W600.7 R299 #297

Report 297

STAT

Government Publications Texas State Documents

AUG 17 1987 PC Dallas Public Library

Ground-Water Resources of Rusk County, Texas

April 1987

Texas Water Development Board



TEXAS WATER DEVELOPMENT BOARD

REPORT 297

GROUND-WATER RESOURCES OF RUSK COUNTY, TEXAS

Βу

W. M. Sandeen U.S. Geological Survey

This report was prepared by the U.S. Geological Survey under cooperative agreement with the Texas Water Development Board

April 1987

TEXAS WATER DEVELOPMENT BOARD

Charles E. Nemir, Executive Administrator

Thomas M. Dunning, Chairman Glen E. Roney Charles W. Jenness Stuart S. Coleman, Vice Chairman George W. McCleskey Louie Welch

Authorization for use or reproduction of any original material contained in this publication, i.e., not obtained from other sources, is freely granted. The Board would appreciate acknowledgement.

Published and distributed by the Texas Water Development Board Post Office Box 13231 Austin, Texas 78711

FOREWORD

Effective September 1, 1985, the Texas Department of Water Resources was divided to form the Texas Water Commission and the Texas Water Development Board. A number of publications prepared under the auspices of the Department are being published by the Texas Water Commission. To minimize delays in producing these publications, references to the Department will not be altered except on their covers and title pages. .

.

. . . .

ABSTRACT

Fresh to slightly saline water is available in most parts of Rusk County, which is located in the Piney Woods region of northeast Texas. The Wilcox aquifer, which underlies the entire county, was the source of most of the ground water withdrawn during 1980. Other units capable of yielding fresh ground water are the Carrizo, Queen City, and Sparta aquifers and the Reklaw Formation.

About 5.4 million gallons per day of ground water was used for all purposes during 1980. Of this amount, about 78 percent was used for public supply, 10 percent for mining, 8 percent for industrial purposes, and 4 percent for rural domestic use. Water levels have declined extensively at the city of Henderson, which used about 38 percent of all ground water consumed in Rusk County.

Generally, the ground water is of acceptable quality. Water in some of the near-surface beds and some of the deeper sands in the Wilcox aquifer may have become mineralized because of oilfield operations. Ground-water contamination by oilfield brines at Henderson Oil Field has been documented. Two separate instances of streamflow contamination at Striker Creek and Henderson Oil Field have been documented.

Moderate amounts of ground water are available for development. The amount that is available perennially is not known, but it is greater than that being withdrawn. Assuming a hydraulic gradient of about 8 feet per mile, at least 12 million gallons per day of fresh ground water is being transmitted through the Wilcox and about 3 million gallons per day through the Carrizo. About 20 million acre-feet of fresh ground water is available from storage in the Wilcox and about 4 million acre-feet from storage in the Carrizo. Additional amounts of slightly saline water are available from the major aquifers. Smaller but undetermined amounts of fresh ground water are available from the Sparta and Queen City aquifers and from the Reklaw Formation. Properly constructed wells in the Wilcox and Carrizo aquifers can be expected to yield more than 500 gallons per minute if the wells are properly spaced. Development of additional resources around the city of Henderson and the Mount Enterprise Fault System should be considered cautiously because of the probability of saltwater encroachment. Ground water in other parts of the county is practically undeveloped.

Some mineralization of ground water is due to natural causes. Other mineralization of ground water is due to contamination. A program needs to be initiated to determine the extent and cause of mineralization that has taken place in freshwater sands. Water-quality data are needed at Henderson in order to monitor saltwater encroachment.

۷

-.

· .

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
ABSTRACT	v
INTRODUCTION	1
Location and Extent of Area	1
Purpose and Scope	1
Methods of Investigation	2
Physiography, Drainage, and Climate	3
Economic Development	4
Population	4
Previous Investigations	5
Acknowledgments	6
Well-numbering System	6
Definitions of Terms	7
Metric Conversions	11
GEOLOGIC FRAMEWORK AND PHYSICAL CHARACTERISTICS	12
Midway Group	13
Wilcox Group	21
Carrizo Sand	21
Reklaw Formation	21
Queen City Sand	25

	Page
Weches Formation	25
Sparta Sand	25
Terrace Deposits and Alluvium	25
HYDROLOGIC UNITS	25
Wilcox Aquifer	26
Carrizo Aquifer	26
Other Aquifers	26
GROUND-WATER HYDROLOGY	33
Source and Occurrence	33
Recharge, Movement, and Discharge of Ground Water	34
Hydraulic Characteristics of the Aquifers	34
QUALITY OF GROUND WATER	38
Water-Quality Criteria and Standards	44
Aquifers and Geologic Units	44
Midway Group	47
Wilcox Aquifer	47
Carrizo Aquifer	47
Other Aquifers and Geologic Units	48
Contamination and Protection of Ground Water	48
Surface Casing	48
Disposal of Saltwater	49
Contamination	51

	Page
DEVELOPMENT AND USE OF GROUND WATER	52
History of Development	52
Use of Water	53
Municipal Use	53
Industrial Use	53
Mining Use	53
Changes in Water Levels	58
Well Construction	60
AVAILABILITY OF GROUND WATER	60
Wilcox and Carrizo Aquifers	61
Other Aquifers	62
Areas Most Favorable for Future Development	62
NEEDS FOR CONTINUING DATA COLLECTION	65
CONCLUSIONS	65
SELECTED REFERENCES	67

TABLES

1.	Cross Reference of Well Numbers in Rusk County	9
2.	Geologic Units and Their Water-Bearing Properties in Rusk County	17
3.	Results of Aquifer Tests in Cherokee, Gregg, and Nacogdoches Counties	37
4.	Source and Significance of Selected Constituents and Properties Commonly Reported in Water Analyses	40
5.	Saltwater Production and Disposal, East Texas Oil Field	50

		Page
6.	Approximate Withdrawals of Ground Water During 1960, 1970, and 1980, in Rusk County	57
7.	Municipal Use of Ground Water in Rusk County	57
8.	Records of Wells, Springs, and Test Holes in Rusk County and Adjacent Areas	72
9.	Drillers' Logs of Selected Wells in Rusk County	92
10.	Water Levels in Wells in Rusk and Cherokee Counties	106
11.	Water-Quality Data for Ground-Water Samples Collected From Wells in Rusk and Cherokee Counties	113
12.	Concentrations of Metals and Trace Elements in Water From Wells and Springs in Rusk County	121

FIGURES

•

1.	Map Showing Location of Rusk County	2
2 .	Graph Showing Annual Precipitation at Henderson, 1909-80	3
3.	Graph Showing Average-Monthly Precipitation and Temperature at Henderson and Average-Monthly Gross-Lake Surface Evaporation in Rusk County	4
4.	Photograph Showing C. M. (Dad) Joiner, Dr. Lloyd, H. L. Hunt, and Drilling Crew of No. 3 Daisy Bradford, Discovery Well of East Texas	
	Oil Field (1930)	5
5.	Map Showing Location of Significant Oil and Gas Fields	5
6.	Diagram Showing Well-Numbering System	8
7.	Map Showing Location of Principal Geologic Structural Features	13
8.	Map Showing Geologic Units in Rusk County	15
9.	Map Showing Approximate Altitude of the Base of the Wilcox Group	19

		Page
10.	Map Showing Approximate Altitude of the Top of the Wilcox Group	23
11.	Map Showing Approximate Thickness of Freshwater-Bearing Sands in the Wilcox Aquifer, 1981	27
12.	Map Showing Approximate Altitude of the Base of Freshwater, 1981	29
13.	Map Showing Approximate Altitude of the Base of Slightly Saline Water, 1981	31
14.	Photograph Showing Ground Water Seeping From Sand Layers in the Carrizo Aquifer at the Ross Clay Pit North of the City of Henderson	33
15.	Map Showing Approximate Altitude of the Potentiometric Surface of the Wilcox Aquifer, 1979-81	35
16.	Graph Showing Relationship of Drawdown to Transmissivity and Distance	39
17.	Graph Showing Relationship of Drawdown to Time and Distance as a Result of Pumping Under Artesian Conditions	39
18.	Map Showing Total Dissolved-Solids Concentrations in Water From Selected Wells Screened in the Wilcox, Carrizo, and Queen City Aquifers and in the Reklaw Formation, 1981	45
1 9 .	Graph Showing Relationship Between Surface-Casing Requirements and the Base of Fresh to Slightly Saline Water, Rusk County	49
20 .	Map Showing Approximate Areas Served by Rusk County Public Water-Supply Systems	55
21.	Photograph Showing Water-Storage Tank at Mobil's T. O. Mason Pressure-Maintenance Project in East Texas Oil Field	58
22.	Hydrographs Showing Fluctuations of Water Levels in Selected Wells in Rusk and Cherokee Counties	59
23.	Map Showing Locations of Areas Favorable for Future Development of Ground Water	63
24.	Map Showing Location of Wells, Springs, and Selected Test Holes	123

			Page
25.	Geologic Section A-A'	·····	125
26.	Geologic Section B-B'		127
27.	Geologic Section C-C'	·····	129
	· · ·		
·			
	· .		
	· ·		
			•

GROUND-WATER RESOURCES OF

RUSK COUNTY, TEXAS

By W. M. Sandeen, U.S. Geological Survey

INTRODUCTION

Location and Extent of Area

Rusk County, located in the Piney Woods region of northeast Texas, is bordered by Gregg and Harrison Counties on the north, Panola and Shelby Counties on the east, Nacogdoches County on the south, and Cherokee and Smith Counties on the west (Figure 1). The city of Henderson, the county seat and largest city in the county, is about 135 miles east of Dallas and about 75 miles west of Shreveport, Louisiana. Rusk County has an area of 939 square miles. Altitude of the land surface ranges from 227 feet near the Sabine River to 709 feet near the town of Mount Enterprise.

Purpose and Scope

This is a report of a detailed investigation of the ground-water resources of Rusk County begun during 1979 by the U.S. Geological Survey in cooperation with the Texas Department of Water Resources. After about 5 months of initial work, the project was deferred for lack of funds. The project was resumed during 1981, which made it necessary to update the 1979 data. The report now reflects 1981 water levels.

The purpose of the investigation was to determine the occurrence, availability, dependability, quality, and quantity of ground water present in the county. Special emphasis was placed upon describing the quantity and quality of ground water suitable for public supply and industrial use.

The investigation included determining the extent of sands containing freshwater; documenting the chemical quality of the water; estimating the quantities of water being withdrawn; determining the effects of withdrawals on ground-water levels; estimating the hydraulic characteristics of the water-bearing sands; rating the area on the basis of ground-water availability; and determining the potential sources of contamination.



Figure 1.--Location of Rusk County

Methods of Investigation

Field data for this report were collected during March through June 1979, and during March through July 1981. Data from older reports were included, the earliest of which was written in 1932, shortly after the discovery of East Texas Oil Field. Basic information, including depths of wells, water levels, methods of well construction, type of lift, yield characteristics, and use of water was collected for 365 wells. In addition, water samples were collected for chemical analysis. All relevant information previously collected by the Texas Department of Water Resources and the Geological Survey was used.

Basic data used in describing the hydrologic characteristics and features of the

various aquifers in this report are derived from the field inventory of existing water wells, drillers' logs of representative wells, measurement of water levels in these wells, collection and analysis of water samples from the wells, and aquifer tests. The well inventories are compiled in Table 8, drillers' logs in Table 9, water levels in Table 10, and water-quality analyses in Tables 11 and 12.

Most data relating to the quantity of ground water withdrawn for public supply and industrial uses were obtained from records of the Texas Department of Water Resources. Some quantities were estimated on the basis of the number of users and normal rates of use.

The map of the geologic units is from the Geologic Atlas of Texas, which was prepared by the University of Texas, Bureau of Economic Geology (1965, 1968). Electric logs of oil, gas, and water wells commonly were used for control in preparation of the geologic sections and for maps showing the altitudes of aquifers, the base of fresh and slightly saline water, and approximate thickness of sands containing freshwater. Additional subsurface information was provided by drillers' logs of wells. In some instances, projections of fault blocks from the surface to the subsurface were made to show relationships existing along the Mount Enterprise Fault Zone.

Representative results of aquifer tests from previously published data in adjacent counties were analyzed by the Theis nonequilibrium method as modified by Cooper and Jacob (1946) and the Theis recovery method (Wenzel, 1942). Data relating to secondary recovery, saltwater production, surface casing, and oil production in oil and gas fields were acquired from records of the Railroad Commission of Texas and the East Texas Salt Water Disposal Company.

Altitudes not previously determined were interpolated from available Geological Survey 7½ and 15-minute topographic maps having a contour interval ranging between 10 feet and 20 feet in the study area.

Physiography, Drainage, and Climate

Rusk County is in the West Gulf Coastal Plain physiographic province (Fenneman, 1939) and a part of the Piney Woods region of East Texas. The most prominent physiographic feature is the Mount Enterprise Fault System, which extends along an east-west axis across the southern part of the county. The system forms a series of hills, some of which attain an altitude in excess of 600 feet, extending from due east of Mount Enterprise to near Reklaw, where the system is somewhat offset to the north. The land surface slopes away from these high ridges, generally to the north and to the south, interrupting a regional surface sloping in an easterly and southerly direction. Substantial growths of pine and hardwood occur throughout much of the county.

Springs commonly are found at higher and intermediate altitudes. Streams in the northeastern part of the county drain to the Sabine River whereas those in the southwestern part drain to the Neches River. Striker Creek and Bowles Creek drain into the Striker Creek Lake, Beaver Run and Tiawichi Creek into Lake Cherokee, and Martin Creek into Martin Lake.

Rusk County has a warm, semihumid climate. Annual precipitation at Henderson for 1909-80 ranged from 23 inches during 1963 to 68 inches during 1957 and averaged 38.8 inches as shown in Figure 2. According to the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, the monthly precipitation at Henderson for 1941-70 ranged from 2.81 inches during July to 5.79 inches during May and averaged 3.94 inches as shown in Figure 3.



Figure 2.—Annual Precipitation at Henderson, 1909-80

The average-annual temperature at Henderson (Figure 3) is 18.7°C (65.3°F). Dates of the first and last freezes are about November 14 and February 20; the average growing season lasts about 250



Figure 3.—Average-Monthly Precipitation and Temperature at Henderson and Average-Monthly Gross-Lake Surface Evaporation in Rusk County

days. The average-annual gross-lake surface evaporation in Rusk County for 1940-65 was 45.9 inches (Kane, 1967).

Economic Development

During 1980, oil and gas, lignite leasing, lumbering, agriculture, and clay products provided the main sources of income for Rusk County, Until 1930, lumbering and agriculture provided the mainstay for the economy of the area. The beginning of the oil and gas industry in the county occurred during 1929 when "Dad" Joiner (Figure 4) started his No. 3 Daisy Bradford well in northwest Rusk County. The well was completed during 1930 as the first discovery well for East Texas Oil Field (Rusk, Gregg, Upshur, and Smith Counties). The location of this field and others are shown in Figure 5. Since that time, oil and gas and the processing of petroleum and related products have been the most significant industry.

Completion of the No. 3 Daisy Bradford, however, came at an awkward time just before the height of the depression. Independents drilled hundreds of wells, many of which were on town lot spacing. So much crude was produced from East Texas that the price of oil fell to 10 cents a barrel. When riots

started, Governor Ross Sterling called out the National Guard to preserve order. It also was at this time that he appointed E. O. Thompson to the Texas Railroad Commission and delegated to him the responsibility of regulating oil and gas production in Texas.

By 1980, East Texas Oil Field had produced over 4.622 billion barrels of oil and was responsible for making Rusk County rank among the larger oil producing counties in Texas. The field also had produced substantial quantities of saltwater. According to a 1961 oilfield-brine disposal inventory prepared by the Texas Water Commission and Texas Water Pollution Control Board (1963), 156.7 million barrels of saltwater was produced that year. This was an average of 0.427 million barrels a day, 99 percent of which was disposed of through injection wells.

Population

Rusk County has a population of 41,382 according to the U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of Census (1980). Henderson, the county seat, has a population of 11,473. Populations of



Figure 4.—C. M. (Dad) Joiner, Dr. Lloyd, H. L. Hunt, and Drilling Crew of No. 3 Daisy Bradford, Discovery Well of East Texas Oil Field (1930) Photo Courtesy of YOUTH SPEAKS



Figure 5.—Location of Significant Oil and Gas Fields

other towns are: Overton, 2,430; Tatum, 1,614; New London, 942; and Mount Enterprise, 485. The 1980 census also shows that 2,543 of the people living in Kilgore (Gregg and Rusk Counties) reside in Rusk County.

Previous Investigations

Deussen (1914) mentioned the existence of several springs and water wells in his study of the southeastern part of the Texas Coastal Plain including more than 20 Texas counties. Turner (1932) compiled a report on ground water in East Texas Oil Field that covered parts of Gregg, Rusk, Smith, and Upshur Counties. He concluded that saltwater contamination of the freshwater-bearing zones probably had not occurred at that time. Turner suggested that the possibility of bacteriological contamination of ground water existed and recommended that all "abandoned oil wells that yield a flow of saltwater should be plugged." He also recommended that special attention be given to setting "surface casing." Lyle (1937) presented a comprehensive inventory of about 406 wells, drillers' logs, and water analyses and included a location map of Rusk County. During this same period, a number of test holes were drilled using Works Progress Administration (WPA) labor. Follett (1943) augmented Lyle's data by publishing an inventory of about 160 old and new wells in the northwestern part of Rusk County.

Baker, Peckham, Dillard, and Souders (1963) made a reconnaissance of the ground-water resources of the Neches River basin that included Rusk County. In another report, Baker, Dillard, Souders, and Peckham (1963) made a reconnaissance of the ground-water resources of the Sabine River basin that included a part of Rusk County. Between 1937 and 1940, water levels were measured in a number of shallow observation wells near Henderson but were previously unpublished. About 1972, the Texas Department of Water Resources (TDWR), formerly the Texas Water Development Board (TWDB), established a group of observation wells in Rusk County. Water levels were measured periodically and water samples from representative wells were analyzed for chemical constituents.

Reports discussing the ground-water resources of counties adjacent to Rusk County include: Smith County (Dillard, 1963); Gregg and Upshur Counties (Broom, 1969); Angelina and Nacogdoches Counties (W. F. Guyton and Associates, 1970); and Anderson, Cherokee, Freestone, and Henderson Counties (W. F. Guyton and Associates, 1972).

In addition to the ground-water investigations, a reconnaissance of water quality of surface water in the Neches River basin was made by Hughes and Leifeste (1967). Their study includes data on the Striker Creek drainage basin, which is nearly centered along the county line of the west side of Rusk County. Approximately two-thirds of the watershed is in East Texas Oil Field.

Acknowledgments

The author expresses his appreciation to the many land owners, well owners, and industrial and municipal officials for their cooperation and for allowing access to their properties. Particular appreciation is expressed to Jack Cook, Water Superintendent, city of Henderson; Bob Lomax, Manager, Elderville Water Supply Corporation; John Seifert, W. F. Guyton and Associates; Jack Waldron, Layne-Texas Company; Jackie Murray; Rick Hornsby, Exxon Coal USA, Inc.; and Casey Clawson, Henderson Clay Products.

Well-Numbering System

The local well-numbering system used in this report is the system adopted by the Texas Department of Water Resources for use throughout the State. Under this system, each 1-degree quadrangle in the State is given a number consisting of two digits. These are the first two digits in the well number. Each 1-degree quadrangle is divided into 7½-minute quadrangles that are given two-digit numbers from 01 to 64. These are the third and fourth digits of the well number. Each 7½-minute quadrangle is subdivided into 2½-minute quadrangles and given single-digit numbers from 1 to 9. This is the fifth digit of the well number. Each well within a 2½-minute quadrangle is given a two-digit number in the order in which it was inventoried. These are the last two digits of

the well number. The well location on a map is shown by listing only the last three digits of the well number adjacent to the well location. The second two digits are shown in the northwest corner of each 7½-minute quadrangle, and the first two digits are shown by the large double-line numbers.

In addition to the seven-digit well number, a two-letter prefix is used to identify the county. The prefixes for Rusk and adjacent counties are as follows:

County	Prefix	refix County	
Cherokee	DJ	Panola	ŲL
Gregg	KŲ	Rusk	WR
Harrison	LK	Shelby	XB
Nacogdoches	ТХ	Smith	ХН

For example, well WR-35-50-801, which supplies water for the city of Henderson, is in Rusk County (WR) in the 1-degree quadrangle (35), in the 7½-minute quadrangle (50), in the 2½-minute quadrangle (8), and was the first well (01) inventoried in that 2½-minute quadrangle (Figure 6). Well numbers used by Lyle (1937) and Follett (1943) and the corresponding numbers used in this report are given in Table 1 ("old number"). The location of wells, springs, and selected test holes used in this report are shown in Figure 24.

The Geological Survey's national site identification system uses the latitude-longitude coordinate system. The combination of the 6-digit latitude number, the 7-digit longitude number, and a 2-digit sequence number forms a 15-digit site identification number. For example, the first site at latitude 32°15′42″ and longitude 94°34′23″ gives a site-identification number of 321542094342301. A cross reference between the local and national systems for the wells in this report is given in Table 1.

Definitions of Terms

In this report certain technical terms, including some that are subject to different interpretations, are used. For convenience and clarification, these terms are defined as follows:

Acre-foot—The volume of water required to cover 1 acre to a depth of 1 foot (43,560 ft³ or 325,851 gallons).

Acre-foot per year-One (1) acre-foot per year equals 892.13 gal/d.

Aquifer—A formation, group of formations, or part of a formation that contains sufficient saturated permeable material to yield significant quantities of water to wells and springs.

Aquifer test, pumping test—The test consists of the measurement, at specific intervals, of the discharge and water level of the well being pumped and the water levels in nearby observation wells. Formulas have been developed to show the relationship of the yield of a well, the shape and



Figure 6.—Well-Numbering System

extent of the cone of depression, and the properties of the aquifer such as the specific yield, porosity, hydraulic conductivity, transmissivity, and storage coefficient.

Artesian aquifer, confined aquifer—Artesian (confined) water occurs where an aquifer is overlain by rock of lower hydraulic conductivity (e.g., clay) that confines the water under pressure greater than atmospheric. The water level in an artesian well will rise above the level at which it was first encountered in the well. The well may or may not flow.

Barrel-A volume of 42 gallons.

Table 1Cross Reference	e of Well Num	ibers in Rusk	County
------------------------	---------------	---------------	--------

01 d	New	Site	01 d	New	Site	0 d	New	Site
number	number	identification	number	Number	identification	number	number	identification
4	WR-35-41-101	322038094581701	248	WR-35-50-703	320910094505001	567	WR-37-10-101	31 510 10 94 50 3301
7	WR-35-41-401	321859094585701	2 51	WR-35-50-701	320855094522401	571	WR-37-02-803	31 52 340 944 9370 1
14	WR-35-41-708	321633094581101	255	WR-35-50-702	320925094491801	57.5	WR-37-02-401	315510094501401
16	WR-35-41-705	321632094583702	260	WR-35-50-403	321120094414601	576	WR-37-02-501	31 570 70 944 9240 1
17	WR-35-41-707	321631094583401	289	WR-35-49-509	321143094552501	577	WR-37-02-601	315718094471501
22a	WR-35-41-706	321 5240 94 584 60 1	294	WR-35-49-304	321352094540301	578	WR-37-02-602	31 57 1 20 94 47 240 1
31	WR-35-41-510	3217 510 94 564 301	299a	WR-35-41-810	321 50 10 94 560 301	579	WR-37-02-604	315520094472901
31a	WR-35-41-509	3217 520 94 56 510 1	310	WR-35-49-101	321448094583201	583	WR-37-03-701	31 52 550 9444440 1
32	WR-35-41-505	321844094565301	313	WR-35-49-103	321408094582001	585	WR-37-11-203	325204094422801
40	WR-35-41-202	322100094555601	315	WR-35-49-102	321413094573001	588	WR-37-02-603	31 57 100 944 50 40 1
47a	WR-35-41-308	322000094540001	316a	WR-35-49-205	321415094562501	589	WR-37-03-401	315714094440001
50	WR-35-41-508	321939094552101	327	WR-35-49-303	321338094545901	59U	WR-37-03-402	31 56200 944 3200 1
62	WR-35-41-902	321625094540701	336a	WR-35-49-510	321146094564401	593	WR-37-03-503	315520094413401
70	WR-35-41-903	321 5390 941 63 60 1	343	WR-35-57-803	32011 50 94 56460 1	594	WR-37-03-504	315507094410201
75	WR-35-41-904	321609094531401	367	WR-35-57-504	320302094563901	596	WR-37-03-901	325430094394101
80	WR-35-42-402	3217 500 94 500 20 1	369	WR-35-57-601	320310094532511	598	WR-37-11-301	325051094386601
82	WR-35-42-403	321941094500401	375	WR-35-57-301	320647094541701	607	WR-37-04-402	32 570 80 94 3 52 20 1
88	WR-35-41-201	322125094554001	384	WR-35-49-807	320910094553701	60.8	WR-37-04-201	325740094333501
90	WR-35-42-601	321952094472901	393	WR-35-49-604	321022094523901	60.9	WR-37-04-301	32 580 20 94 31 550 1
92	WR-35-42-501	321811094475601	398	WR-35-49-902	320852094525301	619	WR-37-12-201	31 50 550 94 332 50 1
100	WR-35-42-904	321703094454301	40.2	WR-35-59-402	320410094441801	621	WR-37-12-303	3250 540 94 30 4 50 1
103	WR-35-42-602	3217 570 944 5370 1	409	WR-35-50-805	320701094484401	629	WR-35-41-304	327140094542201
108	WR-35-43-401	321826094442801	415	WR-35-50-910	320908094440201	631	WR-35-41-309	322113094542901
111	WR-35-42-303	322147094452901	416	WR-35-50-901	320852094470701	634	WR-35-41-307	322020094534301
114	WR-35-42-302	322036094461501	420	WR-35-50-911	320816094461501	642	WR-35-41-507	321951094553401
126	WR-35-43-801	321651094411101	423	WR-35-58-302	320 52 20 944 51 801	652	WR-35-41-703	321632094583701
130	WR-35-43-901	321628094382001	426	WR-35-59-501	320440094415501	653	WR-35-41-803	321616094554301
132	WR-35-44-702	321718094370501	427	WR-35-59-603	320414094392101	654	WR-35-41-802	321617094554201
136	WR-35-44-403	321856094361501	429	WR35-59-302	320 5100 94 39 260 1	656	WR-35-49-203	3214 570 94 55 580 1
140	WR-35-44-503	321954094344801	433	WR-35-59-203	320654094404201	658	WR-35-49-201	321427094562101
146	WR-35-44-302	322015094302501	434	WR-35-51-902	320911093383601	661	WR-35-41-704	321 5320 94 580001
151	WR-35-44-604	321904094322501	50 5	WR-35-59-904	320222094383201	669	WR-35-49-208	321 321 0 94 550 10 1
1 52	WR-35-44-605	321836094316801	507	WR-35-60-701	320138094362001	671	WR-35-49-209	321309094551501
165	WR-35-51-903	320844094381101	519	WR-35-59-701	320224094433501	682	WK-35-49-503	321217094561801
168	WR-35-52-702	320 94 60 94 37 240 1	524	WR-37-03-101	31 59 500 944 4310 1	684	WR-35-49-504	321222094 571101
175	WR-35-51-603	3210 550 94 394 70 1	528	WR-35-58-801	320200094480501	694	W8-35-49-508	321126094562201
176	WR-35-51-503	321044094411402	532	WR-37-02-102	31 57 560 94 50 270 1	697	WR-35-49-507	3210480945502201
177	WR-35-51-802	320 90 80 94 4 21 20 2	534	WR-37-02-206	31 591 50 94 48 490 1	698	WR-35-49-603	32104 50 94 53 340 1
179	WR-35-50-913	320 9300 944 50 20 1	535	WR-37-02-101	31 592 90 94 50 230 1	704	WR-35-49-506	321049094561501
17 9a	WR-35-50-912	320 9280 944 50 80 1	536	WR-35-58-702	3201 540 94 510 10 1	711	WR-35-49-505	321036094570001
183	WR-35-51-102	321413094424001	538	WR-35-58-701	3201 540 94 51 580 1	722	WR-35-49-402	3211(150.94 57 5201
185	WR-35-50-303	321319094454701	547	WR-37-01-103	31 594 90 94 58 370 1	730	WR_35_49_402	321004004574901
187	WR-35-59-203	320654094404201	548	WR-37-01-202	31 59 590 94 561 701	736	WR-35-49-808	32004094074001
191	WR-35-50-205	321309094474601	549	WR-37-01-203	31 57 540 94 551 50 1	742	WR-35-49-801	320809094562901
206	WR-35-50-601	321007094470401	551	WR-37-01-401	31 57 280 94 584 301	7 52	WR-35-49-702	3208 580 94 581 801
218	WR-35-50-404	321032094502001	558	WR-37-01-701	31 54 380 94 67 4 20 1	7 59	WR-35-57-972	320.0080.04470.201
224	WR-35-50-101	3214 520 94 51 2801	559	WR-37-01-803	31 540 20 94 561 201	7.50	HR_35_50_902	320300094470201
225	WR-35-50-102	321339094505901	563	WR-37-01-601	31 551 30 94 53 3201	761	WR_35_50_804	320833094400301
					**************************************			2500330034413401
230	WR-35-50-103	321253094515801	564	WR-37-01-901	31 53220 94 54 230 1	762	WR-35-50-903	320 90 20 94470 501

4

.

Brine—Water containing more than 35,000 mg/L (milligrams per liter) dissolved solids (Winslow and Kister, 1956, p. 5).

Cone of depression—Depression of the water table or potentiometric surface surrounding a discharging well or group of wells (usually shaped like an inverted cone).

Dip of rocks, attitude of beds—The angle or amount of slope at which a bed is inclined from the horizontal; direction also is expressed (for example, 1 degree southeast or 90 ft/mi southeast).

Drawdown—The lowering of the water table or potentiometric surface caused by pumping (or artesian flow). In most instances, it is the difference, in feet, between the static level and the pumping level.

Electric log—A graph showing the variation in relationship between the electrical properties of the rocks and their fluid contents penetrated in a well. The electrical properties are natural potentials and resistivities to induced electrical currents, some of which are modified by the presence of the drilling mud.

Freshwater—Water containing less than 1,000 mg/L dissolved solids (Winslow and Kister, 1956, p. 5).

Groundwater—Water in the ground that is in the saturated zone from which wells, springs, and seeps are supplied.

Head, static—The height above a standard datum of the surface of a column of water (or other liquid) that can be supported by the static pressure at a given point.

Hydraulic conductivity—The rate of flow of a unit volume of water in unit time at the prevailing kinematic viscosity through a cross section of unit area, measured at right angles to the direction of flow, under a hydraulic gradient of unit change in head over unit length of flow path. Formerly called field coefficient of permeability.

Hydraulic gradient----The change in static head per unit of distance in a given direction.

Moderately saline water—Water containing 3,000 to 10,000 mg/L dissolved solids (Winslow and Kister, 1956, p. 5).

National Geodetic Vertical Datum of 1929 (NGVD of 1929)—A geodetic datum derived from a general adjustment of the first-order level nets of both the United States and Canada, formerly called mean sea level.

Potentiometric surface—A surface which represents the static head. As related to an aquifer, it is defined by the levels to which water will rise in tightly cased wells. The water table is a particular potentiometric surface.

Slightly saline water—Water containing 1,000 to 3,000 mg/L dissolved solids (Winslow and Kister, 1956, p. 5).

Specific capacity—The rate of discharge of water from a well divided by the drawdown of water level in the well. It generally is expressed in gallons per minute per foot of drawdown for a specified period after discharge ceases.

Specific yield—The quantity of water that an aquifer will yield by gravity if it is first saturated and then allowed to drain; the ratio expressed in percentage of the volume of water drained to volume of the aquifer drained.

Storage coefficient—The volume of water an aquifer releases from or takes into storage per unit of surface area of the aquifer per unit change in the component of head normal to that surface.

Transmissivity—The rate at which water of the prevailing kinematic viscosity is transmitted through a unit width of the aquifer under a unit hydraulic gradient. It is the product of the hydraulic conductivity and the saturated thickness of the aquifer. Formerly called coefficient of transmissibility.

Very saline water—Water containing 10,000 to 35,000 mg/L dissolved solids (Winslow and Kister, 1956, p. 5).

Water level; static level or hydrostatic level—In an unconfined aquifer, the distance from the land surface to the water table. In a confined (artesian) aquifer, the level to which the water will rise either above or below land surface.

Water table—The water table is that surface in an unconfined water body at which the pressure is atmospheric. It is defined by the levels at which water stands in wells that penetrate the water body just far enought to hold standing water. In wells which penetrate to greater depths, the water level will stand above or below the water table if an upward or downward component of ground-water flow exists.

