .

## FISCAL NOTE, 85TH LEGISLATIVE REGULAR SESSION

#### March 1, 2017

**TO:** Honorable Joan Huffman, Chair, Senate Committee on State Affairs

#### **FROM:** Ursula Parks, Director, Legislative Budget Board

## **IN RE: SB40** by Zaffirini (Relating to the bond required and the bond insurance obtained for certain judges.), **Committee Report 1st House, Substituted**

#### No significant fiscal implication to the State is anticipated.

The bill would increase the bond amount for bond and bond insurance obtained by a constitutional or county court judge presiding over a guardianship proceeding to not less than \$100,000 for a court in a county with a population of 125,000 or less; or \$250,000 for a court in a county with a population of 125,000 or more. In lieu of the bond, a county may elect to obtain insurance against losses caused by the county judge's gross negligence in performing the duties of office. The commissioner's court of a county is required to pay the premium for the insurance out of the general funds of the county. The bill would make the bond requirements inapplicable to a statutory court judge that does not preside over guardianship or probate proceedings or to a statutory probate court judge that executes a bond, obtains insurance, or self-insures. Based on the analysis of the Office of Court Administration, duties and responsibilities associated with implementing the provisions of the bill could be accomplished by utilizing existing resources.

#### Local Government Impact

According to the Texas Association of Counties, Travis County and Denton County reported the fiscal impact would not be significant.

**Source Agencies:** 212 Office of Court Administration, Texas Judicial Council **LBB Staff:** UP, AG, MW, GDz, JGA

## LEGISLATIVE BUDGET BOARD Austin, Texas

## FISCAL NOTE, 85TH LEGISLATIVE REGULAR SESSION

## February 26, 2017

**TO:** Honorable Joan Huffman, Chair, Senate Committee on State Affairs

#### FROM: Ursula Parks, Director, Legislative Budget Board

## **IN RE: SB40** by Zaffirini (Relating to the bond required and the bond insurance obtained for certain judges.), As Introduced

#### No significant fiscal implication to the State is anticipated.

The bill would amend the Government Code to increase the bond amount for bond and bond insurance obtained by a constitutional or county court judge presiding over a guardianship proceeding to not less than \$100,000 for a court in a county with a population of 125,000 or less; or \$250,000 for a court in a county with a population of 125,000 or more. In lieu of the bond, a county may elect to obtain insurance against losses caused by the county judge's gross negligence in performing the duties of office. The bill would require the commissioner's court of a county to pay the premium for the insurance out of the county's general funds. Based on the analysis of the Office of Court Administration, duties and responsibilities associated with implementing the provisions of the bill could be accomplished by utilizing existing resources.

#### Local Government Impact

According to the Texas Association of Counties, Travis County and Denton County reported the fiscal impact would not be significant.

**Source Agencies:** 212 Office of Court Administration, Texas Judicial Council **LBB Staff:** UP, AG, MW, GDz, JGA