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## Business Review and Prospect

## NATIONAL DEFENSE

The national defense picture from the fiscal standpoint has undergone such a striking transformation during the current year that it is easy to understand how the well informed citizen may have had difficulty in keeping up with even its more salient features. In January, 1940 , for example, the President estimated that the expenditures for national defense for the fiscal year ending June 30 , 1941, would be about $\$ 1,940,000,000$; in June this estimate was raised to $\$ 3,250,000,000$, and in August it was moved up to $\$ 5,000,000,000$.
But even these figures do not tell the whole story. Congress was asked to, and promptly did, appropriate increasingly huge sums for defense, not all of which could be expended in the current fiscal year. Exclusive of the cost of enforcing the acts for compulsory military training and putting the National Guard into active military service, Congress had appropriated more than $\$ 14,000,000,000$ for defense purposes by August 5, 1940.

Defense contracts awarded from July 1 to November 30, inclusive, aggregated $\$ 9,476,130,000$. Of these contracts, a total of $\$ 1.80,298,000$ has gone to Texas. This state now ranks fourteenth among the states in the value of defense contracts let through November 30. The ranking of the top fourteen states in descending order of importance is as follows:

## NATIONAL DEFENSE CONTRACTS AWARDED BY STATES

| 1. New Jer | 135,813,032 | 8. Connecticut.. | 470,827,786 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2. California | I,114,964,476 | 9. Washingtton - | 454,661,182 |
| 3. New York | 1,057,465,857 | 10. Maryland | 285,837,400 |
| 4. Pennsylvania | 887,870,840 | 11. Llinois | 270,194,415 |
| 5. Virginia | 809,559,832 | 12. Ohio | 212,718,114 |
| 6. Massachusetts | 731,194,011 | 12. Missouri | 182,233,235 |
| 7. Michigan | 489,210,525 | 14. Texas | 180,297,590 |

Peak production on defense contracts is not expected until plant expansion has been completed in a number of key industries-or, in other words, some time in 1942. In the meantime, no doubt, Congress will be asked to make additional huge defense appropriations for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1942.

## GENERAL BUSINESS

In many industries, which are closely geared to the national defense program, operations are now at capacity and a further increase in rate of output must await plant expansion, and more industries may soon fall in the same category of full scale operation. Actual shortage of plant capacity in some key industries-such as steel-and probable shortage in others soon, brings to mind the virulent criticism which only a few years ago was being directed against these same industries for "excess plant capacity." It is not known whether these
same critics are now blaming industry for not expanding capacity more rapidly, but such criticism is actually being made in certain quarters. The question may well be asked, "Is it not time to recognize the simple fact that the steel and some other industries are subject to wide cyclical fluctuations. and to regard problems of alternating periods of excessive and deficient production as inevitable?"

In spite of the high rate of industrial activity which prevails in the industries directly related to national defense, general business is still well below normal and far below the peak reached in 1.929, if population growth and the long time trend in standard of living are taken into account. Barron's index of industrial activity which is based on physical volume of industry and trade and which takes into account population growth and secular trend, stood at 93.2 during the week ended December 7. The corresponding figure a year ago was 90.1 , and the high point reached in 1929 was 115.1.

Seldom has it been possible to make so definite a forecast of industrial production for a full year ahead as it is now, because of the commitments entered into by the government under the national defense program. The variations among industries will, of course, remain wide, but the record levels of operation which already exist in certain heavy industries will spread to consumer goods industries as the national income expands and creates additional buying power among the working classes. No appreciable increase in general living costs is expected for some months, at least, as the control of burdensome surpluses in essential food products still remains a major problem of the government.

Now that the first impact of national defense buying on the lumber industry is over, defense officials expect a decline in Iumber prices. It has been officially estimated that about ninety or ninety-five per cent of the lumber needed for army cantonments is already bought. Private construction is, however, expected to be at a high level during 1941, and the purchase of lumber for defense construction will continue, but it will be less impulsive than at first.

## TEXAS BUSINESS

Industry and trade in Texas continue the strong upward tendency which began several months ago. The composite index of business activity in Texas as computed by this Bureau rose nearly two points from October to November-from 103.5 to 105.4 . In November, 1939, the index stood at 99.4, seven points below that of November in the current year. Every component in the index for November except electric power consumption was above that of the preceding month, and without exception, all factors showed a substantial gain over November last year.

INDEXES OF BUSINESS ACTIVITY IN TEXAS

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Now } \\ & 190 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Nov, } \\ & 1939 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Oct., } \\ & 1940 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fimployment | 95.2 | 92.2 | 94.1 |
| Pay Rolls . | 101.6 | $95 . \mathrm{I}$ | 101.2 |
| Miscellancous Freight Carloadings (Southwest District) ....-.............. |  | 65.9 | . 3 |
| Crude Runs to Stills | 203.5 | 186.4 | 200.2* |
| Department Store Sales | _108.9 | 101.3 | 99.1 |
| Electric Power Consumption.-.. | 137.6 | 126.1 | 139.1* |
| COMPOSITE INDEX | -105.4 | 98.4 | 103.5* |

*Revised.
livestock products, farm cash income during the current month is certain to we well above that of December last year.

The following table gives the indexes of farm cash income and the computed income for the State and for each crop reporting district for November with comparisons:
INDEX OF AGRICULTURAL CASH INCOME IN TEXAS

| Nov., $1940$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Oct., } \\ & 1910 \end{aligned}$ | Nov. 1939 | $\begin{gathered} \text { CumuIs } \\ \text { Jant,-Nov. } \\ 19400 \\ (000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { re Income } \\ & \text { Jan,-Nov, } \\ & 1999 \\ & \text { nitted) } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1-N .-.------------1040 | 124.7 | 77.0 | 36,288 | 33,900 |
| 1-S --...------------- 79.7 | 163.0 | 53.8 | 33,430 | 30,918 |
| 2 ------------------------53.6 | 98.1 | 37.9 | 44,663 | 36,601 |
| 3 -.----------------1.0) | 77.7 | 65.8 | 20,903 | 19,488 |
| 4 ------.------------------60.8 | 95.0 | 42.1 | 73,693 | 70,733 |
| 5 ------------------------78.7 | 89.5 | 22.8 | 34,352 | 32,935 |
| 6 -------------.----------142.7 | 137.5 | 132.9 | 21,830 | 22,866 |
| 7 ----------------..- 102.2 | 98.1 | 85.3 | 42,425 | 34,159 |
| 8 ------------....------. 64.5 | 56.9 | 71.5 | 32,238 | 34,911 |
| 9 -.-.----- --------------105.5 | 75.5 | 109.5 | 28,824 | 24,105 |
| 10 ...----------....... 62.3 | 54.0 | 174.8 | 9,987 | 12,299 |
| 10-A -----------------245.8 | 240.2 | 262.6 | 20,288 | 24,168 |
| STATE ....--....------79.8 | 100.5 | 62.8 | 398,921 | 377,083 |

*Revised,
It should again be pointed out that the computations given above of dollar income are understatements, because full reports on local marketings of all staple farm products are not obtainable, and the income from a number of minor products of considerable local importance is as yet not available. It is estimated from data on our files that actual farm cash income for the State is about six per cent greater than our computed figure.
F. A. Buechel.

