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## THE BUSINESS SITUATION IN TEXAS

Robert B. Williamson

Texas business indicators for October present a picture of divergent trends, but the picture suggests a further slowing in the state's economic expansion and provides hope that the pace of inflation also will begin to slow significantly in the coming months.

Economic sectors and measures which are relatively sensitive to cyclical swings in business registered seasonally adjusted declines or only modest gains in Texas during October. The index of Texas manufacturing production had already registered a slowing during the summer, and the index showed little change in October. Furthermore, the adjusted level of industrial electric-power use decreased sharply during the month. The index of Texas residential building authorizationss during October, with seasonal adjustment, reflected no gain from September and continued to show a large decline compared with a year earlier. Texas retail sales, which had not grown very rapidly over the past several months, recorded a sizable seasonally adjusted decrease during October. The adjusted total of nonfarm employment failed to grow, and miscellaneous other measures added to the picture of uncertainty and hesitation for Texas business expansion.

Economic indicators which registered substantial gains in Texas during October included the state's businessactivity index-based on banking activity-and nonresidential building authorizations. The seasonally adjusted level of Texas crude-oil production turned up slightly in

October and is scheduled for further increases through December.
Each of the divergent trends in Texas business generally has a parallel in the national business picture. National industrial production declined after seasonal adjustment in October for the third month in a row. Primarily responsible for the October decline were decreases in production of consumer goods in response to a slowing in personal-income growth and labor-management disputes. Automobiles and television sets were among the major kinds of consumer goods which had production cutbacks. With slow sales and rising business inventories also in the picture, a further fall-off in industrial production appears likely. The automobile industry, for example, entered its new-model year in September with a record inventory of unsold new cars, and by the last week in November one of the major car makers had to close about one half of its production lines because of an admitted glut of 1970 -model cars in dealers' hands.

Comprehensive inventory information is limited to national data, available only after a time lag. Such data show that business inventories continued to rise rapidly through September. Stocks of manufacturers appeared to be under control and an involuntary accumulation of stocks by retailers and wholesalers appeared to be the major problem. A sharp decline in the profits of the nation's corporations occurred in the third quarter and should

## TEXAS BUSINESS ACTIVITY

Index Adjusted for Seasonal Variation-1957-1959 = 100


NOTE: Shaded areas indicate periods of decline of total business activity in the United States. SOURCE: Based on bank debits reported by the Federal Reserve Bank of Dallas and adjusted for seasonal variation and changes in the price level by the Bureau of Business Research.
serve as another depressant on future levels of industrial output and also on business spending for new production capacity. Further pressure for a buildup of unwanted inventories and a continuing profit squeeze are suggested by recent trends in new orders. The seasonally adjusted national total of new durable-goods orders turned down again in October after a brief rebound the previous month. Orders for new industrial equipment shared in the decline, suggesting a potential weakening in business spending for new plant and equipment.

Texas crude-oil output rose slightly after seasonal adjustment during October and further gains are projected through the end of the year. The Texas Railroad Commission increased the state's authorized production rate for December from 52.7 percent to 62.7 percent of maximum permitted production, a rate only slightly below the record high set for last June. Such indications of near-term strength in Texas crude-oil production should be viewed within the context of past declines in Texas and national crude-oil output during 1969 and of proposed changes in national tax laws and oil-import regulations which would tend to reduce the incentives for domestic oil production.

## CONSUMER PRICES

UNITED STATES AND HOUSTON, TEXAS


Index Adjusted for Seasonal Variation-1957-1959=100


Residential construction has been on a downtrend in both the state and the nation during most of this year. From September to October the Texas index of residential building authorizations decreased only fractionally, but the October level was 33 percent below that of a year earlier. Mortgage money is still in tight supply and the trend in homebuilding for the nation as a whole continued downward in October.

SELECTED BAROMETERS OF TEXAS BUSINESS
(Indexes-Adjusted for seasonal variation-1957-1959=10日)

| Index 19 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Sep } \\ 1969 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Year-to- } \\ \text { date } \\ \text { average } \\ 1969 \end{gathered}$ | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Oct 1969 from Sep 1969 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Year-to- } \\ \text { date } \\ \text { average } \\ 1969 \\ \text { from } \\ 1968 \end{gathered}$ |
| Texas business activity. $.278 .9^{\text {* }}$ | $274.1^{\text {r }}$ | 252.6 | 2 | 16 |
| Crude-petroleum production $114.5^{\text {* }}$ | 113.5* | 113.4 | 1 | s\% |
| Crude-oil runs to stills. . 136.2 | 136.9 | 135.7 | $-1$ | 3 |
| Total electric-power use. 249.9* | 268.8 ${ }^{\text {* }}$ | 250.6 | $-7$ | 12 |
| Industrial electric-power use . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . $222.4^{\text {s }}$ | $234.1{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 220.2 | $-5$ | 11 |
| Bank debits ............. . 317.7 | 311.4 | 284.4 | 2 | 21 |
| Sales of ordinary life insurance . . . . . . . . . . . . 270.5 | 236.9 | 239.2 | 14 | 7 |
| Urban building permits issued ................. . 182.2 | 178.4 | 189.3 | 2 | 10 |
| New residential . . . . . 122.6 | 122.7 | 148.7 | - ${ }^{\text {\% }}$ | -3 |
| New nonresidential ...269.7 | 258.6 | 255.1 | 4 | 28 |
| Total industrial production ............ 179.1* | $177.5^{*}$ | 173.3 | 1 | 5 |
| Total nonfarm employment . . . . . . . . . 145.3 ${ }^{\text {总 }}$ | $144.9{ }^{\text {\% }}$ | 144.0 | *0 | 5 |
| Manufacturing employment ........... 152.9 ${ }^{*}$ | $151.6^{\text {a }}$ | 150.2 | 1 | 3 |
| Total unemployment .... 72.4 | 77.2 | 73.6 | $-6$ | 4 |
| Insured unemployment .. 48.4 | 45.2 | 43.0 | 7 | 4 |
| Average weekly earningsmanufacturing ........ 148.6 ${ }^{\text {* }}$ | $146.8{ }^{\text {j }}$ | 144.1 | 1 | 4 |
| Average weekly hoursmanufacturing . . . . . . . 100.2 ${ }^{\text { }}$ | $99.9{ }^{\text {\% }}$ | 100.7 | क ${ }^{\text {\% }}$ | * |

Preliminary.
*** Change is less than one half of 1 percent.
${ }^{r}$ Revised.

INDEX OF PRICES RECEIVED BY TEXAS FARMERS (1910-1914-100)

${ }^{0}$ Change is less than one half of 1 percent.
Source: Crop and Livestock Reporting Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture.

The tight-money policy which has been adopted by the government in order to curtail general inflationary pressures has depressed homebuilding perhaps as much as any other sector of the economy, and the government's monetary policy remains restrictive. With the nation's seasonally adjusted money supply in October no larger than it was last June, record-high interest rates approached 9 percent on high-grade utility bonds during November. Fiscal policy is providing additional moderate restraint. Defense spending has declined over the past two quarters and the federal government budget surplus is projected at about $\$ 6$ billion for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1970-on the assumption that the planned in-
come surcharge of 5 percent is in effect after January 1 and government expenditures remain within the budget. Although general economic policy is expected to remain restrictive for a while longer, some easing of credit for the housing industry will be permitted under Federal Home Loan Bank programs designed to add over \$5 billion for mortgage lending during calendar year 1970. A part of these funds will be available for governmentsubsidized housing and for mobile homes. With regard to general credit restraint some government and Federal Reserve officials have recently forecast that restrictive credit policies will have to be continued for most of 1970 , if not longer.

## INDUSTRIAL PRODUCTION

NONDURABLE MANUFACTURES, TEXAS


HOURS AND EARNINGS IN TEXAS

|  |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |
| Industry\# |  |

[^0]As a result of the slowdown in industrial activity, residential building, and other types of economic activity, growth rates for employment and personal income have been reduced. Nonfarm employment data for Texas showed no significant increase for October and national personalincome data for October, and the second month in a row, reflected slow growth. Available personal-income data for the state for the first half of 1969 reveal that year-toyear growth for that period was running at a high rate of 11 percent compared with 9 percent for the nation. Industries registering large increases in employment and payrolls in Texas during 1969 include services, retail trade, durable-goods manufacturing, and state and local government.

BUSINESS-ACTIVITY INDEXES FOR 20 SELECTED TEXAS CITIES (Adjusted for seasonal variation-1957-1959=100)

| Index | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Oct }{ }^{\text {s }} \\ & 1969 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sed }^{x} \\ & 1969 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Year-to-date } \\ & \text { average } \\ & 1969 \end{aligned}$ | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Y } \\ \text { Oct } 1969 \\ \text { from } \\ \text { Sep } 1969 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Year-to-date } \\ & \text { average } \\ & 1969 \\ & \text { from } \\ & 1968 \end{aligned}$ |
| Abilene | 148.6 | 148.7 | 142.9 | ** | 6 |
| Amarillo | 213.7 | 206.9 | 195.7 | 3 | 2 |
| Austin | . 358.5 | 350.5 | 356.8 | 2 | 34 |
| Beaumont | . 189.4 | 190.6 | 192.9 | $-1$ | 1 |
| Corpus Christi | 173.4 | 164.6 | 160.5 | 5 | 3 |
| Corsicana | . 175.6 | 181.2 | 161.6 | $-3$ | 1 |
| Dallas | . 367.6 | 357.1 | 322.4 | 3 | 24 |
| El Paso | . 175.9 | 161.5 | 155.9 | 9 | 12 |
| Fort Worth | 186.5 | 199.5 | 181.4 | $-7$ | 7 |
| Galveston | 130.4 | 135.5 | 127.1 | -4 | $-2$ |
| Houston . . . | 301.0 | 284.6 | 264.6 | 6 | 13 |
| Laredo | 252.5 | 231.5 | 238.2 | 9 | 10 |
| Lubbock . | . 193.9 | 195.7 | 179.2 | $-1$ | 12 |
| Port Arthur | . 130.7 | 126.7 | 120.2 | 3 | 7 |
| San Angelo | 187.0 | 175.8 | 169.5 | 6 | 8 |
| San Antonio | . 215.1 | 221.1 | 207.5 | $-3$ | 6 |
| Texarkana | 233.7 | 247.4 | 244.4 | $-6$ | 4 |
| Tyler | 198.0 | 191.9 | 182.1 | 3 | 14 |
| Waco | . 210.1 | 211.3 | 191.2 | $-1$ | 10 |
| Wichita Falls | . 158.7 | 139.2 | 142.1 | 14 | 3 |

- Preliminary.
${ }^{r}$ Revised.
* Change is less than one half of 1 percent.

FEDERAL INTERNAL REVENUE COLLECTIONS
(Thousands of dollars)

| District | July 1-October 31 |  | Percent change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1969 | 1968 |  |
| TEXAS | \$2,156,534 | \$1,781,131 | 21 |
| Income | 257,559 | 240,246 | 7 |
| Withholding | 1,298,550 | 983,287 | 32 |
| Corporation | 273,357 | 257,062 | 6 |
| Excise | 282,081 | 249,585 | 18 |
| Other | 44,987 | 50,951 | $-12$ |
| SOUTHERN DISTRICT | 1,218,558 | 989,045 | 23 |
| Income | 135,218 | 127,506 | 6 |
| Withholding | 681,849 | 494,777 | 38 |
| Corporation | 133,269 | 125,360 | 6 |
| Excise | 242,702 | 217,085 | 12 |
| Other | 25,520 | 24,317 | 5 |
| NORTHERN DISTRICT | 937,976 | 792,086 | 18 |
| Income | 122,341 | 112,740 | 9 |
| Withholding | 616,701 | 488,510 | 26 |
| Corporation | 140,088 | 131,702 | 6 |
| Excise | 39,379 | 32,500 | 21 |
| Other | 19,467 | 26,634 | $-27$ |

Source: Internal Revenue Service, U.S. Treasury Department.

Retail-sales data reflect the recent slowing of employment and income growth. While the national totals of retail sales continued to show a flat trend during October,

ESTIMATES OF NONAGRICULTURAL EMPLOYMENT IN TEXAS

| Industry | Employment (thousands) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Oct 1969* | Sept 1969 | Oct 1968 |
| TOTAL NONAGRICULTURAL |  |  |  |
| EMPLOYMENT | 3609.7 | 3601.0 | 3470.0 |
| MANUFACTURING-TOTAL | 739.9 | 738.0 | 715.1 |
| Durable grods | 421.3 | 420.9 | 399.3 |
| Lumber and wood products. | 20.9 | 21.0 | 20.7 |
| Furniture and fixtures. | 15.7 | 15.8 | 16.0 |
| Stone, clay, and glass products | 30.2 | 30.0 | 29.1 |
| Primary-metal industries | 33.8 | 33.6 | 31.5 |
| Fabricated-metal products | 52.5 | 52.4 | 50.5 |
| Machinery, except electrical. | 72.2 | 72.3 | 65.0 |
| Oil field machinery. | 30.5 | 30.4 | 27.5 |
| Electrical machinory, equipment <br> and supplies |  |  |  |
| Transportation equipment | 108.8 | 103.8 | 100.0 |
| - Aircraft and parts. | 75.5 | 76.2 | 74.9 |
| Other durable goods |  |  |  |
| Nondurable goods .... | 318.6 | 317.1 | 315.8 |
| Food and kindred products. | 84.7 | 88.4 | 84.3 |
| Meat products | 16.9 | 16.7 | 17.7 |
| Textile mill products. | 7.9 | 7.9 | 8.2 |
| Apparel and other finished textile |  |  |  |
| Paper and allied products...... | 15.9 | 16.0 | 15.1 |
| Printing, publishing and allied |  |  |  |
| Chemicals and allied products. | 61.6 | 61.8 | 62.2 |
| Petroleum refining and related |  |  |  |
| Leather and leather products. | 4.4 | 4.4 | 4.1 |
| Other nondurable goods. | 13.7 | 13.6 | 12.4 |
| NONMAUFACTURING-TOTAL . | 2869.8 | 2863.0 | 2754.9 |
| Mining | 104.6 | 106.0 | 103.7 |
| Crude petroleum and natural gas. | . 98.2 | 99.5 | 97.3 |
| Metal, coal and other mining... | 6.4 | 6.5 | 6.4 |
| Contract construction | 231.6 | 231.2 | 224.6 |
| Transportation, communication and public utilities |  |  |  |
| Interstate railroads | 29.8 | 29.6 | 80.5 |
| Other transportation .......... | 136.2 | 139.9 | 127.7 |
| Communication | 51.9 | 51.9 | 47.8 |
| Public utilities ................ | . 44.8 | 45.5 | 44.6 |
| Trade | 850.7 | 848.5 | 811.2 |
| Wholesale trade | . 249.1 | 250.0 | 238.1 |
| Retail trade | 601.6 | 598.5 | 573.1 |
| Building materials, hardware, and farm equipment. | $31.8$ | 32.4 | 31.3 |
| General merchandise | 125.0 | 122.1 | 119.1 |
| Food stores | 94.9 | 94.9 | 91.1 |
| Automotive dealers and service stations | $97.9$ | 98.4 | 93.6 |
| Apparel and accessories. | 37.5 | 37.4 | 36.4 |
| Other retail trade............ | . 214.5 | 213.3 | 201.6 |
| Finance, insurance and real estate.. | . 186.1 | 186.3 | 174.7 |
| Banking ................... | . 46.5 | 46.2 | 43.0 |
| Insurance . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | . 73.1 | 73.3 | 70.6 |
| Real estate and other finance... | . 66.5 | 66.8 | 61.1 |
| Services and miscellaneous......... | . 564.6 | 566.6 | 534.3 |
| Hotels and lodging places. | 41.3 | 42.5 | 39.3 |
| Laundries and cleaning and dyeing plants | . 87.4 | 37.7 | 37.5 |
| Other services and miscellaneous. . | . 485.9 | 486.4 | 457.5 |
| Government | . 669.5 | 657.5 | 655.8 |
| Federal government . . . . . . . . . . . | . 164.9 | 166.1 | 165.1 |

Texas retail sales, seasonally adjusted, were down 7 percent from the previous month. Very large seasonally adjusted sales declines were reported by Texas automotive dealers and furniture and household-appliance stores, although a part of the decline for automotive dealers may be a statistical illusion resulting from the difficulty of properly allowing for the early introduction of new car models this year. The flat trend in national retail sales continued into the first half of November and surveys of both consumers and retailers indicate the sluggish pace of sales will persist through the Christmas buying season.
(Concluded, p. 357)


## INSURED UNEMPLOYMENT, TEXAS

Index Adjuated for Seasonal Variation-1957-1959 + 100


CREDIT RATIOS IN DEPARTMENT AND APPAREL STORES

| Classification (annual sales volume 1968) | Credit ratios ${ }^{\text {* }}$ |  | Collection ratios ${ }^{\text {i }}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Oct } \\ 1969 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Oct } \\ 1968 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Oct } \\ 1969 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Oct } \\ 1968 \end{gathered}$ |
| ALL STORES . . . . . . . . . 30 | 62.0 | 62.7 | 31.8 | 33.5 |
| BY TYPE OF STORE |  |  |  |  |
| Department stores . . . . . 12 | 61.4 | 62.4 | 34.8 | 35.9 |
| Dry-goods and apparel stores | 66.6 | 64.4 | 41.4 | 41.0 |
| Women's specialty shops.. 7 | 60.8 | 63.4 | 31.0 | 33.7 |
| Men's clothing stores..... 7 | 61.8 | 59.6 | 41.0 | 41.3 |
| BY VOLUME OF NET SALES |  |  |  |  |
| Over $\$ 1,500,000$. . . . . . . 12 | 62.1 | 62.8 | 31.3 | 33.1 |
| \$500,000 to $\$ 1,500,000 \ldots .6$ | 61.5 | 61.7 | 38.9 | 39.1 |
| \$250,000 to $\$ 500,000 \ldots \ldots .5$ | 59.7 | 58.1 | 42.1 | 41.1 |
| Less than $\$ 250,000 \ldots . . . . .7$ | 55.6 | 55.7 | 35.1 | 36.7 |

[^1]RETAIL-SALES TRENDS BY KIND OF BUSINESS
(Unadjusted)


DURABLE GOODS

| Automotive stores $\dagger$. ...... 323 | 44 | 9 | 6 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Motor-vehicle dealers .... 174 |  | 9 | 7 | 4 |
| Furniture and householdappliance stores $\dagger$....... 140 | 15 | -10 | -1 | 7 |
| Furniture stores ......... 81 |  | 1 | 6 | 8 |
| Lumber, building-material, and hardware dealers... 196 | \$0 | 2 | -14 | 5 |
| Farm-implement dealers .. 18 |  | 4 | -22 | -2 |
| Hardware stores ........ 52 |  | 万 | 5 | 7 |
| Lumber and buildingmaterial dealers ....... 126 |  | 1 | -16 | 6 |

NONDURABLE GOODS

| Apparel stores ...........268 | 15 | 6 | 5 | 3 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| Family clothing stores.... <br> Men's and boys' clothing |  | 14 | 3 | 1 |
| stores |  |  |  |  |

 supply dealers

35

$$
10
$$

*Percent change of current month's seasonal average from preceding month's seasonal average.
8* Change is less than one half of 1 percent.
$\dagger$ Includes kinds of business other than classifications listed.
PRELIMINARY ESTIMATES OF TOTAL RETAIL SALES
(Unadjusted)

| Type of store | Oct 1969p* (millions of dollars) | ```Jan-Oct 1969 (millions of dollars)``` | Percent change |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Oct } \\ 1969 \\ \text { from } \\ \text { Sep } \\ 1969 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Oct } \\ \text { 1969 } \\ \text { from } \\ \text { Oct } \\ 1968 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Jan-Oct } \\ 1969 \\ \text { from } \\ \text { Jan-Oct } \\ 1968 \end{gathered}$ |
| Total ...... | .1,633 | 15,496 | 4 | 4 | 5 |
| Durable goods | . . 586 | 5,580 | 5 | 1 | 5 |
| Nondurable go | s . 1,047 | 9,916 | 4 | 5 | 5 |

p Preliminary.

