### Chapter 819

1

H.B. No. 1290

2	relating to the required repeal of a state agency rule and a
3	government growth impact statement before adoption of a new state
4	agency rule.
5	BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF TEXAS:
6	SECTION 1. Subchapter A, Chapter 2001, Government Code, is
7	amended by adding Section 2001.0045 to read as follows:
8	Sec. 2001.0045. REQUIREMENT FOR RULE INCREASING COSTS TO
9	REGULATED PERSONS. (a) In this section, "state agency" means a
1.0	department, board, commission, committee, council, agency, office,
11	or other entity in the executive, legislative, or judicial branch
12	of state government. This term does not include an agency under the
13	authority of an elected officer of this state.
14	(b) A state agency rule proposal that contains more than one
L5	rule in a single rulemaking action is considered one rule for
L6	purposes of this section. Except as provided by Subsection (c), a
L7	state agency may not adopt a proposed rule for which the fiscal note
18	for the notice required by Section 2001.024 states that the rule
L9	imposes a cost on regulated persons, including another state
20	agency, a special district, or a local government, unless on or
21	before the effective date of the proposed rule the state agency:
22	(1) repeals a rule that imposes a total cost on
23	regulated persons that is equal to or greater than the total cost
24	imposed on regulated persons by the proposed rule; or

AN ACT

H.B. No. 1290

_	(2) amends a rule to decrease the total cost imposed on
2	regulated persons by an amount that is equal to or greater than the
3	cost imposed on the persons by the proposed rule.
4	(c) This section does not apply to a rule that:
5	(1) relates to state agency procurement;
6	(2) is amended to:
7	(A) reduce the burden or responsibilities
8	imposed on regulated persons by the rule; or
9	(B) decrease the persons' cost for compliance
10	with the rule;
11	(3) is adopted in response to a natural disaster;
12	(4) is necessary to receive a source of federal funds
13	or to comply with federal law;
14	(5) is necessary to protect water resources of this
15	state as authorized by the Water Code;
16	(6) is necessary to protect the health, safety, and
17	welfare of the residents of this state;
18	(7) is adopted by the Department of Family and
19	Protective Services, Department of Motor Vehicles, Public Utility
20	Commission, Texas Commission on Environmental Quality, or Texas
21	Racing Commission;
22	(8) is adopted by a self-directed semi-independent
23	agency; or
24	(9) is necessary to implement legislation, unless the
25	legislature specifically states this section applies to the rule.
26	(d) Each state agency that adopts a rule subject to this
27	section shall comply with the requirements imposed by Subchapter B

- 1 and Chapter 2002 for publication in the Texas Register.
- 2 SECTION 2. Subchapter B, Chapter 2001, Government Code, is
- 3 amended by adding Section 2001.0221 to read as follows:
- 4 Sec. 2001.0221. GOVERNMENT GROWTH IMPACT STATEMENTS. (a)
- 5 A state agency shall prepare a government growth impact statement
- 6 for a proposed rule.
- 7 (b) A state agency shall reasonably describe in the
- 8 government growth impact statement whether, during the first five
- 9 years that the rule would be in effect:
- 10 (1) the proposed rule creates or eliminates a
- 11 government program;
- (2) implementation of the proposed rule requires the
- 13 creation of new employee positions or the elimination of existing
- 14 employee positions;
- 15 (3) implementation of the proposed rule requires an
- 16 increase or decrease in future legislative appropriations to the
- 17 agency;
- 18 <u>(4) the proposed rule requires an increase or decrease</u>
- 19 in fees paid to the agency;
- 20 (5) the proposed rule creates a new regulation;
- 21 (6) the proposed rule expands, limits, or repeals an
- 22 <u>existing</u> regulation;
- 23 <u>(7) the proposed rule increases or decreases the</u>
- 24 number of individuals subject to the rule's applicability; and
- 25 (8) the proposed rule positively or adversely affects
- 26 <u>this state's economy</u>.
- 27 <u>(c) The comptroller shall adopt rules to implement this</u>