Yield—The rate of discharge, commonly expressed as gallons per minute, gallons per day, or gallons per hour. In this report, yields are classified as small, less than 50 gal/min; moderate, 50 to 250 gal/min; and large, more than 250 gal/min.

Metric Conversions

For those readers interested in using the metric system, the inch-pound units of measurements used in this report may be converted to metric units by the following factors:

From M	ultiply by	To obtain
acre	0.4047	hectare
acre-foot	0.001233	cubic hectometer (hm ³)
barrel	0.1590	cubic meter (m ³)

From	Multiply by	To obtain	
cubic foot per second (ft ³ /s)	0.02832	cubic meter per second (m³/s)	
foot	0.3048	meter (m)	
foot per day (ft/d)	0.3048	meter per day (m/d)	
foot per mile (ft/mi)	0.189	meter per kilometer (m/km)	
foot squared per day (ft²/d)	0.0929	meter squared per day (m²/d)	
gallon per day (gal∕d)	0.003785	cubic meter per day (m ³ /d)	
gallon per minute (gal/min)	0.06308	liter per second (L/s)	
	0.003785	cubic meter per minute (m³/min)	
inch	25.4	millimeter (mm)	
micromhos per centimeter at 25° Celsius	1.00	microsiemens per centimeter at 25° Celsius	
mile	1,609	kilometer (km)	
million gallons per day (million gal/d)	0.04381	cubic meter per second (m³/s)	
	3,785	cubic meter per day (m³/d)	
square mile	2.590	square kilometer (km²)	

Temperature data in this report are in degrees Celsius (°C) and may be converted to degrees Fahrenheit (°F) by the following formula:

٩

°F = 1.8(°C) + 32.

GEOLOGIC FRAMEWORK AND PHYSICAL CHARACTERISTICS OF THE GEOLOGIC UNITS

Rusk County is in an area affected by several regional structural features—the Sabine Uplift, Mount Enterprise Fault System, and East Texas Embayment (Figure 7). Geologic units, ranging in age from Paleocene and Eocene (Wilcox Group) through the Holocene (alluvium) crop out at the



Figure 7.—Location of Principal Geologic Structural Features in East Texas

surface as shown in Figure 8. Beds of the Carrizo Sand, which crop out over about a third of the county, are slightly more extensive than those of the older Wilcox Group. A description of the geologic units and their water-bearing characteristics is given in Table 2. Stratigraphic and structural relationships in the subsurface are shown on the geologic sections (Figures 25-27).

The Sabine Uplift (Figure 7) is a structurally complicated area in northeast Texas and northwest Louisiana. The western boundary extends into Rusk County. Sands, red beds, and shales of the Cretaceous Woodbine Formation were deposited over this uplift and later eroded. East Texas Oil Field, a stratigraphic trap, produces oil from the Woodbine at a depth of about 3,650 feet. About 20-25 miles west of the eastern edge of East Texas Oil Field lies the nadir of the East Texas Embayment, into which the Woodbine

thickens. Such features were at times instrumental in controlling the deposition of the Wilcox.

The Mount Enterprise Fault System trends east-west across southern Rusk County. The Queen City Sand, Weches Formation, and Sparta Sand are preserved in the downthrown side of this system. Eaton (1956, p. 83) notes that there was moderate movement along this system in Midway time, considerable movement during Claiborne time, and a marked movement during post-Claiborne time. An earthquake of 7 on the Richter scale was reported at Rusk (Cherokee County), during 1891 but is questioned by von Hake (1977). Collins, Hobday, and Kreitler (1980, p. 16) suggest that the event may have been seismic. They use releveling data to conclude that the system has been active during the past 30 years.

Further information on the geologic relationships existing in this area is available from Sellards, Adkins, and Plummer (1932) and from Kreitler and others (1980). For a generalized regional appraisal relating to the structural and depositional altitude of the Wilcox Group, the reader is referred to Jones and others (1976).

Midway Group

The Midway Group, mostly marine in origin, is composed chiefly of calcareous clay, which locally may contain thin stringers of limestone and glauconitic sand. In places, the unit is silty and slightly sandy in the uppermost part of the section.

The altitude of the top of the Midway, which coincides with the base of the Wilcox Group (Figure 9), ranges from about 300 feet below sea level in the northeastern part of the county to about 1,600 feet below sea level in the southwestern part of the county. In the northern part of the



Figure 8 Geologic Units in Rusk County

Geology from the University of Texas, Bureau of Economic Geology, 1965, and 1968

.

System	Series	Group	Unit	Approximate range in thickness (feet)	Composition	Water-bearing properties
Quaternary	Holocene		Alluvium	0-35	Sand, silt, clay, and some gravel.	May yield small quantities of water to shallow dug wells.
	Pleistocene		Terrace deposits	0-30	Sand, silt, and clay.	Not known to yield water to wells.
			Sparta Sand	0-100	Interbedded sand, clay, and silt.	Feeds springs; may yield some water to dug wells.
			Weches Formation	0-50	Glauconite, glauconitic clay and sand. Secondary deposits of limestone in outcrop.	Not known to yield water to wells in Rusk County.
	EoceneClaiborneQueen City Sand0-130San liReklaw Formation0-130Gl san clReklaw Formation0-130Gl san clCarrizo Sand0-135Gr sr sci	Sand, silt, clay, and some lignite.	Yields small to moderate quantities of freshwater.			
Tertiary			Reklaw Formation	0-130	Glauconitic clay, some sand, weathers to a red clayey soil, limonite seams, iron concretions.	Yields small quantities of water to wells.
			Carrizo Sand	0-135	Gray to white. Often mas- sive sand, clay lenses; may be predominantly clayey.	Yields large to moderate quantities of freshwater. In hydrologic continuity with the Wilcox.
		Wilcox		625-1,550	Thin, sometimes massive beds of sand; clay and lignite. Beds often dis- continuous.	Yields large to moderate quantities of fresh to slightly saline water.
	Paleocene Midway 850-1,000 Calcar amount silt, clay.	Calcareous clay and minor amounts of limestone, silt, and glauconitic clay.	Not known to yield water to wells in Rusk County; upper sand may contain some slightly saline water.			

topic r. deviagle and then that the bearing trace in the reader	er-Bearing Properties in Rusk Cou	heir Water	ts and T	logic Unit	e 2Geo	Tabl
---	-----------------------------------	------------	----------	------------	--------	------



Base from U.S. Geological Survey topographic quastangles

county, the beds dip at a rate of about 30 ft/mi to the west. In the southern part of the county, they dip about 50 ft/mi to the southwest.

The Midway Group is not known to yield water to wells in the area. Nevertheless, the unit is hydrologically significant because the Midway Group forms the basal confining unit for the overlying Wilcox Group. There is also a sand body about 30 feet thick within the uppermost 200 feet that may contain small amounts of slightly saline water. In a few instances, the base of slightly saline water has been picked at the base of this sand bed from electric logs.

Wilcox Group

The Wilcox Group is exposed on the surface in northeastern and east-central Rusk County and comformably overlies the Midway. It consists mainly of thin, but sometimes massive beds of sand, silt, and clay with minor amounts of lignite and secondary deposits of limonite. Typically, the sands are gray, fine-grained and silty. Often the beds are fluvial and deltaic in nature. Due to facies changes, individual beds often are difficult to correlate from well to well. However, some beds of coarse-grained sand attain a thickness of nearly 200 feet (well WR-35-59-901). Other beds cannot be correlated from well to well as is clearly shown in the geologic sections (Figures 25-27).

The altitude of the top of the Wilcox Group is depicted in Figure 10. Except where interrupted by the Mount Enterprise Fault System, these beds dip at the rate of about 30 ft/mi in a direction away from the Sabine Uplift.

Carrizo Sand

The Carrizo Sand uncomformably overlies the Wilcox Group and crops out more extensively than any other geologic unit in the county. It attains a maximum thickness of about 135 feet. Surface exposures usually are reddish in color and often cross-bedded. In the subsurface, the Carrizo is a massive, fine- to medium-grained white quartz sand. It also contains a few clay lenses, but rarely is predominantly clay. In electrical logs, the Carrizo is distinguished from the overlying Reklaw and underlying Wilcox by a markedly higher resistivity. In places, however, the contacts are difficult to pick. As does the Wilcox Group, the Carrizo Sand dips away from the Sabine Uplift into the East Texas Embayment at a rate of about 30 ft/mi except where interrupted by the Mount Enterprise Fault System.

Reklaw Formation

The Reklaw Formation conformably overlies the Carrizo Sand. The Reklaw attains a maximum thickness of about 130 feet and is exposed primarily in the northern part of the county and north of the Mount Enterprise Fault System. The formation consists of glauconitic clay and minor amounts of sand and lignite. The basal part of the Reklaw contains a silty, glauconitic fine-grained quartz sand that is often difficult to distinguish from the underlying Carrizo using electric logs. In the outcrop, the Reklaw forms a red clay soil characterized by limonite seams and iron concretions, easily distinguished from the underlying gray sandy soil of the Carrizo.


Figure 10 Approximate Altitude of the Top of the Wilcox Group

Base from U.S. Geological Survey topographic quadrangles

Queen City Sand

The Queen City Sand, which overlies the Reklaw Formation, consists mostly of alternating beds of very fine- to fine-grained quartz sand and clay. The Queen City Sand crops out over an area of about 100 square miles and attains a maximum thickness of about 130 feet where overlain by the Weches Formation. The maximum thickness occurs mainly in the downdropped blocks associated with the Mount Enterprise Fault System. Elsewhere, the Queen City Sand.

Weches Formation

The Weches Formation, consisting of interbedded glauconitic clay and sand, crops out as scattered outliers in the Mount Enterprise Fault System area. The Weches attains a maximum thickness of about 50 feet, but is not known to yield water to wells in Rusk County.

Sparta Sand

The Sparta Sand consists of fine sand and sandy clay and silt, attains a thickness of about 100 feet, and is exposed only in the area of the Mount Enterprise Fault System. Numerous springs issue from the contact of the Sparta with the underlying Weches. The formation yields small quantities of freshwater to wells in adjacent counties. Springs issuing from the Sparta yield moderate quantities of ground water to the base flow of small streams in southern Rusk County.

Terrace Deposits and Alluvium

Terrace deposits, probably of Pleistocene age, are present at several places along the Sabine and Angelina Rivers. These beds are remnants of a formerly more extensive surface that has been largely removed by erosion. The terrace deposits are in continuity with the underlying Eocene beds but are considered hydrologically insignificant.

Alluvium is present in and around the flood plains of the principal streams (Figure 8). These deposits, consisting of fine sand, silt, clay, and possibly gravel, have an estimated maximum thickness of about 35 feet. Alluvial deposits are capable of yielding at least small amounts of water to wells. At least one well in Rusk County is completed in the alluvium.

HYDROLOGIC UNITS

In order to simplify the discussion of hydrology in the area, the following previously described geologic units are designated as aquifers in Rusk County: Wilcox Group, Carrizo Sand, Queen City Sand, and Sparta Sand. The other geologic units are designated as confining beds and are: Midway Group, Reklaw Formation, and Weches Formation. A number of dug wells tap the thin basal sand of the Reklaw.

Wilcox Aquifer

Broom (1969) noted that the Carrizo and Wilcox have similar hydrologic properties and are in hydrologic continuity in Gregg County. Consequently, he considered them to function as a single aquifer. W. F. Guyton and Associates (1970, 1972) considered the two aquifers to be separate units in Cherokee and Nacogdoches Counties. In this report, the Carrizo and Wilcox are treated as two distinct aquifers.

The Wilcox aquifer is present throughout Rusk County and is the most significant hydrologic unit. Substantial withdrawals occur from the middle and lower sands at Henderson and in the area of East Texas Oil Field. Many of the upper sands in the Wilcox are thin, fine-grained and silty. By contrast, the lower beds are sometimes massive and coarse-grained. Often individual beds are discontinuous.

The quality of water in the Wilcox varies both vertically and laterally from fresh to slightly saline. In rare instances, the water may be moderately saline. In places, the shallower sands may not necessarily contain the best quality water.

The thickness of freshwater-bearing sands in the Wilcox is shown in Figure 11. The thickness of sands containing freshwater are based on the interpretation of electric logs. The thickness ranges from about 170 feet to about 400 feet. The altitude of the freshwater is shown in Figure 12 and the base of the slightly saline water is shown in Figure 13.

Carrizo Aquifer

Another significant water-bearing unit is the Carrizo aquifer, which is present in about 70 percent of the county. In places, however, the Carrizo sands may be interbedded with clay as shown in Figure 14, which shows ground water seeping from the Carrizo sands at the Ross clay pit of Henderson Clay Products north of the city of Henderson.

The Carrizo aquifer has an average sand thickness of about 80 feet in the subsurface and 50 feet in the outcrop area. However, a sand thickness map was not constructed because data were inadequate.

Other Aquifers

Only a few small-capacity wells draw water from the Queen City aquifer because of its near surface occurrence and small aerial extent. Except for a few isolated exposures in the northwestern part of Rusk County, the Queen City is present only in downdropped blocks associated with the Mount Enterprise Fault System. The Sparta is present only in the area along the Mount Enterprise Fault System. The Sparta is not an important aquifer in Rusk County. Both the Queen City and Sparta feed numerous small springs in Rusk County.



Base from U.S. Geological Survey lopographic quadrangles

•





.e.



Figure 14.—Ground Water Seeping From Sand Layers in the Carrizo Aquifer at the Ross Clay Pit North of the City of Henderson

GROUND-WATER HYDROLOGY

Source and Occurrence

Precipitation is the source of all fresh ground water. Most precipitation on the land surface runs off, is consumed by evaporation, or is stored in the soil, later to be evaporated or transpired. A part of the water infiltrates through the pores of the soil and subsoil to the zone of saturation by the forces of gravity and molecular attraction. The zone of saturation is the zone below the water table where the interstices are filled with fluid.

Ground water in the area occurs under water-table and artesian conditions. Under watertable conditions the water is unconfined. When tapped by a well, the unconfined water does not rise above the zone of saturation in the aquifer. Under artesian conditions, the water is confined. When tapped by a well, the confined water rises, due to hydrostatic pressure, above the level at which it is first encountered.

Fresh ground water occurs throughout Rusk County and often in at least several waterbearing sands. The most prolific water-producing zones are the artesian sands of the Wilcox, which are developed for municipal and industrial purposes. All significant withdrawals are from the artesian part of the Carrizo and Wilcox aquifers. Less productive shallow wells that tap the first saturated sand below the land surface are often used for livestock and domestic purposes. Water in these beds usually occurs under water-table conditions at a depth of less than 50 feet below land surface. Detailed information on individual wells is given in Table 8.

Recharge, Movement, and Discharge of Ground Water

Recharge, the addition of water to an aquifer by natural or artificial processes, occurs mainly from the infiltration of rainfall into the outcrop. Recharge also may occur by percolation of water from streams and ponded areas. There is a large potential for recharge in Rusk County because the Wilcox and Carrizo crop out in about 60 percent of the area. Although the actual rate of recharge is not known, it is probably less than 1 inch per year.

Ground water moves slowly through the aquifers under the force of gravity from areas of recharge to areas of discharge. The movement under water-table conditions is lateral to discharge areas which, under natural conditions, are topographically lower than the recharge area. The movement under artesian conditions is toward areas of lower pressure head, normally downdip in the aquifer. Water then moves vertically upward into the lower pressured shallow material. Natural discharge also may occur through a seep or spring; artificial discharge may occur through a well. The rate of movement in the aquifers, either laterally or vertically, is dependent on the hydraulic gradient and conductivity of the material. Rates of movement probably are a few hundred feet per year.

The direction of movement in Rusk County in the water-table parts of the aquifers generally is toward the streams. The direction of movement in the artesian parts of the principal aquifers, the Carrizo and Wilcox, is from the outcrop toward the southeast and locally, toward the cones of depression at Henderson, East Texas Oil Field, and Tatum as shown in the potentiometric-surface map for the Wilcox (Figure 15).

Hydraulic Characteristics of the Aquifers

The importance of an aquifer as a source of water depends upon "its ability to store and transmit water" according to Ferris and others (1962, p. 70). These characteristics are expressed in terms of storage coefficient and transmissivity.

No aquifer tests were conducted in Rusk County because of a lack of controlled conditions. Aquifer tests, however, have been performed using wells completed in the Wilcox, Carrizo, and Queen City aquifers in Cherokee County (W. F. Guyton and Associates, 1972), Gregg County (Broom, 1969), and Nacogdoches County (W. F. Guyton and Associates, 1970). The test data were analyzed either by the Theis nonequilibrium method (Theis, 1935) or the modified Theis recovery method (Wenzel, 1942, p. 95). The results are given in Table 3.

To estimate the expected range of transmissivities of the Wilcox and Carrizo aquifers in Rusk County, the following assumptions were made:

1. The hydraulic conductivities of the sands in the three adjacent counties (Table 3) are representative of the sands in these same aquifers in Rusk County;



.

Bose from U.S. Geological Survey topographic quadrangles

•

. . •

.

Table 3Results of Ac	uifer Tests in Cherokee	, Gregg, and Nacogdod	hes Counties ¹
10010 0. 1000100 01110		,	

.

Well	Sand thick- ness of pumped well (feet)	Discharge (gallons per minute)	Specific capac- ity (gallons per minute per foot (of drawdown)	Hydraulic conductivity (feet per 'day)	Storage coefficient	Remarks
			<u>Carrizo</u>	aquifer		
DJ-37-01-401	75	343	5.4	19.4	 ·	Recovered for 24 hours.
402	60	350	5.4	25.5		Do .
	75	350	- 	22	0.0001	Drawdown of observation well DJ-37-01-401.
09-101	2/52	43	4.5	28.4		Recovered for 2 hours.
33-202	<u>2</u> /70	102	1.2	63.8		Do .
38-06-603	80	692	13.1	31.0		Do .
604	90	621	10.3	18.9		Recovered for 12 hours.
15-102	2/36	36	2.1	15.7		Recovered for 2 hours.
502	101	473	7.1	20.6		Recovered for 24.5 hours.
DJ-38-32-903	<u>2</u> /45	50	Queen Cit 1.8	<u>y aquifer</u> 9.0		Recovered for 2 hours.
KU-35-26-705	64		<u>Carrizo-Wil</u> 	<u>cox aquifer</u> 11 .4	.00006	Drawdown of observation well.
706	105	300	2.8	5.7		Drawdown of pumped well.
708	75	100		5.5		Recovered for 5 months.
D.1-34-64-402	άn.	63	6 1 Wilcox	aquifer		Pergyanad for 2 hours
37_00_102	2/94	75	7 1	18.2		
38-08-105	4 00	102	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	. 10+L 36 A		
TY_27_10_403	50	110	1.0	27		Recovered for 2 hours
11_901	50	25	1.6	6.7		
13_402	30.	123	1.0	5.0		, ,
10-402	<u>2/30</u>	123		5.0	•0007	Drawdown of observation well TX-37-13-401.
404	58	180	3.6	13.4		Recovered for 2 hours.

County prefixes: DJ - Cherokee; KU - Gregg; TX - Nacogdoches

 $\underline{1}/$ Modified from Broom (1969) and W. F. Guyton and Associates (1970, 1972). $\underline{2}/$ Length of screen.

i.

.

2

- 2. The sands opposite the screen are similar to the unscreened sands; and
- 3. The thickness of sands containing freshwater ranges from about 100 to 370 feet for the Wilcox aquifer.

Based on these assumptions, the transmissivities of the Wilcox aquifer would range from 270 to 13,500 ft²/d; and based on a maximum sand thickness of 100 feet in the Carrizo aquifer, the estimated maximum transmissivity is 6,400 ft²/d.

Downdip from the outcrops where the Wilcox and Carrizo aquifers are under artesian conditions, the storage coefficients range from about 0.00006 to 0.0007, as indicated in Table 3. Although no data are available for the area, the storage coefficients for the aquifers under water-table conditions would be expected to range from 0.1 to 0.2

The transmissivities and storage coefficients must be known to predict the drawdown of water levels caused by pumping a well or group of wells. The theoretical relationship of drawdown to transmissivity and distance is shown in Figure 16. Calculations of drawdown are made on the basis of a group of wells pumping 1 million gal/d continuously for 1 year from an extensive aquifer.

The relationship of drawdown to time and distance caused by a well or group of wells pumping 1 million gal/d from an artesian aquifer of infinite extent having a storage coefficient of 0.0001 and a transmissivity of 10,000 ft²/d is shown in Figure 17. The rate of drawdown decreases with time, but the water level declines indefinitely until a source of recharge is intercepted to offset the withdrawal and establish equilibrium in the aquifer. Because the drawdown is directly proportional to the rate of withdrawal, the drawdown for other than 1 million gal/d can be determined by multiplying the drawdown value shown in Figure 17 by the proper multiple or fraction of 1,000,000.

Note that Figures 16 and 17 show that the drawdown caused by the pumping well is greatest near the well and decreases as distance from the pumping well increases. This is the practical reason for properly spacing wells; mutual interference is decreased and, consequently, pumping costs are reduced.

QUALITY OF GROUND WATER

Chemical constituents found in ground water originate principally from the soil and rocks through which the water has passed. Consequently, the chemical character of the water reflects, in a general way, the nature of the geologic formations that have been in contact with the water. Usually ground water in confined aquifers is free from contamination by organic matter. Sometimes, however, ground water in unconfined aquifers may become contaminated when contaminated water percolates from the land surface.

Those factors determining the suitability of water for a particular use are the quality of the water and the limitations imposed by the use. Important criteria used in establishing limitations are bacterial content, temperature, color, taste, odor, and concentration of chemical constituents



in the water. Pesticides, if present, also may be a factor in limiting use. A general listing of sources and the significance of dissolved mineral constituents and properties are presented in Table 4.

Wells in Rusk County for which water-quality data are available are listed in Table 8. Results of these analyses, showing the source and amount of dissolved constituents are listed in Table 11. Data for certain metals and trace elements are listed in Table 12. The analyses included those made by the Geological Survey, other government agencies, and commercial laboratories.

Three samples of ground water were analyzed for pesticides. Water from springs WR-35-57-403 (Big Springs) and WR-37-02-904 (Sulfur Springs) and from well WR-37-03-202 (Mount Enterprise) was analyzed for 28 insecticides and herbicides. None of these water samples contained pesticides in excess of the suggested limits.

For many purposes, the dissolved-solids concentration places a major limitation on the use of ground water. A general classification of water based on the dissolved-solids concentration is as follows (modified after Winslow and Kister, 1956, p. 5):

Description	Dissolved-solids concentration (milligrams per liter)			
Fresh	Less than 1,000			
Slightly saline	1,0003,000			
Moderately saline	3,00010,000			
Very saline	10,000—35,000			
Brine	More than 35,000			

Table 4.--Source and Significance of Selected Constituents and Properties Commonly Reported in Water Analyses¹

(mg/L, milligrams per liter; µg/L, micrograms per liter; micromhos, micromhos per centimeter at 25° Celsius)

or property	Source or cause	Significance
Silica (SiO ₂)	Silicon ranks second only to oxygen in abundance in the Earth's crust. Contact of natural waters with silica-bearing rocks and soils usually re- sults in a concentration range of about 1 to 30 mg/L; but concentrations as large as 100 mg/L are common in waters in some areas.	Although silica in some domestic and industrial water supplies may inhibit corrosion of iron pipes by forming protective coatings, it gener- ally is objectionable in industrial supplies, particularly in boiler feedwater, because it may form hard scale in boilers and pipes or deposit in the tubes of heaters and on steam- turbine blades.
Iron (Fe)	Iron is an abundant and widespread constituent of many rocks and soils. Iron concentrations in nat- ural waters are dependent upon several chemical equilibria processes including oxidation and re- duction; precipitation and solution of hydrox- ides, carbonates, and sulfides; complex formation especially with organic material; and the metabo- lism of plants and animals. Dissolved-iron con- centrations in oxygenated surface waters seldom are as much as 1 mg/L. Some ground waters, unox- ygenated surface waters such as deep waters of stratified lakes and reservoirs, and acidic waters resulting from discharge of industrial wastes or drainage from mines may contain considerably more iron. Corrosion of iron casings, pumps, and pipes may add iron to water pumped from wells.	Iron is an objectionable constituent in water supplies for domestic use because it may ad- versely affect the taste of water and beverages and stain laundered clothes and plumbing fix- tures. According to the National Secondary Drinking Water Regulations proposed by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (1977b), the secondary maximum contamination level of iron for public water systems is 300 µg/L. Iron also is undesirable in some industrial water supplies, particularly in waters used in high- pressure boilers and those used for food pro- cessing, production of paper and chemicals, and bleaching or dyeing of textiles.
Calcium (Ca)	Calcium is widely distributed in the common min- erals of rocks and soils and is the principal cat- ion in many natural freshwaters, especially those that contact deposits or soils originating from limestone, dolomite, gypsum, and gypsiferous shale. Calcium concentrations in freshwaters usually range from zero to several hundred milli- grams per liter. Larger concentrations are not uncommon in waters in arid regions, especially in areas where some of the more soluble rock types are present.	Calcium contributes to the total hardness of water. Small concentrations of calcium carbon- ate combat corrosion of metallic pipes by form- ing protective coatings. Calcium in domestic water supplies is objectionable because it tends to cause incrustations on cooking uten- sils and water heaters and increases soap or detergent consumption in waters used for wash- ing, bathing, and laundering. Calcium also is undesirable in some industrial water sup- plies, particularly in waters used by electro- plating, textile, pulp and paper, and brewing industries and in water used in high-pressure boilers.
Magnesium (Mg)	Magnesium ranks eight among the elements in order of abundance in the Earth's crust and is a common constituent in natural water. Ferromagnesian min- erals in igneous rock and magnesium carbonate in carbonate rocks are two of the more important sources of magnesium in natural waters. Magnesi- um concentrations in freshwaters usually range from zero to several hundred milligrams per liter; but larger concentrations are not uncommon in waters associated with limestone or dolomite.	Magnesium contributes to the total hardness of water. Large concentrations of magnesium are objectionable in domestic water supplies be- cause they can exert a cathartic and diuretic action upon unacclimated users and increase soap or detergent consumption in waters used for washing, bathing, and laundering. Mag- nesium also is undesirable in some industrial supplies, particularly in waters used by tex- tile, pulp and paper, and brewing industries and in water used in high-pressure boilers.
Sodium (Na)	Sodium is an abundant and widespread constituent of many soils and rocks and is the principal cat- ion in many natural waters associated with argil- laceous sediments, marine shales, and evaporites and in sea water. Sodium salts are very soluble and once in solution tend to stay in solution. Sodium concentrations in natural waters vary from less than 1 mg/L in stream runoff from areas of high rainfall to more than 100,000 mg/L in ground and surface waters associated with halite deposits in arid areas. In addition to natural sources of sodium, sewage, industrial effluents, oilfield brines, and deicing salts may contri- bute sodium to surface and ground waters.	Sodium in drinking water may impart a salty taste and may be harmful to persons suffering from cardiac, renal, and circulatory diseases and to women with toxemias of pregnancy. Sodi- um is objectionable in boiler feedwaters be- cause it may cause foaming. Large sodium con- centrations are toxic to most plants; and a large ratio of sodium to total cations in irri- gation waters may decrease the permeability of the soil, increase the pH of the soil solution, and impair drainage.

Table 4.--Source and Significance of Selected Constituents and Properties Commonly Reported in Water Analyses--Continued

Constituent		Significance
Potassium	Although potassium is only slightly less common	Large concentrations of potassium in drinking
(K)	than sodium in igneous rocks and is more abundant in sedimentary rocks, the concentration of potas- sium in most natural waters is much smaller than the concentration of sodium. Potassium is liber- ated from silicate minerals with greater diffi- culty than sodium and is more easily adsorbed by clay minerals and reincorporated into solid weathering products. Concentrations of potassium more than 20 mg/L are unusual in natural fresh- waters, but much larger concentrations are not uncommon in brines or in water from hot springs.	water may impart a salty taste and act as a cathartic, but the range of potassium concen- trations in most domestic supplies seldom cause these problems. Potassium is objectionable in boiler feedwaters because it may cause foaming. In irrigation water, potassium and sodium act similarly upon the soil, although potassium generally is considered less harmful than sodium.
Alkalinity	Alkalinity is a measure of the capacity of a water to neutralize a strong acid, usually to pH of 4.5, and is expressed in terms of an equiva- lent concentration of calcium carbonate (CaCO ₃). Alkalinity in natural waters usually is caused by the presence ob bicarbonate and carbonate ions and to a lesser extent by hydroxide and minor acid radicals such as borates, phosphates, and silicates. Carbonates and bicarbonates are com- mon to most natural waters because of the abun- dance of carbon dioxide and carbonate minerals in nature. Direct contribution to alkalinity in natural waters by hydroxide is rare and usually can be attributed to contamination. The alkalin- ity of natural waters varies widely but rarely exceeds 400 to 500 mg/L as CaCO ₃ .	Alkaline waters may have a distinctive unpleas- ant taste. Alkalinity is detrimental in sev- eral industrial processes, especially those involving the production of food and carbonated or acid-fruit beverages. The alkalinity in irrigation waters in excess of alkaline earth concentrations may increase the pH of the soil solution, leach organic material and decrease permeability of the soil, and impair plant growth.
Sulfate (SO4)	Sulfur is a minor constituent of the Earth's crust but is widely distributed as metallic sul- fides in igneous and sedimentary rocks. Weath- ering of metallic sulfides such as pyrite by oxygenated water yields sulfate ions to the water. Sulfate is dissolved also from soils and evaporite sediments containing gypsum or anhy- drite. The sulfate concentration in natural freshwaters may range from zero to several thou- sand milligrams per liter. Drainage from mines may add sulfate to waters by virtue of pyrite oxidation.	Sulfate in drinking water may impart a bitter taste and act as a laxative on unacclimated users. According to the National Secondary Drinking Water Regulations proposed by the Environmental Protection Agency (1977b) the secondary maximum contaminant level of sulfate for public water systems is 250 mg/L. Sulfate also is undesirable in some industrial sup- plies, particularly in waters used for the pro- duction of concrete, ice, sugar, and carbonated beverages and in waters used in high-pressure boilers.
Chloride (C1)	Chloride is relatively scarce in the Earth's crust but is the predominant anion in sea water, most petroleum-associated brines, and in many natural freshwaters, particularly those associated with marine shales and evaporites. Chloride salts are very soluble and once in solution tend to stay in solution. Chloride concentrations in natural waters vary from less than 1 mg/L in stream runoff from humid areas to more than 100,000 mg/L in ground and surface waters associated with evaporites in arid areas. The discharge of human, animal, or industrial waters and irrigation return flows may add significant quantities of chloride to surface and ground waters.	Chloride may impart a salty taste to drinking water and may accelerate the corrosion of metals used in water-supply systems. According to the National Secondary Drinking Water Regu- ations proposed by the Environmental Protection Agency (1977b), the secondary maximum contami- nant level of chloride for public water systems is 250 mg/L. Chloride also is objectionable in some industrial supplies, particularly those used for brewing and food processing, paper and steel production, and textile processing. Chloride in irrigation waters generally is not toxic to most crops but may be injurious to citrus and stone fruits.
Fluoride (F)	Fluoride is a minor constituent of the Earth's crust. The calcium fluoride mineral fluorite is a widespread constituent of resistate sediments and igneous rocks, but its solubility in water is negligible. Fluoride commonly is associated with volcanic gases, and volcanic emanations may be important sources of fluoride in some areas. The	Fluoride in drinking water decreases the inci- dence of tooth decay when the water is consumed during the period of enamel calcification. Excessive quantities in drinking water consumed by children during the period of enamel calcifi- cation may cause a characteristic discoloration (mottling) of the teeth. According to the