## The Texas Statistical Council

The Texas Statistical Council held its annual meeting on November 8, 1940, at The University of Texas, with Mr. Waldo B. Little, president of the organization, presiding. The Council was organized in December, $\mathbf{T} 939$, and its purposes as set forth in the Constitution adopted at the recent meeting are, in part, as follows: To stimulate the improvement of basic statistical data and to assist in solving technical problems which arise in developing new and improved statistics; to formulate, adopt, and promote means which will result in the better distribution of reliable statistical material concerning the State of Texas; to bring about a greater ulitization of the material now being compiled by numerous governmental, educational, and private institutions; to assist in initiating and setting up new research projects which are needed in a thorough analysis of Texas resources; and to foster the practical application of these data to the commercial, agricultural, and industrial development of the State of Texas.

The organization includes in its membership representatives of several federal agencies, business men, State officials, and faculty members of various universities or colleges interested in the purposes of the Council. Meetings are to be held in the fall of each year and at such other times as may be designated by the president of the organization. A total of forty-two members attended the November meeting. Mr. Stuart McGregor, Editor of the Texas Almanac, Dallas Morning News, was elected president for the coming year.
Copies of the revised Constitution and By-Laws togother with minutes of the November 8 , meeting are heing sent to those who attended the meeting and to others interested. The program presented is summarized as follows:
Mr. D. Roy Parker, State Supervisor, Research and Records Division, Federal Works Agency, San Antonio, discussed the work of his department in finding suitable employment for various types of people. He emphasized that the Research and Records Section of the
W.P.A. is not a research agency in itself, but that it makes available clerical labor to public agencies for the carrying out of many projects.
Mr. R. S. Calvert, Chief Statistician, Comptroller's Olfice, Austin outlined in some detail the dutics of that office. He reviewed briefly the history of the statistical division and the present set-up of the Comptroller's annual report.

Dr. C. W. Vickery, Statistician, State Highway Department, Austin, described the services of the Highway Planning Survey. The studies by the Planning Survey are available to the public and maps prepared by the department may be had for the cost of copying. The bulletin, "Texas Highway Facts" has recently been released.

Mr. V. C. Childs, Statistician, Agricultural Marketing Service, U. S. Department of Agriculture, Austin, discussed the reports made regularly by his office, which include cotton reports, general field reports, livestock reports, price reports, truck crop reports, and special reports.

Mr. John R. Steinle, Jr., Statistician, Texas Unemployment Compensation Commission, Austin, outlined the purposes of the two divisions of the Commission. The Unemployment Compensation Division is concerned with the collection of taxes and the payment of benefits, while the work of the Division of Research and Statistics falls into three general classes-pay roll and employment data, claims and benefit payment data, and registrant and placement data.

Dr. Florence E. Barns, Technical Assistant, Texas State Employment Service, Austin, presented a report on "Recent Aspects of Statistical Reporting in the Texas State Employment Service." The material was arranged under five main headings, including the function of the administrative office of the Texas State Employment Service in the program of statistical reporting, a brief summary of the purposes and scope of the Texas State Employment Service, and special reports.

Mr. Garth Daniel, Director, Research and Statistics, State Department of Public Welfare, Austin, spoke on the history and present handling of the old age assistance
program. His talk included statistical information on the work now being done.

Mr. H. J. Struth, Mid-Continent Oit and Gas Association, and Mr. W. N. Finnegan, Humble Oil and Refining Company, lead a discussion of the petroleum industry in relation to its importance to Texas. The discussion was based on a report prepared by the Mid-Continent Oil and Gas Association under the title, "Important Facts about Texas Oil." It was emphasized that the people of Texas should be supplied with full and accurate information as to the extent of the petroleum industry in the State, its costs and profits, and its economic value to the future prosperity of Texas.

Mr. Glenn D. Scott, chairman of the Cotton Committee and Associate Agricultural Statistician, Texas State Office of the A.A.A., together with Mr. E. N. Holmgreen, Administrative Officer in Charge of the A.A.A. located at A. and M. College, presented a series of charts and tables which supplied information regarding the historical background of the cotton industry in Texas to show the present trends and conditions. They stated that a study of the cotton situation in Texas as affected by the Agricultural Adjustment Act of 1938 had been made with a view of determining whether or not charges that Texas was being discriminated against under the Act were substantiated by fact, and that no evidence of such discrimination could be found.
Dr. A. B. Cox, Director, Bureau of Business Research; discussed "World Demand, Supply, and Price of Cotton." He explained why cotton as an agricultural crop differs from most other crops in that the cotton market is a world market and cotton from the United States must compete in the markets of the world with cotton produced in foreign countries. He reviewed the circumstances which have tended to bring about the present condition of the cotton market of the United States and the increasing world carry-over of cotton. A group of statistical tables which present the facts of the world cotton situation have been prepared and will be included in a bulletin on cotton by Dr. Cox to be published at an early date.
(In Board Feet)

| Nor., 9940 | Nov, 1939 | Oct., 1940 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Southern Pine Mills: |  |  |
| Average Weekly Production per unit .-.-_-_-_-_-...... 334,10I | 301,145 | 351,865 |
| Average Weekly Shipments per unit $\qquad$ 388,423 | 309,447 | 444,314 |
| Average Unfilled Orders per unit, end of month | 733,582 | 1,186,529 |

Nots: From Senthern Pine Arsociation.