* Bureau of Business Research estimates based on data from the Bureau of the Census.
\# Contains automotive stores, furniture stores, and lumber, buildingmaterial, and hardware dealers.


The recent dramatic growth of the textile and apparel industries in several sections of Texas suggests that other parts of the state might have a high potential for support of these types of operation. Interest in ascertaining whether such possible locations possess the characteristics necessary to successful competition in these industries resulted in setting up a study of one such area. ${ }^{1}$ This region was analyzed in terms of the factors essential to the textile and related industries-low-cost raw materials, trainable and plentiful labor, a large regional potential market, an expanding state apparel industry, an efficient and relatively inexpensive transportation situation, and a plentiful supply of natural gas, water, and electrical power.

## General Characteristics of the Region

## Location and Extent

The region involved in this study is located in West Texas in the southern part of the High and Rolling Plains (Figure 1). The area includes 7,960 square miles in the counties of Dawson, Andrews, Martin, and Howard in the High Plains and Borden, Scurry, Mitchell, and Nolan in the Rolling Plains.

The elevation runs from around 2,000 feet on the eastern edge upward to 3,400 feet on the western edge adjacent to the New Mexico border. Water drainage is toward the east and southeast via the Colorado River and the Beals Branch of the Colorado River, both of which begin in this area.

The approximate geographic center of this area is at the four-corner intersection of the counties of Dawson, Borden, Martin, and Howard. This point will be used as a reference center of the area throughout this report unless otherwise noted.

The eight counties of this region encompass a land area of over 5.0 million acres, which is used predominantly for farming and ranching. Of the total land area 29.8 percent is cultivated acreage, of which 9.9 percent is irrigated land. Approximately 67 percent of the cultivated land is harvested cropland; 68.5 percent of the total land area is utilized for ranch grazing. Townsites and other areas of human habitation occupy 1.7 percent of the total, or 83,835 acres of land mass.

The area, about 180 miles long in the east-west direction and 62 miles in the north-south direction, is located approximately between $32^{\circ}$ and $33^{\circ}$ North Latitude and

[^2]between $100^{\circ}$ and $103^{\circ}$ West Longitude. Cities in the Southeastern United States located between the same degrees of latitude are Montgomery, Alabama, and Columbus, Macon, and Savannah, Georgia.

## Minerals

The principal mineral resources in this area are oil and natural gas, from which a multitude of petroleum products are extracted. Gypsum, quartz sand, pumicite, volcanic ash, and other minerals also are found in these eight counties.

## Climate

The average daily mean temperature for the month of January is from $42^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ in the western portion to $45^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ in the east, while the average daily minimum temperature for January is $27^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ in the western portion and $29^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ in the eastern portion. During July the average daily maximum temperature is $94^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ in the west and $96^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ in the east, while the average daily mean temperature is $80^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ in the west and $83^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ in the east. Average rainfall in the area varies from 15.10 inches in the west to 20.52 inches in the east.

## Water

The eight-county area receives an average of over 7.4 million acre-feet of water annually in the form of rainfall. The surface-water storage in the reservoirs and lakes of the area totals a capacity of over 336,518 acrefeet, or over 110.0 billion gallons. In addition, subsurface water reserves amounting to over 645,000 acre-feet are known to exist in the area.

It has been estimated that the manufacturers of tex-tile-mill products in Texas will increase their water consumption 117 percent above the 1954 level by the year 1975 and 341 percent by the year 2010. This increased consumption of water in the state, both for this industry and for others, is being carefully considered by the Texas Water Development Board in their future water-requirement planning for the state. The Legislature passed a state law establishing the following order of priorities for water usage:

1. Domestic and municipal
2. Industrial
3. Agricultural (irrigation)
4. Mining
5. Hydro-electric
6. Navigational
7. Recreational

The Texas water requirements for irrigation in 1960 were met almost entirely from ground-water resources. In the same year total demands on surface water represented only 21 percent of the total of surface and ground water combined. For 1990, surface-water requirements are projected to be 59 percent of the total. Municipal requirements will have increased 139 percent, while industrial requirements will be up 165 percent, and irrigation
will decline 17 percent from the 1960 figures. The foregoing data are based on Municipal, Industrial, Irrigation and Mining Water Requirements for Texas, Texas Water Development Board, May 1966. The Board's projections indicate that a plentiful supply will be available for municipal and industrial consumption for the eight-county area through 2020.

## Raw Materials for a Textile Mill

## Cotton

More than 365,000 bales of cotton were produced in this eight-county area during the 1966-1967 season. Within a 50 -mile radius of the area center an average of 706,700 bales of cotton was produced annually for the 1962-1963 season and through the 1965-1966 seasons. An average of nearly $2,477,000$ bales of cotton was produced within a 100 -mile radius during the same four-year period. When the radius is extended to 200 miles the average total is nearly 3.3 million bales, which includes 138,000 bales from New Mexico plus all the cotton production of Texas Crop Reporting Districts 1-S, 1-N, 2, 3,7 , and over three fourths of District 6.
On the basis of grades, in the four seasons from 1962 1963 through 1965-1966 Texas produced over 44 percent (or nearly 1.9 million bales) of "white" grades cotton, the majority of which was Strict Low Middling White. Forty-eight percent (or nearly 2.2 million bales) was classed as "light spotted" cotton, with more than half being Middling Light Spotted in grade.

According to average-staple-length classification for the same four-year period, 59 percent (nearly 2.6 million bales) was classed as $15 / 16$ inch through 1 inch in staple length. Nearly 19 percent of the average production (over

787,000 bales) was classed $1-1 / 32$-inch and longer. These data were based on upland cottons only. American Egyptian growths produced in Texas were not included.

During the same four-year period the greater part of the average annual production during this period fell in the 3.5 to 4.9 micronaire range for a total of 65.9 percent (over 2.9 million bales). The majority of the bales produced were in the 80,000 psi through 89,000 psi range for 57.5 percent ( $2,541,000$ bales). On an annual average, which does not include any of the American Egyptian cotton produced in Texas, 10.1 percent ( 446,000 bales) was in the strength grouping of $90,000 \mathrm{psi}$ and above.

Below is a list of the leading cotton varieties planted in the eight-county area during the 1967-1968 season, in descending order according to volume:

| Variety | Percent | Variety | Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Western Stormproof | 23.7 | Acala 1517 | 5.8 |
| Lankart 57 | . 12.9 | Paymaster 101A | 5.1 |
| Paymaster 111 | 11.8 | Lankart 611 | . 4.7 |
| Lockett 4789 | 6.1 | Others | 29.9 |
|  | Total | 100.0 |  |

The eight-county area of the state has become increasingly quality-improvement conscious in relation to the cotton it grows. Many of the communities are now promoting one-variety planting, while the seed breeders are endeavoring to develop better-quality varieties for the area. A few of the improved varieties grown during the 19661967 season in this area of the state are listed below with their fiber properties, grade, and staple length: ${ }^{2}$

[^3]Figure 1
LOCATION OF EIGHT-COUNTY AREA IN UNITED STATES


| Variety | Grade | Staple |  | Micronaire | $\begin{gathered} \text { Strength } \\ (1,000 \\ \mathrm{psi}) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dunn 56-C | M | 1-1/16 | 1.14 | 3.4 | 83.5 |
| Acala 1517 BR2 | M | 1-1/4 | 1.19 | 4.1 | 89.9 |
| Del Cerro | M | 1-1/4 | 1.32 | 3.9 | 102.8 |
| Paymaster 111 | SLM | 1-1/32 | 1.04 | 3.8 | 82.0 |
| Lockett 4789 | .MLS | 1-1/16 | 1.07 | 3.6 | 81.0 |

## Wool and Mohair.

Wool and mohair also are natural fibers produced in Texas. The state produces an average of 20 percent of the nation's wool, ranking as the nation's leading woolproducing state. In 1965 Texas produced 41 million pounds of wool.

The state's mohair production increased in 1965 to a high of 31.5 million pounds, 97.3 percent of the total national production. From these data it is evident that Texas produces in abundance three natural fibers which are used by the nation's textile mills in the manufacture of numerous end products.

## adequate, Trainable Labor Supply

A large supply of trainable labor is available as a result of reduced employment needs within the agricultural segment of the area's economy. These workers can be trained for various industrial tasks through vocational training programs available in the eight-county study area. Training may be provided by the local school system, Chamber of Commerce, or industrial development foundation. Wage rates are competitive with those for other areas in the nation, and worker productivity and attitudes provide another prime locational advantage for this area.

## Market Potentials

## Market Population

Of the 678 cities in the nation as of 1960 with a population of over 25,000 persons, 240 , or 35.4 percent, are located west of the Mississippi River. Eighty-eight more, or 13.0 percent, are located in states adjacent to the Mississippi River. Thus 48.4 percent, or 328, are readily accessible to manufacturers located in Texas as compared with manufacturers located in the Southeast. These data are shown below:

| Population | West of Miss. R. | Adjacent Miss. R. | U.S. total | Texas total | Texas as a percent of U.S. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 25,000-50,000 | 131 | 54 | 366 | 19 | 5.2 |
| 50,000-100,000 | 58 | 23 | 182 | 10 | 5.5 |
| Over 100,000 | 51 | 11 | 180 | 11 | 8.5 |
|  | - | - | - | - | - |
| Total | 240 | 88 | 678 | 40 | 6.4 |

In 1940 there were 142 cities west of the Mississippi River with populations exceeding 25,000 . They represented 29 percent of the national total for cities of this size, with 21 percent of the number in Texas. In 1960 there were 40 cities in Texas with a population of over 25,000 and 240 west of the Mississippi River, a total constituting 35.4 percent of the national total. This change in ratio indicates a faster growth rate for the area west of the Mississippi.

In 1940 the population west of the Mississippi River represented 30.7 percent of the national total, Texas' share being 4.9 percent of the national total. By 1960 these percentages had changed to 33.7 percent for the West and 5.3 percent for Texas, further indicating the westward movement of the nation's population and the increasing percentage of the area west of the Mississippi River in the national total. This change is projected to
continue through 1980, at which time, projections indicate, the population west of the Mississippi will be 35.7 percent of the national total and that of Texas will be 5.6 percent of the national total.

## Market Purchasing Power

Personal income also is important in determining the size and/or potential of markets. In 1940 Texas personal income was $\$ 2,776$ million, or 3.5 percent of the national total. The area west of the Mississippi River represented 25.4 percent of the nation's total personal income. By 1966 Texas personal income, at $\$ 27,319$ million, was up to 4.7 percent of the United States total, and the area west of the Mississippi had reached $\$ 179,908$ million, or 31.2 percent of the national total. The personal-income figures for the periods 1940, 1950, 1960, and 1966 for Texas and various regions of the United States indicate that the rate of growth in Texas and the area west of the Mississippi was faster than the rates in other areas during the more recent years.

In the twenty-six years between 1940 and 1966 the growth rate for Texas and the area west of the Mississippi River, in income as well as population, has been greater than for the area east of the River. This is borne out by the fact that Texas and the region west of the River now have larger shares of the national totals than before. Not only do the Western and Southwestern portions of the nation have more people than before, but they have also more money individually (per capita purchasing power) than ever before. These areas of the nation are large, rapidly expanding markets, with everincreasing potential.

## Market Accessibility

Because of Texas' location in the center of the nation, Texans can quickly reach any major city in the nation, as indicated below:

| Midland-Odessa to: Dallas | Fastest flight time in hour: minutes ..... : 52 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Dallas to: <br> New York | 2:40 |
| Atlanta | . 1:38 |
| Los Angeles | .. 2:80 |
| Chicago | ....1:55 |
| Denver | ..... 1:42 |
| Seattle | 3:35 |

Located on the Gulf of Mexico, Texas has excellent deepwater ports for exportation of textile-mill products and apparel. In 1963 the exportation of textile-mill products represented $\$ 2.2$ million and apparel exports amounted to $\$ 2.8$ million.

A mill located in the eight-county area would be closer than a mill in the Southeast to all markets west of the Mississippi River, and in some instances it would be closer to locations in those states adjacent to the eastern banks of the Mississippi.

Texas is halfway between the East Coast and the West Coast, and motor and rail transportation costs and time of delivery from Texas to the central and western parts of the nation are less than from the Southeast. During 1965-1966 Texas mills moved 95 percent of their production by truck, nearly 38 percent to points within the state. This eight-county area of Texas also offers five-day motor-freight delivery to New York and Seattle.

## Market Needs

During the research for this study converters, finishers, factoring firms, and selling agents were asked what prod-
ucts they could use or what products from textile mills in Texas would fill their market needs. The products suggested included denim, drills, twills, jeans, Osnaburgs, sheeting, ducks (both single and double filling), mattress ticking (popular weights), double-knit fabric, drapery material, and lining fabric. Respondents also suggested that some of the denim contain 50 to 75 percent cotton with 25 to 50 percent nylon or polyester fiber in 45 -inchwidth material. One finishing company located in an adjacent state just 480 miles from this eight-county area stated that they are well equipped to work with any textile mill in Texas in bleaching, dyeing, treating, and shrinking cotton goods of all types applicable to the needs of the apparel industry.

## An Expanding State Industry

The 666 apparel manufacturers in Texas in early 1967 put the state in seventh place nationally, behind New York, Pennsylvania, California, New Jersey, Massachusetts, and Illinois. During 1966, 17 new garment manufacturing plants were established in the state, and 29 of the plants already operating were expanded in size of plant, employment, and production. In 1967, 26 new garment manufacturing plants were established in Texas.

Many firms are taking advantage of the opportunities offered the textile industry in Texas-easily available raw materials, excellent trainable labor, a large regional potential market, an expanding state-apparel industry, easy access to ocean ports for exporting, central locations in relation to the western, central and eastern markets of the nation, easy accessibility to all modes of transportation, and a plentiful supply of natural gas, water, and electrical power.

The state continues to develop through industrial expansion in manufacturing industries other than textiles, and much of the credit should be given to communitysponsored local Industrial Development Corporations, the Texas Industrial Commission, and private professional industrial-development departments such as those of the state's electric utilities. More than 200 local IDC's are operating in the state. Between 1963 and 1967 the new apparel plants initiated in the state amounted to an annual average of 6 percent of the total new industries entering the state. Expansion of already operating apparel industries during the same period amounted to about 8 percent of total industrial expansion, and during the period 1950 through July 1967 Texas lost only one mill through closing or liquidation. From 1963 to 1966 firms producing under the Standard Industrial Classification Code group of textile-mill products increased 11 percent in employment, while the apparel industry in the state went up 15 percent.

Since the beginning of the 1960's the nation has experienced an annual increase in the total fiber consumption, and cotton has held its own in absolute amount of consumption, although its percentage of the total fibers consumed has decreased from 65 percent in 1955 to 51 percent in 1966.

The textile trade journals have been reporting that firms are expanding their present facilities and building new plants. These new plants are being designed to reduce the cost of the capital investments, and to require fewer personnel-particularly in the material-handling, housekeeping, or cleaning functions of the mill operation. They are designed also with less storage space, since the rate of inventory turnover has been increasing annually with a resulting reduction in completed product inven-
tory on hand. Much of this plant modernization and new construction is for facilities producing items such as per-manent-press fabrics, knit fabrics, stretch fabrics, tufted fabrics, and blended fabrics-all of which are relatively new and expanding items in the textile industry.

This modernization, expansion, and new-mill construction has maintained or increased the production of various types of textile mills with a reduction in the total employees necessary in the number of mills in the various Standard Industrial Classification groups. Examples of this are cotton-weaving mills (SIC 2211) and syn-thetic-weaving mills (SIC 2221). In two particular instances, knit-fabric mills (SIC 2256) and tufted-carpet and rug mills (SIC 2272), the number of mills has increased along with production and the number of total employees.
Shown below are various end products, to indicate but a few, that were made from West Texas cotton by domestic mills in the 1964-1965 season: ${ }^{3}$

| Staple length | End product | West Texas bales |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7/8 and under. | Knitting yarn | 5,500 |
| 15/16 average | Filling for sheeting...... | . 40,000 |
|  | Duck ................... | 2,500 |
|  | Carpet-weaving yarn ..... | .25,000 |
|  | Canton flannel filling..... | . 8,600 |
|  | Osnaburg | 500 |
|  | Backing and coating fabrics | .18,000 |
|  | Industrial yarn | . 5,000 |
|  | Knitting yarn | ... 8,300 |
|  | Tufting yarn .... | . . 90,000 |
|  | Weaving yarn .. | ... 6,000 |
|  | Blankets | . 13,000 |
|  | Glove flannels | . 4,000 |
| Subtotal, 15/16 | age. | .220,900 |
| Total . . . . . |  | 226,400 |

Also available is a plentiful supply of wool and mohair; and this could be a most interesting factor since preliminary research shows that cotton, wool, and mohair can be blended and made into a fabric with great appeal.

Factors other than those outlined above which have influenced this expansion of textile-manufacturing facilities and growth of garment manufacturing in Texas include climate, state laws giving industrial water second priority after domestic usage, and the absence of state personal or corporate income taxes.

## Favorable Transportation Situation

Actual mill placement as to type of mill and location in the eight-county area will depend on the results of the surveys of interested textile organizations and their future plans. A large majority of those who have been involved in this study feel that one factor-transportation costs-will induce more textile mills to move to the Southwest, and into Texas in particular. The high costs of transportation, particularly in relation to fabries, will make the shift essential as time goes on.

Respondent firms pointed out during the study that many large apparel manufacturers of certain types located in the Western, Central, and Southwestern parts of the nation could be adequately served by mills in Texas. They indicated also that a manufacturer centrally located to his markets and near to his fabric source would have less transportation costs both for delivery of inbound fabrics and for delivery of finished products outbound to markets. These firms also mentioned that delivery time was exceedingly important both in getting goods to mar-

[^4]ket and in the time required to get materials from the supplier. Overnight delivery is becoming very important to the retail market, the apparel industry, and the mill.