Table 4.--Source and Significance of Selected Constituents and Properties Commonly Reported in Water Analyses--Continued

or property	Source or cause	Significance
Fluoride Cont.	fluoride concentration in fresh surface waters usually is less than 1 mg/L; but larger concen- trations are not uncommon in saline water from oil wells, ground water from a wide variety of geologic terranes, and water from areas affected by volcanism.	National Interim Primary Drinking Water Regula- tions established by the Environmental Protec- tion Agency (1976) the maximum contaminant level of fluoride in drinking water varies from 1.4 to 2.4 mg/L, depending upon the annual aver- age of the maximum daily air temperature for the area in which the water system is located. Excessive fluoride is also objectionable in water supplies for some industries, particularly in the production of food, beverages, and phar- maceutical items.
Nitrog en (N)	A considerable part of the total nitrogen of the Earth is present as nitrogen gas in the atmos- phere. Small amounts of nitrogen are present in rocks, but the element is concentrated to a greater extent in soils or biological material. Nitrogen is a cyclic element and may occur in water in several forms. The forms of greatest interest in water in order of increasing oxida- tion state, include organic nitrogen, ammonia nitrogen (NH ₄ -N), nitrite nitrogen (NO ₂ -N) and nitrate nitrogen (NO ₃ -N). These forms of nitro- gen in water may be derived naturally from the leaching of rocks, soils, and decaying vegetation; from rainfall; or from biochemical conversion of one form to another. Other important sources of nitrogen in water include effluent from waste- water treatment plants, septic tanks, and cess- pools and drainage from barnyards, feed lots, and fertilized fields. Nitrate is the most stable form of nitrogen in an oxidizing environment and is usually the dominant form of nitrogen in natu- ral waters and in polluted waters that have under- gone self-purification or aerobic treatment pro- cesses. Significant quantities of reduced nitro- gen often are present in some ground waters, deep unoxygenated waters of stratified lakes and reser- voirs, and waters containing partially stabilized sewage or animal wastes.	Concentrations of any of the forms of nitrogen in water significantly greater than the local average may suggest pollution. Nitrate and nitrite are objectionable in drinking water because of the potential risk to bottle-fed infants for methemoglobinemia, a sometimes fatal illness related to the impairment of the oxygen-carrying ability of the blood. Accord- ing to the National Interim Primary Drinking Water Regulations (U.S. Environmental Protec- tion Agency, 1976), the maximum contaminant level of nitrate (as N) in drinking water is 10 mg/L. Although a maximum contaminant level for nitrite is not specified in the drinking water regulations, Appendix A to the regulations (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 1976) indicates that waters with nitrite concentra- tions (as N) greater than 1 mg/L should not be used for infant feeding. Excessive nitrate and nitrite concentrations are also objectionable in water supplies for some industries, particu- larly in waters used for the dyeing of wool and silk fabrics and for brewing.
Dissolved solids	Theoretically, dissolved solids are anhydrous residues of the dissolved substance in water. In reality, the term "dissolved solids" is defined by the method used in the determination. In most waters, the dissolved solids consist predominant- ly of silica, calcium, magnesium, sodium, potas- sium, carbonate, bicarbonate, chloride, and sul- fate with minor or trace amounts of other inor- ganic and organic constituents. In regions of high rainfall and relatively insoluble rocks, waters may contain dissolved-solids concentra- tions of less than 25 mg/L; but saturated sodium chloride brines in other areas may contain more than 300,000 mg/L.	Dissolved-solids values are used widely in evalu- ating water quality and in comparing waters. The following classification based on the concentra- trations of dissolved solids commonly is used by the Geological Survey (Winslow and Kister, 1956). Dissolved-solids <u>Classification</u> <u>concentration (mg/L)</u> Fresh (1,000 Slightly saline 1,000 - 3,000 Moderately saline 3,000 - 10,000 Very saline 10,000 - 35,000 Brine >35,000 The National Secondary Drinking Regulations (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 1977b)

The National Secondary Drinking Regulations (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 1977b) set a dissolved-solids concentration of 500 mg/L as the secondary maximum contaminant level for public water systems. This level was set primarily on the basis of taste thresholds and potential physiological effects, particularly the laxative effect on unacclimated users. Although drinking waters containing more than 500 mg/L are undesirable, such waters are used in many areas where less mineralized supplies are not available without any obvious ill effects. Dissolved solids in industrial water

Table 4.--Source and Significance of Selected Constituents and Properties Commonly Reported in Water Analyses--Continued

Constituent or property	Source or cause	Significance
Dissolved solids Cont.		supplies can cause foaming in boilers; inter- fere with clearness, color, or taste of many finished products; and accelerate corrosion. Uses of water for irrigation also are limited by excessive dissolved-solids concentrations. Dissolved solids in irrigation water may adversely affect plants directly by the devel- opment of high osmotic conditions in the soil solution and the presence of phytoxins in the water or indirectly by their effect on soils.
Specific conductance	Specific conductance is a measure of the ability of water to transmit an electrical current and depends on the concentrations of ionized constitu- ents dissolved in the water. Many natural waters in contact only with granite, well-leached soil, or other sparingly soluble material have a conduc- tance of less than 50 micromhos. The specific conductance of some brines exceed several hundred thousand micromhos.	The specific conductance is an indication of the degree of mineralization of a water and may be used to estimate the concentration of dis- solved solids in the water.
Hardness as CaCO3	Hardness of water is attributable to all poly- valent metals but principally to calcium and mag- nesium ions expressed as CaCO ₃ (calcium carbon- ate). Water hardness results naturally from the solution of calcium and magnesium, both of which are widely distributed in common minerals of rocks and soils. Hardness of waters in contact with limestone commonly exceeds 200 mg/L. In waters from gypsiferous formations, a hardness of 1,000 mg/L is not uncommon.	Hardness values are used in evaluating water quality and in comparing waters. The following classification is commonly used by the Geological Survey. <u>Hardness (mg/L as CaCO3)</u> <u>Classification</u> 0 - 60 Soft 61 - 120 Moderately hard 121 - 180 Hard >180 Very hard Excessive hardness of water for domestic use is objectionable because it causes incrustations on cooking utensils and water heaters and in- creased soap or detergent consumption. Exces- sive hardness is undesirable also in many indus- trial supplies. (See discussions concerning calcium and magnesium.)
рН	The pH of a solution is a measure of its hydro- gen ion activity. By definition, the pH of pure water at a temperature of 25° C is 7.00. Natural waters contain dissolved gases and minerals, and the pH may deviate significantly from that of pure water. Rainwater not affected signifi- cantly by atmospheric pollution generally has a pH of 5.6 due to the solution of carbon dioxide from the atmosphere. The pH range of most natu- ral surface and ground waters is about 6.0 to 8.5. Many natural waters are slightly basic (pH >7.0) because of the prevalence of carbonates and bicarbonates, which tend to increase the pH.	The pH of a domestic or industrial water supply is significant because it may affect taste, cor- rosion potential, and water-treatment processes. Acidic waters may have a sour taste and cause corrosion of metals and concrete. The National Secondary Drinking Water Regulations (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 1977b) set a pH range of 6.5 to 8.5 as the secondary maximum contaminant level for public water systems.

1/ Most of the material in this table has been summarized from several references. For a more thorough discussion of the source and significance of these and other water-quality properties and constituents, the reader is referred to the following additional references: American Public Health Association and others (1975); Hem (1970); McKee and Wolf (1963); National Academy of Science, National Academy of Engineering (1973); National Technical Advisory Committee to the Secretary of the Interior (1968); and U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (1977a).

Water-Quality Criteria and Standards

The Federal Water Pollution Control Act Amendment of 1972 requires that the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) publish criteria accurately reflecting the latest scientific knowledge. The law requires that these criteria consider the kind and extent of all identifiable effects upon health and welfare that may result from the presence of any pollutants. Moreover, these criteria should be set forth for all bodies of water including ground water. During 1973, the Environmental Protection Agency published criteria relating to the protection of human health and desired species of aquatic plants (National Academy of Sciences, National Academy of Engineering, 1973). During 1976, the Environmental Protection Agency, revised the earlier rules (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 1977a).

The Environmental Protection Agency's "Quality Criteria for Water, 1976," discusses more than 50 constituents commonly occurring in water. It sets the recommended limits, presents the reason for selecting a given criteria, and cites references relating to these standards. Rules for the primary drinking water regulations were published in the Federal Register (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 1976) and became effective July 3, 1979. Rules for the National secondary drinking water regulations were published in the Federal Register (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 1979) and became effective January 19, 1981. Although concentrations of chemical constituents exceeding the recommended limits are objectionable, these limits may sometimes be changed in areas where suitable water is not otherwise available, provided that health and public welfare are adequately protected (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 1979).

Aquifers and Geologic Units

Chemical analyses showing the concentrations of dissolved constituents in water from 158 wells and 2 springs are listed in Table 11. About 68 percent of these wells tap the Wilcox aquifer, 18 percent the Carrizo aquifer, and 1 percent the combined Carrizo and Wilcox aquifers. Another 13 percent tap the basal sands of the Reklaw Formation, which are hydraulically connected to the underlying Carrizo. Electric logs are available for many additional wells and are useful in delineating variation in water salinity.

The dissolved-solids concentrations of water from representative wells from the various units are shown in Figure 18. Some of the wells inventoried in previous investigations could be relocated only approximately.

Chemical quality of ground water based on electric logs indicates that sand containing slightly saline water sometimes overlies freshwater sands. In places, even the shallow sands yield slightly mineralized water. Water from 28 shallow wells, less than 75 feet deep, had concentrations of more than 1,000 mg/L (milligrams per liter) dissolved solids according to Lyle (1937, p. 72-86). Water from nine of these wells had dissolved-solids concentrations exceeding 3,000 mg/L. Partial analyses of water from two of these wells, WR-35-57-803 and WR-35-60-701, are listed in Table 11.



.

.

Midway Group

Some electric logs indicate that slightly saline water occasionally is present in a sand about 100 feet below the top of the Midway. Where this occurs, the base of slightly saline water is picked at the base of this unit. The presence of this sand also is noted by the Texas Department of Water Resources, which may require use of surface casing to protect the sand from contamination by oil and gas production. The Midway, however, does not yield water to wells in Rusk County.

Wilcox Aquifer

Water from 107 wells tapping the Wilcox generally was of a sodium bicarbonate type. A calcium magnesium chloride sulfate type of water occurs in several shallow wells (generally less than 300 feet deep), such as WR-35-51-903 and WR-35-52-701. Both types of water in the Wilcox are described in Rusk County by Henry, Basciano, and Duex (1980).

Concentrations of dissolved solids in the 107 samples analyzed ranged from 49 mg/L (in a 200-foot deep well) to 3,430 mg/L in one well tapping a basal Wilcox sand. Only eight samples exceeded concentrations of 1,000 mg/L dissolved solids. The electric logs shown in the cross sections (Figures 25-27) also indicate that some of the sand beds in the lower part of the Wilcox aquifer contain better quality water than the overlying beds. One example of water-quality zonation in the Wilcox aquifer is illustrated at WR-35-50-804, a test hole drilled for the city of Henderson in 1942. Analyses of water from the well show:

Interval sampled	Dissolved-solids concentration		
(feet)	(milligrams per liter)		
246-257	292		
493-504	1,116		
600-611	945		
683-694	795		

Analyses of water samples collected from well WR-35-50-801, owned by the city of Henderson, show that dissolved-solids concentrations increased from 249 to 328 mg/L between 1941 and 1983. This well is located between the cone of depression at Henderson and Henderson Oil Field. It is also only half a mile due east of well WR-35-50-804.

Carrizo Aquifer

Water from each of 31 wells and springs in the Carrizo was analyzed. Most of the wells were less than 100 feet deep. The water usually was of a calcium magnesium chloride sulfate type, although sodium and bicarbonate ions were predominant in a few analyses. Only three samples exceeded 1,000 mg/L dissolved-solids concentration.

Spring WR-35-57-406 (Big Springs), once used for public supply, issues from the Carrizo Sand. Water from the spring contained $60 \mu g/L$ (micrograms per liter) of chromium and $28 \mu g/L$ of lead (see Table 12). The concentration of chromium exceeds the recommended limit of $50 \mu g/L$ for

public supply use. In 1983, water from Big Springs was reported to be used by some local residents for washing automobiles.

Analyses of water from well WR-35-41-703, tapping the Carrizo-Wilcox, show that the concentration of dissolved solids has increased from 140 to 493 μ g/L between 1941 and 1983. This city of Overton well is located along the west side of East Texas Oil Field near the source of Bowles Creek.

Other Aquifers and Geologic Units

Only one analysis of water from a well tapping the Queen City is listed in Table 11, and the analysis may or may not be representative of water in the aquifer. No analyses of water from the Sparta Sand are included in this report.

Results of analyses of water from 15 wells tapping the Reklaw Formation are listed. Water from two of these wells contained more than 1,000 mg/L dissolved solids. Two of these wells yielded water with relatively high sulfate concentrations. Analyses also are included in Table 11 for two samples collected from wells tapping unknown water-bearing sands.

Contamination and Protection of Ground Water

Rusk County is a substantial, but declining oil-producing county. During 1980, it produced 14,900,000 barrels of oil, down from about 21,164,311 barrels of oil during 1973. Much of this crude was withdrawn from East Texas Oil Field, which had a cumulative production of 4.622 billion barrels of oil through 1980. The number of producing wells peaked at 25,987 during November 1939 according to the Railroad Commission of Texas. According to the East Texas Salt Water Disposal Company (1958), by January 1, 1958, 29,806 wells had been drilled in the field. At that time there were 19,684 producing wells.

During 1981, pressure-maintenance programs used fresh and slightly saline water from the Wilcox aquifer for oilfield water flooding at a number of oil fields in the area. These include the following fields as shown in Figure 5 (and pay zones): East Texas (Woodbine), Pone (basal Pettit), Shiloh (upper Pettit), Tatum (Pettit and Iower Pettit), Henderson (Pettit and Travis Peak), and East Henderson (Travis Peak).

Surface Casing

An act of the Texas Legislature, passed in 1899, requires that oil and gas wells be cased to prevent ground water above the producing zone from entering oil and gas wells. Later, acts of 1919, 1931, 1932, and 1935, gave broad powers to the Railroad Commission to prevent oil, gas, and water from escaping from the original strata in which they are confined into another strata.

Originally, the Railroad Commission determined where surface casing should be set. Later, the Texas Department of Water Resources and its predecessors were given the authority to make recommendations concerning the protection of usable water. Water containing dissolved-solids





concentrations of less than 3,000 mg/L is recommended for protection by use of surface casing or cement. Recommendation for protection of more highly mineralized water may be made if the water is being used for beneficial purposes.

The depth to the base of sands containing fresh to slightly saline water (in those fields for which field rules exist) and the amount of required cemented surface casing, according to published rules of the Railroad Commission of Texas are shown in Figure 19. A recent statewide regulation of the Railroad Commission of Texas (1979) relating to the drilling, producing, and plugging of any oil, gas, or geothermal well requires the protection of usable water both above and below the surface. Also, the Texas Department of Water Resources requires that all fresh and slightly saline water sands be protected. However,

according to the original field rules in 1932 for East Texas (Woodbine) Oil Field, the base of usable water is not adequately protected.

Disposal of Saltwater

Considerable amounts of brine are produced in Rusk County in connection with the production of oil. If mishandled in improperly cased or plugged oil wells or tests holes, these brines can move upward from the underlying higher pressured saltwater-bearing formations into zones of fresh and slightly saline water. To prevent this, the Railroad Commission requires that brine be disposed of in ways that will not contaminate freshwater.

Between January 1, 1969, (when the Railroad Commission established a rule prohibiting the use of open pits for disposal of oilfield brine) and 1981, nearly all of the brine produced in Rusk County was disposed of through injection wells. Currently (1982), this is particularly true in the area around East Texas Oil Field where the additional water is needed to maintain reservoir pressure for secondary recovery.

Large quantities of saltwater have been produced from East Texas Oil Field. During some years, the production of saltwater almost equaled the production of oil. The amounts (daily average) of saltwater that were produced, injected, and otherwise diverted for selected years are shown in Table 5.

Table 5.--Saltwater Production and Disposal, East Texas Oil Field

(Figures modified from East Texas Salt Water Disposal Co., 1958, and Texas Water Commission and Texas Water Pollution Control Board, 1963)

Year	Saltwater <u>(daily</u> Barrels	produced average) Million gallons	Saltwater (daily a Barrels	injected average) Million gallons	Saltwater othe (daily 	rwise diverted average) Million gallons
1935	15,000	0.63	0	0	15,000	0.63
1938	100,000	4.20	610	.03	100,000	4.17
1942	439,000	18.44	81,000	3.40	358,000	15.04
1950	643,000	27.00	466,000	19.57	177,000	7.43
1961	433,000	18.19	429,000	18.02	4,000	0.17

NOTE: Figures may vary slightly due to rounding procedures.

A study of saltwater disposal (Railroad Commission of Texas, 1952, p. 91) showed that during. October 1935, East Texas Oil Field had been producing about 15,000 barrels of saltwater per day. By 1938, water production had increased to about 100,000 barrels per day. During this period, saltwater was pumped into natural drainage systems. Saltwater was first reinjected into the subsurface during June 1938. By 1942, saltwater production had increased to 439,000 barrels per day. This was equivalent to about 18.44 million gal/d, of which 18.4 percent was being reinjected into the producing Woodbine sands. About 15 million gal/d was being otherwise diverted, probably into surface pits and into the natural drainage system.

During 1961, the total brine production for East Texas Oil Field was estimated to be 155,193,391 barrels. About 99 percent was disposed of through injection wells. About 0.2 percent, 0.4 million gal/d was disposed of through open surface pits, while another 0.7 percent, 0.12 million gal/d was disposed of by unknown methods. (See Texas Water Commission and the Texas Water Pollution Control Board, 1963.)

Contamination

One case of oilfield brine contamination has been documented at Henderson Field in Rusk County by Burnitt (1963). Contamination was found in an 85-foot deep water well (WR-35-50-204) and at three stream sites along the Beaver Run and Cherokee Bayou drainage areas. Leakage occurred from unlined surface pits, formerly used for storing oilfield brines. Analyses of water collected from the contaminated well show relatively high amounts of calcium, sodium, chloride, and total dissolved solids, and a relatively low pH. The first sample was collected after 1 minute of pumping; the second sample after 5 hours of pumping. During this period, the total dissolved solids increased from 1,870 to 2,475 mg/L; the pH declined from 6.5 to 5.6. Water collected from one stream site contained 50 mg/L of dissolved solids. Water collected from the three contaminated stream sites had dissolved-solids concentrations of 116,880, 6,684, and 6,609 mg/L.

Hughes and Leifeste (1967) completed a reconnaissance of water quality of surface water in the Neches River basin. Their study includes data on Striker Creek Lake and the Striker Creek drainage basin, which also includes the Bowles Creek watershed. Water samples were collected during low flows from 24 sites in the Striker Creek basin during March and June 1964. Hughes and Leifeste (1967, p. A21) reported that some earthen pits were still used to store oil-field brine. They also observed oil wastes along the banks of water courses, which indicated that there had been brine spills. "In addition to deliberate dumping," reported Hughes and Leifeste, "brine also reaches streams as a result of leaks in collection systems, breaks in pipelines, overflow of storage tanks, and other accidents incidental to the handling of large volumes of waste water." The following are conclusions they reached:

- 1. Bowles Creek and its tributaries are the source of most of the salinity;
- 2. Many streams carry acid water with the pH as low as 3.2;
- 3. Sodium and chloride are the principal dissolved constituents;

- Sulfate concentrations generally are low throughout the area;
- 5. Where acid water occurs outside the oilfield area, sulfate is the principal anion; and
- 6. High chloride water was not found outside the oilfield area.

DEVELOPMENT AND USE OF GROUND WATER

History of Development

Prior to about 1920, nearly all the water used in Rusk County came from shallow wells du into the Wilcox and Carrizo aquifers. Numerous springs (there may be as many as severa hundred) also provide water throughout much of the area. Brune (1981, p. 390-394) in "Spring of Texas" lists 43 springs of historical interest. Many of these are located along the Moun Enterprise Fault Zone. Stockman Springs (WR-37-03-403), west of Mount Enterprise, is located along the East Fork of the Angelina River. Brune reports that in 1833, Henry Stockman received a land grant which included the springs now named after him. He also relates that Stockman, along with a yoke of oxen, drowned in the springs. Other springs such as Sulphur Springs (WR-37-02 904) are of similar extent.

The discovery of East Texas Oil Field in 1930 created an immediate demand for water to be used for industrial purposes. Almost all of this withdrawal was from the Carrizo and Wilcov aquifers. Turner (1932, p. 6) estimated that about 16.2 million gal/d was being withdrawn for oilfield operations in Rusk and Gregg Counties. The cities of Kilgore (Gregg and Rusk Counties and Longview (Gregg County) at first used water from the Sabine River. By 1934, concentrations of oilfield brines and industrial wastes became so high during low flow in the Sabine River that these cities located other sources of drinking water. For a while Longview diverted creek water for drinking, but now (1982) uses water from Lake Cherokee (Rusk and Gregg Counties). Kilgore withdraws ground water from well fields in Smith County.

When Lyle (1937) inventoried 406 wells in Rusk County, only 15 were classified as industrial, 8 as public supply, and 16 as "oilfield" use. Most of the larger-capacity wells were concentrated around East Texas Oil Field and the city of Henderson. Elsewhere, shallow-dug wells were used for domestic and livestock purposes.

Much of the industrial use of ground water is related to the production of oil and gas with most of the withdrawals concentrated in East Texas Oil Field. Follett (1943) inventoried those industrial wells in the northwestern part of the county. During 1981, water levels were measured in some of the same wells he visited.

Shallow wells continued to be used rather extensively in the area until the late 1960's and early 1970's. By then, a number of rural water-supply corporations were organized under the auspices of the Farmers Home Administration. During 1981, there were 24 active water-supply corporations serving residents of Rusk County. These systems, together with the municipalities of Henderson, Overton, New London, and Tatum, supply about 90 percent of the water used for domestic and livestock purposes.

Use of Water

Withdrawals of ground water during 1960, 1970, and 1980 are summarized by use in Table 6. During 1980, all significant withdrawals of ground water, about 4.6 million gal/d, were from the Wilcox aquifer. Of this amount, about 94 percent was freshwater. Numerous springs, creeks, and ponds supply the water needs for livestock. Surface water is used for some public supply and industrial purposes. The Elderville Water-Supply Corporation obtains water from Lake Cherokee through the city of Longview; Texas Utilities Generating Company uses Martin Lake as a source of cooling water at their generating plant.

Municipal Use

Estimates of municipal use of ground water are listed in Table 7. Of the 4.20 million gal/d of ground water used for public supply, 3.23 million gal/d of water was used by the five municipalities listed in Table 7. The city of Henderson, the largest single user, pumped 2.05 million gal/d of ground water from the Wilcox during 1980. The average per capita consumption of ground water from the five largest communities was 190 gal/d. The 24 rural water-supply corporations serving the smaller communities furnished about 0.97 million gal/d or about 23 percent of the water used for public supply during 1980. The approximate area served by all 29 public water-supply systems in Rusk County is shown in Figure 20. Elderville Water Supply Corporation, which uses surface water from Lake Cherokee, is the only public supply system that does not use ground water.

Industrial Use

Industrial use during 1980 was estimated to be about 0.50 million gal/d, a decline of more than 50 percent from 1970. Nearly all of the industrial use is for cooling at gasoline plants and refineries. Increased energy costs have caused some operators to replace ground water with more economical sources of cooling, such as air and liquid hydrocarbons. Other industrial users have abandoned their wells and now obtain water from public-supply sources.

Mining Use

Withdrawals of water for mining (fuels) are reported to the Railroad Commission of Texas. During 1980, about 0.550 million gal/d of water was withdrawn from the Wilcox aquifer for pressure maintenance. One example of such a project, Mobil's T.O. Mason lease, is pictured in Figure 21. Here, slightly saline water from the Wilcox is treated and mixed with produced brine from the Woodbine. This fluid is then injected underground in secondary recovery of oil at East Texas Oil Field. Pressure maintenance operations (water flooding) are or have been underway at eight oil-field sites in East Texas, two in Tatum, one in Henderson, one in South Henderson, one in Pone, and one in Shiloh.

. .



Table 6.--Approximate Withdrawals of Ground Water During 1960, 1970, and 1980 in Rusk County

llse	1960		1	1970		1980	
	Mgal/d	Acre-ft	Mgal/d	Acre-ft	Mgal/d	Acre-ft	
Industrial	1.20	1,344	1.15	1,288	0.50	504	
Mining <u>1</u> /			.04	45	.55	616	
Public supply	1.40	1,568	2.25	2,520	4.20	4,705	
Rural domestic	.50	560	.08	90	.15	224	
Totals	3.10	3,472	3.52	3,943	5.40	6,049	

(Mgal/d, million gallons per day; acre-ft, acre-feet)

1/ Includes slightly saline water.

Municipality	1980 Popu-	1980 Per capita	<u>1942</u>	<u>1943</u>	<u>1970</u>	1980
		(gallons)	(ia)		ons per	uay)
Henderson	11,473	178	0.36	<u>1</u> /0.38	1.27	2.05
Mount Enterprise	485	365			.07	.18
New London	942	400			.22	.38
Overton	2,430	178	<u>1</u> /.20	<u>2</u> /.20	.29	.43
Tatum	1,614	120		.01		.19
						<u>_</u>
Totals	16,944	<u>3</u> /190	0.56	0.59	1.85	3.23

Table 7.--Municipal Use of Ground Water in Rusk County

November and December estimated on 1941 basis. $\frac{1}{2}$ / $\frac{3}{3}$ /

- Estimated.
- Average per capita consumption.

NOTE: Some figures may vary slightly due to rounding.



Figure 21.—Water-Storage Tank at Mobil's T.O. Mason Pressure-Maintenance Project in East Texas Oil Field

Changes in Water Levels

Most water levels in Rusk County were measured during three periods: during 1936, between 1937 and 1940, and from about 1972 through 1981. Most of the observation wells before 1972 were concentrated near the city of Henderson. During 1972, the Texas Department of Water Resources initiated a network of observation wells that included the entire county. Practically no water-level data are available prior to the discovery of East Texas Oil Field in 1930.

Water-level measurements (three or less) are listed in the records of wells, springs, and test holes (Table 8). Other measurements (four or more) are tabulated in the list of water levels in wells (Table 10). Hydrographs depicting water-level fluctuations in selected wells are shown in Figure 22.

Many of the water levels measured are in wells that show no particular change. These water levels rise and fall due to changes in season and variations in rainfall. Sustained long-term declines in water levels are evident in two places, near the city of Henderson and in the area of East Texas Oil Field. In both areas there is a concentration of wells producing an average of over a million gallons per day. Most of the wells withdraw water from the middle and lower Wilcox sands.

At the city of Henderson, a moderate cone of depression (Figure 15) has resulted from ground-water withdrawals of about 2.0 million gal/d. The water level in well WR-35-50-901, near Henderson, declined about 134 feet between 1935 and 1981 (Figure 22).


Figure 22.—Fluctuations of Water Levels in Selected Wells in Rusk and Cherokee Counties

Water levels in well WR-35-41-703 declined 29 feet between 1941 and 1979; water levels in well WR-35-41-901 declined about 17 feet between 1949 and 1981; and water levels in well WR-35-49-702 declined 67 feet between 1938 and 1979. However, not all water levels in Rusk County declined. The water level in well WR-35-41-501 rose 43 feet between 1947 and 1979. The water level in well WR-35-44-601, tapping the Wilcox, declined about 54 feet between 1938 and 1979. Elsewhere in Rusk County, water levels in most wells have not declined appreciably. For example, the water level in well WR-37-01-501 (Figure 22), tapping the Queen City, shows no long-term change.

Well Construction

Well construction depends on several factors such as the desired capacity of the well, intended use, allowable cost, methods of drilling, and quality of the water desired. Some information on the well construction used in the county is tabulated in Table 8. Except for shallow-dug wells, wells are cased and have slotted screen opposite water-bearing sands.

Large-capacity wells such as those used for industrial and municipal supply are drilled by hydraulic rotary methods. First, a test hole (usually 6 inches in diameter) is drilled to total depth and logged for thickness of sand intervals. Water samples are collected to determine water quality in the different sands. If the data indicate that sufficient quantities of suitable quality water can be developed, a well is constructed. Test drilling is necessary in much of Rusk County, but particularly in the Mount Enterprise Fault Zone or in areas where the Wilcox sands contain water that varies in quality.

In a typical large-capacity well, the upper part of the test hole usually is reamed to 14 to 20 inches in diameter. A slightly smaller surface casing is set and cemented in place to form the pump pit or housing. The remaining part of the test hole is then reamed to a diameter slightly less than that of the surface casing. The interval to be screened is then underreamed as desired, usually to 30 inches in diameter, and 8- to 12-inch diameter wire-wrapped screens and blank casing are installed. Next, the annular space between the screen or casing and the wall of the hole is filled with sorted gravel. This gravel pack stabilizes the hole and effectively increases the diameter of the well. Large-capacity wells are developed and tested with large-capacity pumps. The wells then are fitted with deep-well turbine pumps, usually powered by electric motors. Properly constructed wells in the Wilcox or Carrizo aquifers yield about 500 gal/min.

Most of the drilled wells used for livestock and domestic purposes in Rusk County have 2- to 4-inch casing. Generally, jet pumps are used for the smaller-diameter wells if the water level is near the surface, and submersible pumps are used in the deeper 4-inch wells. Plastic (PVC) casing is often used due to its lower cost and ability to resist corrosion from water having a low pH or high iron content. Often the 4-inch wells are completed with a smaller-diameter single screen placed at the bottom of the well. Sometimes a wire-wrapped screen is used. More frequently, however, the last joint of pipe is slotted or perforated and possibly gravel packed.

AVAILABILITY OF GROUND WATER

Some freshwater is available from every formation above the Midway Group. Only the Carrizo and Wilcox aquifers, however, are capable of producing substantial quantities of water. The Sparta and Queen City Sands, as previously mentioned, are limited in thickness and extent and only rarely are tapped by large wells in Rusk County. Although basal sands of the Reklaw furnish some water, they are hydraulically connected with the underlying Carrizo and should not be considered a source of water apart from the Carrizo. Moreover, the Reklaw, Queen City, Weches, and Sparta also overlie the Carrizo and Wilcox aquifers. Consequently, there is almost always a higher-yielding, but deeper, source of ground water available from the Carrizo and Wilcox sands.

It is not known if the current level of freshwater withdrawal will be maintained for the foreseeable future. If it is, a continued but moderate lowering of the potentometric surface is expected. With withdrawal of ground water, the lowering of water levels continues until the area of influence from the well fields becomes large enough so that the recharge equals the discharge. While water levels are lowered, water is taken from storage. The potentiometric surface of the Wilcox aquifer (Figure 15) indicates that the area of influence already extends past the Rusk County line. There are not sufficient withdrawal or water-level data to determine if the general water-level declines shown in Figure 22 will continue permanently because of continued increases in pumpage or only be temporary because of recent increases in pumpage. Data are insufficient to construct a water-level decline map for Rusk County.

In the case of the Wilcox and Carrizo aquifers in Rusk County, the recharge may be effectively increasing as the water levels are drawn down. Additional drawdown causes an increase in the head differences between the water table, which is expected to remain reasonably stable, and the potentiometric surface of the major water-bearing zones. Thus, the vertical hydraulic gradient is increased, thereby proportionally increasing the vertical leakage or movement of water.

One unknown aspect of continuing or increasing the ground-water withdrawals from the Wilcox is the possibility of increasing the water's salinity. As the water levels are lowered, water movement from nearby zones occurs. If these zones contain water of a higher salinity, the dissolved-solids concentrations in the major freshwater zones would be expected to eventually increase.

Wilcox and Carrizo Aquifers

Fresh to slightly saline water is available from the Wilcox aquifer throughout the entire 939 square miles of Rusk County. The average thickness of sand in the Wilcox containing freshwater in Rusk County is about 245 feet. Based upon a porosity of 30 percent, the Wilcox contains about 40 million acre-feet of water; however, it is economically impractical to recover more than a small percentage of this water. Assuming a specific yield of 0.15, about 20 million acre-feet of water is available from storage. Water in storage is not a good measure of availability in Rusk County because it is not economically practical to recover more than a moderate amount of the total water stored in the aquifer system. Also, because the slightly saline water-bearing sands are interbedded with the freshwater-bearing sands, chemical quality may be a deterrent to development.

Freshwater is available from the Carrizo wherever it is present in Rusk County. Based on an area of 656 square miles, a porosity of 30 percent, and an average sand thickness of 70 feet, the aquifer contains about 8 million acre-feet of water. Assuming a specific yield of 0.15 and an overall average sand thickness of 70 feet, about 4 million acre-feet of water is available from storage in the Carrizo. The Carrizo is in hydraulic continuity with and serves as an avenue of recharge to the Wilcox throughout much of Rusk County.

Moderate amounts of ground water are available for development. The amount that is available perennially is not known, but is greater than that being withdrawn. Assuming a pre-development hydraulic gradient of about 8 ft/mi, a hydraulic conductivity of 14 ft/d and an average freshwater sand thickness of 245 feet, at least 12 million gal/d of fresh ground water is being transmitted through the Wilcox and about 3 million gal/d through the Carrizo.

Other Aquifers

The Queen City aquifer, present in about 10 percent of the county, is practically undeveloped. Maximum thickness of the Queen City is about 132 feet. The aquifer is capable of producing ample supplies of ground water for livestock and domestic use. The Sparta Sand aquifer, which only occurs locally in the vicinity of the Mount Enterprise Fault system, is practically undeveloped. Because of their limited extent and near-surface occurrence, neither the Sparta nor Queen City is an important aquifer in Rusk County.

Areas Most Favorable for Future Development

Areas most favorable for future development of ground water are shown in Figure 23. These areas have been designated as follows: I, most favorable; II, favorable; III, moderately favorable; IV, moderately unfavorable; and V, most unfavorable.