Clara H. Lewis, Assistant Secretary.
(In Thousands of Barrels)

## CEMENT

|  | Nov., 1940 | Nov., 1939 | Oct., 1940 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Texas Plants |  |  |  |
| Production | 648 | 478 | 743 |
| Shipments | ... 563 | 554 | 784 |
| Stocks ..... | 892 | 882 | 807 |
| United States |  |  |  |
| Production | 12,689 | 11,053 | 13,984 |
| Shipments | 10,329 | 10,146 | 15,824 |
| Stocks .-. | 20,369 | 20,776 | 18,073 |
| Capacity or | 59.9\% | 52.1\% | 63.9\% |

Notx: From U.S. Department of Interior, Bureat of Mines.

|  |  | ber, 1 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Estimated | Perce |  | Estimated | Perce | Change |
|  |  | $\underset{\text { from }}{\text { October }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { from } \\ & \text { Novermber } \end{aligned}$ | Amount of Weekly | $\underset{\substack{\text { from } \\ \text { Oetober }}}{\text { det }}$ | from November |
| MANUFACTURING |  |  |  | Pay Roll | 1940 | 1939 |
| All Manufacturing Industries----1 | 140,302 | $+0.1$ | + 5.6 | \$2,709,146 | $-4.8$ | $+5.5$ |
| Food Products |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Baking. | 6,678 | - 1.7 | + 2.6 | 143,851 | - 3.0 | + 2.7 |
| Carbonated Beverages. | 2,933 | -6.1 | $+9.7$ | 63,392 | $-10.8$ | $+10.6$ |
| Confectionery | 854 | +14.7 | -0.1 | 8,146 | +12.0 | - 6.4 |
| Flour Milling | 1,793 | $+0.7$ | +18.9 | 36,484 | - 4.8 | +14.0 |
| Ice Cream | 910 | $-4.8$ | +16.8 | 15,870 | $-3.7$ | $+17.7$ |
| Meat Packing | 4,490 | $+5.4$ | $+7.6$ | 98,287 | $-0.4$ | +10.4 |
| Textiles |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cotton Textile Mills | 6,602 | $+0.7$ | + 6.2 | 100,141 | $+1.7$ | +13.5 |
| Men's Work Clothing. | 3,736 | + 2.1 | $-8.9$ | 35,010 | $-17.5$ | $-13.7$ |
| Forest Products. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Furniture. | 1,858 | $+1.5$ | $+1.7$ | 49,326 | -8.3 | +13.7 |
| Planing Mills | 2,148 | + 7.5 | + 7.4 | 38,982 | $+13.3$ | + 4.8 |
| Saw Mills | 16.764 | $-1.8$ | +13.8 | 225,051 | $-12.5$ | +23.9 |
| Paper Products |  | $-1.2$ | - 49 |  | $-7.2$ | $-6.5$ |
| Printing and Publishing |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial Printing | 1,974 | - 2.4 | $-12.4$ | 44,164 | $-10.3$ | $-16.1$ |
| Newspaper Publishing | 4,518 | $-0.3$ | + 0.5 | 123,956 | + 1.4 | + 7.5 |
| Chemical Products |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cotton Oil Mills | 4,063 | $-3.2$ | +34.6 | 41,899 | - 5.9 | $+22.0$ |
| Petroleum Refining | 20,445 | - ${ }^{\text {(2) }}$ | - 3.1 | 663,271 | - 1.6 | $-0.1$ |
| Stone and Clay Products |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Brick and Tile____ | 2,073 | $+3.3$ | $+29.6$ | $\therefore 26,244$ | - 3.2 | +32.0 |
| Coment | 1,079 | + 1.2 | +17.8 | 28,831 | + 0.6 | +30.2 |
| Iron and Steel Products |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Foundries and Machine Shopa | 11,058 | $+1.1$ | $+.7 .6$ | 261,445 | $-11.9$ | $-1.9$ |
| Structural and Ormamental Iron_........... | 2,244 | $+2.7$ | $+16.3$ | 44,379 | + 1.8 | +26.7 |
| NONMANUFACTURING |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crude Petroleum Production __________ | 30,925 | $+0.5$ | - 0.5 | 974,888 | $-0.1$ | $-2.3$ |
| Quarrying _.................. |  | + 3.2 | $-5.6$ |  | + 3.2 | $\checkmark 1.8$ |
| Public Utilities. | (1) | +0.1 | $+1.4$ | ${ }^{\text {c] }}$ | + 3.1 | + 7.4 |
| Retail Trade | 204,631 | + 3.5 | $+10.7$ | 3,406,585 | $+4.8$ | +10.2 |
| Wholesale Trade | 61,450 | + 4.0 | + 2.4 | 1,950,834 | + 5.1 | +19.1 |
| Dyeing and Cleaning... | 2,399 | - 1.5 | -. 0.4 | 36,022 | + 1.5 | +1.9 |
| Hotels_......... | 14,213 | $-0.3$ | $-1.6$ | 172,858 | + 1.8 | +12.3 |
| Power Laundries | 9,646 | $-0.4$ | + 5.0 | 122,780 | $-2.7$ | +10.7 |



## ESTIMATED NUMBER OF EMPLOYEES IN NONAGRICULTURAL BUSINESS

 AND GOVERNMENT ESTABLISHMENTS ${ }^{(2)}$| nuar | 941,000 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Februar |  |
| March | -962,000 |
| April | -954,000 |
|  | 967,000 |


|  |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| June - |  |
| August .-....-...........--...............965,000 |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

[^0]BUILDING PERMITS

|  | Nov., 1940 | Nov., 1939 | Oct., 1940 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Abilene | 37,425. | 28,992 | 61,391 |
| Amarillo | 165,820 | 180,915 | 151,276 |
| Austin | 737,343 | 344,704 | 506.128 |
| Beaumont | 163,152 | 323,320 | 126,480 |
| Big Spring | 36,520 | 22,898 | 22,150 |
| Brownsville | 12,703\|| | 48,077 | 50,965 |
| Coleman | 9,750* | 8 | 11,250* |
| Corpus Christi -.-------- | 280,746 | 1,356.177 | 449,846 |
| Corsicana ---...-------... | 18,975 | 19,393. | 5,960 |
| Dallas | 686,582 | 691,228 | 1,160,150 |
| Del Rio | 9,240 | 16,820 | 6,433 |
| Denton ---.-...-.----....... | 19,700 | 16,600 | 41,800 |
| El Paso | 131,899 | 137,922 | 135,057 |
| Fort Worth | 407,557 | 403,491 | 514,064 $\dagger$ |
| Galveston | 231,3144 | 74,143 | 118,171 |
| Gladewater | 3,325 |  | 0 |
| Harlingen | 56,220 | 27,685 | 6,525 |
| Houston | 1,083,580 | 1,387,550 | 1,912,352 |
| Jacksonville | 6,485 | 20,388 | 7,130 |
| Laredo | 8,795 | 2,000 | 2,000 |
| Longview | 111,720 | 47,681 | 20,225 |
| Lubbock | 149,445 | 160,718 | 431,578 |
| McAllen | 16,585 | 50,000 | 18,380 |
| Marshall | 18,219 | 41,449 | 18,024. |
| Midland | 15,775 | 26,350 | 51,100 |
| Odessa* | 21,185 | 24,330 | 40,440 |
| Palestine | 16,289 | 19,551 | 16,030 |
| Pampa | 19,100 | 18,350 | 19,300 |
| Plainview | 900 | 5,975 | 9,590 |
| Port Arthur | 71,617 | 121,362 | 102,982 |
| San Angelo ------.....--- | 73,601 | 32,198 | 93,123 |
| San Antonio .-------.-... | 2,151,9974 | 555,905 | 388,950 |
| Sherman | 37,255 | 14,471 | 24,940 |
| Snyder | 4,850* | 3 |  |
| Sweetwater | 11,905 | 12,330 | 12,070 |
| Tyler | 33,261 | 175,723 | 113,420 |
| Waco | 102,713 | 60,170 | 831,631 |
| Wichita Falls | 74, 130 | 112,500 | 269,519 |
| TOTAL -----....-.... | 7,027,928 | 6,581,266 | 7,738,280 |

