

Vol. 49, No. 29 July 22, 1989

contents:

TEXAS STATE
DOCUMENTS COLLECTION

Pregnancy Outcome and the Kessner Index Monthly Statistical Summary

Frank Bryant, Jr. MD, FAAFP Robert Bernstein, MD, FACP

Frank Bryant, Jr, MD, FAAFP
Chairman
Texas Board of Health

Robert Bernstein, MD, FA
Commissioner

Bureau of Disease Control and Epidemiology, 1100 West 49th Street, Austin, Texas 78756 (512-458-7455)

## PREGNANCY OUTCOME AND THE KESSNER INDEX

The Kessner Index (KI) measures the adequacy of prenatal care. In the early 1970's, David Kessner demonstrated a relationship between pregnancy outcome and a three-factor health service index that used the timing of the first prenatal visit, the total number of prenatal visits, and the type of hospital service where delivery occurred. Women with adequate care based upon this index delivered infants with better birthweights and better survival rates than women who had intermediate or inadequate care. The relationship held true in every risk category and ethnic group Kessner examined. The Kessner Index presently in use substitutes gestational age of the infant for the type of hospital delivery service. Adequate, intermediate, and inadequate care are defined in Table 1.

100

Table 1. The Kessner Index

Care category	Initial visit	Gestational age	# of prenata visits		
Ade-	lst				
quate	trimester &	≤13 wccks	>1		
		14-17 weeks	≥1 ≥2 ≥3 ≥4 ≥5 ≥6 ≥7 ≥8 ≥9		
		18-21 weeks	≥3		
		22-25 weeks	≥4		
		26-29 weeks	>5		
		30-31 weeks	≥6		
		32-33 weeks	≥7		
		34-35 weeks	≥8		
		≥36 weeks	≥9		
Inad-	3rd				
cquate	trimester or	14-21 weeks	0		
1850		22-29 weeks	<1		
		30-31 weeks	<del>-</del> 2		
		32-33 weeks	<1 <2 <3 <4		
		≥34 weeks	<u>≤</u> 4		

Note that a woman must begin prenatal care during the first trimester of pregnancy ( $\leq 13$ 

in the above

any combination not included

Intermediate

weeks) to have adequate care, regardless of the number of visits. Likewise, if prenatal care began during the third trimester, it is inadequate regardless of the number of visits.

Out of a total of 301,827 live births in Texas during 1987, 53.0% were born to women with adequate care; 27.9%, to women with intermediate care; 16.1%, to those with inadequate care, and 3.0% were missing data needed to calculate the KI. (Births with missing data were excluded from the analysis, leaving a total of 292,799 live births as the base for all other calculations.) The effect of adequacy of care on the pregnancy outcome of birthweight can be seen in Table 2.

Table 2. Birthweight by Kessner Index, Texas resident births, 1987.

		KI:	1		
Birth- weight	Adc- quate	Inter- mediate	In- adequate		
≤ 51b 8 oz	5.7%	8.2%	9.6%		
51b 9oz-91b 14oz	92.6	90.2	89.3		
≥ 91b 14 oz	1.8	1.4	1.1		

Seven percent of live births that occurred during 1987 were low birthweight (corresponding to the <51b 80z category). A smaller percentage of these low birthweight infants were born to women with adequate prenatal care than to women with either intermediate or inadequate care. Women with adequate care had a greater percentage of normal and heavy weight babies compared to women with inadequate prenatal care. Adequacy of prenatal care is obviously an important determinant of good pregnancy outcome. This implies that maternity services should not only encourage women to begin prenatal care early in pregnancy, but should also take steps to ensure that women remain in care throughout pregnancy.