Representative criteria useful in classifying the favorability of areas for additional freshwater development include: 1, hydraulic conductivity; 2, average thickness of freshwater-bearing sands; 3, amount of ground water being withdrawn; 4, thickness or amount of slightly saline water-bearing sands interbedded with freshwater sands; 5, possible effects of faulting; and 6, possibility of freshwater sands being mineralized by oilfield brines.

The most favorable region for future development, shown as area I in Figure 23, is located in southwestern Rusk County. The area has one of the thicker sections of freshwater-bearing Wilcox sands, and the Carrizo is present in about 95 percent of the area. Also no significant ground-water withdrawals occur in the area.

Two favorable areas, shown as area II, are present. One lies in the east-central part of the county east of Henderson and another is present south of the Mount Enterprise Fault System. Although some Carrizo crops out on the surface in both areas, the largest ground-water supplies could be developed from the Wilcox aquifer.

Three moderately favorable areas, shown as area III, are present. Two of these areas are located in the southern section of the county and are associated with the Mount Enterprise Fault System. Outliers of both the Queen City and Sparta are preserved in the downdropped blocks of the system. Consequently, these are the places where the most complete geologic section is developed. Although there could be considerable amounts of available freshwater in this area, development of individual wells should be considered carefully because faulting may have interrupted the lateral continuity of a producing zone. The other moderately favorable area is located in the north-central part of the county where the freshwater-bearing Wilcox sands are relatively thin.

The moderately unfavorable area, shown as area IV, extends from about the city of Henderson northwestward to the county line. The area has experienced a substantial decline in water levels and has encountered some brine pollution.

Three most unfavorable areas, shown as area V, are present. One of the areas, about 30 square miles near the city of Henderson, accounts for about 40 percent of all ground water



withdrawn in the county and may be considered moderately developed. Two other areas are located between Overton and New London and at Price in the area of East Texas Oil Field. This is an area where there are two cones of depression and considerable interfingering of slightly saline water-bearing sands with freshwater sands.

NEEDS FOR CONTINUING DATA COLLECTION

Collection of withdrawal, water-level, and water-quality data in Rusk County should be continued and expanded. During about 1972, the Texas Department of Water Resources initiated a program of measuring water levels and collecting water-quality data in the area. The data-collection program should be continued and could be expanded to include a few wells that tap the deeper Wilcox sands outside of the more heavily pumped areas. Water-quality data also could be collected at Henderson to monitor saltwater encroachment.

A ground-water program to investigate contamination of freshwater sands by oilfield brines could be initiated in the East Texas and Henderson Oil Fields. Emphasis of such a program should be placed on investigating the deeper sands of the Wilcox as well as the shallow sands in areas of recharge.

CONCLUSIONS

The Wilcox aquifer is the major source of ground water in Rusk County. It yields both fresh and slightly saline water. Water can also be obtained from the Carrizo, Queen City, and Sparta aquifers and from the Reklaw Formation. The Carrizo, the most extensive of the other sources, is in hydrologic continuity with the underlying Wilcox.

Numerous facies changes are present within the Wilcox, which consists of thin but sometimes massive beds of fine-to coarse-grained sand, silt, and clay. The aquifer ranges in thickness from about 750 feet to more than 1,200 feet. The Wilcox is the only freshwater-bearing unit that is present throughout all of Rusk County. No freshwater occurs below the base of the Wilcox. In places, however, slightly saline water-bearing beds are interbedded with and sometimes overlie freshwater-bearing sands. Although some of these relationships are natural, others may result from the mineralization of water by oilfield brines.

Daily withdrawal of ground water for all purposes increased from 3.1 million gal/d during 1960 to 5.4 million gal/d during 1980. Daily withdrawal for municipal purposes has increased from 1.4 million gal/d during 1960 to 4.2 million gal/d during 1980. About half of the municipal and about 38 percent of the total ground-water withdrawal (1980) is from a small area around the city of Henderson. Consequently, water levels at Henderson have declined about 135 feet or an average of about 2.9 feet per year between 1935 and 1981.

Additional supplies of fresh ground water can be developed throughout nearly all of Rusk County. About 20 million acre-feet of freshwater is available from storage, and a total of 12 million gal/d is being transmitted through the Wilcox aquifer. Slightly saline water also is available from the Wilcox aquifer. About 4 million acre-feet of freshwater is available from storage, and a total of about 3 million gal/d is being transmitted through the through the Carrizo aquifer. Wells that are properly

constructed should yield about 500 gal/min from the Wilcox and possibly the Carrizo aquifers; a few wells have been constructed that yield as much as 1,000 gal/min.

Much of the variation in the quality of the ground water in the Wilcox aquifer is natural. Three areas in which variations are likely to occur are near the city of Henderson, in the East Texas Oil Field, and along the Mount Enterprise Fault System. Because drastic water-quality changes occur between zones, it is essential that the water from each sand be analyzed during a test-drilling operation to make certain that it is of acceptable quality.

Poorer-quality ground water occurs in the vicinity of the city of Henderson. The withdrawal of 2.05 million gal/d of ground water from the Wilcox during 1980 created a cone of depression into which the poor-quality water could migrate.

Ground water has been contaminated by oilfield brine at Henderson field. In addition, oilfield brine has contaminated Bowles Creek and Beaver Run Creek in two separate instances.

SELECTED REFERENCES

- American Public Health Association and others, 1975, Standard methods for the examination of water and wastewater (14th ed.): Washington, D.C., Am. Public Health Assoc., 1193 p.
- Baker, B. B., Dillard, J. W., Souders, V. L., and Peckham, R. C., 1963, Reconnaissance investigation of the ground-water resources of the Sabine River basin, Texas: Texas Water Comm. Bull. 6307, 63 p.
- Baker, B. B., Peckham, R. C., Dillard, J. W., and Souders, V. L., 1963, Reconnaissance investigation of the ground-water resources of the Neches River basin, Texas: Texas Water Comm. Bull. 6308, 67 p.
- Broadhurst, W. L., 1945, Records of wells, drillers' logs, water analyses, and map showing locations of wells in Gregg County, Texas: Texas Board Water Engineers duplicated rept., 35 p.
- Broom, M. E., 1969, Ground-water resources of Gregg and Upshur Counties, Texas: Texas Water Devel. Board Rept. 101, 83 p.

Brune, Gunnar, 1981, Springs of Texas, volume 1: Fort Worth, Branch-Smith, Inc., 566 p.

Bureau of Economic Geology, 1965, Geologic atlas of Texas, Tyler sheet: Univ. Texas, Bur. Econ. Geology map.

______ 1968, Geologic atlas of Texas, Palestine sheet: Univ. Texas, Bur. Econ. Geology map.

- Burnitt, S. C., 1963, Henderson Oil Field area, Rusk County, Texas; Investigation of ground-water contamination: Texas Water Comm. rept., LD-0262-MR, 13 p.
- California State Water Quality Control Board, 1963, Water-quality criteria: California State Water Quality Control Board Pub. 3-A.
- Collins, E. W., Hobday, D. K., and Kreitler, C. W., 1980, Quaternary faulting in East Texas: Univ. Texas, Bureau Econ. Geology Geol. Cir. 80-1, 30 p.
- Cooper, H. H., and Jacob, C. E., 1946, A generalized graphical method for evaluating formation constants and summarizing well-field history: Am. Geophys. Union Trans., v. 27, no. IV, p. 526-534.
- Dean, H. T., and others, 1941, Domestic water and dental caries: U.S. Public Health Service, Public Health Repts., v. 56, p. 761-792.
- Deussen, Alexander, 1914, Geology and underground waters of the southeastern part of the Texas Coastal Plain: U.S. Geol. Survey Water-Supply Paper 335, 365 p.
- Dillard, J. W., 1963, Availability and quality of ground water in Smith County, Texas: Texas Water Comm. Bull. 6302, 116 p.

East Texas Salt Water Disposal Company, 1958, Salt-water disposal, East Texas Oil Field: 131 p.

- Eaton, R. R., 1956, Resume of subsurface geology of northeast Texas with emphasis on salt structures: Gulf Coast Geol. Societies Trans., p. 79-84.
- Fenneman, N. M., 1939, Physiography of eastern United States: New York, McGraw-Hill, 714 p.
- Ferris, J. G., Knowles, D. B., Brown, R. H., and Stallman, R. W., 1962, Theory of aquifer tests: U.S. Geol. Survey Water-Supply Paper 1536-E, 173 p.
- Fisher, W. L., 1965, Rock and mineral resources of east Texas: Univ. Texas, Bur. Econ. Geology Rept. Inv. 54, 439 p.
- Fisher, W. L., and McGowen, J. H., 1967, Depositional systems in the Wilcox Group of Texas and their relationship to occurrence of oil and gas: Gulf Coast Assoc. Geol. Soc. Trans. of 17th annual meeting, p. 105-125.
- Follett, C. R., 1943, Records of wells, drillers' logs, water analyses, and map showing locations of wells in Rusk County, Texas: Texas Board Water Engineers duplicated rept., 56 p.
- Hem, J. D., 1959, Study and interpretation of the chemical characteristics of natural water: U.S. Geol. Survey Water-Supply Paper 1473, 269 p.
- _____1970, Study and interpretation of the chemical characteristics of natural water: U.S. Geol. Survey Water-Supply Paper 1473, 363 p.
- Henry, C. D., Basciano, J. M., and Duex, T. W., 1980, Hydrology and water quality of the Eocene Wilcox Group; Significance for lignite development in East Texas: Univ. Texas, Bur. Econ. Geology Geol. Circ. 80-3, 9 p.
- Hughes, L. S., and Leifeste, D. L., 1967, Reconnaissance of the chemical quality of surface waters of the Neches River basin, Texas: U.S. Geol. Survey Water-Supply Paper 1839-A, 63 p.
- Jones, P. H., Stevens, P. R., Wesselman, J. B., and Wallace, R. H., Jr., 1976, Regional appraisal of the Wilcox Group in Texas for subsurface storage of fluid wastes: Part I—Geology: U.S. Geol. Survey Open-File Rept. 76-394, 107 p.
- Kaiser, W. R., Ayers, W. B., Jr., and La Brie, L. W., 1980, Lignite resources in Texas: Univ. Texas, Bur. Econ. Geology and Texas Energy and Natural Resources Advisory Council Rept. Inv. No. 104, 52 p.
- Kane, J. W., 1967, Monthly resevoir evaporation rates for Texas, 1940 through 1965: Texas Water Devel. Board Rept. 64, 111 p.
- Kreitler, C. W., and others, 1980, Geology and geohydrology of the East Texas basin: Univ. Texas, Bur. Econ. Geology Geol. Cir. 80-12, 112 p.

- Lockhart, E. E., Tucker, C. L., and Merritt, M. C., 1955, The effect of water impurities on the flavor of brewed coffee: Food Research 20, 598 p.
- Lyle, W. M., 1937, Records of wells, drillers' logs, water analyses, and map showing location of wells in Rusk County, Texas: Texas Board Water Engineers duplicated rept., 87 p.
- Maxcy, K. F., 1950, Report on the relation of nitrate concentrations in well waters to the occurrence of methemoglobinemia: Natl. Research Council Bull., Sanitary Eng., App. D, p. 265-271.
- McKee, J. E., and Wolf, H. W., 1963, Water quality criteria (2d ed.): California State Water Quality Board Pub. No. 3-A, 548 p.
- Meinzer, O. E., and Wenzel, L. K., 1942, Movement of ground water and its relation to head, permeability and storage, *in* Physics of the earth, Part 9, Hydrology: New York, McGraw-Hill, p. 444-478.
- Morton, R. B., 1981, Effects of petroleum associated brine on the water resource of the Vamoosa-Ada aquifer, east-central Oklahoma: U.S. Geol. Survey Open-File Rept. 81, 60 p.
- National Academy of Sciences, National Academy of Engineering, 1973 [1974], Water quality criteria, 1972: Washington, D.C., Rept. of the Comm. on Water Quality Criteria, 594 p.
- National Technical Advisory Committee to the Secretary of the Interior, 1968, Water quality criteria: U.S. Govt. Printing Office, 234 p.
- Nichols, P. H., 1964, The remaining frontiers for exploration in northeast Texas: Trans. Gulf Coast Assoc. Petroleum Geologists, v. 14, p. 7-22.
- Railroad Commission of Texas, 1952, Saltwater injection in the East Texas Field *in* A survey of secondary recovery and pressure maintenance operations in Texas to 1952: Railroad Comm. Texas Eng. Dept. rept., p. 91-96.
- _____ 1981, A survey of secondary and enhanced recovery operations to 1980: Railroad Comm. Texas Bull. 80, 544 p.
- ______1981, Oil and gas annual production by active fields, 1980: Railroad Comm. Texas Oil and Gas Div. rept., 666 p.
- Sellards, E. H., Adkins, W. S., and Plummer, F. B., 1932, The geology of Texas, Volume 1, Stratigraphy: Univ. Texas, Bur. Econ. Geology Bull. 3232, 1007 p.
- Sellards, E. H., and Hendricks, L., 1946, Structural map of Texas, third edition: Univ. Texas, Bur. of Econ. Geology map.
- Stenzel, H. B., 1953, The geology of Henrys Chapel quadrangle, northeastern Cherokee County, Texas: Univ. Texas, Bur. Econ. Geology Pub. 5305.

- Sundstrom, R. W, Hastings, W. W., and Broadhurst, W. L., 1948, Public water supplies in eastern Texas: U.S. Geol. Survey Water-Supply Paper 1047, 285 p.
- Swenson, H. A., and Baldwin, H. L., 1965, A primer on water quality: Washington, D.C., U.S. Gov. Printing Office, 27 p.
- Texas Water Commission and Texas Water Pollution Control Board, 1963, A statistical analysis of data on oil-field brine production and disposal in Texas for the year 1961, *from* An inventory conducted by the Railroad Commission of Texas: Railroad Comm. Texas Distrib. 6, v. 1, 327 p.
- Theis, C. V., 1935, The relation between the lowering of the piezometric surface and the rate and duration of discharge of a well using ground-water storage: Am. Geophys. Union, Trans. of 16th annual meeting, pt. 2, p. 519-524.
- Turner, S. F., 1932, Ground-water conditions in East Texas Oil Field: U.S. Geol. Survey open-file rept., 18 p.
- U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of Census, 1980, United States census of population, 1980; characteristics of the population, Texas: v. 1, pt. 45.
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 1976, National interim primary drinking water regulations: Office of Water Supply, EPA-570/9-76-003, 159 p.
- _____ 1977a, Quality criteria for water, 1976: U.S. Gov. Printing Office, 256 p.
- 1977b, National secondary drinking water regulations: Federal Register, v. 42, no. 62, pt. l, p. 17143-17147.
- _____ 1979, National secondary drinking water regulations: Federal Register, v. 44, no. 140, p. 42196-42202.
- U.S. Public Health Service, 1962, Public Health Service drinking-water standards: Public Health Service Pub. 956, 61 p.
- U.S. Salinity Laboratory Staff, 1954, Diagnosis and improvement of saline and alkaline soils: U.S. Dept. of Agriculture Handb. 60, 160 p.
- von Hake, C. A., 1977, Earthquake history of Texas: U.S. Geol. Survey Earthquake Information Bull., v. 9, no. 3, p. 30-32.
- Wenzel, L. K., 1942, Methods for determining permeability of water-bearing material with special reference to discharging-well methods: U.S. Geol. Survey Water-Supply Paper 887, 192 p.
- W. F. Guyton and Associates, 1970, Ground-water conditions in Angelina and Nacogdoches Counties, Texas: Texas Water Devel. Board Rept. 110, 167 p.

- W. F. Guyton and Associates, 1972, Ground-water conditions in Anderson, Cherokee, Freestone, and Henderson Counties, Texas: Texas Water Devel. Board Rept. 150, 335 p.
- Wilcox, L. V., 1955, Classification and use of irrigation waters: U.S. Dept. Agriculture Circ. 969, 19 p.
- Wilcox, L. V., Blair, G. Y., and Bower, C. A., 1954, Effect of bicarbonate on suitability of water for irrigation: Soil Science, v. 77, no. 4, p. 259-266.
- Winslow, A. G., and Kister, L. R., Jr., 1956, Saline water resources of Texas: U.S. Geol. Survey Water-Supply Paper 1365, 105 p.

Table 8.--Records of Wells, Springs, and Test Holes in Rusk County and Adjacent Areas (gal/min--gallons per minute; Mgal/d--million gallons per day; mg/l--milligrams per liter; °C--degrees Celsius)

Water-bearing unit: To - Carrizo aquifer; Tow - Carrizo-Wilcox aquifer; Tq - Queen City aquifer; Tr - Reklaw Formation; Twi - Wilcox aquifer; Qal - alluvium. Water levels: Reported water levels listed in feet, measured levels in feet and tenths; F - flows, head unknowm. Method of lift: A - air; E - electric motor; J - jet; N - none; S - submergible; T - turbine. Numbers indicate horsepower. Use of water: D - domestic; C - commercial; Ind - industrial; Irr - irrigation; P - public supply; S - stock; U - unused; WF - waterflood.

₩e⊺1	Owner or name	Driller	Date con⊢ pleted	Depth of well (feet)	Casir Dfameter (inches)	ng Depth (feet)	Water bear- ing unit	Altitude of land surface (feet)	Above (+) or below land surface (feet)	Date of measure- ment	Method of lift	Use of water	Remarks
Rusk County WR-35-41-101	W. P. Moore			35			Tq	440	22.5	6- 9-36	N	U	
102	John Lipe	Key Drilling Co.	1981	273	4	273	Τc	465	198.6	7-16-81	SE,0.75	D	
201	Exxon Peterson No. 2	W. B. Hamilton	1934	835			Tw1	330	80.3	10-16-41	N	u	
202	M. R. Terrell	Walt Loftus	1934	435			Twi	420	36	6-10-36	N	Ų	1/
304	White Oak Water Supply Corp.	Layne-Texas Co.	1937	44 4	16 10 3/4 8 5/8	300 337 444	₹wi	470	230 230	5-29-37 5- 5-39	τ	Ρ	Screened 340-440 feet. Reported draw- down 72 feet after pumping 300 gal/min for 24 hours when drilled for Gulf 011 Co. as K. E. Peterson No. 3. $1/2/$
305	Exxon (Humble) No. 9 Ben Peterson	Exxon (Humble)	1949	3,655				400					Oil test used in cross section $\frac{3}{2}$
305	Exxon No. 1 Peterson	Benson	1931	448	6 4 1/2	4 04 448	Twi	395			ΤE	U	Screened 397-446 feet.
307	Star Bailey School		1937	25	72		Tr	4 50	7.5	1-21-42	N	U	1/
308	Magnolia Dick Wells	Layne-Texas Co.	1931	862	12 1/2 6	318 862	Twi	445	190	1931	N	¥	Screened 378-445, 740-762, and 775-797 feet. Reported drawdown 97 feet after pumping 293 gal/min when drilled. Drilled to 1,009 feet, plugged back to 862 feet. $1/$
309	Kumble No. 2 B. F. Laird lease "A"	do.	1940	889	8 5/8	889	Twi	475	269	340			Screened 319-342, 385-407, 429-452, 730-752, and 841-872 feet; underreamed and gravel packed. Reported drawdown 96 feet after pumping 162 gal/min when drilled. 1/
401	T. H. Beall	G. H. McAfee		27			Tr	380	21.0	6-15-36	N	υ.	1/
501	Leveretts Chapel School	Layne-Texas Co.	1947	449	10 3/4 6 5/8	175 4 4 9	Twj	478	222 179.0 178.4	3- 7-47 5- 9-79 3-19-81	TE,15	U	Screened 169-205, 215-227, and 382-447 feet. Reported drawdown 118 feet after pumping 60 gal/min when drilled. <u>3/4/</u>
502	Leveretts Chapel School No. 2	do.	1955	843	10 3/4 5 1/2	785 843	Twi	482	286 287	8-19-55 5- 9-79	Τε,25	Ρ	Screened 796-831 feet. <u>1/3</u> /
503	White Dak Water Supply Corp.	do.	1949	540	16 8 5/8	347 540	Тті	425	231	11-18-49		U	Screened 374-404, 414-424, 434-454, and 489-530.feet. Reported drawdown 46 fee after pumping 229 gal/min for 24 hours when drilled for Gulf Oil Co. as No. 3 C. M. Jernigan. $\underline{3}/$
504	Exxon (Humble) Amer- ican Gas Plant No. 3	do.	1950	864 ·	· 12 3/4 7	691 864	Тиі	418	244	2-15-50	N	Ų.	Screened 696-701, 716-731, 751-797, and 823-652 feet. Reported drawdown 101 feet after pumping 225 gal/min for 24 hours when drilled. <u>3</u> /
505	Gulf Pipeline Co.	Benson Drilling Co.	1931	1,033	8 5 3/16 3	693 1,032	Twf	420			N	U	Screened 895-1,032 feet, $1/2/$

See footnotes at end of table.

.

									List or	levels			· • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Well	Owner or name	Driller	Date com- pleted	Depth of well (feet)	Casin Diameter (inches)	ng Depth (feet)	Water bear- ing unit	Altitude of land surface (feet)	Above (+) or below land surface (feet)	Date of measure- ment	Method of lift	Use of water	Remarks
WR-35-41-506	Exxon (Humble) H. Sexton A-18		1950	3,841				503					Oil test used in cross section. $\underline{3}/$
. 507	Brock Cil Co. Murphy & Roberts	Patterson	1930	900	7	900	Twi		144.8	10- 8-41	N	N	<u>1</u> /
508	Rusk Co. Highway R.G.W.	Works Progress Admin.	1936	31			Tr		26	6-15-36	N	N	Works Progress Admin. test hole.
509	Shell Oil Co. W. P. Moore	Layne-Texas Co.	1931	369	12 1/2	269	ĭc₩	420	50 53.1	1931 10- 6-41	N	N	Screened 165-247 feet. Reported draw- down 65 feet after pumping 490 gal/min when drilled. Drilled to 369 feet, plugged back to 269 feet. <u>4</u> /
510	W. P. Moore			33	36	33	Tr	440	13.5 12.9	8-25-37 11-26-40	N	N	Dug well. <u>4</u> /
601	María Redic	Allen Lumber Co.	1969	90	30	90	Tc	440	71.4 69.4	9-21-72 3-19-81	JE,1	D	Dug well. <u>1/4/</u>
602	TYOPCO Inc. Harvey Unit No. 1	Gibson Drilling Co.	1962	463		463	Twf	395	157.0	7-16-81	JE,7.5	WF	
603	TYOPCO Inc. Harvey Unit No. 2	SW Equipment Co.	1967	648	16	648	Twi	395	127.1	7-16-81	3E,10	WF	
702	City of Overton No. 4	Layne-Texas Co.	1947	327	18 10 3/4	222 327	Twi	505	135	6-14-47	N	พ	Screened 240-303 feet: Reported draw-down 58 feet after pumping 247 gal/min when drilled. $\underline{1}/$
703	City of Overton No. 3	de,	1941	340	20 10 3/4	215 340	lcw	498	158 186.7	241 5- 9-79	TE	Ρ	Screencd 247-288 and 309-330 feet. Re- ported drawdown 45 feet after pumping 350 gal/min when drilled: <u>1</u> /
704	Gulf Oil Cas Plant T. B. Cashen No. 3	ap	1937	328	16 8 5/8	265 327	Τс	512	179	4- 3-37	ы	li	Screened 260-325 feet. Reportedly pumped 220 gal/min when drilled.
705	City of Overton No, 1	do	1931	889	10	887	Twi	489	148.8 141.4	3-19-36 11-26-41	N	U	Screened 247-260, 283-328, 484-505, and 841-863 feet. <u>1/4</u> /
706	A. O. Alford	Clay Rankin	1932	20			ΡŢ	480	9	6-11-36	Ν	U	Dug well.
707	Overton Ice Co.	J. W. Cude	1932	360	10 8 6	50 296 360	Тси	498	145.7 149.5	11-25-31 11-26-40	N	U	<u>1/4</u> /
708	Missouri Pacific Railroad	Pomeroy Drilling Co.	1931	771	55/8 6	705 770	Twi	518			N	U	Completed in sand 705-770 feet, $1/2/2$
802	Exxon (Humble) New London Gas Plant No. 1	Fred Flelder	1936	517	10	517	Twi	520				Û	Screened 414-503 feet. Reportedly pumped 90 gal/min when drilled. R.E.L. Silvey "A" lease.
803	Exxon (Humble) New London Gas Plant No. 2	Layme-Texas Co.	1940	562	13 3/8 8 5/8 7	426 452 562	îwi	530	307 310.8	1940 9-21-72	ΤΕ,40	Ind	Screened 452-535 feet. Reported draw- down 80 feet after pumping 82 gal/min when drilled. R.E.L. S11vey "A" lease. Measured temperature 39°C 9-21-72. Urilled to 599 feet; plugged back to 562 feet. 1/

.

Table 8 Records of Wells, Springs, and	Fest Holes in Rusk County and	Adjacent AreasContinued
--	-------------------------------	-------------------------

See footnotes at end of table.

- 73 -

								Wate	rlevels				
Well	Owner or name	Driller	Date com- pleted	Depth of well (feet)	Casin Diameter (inches)	Depth (feet)	Water bear- ing unit	Altitude of land surface (feet)	Above (+) or below land surface (feet)	Date of measure- ment	Method of lift	Use of water	Remarks
₩R-35-41-804	Exxon (Humble) New London Gas Plant No. 3	Layne-Texas €o,	1952	552	20 10 3/4	406 552	<i>โ</i> พ1	525	384	9- 9- 5 2	TE,75	Ind	Screened 406-540 feet. Reported draw- down 86 feet after pumping 215 gal/min for 24 hours when drilled. Highly min- eralized water reported at 220-280 feet. Drilled to 650 feet; plugged back to 552 feet. <u>1/3/</u>
807	City of Overton No. 6	do.	1968	815	14 8 5/8	740 815	Twi	496	281 288	5-22-68 5- 9~79	ΤΕ,50	Р	Screened 745-750 and 760-800 feet. Reported. drawdown 127 feet after pumping 285 gal/min for 24 hours when drilled. Drilled to 908 feet; plugged back to 815 feet. $\underline{1/3}$ /
808	City of New Landon No. 2	do	1963	591	16 10 3/4	430 591	Twî	546	323 334.2 332.2	6-15-63 5- 8-79 3-19-81	TE,100	Ρ	Screened 436-446, 468-482, 490-516, and 534-583 feet. Reported drawdown 107 feet after pumping 402 gal/min for 24 hours when drilled. Originally drilled for White Oak Water Supply Corp. Drilled to 653 feet; plugged back to 591 feet. $\underline{1/3}$ /
809	City of Overton	do.	1980	805	-14 85/8	710 805	Twf	500	333	1-31-80	îe ,60	Ρ	Screened 718-789 feet; gravel packed and underreamed. Reported drawdown 140 feet after pumping 300 gal/min for 24 hours. Drilled to 900 feet; plugged back to 805 feet. $1/2/3/$
810	Exxon 18 Holt	<u>do.</u>	1931	317	10	317	Tc	490	194.0	10-17-41	N	U	Screened 235-315 feet. Reported draw- down 28 feet after pumping 550 gal/min when drilled. Reported pumped about 0.075 Mgal/d during 1931-34.
901	City of New London Na. 1	do.	1949	657	20 10 3/4	417 655	Twi	482	285 294.2 301.6	12-21-49 5-8-79 3-18-81	TE,50	Р	Screened 427-441, 461-471, 521-557, and 578-642 feet. Reported drawdown 97 feet after pumping 500 gal/min for 24 hours when drilled. Originally drilled for Humble Oil and Refining as Joe Williams No. 3. $\underline{1/3}$ /
902	Romfe Holt		1934	39		39	Tr	460	16.7	6- 2-36	Ň	U	Dug well.
903	J. W. McDavis		1927	12	30	12	Tr	470	6.7	6- 4-36	N	U	Dug well.
904	W. J. H. Clamp		1900	25		25	Tr	452	15.0	6- 4-36	N	U	Uug well. 1/
42-202	Crossroads Water Supply Corp.		1966	750	8 5/8 4 1/2	580 620	Twi	428	157.7 159.5 158.6	10- 1-76 12- 7-76 12-16-77	TE	р	Screened 578-620 feet; for standby use only. Reported pumping level 294 feet when drilled. Drilled to 750 feet; plugged back to 620 feet. $1/3/$
301	New Hope School			-18	32	18	٦r	398	17.5	3- 4-81	N	U	Dug well.
302	New Hope Church		1935	22	36	22	Tr	397	18.5	12- 3-36	N	U	Dug well, <u>1/5</u> /
303	Rusk Go. Highway R.O.W.	Works Progress Admin.	1936	16			Tr	382	13.9	6-22-36	N	U	Works Progress Admin. test well. <u>1/5</u> /

See footnotes at end of table.

- 74 -

									liston	lovals			
Well	Owner or name	Driller	Date com⊢ pleted	Oepth of well (feet)	Casin Diameter (inches)	g Depth (feet)	Water bear- ing unit	Altitude of land surface (feet)	Above (+) or below land surface (feet)	Date of measure- nient	Method of lift	Use of water	Remarks
WR-35-42-401	Jacobs Water Sup- ply Corp. No. 2	Layne⊶Texas Co.	1965	552	8 5/8 4 1/2	521 552	Twi	420	188.5 197.4	665 5- 9-79	SÉ	Ρ	Screened 527-547 feet. Reported draw- down 54 feet after pumping 100 gal/min for 24 hours when drilled. Drilled to 614 feet; plugged back to 552 fect. $1/2/$
402	J. E. Bickley			24	36 .	24	Τç	422	22.1	11-27-36	N	V	Dug well. 5/
403	Cyrus Harvey			21			τr	480	17.6	11-27-36	ĸ	IJ	θο.
501	Rusk Co. Highway R.O.W.	Mid Continent Petroleum Co.	1936	55		55	Tc ·	322	F	12- 4-36	N	บ	Do.
601	C. T. Moore		1921	31	36	31	Tr	380	1 9. 6	12- 3-36	N	Ŋ	Οο.
602	C. J. Barton		1916	30		30	Τç	422	20,7	6-19-36			Do .
701	Jacobs Water Supply Corp. No. 1	Layne-Texas Co.	1965	799	8 5/8 4 1/2	583 675	Twi	432	210	2+15 -6 5	N	U	Screened 592-602, 612-640, and 651-661 feet. Reported drawdown 187 feet after pumping 48 gal/min for 52 hours when drilled. Water quality unacceptable, well capped off. Drilled to 799 feet; plugged back to 675 feet. $\underline{1/3}$ /
801	Kenneth Smith	Allen Lumber Co.	1969	67	36	67	ĩc	440	62.3 60.7	9-21-72 3-19 - 81	JE	D	. 1/4/
901	Crims Chapel Water Supply Corp. No. 1	Triangle Pump & Supply Co. '	1965	402	8 5/8 4 1/2	358 402	Twi	432	146 209.7	11-29-65 5- 2-79	SE,10	Ρ	Screened 360-402 feet. Reported draw- down 142 feet after pumping 75 gal/min for 24 hours when drilled. <u>1/3</u> /
902	Crims Chapel Water Supply Corp. No. 2	Lanford Drilling Co.	1977	610	8 5/8 4 1/2	560 610	1wi	442	195 2 22.2	7- 1-77 5- 2-79	SE	Ρ	Screened 560-610 foet. Reported draw- down 113 feet after pumping 100 gal/min for 24 hours when drilled.
903	Falvey Waller	Noyer .	1962	25	30	25	Tç	398	15.7	3-24-81	\$E	Irr	Dug well,
904	J. H. Freeman		19 18	36		36	Tc	400	28.5	6-19-36	N	U	υφ.
43-201	Elderville Water Suppły Corp.	C. C. Innerarity	1967	5 65	10 7	441 556	Twi	320	69.5	3- 3-81	¥E,20	Ρ	Screened 441-451 and 460-556 feet. Reported drawdown 25 feet after pumping 160 gal/min for 23 hours when drilled. For standby use only. Urilled to 595 feet; plugged back to 565 feet. <u>3</u> /
301	National Weather Service	White Drilling Co.	1975	115	4	115	Тс	401	80	1-21-75	SE	С	Screened 105-115 feet.
302	Otis Wishon	Frye Drilling Co.	1979	25			Тс	320	21.8	7-12-79	JE	D	Dug well. <u>1</u> /
401	J. G. Hearn	J. G. Hearn	1912	20			Tc	380	10.4	6-19-36	Ν	ŭ	Gug well. <u>5</u> /
501	R. C. Walling	Howeth Water Well Service	1972	220	4	211	Twi	398	54.2 56.1	10- 1-76 3- 3-83	\$E,3	D	Screened 179-211 feet. <u>1/2/4</u> /
601	Francis Wheeler	Allen Lumber Co.	1970	54	30	54	Тс	400	49.8 47.0	9-26-72 3- 3-81	JE	D	1/4/

.