Differences in the market price for materials in various parts of the country must be considered in relation to transportation costs. The average January 1968 spot market prices for Middling 1 -inch cottons are shown below, along with the per-bale cost for a 500 -pound bale: ${ }^{4}$
Spot market
Price per pound
Greenville, S. C.......................26.32d $\quad \$ 131.60$
Lubbock ..............................24.85 124.25
For example, a mill located in Colorado City, just 110 miles from Lubbock, would save $\$ 7.35$ a bale over a mill Tocated in or near Greenville, South Carolina, which was buying on the Greenville spot market.

Freight-savings on a 500 -pound bale from Colorado City to Greenville, South Carolina, alone would amount to $\$ 5.45$ per bale ( $5 \times \$ 1.09 / \mathrm{cwt}$ ), plus $16 \phi$ per bale insurance. In addition, a $\$ 2.00$-per-bale compression charge would be saved if cotton was purchased in or adjacent to Colorado City. Thus a minimum of $\$ 7.61$ per bale could be saved by a mill located in this area over a similar mill located in Greenville, South Carolina, using the same Texas growiths.

Assume a textile mill located in Colorado City and one in Greenville, South Carolina, both manufacturing the same products, utilizing the same cottons, and having no difference in cost of manufacture or price of cotton. Each of these mills sells one third of its production locally, one third to Los Angeles, and the remaining one third in the other area. Products are yarn, unfinished cloth, and finished cloth. Freight rates are based on less-thancarload lots by rail. The table below indicates the cost of such shipments based on the output from one bale of cotton weighing 500 pounds gross entering said mills:

Colorado City

Greenville mill Colorado City mill | savings in freight |
| :---: |
| over Greenville |

Product-Yarn ( 433.2 lbs .) with 144.4 pounds sold and shipped by railroad to each destination:

| 1/3 Locally ..... 0 | 1/3 Greenville ... \$ 4.375 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1/3 Colorado City . 4.375 | 1/3 Locally ..... 0 |
| 1/3 Los Angeles . 10.137 | 1/3 Los Angeles . 5.762 |
| Total . . . . . $\$ 14.512$ | Total . . . . . $810.187 . . . . . .84 .375 /$ bale |
| Product-Unfinished cloth shipped: | (457.6 lbs.) with 152.5 pounds sold and |
| 1/3 Locally | 1/3 Greenville ... \$ 4.621 |
| 1/8 Colorado City 84.621 | 1/3 Locally ..... 0 |
| 1/3 Los Angeles . 10.706 | 1/3 Los Angeles . 6.085 |
| Total . . . . $\$ 15.327$ | Total . . . . . $\$ 10.706$. . . . $\$ 4.621 /$ bale |
| Product-Finished eloth (457 | 7.6 lbs.) with 152.5 pounds sold and shipped: |
| 1/3 Locally .... 0 | $1 / 3$ Greenville ... \$ 5.078 |
| 1/3 Colorado City \$ 5.078 | 1/3 Locally .... 0 |
| 1/3 Los Angeles . 11.758 | 1/3 Los Angeles . 6.680 |
| Total . . . . $\$ 16.836$ | Total . . . . . $\$ 11.758 . . . .$. . $\$ 5.078 /$ bale |
| Note: Calculated from rate | information furnished by Texas \& Pacific | Railway.

Power Supply
This eight-county area has an abundant supply of electric power that can be utilized by industry locating in the area. The cities there are supplied by a reliable and efficient grid-distribution system. Electric-power suppliers in this area are more than ready to work with all possible industries which might consider locating in any city within their area.

Possible Textile-Mill Installations
Four examples of possible textile-mill installations which might be located in the eight-county study area

[^5]were analyzed: a spinning mill-raw fiber to carded yarn; a weaving mill-yarn to fabrics; combed-yarn mill -raw fiber to combed yarn; and a knitting mill-yarn to fabric.
A spinning mill operating in Lamesa, Texas, and employing 106 persons could be expected to yield 12.9 -percent return on investment before income tax. Such a firm would utilize $4,888,765$ pounds of cotton and would yield $4,395,000$ pounds of $20 / 1$ carded cotton yarn. Total investment in such a facility would be approximately $\$ 2,560,000$.
The analysis of the potential for a weaving mill was based upon a plant location in Colorado City. Employment was estimated at 75 persons for a weaving mill utilizing $4,395,000$ pounds of $20 / 1$ carded yarn annually and producing $4,395,000$ pounds of denim fabrics. This facility should yield a net return on investment before taxes of 11.2 percent. A total investment of $\$ 1,133,115$ would be required.
A combed-yarn mill located in Big Spring and producing $4,395,000$ pounds of $26 / 1$ combed yarn would utilize $5,867,824$ pounds of cotton. The facility would employ 120 persons and require an investment of $\$ 3,274$,000 . On the basis of the best information available, estimates of performance by this combed-yarn mill indicate that it would yield a net return on investment before taxes of 9.8 percent.

The knitting-mill operation located in Andrews, Texas, employing 74 persons and producing $4,365,000$ pounds of knitted fabrics would utilize $4,500,000$ pounds of yarn annually and require an initial investment of $\$ 1,028,000$. The net return on this investment before taxes was calculated to be 34.3 percent.

All net-return ratios were calculated on total investment. In calculation made on equity only, ratios would be much higher. This study and the information provided by various industrial sources indicate that several types of textile mills could successfully exploit the locational advantages which characterize the eight-county study area, with reasonable returns on their investments.

## Conclusion

The detailed study of an eight-county area of West Texas to determine the feasibility of successfully operating a textile-mill facility within the area indicated that several factors make this area desirable as a location for textile industries. These factors include the availability of raw materials at lower costs than in the East; adequate trainable labor; a large regional potential market; an expanding state apparel industry; an excellent transportation system and definite transportation savings, particularly to West Coast markets; and a plentiful supply of natural gas, water, and electrical power.
The leading field crop within the study area is cotton. Almost one third of the cotton grown in the United States is produced and processed within a 200 -mile radius of the approximate geographic center of the area, including practically all qualities of cotton. Within a $100-$ mile radius of the center of the study area are 30 cotton compresses. Also available to textile facilities located in the study area is a plentiful supply of wool and mohair, most of which is produced within a 200 -mile radius of the center of the eight-county area. This could prove to be an extremely important locational advantage, since market acceptability of cotton, wool, and mohair blends is increasing.
(Concluded, p. 357)

# TEXAS CONSTRUCTION OCTOBER 1969 

Joe H. Jones

With conditions of the mortgage money market unchanged, the dip in residential construction in Texas continues with no relief in sight. As measured by the index of residential construction authorized in Texas, the price-inflated annual average of authorizations based on the first ten months of 1969 has dropped decisively below the average authorizations for 1968. During the 1966 recession in housing construction the value of October authorizations was 60 percent of the authorizations in January, the beginning month of the cyclical downturn. During the 1969 downturn which also began in January, the October authorizations for the current year were 64 percent of the initial month of the housing cycle. What is dissimilar in the two residential-construction recessions is their prospects for recovery.
Recovery from the 1966 recession began in NovemberDecember of that year, and by May of 1967 levels reaching the 1965 highs in housing authorizations, which preceded the recession, had been attained. Such a recovery does not appear to be a realistic prospect for the current housing recession, and the relatively slighter decline of

1969 in housing authorizations seems destined to exceed the debilitating drop of 1966 both in depth and in duration.

The exorbitant costs for housing, which are pricing potential buyers out of the market, are based on historical highs in money rates, mounting construction costs, and urgent housing demand. Nothing indicates that any significant decreases will develop in any of these determinants in the near future.

The most optimistic notes sounded recently on interest rates came from the newly elected president of the Mortgage Bankers Association of America. The Association president, Mr. Robert H. Pease, predicted that mortgage rates would level off "somewhere around today's price for four to six months." Today's price, of course, means new financing at effective rates of approximately 9 percent, which will not constitute an inducement to buy.

Lumber prices have somewhat softened from 1968 highs, but total construction costs have not declined. In what is essentially a handicraft industry, construction costs are determined largely by labor costs, which are not flexible downward.

Ownership and production costs are at historical highs in a period during which household formations are more numerous than in the past several decades. With a "household" constituted of all persons who occupy a housing unit, the rate of household formation is the rate of change

ONE-FAMILY, TWO-FAMILY, AND APARTMENT-BUILDING DWELLING UNITS
AUTHORIZED IN STANDARD METROPOLITAN STATISTICAL AREAS FOR THE PERIOD JANUARY-OCTOBER, 1969\#
(Number of units, with percent change from total authorized January-October, 1968)

|  |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Standard metropolitan |  |
| statistical area |  |

[^6]in total housing demand. During the period from 1960 through 1965, in which the housing market was relatively stable, the rate of household formations in Texas, as estimated by the U.S. Bureau of the Census, was approximately 2 percent annually. For this same period the index of residential-construction authorizations increased at an annual rate of 4 percent. From 1960 through 1965 households were formed primarily from the persons born during the general recession of the 1930 's, a period of notably low birth rate.

Household formations from 1965 through 1975 will be dominated by the age group born during the baby boom of the Second World War and the postwar period. The U.S. Bureau of the Census estimates that the rate of household formation in Texas through 1975 will exceed that of the 1960-1965 period, with a sharply accelerated period of household formation through 1970 ranging possibly to a 3 -percent annual rate of increase. The real crunch in housing is yet to come; the probable growth in housing availability through 1970 cannot satisfy this accelerated demand with past patterns of home owner ship.

Adjustments in the short run are already evident in the switch of construction to multiresidence units. For the state, multiple-family dwelling authorizations for January through October 1969 increased over the same period for 1968, while single-family dwelling authorizations decreased. Some cost economies of construction and financing offer multiunit housing at favorable prices over singlehome ownership. Except for some sections which have experienced overbuilding, multiunit construction is dominating residential building for the major metropolitan areas in the state. Apartment rentals continue to absorb a large portion of the increasing demand for housing.

Simple restoration of former construction levels of single-family dwellings, which would seem an unduly optimistic prospect at this juncture, will not be sufficient to restore former markets in housing. The acceleration in demand for the coming period, together with the net losses already experienced in construction during 1966 and 1969, and probable in 1970, will cause a general realignment of patterns of home construction, financing,

NONRESIDENTIAL BUILDING AUTHORIZED, TEXAS*

ownership, and rental for an extended period to come. A distinct change in housing patterns is in process.

During the period of short-run readjustment the increasing proportion of household income which must necessarily be spent for housing will reduce the discretionary spending potential for other consumer goods and services. Big-ticket consumer items such as automobiles and major appliances are also likely casualties of the deepening housing crisis.

A comparison of the similar rates of decline in residential construction and the relatively stable growth in nonresidential construction for 1966 and 1969 suggests that the two periods, because of these superficial similarities, may produce similar outcomes. What is becoming increasingly apparent, however, is that restoration of former markets is not a remote prospect and that we are well into an accelerated evolution in housing.

ESTIMATED VALUES OF BUILDING AUTHORIZED IN TEXAS\#

| Classification | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Oct } \\ 1969 \end{array}$ | ${ }_{1969}^{\text {Jan-Oct }}$ | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Oct 1969 from Sep 1969 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Jan-Oct } \\ & 1969 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Jan-Oct } \\ & 1968 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | (thousands of dollars) |  |  |  |
| New construction | .181,730 | 1,966,119 | - | 11 |
|  | 158,318 | 1,752,239 | - 4 | 11 |
| Residential (housekeeping) |  | 882,505 | - 5 |  |
| One-family dwellings. | . 39,989 | 474,440 | 6 | - 10 |
| Multiple-family dwellings | 24,967 | 408,065 | -19 | 9 |
| Nonresidential buildings | $93,357$ | 869,734 | - 4 | 29 |
| Hotels, motels, and tourist courts | $849$ | 21,846 | -64 | -35 |
| Amusement buildings. | - 928 | 14,325 | 138 | 13 |
| Churches .......... | 2,486 | 29,319 | - | - 2 |
| Industrial buildings. | - 4,467 | 115,778 | $-81$ | 25 |
| Garages (commercial and private) | $985$ | 12,274 | 117 | $-22$ |
| Service stations .... | - 1,406 | 14,963 | 5 | 8 |
| Hospitals and institutions | $16,577$ | 95,073 | 37 | 51 |
| Office-bank buildings. | . 17,327 | 122,480 |  | 48 |
| Works and utilities.. | . 1,498 | 28,745 | - 40 | $-30$ |
| Educational buildings. | 14,823 | 175,693 | 114 | 20 |
| Stores and mercantile buildings | $15,266$ | 177,946 | -45 | 48 |
| Other buildings and structures | 16,745 | 61,292 | 786 | 160 |
| Additions, alterations, and repairs | $23,417$ | 213,880 | 6 | 8 |

METROPOLITAN $\dagger$ vs.
NONMETROPOLITAN $\dagger$

| Total metropolitan .....164,011 | 1,758,673 | 1 | 12 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Central cities . . . . . . . 119,560 | 1,240,286 | 4 | 7 |
| Outside central cities. . 44,451 | 518,387 | 8 | 29 |
| Total nonmetropolitan . . 17,719 | 207,446 | - 28 | $-1$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 10,000 \text { to } 50,000 \\ & \text { population } \ldots \ldots \ldots .10,610 \end{aligned}$ | 121,928 | - 36 | 8 |
| Less than 10,000 population .......... 7,109 | 85,518 | - 13 | 12 |

\# Only buildings for which permits were issued within the incorporated area of a city are included.
$\dagger$ Standard metropolitan statistical area as defined in 1960 Census and revised in 1968.
th) Change is less than one half of 1 percent.
Source: Bureau of Business Research in cooperation with the Bureau of the Census, U.S. Department of Commerce.
(Seasonally adjusted, $1957-1959=100$ )

| Year | Month |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Annual average |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | June | July | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | Dec |  |
| 1963 |  | 115 | 123 | 117 | 133 | 110 | 149 | 187 | 113 | 130 | 117 | 110 | 122 |
| 1964 | .123 | 116 | 127 | 117 | 110 | 122 | 129 | 114 | 119 | 118 | 125 | 104 | 119 |
| 1965 | 106 | 90 | 104 | 104 | 101 | 123 | 119 | 102 | 111 | 104 | 129. | 125 | 110 |
| 1966 | 113 | 118 | 119 | 97 | 110 | 98 | 96 | 84 | 64 | 75 | 71 | 68 | 93 |
| 1967 | 89 | 99 | 112 | 98 | 132 | 138 | 113 | 146 | 116 | 142 | 168 | 146 | 125 |
| 1968 | . 122 | 175 | 125 | 143 | 147 | 156 | 174 | 141 | 168 | 182 | 202 | 208 | 162 |
| 1969 | . 173 | 165 | 141 | 193 | 158 | 143 | 154 | 121 | 123 | 123 |  |  | 149* |

* Average of January through October, 1969.

INDEX OF NONRESIDENTIAL CONSTRUCTION AUTHORIZED IN TEXAS, 1963-1969
(Seasonally adjusted, 1957-1959=100)

| Year | Month |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Annual average |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | June | July | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | Dec |  |
| 1963 | . 138 | 176 | 152 | 132 | 145 | 105 | 129 | 132 | 95 | 87 | 111 | 108. | 126 |
| 1964 | 150 | 153 | 125 | 169 | 127 | 117 | 199 | 161 | 202 | 117 | 227 | 131 | 156 |
| 1965 | 113 | 152 | 121 | 173 | 140 | 188 | 130 | 297 | 141 | 156 | 198 | 250 | 172 |
| 1966 | 163 | 215 | 199 | 224 | 196 | 157 | 289 | 232 | 162 | 152 | 253 | 151 | 195 |
| 1967 | 132 | 252 | 229 | 195 | 201 | 179 | 259 | 442 | 140 | 198 | 255 | 157 | 219 |
| 1968 | . 205 | 173 | 174 | 206 | 234 | 148 | 191 | 249 | 160 | 253 | 248 | 256 | 208 |
| 1969 | . 217 | 281 | 253 | 209 | 300 | 214 | 296 | 254 | 259 | 270 | 248 | 256 | $255^{\circ}$ |

* Average of January through October, 1969.


THE BUSINESS SITUATION (Concluded)
Some of the increases in Texas business indicators recorded for October may be discounted for one reason or another. The index of Texas business activity that is based on bank debits and the index of nonresidential building authorizations both recorded moderately large increases, but both are subject to fairly large erratic fluctuations. Furthermore, nonresidential building activity is related to trends in new plant and equipment expenditures and such trends at the national level, although showing gains for most of the year, appear to have flattened in the current quarter. A national survey released in November on business spending plans for new plant and equipment suggests that these capital expenditures will rise 8 percent in 1970 after an 11-percent increase in 1969. Such expenditures, however, have been lagging behind plans in the current year, and continued sluggishness in final sales could lead to a substantial cutback from planned levels in next year's expenditures for new plant and equipment. If plans are followed, major spending increases will be made next year by industries important in Texas-machinery manufactur-
ers, food and beverage manufacturers, chemicals producers, and petroleum producers and refiners.

Though inflation at the consumer level continued at a disturbingly high rate in Texas and the nation during October, the rise flattened slightly. The October increase in the national consumer price index was at an annual rate of 4.8 percent compared with a rate of 6 percent in September. In October the consumer price index for Houston, which is not published every month, reflected an increase from a year earlier of 7.2 percent compared with a year-to-year increase of 5.6 percent for the national index. A further slowing of consumer price increases is indicated by declines during October in sensitive rawmaterials prices. Farm-products prices have been declining at wholesale for several months. Major farm products currently displaying wholesale-price weaknesses include beef and fruits and vegetables.

Business indicators for Texas and the nation are giving mixed signals but on balance the indicators appear to be pointing toward a further slowing of inflationary trends.

A TEXTILE MILL (Concluded)
A large supply of trainable labor, continuing population growth and increasing incomes, which extend the market for textile products, and a favorable transportation situation-all suggest high potential for successful operation of textile mills in this area.

With many apparel firms taking advantage of opportunities for improved efficiency and lower operating and transportation costs by locating in Texas, the continuing expansion of Texas' textile industry seems assured.

An analysis of four potential textile mills, all different in type and hypothetically located in four different towns of the area under study, indicated that the characteristics of this eight-county area provide excellent advantages for the successful operation of textile mills, so that the region should contribute heavily to the future development of the Texas textile industry.


Statistical data compiled by: Mildred Anderson, Constance Cooledge, and Glenda Riley, statistical assistants and Doris Dismuke and Mary Gorham, statistical technicians.

Indicators of business conditions in Texas cities published in this table include statistics on banking, building permits, employment, postal receipts, and retail trade. An individual city is listed when a minimum of three indicators are available.

The cities have been grouped according to standard metropolitan statistical areas. In Texas all twenty-three SMSA's are defined by county lines; the counties included are listed under each SMSA. The populations shown for the SMSA's are estimates for April 1, 1968, prepared by the Population Research Center, Department of Sociology, The University of Texas at Austin. The population shown after the city name is the 1960 Census figure, unless otherwise indicated. Cities in SMSA's are listed alphabetically under their appropriate SMSA's; all other cities are listed alphabetically as main entries.