When the KI is examined by maternal characteristics, women at higher risk for poor pregnancy outcomes have higher percentages of inadequate

care. For example, teenagers are at higher risk for poor pregnancy outcome than women 20-34 years old. While teens accounted for only 15.1% of all births, at least two thirds of them did not receive adequate prenatal care (Table 3). This contrasts with the 20- to 34- year old category, where over half the women received adequate prental care. Almost two thirds of women 35 years old and over obtained adequate prenatal care. It is assumed that women in this category, although high risk because of age, are often (but not exclusively) better educated and of higher socioeconomic status than the other age groups, and thus, more likely to avail themselves of medical services.

Table 3. Percent Kessner Index by maternal age, Texas resident births, 1987

			KI:						
Maternal age	%*	Ade- quate	Inter- mediate	Inad- equate					
≤15 years	1.4	24.2%	45.5%	30.3%					
16-17 years	4.9	29.1	43.2	27.7					
18-19 years	8.8	33.9	40.0	26.2					
20-34 years	78.7	58.5	26.7	14.9					
≥35 years	6.3	62.6	24.5	12.9					

<sup>\*</sup> percentage of total (292,799) live births.

When examined by ethnicity, almost two thirds of Anglo women giving birth in 1987 obtained adequate care compared to less than half the African-American and Hispanic women (Table 4). Only a tenth of Anglo women had inadequate care versus one fifth of all African-American women and one quarter of Hispanic women. Since African-American and Hispanic women accounted for slightly less than half the births in Texas during 1987, a large proportion of pregnant women did not obtain an adequate amount of prenatal care.

What the maternal age and ethnicity examples demonstrate is that inadequate care, by itself a risk factor for poor pregnancy outcome, interacts with other factors that place a woman at risk for poor pregnancy outcome. These examples suggest that women who are already at risk for poor outcome have difficulty entering prenatal care at an appropriate time and staying in prenatal care until delivery. The challenge for the health care system is not only to identify these high-risk

women, but also to bring them into the health care system as consistent and regular users of health services.

Table 4. Percent Kessner Index by ethnicity, Texas resident births, 1987

		KI:							
Ethnicity	%*	Ade- quate	Inter- mediate	Inad- equate					
Anglo	54.4	66.1%	23.0%	10.9%					
African- American	14.0	44.1	35.7	20.2					
Hispanic	31.6	39.7	35.5	24.8					

<sup>\*</sup>percentage of total (292,799) live births.

The Kessner Index is not flawless. It does not take into account the risk status of the mother; a high risk mother may need more than the "adequate" number of visits. The KI does not measure quality and content of prenatal care; if the care is lacking in a qualitative sense, an appropriate number of visits may not be worthwhile. Lastly, the index is only as good as the data collected on the birth certificates, which is the standard source of data used to calculate the Inaccuracies in data gathering and/or recording, particularly for the number of prenatal visits, will adversely affect the calculation of the KI and, subsequently, analyses of the data (such as those included in this article) and implementation of services based upon those analyses. Therefore, it is imperative that data entered onto the birth certificates be accurate.

Despite its flaws, the Kessner Index remains an excellent tool for health service evaluation. As the examples illustrate, a Kessner Index of less than adequate prenatal care is associated with high risk conditions (eg, maternal age and ethnicity) as well as pregnancy outcome (eg, birthweight). Thus, the Kessner Index is useful as both an intermediate (dependent) variable and as a predictor (independent) variable for epidemiologic evaluation of maternal and infant health status and services.

Prepared by: Barbara N. Samuels, MD, MPH, Bureau Chief, Community Health Services, Office of Community and Rural Health, TDH. Data provided by Randy Wyrick, Bureau of Maternal and Child Health, TDH.

## REFERENCE:

<sup>1.</sup> Kessner D, et al. Summary. In: Infant death: an analysis of maternal risk and health care. Washington, DC: National Academy of Sciences, Institute of Medicine, 1973:13-8.