[^1]
## PERCENTAGE CHANGES IN CONSUMPTION OF ELECTRIC POWER

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Nov., } 1940 \\ \text { from } \\ \text { Nov., } 1939 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Nov., } 1949 \\ \text { from } \\ \text { Oct., } 1940 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Commercial | $+7.5$ | $-2.7$ |
|  | + 2.9 | - 7.3 |
| Residential | $+7.5$ | $+2.0$ |
| All Others | + 8.0 | - 0.8 |
|  | $+0.8$ | $\cdots 3.6$ |

[^2]POSTAL RECEIPTS

|  | Nov., 1940 | Nov., 1939 | Oct., 1940 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 17,199 | \$ 17,199 | \$ 20,961 |
| Amarillo | 29,311 | 30,229 | 37,972 |
| Austin | 65,491 | 71,655 | 72,875 |
| Beaumont | 25,175 | 25,141 | 29,830 |
| Big Spring | 5,882 | 5,853 | 7,462 |
| Brownsville | 5,078 | 5,493 | 6,752 |
| Brownwood | 8,572 | 6,201 | 8,226 |
| Childress . | 2,546* |  | 3,501 |
| Coleman | 2,319* | $\dagger$ | 2,565* |
| Corpus Christi _---...---------> | 29,655 | 25,076 | 34,710 |
| Corsicana | 6,851 | 6,499 | 6,006 |
| Dallas | 401,892 | 368,275 | 427,096 |
| Del Rio | 3,356 | 5,112 | 4,526 |
| Denison | 6,181 | 6,045 | 6,662 |
| Denton | 6,826 | 6,219 | 10,025 |
| El Paso | 49,492 | 43,354 | 51,140 |
| Fort Worth | 159,587 | 151,235 | 167,117 |
| Galveston | 27,682 | 28,820 | 34,354 |
| Gladewater | 2,494 | 2,511 | 2,687 |
| Craham | 2,150 | 2,085 | 2,566 |
| Harlingen | 6,263 | 5,882 | 7,422 |
| Houston | 250,850 | 246,307 | 280,048 |
| Jacksonville | 3,188 | 3,013 | 3,325 |
| Kilgore | 5,229 | 6,030 | 6,545 |
| Longview | 8,393 | 8,700 | 10,880 |
| Lubhock | 18,046 | 17,865 | 21,696 |
| Lufkin | 3,987 | 4,008 | 5,521 |
| McAllen | 4,426 | 4,025 | 5,493 |
| Marshall | 6,133 | 5,646 | 7,934* |
| Odessa | 5,460* | $\dagger$ | 8,041* |
| Palestine | 4,690 | 4,761. | 5,893 |
| Pampa | 6,238 | 6,206 | 7,465 |
| Plainview | 4,096 | 3,967 | 4,570 |
| Port Arthur | 13,815 | 13,557 | 15,133 |
| San Angelo | 11,779 | 11,304 | 13,200 |
| San Antonio | 131,556 | 121,746 | 149,712 |
| San Benito | 3,387 | 2,369 | 1,244 |
| Sherman | 6,703 | 6,404 | 8,295 |
| Snyder | 1,374 | 1,340 | 1,661 |
| Sweetwater | 4,888 | 4,267 | 6,260 |
| Temple | 6,698 | 6,638 | 7,552 |
| Tyler | 15,694 | 16,282 | 18,801 |
| Waco | 34,392 | 33,336 | 37,019 |
| Wichita Falls | 21,970 | 19,526 | 27,032 |
| TOTAL | \$1,436,994 | \$1,360,081 | \$1,597,775 |

*Not included in totaL.
$\dagger$ Not svailablo.
Notr: Compiled from reporta from Texas Chambera of Commerce to the Burean of Dusiness Research.