Retail-sales data are reported here only when a minimum total of fifteen stores report; separate categories of retail stores are listed only when a minimum of five stores report in those categories. The first column presents current data for the various categories. Percentages shown for retail sales are average statewide percent changes from the preceding month. This is the normal seasonal change in sales by that kind of business-except in the case of Dallas, Fort Worth, Houston, and San Antonio, where the dagger ( $\dagger$ ) is replaced by another symbol ( $\dagger \dagger$ ) because of the normal seasonal changes given are for each of these cities individually. The second column shows the percent change from the preceding month in data reported for the current month; the third column shows the percent change in data from the same month a year ago. A large variation between the normal seasonal change and the reported change indicates an abnormal sales month.

Symbols used in this table include:
(a) Population Research Center data, April 1, 1968.
(b) Separate employment data for the Midland and Odessa SMSA's are not available, since employment figures for Midland and Ector Counties, composing one labormarket area, are recorded in combined form.
(c) Separate employment data for Gladewater, Kilgore, and Longview are not available, since employment figures for Gregg County, composing one labor-market area, are recorded in total.
$(\dagger)$ Average statewide percent change from preceding month.
$(\dagger \dagger)$ Average individual-city percent change from preceding month.
(r) Estimates officially recognized by Texas Highway Department.
(rr) Estimate for Pleasanton: combination of 1960 Census figures for Pleasanton and North Pleasanton.
(*) Cash received during the four-week postal accounting period ended October 17, 1969.
( $\ddagger$ ) Money on deposit in individual demand deposit accounts on the last day of the month.
(§) Since Population Center data for Texarkana include no inhabitants of Arkansas, the data given here are those of the Bureau of the Census, which include the population of both Bowie County, Texas, and Miller County, Arkansas.
(**) Change is less than one half of 1 percent.
(||) Annual rate basis, seasonally adjusted.
(\#) Monthly averages.
(X) Sherman-Denison SMSA: a new standard metropolitan statistical area, for which not all categories of data are now available.

## ALPHABETICAL LISTING OF CITIES INCLUDED IN DECEMBER 1969 ISSUE OF TEXAS BUSINESS REVIEW

| Abilene (Abilene SMSA) | Borger |
| :---: | :---: |
| Alamo (McAllen-Pharr-Edinburg SMSA) | Brady |
| Albany | Brenham |
| Alice | Brownfield |
| Alpine | Brownsville (Brownsville-Harlingen-San Benito |
| Amarillo (Amarillo SMSA) | SMSA) |
| Andrews | Brownwood |
| Angleton (Houston SMSA) | Bryan |
| Arlington (Fort Worth SMSA) | Burkburnett (Wichita Falls SMSA) |
| Athens | Caldwell |
| Austin (Austin SMSA) | Cameron |
| Bartlett | Canyon (Amarillo SMSA) |
| Bay City | Carrollton (Dallas SMSA) |
| Baytown (Houston SMSA) | Carthage |
| Beaumont (Beaumont-Port Arthur-Orange SMSA) | Castroville |
| Beeville | Cisco |
| Bellaire (Houston SMSA) | Cleburne (Fort Worth SMSA) |
| Bellville | Clute (Houston SMSA) |
| Belton | Colorado City |
| Big Spring | Conroe (Houston SMSA) |
| Bishop (Corpus Christi SMSA) | Copperas Cove |
| Bonham | Corpus Christi (Corpus Christi SMSA) |

## Corsicana

Crane
Crystal City
Dallas (Dallas SMSA)
Dayton (Houston SMSA)
Decatur
Deer Park (Houston SMSA)
Del Rio (Sherman-Denison
Denison (Sherman-Denison SMSA)
Denton (Dallas SMSA)
Dickinson (Galveston-Texas City SMSA)
Dimmitt
Donna (MeAllen-Pharr-Edinburg SMSA)
Eagle Lake
Eagle Pass
Edinburg (McAllen-Pharr-Edinburg SMSA)
Edna
El Campo
El Paso (El Paso SMSA)
Elsa (McAllen-Pharr-Edinburg SMSA
Ennis (Dallas SMSA)
Euless (Fort Worth SMSA)
Farmers Branch (Dallas SMSA)
Fort Stockton
Fort Worth (Fort Worth SMSA)
Fredericksurg (Houston SMSA)
Freeport (Galveston-Texas City SMSA)
Friona
Galveston (Dallas SMSA)
Garland
Gatesville
Georgetown
Giddings
Gladewater
Goldthwaite
Graham
Granberry
Grand Prairie (Dallas SMSA)
Grapevine (Fort Worth SMSA)
Greenville
Groves (Beaumont-Port Arthur-Orange SMSA)
Hallettsville
Hallsville
Harlingen (Brownsville-Harlingen-San Benito
SMSA)
Henderson
Hereford
Hondo
Houston (Houston SMSA)
Humble (Houston SMSA)
Iowa Park (Wichita Falls SMSA)
Irving (Dallas SMSA)
Jacksonville
Jasper
Junction
Justin (Dallas SMSA)
Karnes City
Katy (Houston SMSA)
Kilgore
Killeen
Kingsland
Kingsville
Kirbyville
La Grange
La Marque (Galveston-Texas City SMSA)
Lamesa
Lampasas
Lancaster (Dallas SMSA)
La Porte (Houston SMSA)
Laredo (Laredo SMSA)
Levelland
Liberty (Houston SMSA)
(His

| Littlefield | Port Neches (Beaumont-Port Arthur-Orange |
| :---: | :---: |
| Llano | SMSA) |
| Lockhart | Quanah |
| Longview | Raymondville |
| Los Fresnos (Brownsville-Harlingen-San Benito | Refugio |
| SMSA) | Richmond (Houston SMSA) |
| Lubbock (Lubbock SMSA) | Robstown (Corpus Christi SMSA) |
| Lufkin | Rockdale |
| McAllen (McAllen-Pharr-Edinburg SMSA) | Rosenberg (Houston SMSA) |
| MeCamey | San Angelo (San Angelo SMSA) |
| MeGregor (Waco SMSA) | San Antonio (San Antonio SMSA) |
| MeKinney (Dallas SMSA) | San Benito (Brownsville-Harlingen-San Benito |
| Marble Falls | SMSA) (MeAllen-Pharr-Fdinhurg gMSA) |
| Marshall | San Juan (McAllen-Pharr-Edinburg SMSA) |
| Mercedes (McAllen-Pharr-Edinburg SMSA) | San Marcos <br> San Saba |
| Mesquite (Dallas SMSA) | Schertz (San Antonio SMSA) |
| Mexia | Seagoville (Dallas SMSA) |
| Midland (Midland SMSA) | Seagraves |
| Midlothian (Dallas SMSA) | Seguin (San Antonio SMSA) |
| Mineral Wells | Seminole |
| Mission (McAllen-Pharr-Edinburg SMSA) | Sherman (Sherman-Denison SMSA) |
| Monahans | Silsbee |
| Mount Pleasant | Slaton (Lubbock SMSA) |
| Muenster | Smithville |
| Muleshoe | Sonora |
| Nacogdoches | South Houston (Houston SMSA) |
| Nederland (Beaumont-Port Arthur-Orange SMSA) | Stephenville |
| New Braunfels | Stratford |
| North Richland Hills (Fort Worth SMSA) | Sulphur Springs |
| Odessa (Odessa SMSA) | Sweetwater |
| Olney | Tahoka |
| Orange (Beaumont-Port Arthur-Orange SMSA) | Taylor |
| Palestine | Temple |
| Pampa | Terrell (Dallas SMSA) |
| Paris | Texarkana (Texarkana SMSA) |
| Pasadena (Houston SMSA) | Texas City (Galveston-Texas City SMSA) |
| Pearland (Houston SMSA) | Tomball (Houston SMSA) |
| Pecos (MeAllen-Pharr-Edinburg SMSA) | Tyler (Tyler SMSA) |
| Pilot Point (Dallas SMSA) | Victoria |
| Plainview (Dallas | Waco (Waco SMSA) |
| Pleasanton | Waxahachie (Dallas SMSA) |
| Port Aransas | Weatherford |
| Port Arthur (Beaumont-Port Arthur-Orange | Wharton |
| SMSA) | White Settlement (Fort Worth SMSA) |
| Port Isabel (Brownsville-Harlingen-San Benito SMSA) | Wichita Falls (Wichita Falls SMSA) Yoakum |

## ALPHABETICAL LISTING OF SMSA'S AND CITIES <br> WITHIN EACH SMSA, WITH DATA

| Local Business |  | Percent | hange |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| City and item | (1969 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Oet } 1969 \\ \text { from } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Oef 1965 } \\ & \text { from } \end{aligned}$ |

## ABILENE SMSA

(Jones and Taylor; pop. 120,100 ${ }^{\text {² }}$ )

| Retail sales |  | - 3 | 17 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Automotive stores |  | 1 | 25 |
| Building permits less federal contracts | \$ 3,128,333 | 584 | 559 |
| Bank debits (thousands) \||. | \$ 1,986,840 | 8 | 8 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | \$ 100,450 | क ${ }^{\text {\% }}$ | 3 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 19.8 | 9 | 11 |
| Nonfarm employment (area) | 41,100 | 0\% | 3 |
| Manufacturing employment (area) | 5,430 | 2 | 12 |
| Percent unemployed (area) | 2.5 | $-19$ |  |

## ABILENE (pop. 110,054 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ )



For an explanation of symbols see p. 858

| Local Business Conditions | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| City and item | Oct <br> Oct 1969 <br> from | Oct 1969 <br> from <br> Oct 1968 |

AUSTIN SMSA
(Travis; pop. $263,800{ }^{\text {a }}$ )

| Retail sales |  | 1 | 12 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Apparel stores |  | 10 | 14 |
| Automotive stores |  | 14 | 19 |
| Eating and drinking places. |  | 2 | 15 |
| Furniture and householdappliance stores |  | - 31 | 12 |
| Building permits less federal contracts | \$20,436,100 | 90 | 169 |
| Bank debits (thousands) \\||. | \$ 8,599,524 | - 1 | 3 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | \& 280,484 | 5 | - 1 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 31.4 | - 2 | 2 |
| Nonfarm employment (area) | 120,000 | - 1 | 6 |
| Manufacturing employment (area) | 11,100 | 2 | 8 |
| Percent unemployed (area) | 2.0 | 11 | 5 |


| AUSTIN (pop. 250,000 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ ) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Retail sales | 13 $\dagger$ | 1 | 12 |
| Apparel stores | $15 \dagger$ | 10 | 14 |
| Automotive stores | ${ }^{44} \dagger$ | 14 | 19 |
| Eating and drinking places. | $-1 \dagger$ | 1 | 11 |
| Furniture and householdappliance stores | ${ }^{15} \dagger$ | -31 | - 12 |
| Postal receipts* | \$ 894,952 | 6 | 3 |
| Building permits less federal contracts | \$20,436,100 | 90 | 169 |
| Bank debits (thousands). | \$ 695,824 |  | 3 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | \$ 278,801 | 6 |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 30.8 | 2 | 2 |

## BEAUMONT-PORT ARTHUR-ORANGE SMSA (Jefferson and Orange; pop. $320,500^{*}$ )

| Retail sales ...................... | ... |  | 2 | 7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Apparel stores |  |  | \$0 | 6 |
| Automotive stores |  | - | 6 | 15 |
| Furniture and householdappliance stores |  |  | 6 | 6 |
| Lumber, building-material, and hardware dealers |  |  | 14 | - 15 |
| Building permits less federal contracts | \$ 2,591,491 | - | 5 | -19 |
| Bank debits (thousands) \\|. | \$ 6,311,664 | - | 2 | 5 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | \$ 229,588 | - | 2 | 2 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 27.3 |  | 1 | 5 |
| Nonfarm employment (area) | 118,800 |  | 00 | 2 |
| Manufacturing employment (area) | 37,200 |  | 1 | 6 |
| Percent unemployed (area) | 3.8 |  | 23 | ** |

## BEAUMONT (pop. 127,500 ${ }^{\text { }}$ )

| Retail sales |  | $13 \dagger$ |  | 1 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Apparel stores |  | $15 \dagger$ | - | 2 | 3 |
| Automotive stores |  | 44 $\dagger$ | - | 8 | 11 |
| Lumber, building-material, and hardware dealers. |  | ** $\dagger$ | - | 6 |  |
| Postal receipts* | \$ | 216,231 |  | 14 | 1 |
| Building permits less federal contracts | \$ | 1,027,689 |  | 54 | -41 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | S | 341,852 |  | 5 | ¢ ${ }_{\text {¢ }}$ |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | \$ | 132,936 |  | 4 | * ${ }_{\text {\% }}$ |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. |  | 31.5 |  | 4 | 4 |


| Groves (pop. 17,304) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Postal receipts* | 14,177 | 9 | 2 |
| Building permits less federal contracts \$ | 944,200 |  | 645 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | 14,488 | 8 | 17 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | 6,285 | 5 | 8 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 27.0 | 11 | 8 |
| Nederland (pop. 15,274 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ ) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* | 17,297 | 33 | - 15 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | 9,500 | ** | 12 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | 6,385 | 4 | 10 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover.... | 18.2 | $-2$ | 5 |

For an explanation of symbols see p. 358.

| Local Business Conditions |  | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| City and item | Oct <br> Oct 1969 <br> from | Oct 1969 <br> from <br> frt <br> City <br> Sep 1969 | Oct 1968 |

ORANGE (pop. 25,605)

| Postal receipts ${ }^{*} . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . .$. | $\$$ | 40,034 |  | 9 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Building permits less federal contracts $\$ 8$ | 215,412 | - | 1 |  |
| Bank debits (thousands)........... $\$ 8$ | 49,681 | 5 | 29 |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . \$$ | 28,313 | 1 | 3 |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover.... | 21.1 | 5 | 16 |  |
| Nonfarm placements ............. | 186 | 8 | 17 |  |

## PORT ARTHUR (pop. 69,271 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ )

| Postal receipts? |  | 75,047 | 11 | - 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits less federal contracts | \$ | 246,375 | 115 | 66 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 97,024 | 8 | 19 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | \$ | 49,247 | 6 | 6 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover |  | 22.9 | 12 | 11 |


| Port Neches (pop. 12,292 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ ) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . \$ | 16,085 | 25 | 22 |
| Building permits less federal contracts \$ | 145,640 | 101 | 40 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............. \$ | 18,021 | 9 | 1 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) \& \$ | 6,366 | - 3 | 10 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover.... | 33.5 | 12 | - 8 |


| BROWNSVILLE-HARLINGE <br> (Cameron; pop | EN-SAN <br> p. 134,900 | NITO | SMSA |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Retail sales |  | 3 |  | 7 |
| Automotive stores |  | 17 |  | 14 |
| Lumber, building-material, and hardware dealers. |  | -19 |  |  |
| Building permits less federal contracts | \$ 1,233,267 | -61 |  |  |
| Bank debits (thousands) \||. | \$ 1,709,760 | 2 |  | 3 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | \$ 72,392 | 3 | - | 1 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 24.0 | - 3 |  | 中寝 |
| Nonfarm employment (area) | 38,200 | $-1$ |  | 3 |
| Manufacturing employment (area) | 6,000 | 5 | - | 1 |
| Percent unemployed (area) | 5.8 | $-8$ | - | 3 |

## BROWNSVILLE (pop. 48,040)

| Postal receipts* | \$ | 57.353 | 7 | - 6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits less federal contracts | \$ | 302,300 | 90 | -11 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 57,819 | 10 | ¢ 0 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | \$ | 27,659 | 2 | 2 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover.... |  | 25.8 | 8 | 1 |
| Nonfarm placements |  | 1,028 | 21 | $-20$ |

## HARLINGEN (pop. 41,207)

| Retail sales | $13 \dagger$ | 5 | 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lumber, building-material, and hardware dealers. | ** $\dagger$ | - 28 | $-20$ |
| Postal receipts* | 56,717 | 15 | 3 |
| Building permits less federal contracts | 867,460 | - 60 | $-57$ |
| Bank debits (thousands) | 66,286 | $-10$ | 2 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | 30,615 | \% ${ }^{\text {m }}$ | 4 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover.... | 26.0 | - 5 | 1 |
| Nonfarm placements | 420 | 14 | - 22 |
| La Feria (pop. 3,740 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ ) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* | 3,462 | $-7$ | 2 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | 2,671 | -19 | 1 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | 2,206 | 1 | 11 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 14.6 | - 11 | - |


| Los Fresnos (pop. 1,289) |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Postal receipts $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \$$ | 1,617 | -31 | -18 |
| Bank debits (thousands).......... \$ | 2,186 | -44 | -26 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . \$$ | 1,941 | 10 | 15 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover.... | 14.2 | -37 | -24 |


| Local Business Conditions | Percent change |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| City and item | Oct <br> Oct 1969 | Oct 1969 <br> from <br> from <br> from <br> Sep 1969 |  |

## Port Isabel (pop. 3,575)

| Postal receipts* | \$ | 4,631 | 22 | 10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits less federal contracts | \$ | 33,600 |  | 67 |
| Bank debits (thousands) |  | 2,371 | $-13$ | 42 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | \$ | 1,637 | 3 | 52 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. |  | 17.1 | 10 | 25 |
| SAN BENITO (pop. 16,420 ${ }^{\text { }}$ ) |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* |  | 13,199 | 48 | * |
| Building permits less federal contracts | \$ | 29,907 | - 96 | 34 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | 8 | 8,080 | - 12 | 1 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | \$ | 6,775 |  |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover |  | 14.2 |  | 10 |

## CORPUS CHRISTI SMSA

(Nueces and San Patricio; pop. 279,700 *)

| Retail sales |  | 3 | ** |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Apparel stores |  | 8 | 6 |
| Automotive stores |  | 3 | ** |
| Drugstores |  | 2 | - 14 |
| Furniture and householdappliance stores |  | 7 | 2 |
| Gasoline and service stations. |  | 2 | 8 |
| Lumber, building-material, and hardware dealers. |  | 5 |  |
| Building permits less federal contracts | 3,345,575 | 20 |  |
| Bank debits (thousands) \|| | 5,035,896 | 2 | 11 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | 206,411 | 1 | 4 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover.... | 24.5 | 2 | 7 |
| Nonfarm employment (area) | 90,800 | 1 | 4 |
| Manufacturing employment (area) | 11,320 | 1 | 4 |
| Percent unemployed (area) | 3.5 | $-10$ | 6 |

## Bishop (pop. 4,180 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ )

Postal receipts* ${ }^{*}$................

| Building permits less federal contracts | $\$$ | 113,000 | $\ldots$ | - |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Bank debits (thousands).......... $\$ 8$ | 3,867 | 15 | 46 |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . \$$ | 3,069 | -10 | 3 |  |

End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. \$ 3,069 $20-30$

CORPUS CHRISTI (pop. 204,850 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ )

| Retail sales |  | $13 \dagger$ |  | 3 | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Apparel stores |  | $15 *$ |  | 8 | 6 |
| Automotive stores |  | $44 \dagger$ | - | 4 | 2 |
| Postal receipts ${ }^{\circ}$ | \$ | 324,634 |  | 12 | 9 |
| Building permits less federal contracts |  | 3,006,824 |  | 38 | 69 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 363,379 |  | 1 | 12 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | \$ | 162,087 | - | 1 | 6 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. |  | 26.8 |  | \% | 4 |