Table 8.--Records of Wells, Springs, and Test Holes in Rusk County and Adjacent Areas--Continued

See footnotes at end of table.

- 75 -

....

Well	Омпет ог пале	Driller	Date com- pleted	Depth of well (feet)	Casir Diameter (inches)	Depth (feet)	Water bear- ing unit	Altitude of land surface (feet)	Water Above (+) or below land surface (feet)	levels Date of measur e ment	Method of lift	Use of water	Remarks
WR-35-43-602	Glenn W. Rogers	Newman	1950	475	4	475	Twf	440	131.0	4-23-81	SE	D	Screened 455-475 feet.
701	Millvîlle Baptist Church		1955	50		50	Тс	475	19.6	4-23-81	N	U	Dug well.
702	do.	Koweth Water Well Service	1965	105	2	105	Ĩwi	475	59.1	4-23-81	N	U	
801	John Monlie			14	36	14	Tr	480	10.1	11- 5-36	N	V	Dug. well.
901	Elizabeth Strozier		1933	73		73	Тс	460	70.2	11- 4-36	N	U	
44-101	Boy Scouts of America, Camp Kennedy	Layne-Texas Co.	1947	421	6 5/8 4 1/2	343 421	ไพ่	343	70 88.2	5-30-47 6- 7-79	N	u	Screened 361-391 feet. Camp abandoned. $1/2/$
302	McNaughton		1935	43			Tc	360	36.9	1-12-37 ·	N	Ų	Dug well.
401	Greer & Snow (Mayflower School)						Twi	354	94.0 91.1	9-26-72 2-12-75	TE,5	In d	Uniginally supplied water for Mayflower School, $\underline{1/4}/$
402	James M. Forgotson	White Drilling Co.	1971	295	4	295	Twi	360	100 81.7	10-12-71 6- 5-79	N	Ų	Casing slotted 228-295 feet. 4/
403	C. E. Williams, A. J. Williams			21			Τc	405	16.4	11-30-36	N	U	Dug well. <u>5</u> /
404	Tipco Crane Unit		1960	400			Twf	370			TE,20	WF	Screened 360-400 feet.
501	Crystal Farms Water Supply Corp.	Frye Drilling Co.	1968	418	7 2 1/2	369 406	Twi	360	131.5	5- 3-79	SE ,.3	P	Screened 364-384 and 391-406 feet. $1/2/$
502	Hopkins & Tate C. O. Christian No. 1	Texaco	1943	7,110				370	- -				Oil test used in cross section. $\underline{3}/$
503	C. L. Cook			31			Twi	380	32.1	12- 2-36	N	U	Dug well. <u>1</u> /
601	City of Tatum No. 1	Layne-Texas Co.	1938	438	10 3/4 5 1/2	387 438	1wi	305	39 93	3- 4-39 5-17-79	TE,10	P	Screened 387-428 feet. Reported draw- down 82 feet after pumping 140 gal/min when drilled. <u>1/4</u> /
504	I. F. York Est.		1930	22			Twi	335	20.8	11- 5-36	N	U	Dug well.
605	Granville Nero			17			Twi	330	16.8	10-29-36	N	v	Do .
701	Dirgin Water Supply Corp.		1966	555			Twi	375	123.4	4- 2-81	SE,10	P	<u>1/3/</u>
702	Tom Mann	Tom Mann	1932	26	36	26	Tc	385	21.3	1-14-37	N .	U	Dug well.
801	Texas Utilities Ser- vices, Inc., No. 1 Martin Lake Plant	Layne-Texas Co.	1973	715	.14 8 5/8	530 715	Twi	321 .	65.10 - 108	8- 6-73 5-17-79	TE	Ind	Screened 540-590 and 645-695 feet. Reported drawdown 50 feet after pumping 406 gal/min for 12 hours when drilled. Drilled to 739 feet; plugged back to 715 feet. 1/2/3/

See footnotes at end of table.

10 B

- 76 -

.

.

.

									Water	Tevels			
Well	Owner or name	Driller	Date com- pleted	Depth of well (feet)	Casi: Diameter (inches)	Depth (feet)	Water bear- íng unit	Altitude of land surface (feet)	Above (*) or below land surface (feet)	Date of measure- ment	Method of lift	Use of water	Remarks
 WR-35-44-802	Texas Utilities Ser- vices, Inc., No. 2 Martin Lake Plant	Layne-Texas Co.	1975	449	14 8 5/8	290 449	Twi	367	120.0 109.4 127.5	8-25-75 5-17-79 4-21-81	TE	In d	Screened 300-442 feet. Reported draw- down 69 feet after pumping 401 gal/min for 24 hours when drilled. Drilled to 833 feet; plugged back to 449 feet. <u>1/3</u>
803	Harmony Hill Ceme- tary Assoc.	Bell Water Well Service	19 64	202	2	20 2	Twi	370	98	5-30-64	SE,1.5	Irr	Screened 184-200 feet.
49-101	E. F. Wheeler			21	30	21	Tr	510	14.5	6-11-36	N	Ų	Dug well. <u>1/5</u> /
102	I. R. Thrash	Y. and E. Thrash	1933	18	24	18	Τq	450	11.7	6-11-36	N	U	Dug well. 5/
103	D. C. Joiner		1922	29		29	Tr	470	17.4	6-11-36	N	U	Dug well. <u>1/5</u> /
201	West Rusk Kigh School (New London School)	Layne-Texas Co.	1937	538	13 3/8 7	456 538	Twi	552	220 294	10- 4-37 8-14-42	TE,25	P	Screened 456-576 feet. Reported draw- down 80 feet after pumping 72 gal/min when drilled. <u>1</u> /
202	Jeffrey Sheppard	do.	1947	500	7 5	426 500	Twi	530	308	11-27-47	N	ប	Screened 425-460 and 480-495 feet. Reportedly pumped 15 gal/min when drilled. Drilled for New London Water Supply Corp. Drilled to 610 feet; plugged back to 500 feet. <u>3</u> /
203	Exxon (Humble) No. 4 Ida Holt "B" lease	do.	1939	592	24 13 12 3/4	438 446 582	Twi	538	301 319.5	6- 9-39 3-19-81	N	Ų	Screened 447–578 feet. Reported draw-down 79 feet after pumping 385 gal/min when drilled. $\underline{1}/$
204	Exxon (Humble) No. 5 Ida Holt,"B" lease	do.	1944	611	16 10 3/4	415 611	Twi	551			N	U	Screened 415-608 feet. Reported pump- ing level 400 feet after pumping 280 gal/min when drilled.
205	Tide Water Assoc. No. 1 L.J. Pinkston "A" lease	L. W. Little	1931	738	8 1/4 7	13 738	Twi	\$70			N	υ	Screened 508-708 feet. Well destroyed.
206	Cities Service Co. Water WSW No. 1 Wheelis lease	Layne-Texas Co.	1978	940	8 5/8 4 1/2	850 940	Twi	495	250	7-31-78	SE	Ind .	Screened 860-900 and 914-926 fect. Reported drawdown 79 fect after pumping 108 gal/min for 20 hours when drilled. Drilled to 1,021 fect; plugged back to 940 fect. $1/2/3/$
207	Exxon (Humble) J. E. Arnold No. 8		1949	3,735				484					Oil test used in geologic section, $\underline{3}/$
208	Aston Greenaway J. R. Alford No. 5		1932	412	6 5/8	412	Twi	427	62.9	5- 7-40	ĸ	U	
209	D. B. Malernee E. B. Alford		1932	880	10 8 7 4 1/2	500 840 880	7wi	495	165 225.8 225.6	1932 5- 8-40 5- 8-44	N	U	Screened 835-880 feet. 1/
301	Pleasant Hill Water Supply Corp. No. 1	Key Drilling Co.	1965	460		460	ĭwi	54.5			SE	Ρ	Screened 346-356, 388-410, and 432-458 feet. Drilled to 900 feet; plugged back to 460 feet.

.

Table 8.--Records of Wells, Springs, and Test Holes in Rusk County and Adjacent Areas--Continued

See footnotes at end of table.

- 77 -

-

Table 8 Records of Wells, Springs, and Test Holes in Rusk County	and Adjacent AreasContinued
the second of the second optimities, and the second second	

									lus≉ ~~	- level -		_	
Well	Owner or name	Driller	Date com- pleted	Depth of well (feet)	Casi Diameter (inches)	ng Depth (feet)	_ Water bear-) ing unit	Altitude of land surface (feet)	Above (+) or below land surface (feet)	Date of measure- ment	Method of lift	Use of water	Remarks
WR-35-49-302	Pleasant Hill Water Supply Corp. No. 2	Lanford Drilling	1974	650	8 5/8 4 1/2	550 650	Twi	510	230	5- 8-74	SE	p	Screened 550-590 and 630-650 feet. Reported drawdown 50 feet after pump- ing 75 gal/min for 21 hours when drilled. Drilled to 740 feet; plugged back to 650 feet. Sand between 200- 240 feet reportedly contains water with unusually high dissolved solids. <u>1/3</u> /
303	A. M. Russell	A. M. Russell	1935	24			Tr	460	22.6	5- 6-36	N	U	5/
304	Lee Poole		1900	36			Tq	525	23	6- 1-36			<u>5</u> /
401	Arco Gas Plant No. 19	layne-Texas Co.	1944	620	18 5/8 10 3/4	398 620	Twf	380	100	12-18 -4 4	ΤΕ,3Φ	Ind	Screened 404-483 and 556-618 feet. Reported drawdown 75 feet after pump- ing 440 gal/min when drilled. Drilled for Sinclair Prairie. Drilled to 885 feet; plugged back to 620 feet. <u>3</u> /
402	Arkansas Fuel Dil Co. G. Ferguson			400			Twi	400	118.2	5- 7-40	N	IJ	<u>1</u> /
403	Lone Pine Oil Co. Pinkston		1940	126	6	126	Τc	395	40.0	5- 6-40	N	ឋ	<u>1</u> /
501	Parade Gasoline Plant Giles No. 2	Layne-Texas Co.	1947	444	18 10 3/4	340 444	Тыі	498	308	8- 5-47	TE,50	Ind	Screened 350-431 feet. Reported draw- down 52 feet after pumping 137 gal/min when drilled. Reportedly pumped 113 gal/min on 1-14-76. Main welt. 1/3/
502	Dan Kerr		1945	585	6	585	ĩwi	455	150 173.0	2-28-59 11-30-78	SE	s	Perforated casing 530-550 feet. Previ- ously used as a public supply well. 1/4,
503	Parade Gasoline Plant Giles No. 1	Layne-Texas Co.	1937	466	20 10 3/4	352 466	Twi	520	228 274	7-30-37 5- 1-40	TE	Ind	Screened 360-443 feet. Reported draw- down 48 feet after pumping 92 gal/min when drilled. Pumped 360 gal/min on 8-23-37, 110 gal/min on 1-15-76. Standby well. Drilled to 600 feet; plugged back to 406 feet. 1/
504	Baldwin Sultan Ofl. Co. M. L. Thompson		1931	360	8	360	Twi	475	180.0	10- 9-41	N	Û,	<u>5/</u>
505	Ohia Dil Co. No. 2 5. H. Maore		1931	284	6 5/8	233 284	Тс	450	73.7	10-14-41	ĸ	U.	Screened 223-284 feet, <u>5</u> /
506	Stuart - Dr. Deason	'		250			τc	485	125.5	5- 8-40	N	IJ	5/
507	Miller Production D. Bradford "8"	Walter Meller 🕐	1934	355	8	355	Twi	375	103.7	5- 8-40	N	v.	5/
508	Shell Oil Co., Inc. H. Brooks			700	10	700	Twi	465	87.0	5- 9-40	N	U	5/
509	W. C. McClian		1908	18		18	Tc	415	10.6	5-30-36	N	¥	Dug well, 1/
510	Exxon (Humble) No. 1 M&R Kangerga "A"	Layne-Texas Co.	1931	260	20 12 1/2	138 260	Tc	482	101	1931	N	Ü	Screened 139-199 feet. Reported draw-down 60 feet after pumping 400 gal/min when drilled. $1/$

See footnotes at end of table.

- 78 -

.

		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							Water	Tevels			
Well	Ûwner or лаше	Driller	Date com⊢ pleteɗ	Depth of well (feet)	Casi Diameter (inches)	ng Depth (feet)	Water bear- ing unit	Altitude of land surface (feet)	Above (+) or below land surface (feet)	Date of measure- ment	Method of lift	Use of water	Remarks
WR-35-49-601	Caston Water Supply Corp. No. 1	Edington Drilling Co.	1965	781	85/8 4	655 778	Twi	500	2 36 288	4-29-65 3-12-81	TE,15	ρ	Screened 655-690 and 738-788 feet. Reported drawdown 94 feet after pump- ing 120 gal/min for 24 hours when drilled. 1/2/
602	Gaston Water Supply Corp. No. 2	Lanford Drilling Co.	1974	697	8 5/8 4 1/2	605 697	Twi	500	226	175	SE	p	Screened 605-625 and 657-697 feet. Reported drawdown 100 feet after pump- ing 104 gal/min for 24 hours when drilled. Drilled to 822 feet; plugged back to 697 feet. <u>1</u> /3/
603	Gaston School	Walter Sallee	1938	415	8	415	Twi	460	120	1938	TE	IJ	Screened 395-415 feet. $1/$
604	John Glass	Fred Fielder		168	7	168	Τc	468	50.5	8-24-37	x	U	Formerly supplied Joinerville. Screened 141-168 fect.
605	Gibson Worrel No. 1		1957	3,628				435					Dil test used in cross section. $\underline{3}/$
702	Arco Gas Plant No. 21 Kinney No. 2	Layne-Texas Co.	1938	926	18 5/8 10 3/4	482 926	Twi	420	133 178.9 200.2	2-28-38 5- 3-40 6-21-79	TE,60	In d	Screened 483-504, 754-795, and 811-911 fect. Reported drawdown 111 fect after pumping 460 gal/min when drilled. <u>1</u> /
801	Carlisle Public School	do.	1940	275	13 3/8 7	213 275	Tc	368	57 . 49+2	1-16-41 6- 4-79	TE,5	U	Screened 225-237 and 241-260 feet. Reported drawdown 140 feet after pump- ing 45.5 gal/min when drilled. Drilled to 291 feet; plugged back to 275 feet. <u>1/4</u> ,
802	Marathon Oil No. 3	G. 1. Cobb	1952 ·	870	4 1/2	870	Twi	4 20			N	V	Plugged. Drilled for Ohio Oil Co. 1/
803	Price Water Supply Corp. No. 1	Key Drilling Co.	1965	405	8 5/8 4	355 405	Twi	420	270	2-13-65	SE,15	ρ	Screened 335-405 feet. Drilled to 853 feet; plugged back to 405 feet.
804	Price Water Supply Corp. No. 2	do.	1968	832	8 5/8 4	730 8 3 2	Twi	410	216	9-10-68	SE,20	Ρ	Screened 730-765 and 777-822 feet. Reported drawdown 105 feet after pump- ing 126 gal/min for 24 hours when drilled. Drilled to 870 feet; plugged back to 832 feet. <u>1/3</u> /
805	Arco No. 1 Kinney WSW	Layne-Texas Co.	1978	1,225	10 3/4 6 5/8	710 965	ľwi	368	144 200	1-23-78 6-21-79	SE	WF	Screened 719-784 and 830-945 feet. Roported drawdown 119 feet after pump- ing 295 gal/min for 24 hours when drilled. Drilled to 1,225 feet; plugged back to 965 feet. $1/3/$
806	Marathon Oil Co. No. 1 Price WSW	Strata Drilling, Inc.	1980	1,300	8 5/8 4 1/2	780 838	Ĩ₩ĭ	420	222	8-25-80	TS,30	WF	Screened 736-740 and 782-833 feet. Reported drawdown 98 feet after pump- ing 125 gal/min for 14 hours when drilled. Pumping level 313.5 feet by airline 3-3-81. Drilled to 1,300 feet. <u>3</u> /
807	J. E. Strickland	Works Progress Admin₊	1936	27		27	Тс	400	20	5- 5-36	N	k	Works Pragress Admin. test hole. <u>5</u> /
808	Getty W. P. Moore		1939	300	5	300	Тс	415	80 95.7	1939 7-15-81	SE,7.5	WF	Originally owned by lide Water.
See footnotes	at end of table.												

- 79 -

									List an	10.0010	• •		
We]]	Ówner or дале	Driller	Date com- pleted	Depth of well (feet)	Casi Diameter (inches)	ng Depth (feet)	Water bear- ing unit	Altitude of land surface {feet}	Above (+) or below land surface (feet)	Date of measure- ment	Method of lift	Use of water	Remarks
WR-35-49-809	Burk Royalty No. 3 Strickland & others unit	Allen Lumber Co.	1971	772	7 3	694 772	Twi	382	110 175.4	6-26-71 7-16-81	SE,15	WF	Screened 707-767 feet.
810	Burk Royalty Mo. 1	do.	1971	672	7 4	465 672	Twi	382	65	1-15-71	N	U	Casing slotted 500-672 feet. Casing collapsed.
811	Burk Royalty No. 2	do.	1971	681	7 4	500 681	Twi	380	70 80.9	1-27-71 7-16-81	SE , 5	WF	Casing slotted 481-681 feet.
812	Mobil Price Unit WSW No. 1	Layne-Texas Co.	1980	1,100	8 5/8 4 1/2	880 1,050	Twi	402	197 269.3	10-15 -8 0 7-15-81	SE	WF	Screened 888-898, 900-918, 964-983, 985-1,005, 1,007-1,026, and 1,028- 1,035 feet. Reported drawdown 57 feet after pumping 167 gal/min for 24 hours when drilled. Drilled to 1,100 feet; plugged back to 1,050 feet. <u>1/3</u> /
901	Great Expectations No. 1 Amos Alexander		1953	3,592				420					Oil test used in cross section. $3/$
902	Redwine	Works Progress Admin	1936	23			Τc	430	22.5	3-12-36	ы	U	Works Progress Admin. test hole.
50-101	D. R. Sartain		1921	20		20	Τc	440	19.0	11-27-36	Ń	U	Dug well, 1/5/
102	John Green		1866	43		43	Tc	432	31.4	11-29-36	N	ัช	Dug well. 5/
103	Farmers Institute School Díst.			30			⊺r	492	27.4	5-27-36	N	U	Dug well. <u>1/ 3/ 5</u> /
104	J. S. Dorsey			80			Tc	450			N	U	
.201	T. V. Bennett			20	36	20	Tr .	435	17	8- 2-62	N	Ų	Dug well.
202	W. F. Simmons		1952	82	4	82	Tc	465	52 56.1	8- 2-62 4-21-81	JE,1	D	Originally reported to be 100 feet deep.
203	V. High		1911	34			Τc	420	26.6	5-18-36	N	U	Dug well. Well collapsed.
204	Burris Dorsey	W, A. Hunt	1955	85	4	85	Τ¢	418	20 19.2	1955 8- 2-62	N	U	Total dissolved solids increased from 1,870 to 2,475 mg/L after pumping 5 hours on 8-1-62. 1/
205	H. C. Thrasher		1899	38		[.]	Tc	400	33.6	6-16-36	ĸ	ម	– Đug well.
206	Burris Dorsey	White Drilling Co.	1963	214	4 2 1/2	198 214	Тс	438	75.0	9-16-64	SE	D	Screened 199-214 feet. <u>2</u> /
302	Jerome Rhoden		1971	49	30	49	Tc	402	28.0 18.4	9-21-72 3-19-81	JE	D	Dug well. 1/4/
303	B. A. Grant			32		32	Тс		28-2	11-24-36	N	ប	Dug well, 1/
401.	Jacobs Water Supply Corp. No. 3	Lanford Drilling Co.	1974	684	8 5/8 4 1/2	612 684	Twi	450	221	5- 9-79	SE,15	Р	Screened 612-682 feet. Drilled to 802 feet; plugged back to 802 feet. 1/3/
402	Charlie Lloyd		1860	39	30	39	Tc	470	24.1	5-26-36	N	U	 Dug vieill.
See footnotes	at end of table.												

- 80 -

.

	······································								Water	levels			
We I 1	Owner or лаине	Driller	Date com- pleted	Depth of well (feet)	Casir Diameter (inches)	Depth (feet)	Water bear- ing unit	Altitude of land surface (feet)	Above (+) or below land surface (feet)	Date of measure- ment	Method of lift	Use of water	Remarks
WR-35-50-403	W. Z. Ranfro		1866	20	30	20	Tc	440	11.4	5-27-36	N	U	Dug well. <u>1</u> /
404	J. W. Flanning	Leroy Thompson	1934	28		28	Tc [.]	450	22.9	5-26-36			0ug well. <u>5</u> /
501	Joe L. Hartman	Allen Lumber Co.	1971	48	36	48	Tc	460	32.8 33.2	9-21-72 12- 1-78	ĴE	D	<u>1/4</u> / ~
502	City of Henderson No. 16	Layne-Texas Co.	1963	372	16 10 3/4	291 372	Twi	420	128 168.7	9 - 29-63 3-17-81	ŤE,4Ű	Р	Screened 292-364 feet. Reported draw- down 132 feet after pumping 350 gal/min for 24 hours when drilled. Drilled for White Uak Water Co. $1/2/3/4/$
503	City of Henderson	do.	1979	874		869	Twi	460			Ň	U	Test hole. $\underline{3}/$
504	White Cak Water Co.	do.	1963	542		540	Twi	420				 .	Do.
601	Texas Highway R.O.W.	Works Progress Admin.	1936	31			Twi	470	23	7-31-36			Works Progress Admin. test hole. $\frac{2}{5}$
502	Bert Fields, Jr. R. M. Ballenger Unit	Rehkop Drilling Co.	1973	510	7 3	455 510	Twi	395	213	11-28-73		ŴF	Drilled to 663 feet; plugged back to 510 feet.
701	Henderson Co. R.O.W.	Works Progress Admin.	1936	18			Twi	450	15	3-12-36	N	U	Works Progress Admin. test hole. $\underline{5}/$
702	Z. D. Stone			27	30	27	Twi	448	19.2 21.5	3-17-36 11-27-40	N	V	Dug well. <u>4/5</u> /
703	J. J. Colwell			20	6	20	โพ1	416	14.6 12.8	3-17-36 11-27 -4 0	ĸ	V	Οο.
801	City of Henderson No. 7	Layne-Texas Co.	1947	624	16 10 3/4	522 624	Twi	452	275 359.6	7-19-47 4-22-81	TE,75	P	Screened 531–611 feet. Reported draw-down 117 feet after pumping 335 gal/min for 18 hours when drilled. $\underline{1/3/4}$
802	City of Henderson No. 8	dp.	1948	747	16 10 3/4	520 746	Twi	512	315 361.3	1-23-48 3-17-81 .	ΤE	Р	Screened 548-598, 638-648, and 676-736 feet. Reported drawdown 159 feet after pumping 402 gal/min for 8 hours when drilled. $1/3/4/$
803	City of Henderson	do.	1942	794			Twi	435			N	U	Test hole 4-2, <u>1/3</u> /
804	Do.	do.	1942	759			Twi	405			N	U	Test hole 5-3. 1/3/
805	A. F. Wright		1930	15	36	15	โพเ	405	8.1	10-23-36	N	V	Dug well. <u>1/5</u> /
806	City of Henderson	Layne-Texas Co.	1946	703			Twi	498	•		N	U	Test hole No. 6. $3/$
901	City of Henderson No, 4	do.	1936	583	20 12	430 560	Twi	419	168.5 302.8	12-19-35 4- 1-81	TE,75	P	Screened 419-474 and 479-551 feet. Reported drawdown 70 feet after pump- ing 360 gal/min for 5 hours 8-21-44. 1/2/4/
902	City of Henderson No. 5	do.	1938	879	16 7	492 879	Twi	410	206 148.7	8-11-38 11-27-40	N	ß	Screened 500–572, 594–654, and 824–854 feet. Reported drawdown 54 feet after pumping when drilled. Well plugged

.

Table 8.--Records of Wells, Springs, and Test Holes in Rusk County and Adjacent Areas--Continued

See footnotes at end of table.

with cement. 3/4/

										1			
We]]	Owner or name	Driller	Date con⊢ pleted	Depth of well (feet)	Casir Diameter (inches)	Depth (feet)	Water bear- ing unit	Altitude of land surface (feet)	Above (+) or below land surface (feet)	Date of Date of measure- ment	Method of lift	Use of water	Remarks
WR-35-50-903	City of Henderson No. 6	Layne-Texas Co.	1942	609	16 10 3/4	484 603	Twi	415	247 287	8-23-42 381	TE,75	P	Screened 400-592 feet. Reported draw- down 92 feet after pumping 350 gal/min when drilled. <u>1/3/4</u> /
904	City of Henderson No. 10	do.	1954	698	20 12 3/4	505 698	†wi	455	365 330	2- 8-54 381	TE ,150	P	Screened 510–560, 594–614, 620–630, 650–665, and 676–686 feet. Reported drawdown 94 feet after pumping 544 gal/min for 48 hours when drilled. $1/3/4/$
905	City of Henderson No. 11	do.	1955	668	20 12 3/4	4 05 668	Twi	480	301	2-19-55	TE,100	Ρ	Screened 410-470, 484-539, 554-584, and 618-558 feet. Reported drawdown 104 feet after pumping 610 gal/min for 48 hours when drilled. $1/3/$
906	City of Henderson No. 12	do.	1957	752	20 10 3/4	590 752	Twi	495	250 320 390	6-23-57 6-28-57 5- 2-79	TE,100	Þ	Screened 592-674, 678-688, 699-704, and 715-740 feet. Reported drawdown 237 feet after pumping 578 gal/min for 48 hours when drilled. $\underline{1}/\underline{3}/$
907	City of Henderson No. 13 (James Owen Well)	do	1964	712	20 12 3/4	520 712	Twi	465	233 319	2- 3-64 381	TE,150	Ρ	Screened 530-570, 592-682, and 692-702 feet. Reported drawdown 79 feet after pumping 754 gal/min for 48 hours when drilled. $1/2/3/4/$
908	City of Henderson No. 14	do	1969	725	20 12 3/ 4	500 725	Tw1	510	321. 348.6	11-13-69 4-23-81	TE,250	₽	Screened 510-570, 580-615, and 632-697 feet. Reported drawdown 75 feet after pumping 900 gal/min for 24 hours when drilled. Drilled to 762 feet; plugged back to 725 feet. $1/3/$
909	City of Henderson No. 15	do.	1969	405	16 10 3/4	303 405	Twi	510 ·	244 250.5 241.7	12-22-69 5- 2-79 5-17-81	TE,60	Ρ	Screened 317-372 feet. Reported draw- down 95 feet after pumping 200 gal/min for 48 hours when drilled. $\underline{1/3}$ /
910	City of Henderson No. 2	do	1931	558	12 1/2 8 1/4 6 5/8	443 456 558	Twi	404	178.0 161	10- 7-38 11-27-40	N	ų	Casing slotted 448–558 feet. Drilled to 680 feet; plugged back to 558 feet. Well abandoned in 1942. $\underline{1/4}/$
911	B. Harris	Rice Sammons		31		31	Twi	460	17.3	7-14-36	N	U	Dug well. <u>5</u> /
912	0. F. Burt		1937	130	4	51	Тс	482	5.0 1.8	8-24-37 2- 8-39	N	U.	Geophysical test hole. $\underline{4}/$
913	Rosa Burt	Ó. E. Burt	1935	14	28	14	Τc	468	2.5 4.4	7-15-36 11-27-40	N	U	Dug well. <u>1/3/4/</u>
51-101	New Prospect Water Supply Corp. No. 2	Layne-Texas Co.	1977	634	8 5/8 4 1/2	405 540	Tw1	480	200 215.7	6- 8-77 5- 9-79	SE	P	Screened 411-419, 423-446, 455-468, 474-484, and 497-520 feet. Reported drawdown 54 feet after pumping 195 gal/min for 23 hours when drilled. $\underline{1/2/3}$
102	L. T. Burton	Willie Burnett	1934	27			Тс	450	24.2	11-17-36	N	U	Dug weil. <u>5</u> /
201	Conoco F. Lewis No. 1		1951	7,606				420					Oil test used in cross section. $\underline{3}/$

See footnotes at end of table.

- 82 -

.

-	· •								Water	levels		••	
Well.	Owner or name	Driller	Date com⊷ pleted	Depth of well (feet)	Casin Diameter (inches)	Depth (feet)	Water bear- ing unit	Altitude of land surface (feet)	Above (+) or below land surface (feet)	Date of measure- ment	Method of lift	Use of water	Remarks
-35-51-401	New Prospect Water Supply Corp. No. 1	Layne-Texas Co.	1968	585	8 5/8 4 1/2	460 585	Tvi	500	250 255 307	7- 4-68 7-29-68 5- 9-79	SE	ρ	Screened 470-490 and 505-572 feet. Reported drawdown 66 feet after pumping 183 gal/min for 24 hours when drilled. 1/3/
501	W. H. Hunt Trust Est. Leopard No. 1		1948	7,505				405					Oil test used in cross section. $\underline{3}/$
502	Church Hill Water Supply Corp. No. 2	Howeth Water Well Service	1971	490	7 2	406 490	Twi	452	150 207.7	10- 7-71 3-19-81	SE	Ρ	Screened 410-490 feet. Drilled to 610 feet; plugged back to 490 feet. $1/2/3/4$
503	Fairfield Baptist Church		1930	18	36	19	Twi	400	12.0 11.1	12- 1-36 4- 1-81	N	U	Dug well. <u>1/3</u> /
601	Church Hill Water Supply Corp. No. 1	Innerarity Drilling Co.	1968	582	65/8 4	542 582	Twi	460	260	9- 5-68	N	U	Screened 542-582 feet. Plugged and abandoned due to poor water quality. 37
602		Justis Mears	1981	410	4	410	Twi	420	105.0	4- 1-81	А	Ind	Drilled to serve drilling rig.
603	0. Y. Bennett	Brazier	1918	21	36	21	Tc	430	20.6	11- 4-36	N	U	Dug well. <u>5</u> /
801	Oakland Water Supply Corp.		1965	710			Twi	440	240.6	5- 9-79	\$E,7.5	Р	<u>1</u> /
802	L. K. Ballow			22	36	22	Twi	440	19.6 10.7	12- 2-36 11-27-40	N	V	Dug well. <u>4/5</u> /
901	Pinehill Chapman Water Supply Corp,	Triangle Pump & Supply Co.	1966	738	8 5/8 3 1/2	670 738	Twi	480	175 227	1-17-66 5-10-79	TE,7.5	þ	Screened 675–738 feet. Reported draw- down 60 feet after pumping 75 gal/min for 24 hours when drilled. $1/3/$
902	J. Russell Smith		1911	26	40	26	ไ พ1์	385	23.3 6.4	12- 2-36 11-12-40	N	U	Dug well. 4/5/
903	E. F. Posey		1923	48	30	48	Ìwi	442	40.2 37.9	12- 2-36 11-27-40	N	U	Dug well. 1/4/5/
52-101	Evel Faulkner	Howeth Water Well Service	1966	192	-4	189	Twi	340	50 55.5	2- 8-66 4- 2-81	JE,1	D	Screened 173-189 feet. <u>1/2/4</u> /
102	Elizabeth Fitzgerald		1981	394	4	394	Twi	370	115.3	4- 2-81	A	Ind	
401	Jack Mumphy	 .	1900	22	28	22	Twi	360	16.4	3-20-81	JE	Ð	Dug well-
701	н, Н. Truelock	Howeth Water Well Service	1967	302	4	302	Twí	440	120 110.4	9-20-67 6-30-77	SE,1	U	Casing slotted 270-302 feet. $1/2/$
702	Citizens National Bank		1936	29		29		340	23	10-30-36	N	ប	Dug well. <u>1/5</u> /
57-201	Amoco Production Co. No. 1 Siler lease	Layne-Texas Co.	1974	835	10 3/4 6 5/8	650 835	Twi	365	166	6-29-74	N	Ų	Screened 660-710, 727-752, 762-802, and B10-820 feet. Reported drawdown 294 feet after pumping 77 gal/min for 24 hours when drilled. Plugged. Origi- nally drilled to 1,141 feet. <u>1/3</u> /

See footnotes at end of table.