## TEXAS COMMERCIAL FAILURES



NOVEMBER RETAIL SALES OF INDEPENDENT STORES IN TEXAS

|  | Number <br> Firme $\xrightarrow{\text { Ret- }}$ porting | Parcentage Change in Dollar Sales |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Nov., 1940 | Nov., 1940 |
|  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { from } \\ \text { Oct., } 1940 \end{gathered}$ |
| TOTAL TEXAS | .1,043 | +11.3 | + 2.4 |
| TEXAS STORES GROUPED BY -PRODUCING AREAS: |  |  |  |
| District 1-N | 53 | - 5.1 | - 4.8 |
| Amarillo .-. | 11 | - 7.6 | - 6.1 |
| Pampa |  | - 8.8 | - 0.03 |
| Plainview .-...--...-----------, | 11 | +11.8 | - 2.6 |
| All Others _--.--............... | 23 | - 5.5 | -10.0 |
| District 1-S | -. 24 | $-8.8$ | + 4.9 |
| Big Spring | 5 | -20.4 | + 4.3 |
| Lubbock |  | - 9.0 | + 5.2 |
| All Others .................... |  | +8.3 | + 4.5 |
|  | 83 | $+13.9$ | $-0.4$ |
| Abilene .-.-........-....--- | 14 | +17.4 | -11.4 |
|  | 5 | + 4.7 | -11.8 |
| Wichita Falls | 14 | +11.4 | + 8.4 |
| All Others |  | +15.1 | - 2.1 |
| District 3 | 30 | +37.7 | - 1.6 |
| Breckearidge ...-----........- | 5 | + 2.8 | + 4.7 |
| All Others ...-...........--...- |  | $+40.7$ | - 2.0 |
| District 4....--........--........... | 243 | $+11.4$ | + 1.2 |
| Cleburne | 7 | +14.9 | + 5.8 |
| Corsicana | - 8 | +16.0 | $-7.1$ |
| Dallas | 43 | +10.3 | + 1.4 |
| Denison | 9 | +22.7 | - 4.1 |
| Ennis | 5 | $-1.0$ | - 14.8 |
| Fort Worth | 45 | + 11.2 | +1.5 |
| Gainesville |  | +25.4 | +13.0 |
| Sherman | 5 | - 7.1 | -14.3 |
| Temple | 8 | +13.3 | - 8.4 |
| Waco | 26 | + 15.6 | + 1.4 |
| All Others | 82 | +13.3 | + 2.0 |
| District 5 | 109 | +17.2 | - 3.2 |
| Bryan | 6 | - 7.6 | $-12,8$ |
| Longview | 6 | $-2.7$ | + 1.7 |
|  | 10 | $+23.7$ | + 1.7 |
| Palestine | 6 | +12.1 | - 3.1 |
| Tyler | 13 | $+9.6$ | - 3.1 |
| All Others | 68 | +22.8 | - 3.3 |
|  | 30 | +19.6 | +13.6 |
| El Paso | 18 | +20.6 | +14.3 |
| All Others | 12 | $+5.5$ | + 3.2 |
| District $7 . .$. | 55 | + 6.6 | - 6.9 |
| Brady | 7 | - 1.7 | - 7.5 |
| San Angelo | 14 | +21.1 | - 4.9 |
| All Others. | 34 | -6.8 | - 9.4 |
| District 8 ...- | 198 | +16.6 | + 3.9 |
| Austin | 23 | +17.5 | +8.2 |
| Corpus Christi | 13 | +13.6 | + 1.4 |
| Lockhart | 7 | + 3.1 | $+6.6$ |
| San Antonio | 60 | +20.2 | $+4.7$ |
| San Marcos | 9 | $+21.4$ | + 6.4 |
| All Others | 86 | + 5.3 | $-3.8$ |
| District 9 | 158 | + 7.7 | + 3.6 |
| Bay City | 5 | +51.7 | +12.3 |
| Beaumont | 21 | +21.2 | $+11.1$ |
| Galveston | 20 | +15.0 | +11.0 |
| Houston | 54 | + 4.1 | + 4.6 |
| Port Arthur | 16 | + 0.01 | -16.5 |
| Vjctoria | 6 | $+13.8$ | $-2.7$ |
| All Others | 36 | + 4.4 | $-6.8$ |
| District 10 | 60 | + 0.6 | + 8.8 |
| Brownsville .-...-............-...... | 9 | + 1.4 | $-1.1$ |
| Harlingen | 6 | $-8.5$ | +11.1 |
| Laredo - | 6 | $+0.7$ | +13.2 |
| All Others .-....-...-....-...... | 39 | + 3.4 | + 9.8 |

Note: Prepared from reports of independent retail atoren to the Bureau of Buineas Reaencoh coöperating with the U.S. Bureau of the Cennus.

PURCHASES OF SAVINGS BONDS

|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Nov., } \\ 1939 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Year to } \mathrm{date}, 1940 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ycar to } \\ \text { dhate, } 1939 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Abilene: | 1,650 | 47,438 | 247,204 | 213,226 |
| Amarillo | 5,325 | 13,669 | 356,325* | 21,220 |
| Austin | 24,300 | 21,206 | 615,31.8 | 367,650 |
| Beaumont | 23,606 | 27,544 | 483,330 | 432,527 |
| Big Spring ---m | 1,613 | 2,175 | 96,676 | 74,232 |
| Brownsville --. - | 788 | 9,919 | 83,195 | 98,851 |
| Brownwood - | 450 | 4,106 | $\dagger$ | 51,953 |
| Corpus Christi | 11,362* | † | + | 5, |
| Dallas .-..-- | 118,031 | 146,119 | 2,405,420 | 2,295,731 |
| Del Rio.. | 94 | 2,700 | 15,958 | 9,508 |
| Denison | 12;881 | 10,350 | 129,708 | 105,262 |
| Denton | 492 | 2,625 | , | + |
| Fort Worth | 34,425 | 86,344 | 893,397 | 1,231,090 |
| Galveston ---.. | 21,825 | 27,525 | 497,850 | 371,306 |
| Gladewater -- | 769 | 1,025 | 76,331 | 87,446 |
| Harlingen - .-... | 6,113 | 25,238 | 57,053 | 83,458 |
| Kilgore .-.-.......-- | 3,581 | 1,050 | 99,789 | 101,157 |
| Longview --.-.... | 40,125 | 17,625 | 273,545 | 235,631 |
| McAllen | 1,313 | 1,744 | 67,839 | 57,151 |
| Marshall | 169 | 2,306 | 155,944 | 60,995 |
| Odessa .- | 2,419* | $\dagger$ | 39,450* | + |
| Palestine | 6,263 | 9,056 | $\dagger$ | 133,444 |
| Pampa | 3,375 | 9,806 | $\ddagger$ | 44,514* |
| Plainview | 319 | 4,163 | 51,808 | 60,452 |
| Port Arthur ....-- | 12,206 | 37,069 | 278,219 | 236,983 |
| San Angelo .-.-.-. | 750 | 30,225 | 154,856 | 150,619 |
| San Antonio - | 94,988 | 57,319 | 1,603,595 | 1,428,639 |
| San Benito | 525 | 5,831 | 37,876 | 30,282 |
| Sherman | 12,263 | 9,694 | 91,651 | 77,702 |
| Ternple | 1,500 | 9,300 | 72,208. | 78,939 |
| Tyler | 46,081 | 11,063 | 300,618 | 237,938 |
| Waco | 26,119 | 39,338 | 586,179 | 485,512 |
| Wichita Falls | 10,481 | 15,300 | 433,165 | 367,589 |
| TOTAL --...........-- | 512,370 | 688,872 | 9,848,182 | 8,980,276 |

*Not inciuded in total.
\&Not evailsble.

NOVEMBER, 1940, CARLOAD MOVEMENT OF POULTRY AND EGGS

Shipments from Texas Stations

| Dettination* | Cars of Poultry |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Live |  |  |  | Dressed |  |  | Cars of Exent |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | reya |  |  |  | rkoya |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Noy. } \\ & 1940 \end{aligned}$ | Now. <br> 1939 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Nov. } \\ & 1940 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Nov. } \\ & 1939 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Noy. } \\ & \text { 1940 } \end{aligned}$ | 1939 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Nov, } \\ \text { S } 404 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Noy. } \\ & 1939 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Nov. } \\ 1940 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Nov. } \\ \text { 1939 } \end{gathered}$ |
| 'TOTAL |  | --- | 11 | 29 | 20.5 |  | 7545.0 | 561 | 7.0 | 15.0 |
| Intrastate |  | ---> | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 12.0 | 0 | 6.0 | 1.5 |
| Interstate |  |  | 11 | 29 | 20.5 |  | 7533.0 | 561 | 71.0 | 13.5 |