## Port Aransas (pop. 824)

| Bank debits (thousands)............ 8 | 890 | -22 | -27 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands). .8 | 882 | -3 | -16 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover.... | 11.9 | -9 | -17 |

## Robstown (pop. 10,266)

Postal receipts* ....................... \& 13,588 33
Building permits less federal contracts \$
Bank debits (thousands).
End-of-month deposits (thousands) \$. \$
Annual rate of deposit turnover.
16.1

| 33 | 6 |
| ---: | ---: |
| -88 | -91 |
| -12 | -4 |
| -8 | -4 |
| -5 | $0 \%$ |

Sinton (pop. 6,500 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ )

| Postal receipts* | \$ | 8,381 | 16 | 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits less federal contracts | \$ | 80,930 | 544 | 96 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 7,521 | - 14 | 1 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | \$ | 5,906 | - 11 | * |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover.... |  | 14.4 | - 6 | 21 |

For an explanation of symbols see p. 358.

| Local Business Conditions | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| City and item | Oct <br> Oct 1969 <br> from <br> Oct <br> from <br> fet 1969 <br> Oct 1968 |  |

DALLAS SMSA
(Collin, Dallas, Denton, Ellis, Kaufman
and Rockwall; pop.
1,446,100 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ )

## Carrollton (pop. 9,832 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ )

| Postal receipts |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Building permits less federal contracts | $\$$ | $1,193,830$ | 6 | -3 |
| Bank debits (thousands).............................. | 11,864 | 6 | 52 |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\$$. | $\$$ | 6,718 | - | 3 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover.... | 20.9 | 4 | -14 |  |

DALLAS (pop. $810,000{ }^{r}$ )

| Retail sales | $15 \dagger \dagger$ | 12 | 6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Apparel stores | $8 \dagger \dagger$ | 6 | 1 |
| Automotive stores | $32 \dagger \dagger$ | 19 | 7 |
| Furniture and householdappliance stores | $3 \dagger \dagger$ | $-23$ | 2 |
| Lumber, building-material, and hardware dealers. | $6 \dagger \dagger$ | 10 | 23 |
| Building permits less federal contracts | 812,929,688 |  | 42 |
| Bank debits (thousands) .......... | \$ 9,731,212 | 4 | 27 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | \$ 1,791,953 | 3 | 3 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover.... | 64.1 | 8 | 23 |

Denton (pop. 26,844)
Postal receipts ${ }^{*}$

| 85,048 | 18 | 7 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 462,825 | -52 | -35 |
| 55,698 | 9 | 18 |
| 30,795 | -5 | -3 |
| 21.1 | 8 | 21 |
| 157 | -25 | -10 |

Ennis (pop. $10,250^{\text {r }}$ )
Postal receipts ${ }^{*}$....................... \& 19,511 - 6 12
Building permits less federal contracts \& $60,905 \quad 52 \quad 28$
Bank debits (thousands)............ \&
End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$.
Annual rate of deposit turnover.

## Farmers Branch (pop. 13,441)

Bank debits (thousands) ............ \& 20,83
End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . \$ 7,972$
Annual rate of deposit turnover.
30.3
6
$-\quad 83$
2 $\quad 36$

| Local Business Conditions |  | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| City and item | Oct <br> Oct 1969 <br> from <br> frop | Oct 1969 <br> from <br> Oct |  |

## Garland (pop. 66,574 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ )

Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . \$ ${ }^{*}$ 118,723 Building permits less federal contracts $\$ 4,992,346$ Bank debits (thousands) . . . . . . . . . . \$ 883,051 End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. \$ 29,547 Annual rate of deposit turnover.


## Grand Prairie (pop. 40,150 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ )

| Postal receipts ${ }^{\text {* }}$ | 73,207 | * 0 | 28 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits less federal contracts | \$ 2,604,045 | 78 | 2 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ 31,873 | 6 | 21 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | $8 \quad 17,437$ | ** |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 22.0 | 6 |  |

## Irving (pop. 86,360 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ )

| Postal receipts* | \$ 120,758 | 10 | 23 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits less federal contracts | \$ 1,480,203 | 5 | 31 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | 77,603 | 15 | 18 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) \% | 32,608 | 5 | 7 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 27.9 | 16 | 8 |

## Justin (pop. 622)

| Postal receipts* | 1,083 | - | 9 | -24 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits less federal contracts | 0 |  |  |  |
| Bank debits (thousands) | 1,279 |  | 4 | 15 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | 1,092 | - | 2 |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 13.9 |  | 6 | 15 |

Lancaster (pop. 10,117 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ )

| Building permits less federal contracts $\$$ | 80,025 | -56 | -42 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............ $\$ 8$ | 9,778 | 9 | 23 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\& .8$ | 5,854 | 21 |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover.... | 20.0 | 5 | 2 |

McKinney (pop. 16,237 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ )

| Postal receipts* | \$ | 27,001 | 25 | 18 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 16,017 | 27 | 24 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | \$ | 15,484 | 1 | 2 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. |  | 12.4 | 25 | 19 |
| Nonfarm placements |  | 96 | $-13$ | - 24 |

## Mesquite (pop. 51,496 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ )



Pilot Point (pop. 1,603 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ )

| Bank debits (thousands) ............. | 8 | 2,642 | 3 | 14 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger .8$ | 2,393 | -8 | 80 |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 13.1 |  | 12 |  |

## Seagoville (pop. 4,410 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ )

| Postal receipts ${ }^{\text {* }}$ | 9,383 | $-4$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits less federal contracts | 57,263 |  |  |
| Bank debits (thousands) | 7,323 | - 9 | 16 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | 2,988 | -10 |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 27.9 | - 1 | 27 |

For an explanation of symbols see p. 358.

| Local Business Conditions | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Oct } \\ 1969 \end{array}$ | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Oct } 1969 \\ \text { from } \\ \text { Sep } 1969 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Oct } 1969 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Oct } 1968 \end{aligned}$ |
| Terrell (pop. 13,803) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* | 14,345 | 18 | - 1 |
| Building permits less federal contracts | \$ 3,049,850 |  |  |
| Bank debits (thousands)........... | \$ 17,248 | 12 | 17 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | \% 12,517 |  | 3 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 16.5 | 10 | 15 |
| Waxahachie (pop. 15,720 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ ) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* | \$ 17,098 |  | - 11 |
| Building permits less federal contracts \$ | \$ 59,100 | -66 | - 38 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ........... s | \$ 20,406 | 19 | 17 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) \$. s | \$ 13,381 | $-2$ | 2 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover.... | 18.1 | 18 | 10 |
| Nonfarm placements | 75 | $-20$ | - 28 |
| EL PASO SMSA <br> (El Paso; pop. $343,800^{\text { }}$ ) |  |  |  |
| Retail sales |  | 15 | 14 |
| Apparel stores |  | 15 | 2 |
| Automotive stores |  | 30 | 68 |
| Food stores |  | 8 | 9 |
| Building permits less federal contracts \$ | \$ 6,606,071 | 71 | - 35 |
| Bank debits (thousands) \\||......... | \$ 6,972,192 | ** | 16 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | \$ 224,885 | - | 7 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover.... | 30.4 | 3 | 7 |
| Nonfarm employment (area)...... | 116,300 | - 1 | 4 |
| Manufacturing employment (area) | 23,150 |  | 10 |
| Percent unemployed (area) | 4.0 | 11 | 18 |
| EL PASO (pop. 315,000 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ ) |  |  |  |
| Retail sales | $13 \dagger$ | 15 | 14 |
| Apparel stores | $15 \dagger$ | 15 | 2 |
| Automotive stores | $44 \dagger$ | 30 | 68 |
| Food stores | ** $\dagger$ | 8 | 9 |
| Postal receipts* | \$ 500,121 | 4 | 8 |
| Building permits less federal contracts | \$ 6,575,071 | 70 |  |
| Bank debits (thousands)........... | \$ 574,374 | 7 | 16 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. \$ | \$ 219,488 | $-1$ |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 31.2 | 7 | 7 |

FORT WORTH SMSA (Johnson and Tarrant; pop. 629,400 *)

| Retail sales |  | 9 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Apparel stores |  | 3 | 13 |
| Automotive stores |  | 13 | 13 |
| Eating and drinking places |  | 1 | 5 |
| Lumber, building-material, and hardware dealers. |  | 2 | 20 |
| Building permits less federal contracts | \$ 9,919,108 | 1 | $-40$ |
| Bank debits (thousands) \|| | \$20,675,412 | - 8 | 9 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\downarrow$. | \$ 604,509 | - 5 | 4 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover.... | 33.3 | $-7$ | 3 |
| Nonfarm employment (area). | 287,000 | ** | 2 |
| Manufacturing employment (area) | 92,600 | \%ro | 1 |
| Percent unemployed (area) | 1.8 | $-14$ | - |

## Arlington (pop. 79,713 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ )

| Retail sales | 18才 |  | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Apparel stores | $15 \dagger$ |  | 16 |
| Postal receipts* | \$ 193,414 |  | 21 |
| Building permits less federal contracts | \$ 3,626,740 |  | 45 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ 110,837 |  | 24 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | \$ 45,540 | - | 14 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 28.5 |  | 4 |

## Cleburne (pop. 15,381)

Postal receipts ${ }^{\hbar}$.................... \& 28,952 20 4

| Building permits less federal contracts $\$$ | 175,225 | 102 | -49 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Bank debits |  |  |  |

Bank debits (thousands) ........... \$ 22,801 $13 \quad 20$

| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . \$$ | 16,652 | - | 5 | 6 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover.... | 16.0 | 15 | 13 |  |


| Local Business Conditions |  | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| City and item | Oct <br> Oct 1969 <br> from | Oct 1969 <br> from <br> from <br> fep <br> Oct 1969 |  |


| Euless (pop. 10,500 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ ) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Postal receipts* | \$ | 17,093 | 28 | 18 |
| Building permits less federal contracts | \$ | 352,147 | - 75 | 184 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 13,550 | - 10 |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | 8 | 4,768 | - 17 | - 18 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover.... |  | 31.0 | - 4 | 5 |

## FORT WORTH (pop. 356,268)

| Retail sales |  | $3 \dagger \dagger$ |  | 5 | 6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Apparel stores |  | $4 \dagger \dagger$ |  | 9 | 18 |
| Automotive stores |  | $29+\dagger$ |  | 11 | 19 |
| Eating and drinking places. |  | $2 \dagger \dagger$ |  | 6 | 3 |
| Lumber, building-material, and hardware dealers. |  | $10+7$ |  | 2 | $-13$ |
| Postal receipts* |  | 1,400,735 |  | 19 | 3 |
| Building permits less federal contracts |  | 4,130,275 | - | 3 | - 57 |
| Bank debits (thousands) |  | 1,591,215 |  | 2 | 7 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | 8 | 510,072 | - | 3 | 2 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. |  | 36.8 |  | 3 | 3 |
| Grapevine (pop. 4,659 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* |  | 10,738 |  | 4 | 17 |
| Building permits less federal contracts |  | 90,077 |  | 82 |  |
| Bank debits (thousands) |  | 6,769 |  | 4 | 13 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. |  | 4,647 | - | 8 | 2 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. |  | 16.7 |  | 5 | 9 |


| North Richland Hills (pop. 8,662 ) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits less federal contracts \$ | 245,150 | 27 | 76 |
| Bank debits (thousands)........... \$ | 16,022 | 6 | 21 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) \$. \& | 7,758 | 2 | 21 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 24.5 | 4 | 1 |
| White Settlement (pop. 11,513) |  |  |  |
| Building permits less federal contracts \$ | 128,341 | 11 | - 23 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ........... | 11,902 | 7 | 69 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . \$$ | 3,687 | - | 31 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 38.0 | 10 | 22 |

## GALVESTON-TEXAS CITY SMSA (Galveston; pop. 168,600 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ )

Retail sales

| Retail sales |
| :---: |
| Apparel stores |
| Automotive stores |
| Drugstores |
| Food stores |
| Lumber, building-material, and hardware dealers. |
| Building permits less federal |
| Bank debits (thousands) |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover |
| Nonfarm employment (area) |
| Manufacturing employment |
| Percent unemploved (area) |
| Dickinson (pop. 4,715) |


| Bank debits (thousands)............ $\$$ | 14,323 | 10 | 24 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger .8$ | 6,154 | - | 4 | 4 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover.... | 27.4 | 11 | 10 |  |

GALVESTON (pop. 67,175)

| Retail sales | $13 \dagger$ | 2 | - 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Apparel stores | $15 \dagger$ | 3 | 5 |
| Postal receipts ${ }^{*}$ | 131,642 | - 3 | 6 |
| Building permits less federal contracts | 959,330 | - 78 |  |
| Bank debits (thousands) | 145,147 | 12 | 16 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | 64,275 | - | 10 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 26.6 | 12 | 23 |

For an explanation of symbols see p. 358.


| La Marque (pop. 13,969) |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Postal reeeipts ${ }^{\alpha}$................ \& | 15,442 | 3 | -6 |
| Building permits less federal contracts $\$ 8$ | 53,479 | 93 | 2 |
| Bank debits (thousands)........ $\$ 8$ | 18,354 | $\ldots$ | 17 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . \$$ | 7,829 | $\cdots$ | -20 |

## TEXAS CITY (pop. 38,276 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ )

| Postal receipts* | \% | 36,528 |  | 1 | 14 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits less federal contracts | \$ | 356,542 |  | 24 | $-20$ |
| Bank debits (thousands) | 8 | 37,856 |  | 3 | 8 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | \$ | 14,571 | - | 3 | 6 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. |  | 30.7 |  | 4 | 18 |

## HOUSTON SMSA

(Brazoria, Fort Bend, Harris, Liberty, and Montgomery; pop. $1,836,700^{\text {a }}$ )

| Retail sales | 8 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Apparel stores | 5 | 9 |
| Automotive stores | 19 | 2 |
| Eating and drinking places. | 0\% | 11 |
| Food stores | 2 | 5 |
| Furniture and householdappliance stores | 1 | 3 |
| General-merchandise stores ..... | 23 | 9 |
| Lumber, building-material, and hardware dealers. | 11 | 5 |
| Building permits less federal contracts \$47,838,267 | 4 | 11 |
| Bank debits (thousands) \\||......... \$97,965,192 | 2 | 20 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. \$ 2,405,718 | 2 | 3 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover.... 40.3 | \% | 15 |
| Nonfarm employment (area) ...... 830,900 | (ta | 5 |
| Manufacturing employment (area) 142.800 | ** | 2 |
| Percent unemployed (area) ........ 1.7 |  | * ${ }^{\text {\% }}$ |

## Angleton (pop. 9,131)

| Postal receipts* $\ldots . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ~$ | $\$$ | 19,113 | 54 | 62 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Building permits less federal contracts $\$$ | 52,745 | -63 | -75 |  |
| Bank debits (thousands)........... $\$ 8$ | 18,654 | 5 | 9 |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\$ . \$$ | 12,547 | 4 | 2 |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover.... | 18.2 | 4 | 13 |  |

## Baytown (pop. 45,263 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ )

| Postal receipts ${ }^{*}$ | \$ | 50,480 | 10 | 6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits less federal contracts | \$ | 582,285 | 178 | 71 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | 8 | 60,824 | 4 | - 4 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | \$ | 31,720 | 4 | 4 |
|  |  | 22.6 | 4 |  |

## Bellaire (pop. 19,872 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ )

| Postal receipts ${ }^{\text {\% }}$ | \$ | 476,900 | 10 | 75 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits less federal contracts | \$ | 273,442 | 78 | 183 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | 8 | 49,223 | 6 | 19 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | S | 24,848 | * | 10 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover |  | 23.8 | 7 | 7 |

## Clute (pop. 4,463 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ )

Postal receipts* ${ }^{*}$

| 6,653 | 28 | 33 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 3,796 | 4 | -4 |
| 2,371 | 2 | 5 |
| 19.4 | 2 | -11 |

## Conroe (pop. 9,192)

Postal receipts*
Postal receipts formats
Bank debits (thousands)
End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. $\$$
Annual rate of deposit turnover

| 8 | -24 |
| ---: | ---: |
| -36 | -92 |
| 10 | 42 |
| 00 | 15 |
| 12 | 24 |


| Local Business Conditions | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| City and item | Oct <br> Oct 1969 <br> from <br> Oct 1969 <br> from <br> fer 1969 | ct 1968 |

Dayton (pop. 3,367)
Building permits less federal contracts $\$$ Bank debits (thousands)............ \$ End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. Annual rate of deposit turnover

| 4,000 | -94 | $\cdots$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 6,196 | 3 | 1 |
| 4,485 | -1 | -1 |
| 16.5 | -1 | -1 |

## Deer Park (pop. 4,865)

| Postal receipts ${ }^{\text {* }}$ | \$ | 16,688 | 31 | 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits less federal contracts | \$ | 402,105 | 101 | 86 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | S | 10,790 | 9 | 29 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | \$ | 4,362 | ** | 19 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. |  | 29.6 | 10 | 11 |

## Freeport (pop. 11,619)

| Postal receipts* |  | 30,979 |  | 38 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 28,041 | - | 6 | $-1$ |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | 8 | 14,378 |  | 6 | - 7 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover |  | 24.0 | - | 1 | 13 |

HOUSTON (pop. 938,219)

| Retail sales | $9 \dagger \dagger$ | 9 | 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Apparel stores | $7 \dagger \dagger$ | 4 | 9 |
| Automotive stores | $24 \dagger \dagger$ | 16 | 1 |
| Eating and drinking places. | $3 \dagger \dagger$ | ** | 12 |
| Food stores | $5 \dagger \dagger$ | 2 | 2 |
| Lumber, building-material, and hardware dealers. | $10 \dagger \dagger$ | 11 | 5 |
| Postal receipts* | \$ 4,006,063 | 6 | 9 |
| Building permits less federal contracts | \$43,000,407 | 6 | 7 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | $87,931,041$ | 9 | 20 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | \$ 2,062,504 | - 4 | 2 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover.... | 45.1 | 11 | 15 |

## Humble (pop. 1,711)

| Postal receipts* | \$ | 7,451 | 34 | 15 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits less federal contracts | \$ | 350 |  |  |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 8,900 | 8 | 49 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | 8 | 5,975 | 1 | 21 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. |  | 18.0 | 5 | 19 |

Katy (pop. 1,569)
$\begin{array}{lrrr}\text { Building permits less federal contracts } \$ & 57,600 & 25 \\ \text { Bank debits (thousands) } & \text {........... \$ } & 4,768 & -13\end{array}$

| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . \$$ | 3,369 | 2 | -10 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover.... | 17.2 | -10 | 14 |

La Porte (pop. 7,500 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ )

| Building permits less federal contracts $\$$ | 564,146 | 644 | 293 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Bank debits (thousands)............ $\$ 8$ | 5,110 | 5 | 13 |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . \$$ | 4,161 | - | 4 | 15 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover.... | 14.4 | 5 | -8 |  |