H.B. No. 1290

- 1 section. The rules must require that the government growth impact
- 2 statement be in plain language. The comptroller may prescribe a
- 3 chart that a state agency may use to disclose the items required
- 4 under Subsection (b).
- 5 (d) Each state agency shall incorporate the impact
- 6 statement into the notice required by Section 2001.024.
- 7 (e) Failure to comply with this section does not impair the
- 8 legal effect of a rule adopted under this chapter.
- 9 SECTION 3. Section 2001.0045, Government Code, as added by
- 10 this Act, applies only to a rule proposed by a state agency on or
- 11 after the effective date of this Act. A rule proposed before that
- 12 date is governed by the law in effect on the date the rule was
- 13 proposed, and the former law is continued in effect for that
- 14 purpose.
- SECTION 4. Not later than October 1, 2017, the comptroller
- 16 shall adopt rules required under Section 2001.0221(c), Government
- 17 Code, as added by this Act.
- SECTION 5. Section 2001.0221, Government Code, as added by
- 19 this Act, applies only to a proposed rule for which the notice
- 20 required under Section 2001.023(b), Government Code, is filed on or
- 21 after November 1, 2017.
- 22 SECTION 6. This Act takes effect September 1, 2017.

President of the Senate

H.B. No. 1290

Speaker of the House

I certify that H.B. No. 1290 was passed by the House on May 6, 2017, by the following vote: Yeas 114, Nays 28, 2 present, not voting; that the House refused to concur in Senate amendments to H.B. No. 1290 on May 25, 2017, and requested the appointment of a conference committee to consider the differences between the two houses; and that the House adopted the conference committee report on H.B. No. 1290 on May 28, 2017, by the following vote: Yeas 119, Nays 22, 2 present, not voting.

Chief Clerk of the Hous

H.B. No. 1290

I certify that H.B. No. 1290 was passed by the Senate, with amendments, on May 23, 2017, by the following vote: Yeas 25, Nays 6; at the request of the House, the Senate appointed a conference committee to consider the differences between the two houses; and that the Senate adopted the conference committee report on H.B. No. 1290 on May 28, 2017, by the following vote: Yeas 23, Nays 8.

Secretary of the senate

APPROVED: 6-12-2017

Date

Governor

FILED IN THE OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY OF STATE

3 PM O'CLOCK

Secretary of State

### FISCAL NOTE, 85TH LEGISLATIVE REGULAR SESSION

May 27, 2017

**TO:** Honorable Dan Patrick, Lieutenant Governor, Senate Honorable Joe Straus, Speaker of the House, House of Representatives

FROM: Ursula Parks, Director, Legislative Budget Board

IN RE: HB1290 by Roberts (Relating to the required repeal of a state agency rule and a government growth impact statement before adoption of a new state agency rule.),

**Conference Committee Report** 

### No significant fiscal implication to the State is anticipated.

The bill would amend the Government Code to restrict a state agency from adopting a proposed rule for which the fiscal note for the notice of the proposed rule states that the rule imposes a cost on any regulated person, including another state agency, a special district and a local government, unless on or before the effective date of the proposed rule the state agency repeals a rule that would decrease total costs on the person in an amount equal to or greater than the cost imposed by the proposed rule, or the agency amends a rule which would decrease the total costs on the person in an amount equal to or greater than the costs from the proposed rule.

The bill would apply the restriction to a "state agency" that is a department, board, commission, committee, council, agency, office, or other entity in the executive, legislative, or judicial branch of state government. "State agency" would not include an agency under the authority of an elected officer of the state. The restriction would not apply to certain rules specified in the bill.

The bill would amend Chapter 2001, Government Code, to require a state agency to prepare a government growth impact statement for a proposed rule. The bill would specify information to be included in the impact statements. The bill would require the Comptroller of Public Accounts to adopt rules relating to the impact statements not later than October 1, 2017. A state agency would be required to incorporate the impact statement into the notice of the proposed rule required under Section 2001.024. The government growth impact statements would only be required on proposed rules for which the notice required under Section 2001.0221, Government Code is filed on or after November 1, 2017.