Origin Receipts at Texas Stations


[^3]
## NOVEMBER RETAIL SALES OF INDEPENDENT STORES IN TEXAS

|  | November, 1940 |  |  | Year 1940 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Namber Firm, $\mathrm{Re}-$ porting | $\begin{gathered} \text { Peraentage } \\ \text { Nov., } 1940 \\ \text { from } \\ \text { Nov, } 1939 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Number } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { Firms } \\ \text { Re* } \\ \text { porting } \end{gathered}$ | Tercent Chanhe Year 1940 fromi <br> Year 1939 |
| TEXAS | 1,043 | $+11.3$ | + 2.4 | 985 | + 5.8 |
| STORES GROUPED BY LINE OF GOODS CARRIED: |  |  |  |  |  |
| APPAREL | 111 | $+11.7$ | $-4.1$ | 107 | $+5.1$ |
| Family Clothing Stores | 24 | $+9.4$ | $+6.3$ | 24 | $+1.5$ |
| Men's and Boys' Clothing | 38 | $+10.4$ | - 1.0 | 35 | +5.9 |
| Shoe Stores --- | 20 | +21.5 | - 6.9 | 20 | + 4.7 |
| Women's Specialty Shops | 29 | $+11.9$ | - 7.4 | 28 | + 5.4 |
| AUTOMOTIVE ${ }^{*}$ - | 67 | $+15.6$ | $+7.6$ | 65 | +12.1 |
| Motor Vehicle Dealers | 64 | +15.0 | + 7.0 | 62 | $+11.7$ |
| COUNTRY GENERAL | 110 | +16.1 | - 2.6 | 10 I | +6.3 |
| DEPARTMENT STORES | 56 | $+11.8$ | $+6.2$ | 53 | + 5.5 |
| DRUG STORES | 107 | + 3.4 | -8.1 | 97 | + 3.4 |
| DRY GOODS AND GENERAL MERCHANDISE | 18 | +12.0 | $+0.1$ | 16 | $+0.3$ |
| FILLING STATIONS | 39 | $+11.8$ | $+6.6$ | 39 | - 2.4 |
| FLORISTS | 23 | -8.2 | + 7.2 | 22 | + 3.1 |
| FOOD* | 191 | + 2.9 | + 1.0 | 181 | - 0.5 |
| Grocery Stores | 59 | + 7.5 | + 2.8 | 57 | + 0.5 |
| Grocery and Meat Stores | 126 | + 1.6 | + 0.6 | 118 | 0.9 |
| FURNITURE AND HOUSEHOLD* | 59 | +2.3 $+\quad 10$. | $-1.6$ | 57 | + 5.2 |
| Furniture Stores | 48 | $+1.0$ | + 0.8 | 46 | + 5.0 |
| Houschold Appliance Stores | 6 | $+13.0$ | $-20.7$ | 6 | +8.7 |
| JEWELRY - | 37 | $+11.3$ | $+20.6$ | 34 | + 5.6 |
| LUMBER, BUILDING, AND HARDWARE | 190 | +12.8 | - 5.4 | 180 | + 2.4 |
| Farm Implement Dealers.-.-.-----.--..- | 10 | +34.6 | + 2.1 | 9 | +11.9 |
| Hardware Stores | 54 | + 2.9 | $-8.4$ | 51 | + 5.7 |
| Lumber and Building Material Dealers | 122 | $+13.4$ | $-5.7$ | 116 | + 1.7 |
| RESTAURANTS | 21 | $-2.6$ | - 0.5 | 19 | - 1.8 |
| ALL OTHER STORES | 14 | $-5.5$ | $+3.2$ | 14 | + 2.0 |
| TEXAS STORES GROUPED ACCORDING TO POPU. LATION OF CATY: |  |  |  |  |  |
| All Stores in Cities of. |  |  |  |  |  |
| Over 100,000 Population | 220 | $+11.6$ | + 4.0 | 210 |  |
| 50,000-100,000 Population | 106 | $+15.2$ | + 4.4 | 99 | + 5.7 |
| 2,500-50,000 Population .-.. | 422 | +9.0 | + 0.9 | 397 | + 5.3 |
| Less than 2,500 Population | 295 | +9.8 | $-6.2$ | 279 | + 5.5 |

*Group total includes kind of business other than the classifications listud.
Nome: Prepared from reportg of independent retail stores to the Bureau of Burincss Research cooperating with the United States Bureall of the Censurg.

COTTON BALANGE SHEET FOR THE UNITED STATES AS OF DECEMBER 1
(In Thousands of Running Bales Except as Noted)

|  | Carryover Aug. 1 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ymporta } \\ \text { ta } \\ \text { Dec, } 1^{*} \end{gathered}$ | Goverament Estimate Dec. $1 *$ | Total | Consump. tion to tion $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{ec} .} \mathrm{I}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{E}_{\text {Eports }} \text { to } \\ \text { Dec, } \end{gathered}$ | Total | Balance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1931-1932 | 6,369 | 21 | 16,918 | 23,308 | 1,776 | 2,854 | 4,630 | 18,678 |
| 1932-1933 | 9,692 | 27 | 12,727 | 22,436 | 1,902 | 3,206 | 5,108 | 17,328 |
| 1933-1934 | 8,176 | 46 | 13,177 | 21,399 | 2,068 | 3,360 | 5,428 | 15,971 |
| 1934-1935 | 7,746 | 39 | 9,731 | 17,516 | 1,717 | 1,894 | 3,611 | 13,905 |
| 1935-1936 | 7,138 | 30 | 10,734 | 17,902 | 1,924 | 2,575 | 4,499 | 13,403 |
| 1936-1937 | 5,397 | 41 | 12,407 | 17,845 | 2,482 | 2,303 | 4,785 | 13,060 |
| 1937-1938 | 4,498 | 31 | 18,746 | 23,275 | 2,212 | 2,434 | 4,652 | 18,623 |
| 1938-1939 | 11,533 | 54 | 12,008 | 23,595 | 2,236 | 1,535 | 3,771 | 19,824 |
| 1939-1940 | 13,033 | 48 | 11,792 | 24,873 | 2,660 | 2,328 | 4,988 | 19,885 |
| 1940-1941 | 10,596 | 42 | 12,686 | 23,324 | 2,809 | 495 | 3,304 | 20,020 |