									Water	levels			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Well	Owner or name	Driller	Date com- pleted	Depth of well (feet)	<u>Casi</u> Diameter (inches)	ng Depth (feet)	Water bear- ing unit	Altitude of land surface (feet)	Above (+) or below land surface (feet)	Date of measure- ment	Method af lift	Use of water	Remarks
WR-35-57-202	Amoco Production Co. No. 2 Siler lease	Layne-Texas Co.	1974	1,120	10 3/4 6 5/8	1,015 1,120	Титі	335	73.8 150.4	8-19-74 5-17-79	SE	WF	Screened 1,025-1,105 feet. Reported drawdown 204 feet after pumping 525 gal/min for 12 hours when drilled. <u>1/3</u> /
203	Amoco Production Co. No. 3 Siler lease	do.	1974	1,135	10 3/4 6 5/8	98 5 1,135	Twi	325	80 118.6	10- 2-74 5-17-79	SE	WF	Screened 995-1,105 feet. Reported drawdown 313 feet after pumping 480 gal/min for 24 hours when drilled. <u>1/2/3</u> /
204	Great Expectations Ofl A.W. Nicholas No. 1		1953	3,748				3 9 9			<u>-</u>		011 test. <u>3/</u>
205	Exxon	Century Geophysical Co.	1978	88	2	82	Tc	408	32 33 31.7	8- 4-78 2- 1-79 7-14-81	A	Ų	Casing slotted 10-82 feet.
206	do.	do.	1978	180	2	169	Тс	408.1	35 36 39.4	8- 4-78 2- 1-79 7-14-81	A	U	Casing slotted 103-169 feet.
207	do.	do.	1978	445	2	434	Twi	409.6	75 75 81.9	8- 4-78 2- 1-79 7-14-81	A	U	Casing slotted 205-434 feet.
301	Chris Redwine			23	36	23	Τc	430	21.8	12- 8-36	N	U	Dug well. 5/
401	Big Springs School			179	4	179	Tc	345	56.4	3-31-81	N	U	
402	do.			21	24	21	Тс	345			N	U	Well is dry, 3-31-81, 7-14-81.
403	Exxon	Century Geophysical Co.	1978	50	2	50	Τc	366	41 40 41.5	4-26-78 3-29-79 7-14-81	A	U	Casing slotted 30-50 feet.
404	da.	do.	1978	133		133	Tc	366	55 54 54-5	4-26-78 3-29-79 7-14-81	A	U	Casing slotted 73-133 feet.
405	do.	do.	1978	215	2	215	Twf	366	77 75 77.1	4-26-78 3-29-79 7-14-81	A	U	Casing slotted 135-215 feet.
406	Big Springs		Spring					318					Spring encased in wooden box. Reported discharge 2.2 gal/min, 1978 (Gunnar Brune). 1/
503	Marcus Spence		1920	23	30	23	Тс	420	17.2 12.3	3-31-81 7-14-81	N	IJ	— Dug well at abandoned home site.
504	George Dukes		1930	23	36	23	Tr	450	21.0	11-14-36	N	Ų	Dug well. <u>5</u> /
505	G. E. Childress	Gibson Drilling Co.	1978	510	4	510	Twi	398	100.3	3-31-81	A	U	
506	do.		1900	60		60	Tc	382	25.1	3-31-81	N	ម	Old dug well, rock curb.
507	Exxon	Century Geophysical Co.	1978	53	2	44	Tr	448	6 22 26.8	4-26-78 2-24-79 7-14-81	Å	U	Casing slotted 18-44 feet.

See footnotes at end of table.

- 84 -

-

	••••	<u> </u>							Water	levels			
Well	Оwлег or name	Driller	Date com- pleted	Depth of well (feet)	Casir Diameter (inches)	Depth (feet)	Water bear- ing unit	Altitude of land - surface (feet)	ADOVE (+) or below land surface (feet)	pate or measure- ment	of lift	of water	Remarks
WR-35-57-508	Exxon	Century Geophysical Co.	1978	153	2	145	Tcw	448	78 78 78.5	8- 4-78 2-24-79 7-14-81	A	U	Casing slotted 64-145 feet.
509	do.	do.	1978	259	2	250	Twi	448	98 99 83.7	8- 4-78 2-24-79 7-14-81	A	И	Casing slotted 173-250 feet.
510	áo	do	1978	495	2	484	Twí	449	98 130 134	8- 4-78 2-24-79 7-14-81	A	U	Casing slotted 280-484 feet.
601	H. T. Whitehead		1885	32	36	32	Tç	405	31.0	11-12-36	М	N	Dug well. 1/5/
701	Gulf Oll & Refining Pump Station	iayne-Texas Co.	1937	114	16 8 5/8	69 114	Тс	320	35	10- 8-37	N	U	Screened 69-112 feet. keported drawdown 46 feet after pumping 156 gal/min when drilled. Drilled to 411 feet; plugged back to 114 feet.
702	Exxon R. O. Gant	Century Geophysical Co.		190	2	190	Tc₩	312	5.4	7-14-81	A	U	Casing slotted 150-190 feet.
703	do.	do.		300	2	300	Twi	313	12.2	7-14-81	Α.	U	Casing slotted 210-230 feet.
704	do.	do.		130	2	130	Tc	310	+2	7-14-81	A	Ŭ	Casing slotted 60-130 feet. Measured discharge 2 gallons in 1 minute, 5 seconds 7-14-81.
802	Goodsprings Water Supply Corp.	Edington Drilling Co.	1965	413	8 5/8 4 1/2	350 413	Twi	440	135 153.0	965 9-27 - 76	TE,7.5	Ρ	Screened 350-413 feet. Reported draw- down 23 feet after pumping 65 gal/min when drilled. Drilled to 658 feet; plugged back to 413 feet. $1/3/$
803	Dr. Deason	Works Progress Admin.	1936	14		14	τc	420	11	4-17-36	N	U	Works Progress Admin. test hole. $1/5/$
901	W. A. Whitehead	White Drilling Co.	19 71	315	3	315	ĩwi	330	F +1.5	10-15-71 9-25-72	N	s	Casing slotted 273-315 feet. Estimated to flow at rate of 0.5 gal/min 9-25-72. $\frac{1}{2}$ /
902	Apache Drilling Co. No. 1 Roquemore		1956	3,940				352		•-			Oil test used in cross section, $\frac{3}{2}$
58-101	Lonnie Lockridge	Allen Lumber Co.	1972	31	30	31	Τç	380	18.0 18.1	9-20-72 3-17 - 81	JE	Û	Dug well. <u>1/4</u> /
102	Goodsprings Water Supply Corp,	Edington Drilling Co.	1965	550	8 5/8 4 1/2	500 560	Twi	44 D	141 190.6	965 5- 2-79	SE ,1 0	P	Screened 500-550 feet. Reported draw- down 97 feet after pumping 60 gal/min for 24 hours when drilled. Drilled to 656 feet; plugged back to 550 feet. <u>1/2/3</u> /
103	Robbins Petroleum Co. Benton Moore	Innerarity Drilling Co.	1969	442	6 4	296 442	Ĭwi	410	163 117.4	1-28-69 7-14-81	SE,7.5	WF	Screened against sands 295-442 feet. Reported drawdown 85 feet after pumping 35 gal/min for 24 hours when drilled.
201	Lynn Simmons	Allen Lumber Co.	1972	47	36	47	Twi	360	18.0 16.4	8-19-72 12- 2-75	JE	Ð	Dug well. <u>1/3/4</u> /

.

Table 8.--Records of Wells, Springs, and Test Holes in Rusk County and Adjacent Areas--Continued

See footnotes at end of table.

									Water	levels			
WeT1	Owner or name	Driller	Date com- pleted	Depth of well (feet)	Casi Diameter (inches)	ng Depth (feet)	Water bear- ing unit	Altitude of land surface (feet)	Above (+) or below land surface (feet)	Date of measure- ment	Method of lift	Use of water	Remarks
WR-35-58-202	Cross and Sons	Allen Lumber Co.	1973	825	7 3	725 825	Twi	485	245 271.6	6-28 - 73 5-16 - 79	SE:	Ρ	Screened 725-754 and 769-819 feet. Reportedly pumped 65 gal/min 5-16-79.
301	Ebenezer Water Supply Corp. No. 1	Triangle Pump & Supply Co.	1965	600	7 3 1/2	500 600	Twi	490	237	4-21-65	SE	P	Screened 500-600 feet. Reported draw- down 25 feet after pumping 60 gal/min for 24 hours when drilled. 1/3/
302	J. L. Anderson		1911	29	36	29	Twi	398	15.9	11-26-36	N	U	Dug well. 1/5/
4 01	Elmer Parker	Aïlen Lumber Co.	1971	82	30	82	Twf	500	67 70.0	7-15-71 3-19-81	JE	Ð	<u>1/4/</u>
402	Compton McKnight Water Supply Corp.	Key Drilling Co.	1979	500	6 5/8 3 1/2	450 490	Twi	528	208.1	3-19-81	SE,7.5	Ρ	Screened 450-490 feet. Measured pump- ing level 243.5 feet 3-18-81. Drilled to 720 feet; plugged back to 500 feet. 1/3/
403	W. V. Wiggins	Lawrence Hunter	1920	60	36	60	Tc	495	43.0	3-18-81	N	U	Dug well.
501	Freewill Baptist Church	Howeth Water W ell Service	1969	95	4 .	95	Twi	350	30 26.3	8-23-69 12- 2-75	SE,1	Ρ	Screened 71-95 feet. 4/
502	Ebenezer Water Supply Corp. No. 2	do.	1970	658	7 3 1/2	500 600	Twi	415	237	4-21 - 65	SE	P	Screened 500-600 feet, Reported draw- down 25 feet after pumping 60 gal/min for 24 hours when drilled. Drilled to 658 feet; plugged back to 600 feet.
601	C. T. Whit∉	da.	1 96 7	292	4	292	Ťc	380	94.5 97.8	9-19-72 12- 8-76	SE,1	U	Casing slotted 276-292 feet. $1/4/$
701	F. G. Berry		1896	51	36	51	Ťc	480	49.5	11- Б-36	N	IJ	Dug well. 5/
702	N. Leo Marwill		1900	19		19	Tç	385	16.9	11- 6-36	N	U	Do.
801	Jim Hart	Judge Spencer		24	36	24	īwi	352	19.4	10-23-36	N	u	Duo well. 1/5/
59- 101	H. B. Flannagan	Howeth Water Well Service	1969	351	4 2	331 351	Twf	458	180 147.5	3-18-69 12- 2-75	SE,1.5	5	Casing slotted 331-351 feet. Drilled to 351 feet; plugged back to 351 feet. 4.
102	Minden Srachfield Water Supply Corp.	Andrews & Foster Drilling Co.	1973	615	4 1/2	6 15	Twi	662	264 348.2	10- 3-73 5-11-79	SE	Ρ	Screened 509-551 and 572-593 feet. Reported drawdown 96 feet after pump- ing 71 gal/min for 24 hours when drilled. Drilled to 642 feet; plugged back to 615 feet. 1/
201	Mrs. H. A. Gosset	Allen Lumber Co.	1966	36	30	36	Тс	430	17.0 14.4	9-25-72 12- 2-75	JE	D	 Dug well. <u>1/4</u> /
202	JPG Oil Co. No. 1 Michael Kangera		1965	6,284				44 0					Dil test used in cross section, $3/$
203	F. L. Gary Est.		1900	40		39	Twi	40Ú	36.4	10-27-36	N	IJ	Dug weil, 5/
302	J. R. Worley		1912	34		34	Tc	540	29.5	10-27-36	N	U	Do.
401	₩, E. Adair	Howeth Water Well Service	1966	143	4	143	Twi	420	60	8-27-66	к	Ų	Casing slotted 127-143 feet. Well destroyed.
See footnotes	at end of table.												-

.*

									Water	levels			
Well	Owner or name	Driller	Date com- pleted	Depth of well (feet)	Casin Diameter (inches)	Depth (feet)	Water bear- ing unit	Altitude of land surface (feet)	Above (+) or below land surface {feet}	Date of measure- ment	Method of Ìift	Use of water	Remarks
WR-35-59-402	George T. Dulaney		5pring				Τc	485					Spring, estimated flow 2 gal/min 10-19-36. <u>1/5</u> /
501	W. T. Arnold	Claude Brooks	1935	14		14	Ťc	518	11.2	11-26-36	N	u	Dug well. <u>5</u> /
601	Boyd Patrich	Howeth Water Well Service	1964	242	4 1/Z	242	Twi	380	92.6 91-8 98.0	7- 1-77 12-12-77 11-30-78	SE	Û	Screened 224-242 feet. $\underline{1}/$
602	JPG Oil Co. No. 1 Troy Wetch		1966	7,390				557					Dil test used in cross section, $\underline{3}/$
603	A. R. Rosseau Est.		1900	26	30	26	Twi	439	19.2	10-19-36	N	Ú	Dug well. <u>5</u> /
701	J. M. Maul		1865	28	36	28	Twi	442	21.2	11-26-36	N	U	Dug well. 1/5/
801	Minden School	Joe Gillispie	1956	412	4 2 1/2	310 412	Twi	521	182.5 185.5	9-22-72 3-19 - 81	SE	U	Screened 382-412 feet. <u>1/4</u> /
802	Minden Bachfield Water Supply Corp. No. 1	Key Drilling Co.	1966	611	85/8 4	530 601	Twi	460	185	10 - 1-66	SE,10	Ρ	Screened 530-540, 561-581, and 591-601 feet. Reported drawdown 60 feet after pumping 80 gal/min for 24 hours when drilled. Drilled to 689 feet; plugged back to 611 feet. $\underline{1}/$
803	Mobil Dil Corp. No. 3	Edington Drilling Co.	1968	716	8 4	656 716	Twi	500	197 184.7	8-27-68 7-24-79	N	វ	Screened 650-716 feet. Reported draw- down 180 feet after pumping 52 gal/min for 4 hours when drilled. $2/3/$
901	Ohio Oil Co. Leona Pinkerton No. 2		1954	7,405				519	•				Oil test used in cross section. $\underline{3}/$
902	J. G. Spradlin	Howeth Water Well Service	1970	480	4 2	44 8 480	Twi	512	178.3 182.1	9-22-72 11-30-78	5E,1.5	D	Screened 448-480 feet. $1/2/4/$
903	Mobil Oil Co. WSW No. 1 Shiloh Upper Pettit Unit	tanford Drilling Co.	1965	750	7 5/8 4 1/2	704 746	Twi	580	272.7	7-15-81	SE,15	WF	Sc ree ned 706-746 feet.
904	James N. Brown	Geophysical Co.	1936	80			Twi	380	F	10-12-36	N	U	Flows, 10-12-36. 5/
60-101	J. J. Wylie	Howeth Water Well Service	1961	190	4	190	Twi	442					1/
102	Agnes O'Kelley		1905	27	30	27	Twi	405	23.9	3-20-81	N	ย	Dug well.
701	Mrs. N. E. Barnes	N. E. Barnes	1900	32	36	32	Twi	360	23.2	10-19-36	N	Ų	Dug well. 1/5/
37-01-102	L. D. McMillan Fred Hamflton No. 1		1945	4,230				315 .					Oil test used in cross section. $\underline{3}/$
103	A. D. Conner	R. S. Jimmerson	1930	23	36	23	Tr	372	21.2	11- 2-36	N	U	Dug well. $\underline{1}/$
201	W. E. Richardson & Assoc. W. H. Alexander No. 1		1957	4,180				417					Oil test used in cross section. $\underline{3}/$
202	J. R. Jones		1936	22		22	٦q	405	21.1	11- 2-36	N	U	Dug well. <u>5</u> /

•

٠

See footnotes at end of table.

- 87 -

.

· ·

									Water	levels		···· ···· ·	
We]]	Owner or name	Driller	Date con⊢ pleted	Depth of well (feet)	Casir Diameter (inches)	ng Depth (feet)	Water bear- ing unit	Altitude of land surface (feet)	Above (+) or below land surface (feet)	Date of measure- ment	Method of lift	lise of water	Remarks
WR-37-01-203	L. H. Evans		1930	50	36	19	Tr	455	19.3	11- 2-36	ĸ	Ų	Dug well. <u>5</u> /
301	Leo Roberts	Allen Lumber Co.	1960	43	36 .	43	Tr	390	23.3 30.9	9-29-76 12- 1-78	JE	D	Open-hole completion. Reported depth 45 feet. $\underline{1/4}/$
401	Hall Wood	Stuart & Egan Dil Co.	1933	4,365				315	F	10- 2-33	Ň	N	Flows; discharge unreported, 1933.
501	New Salem Water Supply Corp.	Triangle Pump & Supply Co.	1965	280	85/8 4	216 280	Tą	42 8	90 88.4	9- 1-65 3-19-81	SE	U	Screened 217-280 feet. Reported draw down 70 feet after pumping 60 gal/min for 24 hours when drilled. Continuous water-level recorded installed 9-29-77. <u>1/2/3/4</u> /
502	Signet Oil Co. Nora Walker No. 1		1961	4,392				436				•	Oil test used in cross section, $\underline{3}/$
601	Abi Anderson		1910	38	60	38	Tr	362	34.3	10-22-36	N	U	Dug well. <u>5</u> /
701	Leonard Sanger	Leonard Petroleum Co.	1932	4,100				270	F	1936	N	U	Flows; discharge unreported, 1936. $\underline{1}/\underline{5}/$
802	Carlon Oil Co. No. 1 B. B. Johnson		1963	4,267				282					Oil test used in cross section. $\underline{3}/$
803	R. R. Buckner		1910	30	36	30	Tr	370	25.1	10-22-36	N	U	Dug well. <u>1/5</u> /
901	W. B. Moore		1930	23	36	23	Tr	302	18.8	10-22-36	ж	U	 Do .
02-101	J. F. Lowe	Smelley	1933	202		202	Twi	369	32	1933	N	ŭ	1/5/
102	J. T. Lowe	Griffith Brothers	1931	152	· 6	152	Tc	360	F	10-23-36	N	U	—— Flows "2-inch stream" 10-23-36. 1/
201	J. H. Walker	Norris Langford	1956	144	7	144	Tc	400	25 19.8	1956 7-14-81	N	U	Casing slotted 104–144 feet. $\underline{1}/$
202	Laneville Water Supply Corp.	Innerarity Drilling Co.	1965	500	6 5/8 3 1/2	408 491	Twi	433	144.9 148.7	5-10-79 3-30-81	SE,7.5	P	Screened 421-491 feet.
203	J. S. Sprague		1930	16	60	16	Tr	440	6.8	3-31-81	JE	Irr	Dug well used for watering garden.
204	Robert Guy			14	. 30	14	Tr	420	5.4	4-24-81	N	U	Oug well.
205	do.	Clovis Glenn	1980	156	2	156	Τc	418	19.9	4-24-81	N	ť	Pump not installed at time of inventory.
206	S. E. Johnson	Mr. Fox	1920	280	6	280	Twi	395	F	10-23-36	N	ប	Made "good flow" until early 1930's when well was "shut off." <u>1</u> /
301	Pine Springs Baptist Camp	Key Drilling Co.	1973	280	4	280	Twi	492	125.1 130.9	9-29-76 8- 6-79	SE	٩	Screened 230-280 feet, 1/2/4/
302	John C. Robbins Williams No, 1		1972	6,849				450					Dil test used in cross section. $\underline{3}$ /
401	J. D. Blanton		1918	16	24	16	Tq	495	11.8	10-22-36	N	U	Dug well. <u>5</u> /
501	J. D. W. Riddle			32	36	32	Tr	395	29.0	10-27-36	N	V	Dug well, <u>1/5</u> /
601 See footnotes	D. C. Garrett at end of table.	W. Bryan	1900	24	60	24	Tr	425	15.1	10-23-36	N	ช	Dug well, contains highly mineralized water. <u>1/5</u> /
	at the of the offer												

- 88 -

.

-

						-							
Well	Owner or name	Driller	Date com- pleted	Depth of well (feet)	Casi Diameter (inches)	ng Depth (feet)	Water bear- ing unit	Altitude of land surface (feet)	Water Above (+) or below land surface (feet)	Date of Date of measure- ment	Method af lift	Use of water	Remarks
WR-37-02-602	J. M. Bryan		1880	20	36	20	Ĭr.	415	13.0	10-22-36	N	ť	Dug welt. <u>5</u> /
603	Arch Bane Est.	Suford Wolverton	1980	16	36	16	τc	408	б.8	11- 2-36	N	U	Do.
604	J. M. Johnson		1900	38	36	38	Τc	530	36.1	10-22-36	N	Ų	Da.
701	South Rusk Co. Water Supply Corp.	Frye Drilling Co.	1975	1,075	8 5/8 4	962 1,067	Twi	411	150 164	777 5-17-79	TE 25	Ρ	Screened 962-1,067 feet. Reportedly pumped 220 gal/min when drilled. <u>2</u> /
801	Marvin L. Gunter	Allen Lumber Co.	1970 -	820	4 1/2	820	Twi	600	265 250.1 252.8 178.3	12-17-70 9-22-72 2-17-73 7-14-81	N	U	Screened 630-820 feet. For emergency use only. $\underline{1}/\underline{4}/$
802	J. W. Davís	Key Drilling Co.	1975	430	4	430	Twi	500	142.5 1 46.6	9-29-76 3 - 19-81			Screened 410-430 feet. $1/4/$
803	Crawford Heirs	·		64	36	64	Tq	392	63.3	10-27-36			Dug well. <u>5</u> /
902	Exxon No. 1 N. E. Trawick Gas Unit No.	2	1973	8,397				508					Oil test used in cross section. $\underline{3}$ /
903	Exxon No. 1 N. E. Trawick Gas Unit No.	3	1973	7,813	 ,			578				•	Ŋ o •
904	Sulphur Springs (Penny Est.)						Τq	505					Spring. Deussen (1914) reported "Targe Flow." Reported discharge 28 gal/min 1-11-78 (Gunnar Brune). Measured dis- charge 8.5 gal/min and measured tem- perature 13.8°C on 7-14-81. <u>1</u> /
03-101	J. T. Melton			55	36	55	1c	500	54.1	10-22-36	N	U	Οưg well. <u>1/5</u> /
201	Mt. Enterprise Water Supply Corp. No. 2	Key Drilling Co.	1979	370	10 3/4 6 5/8	310 370	Τωί	480	120 118.6 158.4	4-17-79 5-16-79 4- 1-81	SE,20	Ρ	Screened 310-360 fect. Reported draw- down 170 feet after pumping 160 gal/min for 24 hours when drilled. Drilled to 510 feet; plugged back to 370 feet. <u>1/3</u> .
202	Mt. Enterprise Water Supply Corp. No. 3	đo.	1979	484	10 3/4 6 5/8	414 484	Тичі	480	175 118.6 158.8	4-16-79 5-16-79 4- 3-81	SE,20	Ρ	Screened 414-474 feet. Reported draw- down 150 feet after pumping 175 gal/min for 24 hours when drilled. <u>1/2/3/</u>
301	Minden School	Joe Gillispie	1960	192	4 2 1/2	148 192	Twi	502	56 58.4	4- -60 6- 7-79	SE,1.5	P	Screened 148-192 feet.
302	J. J. Thompson		1930	34	36	34	Τc	540			JE	D	Dug well, 1/
401	J. S. Sprague	Peterson	1900	15	60	15	Tr	440	12.2	11- 2-36	N	U	Dug well. <u>5</u> /
402	Abe Franklin Est.	Wyatt Venson	1918	16	36	16	Tr	385	11.2	11- 3-36	· N	U	Do .
403	Stockman's Spring (Thelma Cormier)		Spring	g				360			• ·		Spring. Deussen (1914) reported "large flow;" Gunnar Brune (1978) reported 10 gal/min.
501	Crompton Water Co.	Burnett	1950	210	6	210	Τr	462	ŀ	7- 5-61	N	Û	Measured flow 37 gal/min 7-5-61. Destroyed, $1/$

.

.

See footnotes at end of table.

•

									Water	levels			
We)1	Owner or name	Driller	Date com⊢ pleted	Depth of well (feet)	Cas Diameter (inches)	ing r Depth) (feet)	Water bear- ing unit	Altitude of land surface (feet)	Above (+) or below land surface (feet)	Date of measure- ment	Method of lift	Use of water	Remarks
WR-37-03-502	Mt. Enterprise Water Supply Corp. No. 1	Triangle Pump & Supply Co.	1965	470	85/8 5	375 470	Twi	557	200 198.5	10- 9-65 4- 3-81	SE,20	P	Screened 390-470 feet. Reported draw- down 55 feet after pumping 200 gal/min for 24 hours when drilled. Drilled to 666 feet; plugged back to 470 feet.1/3/4
503	Mrs. J. E. McCrary			22	36	22	Tq	525	16.6	10-20-36	N	Ľ	Dug well. 5/
504	Mt. Enterprise Gin		1934	200	11	200	Τc	525	10 6.γ	1936 3-30-42	N	U	Used for about 1 year. $5/$
601	Gulf Oil No. 1 W. F. Ross		1963	12,309			- -	579					Oil test used in cross section.
701	D. W. Varden	D. W. Varden	1908	35		35	Qa 1	342	29.4	10-21-36	N	U	Dug well. <u>5</u> /
901	W. G. Ross	±- ·		54	36	54	Twi	530	48.6	10-20-36	k	U	Dug well, <u>1/5</u> /
04-103	Ridley, Locklin & Agar No. 1 Alford- Markey		1962	3,210				541				·	Oi) test used in cross section. $3/$
201	Peter Fletcher			24		24	Twi	480	22.4	10-19-36	м	U	Dug well. 5/
301	W. T. C. Anderson	W. Anderson	1928	37	36	37	Tc	425	35,1	10-19-36	N	U	
401	Arlam-Concord Water Supply Corp. "A"	Triangle Pump & Supply Co.	1965	435	85/8 4	375 435	Tc	585	96	7 65	N	U	Screened 375-435 feet. Reported draw- down 100 feet after pumping 80 gal/min for 24 hours when drilled. <u>1/2/3</u> /
402	Ben Starling	Ben Starling	1916	31	48	31	Tc	425	27.8	10-19-36	N	U	Dug well. 1/5/
601	Fred Anderson	Allen Lumber Co.	1973	315	4 1/2 2 1/2	273 305	Tc	39 4	29.1 31.6	10- 1-76 11-30-78	SE	D	Screened 285-305 feet. <u>1/2/4</u> /
801	Arlam-Concord Water Supply Corp.	Lanford Orilling Co.	1977	610			Twi	502			N		Plugged and abandoned. $\frac{3}{2}$
901	Arlam-Concord Water Supply Corp. No. 4	da.	1977	267	8 5/8 4 1/2	220 267	Twi	398			SE .	Ρ	Screened 220-262 feet. $1/3/$
09-201	Anna Schultz	Texas Co.	1924	3,000	12	3,000		258	F	10-26-36	N	U	Flows 1 foot above land surface, 1936; has hydrogen sulfide odor. $1/5/$
10-101	John Hightower		1916	60	36	60	Tc	370	58.4	10-26-36	N	U	Dug well. <u>1/5</u> /
11-103	Atlantic Pipeline Co.	Layne-Texas Co.	1933	395	6 4 1/2	361 395	Twi	390	۲. F	.5- 1-33 8-14-79			Screened 372-395 feet. 1/2/
201	H. L. Hickman	Allen Lumber Co.	1973	59	36	59	T¢	584	47.1 52.0	9-28-76 3-19-81	JÆ	D	Formerly WR-37-11-101. 1/4/
202	Hickman "in-laws"		1975	65	36	65	Тс	584	49,8	9-28-76	JE	Ð	Formerly WR-37-11-102. 1/
203	Ben Langford	Ben Langford	1900	37		37	Twi	460	36.9	10-20-36	N	U	Dug well. <u>5</u> /
301	J. W. Seelback	J. W. Seelback		33	36	33	Τc	48U	30.9	10-20-36	N	Ų	Do.
12-201	Alice Kane	Dick Wallace		44			Tc	540	41.2	10-16-36	N	ប	Do.

- 90 -

.

									Water	levels			
Well	Owner or name	Driller	Date com- p le ted	Depth of well (feet)	Casir Diameter (inches)	Depth (feet)	Water bea r- ing unit	Altitude [–] of land surface (feet)	Above (+) or below land surface (feet)	Date of measure- ment	Method uf lift	Use of water	Remarks
WR-37-12-302	Arlam-Concord Water Supply Corp.	Triangle Pump & Supply Co.	1965	310	8 5/8 4	260 310	Twi	400	90	8-10-65	SE,5	P	Screened 260-310 feet. Reported drawdown 70 feet after pumping 80 gal/min when drilled. $1/2/3/$
303	Mrs. S. F. Garrison		1900	46		46	Twi	380	43.0 42.1	10-13-36 4-20-81	N		Dug well.
304	Joyce Dorris	Snap Cotton	1936	48	26	48	Twi	400	40.8	4-20-81	N	Irr	Шο.
13-101	7. M. Pittman	Key Drilling Co.	1970	365	4	365	Тиі	380	101.8	7-13-81	SE,0.75	Ð	Screened 347-365 fect.
102	Jessie Lowe	do:	1979	385	4	385	Twi	340	180	12-29-79		D	Spreened 365-385 feet. $\underline{5}/$
Cherokee Coun DJ-37-09-101	ity Reklaw Water Supply Corp, No, 2	Innerarity Drilling Co.		138	8 5/8 5	82 138	Tc	300	4 7.7 48.4	12-15-70 4- 2 - 81	TE,10	Ρ	Screened 86-138 feet. Reported draw- down 9.4 feet after pumping 43 gal/min 5-18-71. 1/4/
102	Reklaw Water Supply Corp. No. 1	West Texas Tool Co.	1965	639	8 5/8 4 1/2	530 639	Тиі	300	30 31.8	565 4- 2-81	SE	P	Screened 530-624 feet. Reported draw-down 10.5 feet ater pumping 75 gal/min 5-18-71. $\underline{1/4}/$
Gregg County KU-35-33-912	Magnolia L. A. Griffin No. 30		1946	3,605				360					Oil test used in cross section. $\underline{3}/$
36-702	Carter Jones Drilling Co. No. 1 T. B. Stinchcomb		1955	7,165				343		 _			Da
Nacogdoches C TX-37-11-504	iounty Exxon (Humble) No. 1 Mary R. Sanor, Bole 2		19 49	8,264				391					Do.
601	Exxon (Humble) Trawick Gas Unit No. 46		1953	8,166									υ.
Panola County VL-35-44-602	, City of Tatum No. 2	Tranham Drilling Co.	1957	567	10 3/4	<u>5</u> 67	Twi	280	36 . 67.0	5-17-79 4- 1-81	TE,40	Ρ	One of two industrial wells originally owned by TIPCO. Unc was purchased by the City of latum and converted to public supply.
603	TIPCO	do.	1959	567	10 3/4	567	lwi -	280			N	N	Do.
5mith County XH-35-41-701	City of Overton No. 5	R. I. Clifford	1956	290	16 10	190 290	Тиі	458	120.6 142.3	1-13-60 5- 9-79	TE	Ρ	Screened 200-280 feet. Drawdown 51 feet after pumping 350 gal/min when drilled. <u>3</u> /

.

Table 8.--Records of Wells, Springs, and Test Holes in Rusk County and Adjacent Areas--Continued

1/ For chemical analyses of water from wells, see Tables 11 or 12.
2/ For drillers' logs of wells, see Table 9.
3/ Electrical logs in files of the U.S. Geological Survey and Texas Department of Water Resources, Austin, Texas.
4/ For additional water levels, see Table 10.
5/ Originally inventoried by Lyle (1937); location and altitude are approximate.