The bill would take effect on September 1, 2017.

This analysis assumes that costs to implement the provisions of the bill could be absorbed within existing resources.

### **Local Government Impact**

No significant fiscal implication to units of local government is anticipated.

Source Agencies: 304 Comptroller of Public Accounts, 307 Secretary of State, 360 State

Office of Administrative Hearings, 405 Department of Public Safety, 451 Department of Banking, 452 Department of Licensing and Regulation, 458 Alcoholic Beverage Commission, 503 Texas Medical Board, 537 State Health Services, Department of, 551 Department of Agriculture, 582

Commission on Environmental Quality, 710 Texas A&M University System Administrative and General Offices, 720 The University of Texas

System Administration, 781 Higher Education Coordinating Board

LBB Staff: UP, KK, SD, NV, LCO, CL, AG, MSO, EK, RD, ASa, WP

### FISCAL NOTE, 85TH LEGISLATIVE REGULAR SESSION

### May 24, 2017

TO: Honorable Joe Straus, Speaker of the House, House of Representatives

FROM: Ursula Parks, Director, Legislative Budget Board

IN RE: HB1290 by Roberts (Relating to the required repeal of a state agency rule before adoption

of a new state agency rule. ), As Passed 2nd House

### No significant fiscal implication to the State is anticipated.

The bill would amend the Government Code to restrict a state agency from adopting a proposed rule for which the fiscal note for the notice of the proposed rule states that the rule imposes a cost on any regulated person, including another state agency, a special district and a local government, unless on or before the effective date of the proposed rule the state agency repeals a rule that would decrease total costs on the person in an amount equal to or greater than the cost imposed by the proposed rule, or the agency amends a rule which would decrease the total costs on the person in an amount equal to or less than the proposed rule.

The bill would apply the restriction to a "state agency" that is a department, board, commission, committee, council, agency, office, or other entity in the executive, legislative, or judicial branch of state government. "State agency" would not include an agency under the authority of an elected officer of the state. The restriction would not apply to certain rules specified in the bill.

The bill would amend Chapter 2001, Government Code, to require a state agency to prepare a government growth impact statement for a proposed rule. The bill would specify information to be included in the impact statements. The bill would require the Comptroller of Public Accounts to adopt rules relating to the impact statements. A state agency would be required to incorporate the impact statement into the notice of the proposed rule required under Section 2001.024.

The bill would take effect on September 1, 2017.

This analysis assumes that costs to implement the provisions of the bill could be absorbed within existing resources.

### **Local Government Impact**

No significant fiscal implication to units of local government is anticipated.

Source Agencies: 304 Comptroller of Public Accounts, 307 Secretary of State, 360 State

Office of Administrative Hearings, 405 Department of Public Safety, 451 Department of Banking, 452 Department of Licensing and Regulation, 458 Alcoholic Beverage Commission, 503 Texas Medical Board, 537 State Health Services, Department of, 551 Department of Agriculture, 582

Commission on Environmental Quality, 710 Texas A&M University

System Administrative and General Offices, 720 The University of Texas

System Administration, 781 Higher Education Coordinating Board

LBB Staff: UP, KK, NV, LCO, CL, AG, MSO, EK, RD, ASa, WP

### FISCAL NOTE, 85TH LEGISLATIVE REGULAR SESSION Revision 1

### May 22, 2017

TO: Honorable Lois W. Kolkhorst, Chair, Senate Committee on Administration

FROM: Ursula Parks, Director, Legislative Budget Board

IN RE: HB1290 by Roberts (Relating to the required repeal of a state agency rule before adoption

of a new state agency rule.), As Engrossed

#### No significant fiscal implication to the State is anticipated.

The bill would amend Chapter 2001 of the Government Code to restrict a state agency from adopting a proposed rule unless on or before the effective date of the proposed rule, the state agency repeals at least one state agency rule. The bill would require a state agency to prepare a proposed rule reduction statement relating to the effect of the proposed rule and repeal of the existing rule. The bill would specify information to be included in the statements. The bill would require the Comptroller of Public Accounts to adopt rules relating to the statements not later than October 1, 2017.