[^4]
## BANKING STATISTICS

(In Millions of Dollars)

|  | Noveriber, 1940 |  |  | Noverabet, 1939 |  |  |  | October, 1940 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \$ | $\underset{\text { District }}{\text { Dallas }}$ | United |  | Dalline District | United States |  | Dallas Ditrict | United Stater |
| Drarts to individual accounts_.____ |  | 916 | \$ 36,117 | \$ | 849 | \$ 31,823 | \$ | 878 | \$ 34,661 |
| Condition of reporting member banks on-- |  |  | 27, 1940 |  |  | 29, 1939 |  |  | 30, 1940 |
| Assets: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Loans and investments--total. |  | 580 | 24,902 |  | 544 | 23,159 |  | 560 | 24,602 |
| Loans-total |  | 304 | 9,128 |  | 277 | 8,656 |  | 290 | 8,909 |
| Commercial, industrial, and agricultural loans |  | 204 | 4,911 |  | 185 | 4,381 |  | 193 | 4,773 |
| Open market paper. |  | 2 | 299 |  | 2 | 312 |  | 2 | 304 |
| Loans to brokers and dealers in securities. |  | 3 | 467 |  | 2 | 660 |  | 3 | 410 |
| Other loans for purchasing or carrying securities. |  | 14 | 460 |  | 14 | 499 |  | 13 | 455 |
| Real estate loans. |  | 24 | 1,228 |  | 22 | 1,189 |  | 23 | 1,222 |
| Loans to banks... |  | 1 | 39 |  |  | 36 |  | 1 | 36 |
| Other loans. |  | 56 | 1,724 |  | 52 | 1,579 |  | 55 | 1,709 |
| Treasury Bills. |  | 37 | 784 |  | 24 | 711 |  | 37 | 736 |
| Treasury Notes. |  | 34 | 1,861 |  | 54 | 2,160 |  | 33 | 1,834 |
| U.S. Bonds. |  | 101 | 6,898 |  | 78 | 5,842 |  | 95 | 6,804 |
| Obligations fully guaranteed by U.S. Gov't |  | 45 | 2,707 |  | 53 | 2,408 |  | 46 | 2,627 |
| Other securities |  | 59 | 3,524 |  | 58 | 3,382 |  | 59 | 3,692 |
| Reserve with Federal Reserve Bank |  | 142 | 12,138 |  | 137 | 9,792 |  | 142 | 12,030 |
| Cash in vault ---....- |  | 13 | . 540 |  | 11 | 504 |  | 13 | 526 |
| Balances with domestio banks |  | 286 | 3,347 |  | 273 | 3,072 |  | 288 | 3,270 |
| Other assets-net |  | 31 | 1,249 |  | 30 | 1,294 |  | 31 | 1,230 |
| Liabilities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Demand deposits-adjusted. |  | 516 | 22,189 |  | 468 | 18,972 |  | 506 | 21,858 |
| Time deposits .....-- |  | 135 | 5,375 |  | 137 | 5,232 |  | 135 | 5,349 |
| U.S. Government deposits. |  | 32 | 531 |  | 30 | 535 |  | 32 | 528 |
| Inter.bank deposits: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Domestic banks |  | 274 | 8,843 |  | 269 | 7,894 |  | 267 | 8,707 |
| Foreign banks ...._-_................... |  | 1 | 671 |  | -- | 731 |  | 1 | 668 |
| Borrowings -- ${ }^{\text {ather }}$ - |  | 4 | ${ }_{74}$ |  | $\stackrel{\square}{4}$ | 1 749 |  |  | \% |
| Other liabilitiea |  | 90 | 3822 |  | 87 | 3707 |  | 4 | 744 |

Notb: From Federal Roserve Board.

## PETROLEUM

Daily Average Production
(In Barrels)

|  | Nov., 1940 | Nor., 1939 | Oct., 1940 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Coastal Texas* | 236,950 | 221,510 | 222,890 |
| East Central Texas | 77,500 | 89,390 | 73,020 |
| East Texas | 373,800 | 416,870 | 344,990 |
| North Texas | 110,800 | 86,290 | 110,830 |
| Panhandle | 65,100 | 76,010 | 81,930 |
| Southwest Texas | 200,200 | 205,670 | 215,880 |
| West Central Tex | 30,400 | 29,820 | 30,120 |
| West Texas | 217,750 | 230,660 | 228,050 |
| STATE | 1,312,500 | 1,356,220 | 1,307,710 |
| UNITED STATES | 3,565,450 | . 3,599,570 | 3,583,630 |
| Imports | 204,929 | 147,367 | 222,028 |

[^5]Gasoline sales as indicated by taxes collected by the State Comptroller were: October, 1940, 122,431,000 gallons; October, 1939, 112,237,000 gallons; September, 1940, 119,557,000 gallons.


## NOVEMBER CREDIT RATIOS IN TEXAS RETAIL STORES

(Expressed in Per Cent)

|  | Number of Stores Reporting | Ratio of Credit Salea to Net Sales |  | Ratio of Collections to Outstandings |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ratio of } \\ & \text { Credit S Salaries } \\ & \text { to Credit Sales } \\ & 1940 \quad 1939 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All Stores | 69 | 66.4 | 66.8 | 43.4 | 41.7 | 0.9 | 1.0 |
| Stores Grouped by Cities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Abilene | 3 | 55.7 | 55.6 | 35.0 | 29.8 | 1.4 | 1.6 |
| Amarillo | 3 | 62.3 | 60.8 | 43.8 | 47.0 | 1.7 | 1.8 |
| Austin | 6 | 58.8 | 60.0 | 50.2 | 48.1 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| Beaumont | 3 | 69.3 | 71.5 | 44.6 | 43.3 | 1.3 | 1.2 |
| Bryan | 3 | 56.1 | 57.0 | 39.6 | 37.0 | 3.3 | 3.3 |
| Dallas | 10 | 73.2 | 72.3 | 46.4 | 43.5 | 0.7 | 0.7 |
| Fort Worth | 6 | 67.2 | 65.6 | 37.8 | 37.3 | 1.1 | 1.1 |
| Houston | 8 | 65.0 | 64.7 | 42.3 | 42.4 | 1.3 | 1.4 |
| San Antonio | 4 | 58.4 | 64.4 | 49.8 | 47.6 | 1.0 | 0.9 |
| Waco | 5 | 62.8 | 63.6 | 33.7 | 31.6 | 1.3 | 1.3 |
| All Others | 18 | 60.2 | 60.9 | 40.6 | 38.6 | 1.3 | 1.4 |
| Stores Grouped According to Type of Store: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Department Stores (Annual Volume Over \$500,000) | 21 | 66.2 | 66.9 | 43.7 | 43.0 | 0.9 | 0.9 |
| Department Stores (Annual Volume Under \$500,000) | 12 | 56.5 | 59.6 | 38.0 | 35.6 | 1.8 | 1.8 |
| Dry Goods-Apparel Stores | 4 | 60.9 | 61.7 | 43.4 | 41.5 | 1.6 | 1.8 |
| Women's Specialty Shops | 15 | 69.7 | 68.4 | 44.2 | 39.6 | 0.6 | 0.6 |
| Men's Clothing Stores | 17 | 66.9 | 66.1 | 42.0 | 40.4 | 1.4 | 1.5 |
| Stores Grouped According to Volume of Net Sales During 1939: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Over \$2,500,000. | 10 | 70.7 | 69.9 | 44.4 | 41.7 | 0.7 | 0.7 |
| \$2,500,000 down to \$1,000,000. | 11 | 64.3 | 63.0 | 42.5 | 38.8 | 0.9 | 1.0 |
| \$1,000,000 down to \$500,000 | 10 | 62.2 | 60.1 | 44.0 | 42.0 | 1.2 | 1.2 |
| \$500,000 down to \$100,000 | 27 | 58.3 | 58.9 | 41.7 | 39.4 | 1.4 | 1.6 |
| Less than \$100,000. | 11 | 65.1 | 63.0 | 42.4 | 39.6 | 2.7 | 2.9 |

Note: The ratios shown for each year, in the order in which they appear from left to right, are obtained by the following computations: (1) Credit sales
 ment divided by credit sales.