## MONTRLY SUMMARY OF REPORTABLE DISEASES IN TEXAS

County	anebiasis	Campylo-   bacteri-   osis		i Encepha- Litis	: N. ;influenzae ;Infections ;	Hepatitis A	Repatitis B	Hepatitis WALNE	:   Influenza   		:Infections	Aseptic : Keningitis ;	Manas	Pertussis i	Robella	:  Salmonella 	Shigella
BEXIX	1 1	69	736	1	52	124	32	\$	2386	59	2	41	4	1	D	61	98
BRAZORIA	; 0	0	17	0	0	5	í	C	38	12	2	2	1	0	0	1	2
CAMERON	; 13	2	<b>4</b> 12	2	\$	35	4	Ç	2699	45	1	î	21	0	1	9	21
COLLIN	. 0	2	1004	0	:	22	7	C	14822	2	1	3	1	0	1	11	14
DALLAS	. 8	38	<b>438</b> 2	1	71	178	137	8	13234	118	13	33	18	4	1	94	95
DENTON	0	1	30	0	1	9	1	S	589	1	0	3	0	3	0	4	2
EL PASC	; 0	3	735	0	4	127	56	1	150	C	0	2	4	1	1	42	15
FORT BENG	1 . 0	4		C	3	2	6	ð	22	ē	Ş	2	2	0	C	7	7
CALVESTOR	0	ţ	137	0	5	27	10	0	122	2	2	8	9	0	0	5	2
HARRIS	1 5	27	3916	5	42	242	58	11	10428	1513	3	77	45	2	1	. 63	53
HIDALGO	3	8	289	1	3	14	- 6	1	19	307	5	0	4	0	0	22	30
JEFFERSON	; 0	3	342	0	5	27	19	4	677	٥	3	3	186	8	0	15	15
TABBOCK	į 15	3		٥	12	10	26	ţ	331	310	2	6	4	1	8	27	. 13
CTENNAN	1 0	0	373	0	3	47	6	3	968	0	0	1	G	Û	0	4	5
(OXTGONERY	1 0		85	C	1	24	9	1	4	20	¢	2	3	0	0	4	0
VUECES	1 2	2	793	5	3	13	14	0	3325	28	0	7	0	0	0	16	25
PARRANT	; ?		1829	2	26	92	87	1	4849	35	11	19	4	16	4	53	31
TRATIG	12	30	108	0	14	33	24	2	53	8	8	13	3	1	0	39	32
111 Other Counties		23		11		601	274	11	18966	371	22	44	. 134		13		165
Cumulative 71 1989	; 77	264	. 19664	23		1632	843	54	73682	2837	73		434		30		<b>&amp;2</b> 5
Cumulative Tx 1988	1 120	332	15601	42		1079	723	73	81911	11	56	268	135		6	867	1364
1989 CUXULATIVE TO			B DISEASES:							25	•	ittacosis		0		Shock Syndro	
cute Occ. Pesticio	Coccidioidomycosis 18 Kistoplasmosis icide Poisoning 5 Dengue 0 Legionellosis				4		Pever Pever		0	Trichi							
nitrax	te entablish	a a		theria		a	0 Leptospirosis			1		bies		ů		ralosis	834
sbestosis *		ı,			Lead Levels	461			nne	11		ye Syndrome		1	Telare		A
spesiosis * otulism		2	Gono		nean neters	21862		eria intecci Pisease	and .	16		rky Mt Spotted	Rever	1	Typhoi		7
oturism Incellosis		11		riuea ea's Diseas	• `	11001	Kala			26		licosis *		i		za 5, Korine	1
rocerrosis hlamydia trachomat	Hie	7358		itis D (De			Plac			R		philis (P&S)		1809		o Infections	1
Cholera Craciona.		8			enspecified	294		iomyelitis		ő		anus		1		v Fever	

<sup>+</sup> Blood lead level >40ug/dl in persons 15 years of age or older; summarized by date of blood lead test.