## Liberty (pop. 6,127)



## Pasadena (pop. $83,000^{\text {r }}$ )

| Postal receipts ${ }^{\text { }}$ | 8 | 88,255 | 2 | 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits less federal contracts | \$ | 576,831 | - 72 | 89 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 109,253 | 9 | 14 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | \$ | 46,879 | 4 | 8 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. |  | 27.4 | 9 | 1 |

For an explanation of symbols see p. 358.

| Local Business Conditions |  | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| City and item | Oct <br> Oct 1969 <br> from | Oct 1969 <br> from <br> fro <br> Sep 1969 | Oct 1968 |


| $\quad$ Pearland (pop. 1,430) |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Building permits less federal contracts $\$$ | 170,500 | 10 |  |
| Bank debits (thousands)......... $\$ ~$ | 7,060 | -5 | 17 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger \$ \$$ | 3,642 | -9 | - |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover.... | 22.2 | 6 | 26 |

17
9
26

Richmond (pop. 4,500 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ )

| Postal receipts* | \$ | 6,429 | 9 | $-27$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits less federal contracts | \$ | 162,373 | $-17$ | 5 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 10,755 | 19 | 8 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | \$ | 11,004 | 13 | 3 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. |  | 12.4 | 8 | 3 |
| Rosenberg (pop. 13,000 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ ) |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* |  | 15,404 | 29 | 14 |
| Building permits less federal contracts |  | 86,296 | 26 | 59 |
| End-of-month deposits (tho |  | 11,617 |  |  |

## South Houston (pop. 7,253)

| Postal receipts* $\ldots . . . . . . . . . . . . . . .$. | 13,852 | 57 | 15 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Bank debits (thousands) .......... | 12,182 | 13 | 14 |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | $\$$ | 7,254 | -7 | -2 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover.... | 19.4 | 14 | 13 |  |

Tomball (pop. 2,025 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ )

| Postal receipts* | \$ | 44,787 | - | 5 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits less federal contracts | \$ | 1,500 |  |  |  |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 13,435 |  | 7 | 71 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | \$ | 7,387 | - | 2 | $-36$ |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover.... |  | 21.6 |  | 7 | 163 |

LAREDO SMSA
(Webb; pop. $79,300{ }^{\text {a }}$ )

| Ketail sales |  | 3 | 10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| General-merchandise stores |  | 4 | 14 |
| Building permits less federal contracts | \$ 1,563,600 | 2,801 | 411 |
| Bank debits (thousands) \\|. | 890,328 | \% | 14 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | \$ 38,614 | 2 | 2 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 22.9 | 1 | 9 |
| Nonfarm employment (area) | 24,750 | * | 2 |
| Manufacturing employment (area) | 1,440 | 2 | 7 |
| Percent unemployed (area) | 7.2 | 16 | 6 |

LAREDO (pop. 71,512 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ )

| Postal receipts* | \$ | 70,628 |  | 12 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits less federal contracts | \$ | 1,563,600 |  |  | 411 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 74,710 |  | 9 | 14 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | \$ | 37,687 | - | 2 | 2 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. |  | 23.5 |  | 9 | 9 |
| Nonfarm placements |  | 407 | - | 7 | $-31$ |

## LUBBOCK SMSA

(Lubbock; pop. 198,600 *)

| Retail sales |  | 5 | 20 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Apparel stores |  | 9 | 3 |
| Automotive stores |  | 8 | 52 |
| Building permits less federal contracts | \$ 2,020,667 | 6 | 53 |
| Bank debits (thousands) \|| | \$ 4,542,780 | $-11$ | 14 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | \& 161,749 | 2 | 20 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover.... | 27.7 | $-12$ | 14 |
| Nonfarm employment (area) | 64,700 | - 1 | 安 |
| Manufacturing employment (area) | 7,000 | -1 | 1 |
| Percent unemployed (area) | 2.7 | $-16$ | 4 |


| Local Business Conditions | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Oct } \\ 1969 \end{array}$ | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Oct } 1969 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Sep } 1969 \end{aligned}$ | Oct 1969 from Oct 1968 |
| LUBBOCK (pop. 170,025 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ ) |  |  |  |
| Retail sales | 13ヶ $\dagger$ | 5 | 20 |
| Apparel stores | $15 \dagger$ | 9 | 3 |
| Automotive stores | $44 \dagger$ | 8 | 52 |
| Postal receipts* | 315,695 | 5 | - 4 |
| Building permits less federal contracts | \$ 1.988,917 | 6 | 54 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ........... | 346,115 | ${ }^{6}$ | 15 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | \% 146,475 | - | ** |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 28.2 | 4 | 15 |
| Slaton (pop. 6,568) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 5,726 | 13 | 4 |
| Building permits less federal contracts | 81,750 |  |  |
| Bank debits (thousands) ........... | 5,667 | - 8 | 1 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | \$ 4,379 | - 12 | ** |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 14.5 | - 11 | - 4 |

## McALLEN-PHARR-EDINBURG SMSA (Hidalgo; pop. 177,100 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ )

| Retail sales |  | 7 | 7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Apparel stores | $\ldots$ | 2 | 2 |
| Automotive stores |  | 9 | 6 |
| Food stores |  | 3 | 3 |
| General-merchandise stores |  | 44 | 48 |
| Lumber, building-material, and hardware dealers. |  | 1 |  |
| Building permits less federal contracts | \$ 593,061 | -18 | 74 |
| Bank debits (thousands) \||. | \$ 1,616.184 | 1 | 7 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | \$ 91,446 | 20 | 5 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover.... | 17.6 | 1 | $-12$ |
| Nonfarm employment (area) | 41,950 | 3 | - 5 |
| Manufacturing employment (area) | 4,360 | 5 | - 9 |
| Percent unemployed (area) | 5.9 | $\stackrel{0}{40}$ | 5 |


| Alamo (pop. 4,121) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Postal receipts ${ }^{\text {s }}$. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . \$ | 50,991 | 68 | 68 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ........... \$ | 3,189 | $-15$ | 12 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . \$$ | 1,804 | - 5 | 7 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover.... | 20.7 | - 5 | 5 |
| Donna (pop. 7,612 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ ) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts ${ }^{p}$. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . \& | 5,709 | * ${ }^{*}$ | - 29 |
| Building permits less federal contracts \$ | 30,189 | 209 | 7 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ........... \$ | 5,427 | 31 | 45 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger .8$ | 3,532 | 28 | -31 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover.... | 20.7 | 18 | 109 |
| EDINBURG (pop. 18,706) |  |  |  |
|  | 23,830 | 2 | 17 |
| Building permits less federal contracts \$ | 181,330 | 43 | -89 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ........... \$ | 23,350 | - 6 | $-25$ |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) \$. \& | 15,019 | 4 | 11 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover.... | 19.0 | $-11$ | $-30$ |
| Nonfarm placements | 337 | 69 | - 29 |
| Elsa (pop. 3,847) |  |  |  |
| Building permits less federal contracts \$ | 4,015 | 89 | - 59 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............ \$ | 4,411 | $-16$ | 11 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) \$. \& | 2.058 | $-23$ | 4 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover.... | 22.4 | 1 | ** |
| McALLEN (pop. $35,411{ }^{\text {r }}$ ) |  |  |  |
| Retail sales | $13 \dagger$ | 14 | 10 |
| Postal receipts* | 50,796. | 4 | 2 |
| Building permits less federal contracts | 175,500 | $-35$ | $-57$ |
| Bank debits (thousands) | 47,018 | 17 | - 12 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | 31,356 | - 3 | - 3 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover.... | 17.7 | 22 | $-10$ |
| Nonfarm placements . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 218 | -43 | - 79 |


| Local Business Conditions |  | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| City and item | Oct <br> Oct 1969 <br> from <br> 1969 | Oct 1969 <br> from <br> Sep 1969 |  |
| Oct 1968 |  |  |  |


| Mercedes (pop. 11,843 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ ) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Postal receipts ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | \$ | 7,809 | 38 | 6 |
| Building permits less federal contracts | 8 | 126,350 | 204 | 88 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | 8 | 8.825 | - 19 | ${ }^{24}$ |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | \$ | 5,044 | - 4 |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover |  | 20.5 | - 15 | 34 |

## Mission (pop. 14,081)

| Postal receipts* | \$ | 15,264 |  | 46 | 13 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits less federal contracts | \$ | 32,373 |  | 21 | 14 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 15,649 | - | 4 | 2 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | \$ | 11,374 | - | 2 | 3 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover.... |  | 16.3 |  | 1 | 10 |

PHARR (pop. 15,279 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ )


| San Juan (pop. 4,371) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Postal receipts ${ }^{\text {t }}$. ${ }^{\text {a }}$. . . . . . . . . . . . . . \$ | 3,878 | 6 | $-10$ |
| Bank debits (thousands).......... \$ | 3,321 | $-16$ | 24 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . \$$ | 3,161 | 5 | 3 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover.... | 12.9 | $-16$ | 21 |
| Weslaco (pop. 15,649) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* .................. \$ | 16,901 | 10 | * |
| Building permits less federal contracts \$ | 43,139 | $-67$ | -36 |
| Bank debits (thousands)............ \& | 13,007 | -16 | 1 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . \$$ | 13,555 | $-1$ | 10 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover.... | 11.5 | - 14 | 7 |

## MIDLAND SMSA <br> (Midland; pop. 65,200 ${ }^{\text {" }}$ )

| Retail sales |  | 13 | 11 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Automotive stores |  | 19 | 17 |
| Building permits less federal contracts | \$ 207,668 | $-77$ |  |
| Bank debits (thousands) \|| | \$ 2,108,304 | 7 | 14 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\$$. | \$ 132,379 | 2 | 2 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover.... | 15.7 | 8 | 11 |
| Nonfarm employment (area) ${ }^{\text {b }}$. | 61,500 | 1 | 1 |
| Manufacturing employment (area) ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 4,940 | \% | 1 |
| Percent unemployed (area) ${ }^{\text {b }}$. | 2.8 | ** | 22 |


| MIDLAND (pop. 62,625) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Retail sales | ${ }^{13} \dagger$ | 13 | 11 |
| Automotive stores | $44 \dagger$ | 19 | 17 |
| Postal receipts* | 164,399 | 5 | ${ }^{\circ}$ |
| Building permits less federal contracts | 207,668 | - 77 | 66 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | 169,767 | 9 | 4 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | 132,114 | $-2$ | 2 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover.... | 15.3 | 10 | 11 |
| Nonfarm placements | 709 | - 9 | -17 |

ODESSA SMSA
(Ector; pop. $83,200^{\circ}$ )

| Retail sales |  | 14 | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Apparel stores |  | 11 | 14 |
| Automotive stores |  | 18 | 3 |
| Building permits less federal contracts \$ | - 421,280 | 37 | 35 |
| Bank debits (thousands) \\|| ......... \$ | \$ 1,761,516 | ** | 20 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) \$. \$ | \$ 67,768 | $-18$ | 9 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover.... | 24.1 | 6 | 8 |
| Nonfarm employment (area) ${ }^{\text {b }}$. | 61,500 | 1 | 1 |
| Manufacturing employment (area) ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 4,940 | * ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1 |
| Percent unemployed (area) ${ }^{\text {b }}$. $\ldots$. . . | 2.8 | ** | 22 |

For an explanation of symbols see p. 358 .

| Local Business Conditions |  | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gity and item | $\begin{gathered} \text { Oct } \\ 1969 \end{gathered}$ | Oct 1969 from <br> Sep 1969 | Oct 1969 from Oct 1968 |
| ODESSA (pop. 80,338) |  |  |  |
| Retail sales | $13 \dagger$ | 14 | 1 |
| Apparel stores | 15 $\dagger$ | 11 | 14 |
| Automotive stores | 44† | 18 | 3 |
| Postal receipts* ${ }^{\text {s }}$. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 120,469 | 8 | 2 |
| Building permits less federal contracts \$ | 421,280 | 37 | 35 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ........... | 138,675 | 1 | 14 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . \$$ | 80,975 | 2 | 17 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 20.3 | 1 | 3 |
| Nonfarm placements | 746 | $-36$ | 20 |
| SAN ANGEL (Tom Green; po | SMSA <br> . 75,200 |  |  |
| Retail sales |  | 5 | 3 |
| Apparel stores |  | $-10$ | 1 |
| Building permits less federal contracts \$ | 340,849 | - 38 | 27 |
| Bank debits (thousands) \\| . . . . . . . . | 1,234,416 | 2 | 19 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger .8$ | 68,224 | 2 | 6 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 17.9 | 1 | 12 |
| Nonfarm employment (area). | 23,850 | 1 | 1 |
| Manufacturing employment (area) | 3,610 | * ${ }^{(17}$ | 5 |
| Percent unemployed (area)......... | 2.9 | $-17$ | 11 |
| SAN ANGELO (pop. 58,815) |  |  |  |
| Retail sales | $18 \dagger$ | 5 | 3 |
| Apparel stores | 154 | $-10$ | 1 |
| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . \$ | 144,633 | - 8 | 3 |
| Building permits less federal contracts \$ | 340,849 | -38 | $-27$ |
| Bank debits (thousands) . . . . . . . . . \$ | 108,280 | 9 | 19 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger .8$ | 69,179 | 2 | 6 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover.... 18.6 |  |  |  |
| SAN ANTONIO SMSA |  |  |  |
| Retail sales |  |  |  |
| Apparel stores |  | 1 | 2 |
| Automative stores |  | 12 | 6 |
| Eating and drinking places. |  | - 2 | 3 |
| General-merchandise stores |  | 11 | 12 |
| Lumber, building-material, |  |  |  |
| Building permits less federal contracts \$ | 7,520,322 | - 32 | 7 |
| Bank debits (thousands) \\| . . . . . . . . \$1 | 5,868,212 | - 7 | 4 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger .8$ | 589,516 | 1 | 1 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 26.8 | 7 | 6 |
| Nonfarm employment (area) | 283,200 | ** | 1 |
| Manufacturing employment (area) | 31,000 | - 1 | - 3 |
| Percent unemployed (area) | 8.9 | \#t | 15 |

## SAN ANTONIO (pop. 726,660 ${ }^{\text {² }}$ )

| Retail sales | $7 \dagger \dagger$ | 4 | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Apparel stores | $3+1$ | - 1 | 2 |
| Automotive stores | $15 \dagger \dagger$ | 11 | 5 |
| Eating and drinking places. | $2 \dagger \dagger$ | 2 | - 3 |
| General-merchandise stores | $6 \dagger \dagger$ | 11 | 12 |
| Lumber, building-material, and hardware dealers. | $11 \dagger \dagger$ | 10 | - 24 |
| Postal receipts* | \$ 1,394,415 | 4 | 6 |
| Building permits less federal contracts | § 7,123,497 |  | 7 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ 1,348,467 | 1 | 4 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | 8562,664 | 00 | $-1$ |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 28.7 | 1 | 6 |


| Schertz (pop. 2,867 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ ) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Postal receipts ${ }^{\text {c }}$. ${ }^{\text {a }}$. . . . . . . . . . . . . \$ | 3,195 | 4 | 14 |
| Bank debits (thousands) . . . . . . . . . \$ | 763 | + ${ }^{\text {\% }}$ | 9 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . \$$ | 1,186 | - 4 | 8 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 7.6 | 1 | * 0 |

For an explanation of symbols see p. 358.

| Local Business Conditions |  | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| City and item | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Oct } \\ & 1969 \end{aligned}$ | Oct 1969 from Sep 1969 | Oct 1969 from Oct 1968 |
| Seguin (pop. 14,299) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts ${ }^{\text {s }}$. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . \$ | 24,639 | 19 | 63 |
| Building permits less federal contracts \$ | 123,449 | 17 | $-35$ |
| Bank debits (thousands)........... \$ | 20,936 | 5 | 6 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . \$$ | 18,506. | 2 | 9 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover.... | 13.7 | 8 |  |
| SHERMAN-DENISON SMSA * <br> (Grayson; pop. 80,500 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ ) |  |  |  |
| Retail sales |  | 17 | 15 |
| Apparel stores |  | 28 | 6 |
| Automotive stores |  | 14 | 28 |
| Building permits less federal contracts \$ | 611,058 | $-36$ | $-44$ |
| Bank debits (thousands) \\|.......... \& | 1,029,864 |  | 11 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger .8$ | 63,009 | 2 | 11 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 16.2 | 8 | 1 |
| DENISON (pop. 25,766 ${ }^{\text {² }}$ ) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* .................. \% | 39,665 | 9 | 3 |
| Building permits less federal contracts \$ | 237,989 | 24 | - 23 |
| Bank debits (thousands)........... \$ | 32,151 | 2 | 15 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) i. \$ | 21,249 | - | 14 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 18.0 | 1 | 1 |
| Nonfarm placements | 209 | - 5 | 4 |
| SHERMAN (pop. 30,660 ${ }^{\text {² }}$ ) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts ${ }^{\text {\% }}$. ${ }^{\text {a }}$................ \$ | 51,782 | 2 | 21 |
| Building permits less federal contracts \$ | 357,069 | - 52 |  |
| Bank debits (thousands)........... \$ | 56,174 | - 11 | 16 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . \$$ | 32,912 | 4 | 17 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover.... | 20.9 | - 14 | \% |
| Nonfarm placements | 172 | - | - 51 |

## TEXARKANA SMSA

(Bowie, Texas, and Miller, Ark; pop. 100,000 8)

| Retail sales |  | 10 | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits less federal contracts | \$ 280,160 | $-78$ | 8 |
| Bank debits (thousands) \|| | \$ 1,465,848 | - 12 | 5 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | 67,723 | * | 3 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 21.7 | 11 | - 4 |
| Nonfarm employment (area) | 43,250 | 2 | 2 |
| Manufacturing employment (area) | 14,030 | - 5 | 10 |
| Percent unemployed (area) | 3.4 | 3 | 55 |

## TEXARKANA (pop. $50,006{ }^{\text {r }}$ )

| Retail sales | $13 \dagger$ | 9 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Postal receipts* | 125,444 | 39 |  |
| Building permits less federal contracts | 266,660 |  |  |
| Bank debits (thousands) | 122,090 | 2 |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | 56,339 | ** | - |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 26.0 | 2 | - |

## TYLER SMSA

(Smith; pop. 99,100 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ )
Retail sales
Apparel stores
Building permits less federal contracts 1,088,
Bank debits (thousands) \|........... \$ 2,287,152
End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. $\$ 89,571$
Annual rate of deposit turnover.... 24.5
$\begin{array}{cl}\text { Nonfarm employment (area)....... } & 38,100 \\ \text { Manufacturing employment (area) } & 11,250\end{array}$
Percent unemployed (area) $\ldots \ldots$. . 2.1 - 16 - 18
TYLER (pop. 51,230)

| Retail sales | $13 \dagger$ | 21 | 17 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Apparel stores | $15 \dagger$ | 1 | 12 |
| Postal receipts* | 164,528 | 10 | 22 |
| Building permits less federal contracts | 656,987 | 9 | 50 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | 179,976 | 6 | 18 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | 81,761 | 7 | 3 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover.... | 25.5 | 7 | 15 |
| Nonfarm placements | 467 | $-13$ |  |


| Local Business Conditions | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| City and item | Oct <br> Oct 1969 <br> from <br> foct 1969 <br> from <br> fet 1969 <br> Oct 1968 |  |