The data aro reported to the Bureau of Businesa Research by Texas retail storsm.

## TEXAS CHARTERS

|  | Nov., 1940 | Nov., 1939 | Oct., 1940 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Domestic Corporations |  |  |  |
| Capitalization* ___ \$ | \$ 790 | \$1,143 | \$1,171 |
| Number | 64 | 101 | 94 |
| Classification of new corporations: |  |  |  |
| Banking-Finance | 4 | 2 | 2 |
| Manufacturing | 10 | 20 | 19 |
| Merchandising | 12 | 25 | 32 |
| Oil | 5 | 17 | 9 |
| Public Service | 1 | 0 |  |
| Real Estate-Building | 7 | 11 | 7 |
| Transportation | 4 | 2 | 5 |
| All Others | 21 | 24 | 20 |
| Number capitalized at less than $\$ 5,000$ | 34 | 53 | 45 |
| Number capitalized at $\$ 100,000$ or more $\qquad$ | - 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Foreign Corporations (Number) --. | - 24 | 25 | 24 |

## COMMODITY PRICES

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Nov., } \\ & 19490 \end{aligned}$ | Nov., | Oct, 1940 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wholesale Prices: |  |  |  |
| U. S. Bureau of Labor Statistics $(1926=100)$ | 79.6 | 79.2 | 78.7 |
| Farm Prices: |  |  |  |
| U. S. Bureau of Labor Statistics $(1926=100)$ | 68.2 | 67.3 | 66.4 |
| Retail Prices: |  |  |  |
| Food (U. S. Bureau of Labor Statistics $1935-39=100$ ) | 95.9 | 96.7 | 96.2 |
| Department Stores (Fairchild's Publications, Jan. $1931=100$ ) | 93.7 | 91.9 | 93.5 |

[^6]
## NOVEMBER SHIPMENTS OF LIVE STOCK CONVERTED TO A RAIL-CAR BASIS*

|  | Cattle |  | Calves |  | Hoga |  | Sheep |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1940 | 1939 | 1940 | 1939 | 1940 | 1939 | 1940 | 1939 | 1940 | 1939 |
| Total Interstate Plus Fort Worthif | 5,464 | 5,619 | 1,409 | 1,652 | 627 | 535 | 448 | 371 | 7,948 | 8,177 |
| Total Intrastate Omitting Fort Worth | 669 | 868 | 156 | 222 | 18 | 12 | 63 | 99 | 906 | 1,201 |
| TOTAL SHIPMENTS. | 6,133 | 6,487 | 1,565 | 1,874 | 645 | 54 | 511 | 470 | 8,854 | 9,378 |

TEXAS CAR-LOT* SHIPMENTS OF LIVE STOCK, JAN 1-DEC. 1, 1940

|  | Cattle |  | Calves |  | Hoge |  | Sheop |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 1940 | 1939 | 1940 | 1939 | 1940 | 1939 |
| Total Interstate Plus Fort Worth $\uparrow$ | 44,538 | 52,218 |  |  | 12,764 | 14,294 | 7,635 | 7,910 | 11,250 | 9,947 | 76,187 | 84,369 |
| Total Intrastate Omitting Fort Worth | 4,752 | 7,858 | 1,046 | 1,569 | 217 | 411 | 978 | 1,656 | 6,993 | 11,494 |
| TOTAL SHIPMENTS | 49,290 | 60,076 | 13,810 | 15,863 | 7,852 | 8,321 | 12,228 | 11,603 | 83,180 | 95,86 |

*Rail-car Basis: Cattle, 30 head per car; calves, 60 ; hogs, 80 ; and sheep, 250.
Fort Worth shipments are combined with interstate forwardings in order that the bulk of market disappearance for the month may be shown.
Note: Theso data are furnished the Agricultural Marketing Service, U.S. Dept. of Agriculture by railway officials through more than 1,500 station agents, representing every live stock shipping point in the State. The data are compiled by the Bureau of Business Research.
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[^0]:    *Does nat inclade proprietors, firm mombers, oficers of corpotations, or other principal executives. Factory emploympat exeludea alao office, asles, technical, and profespional personnel. These figures are subject to revision.
    (i) Not ayailable.
    (2) Leas than $1 / 20$ of ane per cent.
    (s) Not including selfemplayed persong, casual workera, or domestid servanta, and excluaive of military and maritime pergonnel. Theae figurea are furafahed by the Bureau of Labor Statatice, U.S. Department of Labor.
     Stetioticu.

[^1]:    *Not included in total.
    +This figure is a correctiun of the ore which appeared last month.
    $\ddagger$ Includes $25 \mathrm{U}, \mathrm{S}$. government buildinge at a cost of $\$ 145,000$.
    s Not availeble.
    引Does not include public works.
    Includes construction of Victoria Conrts for $\$ 1,842,600$.
    Nots: Compiled from reports from Texas chembers of commerce to the Buyeau of Business Reseatch.

[^2]:    Nots: Prepered from reports from 11 electric power companiea to the Buxeat of Buainess Research,

[^3]:    *The destination above is the firat destination as shown by the orfginal waybill, Chinges in deatination brought about by diveraion orders are not ghown.
    $\dagger$ Powdered egga and canned frozen eggs are converted to a shell egg equivaleat.
    Note: These data are furnished the Agricultural Mutketing Service, United Statep Department of Agriculture, by railroad olicials through sgents at all sta, tiuns which originate and receive carload shipment of poultry and egge. The data are compiled by the Bureau of Business Research.

[^4]:    *In 500-pound Bales,
    The Cotton Yeat Begina Auguat 1.

[^5]:    *Includes Conroe.
    Noxs: From Amorican Petroleam Iustitute
    Sce accompanying map showing the oil producing areag.

[^6]:    *In thousands.
    Nors: Compiled from records of the Secretary of State.