-84-

	Thickness (f e ét)	Depth (feet)		Thickness (feet)	Depth (feet)
Well WR-35-41-30 Owner: White Oak Water Su Driller: Lavne-Texa	4 pply Corp. s Co.		Well WR-35-41-505	Cont.	76
Surface soil	Δ	4	Sticky shale		/6
Clay	3	7	Bock	4	10.3
Sand	15	22	Sand and bauldoon	2	105
Clay	32	54	Sand and bounders	9	114
Shale	υ Έ	50	naru sanu rock	y	123
Sand	0	53		2	125
Shale	22	100	Sandy snale	8	133
Pock	53	100	ROCK	1	134
Shalo	2	102	sandy shale	7	141
Sand	10	118	ROCK	1	142
Salia	18	136	Sand	64	206
Share	4	140	Sandy shale	14	220
ROCK	1	141 .	Hard shale	7	227
Snale	13	154	Shale and boulders	23	250
Sandy shale	9	163	Hard sand rock	15	265
Rock	2	165	Sand	15	280
Shale and boulders	25 .	190	Lignite and sand streaks	10	290
Shale and layers of sand	23	213	Lignite	23	313
Hard shale	20	233	Sandy shale (23	336
Shale and lignite	29	262	Lignite	4	340
Sand	15	277	Sandy shale	48	388
Sandy shale	8	285	Hard sand rock	6	394
Sand	16	3 01	Shale	14	408
Sandy shale	45	346	Sandy shale	10	418
Sand	94	440	Sand and shale	112	530
Shale	4	444	Gumbo	10	540
₩e11 ₩R_35_41_505			Shale	20	560
Owner: Gulf Pipeline Driller: Benson Drilli	Co. ng Co.		Sticky shale	20	580
Surface soil	20	20	Packsand	8	588
Sand	25	45	Gray sand	17	60 5
Shale	13	58	Hard Sand	. 25	630
Sandy shale	17	75	Sand	60	690

Table 9.--Drillers' Logs of Selected Wells in Rusk County--Continued

P	Thickness (feet)	Depth (feet)		Thickness (feet)	Depth (feet)
Well WR-35-41-505-	-Cont.		Well WR-35-41-708-	-Cont.	
Rock	4	694	Fine sand	10	310
Gumbo	10	704	Sand and boulders	17	327
Sandy shale	64	768	Packed sand	5	332
Sand and lignite	22	790	Sand	13	34 5
Lignite	16	806	Sand and shale	11	3 56
Sand	12	818	Sand and boulders	5	361
Broken sand and lignite	32	8 50	Hard shale	10	371
Gumbo	5	855	Packed sand	9	380
Rock	5	860	Sand and boulders	23	403
Sand and lignite	20	880	Shale	14	417
Rock	6	886	Sand and boulders	23	440
Sand	144	1,030	Sand and lignite	20	460
Gumbo	3	1 ,0 33	Hard shale	38	498
ND 25 41 709			Sand, boulders, and lignite	32	530
WR-35-41-706 Owner: Missouri Pacifi Drillon: Domenov Dril	c Railroad		Gumbo	7	537 '
Sumface clay and sand	118 00	18	Rock	1	538
Waton cand	17	35	Hard shale	22	560
	16	50	Sand and shale	20	580
Dacked sand and boulders	27		Sand and boulders	20	600
Clav	34	111	Sand and shale	10	610
Pock	2	113	Sand and boulders	. 13	623
Packed sand	18	131	Shale	15	638
Sand and shale	, -0	138	Sand	5	643
Shale	15	1 53	Shale	19	662
Rock	2	155	Hard sand	22	684
Packed sand	4	1 59	Sand	10	694
Hard sandy shale	10	169	Packed sand	11	. 705
Rank	1	170	Sand	65	770
Sand and boulders	33	203	Gumbo	1	771
Sandy shale	16	219			
Sand	30	249			
Sand and boulders	51	300			

 $^{\prime}$

Table 9.--Drillers' Logs of Selected Wells in Rusk County--Continued

	Thickness (feet)	Depth (feet)	•	Thickness (feet)	Depth (feet)
Well WR-35-41-809 Owner: City of Overton			Well WR-35-41-809Cont.		
Driller: Layne-Tex	as Co.		Sand	75	298
Topsoil	2	2	Shale and sandy shale streaks	12	310
Red clay	20	22	Rock	1	311
Sand	3	2.5	Sandy shale with sand and lignite		
Shale	10	35		24	335
Sandy shale and sand streaks	9	44	shale, sandy shale with lightte streaks	26	361
Sand and sandy shale streaks	10	54	Shale with lignite streaks	63	424
Sandy shale with sand and shale streaks	58	112	Sand	5	429
Rock	. 1	113	Shale, sandy shale with lignite streaks	34	463
Shale	6	119	Sand, sandy shale with shale		
Rock	1	120	streaks	93	556
Shale	23	143	Sandy shale with shale streaks	44	600
Rock	1	144	Shale	8	608
Sandy shale	2	146	Sandy with shale streaks	9	617
Rock	2	148	Rock	3 ·	620
Shale	6	1 54	Shale	12	632
Lignite	1	155	Sand	2	634
Rock	1	156	Sandy shale	3	637
Sandy shale	1	1 57	Shale and sandy shale	29	666
Rock	1	1 58	Sand with shale streaks	3	669
Sandy shale	2	160	Sandy shale with shale layers	20	689
Rock	1	161	Hard shale	1	690
Shale	3	164	Rock	1	691
Sand	2	166	Hard shale	6	697
Rock	1	167	Sand and sandy shale	105	802
Sand	9	176	Shale with sandy streaks	6	808
Rock and sandy shale	2	178	Shale with lighte streaks	24	832
Sand with lignite streaks	18	196	Shale with sandy shale	4	836
Shale, sandy shale with lignite		000	Shale with sandy shale layers	50	886
Sand	4	200	KOCK	1	887
Janu Sand with chalo stocks	10	210	SU916	13	900
Sang With Shale Streaks	/	223			
.

	Thickness (feet)	Depth (feet)		Thickness (feet)	Depth (feet)
Well WR-35-42-4	01		Well WR-35-43-501	-Cont.	
Owner: Jacobs water Suppl Driller: Layne-Tex	as Co.	2	Clay	87 .	180
Surface soil	· 2	2	Sandy	30	210
Sandy clay	18	20	Clay	10	220
Sand and sandstone streaks	8	28		N1	-
Sandy clay	38	66	Owner: Boy Scouts of America Driller: Lavne Texa	a, Camp Kenr	iedy
Sandy clay	19	85	Surface sand	2	2
Sand (good)	9 0	175	Clay and sandy clay	10	· 21
Lignite	3	178	Cand and some oppusi	21	
Sandy clay and lignite streaks	58	236	Sand and Some graver	16	50
Sandy clay and sand streaks	42	278		10	00
Clay	47	325	Gray clay and sand	27	95
Sand (fair)	73	398	Shale and sand	11	1/2
Shale and sandy shale	34	432	Sand and shale	24	196
Sand (poor)	10	442	Shale and sand streaks	23	219
Sandy shale and sand streaks	33	475	Gray sand rock	2	221
Sandy shale and sand streaks	43	518	Soft gray shale and sandy shale	19	240
Sand (broken)	6	524	Sand rock	1	241
Sand (good)	27	551	Gray shale, few sand and rock layers	59	300
Rock	3	554	Shale and sand	23	323
Sandy clay and rock streaks	10	564	Sand, shale, and sandy shale	11	334
Sand (broken)	21	585	Sand, broken, with shale layers	12	346
Sand and clay streaks	14	599	Coarse gray sand and few shale	15	361
Clay	15	614	Sand coft chald and lignite	15	501
	F/1 1		breaks	30	391
Well WR-35-43- Owner: R. C. Wa Driller: Howeth Wate	bl lling er Well Servi	ce	Sand, soft shale, and lignite breaks	27	418
Red clay	12	12	Hard sand rock	3	421
White clay	8	20		0.1	
Gray clay	12	32	Well WK-35-44-5 Owner: Crystal Farms Water	Supply Cor	P.
Sandy	8	40	Urifler: Frye Drill	ing to.	

Topsoil and white sand	22	22
Rocky shale and lignite	18	40
Shale, thin rocks	40	80

47

3

3

Sand

Clay

Sand

87

90

93

	Thickness (feet)	Depth (feet)		Thickness (feet)	Depth (feet)
Well WR-35-44-501	Cont.		Well WR-35-44-801Cont.		
Gray shale	21	101	Rock (hard)	4	234
Blue shale	20	121	Sand	8	242
Blue shale, lignite	41	162	Sand and shale streaks	13	255
shale and sand	21	183	Rock (hard)	1	256
Sand, shale, and rock	25	- 208	Sand	3	2 59
Shale and sand	16	224	Rock (hard)	6	265
Sand and shale	82	306	Sand and shale streaks	56	321
Rock sand and shale	20	326	Sandy shale, shale streaks, and		
Shale and rock	21	347	lignite	11	332
Sand	20	367	Sand with shale layers	62	394
Rock and good sand	21	388	Sand and shale layers	66	460
Shale and good sand	20	408	Sand, lignite, and shale streaks	14	4 74
Good sand and rock	10	418	Sand	114	588
			Sand and shale (broken)	12	600
Well WR-35-44-801 Owner: Texas Utilities Services, Inc., No. 1 Martin Lake Plant Driller: Layne-Texas Co.			Sand with shale streaks	41	641
			Sand	28	669
Iron rock and red sandy clay	7	7	Sand with streaks of shale lignite (cut good)	31	700
Gray sandy clay	- 16	23	Sandy shale	39	739
Lignite	2	25		•	, 05
Sandy shale, sand streaks, and			Well WR-35-49-206 Owner: Cities Service Co. wate	r supply we	.11
lignite streaks	41	66	No. 1, Wheelis Leas Driller: Layne-Texas	e 6.	
Lignite	7	73	Top sand	6	6
Sand with lignite and shale	2	75	Red clav and shale	7	13
Sandy shale	3	78	Sandy shale, shale streaks, and	,	13
Sand, lignite streaks, and shale	11	89	gravel	35	48
Shale, sandy shale, and lignite streaks	34	123	Rock (hard)	1	49
Shale with sand streaks	29	1 52	Shale	32	81
Sand and shale lavers	25	177	Sandy shale	17	98
Rock	1	178	Rock (hard)	1	99
Sand (cut good)	- 16	194	Sand shale and shale	10	109
Rock	-~	195	Rock	1	110
Sand (cut. good)	36	230	Sandy shale	3	113
save land Analy	55	230			

ι,

	Thickness (feet)	Depth (feet)		Thickness (feet)	Depth (feet)
Well WR-35-49-206	Cont.		Well WR-35-49-2060	iont.	
Sand, sandy shale streaks, and shale layers	48	161	Sand, sandy shale, and lignite (broken)	21	801
Sand (cut good, coarse)	77	238	Sand and lignite streaks (cut	27	888
Shale, lignite, and sandy shale	52	290	soudy Sandy shale sand and lignite	L.	000
Fine sand and sandy shale	11	301	streaks	29	917
Sand shale, lignite	28	329	Sand	14	931
Rock (hard)	4	333	Shale and sandy shale	14	94 5
Sandy shale and sand (broken)	25	3 58	Sand and shale streaks	11	956
Sandy shale and shale streaks	25	383	Sandy shale and lignite streaks	7	963
(cut good)	61	<u>ллл</u>	Rock	1	964
Sandy shale and rightle, mixed	37	481	Sandy shale, shale, and lignite streaks	24	988
Sandy shale and sand streaks	42	523	Rock	1	989
Sand and sandy shale streaks	16	539	Sandy shale, sand layers, and		
Shale and sandy shale (cut hard)	86	62.5	lignite streaks	22	1,011
Sand	5	630	Sand	5	1,016
Dank (hand)	2	632	Sandy shale	5	1,021
Ford and shale stepaks	10	651	₩e]] WR_35_49_60	ı	
Sandy chalo	6	657	Owner: Gaston Water Supply Drilling: Edington Dri	Owner: Gaston Water Supply Corp. No. 1	
Sandy Share	Q	665	flav	22	22
Sand	0	674	Shale	41	63
Sandy shale and sand streaks	2	701	Sand	· 71 20	83
Sand and shale streaks	27	701	Salla	20	10.4
Sandy shale	9	710	Share	20	104
Sand and sandy shale	19	729	Sang	20	152
Sandy shale and lignite streaks	8	737	Snale	34	100
Sand	4	/41	Sand, 185 – rock	20	180
Sandy shale and lignite streaks	29	770.	Shale rock	21	207
Sand and sandy shale (broken layers)	32	802	Shale	1U2	. 201
Sandy shale and lignite streaks	15	817	Sand Share	21	261
Sand and sandy shale and lignite	6	823	Snale	21 15	351
Sand	8	831		10	100
Sandy shale, lignite, and sand streaks	9	840	Sand	20	4 5 Z

٠

--

	Thickness (feet)	Depth (feet)		Thickness (feet)	Depth (feet)
Well WR-35-49-601-	-Cont.		Well WR-35-50-502	<u> </u>	<u></u>
Sand shale	21	473	Owner: City of Henderson (formerly White Oak Water	No. 16 r Co.)	
Shale	9	482	Driller: Layne-Texas	Co.	
Rock	18	500	Surface soil and sand	10	10
Sand	18	518	Gray clay	18	28
Shale	16	534	Gray sand and lignite	9	37
Shale rock	21	555	Gray shale and lignite streaks	19	56
Shale	61	616	Gray sand and lignite streaks	14	70
Shale rock .	21	637	Shale, sand, and limestone streaks	18	88
Shale	21	1/658	Sandy shale	6	94
	LI	_ 0.00	Sand and shale	3	97
Well WR-35-50-20 Owner: Burnis Do	06 FE AV		Shale, sand streaks, and lignite	25	122
Driller: White Drill	ing Co.		Sand and shale	12	134
Red, white, and yellow clay	7	7	Shale and lignite	30	164
Tan shale	20	27	Sand and shale layers	14	178
White sand, some shale streaks	37	64	Sand, thin shale layers	11	189
Lignite	12	76	Sand and shale	9	198
Gray sticky shale	4	80	Shale	15	213.
Sandy shale	4 `	84	Sand and shale streaks	30	243
Gray sticky shale	11	95	Sand and shale layers (cut good)	12	255
Gray brittle shale	6	101	Shale and sand layers	18	273
Gray sticky shale	15	116	Shale and sandy shale	14	287
Gray sandy shale with heavy lignite	11	127	Sand and shale streaks (cut good)	15	302
Grav sticky shale	10	137	Sand (cut good)	62	370
Brown shale and lignite	14	1.51	Sandy shale and shale layers	6	370
Gray sand	2	153	Shale and sand streaks	22	392
Brown and grav shale with some	-	100	Sand and sandy shale	10	402
lignite	5	158	Shale and sandy shale	8	410
Sandy shale	10	168			
Brown sticky shale	8	176	Owner: Texas Highway R.().W.	
Gray sticky shale	20	196	Curfore and	11stration	
Shale with thin lignite streak	2	198	Sand mode	J.5 -	3.5
Sandy shale	3	201	Sallow and red play	• 5	4
Gray sand	14	215	rellow and red Clay	2	б
			TELLOW CLAY	1	7

 $\underline{1}/$ Well is deeper, but driller omitted bottom portion of log.

	Thickness (feet)	Depth (feet)		Thickness (feet)	Depth (feet)
Well WR-35-50-601-	-Cont.		Well WR-35-50-901Cont.		
Red clay	1	8	Sand	52 ,	479
Yellow sandy clay	2	10	Shale	3	482
Yellow and red sandy clay	1	11	Sand	78	560
Yellow sandy clay	1	12	Brown shale and lignite	23	583
Orange sandy clay	1	13	Hall 35 50 007		
Yellowish-orange sandy clay	6	19	Owner: City of Henderson No. 13, Driller: Lavne-Texas	James Ov Col	ven well
White clay	1	20	Sandy soil	2	2
Red and white clay	1	21	Sandy Soll	10	12
White sandy clay	· 2	23	Sand		17
Red and white sandy clay	1	24	Clay and lignite	52	. 70
White sandy clay	1	25	Clay and Fightee	55	75
Gumbo	2	27	Sana	111	187
Yellow sandy clay	1	28	Grad and shale lawors	36	223
White sandy clay	1	29	Shale and cand layers	27	250
Yellow and white sandy clay	1	30	Shale and sale rayers	20	298
White sandy clay	1	31	Sand and shale streaks	8	296
MALI ND 25 50.4	1.00		Shale and sandy shale	- 8	30.4
Owner: City of Hender Driller: Layne-Te:	rson No. 4 xas Co.		Sandy shale	12	315
Clay	10	10	Shale and sand streaks	58	374
Yellow sand	10	20	Sand and shale	9	383
Sandy shale	80	100	Rock	1	384
Shale and lignite	45	145	Shale and sandy shale	17	401
Fine-grained sand	15	160	Sandy shale	10	41 1
Sandy shale and lignite	92	2 52	Shale and sand streaks	27	438
Fine-grained sand	10	262	Sand	6	4 4 4
Shale and lignite	33	295	Shale and sandy shale	29	473
Sand	20	315	Sand and shale layers	22	495
Rock		316	Sand, thin shale layers	20	51 5
Sandy shale	49	365	Rock	5	520
Shale	35	400	Sand and hard streaks	51	571
Gray sand	12	412	Shale and lignite	19	590
Shale	15	427	Sand and shale streaks	. 91	681

•

:

ĉ,

. F

- 99 -

	Thickness (feet)	Depth (feet)		Thickness (feet)	Depth (feet)	
Well WR-35-50-907-	-Cont.		Well WR-35-51-101Cont.			
Shale	11	692	Shale	30	364	
Sand and shale streaks	8	700	Sand (fine)	12	376	
Shale and sandy shale	.14	714	Sandy shale and sand layers	4	380	
Wall WD 26 FL 1	0.1		Sand, shale, and lignite	61	4 41	
Owner: New Prospect Water Su Driller: Layne-Tex	or pply Corp. No as Co.	o. 2	Rock	. 1	442	
Topsoil	1	1	Sand, shale, and lignite streaks	50	492	
Clay	- 15	16	Shale	. 2	494	
Rock	· 2	19	Sand and shale streaks (coarse)	22	516	
Clay and sand streaks	3	21	Sandy shale and sand layers	18	534	
Clay, sandy shale and rock	12	33	Sand and shale layers	10	544	
Sand and shale streaks	20	53	Shale, sandy shale, and sand streaks	29	573	
Rock	3	56	Sand, shale, and lignite streaks	11	584	
Sand and shale layers	10	66	Shale and rock layers (hard)	34	618	
Rock	2	68 ·	Sand (fine)	. 7	625	
Shale	4	72	Lignite	3	628	
Sand, sandy shale and lignite	15	87	Shale and lignite	. 6	634	
Rock	1	88				
Sand	5	93	Well WR-35-51-502 Owner: Church Hill Water Supply	Corp. No.	2	
Lignite	2	95	Driller: Howeth Water Well	Service		
Shale and sandy shale	17	112	Ked and white clay	20	20	
Shale and sandy shale	16	128	Sand	20	40	
Shale	8	136	Clay	76	116	
Shale and sandy shale	. 17	1 53	Sand	24	140	
Lignite	· 6	1 59	Clay	40	180	
Shale and sandy shale	23	182	Sand	12	192	
Sand and shale	12	194	Clay	208	400	
Shale and sandy shale	40	234	Sand	40	440	
Rock	1	235	Coal, clay, and sand	24	464	
Sand and shale (hard)	38	273	Sand, streaked	44	508	
Rock	1	274	Clay	42	550	
Sand and shale (hard)	21	295	Sandy	30	580	
Sand, lignite, and shale	39	334	Clay	30	610	

	Thickness (feet)	Depth (feet)
Well WR-35-52-101 Owner: Evel Faulkn Driller: Howeth Water We	l ne r Mi Service	
White-yellow clay	21	21
Sand clay	3	· 24
Clay	4	28
Sand clay	7	35
Dark clay	13	48
Coal	7	55
C1 ay	7	62
Sand	6	68
C1 ay	33	101
Coal	2	103
Clay	7	110
Sand	3	113
Clay	61	174
Sand	14	188
Clay	. 4	192

.

ę

-

;

.

ج بر

.

.

Well WR-35-52-701 Owner: H. H. Truelock Driller: Howeth Water Well Service

Clay	30	30
Sand, streaked	15	4 5
Clay	30	75
Coal	9	84
Clay	16	100
Sand, streaked	15	115
Clay	155	270
Sand	26	296
Clay	6	30/2

Owner:	Well WR-35-57-203 Amoco Production Co. No. 3, Siler Driller: Layne-Texas Co.	Lease
Topsoil	2	2
Sand	22	24

	Thickness (feet)	Depth (feet)
Well-WR-35-57-203	Cont.	
Sandy shale and lignite	38	62
Sand	5	67
Sand and gravel	35	102
Sand and shale streaks	18	120
Sand	5	125
Sandy shale and sand layers	35	160
Sand	13	173
Shale	11	184
Sand and lignite	10	194
Sandy shale	74	268
Sand, lignite, and shale streaks	33	301
Shale and sandy shale	25	326
Sand and shale streaks	` 39	365
Rock .	1	366
Sandy shale	19	385
Sand	6	391
Shale	13	404
Shale and sandy shale	26	4 30
Sand and shale streaks	23	4 53
Shale and sand streaks	18	471
Sand	8	479
Shale and sandy shale	25	504
Sand	8	512
Rock	1	513
Sand with shale streaks	5	518
Sandy shale	17	535
Sand and shale layers	15	550
Rock	1	551
Sand	34	584
Rock	2	586
Shale	2	588
Rock	2	590

	Thickness (feet)	Depth (feet)		Thickness (feet)	Depth (feet)
Well WR-35-57-203-	Cont.		Well WR-35-57-901		
Sand	16	606	Owner: W. A. White Driller: White Drill	shead Ing Co.	
Shale	7	613	Brown, tan, and yellow clay with	-	
Sand and shale layers	39	652	gravel	20	20
Shale	3	655	Brown and gray shale	35	55
Sand and lignite layers	23	678	Gray sand	45	100
Rock	1	679	Gray shale and lignite	50	1 50
Shale	5	684	Lignite	15	165
Sand and shale streaks	15	699	Gray sand	5	170
Shale	12	711	Gray shale with heavy lignite	40	210
Sand	38	749	Gray sand with heavy lignite	20	230
Shale	11	760	Gray shale and lignite	40	270
Sandy shale with lignite	60	820	Gray sand	45	315
Sand and shale layers	60	880	Well WR-35-58-10	2	
Shale	19	89 9	Owner: Goodsprings Water S Driller: Edington Dril	upply Corp. ling Co.	
Sand	6	90.5	C1 ay	22	22
Rock	1	90.6	Sand	48	70
Shale	2	908	Shale	70	140
Sand	2	91 0	Sandy shale	41	186
Shale	9	919	Shale	9	195
Sand	19	938	Sand	11	206
Sandy shale	11	949	Shale	61	267
Shale	14	963	Sand	8	27 5
Sand and sandy shale	22	985	Shale	54	329
Sand	23	1,008	Sand	20	349
Shale	. 5	1,013	Shale	41	390
Rock	2	1,015 -	Shale and rock layers	20	410
Sand and shale streaks	25	1,040	Shale	82	492
Sandy shale	12	1,052	Sand	82	574
Sand and shale streaks	15	1,067	Shale	20	594
Rock	3	1,070	Shale	14	608
Sand and shale layers	34	1,104	Sand	7	615
Sandy shale and sand streaks	21	1,125	Shale and sandy shale	20	635
Shale	10	1,135	Shale	7	642

į

.

.

	Thickness (feet)	Depth (feet)		Thickness (feet)	Depth (feet)
Well WR-35-58-	102Cont.		Well WR-37-01-50	1Cont.	
Sand	8	6 50	Sandy shale and shale	100	180
Shale	б	656	Sand, brown and yellow	28	208
			Shale, blue, hard	22	230
Well WR-35- Owner: Mobil Oil	59-803 Corp. No. 3		Sandy shale and sand, fine	16	246
Driller: Edington	Drilling Co.		Sand, white and gray, coarse	24	270
Surface clay and sand	25	25	Sandy shale and sand	30	300
Gray shale	108	133	Sand streaks and sandy shale	100	400
Rock	1	134	Sand, fine	20	420
Gray shale	13	147	Shale	10	4 30
Gray sand	43	190	Shale, blue and black	83	513
Gray shale	161	351			
Gray sand	41	392	Well WR-37-02-30 Owner: Pine Springs Bap)1 otist Camp	
Gray shale	263	655	Driller: Key Drillia	ng Co.	
Fine white sand	65	720	Cl ay	30	30
Gray sandy shale	44	764	Sand	41	71
Gray shale	11	775	Shale	14	85
We17 WR_35-	59-902		Sand	25	110
Owner: J. G. Driller: Howeth Wat	Spradlin ar Well Service		Shale	5	115
Red and vellow clav	20	20	Sand	25	140
Claw	20	40	Shale	20	160
Cray	10	50	Sand	35	195
Sanuy	19	. 106	Sandy shale	35	230
Clay	47	100	Sand	50	280
Sand	14	120	14-11 14 C 27 C 2	01	
clay	1/8	298	Owner: South Rusk County Wat	er Supply C	orp.
Sandy bed	//	3/5		ring to.	50
Clay	73	448	lopsoil, sandy clay, snale	00	00
Sand streaks	32	480	Blueshale	320	380
Well WR-37-	-01-501		Broken shale, blue	24	404
Owner: New Salem Wat Driller: Triangle Pu	ter Supply Corp. Imp & Supply Co.		Sand	34	438
Clay and Sand	5	5	Tight shale, blue	68	506
Clay and rock, red	25	30	 Sand and rocky sand 	4	510
Sand, fine, white	50	80	Hard shale, some rock	88	598

	Thickness (feet)	Depth (feet)	· · · ·	Thickness (feet)	Depth (feet)
Well WR-37-02-7	01Cont.		Well WR-37-04-401-	-Cont.	
Hardpacked sand	12	610	Sand, fine, white, gray	170	470
Sand, shale, hardpacked	74	684	Shale	30	500
Sand	70	7 54	Sand	20	520
Shale	86	840	Shale	20	540
Hardpacked sand	30	870	Sand, fine, white	20	560
Streaky sand and shale	90	96 0	Shale, black and dark blue	65	625
Good sand	110	1,070			
Shale	5	1,075	Well WR-37-04-601 Owner: Fred Anderson Driller: Allen Lumber Co.		
Well WR-37-03-202 Owner: Mount Enterprise Water Supply Corp. No. 3 Driller: Key Drilling Co.			Red clay Gray clay	3 4	3 7
Sand	126	126	Brown shale	13	20
Shale	18	144	Gray shale	37	57
Sand	10	1 54	Dark sand	3	60
Sandy shale	48	202	Shale	3	63
Sand	36	238	Dark sand	7	70
Shale	72	310	Shale	13	83
Sand	50	360	White sand	17	100
Sandy shale	54	414	Shale	80	180
Sand	60	474	Sand	9	189
Sandy shale	10	484	Shale	29	218
1/a]] //₽_370	4_401		Sand stringers	44	262
Owner: Arlam-Concord Water Driller: Triangle Pum	Supply Corp. "A	fu -	Sand	23	285
Sand and clay	20	20	Sand stringers	25	310
Sandy shale, clay	26	46	Shale	5	315
Rock, red	3	49	Well WR-37-11-10	13	
Rock	54	103	Owner: Atlantic Pipel Driller: Layne-Texa	ine Co. As Co.	
Lignite	25	128	Sand	3	3
Sand	32	160	Clay	22	25
Shale	38	198	Blue shale	45	70
Rock	1	199	Rock	1	71
Shale and sand streaks	101	300	Shale	23	94

	Thickness (feet)	Depth (feet)
Well WR-37-11-103	-Cont.	
Rock	3	97
Blue shale, hard streaks, sand and lignite	100	197
Hard shale	73	270
Shale	54	324
Rock	1	325
Shale	47	372
Sand	23	395

Well WR-37-12-302 Owner: Arlam-Concord Water Supply Corp. Driller: Triangle Pump & Supply Co.

Clay and sand	7	7
Sand, white, fine	63	70
Sandy shale	40	110
Shale	20	130
Sand, real fine, white	90	220
Sand streaks and sandy shale	50	270
Sand, coarse gray and white	60	330
Shale	40	370
Sand	60	430
Sand and shale streaks	178	608

(Water levels in feet below land surface; total depth indicates depth to which well is drilled or depth to which casing is set, if known)

		RUSK COU	INTY		
Date	Water <u>level</u>	Date	Water level	Date	Water level
WeII WR-35-41-501 Owner: Leveretts Chapel School		Well WR-35-4	1-510	Well WR-35-41-70	05Cont.
Altitude: 478 fee Total depth: 449 Aquifer: Wilcox	feet	Uwner: W. P. Moor Altítude: 440 fea Total depth: 33 f Aquifer: Boklaw F	e et ormation	June 10, 1938	165.7
Mar. 3.1947	222	Aug. 25, 1027	13 6	reb. 7, 1939	147.8
Sopt 21 1072	175 0	Aug. 25, 1957	13.5	May 5, 1939	148.0
Sept. 21, 1972	175.2	Jan. 27, 1938	12.0	July 19, 1939	153.5
Feb. /, 1974	174.9	June 10, 1938	10.3	Dec. 11, 1939	148.5
Feb. 12, 1975	175.0	Oct. 6, 1938	13.0	Apr. 5, 1940	144.0
Dec. 12, 1975	174.8	Feb. 7, 1939	11.3	July 12, 1940	148.5
Dec. 7, 1976	174.9	May 5, 1939	10.0	Nov. 26, 1940	142.9
Dec. 16, 1977	176.0	July 18, 1939	13.0	Nov. 26, 1941	141.4
Mar. 9, 1979	179.4	Dec. 11, 1939	14.1		
Mar. 19, 1981	178.4	Apr. 4, 1940	13.0	Well WR-35-4 Owner: Overton Ic:	1-707 e Co.
Well WD-35-4	1_500	July 12, 1940	13.2	Total depth: 360	feet
Owner: Shell Oil Altitude: 420 fee	Co., W. P. Moore	Nov. 26, 1940	12.9	Aquiter: Carrizo-1 Nov. 25, 1931	Wilcox 145.7
Aquifer: Carrizo-	Wilcox	Well WR-35-4	1-601	Mar. 18, 1936	161.5
1931	50	Owner: Maria Redi Altitude: 440 fee Total depth: 90 f	C t Pet	Jan. 27, 1938	166.6
Aug. 25, 1937	71.0	Aquifer: Carrizo	Sand	Dec. 11, 1939	156.0
Jan. 27, 1938	61.4	Sept. 21, 1972	71.4	Mar. 5, 1940	151.7
June 10, 1938	63.8	Feb. 17, 1973	46.1	Nov. 26, 1940	149.5
Oct. 6, 1938	65.8	Feb. 7, 1974	30.9		
Feb. 7, 1939	60.0	Feb. 12, 1975	17.1	Well WR-35-4; Owner: Kenneth Smi Altitude: AAA for	2-801 i th
May 5,1939	61.3	Dec. 2, 1975	66.3	Total depth: 67 fe	eet.
July 19, 1939	61.3	Dec. 7, 1976	39.1	Aquiter: Carrizo	
Dec. 11, 1939	61.2	Dec. 16, 1977	64.4	Sept. 21, 1972	62.3
Apr. 4, 1940	57.9	Nov. 30, 1978	69.3	Feb. 18, 1973	61.6
July 12, 1940	57.6	Mar. 19. 1981	69.4	Feb. 7, 1974	56.3
Nov. 26, 1940	54.0		· .	Feb. 12, 1975	55.7
Oct. 6, 1941	53.1	Well WR-35-4) Owner: City of Own	1-705	Dec. 2, 1975	55.7
	J J + I	Altitude: 489 feet	ant NO 4 1	Dec. 7, 1976	57.4
		Aquifer: Wilcox	eet	Dec. 16, 1977	57.8

148.8

164.8

Mar. 19, 1936

Aug. 25, 1937

60.7

Mar. 19, 1981

Date	Water level	Date	Water level	Date	Water level
Well WR-35-43-50	L	Well WR-35-44-402	Cont.	Well WR-35-49-80 Owner: Carlisle Publi	01 ic School
Altitude: 398 feet Total depth: 211 feet		Feb. 7, 1974	80.8	Altitude: 368 feet Total depth: 275 fee	t
Aquifer: Wilcox		Feb. 12, 1975	79.8	Aquifer: Carrizo	
Oct. 1, 1976	54.2	Dec. 2, 1975	79.6	Jan. 16, 1941	57
Dec. 7, 1976	54.2	Dec. 7, 1976	79.1	Sept. 18, 1972	59.3
Dec. 16, 1977	54.8	Dec. 16, 1977	79.8	Feb. 17, 1973	52.3
Mar. 3, 1981	56.1	Nov. 29, 1978	81.1	Feb. 7, 1974	60.9
		June 5, 1979	87.7	Feb. 12, 1975	74.3
Well WR-35-43-60 Owner: Francis Wheele	1 r			Dec. 2, 1975	79.8
Altitude: 400 feet Depth: 54 feet		Well WR-35-44-6 Owner: City of Tatum	501 n No. 1	Dec. 7, 1976	53.5
Aquifer: Carrizo		Total depth: 438 fee	et	Dec. 16, 1977	52.1
Sept. 26, 1972	49.8	Aquiter: Wilcox		Dec. 1, 1978	50.3
Feb. 16, 1973	48.7	Mar. 4, 1939	39	June 4, 1979	49.2
Feb. 7, 1974	43.9	Nov. 3, 1943	43.6		
Feb. 12, 1975	43.8	Sept. 25, 1972	64.2	Well WR-35-50-3 Owner: Jerome Rhoden	302
Dec. 2, 1975	45.1	Feb. 16, 1973	61.0	Altitude: 402 feet Total depth: 49 feet	
Dec. 7, 1976	46.5	Feb. 7, 1974	61.9	Aquifer: Carrizo	
Dec. 16, 1977	47.8	Feb. 20, 1975	62.6	Sept. 21, 1972	28.0
Nov. 29, 1978	48.7	Dec. 7, 1976	82.0	Feb. 18, 1973	11.0
Mar. 3, 1981	47.0	May 17, 1979	93	Feb. 7, 1974	8.6
	2.7	Wall VO 25 40	502	Feb. 12, 1975	9.8
Well WR-35-44-40 Owner: Greer and Snow	4 1	Owner: Dan Kerr	502	Dec. 2, 1975	18.2
(Mayflower Sch Altitude: 354 feet	1001)	Total depth: 585 feet	et	Dec. 7, 1976	15.4
Aquifer: Wilcox		Aquifer: Wilcox		Dec. 16, 1977	18.4
Sept. 26, 1972	94.0	Feb. 28, 1959	150	Dec. 1, 1978	12.1
Feb. 16, 1973	79.9	Sept. 20, 1972	182.3	Mar. 19, 1981	18.4
Feb. 7, 1974	91.9	Feb. 7, 1974	174.0		
Dec. 12, 1975	91.1	Feb. 12, 1975	155.5	Well WR-35-50-! Owner: Joe Hartman	501
11-11 WP-35-11-1	02	Dec. 2, 1975	174.5	Altitude: 460 feet Total depth: 48 fee	t
Owner: James M. Forgo	otson	Dec. 7, 1976	171.4	Aquifer: Carrizo Sam	nd
Total depth: 295 fee	t	Nov. 30, 1978	173.0	Sept. 21, 1972	32.8
Aquiter: Wilcox				Feb. 17, 1973	31.2
uct. 12, 1971	100			Feb. 7, 1974	25.4
Sept. 26, 1972	81.8			Feb. 12, 1975	28.0
Feb. 16, 1973	80.1 ¢				

.