## WACO SMSA

(McLennan; pop. 148,400 ")

| Retail sales |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Apparel stores |  | - | 3 |
| Automotive stores |  | 11 | - 4 |
| Building permits less federal contracts | \$ 812,531 | -85 | - 7 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ 2,947,080 | - 6 | 13 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | \& 113,566 | - 6 | 3 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover.... | 25.2 | - 5 | 11 |
| Nonfarm employment (area) | 59,100 | - 1 | * |
| Manufacturing employment (area) | 12,650 | - 4 | - 6 |
| Percent unemployed (area)......... | 3.8 | 9 | 16 |
| McGregor (pop. 4,642) |  |  |  |
| Building permits less federal contracts | \$ 2,500 |  | 400 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | 85,068 | - 19 | 11 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | 8 7,941 |  | - 3 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 7.6 | -18 | 12 |

WACO (pop. 103,462)

| Retail sales | $13 \dagger$ | 9 | 年 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Apparel stores | $15 \dagger$ | 1 | 3 |
| Automotive stores | 44 $\dagger$ | 11 | - 4 |
| Postal receipts ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 313,489 | 17 | 13 |
| Building permits less federal contracts | 777,731 |  | - 6 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | 230,629 | 1 | 13 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | 101,811 | - 3 | 3 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 26.8 | ** | 11 |

WICHITA FALLS SMSA
(Archer and Wichita; pop. 132,200 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ )
Retail sales
Building permits less federal contracts $\$ 4,878,896$
Bank debits (thousands) \| . . . . . . . . \& $2,470,356$
End-of-month deposits (thousands) \% \$ 113.437
Annual rate of deposit turnover.
Nonfarm employment (area)
21.1
49,000

Manúfacturing employment (area)
Percent unemployed (area)


| Local Business |  | Percen | hange |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Oct | Oct 1969 from | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Oct } 1969 \\ & \text { from } \end{aligned}$ |
| City and item | 1969 | Sep 1969 | Oct 1968 |

## Burkburnett (pop. 7,621)

Building permits less federal contracts $\$ 25,128 \quad-68 \quad-63$
$\begin{array}{lrrrr}\text { Bank debits (thousands) } \ldots . . . . . . . . . \$ 8 & 8,728 & 2 & 6 \\ \text { End-of-month deposits (thousands) } \ddagger . \$ 8 & 5,273 & -\quad 7 & 4\end{array}$
Annual rate of deposit turnover.... $19.1 \quad 6$

Iowa Park (pop. 5,152 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ )

| Building permits less federal contracts $\$$ | 65,675 | 827 | 184 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Bank debits (thousands)............ $\$ 8$ | 4,097 | 2 | 3 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger \$$ | 3,916 | -3 | 3 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover.... | 12.4 | 3 | -1 |

WICHITA FALLS (pop. $115,340^{\text { }}$ )

| Retail sales |  | $13 \dagger$ | 13 | 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Postal receipts* | \$ | 167,167 | 9 | 3 |
| Building permits less federal contracts |  | 4,788,093 | 251 |  |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 185,019 | 15 | 3 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | \$ | 96,955 | - 6 | 5 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover.... |  | 22.2 | 17 | 3 |

LOWER RIO GRANDE VALLEY

## (Cameron, Willacy, and Hidalgo; pop. 326,800 ${ }^{\text {* }}$ )

| Retail sales | $13 \dagger$ | 5 | 7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Apparel stores | $15 \dagger$ | at | 2 |
| Automotive stores | $44 \dagger$ | 12 | 9 |
| Drugstores | $4 \dagger$ | 9 | 1 |
| Food stores | ${ }^{*} \dagger$ | 1 | 8 |
| Gasoline and service stations. | $5 \dagger$ | 4 | 5 |
| General-merchandise stores | $20 \dagger$ | 44 | 48 |
| Lumber, building-material, and hardware dealers. | ${ }^{\text {\% }}+$ |  | - 23 |
| Postal receipts ${ }^{*}$. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . \% | ... | 11 | 1 |
| Building permits less federal contracts \$ | ... |  |  |
| Bank debits (thousands) ........... \$ | $\ldots$ | - 3 | - 4 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | . $\cdot$ | ** | - |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 19.1 | 1 | - 2 |

## ALPHABETICAL LISTING OF NON-SMSA CITIES, WITH DATA

ALBANY (pop. 2,174)
Building permits less federal contracts \$ Bank debits (thousands)............ \& End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. § Annual rate of deposit turnover.


ANDREWS (pop. 13,450 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ )

| Postal receipts ${ }^{*}$ | \$ | 11,379 | - 1 | - 7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits less federal contracts | \$ | 66,950 | 318 | 84 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 8,202 | 5 | 9 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | \$ | 7,357 | 2 | - 3 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover |  | 13.5 | 2 | 12 |

## ATHENS (pop. $\mathbf{1 0 , 2 6 0}{ }^{\text {² }}$ )

| Postal receipts* | \$ | 23,398 |  | 29 | 15 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits less federal contracts | 8 | 185,700 |  | 37 | 67 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 16,209 |  | 12 | 22 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | \$ | 12,652 |  | 1 | 5 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover.... |  | 15.3 |  | 9 | 13 |
| BARTLETT (pop. 1,540) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* |  | 1,876 | - | 9 | 4 |
| Bank debits (thousands) |  | 1,472 |  | 1 | 4 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. |  | 1,993 | - | 1 | 4 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. |  | 8.8 | - | 1 | 6 |

For an explanation of symbols see p. 358.

| Local Business Conditions |  |  | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Clity and item |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Oct } \\ 1969 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Oct } 1969 \\ \text { from } \\ \text { Sep } 1969 \end{gathered}$ | Oct 1969 from Oct 1968 |
| BAY CITY（pop．11，656） |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts＊ | \＄ | 24，673 | 34 | 17 |
| Building permits less federal contracts | \＄ 8 | 46，250 | －67 | － 30 |
| Bank debits（thousands） | s | 26，182 | － | － |
| End－of－month deposits（thousands）$\ddagger$ | \＄ | 30，694 | － | 1 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover． |  | 10.2 | － 6 | $-6$ |
| Nonfarm placements |  | 74 | 3 | ＊＊ |
| BEEVILLE（pop．13，811） |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | ． | 22，763 | 33 | 7 |
| Building permits less federal contracts |  | 41，460 | － 10 | ． 76 |
| Bank debits（thousands）．．．．．．．．．． | \％ | 18，78\％ | 6 | 6 |
| End－of－month deposits（thousands） ） | \％ | 16，372： | － |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover． |  | 13.7 | 9 | 10 |
| Nonfarm placements |  | 116 | 5 | 41 |
| BELLVILLE（pop．2，218） |  |  |  |  |
| Building permits less federal contracts |  | 81，900 |  | 887 |
| Bank delits（thousands） | \＄ | 6，074 | － 10 | 6 |
| End－of－month deposits（thousands）$\ddagger$ ． | \＄ | 6，806 | 8 | 13 |
| Annusl rate of deposit turnover． |  | 11.1 | － 12 |  |
| BELTON（pop．10，000 ${ }^{\text {² }}$ ） |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts＂ | \＄ | 14，804 | 12 | － |
| Building permits less federal contracts |  | 118，050 | 531 | － 33 |
| End－of－month deposits（thousands）⿻⿻一𠃋十一贝刂． |  | 11.485 | Q＊ | 4 |
| BIG SPRING（pop．31，230） |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts＊ | \＄ | 46，039 | 12 | 6 |
| Building permits less federal contracts |  | 35，665 | － 78 | － 46 |
| Bank debits（thousands） | \＄ | 59.202 | 7 | ${ }_{6}$ |
| End－of－month deposits（thousands）$\ddagger$ ． | ＊ | 31，753 | 3 | 3 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover． |  | 22.7 | 2 | 1 |
| Nonfarm placements |  | 154 | － 11 | － 11 |
| BONHAM（pop．9，506 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ ） |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts＊ | \＄ | 10，144 | 9 | 16 |
| Buildins dermits less federal coniracts | \＄ | 3，800 | － 80 | － 78 |
| Bank debits（thousands） | 8 | 12，992 | 10 | 14 |
| End－of－month deposits（thousanda） ． | s | 11，290 | 4 | 5 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover |  | 14.1 | 5 | 4 |
| BRADY（pop．5，338） |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts＊ | \＄ | 6，187 | － 8 | － 37 |
| Building permits less federal contratts |  | 41，525 |  | 18 |
| Bank debits（thousands）．．．．．．．．．． |  | 11，385 | 11 | 11 |
| End－of－month deposits（thousands）$\ddagger$ ． |  | 7，804 | － | 2 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover． |  | 17.4 | 12 | 7 |
| BRENHAM（pop．7，740） |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts＊ | \＄ | 16，010 | －${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 12 |
| Building permits less federal contracts |  | 128，362 | － 42 | 48 |
| Bank debits（thousands）．．．．．．．．．． |  | 24，532． | 12 | 17 |
| End－of－month deposits（thousands）$\ddagger$ ． |  | 18，030 | － | 1 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover． |  | 14.0 | 10 | 12 |
| BROWNFIELD（pop．10，286） |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts＊．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．． | \＄ | 13，177 | 9 | － 11 |
| Building permits less feleral contraets |  | 35.700 | － 56 | 70 |
| Bank debits（thousands）． | \＄ | 25，360 | 18 | 25 |
| End－of－month deposits（thousands）$\ddagger$ | \＄ | 15．422 | － 9 | 5 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover． |  | 18.8 | 14 | 13 |

For an explanation of symbols see p． 358.

| Local Business Conditions |  |  | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| City and item |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Oct } \\ 1969 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Oct } 1969 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Sep } 1969 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Oct } 1969 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Oct } 1968 \end{aligned}$ |
| BROWNWOOD（pop．16，974） |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts＊ |  | 86，173 | 10 | 1 |
| Fuilding permits less federal contracts |  | 81，642 | －64 | 5 |
| Nonfarm placements |  | 151 | 9 | 15 |
| BRYAN（pop．33，141 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ ） |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 8 | 48，522 | 20 | 4 |
| Building permits less federal contracts |  | 1，459，302 | 156 | 52 |
| Bank debits（thousands）．．．．．．．．．． |  | 68，632 | 15 |  |
| End－of－month deposits（thousands）$\ddagger$ ． |  | 33，607 | － |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover． |  | 24.0 | 15 | － |
| Nonfarm placements |  | 284 | $-39$ | 11 |
| CALDWELL（pop．2，204 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ ） |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts＊ |  | 4，196． | 16 | 6 |
| Bank debits（thousands） | \＄ | 4，185 | 15 | 16 |
| End－of－month deposits（thousands）$\ddagger$ ． |  | 4，992 | － | 1 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover． |  | 9.9 | 18 | 12 |
| CAMERON（pop．5，640） |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts＊ |  | 8，357 | 27 |  |
| Bank debits（thousands）．．．．．．．．．． |  | 8，346 | 11 | 13 |
| End－of－month deposits（thousands）$\ddagger$ ， |  | 6，927 |  | 6 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover． |  | 14.4 | 12 | 3 |
| CARTHAGE（pop．5，262） |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts＂ | \＄ | 9，994 | 41 | 13 |
| Bank debits（thousands） | \％ | 5，441 | 13. | 29 |
| End－of－month deposits（thousands）＊ | \＄ | 4，344 | 1 | 5 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover． |  | 16.1 | 13 | 22 |
| CASTROVILLEE（1，800 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ ） |  |  |  |  |
| Bank debits（thousands） | \％ | 1，395 | 2 | 19 |
| End－of－month deposits（thousands）$\ddagger$ ． |  | 1.477 | － | 11 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover． |  | 10.9 | 10 |  |
| CISCO（pop．4，499） |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts＊ |  | 7，441 | 14 |  |
| Bank debits（thousands） |  | 4，952 | 3 |  |
| End－of－month deposits（thousands）$\ddagger$ ． |  | 4，292 | $-10$ | \％ |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover |  | 13.1 | 9 |  |
| COLORADO CITY（pop．6，457） |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts＊ |  | 6，940 | ＊ | 10 |
| Bank debits（thousands） | \＄ | 6，169 | ＊＊ | 6 |
| End－of－month deposits（thousands）$\ddagger$ ． |  | 6，996 | 3 | ＊＊ |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover． |  | 10.7 | － | ${ }^{3}$ |
| COPPERAS COVE（pop．10，202 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ ） |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts＊${ }^{\text {a }}$ ． ．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．§ |  | 8，452 | 5 | 8 |
| Building dermits less federal contratis \＄ |  | 50，600 | － 51 | － 69 |
| Bank debits（thousands）．．．．．．．．．．． |  | 3,293 | 5 | －33 |
| End－of－month deposits（thousands）$⿻$ ¢．\＄ |  | 1.848 | $-30$ | － 23 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover． |  | 16.7 | 8 | － 28 |
| CORSICANA（pop．20，344） |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts＊ | \＄ | 72，957 | 103 | 5 |
| Building permits less federal contracts \＄ |  | 73，350 | －18 | － 88 |
| Bank debits（thousands）．．．．．．．．．．．\＄ |  | ${ }^{\mathbf{4}, 123}$ | 11 | 13 |
| End－of－month deposits（thousands）$\ddagger$ ．\％ |  | 25，281 | － | 1 |
| Atmual rate of deposit turnover．． |  | 16.2 | \％ | 5 |
| Nonfarm placements |  | 208 | $-26$ | $-36$ |
| CRANE（pop．3，796） |  |  |  |  |
| Building permits less fedcral contracts \％ |  | 300 | －85 |  |
| Bank debits（thousands）．．．．．．．．．．\＄ |  | 2，921 | 15 |  |
| End－of－month deposits（thousands）$\ddagger$ \％$\$$ |  | 2，517 |  |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover． |  | 13.3 | 6 | ．．． |


| Local Business Conditions |  | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| City and item | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Oct } \\ & 1969 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Oct } 1969 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Sep } 1969 \end{aligned}$ | Oct 1969 from Oct 1968 |
| CRYSTAL CITY（pop．9，101） |  |  |  |
| Building permits Iess federal contracts \＄ | 62，620 | － 22 | 24 |
| Bank debits（thousands）．．．．．．．．．．．．\＄ | 5，224 | － | 10 |
| End－of－month deposits（thousands）$\ddagger$ ．\＄ | 3，366 | 12 | 5 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover． | 19.7 | －－ 7 | 7 |
| DECATUR（pop．3，563） |  |  |  |
| Building permits less federal contracts \＄ | 39.270 | 162 | 274 |
| Bank debits（thousands）．．．．．．．．．．．\＄ | 5.811 | 7 | 32 |
| End－of－month deposits（thousands）$\ddagger$ ．\＄ | 5，455 | \％ | 32 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover． | 13.0 | 6 | 7 |
| DEL RIO（pop．23，290 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ ） |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts＊．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．s | 26，006 | 1 | 3 |
| Building permits less federal contracts \＄ | 60,840 | $-95$ | － 44 |
| Bank debits（thousands）．．．．．．．．．．．\＄ | 19，363 | ＊ | － 1 |
| End－of－month deposits（thousands）$\ddagger .8$ | 19，768 | \％ | － 2 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 11.8 | 1 | 1 |
| DIMMITT（pop．4，500 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ ） |  |  |  |
| Bank debits（thousands） | 26，787 | 39 | 70 |
| End－of－month deposits（thousands）$\ddagger$ ．S | 9，297 | － | － 2 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 35.2 | 24 | 73 |
| EAGLE LAKE（pop．3，565） |  |  |  |
| Bank debits（thousands）．．．．．．．．．．．\＄ | 5，929 | － 14 | 6 |
| End－of－month deposits（thousands）${ }^{\text {d }}$ ．\＄ | 6.507 | 3 | 11 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 11.1 | －18 | 4 |
| EAGLE PASS（pop．12，094） |  |  |  |
|  | 17，638． | 16 | 17 |
| Building permits less federal contracts \＄ | 115，290 | － 23 | 23 |
| Bank debits（thousands） | 10，054 | － | 18 |
| End－of－month deposits（thousands）$\ddagger$ ．\＄ | 5，364 | 5 | 8 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 23.1 | － 1 | 12 |
| EDNA（pop．5，038） |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts＊．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．\＄ | 8，328 | 26 | －8 |
| Building permils dess federal contracts \＄ | 85，137 |  | 107 |
| Bank debits（thousands）．．．．．．．．．．．． | 9.233 | － 1 | 3 |
| End－of－month deposits（thousands）$\ddagger$ ． | 8，470 | 7 | 1 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover． | 13.5 | $\cdots$ | － 2 |
| EL CAMPO（pop．7，700） |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts＇ | § 17，322． | 6 | $B$ |
| Building permits less federal contracts 8 | 8 62，922 | － 74 |  |
| Bank debits（thousands） | 8 22，439 |  |  |
| End－of－month deposits（thousands）${ }^{\text {d }}$ ． | \＄15，399 | － 3 | － 8 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover． | 17.3 | 6 | $\ldots$ |
| FORT STOCKTON（pop．6，373 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ ） |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts＊ | 11，435 | 23 | 1.2 |
| Building permits less federal contracts | \＄ 500 | $\ldots$ | ．．． |
| Bank debits（thousands）．．．．．．．．．．．． | \＄13，319 | 20 |  |
| End－of－month deposits（thousands）$\%$ ． | 8 9，444 | －1． |  |
| FREDERICKSBURG（pop．4，629） |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts＊ | \＄ 12.849 | 17 | 14 |
| Building permits less fedieral contracts | § 11，085 | － 24 | － 39 |
| Bank debits（thousands） | 17，823 | 14. | 18 |
| End－of－month deposits（thousands）$\%$ ． | \＄11，965 | － 7 | 6 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 16.8 | 14 | 4 |
| FRIONA（pop．3，149 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ ） |  |  |  |
| Building permits less federal enntracts | 8 73，400 | ．． | 184 |
| Bank debits（thousands）．．．． | \＄31，382 | 25 | 79 |
| End－of－month deposits（thousands） ． | \＄6，897 | $\cdots 5$ | ${ }^{6}$ |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 53.3 | 39 | 48 |
| GATESVILLE（pop．5，180 ${ }^{\text {² }}$ ） |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts＊ | \＄7，434 | 2 | － 28 |
| Building permits less federal contracts | \＄152，600 |  | ． |
| Bank debits（thousands） | \＄9，250 | 3 | 15 |
| End－of－month deposits（thousands）$⿻$ 乐． | \＄8，480 | 6 | 5 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover．．．． | 13.4 | ＋ | 10 |

For an explanation of symbols see D． 358.