The provisions of the bill would apply to a "state agency" as defined by Section 2001.006 of the Government Code. The provisions would not apply to the adoption of a rule specifically required by the legislature; a rule necessary to protect the health and safety of the residents of this state as authorized under the Health and Safety Code; or rules related to the essential knowledge and skills developed under Subchapter A, Chapter 28, Education Code, or high school graduation requirements adopted under Section 28.025, Education Code.

This analysis assumes that any additional work resulting from implementation of the bill could be absorbed within state agencies' existing resources.

To the extent that the bill only addresses rulemaking procedure rather than modify, add, or abolish a tax or fee, the bill would not have a direct revenue implication. However, the Comptroller indicates that the requirements of the bill could delay implementation of other legislative changes and reduce guidance to taxpayers regarding new and existing law, and may therefore result in delayed or reduced revenue collections.

### **Local Government Impact**

No fiscal implication to units of local government is anticipated.

**Source Agencies:** 304 Comptroller of Public Accounts, 307 Secretary of State, 360 State

Office of Administrative Hearings, 405 Department of Public Safety, 451 Department of Banking, 452 Department of Licensing and Regulation, 458 Alcoholic Beverage Commission, 503 Texas Medical Board, 537 State Health Services, Department of, 551 Department of Agriculture, 582

Commission on Environmental Quality, 710 Texas A&M University

System Administrative and General Offices, 720 The University of Texas

System Administration, 781 Higher Education Coordinating Board

LBB Staff: UP, KK, NV, LCO, CL, AG, MSO, EK, RD, ASa

### FISCAL NOTE, 85TH LEGISLATIVE REGULAR SESSION

#### March 21, 2017

TO: Honorable Byron Cook, Chair, House Committee on State Affairs

FROM: Ursula Parks, Director, Legislative Budget Board

IN RE: HB1290 by Roberts (Relating to the required repeal of a state agency rule before adoption

of a new state agency rule.), As Introduced

### No significant fiscal implication to the State is anticipated.

The bill would amend the Government Code to restrict a state agency from adopting a proposed rule unless on or before the effective date of the proposed rule, the state agency repeals at least one state agency rule. The bill would apply the restriction to a "state agency" as defined by Section 2001.006 of the Government Code. The restriction would not apply to the adoption of a rule specifically required by the legislature or to a rule necessary to protect the health and safety of the residents of this state as authorized under the Health and Safety Code.

Based on the analyses of several agencies, any additional work resulting from implementation of the bill could be absorbed within existing resources.

To the extent that the bill only addresses rulemaking procedure rather than modify, add, or abolish a tax or fee, the bill would not have a direct revenue implication. However, the Comptroller indicates that the requirements of the bill could delay implementation of other legislative changes and reduce guidance to taxpayers regarding new and existing law, and may therefore result in delayed or reduced revenue collections.

### **Local Government Impact**

No fiscal implication to units of local government is anticipated.

**Source Agencies:** 

304 Comptroller of Public Accounts, 307 Secretary of State, 360 State Office of Administrative Hearings, 405 Department of Public Safety, 451 Department of Banking, 452 Department of Licensing and Regulation, 458 Alcoholic Beverage Commission, 503 Texas Medical Board, 537 State Health Services, Department of, 551 Department of Agriculture, 582 Commission on Environmental Quality, 710 Texas A&M University System Administrative and General Offices, 720 The University of Texas System Administration, 781 Higher Education Coordinating Board

LBB Staff: UP, AG, NV, LCO, MSO, EK, RD, ASa