TEXAS DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH
TEXAS AIDS CASES: WEEKLY SURVEILLANCE REPORT
Case County by Residence of Onset and Year of Diagnosis
July 14, 1999

	1980	-1985	198		1987		19		19	89 =======	CUMULATIVE		
COUNTY	Cases	Deaths		Deaths	Cases	Deaths	1	Deaths	Cases	Deaths		Death	
::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::	3	======: 3	4	 2	2	======================================	======   2	== <b>===</b> ===============================	1	0	12		
Bexar Berr	53		56	49	114	81	174		87	18	484	25	
Bowie	1 3		2	2	6	4	9		1	0	19	1	
Brazoria	6	_	10	7		2	10		1	Ó	38	2	
Brazoria Brazos	10	_	5	4	4	4	2		2	0	23	1	
cameron	1 1	1	1	1	1	i	4		4	2	13		
Collin	1 1		2	2	5	3	3	3	l i	1	12	1	
Dallas	250		305	249	495	334	481	197	167	31	1698	105	
Denton	2 2		6	5	16		10		3	0	37	2	
Ector	1		4	4	4				0	0	15		
Ellis	1 6		i	i	6		4	3	1	0	12		
El Paso	5		9	9	19	8	15	6	1.0	4	58	3	
Fort Bend	10	_	10	8	16	9	5	3	5	0	46	3	
Galveston	11		16	14	22	12	21	. 7	' 12	3	82		
Gregg	2		3	3	5	4	1	. 1	0	0	11		
Harris	610		622	529	813	494	712	293	171	33	2928	190	
Hays	3		4	4	2	1	1	. 1	0	0	10		
Hidalgo	6		a	0	4		2	. 0	2	0	14		
Jefferson	7		l a	3	20	10	18	10	4	0	57	2	
Johnson	i		1	1	3	1	6	2	1	1	12		
Lubbock	1 4	-	5	3	15	11	7	2	4	1	35	- 2	
McLennan	2	2	6	4	$\epsilon$	3	3	1	0	0	17		
Midland	1 1		ه ا	0	-	. 4	4	. 0	0	. 0	11		
Montgomery	5		3	2	9	6	1.3	8	3	2	33	:	
Nueces	6	-	1 11		1	14	14	. 3	10	3	61		
Orange	3			-					] 3	3	17	:	
Potter	1 1		1						2	1	17		
Smith	3		3				4	1	3	1	16	,	
Tarrant	44	-	50				95	38	34	. 9	348		
Taylor	"3				_			4	4	. 1	19		
Travis	60	-					111	1 36	35	6	364	11	
Walker **	9				19	9	26	5 4	16	1	89		
Mepp		-						5 2	0	0			
Wichita		_			1	. 4	12	2 2	C	) 0			
Williamson	1 6					2	:	1 0	1 3	. 0	1.3		
All Others	4		1					5 31	. 17	2	297	1	

			<b></b>	=======					-======	=====	=====	
	1980-1985		1980-1985   1986		1987		1988		1989		CUMULATIVE	
STATEWIDE	Cases	Deaths	Cases	Deaths	Cases	Deaths	Cases	Deaths	Cases	Deaths	Cases	Deaths
CFR %	1171 CFR%		1292 CFR%		1997 CFR%		1885 CFR%		607 CFR%	_	6952 CFR%	4255 61

- \* COUNTIES LISTED INDIVIDUALLY ARE THOSE WITH A CUMULATIVE TOTAL OF 10+
- \*\* 80 CASES WERE DIAGNOSED WHILE TEXAS DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTION INMATES

TEXAS PREVENTABLE DISEASE NEWS (ISSN 8750-9474) is a free, weekly publication of the Texas Department of Health, 1100 West 49th Street, Austin, TX 78756. Second-class postage paid at Austin, TX. POSTMASTER: Send address changes to TEXAS PREVENTABLE DISEASE NEWS, 1100 West 49th Street, Austin, TX 78756.

TEXAS PREVENTABLE DISEASE NEWS Texas Department of Health 1100 West 49th Street Austin, TX 78756 SECOND CLASS POSTAGE PAID AT AUSTIN, TX

RETURN POSTAGE GUARANTEED