| Local Business Conditions |  | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| City and item | $\begin{gathered} \text { Oct } \\ 1969 \end{gathered}$ | Oct 1969 from Sep 1969 | Oct 1969 from Oct 1968 |
| GEORGETOWN（pop．5，218） |  |  |  |
| Postal recejpts ${ }^{\text {r }}$ ．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．\＄ | 8，618 | － 14 | － 6 |
| Building permits less federal contracts \＄ | 285，850 | 732 | 337 |
| Bank debits（thousands）．．．．．．．．．．\＄ | 8，449 | 13 | 17 |
| End－of－month deposits（thousands）$\ddagger$ ．\＄ | 8，205 | － 2 | 4 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover． | 12.2 | 12 | 10 |
| GIDDINGS（pop．2，821） |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts ${ }^{*}$ ．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．\＄ | 6，395 | 3 | 14 |
| Building permits less federal contracts \＄ | 68，150 |  | 857 |
| Bank debits（thousands）．．．．．．．．．．．\＄ | 6，828 | 21 | 21 |
| Find－of－month deposits（thousands）$\ddagger$ ．\＄ | 6，173 | 年 | 10 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover． | 18.3 | 21 | 11 |
| GLADEWATER（pop．5，742） |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts＊${ }^{\text {a }}$（．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．\＄ | 7，491 | 7 | － 4 |
| Bank debits（thousands）．．．．．．．．．．．\＄ | 7，658 | 19 | 16. |
| End－of－month deposits（thousands）$\ddagger$ ．\％ | 5，295 | － 10 | 3 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 16.4 | 16 | 12 |
| Nonfarm employment（ares）${ }^{\circ}$ ．． | 35，400 | ＊＊ | 2 |
| Manufacturing employment（area）${ }^{\text {e }}$ | 10，040 | ＊＊ | 2 |
| Percent unemployed（arca）${ }^{\text {c }}$ ． | 2.5 | $\bigcirc 17$ | 14 |
| GOLDTHWAITE（pop．1，383） |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts＊．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．\＄ | 4，087 | 32 | 35 |
| Bank debits（thousands）．．．．．．．．．．．\＄ | 6，825 | 7 | 9 |
| End－of－month deposits（thousands）$\ddagger$ ．\＄ | 4，228 | ¢ ${ }^{\text {＋}}$ | 3 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover． | 19.4 | 8 | 18 |
| GRAHAM（pop．9，326 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ ） |  |  |  |
| Pastal receipts ${ }^{\text {² }}$ ．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．\＄ | 14，369 | 8 | 12 |
| Building permits less federal contracts \＄ | 40，000 | 10 | － 94 |
| Bank debits（thousands）．．．．．．．．．．．\＄ | 13，674 | 2. | 3 |
| Find－of－month deposits（thousands）${ }^{\text {d }}$ ．\＄ | 10，750 | － 6 | $-9$ |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover． | 14.8 | 6 | 10 |
| GRANBURY（pop．2，227） |  |  |  |
| Fostal receipts＊ | 10，054 | － | 128 |
| Bank debits（thousands） | 3，522 | 23 | 99 |
| End－of－month deposits（thousands）$\ddagger$ ．\＄ | 3，868 | － | 11 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover． | 10.7 | 20 | 19 |
| GREENVILLE（pop．22，134 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ ） |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 47，292 | 21 | 15 |
| Building permits less federal contracts | 147，310 | － 29 | － 72 |
| Nonfarm placements | 129 | －18 | － 37 |
| HALLETTSVILLE（pop．2，808） |  |  |  |
| Building permits less federal contracts | 6，075 | －69 |  |
| Bank debits（thousands） | 8 4，595 | 13. | 22 |
| End－of－month deposits（thousands）\＄．\＄ | 7，682 | 4 | 12 |
| Annual rave of deposit tumover． | 7.3 | $y$ | 11 |
| HALLSVILLE（pop．1，015 ${ }^{\text {² }}$ ） |  |  |  |
| Bank debits（thousands）．．．．．．．．．．． | \＄1，008． | $-17$ | ＊＊ |
| End－of－month deposits（thousands）t． | \＄1，506． | 2 | 14 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover．．．． | 8.1 | $-20$ | －－ 13 |
| HENDERSON（pop．11，477 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ ） |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts＊ | \＄23，837 | 52 | 15 |
| Building permits less federal contracts | \＄82，250 | 80. | 21 |
| Bank debits（thousands） | \＄17，743 | － 2 | 24 |
| End－of－month deposits（thousands）${ }^{\text {a }}$ ． | \＄ 17.929 | ： | ＊ |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 11，8 | － 4 | 19 |
| HEREFORD（pop．12，175 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ ） |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts＊ | \＄20，683 | 5 | － 12 |
| Building permits less federal contracts | \＄207，000 |  | －35 |
| Bank debits（thousands）．．．．．．．．．．．． | \＄56，203 | 10 | 32 |
| End－of－month deposits（thousands）$\ddagger$ ． | \＄21，147 | －1 | 4 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover．．． | 31.8 | 7 | 24 |



For an explanation of symbols see p. 368.


LAMESA (pop. 12,438)

| Postal receipts ${ }^{*}$ |  | 17,630 | 6. | - 6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits less federal contracts | \$ | 4,350 |  | 86 |
| Bank debits (thousands) |  | 19,021 |  | * |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\%$. | \$ | 18,731 | 1 | 4 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. |  | 12.2 | -8 | 5 |
| Nonfarm placements |  | 91 | $-17$ | 47 |

LAMPASAS (pop. 5,670 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ )

| Postal receipts* | \$ | 7,584 | 11 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits less federal contracts | \$ | 68,550 | - 58 | - ${ }^{3}$ |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 11,063 | 5 | 11 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) 4 . | \$ | 8,917 | 6 | 10 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover,... |  | 15.3 | 5 | 3 |

L_EVELLAND (pop. 12,073 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ )

| Fostal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . \% | 19,289 | 1 | - 18 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits less federal contracts \$ | 46,652 | -65 | $-42$ |
| Bank debrits (thousands)............ \$ | 21,043 | 3 |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$.. \$ | 11,882 | 2 |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 21.6 | - б! |  |

## LITTLEFIELD (pop. 7,236)

| Postal receipts* |  | 8,968 | 26 | 15 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits less federal contracts | \$ | 2,500 | -85 | $-75$ |
| Bank debita (thousands) | 8 | 9,658 | $-20$ | ** |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | \$ | 9,151 | 2 | - 9 |
| Annaal rate of deposit turnover. |  | 12.5 | - 27 | 9 |

LLANO (pop. 2,656)

| Postal receipts* | \$ | 5,433 | 29 | 1.1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits less federal contracts | \$ | 6,100 |  | - 84 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 6,973 | 7 | - 2 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) 4 . | \$ | 5,377 | 1 | 9 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. |  | 15.6 | 7 | - 11 |

LOCKHART (pop. 6,084)

| Postal receipts ${ }^{*}$ | $\$$ | 6,950 | 23 | $-10$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits less federal contracts | \$ | 13,585 |  | -65 |
| Bank delits (thousands) | * | 8,812 | 15 | 28 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$, |  | 8:540 | 2 | 3 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. |  | 1.2 .5 | 7 | 18 |
| LONGVIEW (pop. 52,242 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ ) |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* | \$ | 95,75.7 | 7 | 5 |
| Building permits less federal contracts | \$ | [579,000 | - 02 | - 24 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 94,118 | 2 | 6 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) f . | \$ | 51,494 | 3 | 4 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover.... |  | 22,2: | 1 | 8 |
| Nonfarm employment (area) ${ }^{\circ}$. |  | 35.400 | os | 2 |
| Manufacturing employment (area) " |  | 10,040 | 4.4 | 2 |
| Percent unemployed (area) ${ }^{\text {. }}$ |  | 2.5 | $-17$ | 14 |

LUFKIN (pop. 20,756 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ )

| Postal receipts* | \$ | 46,601 | 6 | 16. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits less federal contracts | \$ | 67,850 | $-70$ | $-73$ |
| Nonfarm platements |  | 36. | - 28 : | - 3 |

McCAMEY (pop. 3,375 ${ }^{\circ}$ )



| Local Business Conditions |  | $\underset{1969}{\text { Oct }}$ | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Oct } 1969 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Sep } 1969 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Oct } 1969 \\ \text { (from } \\ \text { Oct } 1968 \end{gathered}$ |
| MARBLE FALLS (pop. 2,161) |  |  |  |  |
| Bank debits (thousands)........... |  |  | 6,191 | 25 | 85 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $4 . \$$ | \$ | 3,888 | $-10$ | 83 |
| Annual rate of deposit tornover. |  | 18.1 | 25 | 38 |
| MARSHALL (pop. 29,445 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ ) |  |  |  |  |
| Postat receipts* | \$ | 49,041 | 34 | 18 |
| Building permits less federal contracts | \% | 239,346. | 55 | 36 |
| Nonfarm placements .............. |  | 241 | $-16$ | -50 |
| MEXIA (pop. 7,621 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ ) |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* | \$ | 9,326 | \% | 6 |
| Building permits less federal contracts | \$ | 26,953 | 331 | 170 |
| Bank debits (thousands). | \$ | 9,114 | 14 | 20 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | s | 6,641 | - 4 | 2 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover... |  | 18.1 | 13 | 19 |
| MINERAL WELLS (pop. 11,053) |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* | \$ | 32,916 | 19 | 7 |
| Building dermits less federal contracts | \$ | 157.840 | 128 | $-77$ |
| Bank debits (thousands). | \$ | 32,586 | 7 | 15 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ |  | 17,700 |  | 7 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover |  | 22.9 | 8 | 13 |
| Nonfarm placements |  | 76 | $-27$ | $-37$ |
| MONAHANS (pop. 9,476 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ ) |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* ${ }^{*}$ | \$ | 10,997 | - | - 10 |
| Building permits less federal contracts | \$ | 27.100 | - 66. | 78 |
| Bank debits (thousands)........... | \$ | 12,985- | - | - |
| Fnd-of-month deposits (thousands) f. |  | 8,028 | 3 | 3 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. |  | 18.7 | - |  |
| MOUNT PLEASANT (pop. 8,027) |  |  |  |  |
| Poetal receipts* | \$ | 17,661 | 36 | 22 |
| Building permits less federal contracts | \$ | 67,100. | 450 | - 28 |
| Bank debits (thousands). | \$ | 19,173 | - 5 | 7 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | \$ | 11,394 | - | 7 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover |  | 19.9 |  |  |
| MUENSTER (pop. 1,190) |  |  |  |  |
| Postal reeeipts* ${ }^{\text {a }}$. ${ }^{\text {a }}$........ | \$ | 2,110 | - 29 | - 34 |
| Building permits less federal contracts | \$ |  |  |  |
| Bank debits (thousands)........... |  | 4.119 | 12 | $\square$ |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. |  | 2,849 | $-4$ | ${ }^{5}$ |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover |  | 17.0 | 11 |  |
| MULESHOE (pop. 4,945 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ ) |  |  |  |  |
| Bank debits (thousands)..... |  | 18,309 | . 6 | 53 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. |  | 12,484 | 31 | 51 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. |  | 19.8 | - | 31 |
| NACOGDOCHES (pop. 18,076 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ ) |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* | \$ | 34,093 |  | ${ }^{\text {a/w }}$ |
| Building permits less federal contracts |  | 592,539 | 168 | - 49 |
| Bank debits (thousands) .......... | \$ | 35,376 | $-7$ | 3 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) t. | \$ | 30,131 | $\stackrel{\square}{*}$ | 9 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover.... |  | 14.1 | $-7$ | ** |
| Nonfarm placements |  | 73 | $20^{\circ}$ | 20 |
| NEW BRAUNFELS (pop. 15,631) |  |  |  |  |
| - Building permits less federal contracts |  | 182,034 | - 10 | 17 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 21,152 | 1 | 17 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) ${ }^{\text {a }}$. |  | 19,018 |  | 5 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover.... |  | 12.9 | 4 | 7 |

For an explanation of symbols see p. 358 .

| Local Business Conditions | Percent change <br> City and item | Oct <br> Oct |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |

OLNEY (pop. 4,200 ${ }^{\circ}$ )

| Building permits less federal contracts | $\$$ | 500 | $\ldots$ | -8.5 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Bank debits (thousands) $\ldots . . \ldots \ldots . . \$$ | 6,664 | -1 | -3 |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger .8$ | 4,998 | -3 | -5 |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover.... | 15.7 | 1 | 2 |  |

PALESTINE (pop. 15,950 ${ }^{\circ}$ )

| Postal receipts* ${ }^{*}$ | \$ | 19,434 | - 6 | $-10$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits less federal contracts | \$ | 72,688 | - 30 | - 61 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 19,738 | 6 | 12 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | \$ | 20,006 | 0. | 8 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. |  | 11.8 | 3 | 4 |
| Nonfarm placementa |  | 106 | 45 | 20 |
| PAMPA (pop. 24,664) |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* | \$ | 39,092 | 27 | 6 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \% | 41,742 | 11 | 18 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | \$ | 24,436 | 6 | 5 |
| Annual rate of dedosit turnover. |  | 19.8 | 8 | 10 |
| Nonfarm placetments |  | 117 | 8 | - 9 |
| PARIS (pop. 20,977) |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts ${ }^{\text {* }}$ | \$ | 36,156 | 11 | $\cdots$ |
| Building permits less federal contracts | \$ | 257,334 | 27 | 41 |
| Nonfarm placements |  | 150 | $-15$ | - 38 |
| PECOS (pop. 15,592 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ ) |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts" | \$ | 16,485 | 15 | 11 |
| Building permits less federal contracts | \$ | 45,630 | 84 | . |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 23,340 | 27 | 12 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | \$ | 11,618 | 1 | - 5 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover.... |  | 24.6 | 29 | 12 |
| Nonfarm placements ... |  | 64 | - 15 | - 23 |

PLAINVIEW (pop. 21,703 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ )

| Postal receipts* ${ }^{\text {\% }}$ (................. 8 | 36,658 | 17 | 19 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits less federal contracts \$ | 61,450 | 260 | 35 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | 63,080 | 9 | 16 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) i. \$ | 27,784 | 1 | 5 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 27.8 | 7 | 20 |
| Nonfarm placements | 196 | $-17$ | 10 |
| PLEASANTON (pop. 5,053 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ ) |  |  |  |
| Building permits less federal contracts \$ | 66,500 | 129 | 48 |
| Bank debits (thousands)............ \$ | 6,237 | 20 | 11 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. \$ | 4.815 | 4 | 4 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 15.8 | 19 | 6 |

QUANAH (pop. 4,570 ${ }^{\circ}$ )

| Postal receipts* ${ }^{*}$. . . . . . ........... \$ | 5.799 | ** | 13 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits less federal contracts \$ | 34,300 |  |  |
| Bank debits (thousands)........... \$ | 6,720 | - | - 5 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) 中. \$ | 7.083 | 9 | 19 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 10.1 |  | $-20$ |
| RAYMONDVILLF (pop. 9,385) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* | 7,690 | -- 18 | - 15 |
| Building permits less federal contraets | 27,800 | 695 | $-51$ |
| Bank debits (thousands) | 0.945 | - 25 | - 5 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) 4 . | 11,581 | - 3 | - 3 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 10.2 | - 20 | - 1 |
| Nonfarm placements | 39 | 11 |  |
| REFUGIO (pop. 4,944) |  |  |  |
|  | 5,145 | 12 | $-22$ |
| Building permits less federal contracts \$ | 0 | $\ldots$ |  |
| Bank debits (thousands)........... \$ | 5,332 | 15 |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. \% | 10,178 | 28 | 2. |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover.... | 7.1 | 4 | - |
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# BAROMETERS OF TEXAS BUSINESS 

(All figures are for Texas unless otherwise indicated.)
All indexes are based on the average months for 1957-1959 except where other specification is made; all except annual indexes are adjusted for seasonal variation unless otherwise noted. Employment estimates are compiled by the Texas Employment Commission in cooperation with the Bureau of Labor Statistics of the U.S. Department of Labor. The symbols used below impose qualifications as indicated here: *-preliminary data subject to revision; r-revised data; \#-dollar totals for the calendar year to date; §-dollar totals for the fiscal year to date; †-employment data for wage and salary workers only.


## RECENT PUBLICATIONS

## PETROLEUM ACCOUNTING LECTURE SERIES

Edited by E. D. Bennett

Studies in Accounting No. 3
Seven of the thirteen lectures presented in the petroleum accounting course offered to seniors in the petrolum land-management program and to accounting maiors at The University of Texas at Austin have been made available by E. D. Bennett, formerly professor of accounting at The University of Texas at Austin and now professor of accounting and associate dean of the College of Business Administration at Texas A\&M University.

This collection of lectures is the third publication in the Studies in Accounting series published by the Bureau of Business Research.

Texas residents add 4.25 -percent sales tax.

# CHANGING STANDARDS FOR GUIDING MONETARY POLICY 

by

## A. James Meigs

No. 2 (1968-1969) in the Richard J. Gonzalez Lecture Series
Dr. A. James Meigs, who delivered the Gonzalez lecture for the 1968-1969 academic year, is vice president of the First National City Bank of New York in charge of the Money Market Section of the Economics Department. He has been economist of the New York Stock Exchange, business economist of the Federal Reserve Bank of St. Louis, and an assistant professor of economics at the University of Alabama.
This lecture presents a discussion of the effect of monetary policies on the economy and of the two main guides to monetary policy-the use of interest rates, which are price measures, and the use of monetary aggregates-such as money supply, bank reserves, and the monetary base.
This lecture series of the Graduate School of Business at The University of Texas at Austin was named in honor of Richard J. Gonzalez, a former faculty member of the Department of Economics of The University of Texas at Austin and an outstanding business leader in the state.

Texas residents add 4.25 -percent sales tax.
Bureau of Business Research
The University of Texas at Austin


[^0]:    \# Data cover wage and salary workers only.

    * Preliminary, subject to revision upon receipt of additional reports. Source: Texas Employment Commission.

[^1]:    * Credit sales divided by net sales.
    $\dagger$ Collections during the month divided by accounts unpaid on first of the month.

[^2]:    ${ }^{4}$ Mr. Harris is director of Cotton Economic Research, a part of the Cotton Research Committee of Texas at The University of Texas at Austin.
    ${ }^{1}$ This study was commissioned by Texas Electric Service Company, of Fort Worth. It was a cooperative undertaking between Texas Electric Service Company and Cotton Economic Research, initiated in mid-1966 and continued through 1968. This condensation of the report on the study is available for publication in the Texas Business Review by courtesy of Texas Electric Service. Copies of the full report are available from Texas Electric Service Company, P.O. Box 970, Fort Worth, Texas 76101, and Cotton Economic Research, P.O. Box 8180, Austin, Texas 78712.

[^3]:    2 "Report on-Evaluation of 1966-67 High Plains Cotton," Plains Cotton Growers, Inc., June 1967.

[^4]:    ${ }^{3}$ South Plains Developnent Program Production Guidelines-Area Resources (1967 edition), Texas Agricultural Extension Service, Texas A\&M University.

[^5]:    ${ }^{1}$ Cotton Price Statistics, Vol. 49, No. 6, January 1968, USDA, C\&MS, Cotton Division.

[^6]:    \# Metropolitan areas are listed in accordance with 1968 Bureau of the Census definition. This table includes only the cities reporting in metropolitan areas.
    ** Change is less than one half of 1 percent.