٠

Date	Water level	Date	Water level	Date	Water level
Well WR-35-50-501	lCont.	Well WR-35-50-703	Cont.	Well WR-35-9	50~901
Dec. 2, 1975	29.6	Jan. 26, 1938	6.0	Owner: City of He Altitude: 419 fee	enderson No. 4 et
Dec. 7, 1976	30.6	Oct. 7, 1938	17.6	Aquifer: Wilcox	reet
Dec. 16, 1977	31.5	Feb. 8, 1939	17.8	Dec. 19, 1935	168.5
Dec. 1, 1978	33.2	May 5, 1939	18.2	Aug. 24, 1937	170.4
Well WR_35_50	-502	July 19, 1939	18.4	Dec. 12, 1939	156.8
Owner: City of Hen Formerly Wh	derson No. 16 ite Oak Water Co.	Dec. 11, 1939	18.1	Apr. 4, 1940	156.4
Altitude: 420 feet Total depth: 372 f	eet.	Apr. 5, 1940	16.7	July 13, 1940	164.9
Aquifer: Wilcox		July 12, 1940	16.1	Nov. 27, 1940	150.8
Sept. 29, 1963	128	Nov. 27, 1940	12.8	Aug. 21, 1944	196
Apr. 14, 1979	215			May 2, 1969	271.9
May 16, 1979	210.5	Well WR-35-50 Owner: City of Hend	-801 derson No. 7	Apr. 1, 1981	302.8
Mar. 17, 1981	168.7	Altitude: 452 feet Total depth: 624 fe	eet		00210
Well WR-35-50 Owner: Z. D. Stone Altitude: 448 feet Total depth: 27 fee Acuifer: Wilcox	-702 et	Aquifer: Wilcox July 19, 1947 Sept. 22, 1972	275 294.4	Well WR-35-5 Owner: City of He Altitude: 410 fee Total depth: 879 Aquifer: Wilcox	0-902 nderson No. 5 t feet
Nguiter: Milcox		red. /, 1974	285.8	Aug. 11, 1938	206
Mar. 17, 1936	19.2	Feb. 12, 1975	291.4	Dec. 11, 1939	153.1
Aug. 24, 1937	18.5	Dec. 2, 1975	297.0	Apr. 4, 1940	144.0
Jan. 26, 1938	7.3	May 2, 1979	314.9	July 12, 1940	157.4
Oct. 7, 1938	23.1	Aug. 1979	305	Nov. 27, 1940	148.7
Feb. 8, 139	22.7	Apr. 22, 1981	359.6		
May 5, 1939	21.9	Well WR-35-50-	802	Well WR-35-50 Owner: City of Her Altitude: 415 fee	0-903 Iderson No. 6
July 19, 1939	23.0	Owner: City of Hend Altitude: 512	erson No. 8	Total depth: 603 f	feet
Dec. 11, 1939	24.1	Total depth: 747	EA7 796 6-++	Aquifer: Wilcox	400-092 Teet
Apr. 5, 1940	23.8	Aquifer: Wilcox	547-736 Teet	Aug. 23, 1942	247
July 12, 1940	23.1	Jan. 23, 1948	315	Aug. 21, 1944	207
Nov. 27, 1940	21.5	Aug. 17, 1958	317	Mar. 12, 1979	257.1
		July 11, 1978	390	May 2, 1979	265.6
Owner: J. J. Colwel Altitude: 416 feet	1	May 2, 1979	338.7	Mar. 1981	287
Total depth: 20 fee Aquifer: Wilcox	t	Aug. 1979	390		
Mar. 17, 1936	14.6	Mar. 17, 1981	361.3		
Aug. 24, 1937	18.3				

Date	Water level	Date	Water <u>level</u>	Date	Water level	
Well WR-35-50-5	904	Well WR-35-50-912	2Cont.	Well WR-35-51-802		
Owner: City of Hend Altitude: 455 feet	erson No. 10	Jan. 27, 1938	<u>1</u> /	Owner: L. K. Ballo Altitude: 440 feet Total depth: 22 fe	W 	
Screened interval:	et 510-686 feet	June 11, 1938	2.2	Aquifer: Wilcox		
Adulter, which	255	Oct. 7, 1938	<u>1</u> /	Dec. 2, 1936	19.6	
FeD. 8, 1954	355	Feb. 8, 1939	1.8	Aug. 24, 1937	18.9	
July 11, 1978	380			Jan. 23, 1938	<u>2</u> /2.2	
Mar. 12, 1979	291.5	Well WR-35-50 Owner: Rosa Burt	0-913	June 11, 1938	9.6	
May 2, 1979	318.1	Altitude: 468 feet Total depth: 14 f	t eet	Oct. 7, 1938	20.4	
Aug. 1979	286	Aquifer: Carrizo		Feb. 8, 1939	2/1.8	
Mar. 1981	330	July 15, 1936	2.5	May 6 1020		
		Jan. 1, 1938	1.0	May 0, 1959	10.0	
Well WR-35-50-907 Owner: City of Henderson No. 13, James Owen well		June 11, 1938	4.3	JULY 19, 1939	19.0	
		Oct. 7, 1938	10.1	Dec. 12, 1939	22.2	
Total depth: 712 fe	et	Feb 8 1939	3-0	Apr. 5, 1940	13.1	
Aquiter: wilcox	622	May 6 1030	4.0	July 13, 1940	12.9	
Feb. 1, 1964	233	May 6, 1939	4.0	Nov. 27, 1940	10.7	
Mar. 13, 1979	302.1	July 19, 1939	7.6			
May 2, 1979	291.2	Dec. 12, 1939	11.4	Well WR-35-5 Owner: J. Russell	1-902 Smith	
Aug. 1979	302	Apr. 5, 1940	3.5	Altitude: 385 fee Total denth: 26 f	t , eet	
Mar. 1981	319	July 13, 1940	4.5	Aquifer: Wilcox		
		Nov. 27, 1940	4.4	Dec. 2, 1936	23.3	
Well WR-35-50- Owner: City of Henc	∙910 Ierson No. 2			Aug. 24, 1937	23.5	
Altitude: 404 feet Total depth: 558 fe	>et	Well WR-35-5 Owner: Church Hil	1-502 1 Water Supply	Jan. 23, 1938	2/5.4	
Completion interval:	445-558 feet	Corp. No. Altitude: 452 fee	2	June 11, 1938	20.2	
	170.0	Total depth: 490	feet	0++ 8 1938	25.6	
Oct. 7, 1938	178.0	Aquiter: wricox	250		2/6.0	
Feb. 8, 1939	163.4	0ct. /, 1971	150	FED. 8, 1939	<u></u>	
Dec. 12, 1939	167	Oct. 7, 1971	178.5	May 6, 1939	24.0	
July 13, 1940	174	Dec. 7, 1976	196.5	July 19, 1939	23.0	
Nov. 27, 1940	161	Dec. 16, 1977	202.7	Dec. 12, 1939	26	
11.11 UD 25 50	a1 0	May 3, 1979	209.4	Apr. 4, 1940	25.9	
Well WK-35-50 Owner: O. F. Burt	-212	Mar. 19, 1981	207.7	July 13, 1940	24.6	
Altitude: 482 feet Total depth: 51 fe Aquifer: Carrizo	et			Nov. 12, 1940	6.4	
Aug. 24, 1937	6.0					

 $\underline{1}$ / Water seeping into well, actual water level unknown. $\underline{2}$ / Measured in rain.

Water

Date	Water level
Well WR-35-51-9 Owner: E. F. Posey Altitude: 442 feet Total depth: 48 feet Aquifer: Wilcox	03
Dec. 2, 1936	40.2
Aug. 24, 1937	40.2
Jan. 23, 1938	39.8
June 11, 1938	40.1
Oct. 8, 1938	39.6
Feb. 8, 1939	39.1
May 6, 1939	39.5
July 19, 1939	39.9
Dec. 12, 1939	39.5
Apr. 5, 1940	39.3
July 13, 1940	39.4
Nov. 27, 1940	37.9
Well WR-35-52-10 Owner: Evel Faulkner Altitude: 340 feet Total depth: 189 feet Áquífer: Wilcox)1
Jan. 8, 1966	50
Sept. 25, 1972	49.7
Feb. 16, 1973	48.3
Feb. 12, 1975	48.3
Dec. 2, 1975	46.5
Dec. 7, 1976	49.3
Dec. 16, 1977	52.2
Nov. 30, 1978	53.2
Apr. 2, 1981	55.5
Well WR-35-58-10 Owner: Lonnie Lockrid Altitude: 380 feet Total depth: 31 feet Aquifer: Carrizo	ge
Sept. 20, 1972	18.0
Feb. 17, 1973	15.4
Feb. 8, 1974	11.4

	Dat	e	level		
Wel	1 W	R-35-58 -1 01	Cont.		
Feb.	1 2,	1975	11.8		
Dec.	2,	1975	14.1		
Dec.	8,	1976	14.1		
Dec.	12,	1977	15.5		
Mar.	17,	1981	18.1		
Owner Altit Total Aquif	Wel : L ude: dep er:	1 WR-35-58-20 ynn Simmons 360 feet th: 47 feet Wilcox	01		
Aug.	19,	1972	18.0		
Feb.	17,	1973	15.3		
Feb.	8,	1974	11.8		
Dec.	2,	1975	16.4		
Owner Altiti Total Aquife	Wel : E ude: dep er:	l WR-35-58-40 Imer Parker 500 feet th: 82 feet Wilcox	1		
July	15,	1971	67		
Sept.	20,	1972	69.4		
Feb.	17,	1973	68.6		
Feb.	8,	1974	67.1		
Feb.	12,	1975	66.3		
Dec.	7,	1976	67.0		
Dec.	12,	1977	67.6		
Dec.	1,	1978	68.6		
Mar.	19,	1981	70.0		
Well WR-35-58-501 Owner: Freewill Baptist Church Altitude: 350 feet Total depth: 95 feet Aquifer: Wilcox					
Aug.	23,	1969	30		
Sept.	29,	1972	28.3		
Feb.	17,	1973	23.4		

Date	Water level
Well WR-35-58-501	Cont.
Feb. 12, 1975	20.8
Dec. 2, 1975	26.3
Well WR-35-58 Owner: C. T. White Altitude: 380 feet Total depth: 292 fe Aquifer: Carrizo	-601 eet
Sept. 19, 1972	94.5
Feb. 17, 1973	94.0
Feb. 12, 1975	96.0
Dec. 2, 1975	96.7
Dec. 8, 1976	97.8
Well WR-35-59- Owner: H. B. Flanna Altitude: 458 feet Total depth: 410 fe Aquifer: Wilcox	101 Igan eet
Mar. 18, 1969	180
Sept. 22, 1972	192.3
Feb. 16, 1973	186.3
Feb. 7, 1974	179.8
Feb. 12, 1975	180.6
Dec. 2, 1975	147.5
Well WR-35-59- Owner: Mrs. H. A. G Altitude: 430 feet Total depth: 36 fee Aquifer: Carrizo	201 osset t
Sept. 25, 1972	17.0
Feb. 16, 1973	12.6
Feb. 7, 1974	9.0
Feb. 12, 1975	9.9
Dec. 2, 1975	14.4

	Date	Water level	Dat	<u>e</u>	Water level	[<u>ate</u>		Water level
	Well WR-35-59-8	01	We	11 WR-37-01-50	1	Well	WR	-37-02-8020	ont.
Owner Altit	: Minden School ude: 521 feet	_	Owner: Altitude	New Salem Wate 428 feet	r Supply Corp.	Dec.	12,	1977	151.5
Aqui f	aeptn: 412 ⊤ee er: Wilcox	ι τ	Aquifer:	Queen City		Nov.	30,	1978	148.1
Sept.	22, 1972	182.5	Sept. 1	, 1965	90	Mar.	19,	1981	146.6
Feb.	16, 1973	182.2	Sept. 28	3, 1976	90.2				•
Feb.	8, 1974	183.0	Dec. 8	3, 197 6	93.9	0wner:	wei : Ma	i WK-37-03-50 Sunt Enterpri	se Water
Feb.	12, 1975	181.8	Dec. 12	2, 1977	92.1	Altitu	د :de: dop	557 feet	0 • 1
Dec.	2, 1975	182.8	May 10), 1978	90.1	Aquifa	er:	Wilcox	
Dec.	8, 1976	182.9	June 25	5, 1978	90.3	0ct.	28,	1965	200
Nov.	30, 1978	184.0	Oct. 13	3, 1978	90.7	Sept.	28,	1976	205.0
Mar.	19, 1981	185.5	Dec. 1	, 1978	90.4	Dec.	8,	1976	204.3
	Mall NP_35_50_0	02	Apr. 10), 1979	89.8	Dec.	12,	1977	213.0
Owner Altit	<pre>v: J. G. Spradli ude: 512 feet</pre>	n	Aug. 15	5, 1979	90.0	May	16,	1979	212.4
Total	depth: 480 fee	t	Mar. 15	5, 1980	90.1	Mar.	19,	1981	219.9
Aqui f	fer: Wilcox	48-480 Teet	Dec. 14	4, 1980	90.3	Apr.	З,	1981	198.5
Sept.	22, 1972	178.3	Mar. 19	9, 1981	88.4		11.1	1 UD 37 04 66	11
Feb.	16, 1973	79.0	We	≘11 WR-37-02-30	1	Owner Altit	wei : F ude:	red Anderson 394 feet	1
Feb.	12, 1975	83.2	Owner:	Pine Springs B	aptist Camp	Total Aquifi	dep	th: 315 feet	
Dec.	2, 1975	179.0	Total de	epth: 280 feet		Oct.	1.	1976	29.1
Dec.	8, 1976	178.3	Sout 20	0 1076	125 1	Dec	-, Ω	1076	20 8
Dec.	12, 1977	177.4	Sept. 2:	, 1970	123.1	Dec.	,	1077	23.0
No v	30, 1978	182.1	Dec. 8	3, 1976	125.4	Dec.	12,	1977	28.8
			Dec. 12	2, 1977	126.3	No v .	30,	1978	31.6
Owner Altit Total Aquif	Well WR-37-01-3 Leo Roberts Ude: 390 feet depth: 43 feet Fer: Reklaw Form 20 1076	001 : aation 23.3	June (We Owner: Altitude Jotal de	5, 1979 ell WR-37-02-80 J. W. Davis e: 500 feet enth: 430 feet	130 . 9 12	Owner Altit Total Aquif	Wel : W ude: dep er:	1 WR-37-11-2(. L. Hickman 584 feet th: 59 feet Carrizo	01
Jehr.	· ~	EJ+J	Aquifer	Wilcox		Sept.	28,	1976	47.1
Dec -	8, 1976	20.0	Sept. 29	9, 1976	142.5	Dec.	8,	1976	51.3
June	30, 1977	25.4	Dec. 8	8, 1976	144.9	Dec.	12,	1977	50.8
Dec.	12, 1977	28.6	Julv :	1, 1977	144.0	No v .	30.	1978	51.3
Dec.	1, 1978	30.9	-			Mar.	19,	1981	52.0

CHEROKEE COUNTY

Date	<u>.</u>	Water level	Date	Water level
Wel Owner: R C Altitude: Total dep Completio Aquifer:	1 WR-DJ-37-09 Reklaw Water S Corp. No. 2 300 feet th: 138 feet n înterval: 5 Carrîzo	-101 upply 86-138 feet	Well DJ-37-09-1 Owner: Reklaw Water Corp. No. 1 Altitude: 300 feet Total depth: 639 fee Completion interval: Aquifer: Wilcox	02 Supply t 530-624 feet
Dec. 15,	1970	47.7	May 1965	30
May 18,	1971	46.9	Dec. 15, 1970	23.6
Feb. 6,	1974	43.2	May 18, 1971	23.7
Feb. 19,	1975	45.7	Feb. 6, 1974	26.0
Dec. 5,	1975	54.7	Feb. 19, 1975	26.9
June 20,	1979	47	Dec. 5, 1975	28.0
Apr. 2,	1981	48.4	Dec. 9, 1976	28.8
			Dec. 16, 1977	29.7
			Dec. 1, 1978	30.6

Apr. 2, 1981

31.8

- 112 -

Table 11.--Water-Quality Data for Ground-Water Samples Collected From Wells in Rusk and Cherokee Counties (mg/L--millgrams per liter; μg/l--micrograms per liter; μmhos--micromhos per centimeter at 25°--degrees Celsius)

Note: When no potassium (K) is reported, sodium and potassium are calculated and reported as sodium (Na). Water-bearing units: Tc - Carrizo aquifer; Tcw - Carrizo-Wilcox aquifer; Tq - Queen City aquifer; Tk - Reklaw Formation; and Twi - Wilcox aquifer.

Well	Depth or producing interval (feet)	Water- bearing unit	; Date	Dis- solved silica (SiO ₂) (mg/L)	Dis- solved iron (Fe) (µg/L)	Dis- solved man- ganese (Mn) (µg/l)	Dis- solved cal- cium (Ca) (mg/L)	Dis- solved magne- sium (Mg) (mg/l)	Dis- solved sodium (Na) (mg/1)	Dis- solved potas- sium (K) (mg/L)	Bicar- bonate (HCO3) (mg/L)	Car- bonate (CO3) (mg/L)	Dis+ solved sul- fate (SO ₄) (ng/L)	Dis- solved chlo- ride (C1) (mg/L)	Dis- solved fluo- ride (F) (my/L)	Ni- trate (NO3) (mg/L)	Dis- solved solids (sum of constit- uents (pg/L)	Hard- ness (Ca, Mg) (mg/L)	Per- cent sodium	Resid- ual sodium car- bonate (RSC)	Sodium ad- sorp- Lion ratio {SAR}	Specific conduct- ande (umhos)	pH (units)	Tem- pera-) ture (°C)
Rusk County	435	Twi	6-10-36 ¹ /	·			3	5	167		458		<10	11			411	28						
AUC-22-41 200	337_440	Twi	8-28-412/				8	1.5	140		354		27	8			359	26						
. 20.	25	Tr	1-21-422/	·			2.8	3.6	9		18		10	5.5		4.0	44	17						
30.	378-797	Twi	11-28-311/ 8- 8-412/	/ 			 2.8	2.4	163		421		20 15	330 8			398	15 17						26
30	319-872	Twi	10- 4-412	/			<5	1.5	259		573		31	48		0	622	б						
40	27	Tr	6-15-36 <u>1</u> /	/			14	6	18	·	18		2.8	21			86	34						
50	2 796-831	Twi	8-20-553	/ 15			1.4	.4	243		544	30	18	12			644	5					8.8	
50	5 895 - 1,032	2 Twi	$6-5-36\frac{1}{2}$ 10-6-412	/ =			25 4.4	5 1,2	555 564		634 500	 	20 18	535 575	0.3	2.0	1,452 1,411	83 16						
50	7 900	1wi	10- 8-412	/			2.8	3.6	164		439		15	.5	1.2	0	403	22						
60	i 90	Tc	9-21-724 7-29-774	/ 46 / 82			157 73	40 6	25 13		273 77		323 133	37 26	.3 .1	-4 -4	762 371	560 207	8.90 12.02	0.0 .0	0.4 .3	1,022 475	7.2 7.2	
70	2 240-303	Twi	455 <u>4</u> 5-23-56 <u>3</u>	/	230 80		15 2	4 0	42 332		49 605		64 20	28 112			180 782	54 6	62,90 99,31	.0 9.8	2.4 64.4		6.7 8.5	
70	3 247-330	Тсж	10- 7-41 <u>2</u> 455 <u>4</u> .8-23-83	/	 22 44	 5 3	1) 7 1.2	3.6 3 ! .2	32 46 2 190	 1.0	24 37 480	0 0	61 58 22	20 28 12	0 .2 .5	0 <.4 	140 167 493	42 31 4	 99		 45	780	6.7 8.7	•
70	5 247-863	Twi	3-18-36 <u>1</u>	/				27	107		293		60	28			366	110						
70	7 360	ĩcw	3-18-361	/			36	6	46		134		67	24			245	114						
70	8 705-770	Twi	6- 1-36 <u>1</u>	/			3	4	52		49		62	22			167	22						
80	3 452-535	Twi	10-10-41 <u>2</u> 9-21-724 8-26-77 <u>4</u>	$/ \frac{-}{14}$	 3	 11	14 2 2	$\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{array}$	114 142 128	2.0	317 348 327		16 22 19	5 8 5	 -3. -2	2.0 2.7	305 - 362 337	35 9 7	 97.13 95.98	5.5 5.1	20.4 18.4	557 522	8.3 8,2	
80	4 406-540	Twi	7- 6-61 <u>4</u>	/ 15	2	10	1	0	122	2.0	296		16	б	-1	•0	305	3	99.06	4.8	33.6	497	7.9	
80	7 745-800	Twi	8-23-83	12	28	4	<2		2 220	.9	540	19	14	12	.8		546	2	99		65	910	8.9	26.5
80	8 436-583	Twi	8-23-83					, .	1 120	1.1	280	4	36	7	.3		320		99		37	320	8.4	24.5
80	9 850-870 750-770 720-790	Twi	9-10-795 11-15-795 2- 5-805	/ 14 / 12 / 11	87 <40 240	<100 <50 <50	2 1 2	0	2 339 175 166		720 396 399	24 18 10	10 21 20	70 5 1	1.3 .3 .2	.7 .7 .3	841 <u>6</u> /434 407	6 3 4	 			697 673	8.5 8.4	

See footnotes at end of table.

1

- 113 -

Table 11.--Water-Quality Data for Ground-Water Samples Collected From Wells in Rusk and Cherokee Counties--Continued

	Well	Depth or producing interval (feet)	Water- bearin unit	ng Date	Dis- solved silica (SiO ₂) (mg/L)	Dis- solved fron (Fe) (ug/L)	Dis- solved man- ganese (Mn) (µg/L)	Dis- solved cal- cium (Ca) (mg/L)	Dis- solved magne- sium (Mg) (mg/L)	D1s- solved sodium (Na) (mg/L)	Dis- solved potas- sium (K) (mg/L)	Bicar- bonate (HCO3) (mg/L)	Car- bonate (CO ₃) (mg/L)	Dis- solved sul- fate (SO ₄) {mg/L}	Dis- solved chlo- ride (Cl) (mg/L)	Dis- solved fluo- ride (F) (mg/L)	Ni- trate (NO3) (mg/L)	Dis- solved solids (sum of constit- uents (mg/L)	Hard- ness (Ca, Mg) (mg/L)	Per- cent sodium	Resid- ual sodium car- bonate (RSC)	Sodium ad- sorp- tion ratio (SAR)	Specific conduct- ande (umhos)	pH (units	Tem- pera-) ture (°C)
	WR-35-41-901	427-542	Twi	7- 6-61 <u>4</u> /	13.	1		1	0	154		366		21	8	0.4	0.4	379	1	99.26	5.9	42.4	607		
	904	25	Tr	6- 4-36 <u>1</u> /				60	29					60	146			315	269		•				
	42-202	578-620	Twi	5-17-66 <u>7/</u> 10- 1-764/	10	180		4.8		262 214		586 580	41	4 4	13 8	-4 .4	4	<u>6</u> /609 520	2 12	 97.06	9.2	 24.8	828	8.9 8.2	
	302	22	Tr	12- 3+36 <u>1</u> /	 .							12		44	9			86							
	303	11	Τr	6-22-36 <u>1</u> /				3	12						35			50	58			·			<u>.</u>
	401	527-547	Twi	4-13-65 <u>8</u> /	10	.7		2	0	243	~ *	533	32	27	10			586					970	8.5	
	701	592-661	Twi	2→ 1-65 <u>8</u> /	9	<50		2	0	357		832	26	0	39			853	5				1,360	8.3	
	.801	67 ·	Тс	9-21-72 <u>4/</u> 7-29-77 <u>4</u> /	39 41			16 27	2 1	3 3		40 73		12 7	6 3	.2 .1	.4 4.1	98 122	48 68	11.93 8.36	.0 .0	.1 ,1	115 155	6.5 7,3	
	901	360-402	T⊯i	7-11-79 <u>4</u> /	11			.7	11	140	I.1	340	12	7.2	2.7	•2		354	47	99		8.9	520	8.6	24.0
	43-302	25	Tc	7-12-7 <u>94</u> /	10			5.1	1.6	4.1	1.0	16	0	9.1	7.4	.1		46	19	30		.4	87	5.8	23.0
<u>_</u>	501	179-211	Twi	10- 1-76 <u>4</u> /	31			23	[.] 6	31	3.0	127		34	9	.1	.6	200	80	43.97	.4	1.4	295	7.9	
14	601	54	Tc	9 -26-72 4/ 7-29-77 <u>4</u> /	15 19	100		3 22	1 1	1 2		9 3		4 4	5 3	.1 .1	_4 2.3	33 84	14 57	15.79 6.86	.0 .0	.1 .1	35 119	5.0 7.1	
	44-101	361-391	Twi	8-10-49 <u>4</u> /	15			2		250	4.0	628		. 4	22		3.0	808	5				1,020	8,5	
	401			7-12-79				2.6	1.0		·	\$30	8	7.8	9.4				11	••			740	8.5	23.0
	501	364-406	Twi	10* 1-76<u>4</u>/	31			23	6	31	3.0	127	б	13	57				4				1,200	8.5	24.0
	503	31	Twi	12- 2-361/				4	6	18		б	<u>.</u>	12	40			87	34	·					
	601	387-428	Twi	3- 6-392/ 11- 3-434/ 9-25-724/ 7-29-774/ 7-12-792/	19 12 13 10	230	 	3 2 3 1 1	5 1 1 23	362 336 328 404 350	7,4	610	20	87 7 5 4 9.7	117 143 141 216 150	-8 1.0 1.4 1.0	3.2 .4 .4	882 832 801 982 867	28 6 10 4 97	96.56 97.52 98.4 99.25 99	9.0 10.4 10.0 11.2	29.7 48.4 41.9 68.3 15	1,270 1,630 1,530	8.6 8.3 8.0 8.6	 24.0
	701		Twi	10- 3-66 <u>7</u> / 8-24-83		130		20.0 12	2.9 2.3	144.3 120	2.2	256 340	40.8 0.	34.4 34	21 18	. 4	1	<u>6/394</u> 371 ·	62	63		 8.7	590 548	8.5 8.5	23.0
	801	540-695	Twi	9-10-73 <u>5</u> /	11	190	<20	1	<.5	298		516	31	12	112	1.0	1.5	719	3				1,250	8.6	 '
	802	300-442	Twi	8-25-7 <u>55</u> /	18	<50	.3	20	3	74		195	0	29	23	.2	.6	255	62				424	7.9	
	49-101	21	Ĩr	6-11-36 <u>1</u> /				~-				73		67	41			2 19							
	103	29	Tr	6-11-36 <u>1</u> /				16	18	35				181	18			268	116					· ·	
		at and of	4 × h) +																						

.

See footnotes at end of table.

114 -

Table 11.--Water-Quality Data for Ground-Water Samples Collected From Wells in Rusk and Cherokee Counties--Continued

Well	Depth or producing interval (feet)	Water- bearing unit	g Date	Dis- solved silica (SiO ₂) (mg/l)	Dis- solved iron (Fe) (µg/L)	Dis- solved man- ganese (Mm) (µg/L)	Dis- solved cal- cium (Ca) (mg/L)	Dis- solved magne- sium (Mg) (mg/L)	Dis- solved sodium (Na) (mg/L)	Dis- solved potas- sium (K) (mg/L)	Bicar- bonate (HCO3) (mg/L)	Car- bonate (CO3) (mg/l)	Dis- solved sul- fate (SCq) (mg/l)	Dis- solved chlo- ride (Cl) {mg/L)	Dis- solved fluo- ride (F) (mg/L)	Ni- trate (NO3) (my/L)	Uis- solved solids (sum of constit- uents (mg/L)	Hard- ness (Ca, Mg) (mg/l)	Per- cent sodium	Resid- ual sodium car- bonate {RSC}	Sodium ad- sorp- tion ratio {SAR}	Specific conduct- ande (umhos)	pH (units)	Tem- pera-) ture (°C)
WR-35-49-201	456-516 456-576	Twi	5- 7-38 <u>3/</u> 10- 9-41 <u>2/</u> 8- 9-49 <u>4</u> /	80 14	200 170		4 8.4 1	1,2	71 84 97	 8.4	152 122 142	 	9 81 77	21 17 18	0.1 .1	2,2	385 252 287	10 26 25	 			·	7.8 7.6	•• •-
203	447-578	Twi	10-17-41 <u>2</u> /				6.8	2,4	109		262		38	7.0			293	27				·		
206	860-926	Twi	7-31-785/	12	<\$0	< 50	z	0	367		710	30	o	11.9	1.3	<.1	881	6				1,340	8.7	
209	835-880	Twi	1-19-422/				2.0	7.3	112		299		23	5.5	.8		<u>6</u> /298	35						
302	550-650	ſwi	4-26-74 <u>7/</u> 5-10-74 <u>7</u> /		100 300	.0 .0	2.4 2.4	.5 .0	141 149		320 332	21.6 26.4	5.a	8.0 9.0	.? ,3	.0 .D	336 <u>6</u> /350	8 6				540 600	8.5 8.8	
402	400	Σwi	1-20-422/	·			8.0	7.3	63		140		56	9.5		۰5	213	50						
403	120	Tc	1-20-42 <u>2/</u>	·			18	7.3	3.0		Û		56	16		.5	101	75						
501	350-431	Twi	7- 6-61 <u>2</u> / 7-29-61 <u>2/</u> 9-18-72 4 /	/ 15 / 15 / 14	240 <50		1.5 2.0 3	.4 .3 <1	108 121 115		172 180 215	0 0 0	79 104 · 70	12 9.5 9	.2 .1 .2	0 0 <_4	301 340 317	5 6 7	98 93.13	0 2.8 	21 23.5	481 540 528	7.5 7.0 7.2	
502	530-550	[wi	9-20-72 <u>4</u> /	/ 13			2	2	190		478		32	7	.2	1.5	482	13	96.90	7.5	22.7	735	7.8	
503	350-433	Twi	1-21-42 ² /	·			<3	2.4	124		159		129	14	0	.5	343	10						
509	18	Тс	8-30-365	/		.	32	26	35				260	22		.	375	186						
510) 139-199	Τç	6-20 - 42 <u>4</u> /				22	9.7	25		12		109	19	Û	.5	201	96						
603	655-778	Тиі	7-19-557) 6- 7-657) 7-13-792)	/ 14 / 18 /	400 300	Trace <.5 	6.4 1.8	Trace 31	209.1 185.2 350		412 232 390	38.4 100.8 0	6.0 19.3 7.5	37.0 30.0 36.0	.9 	 	<u>6</u> /510 592	6 18 130				800	8.7 9.5 8.2	25
602	2 605-697	Twi	12-10-742	/	200	30	9.6	5 . 6	66./		149	12.0	8.0	15.2	-1	.0	186	26.	3			300	8.2	
603	3 395-419	Twi	5- 8-40 <u>2</u> 9-18-41 <u>2</u>	/			 2:4	2.7	62 54		142 134		10 . 13	9.0 8	1	0	145 146	4.0 17	0					
703	2 483-911	Twi	6-20-422	/			-4	1.2	346		781		15	69	1.4	2.0	819	6						
80:	1 225-260	ίc	9-10-40 <u>3</u> 9-18-41 <u>2</u> 8- 9-49 <u>4</u> 9-18-724	/ 41 / / 12 / 11	300		1.9 2.4 1 1	9 .4 1 2.5 1 1	209 199 204 207	 14	508 531 543 520	15,6 	1.2 5 4 5	11.5 6 10 12	,95 1.2 .4 1.0	 2-5 -4	<u>6</u> /573 477 515 404	6. 17 4 5	4 94.76 98.55	8.3 13.3	 34.5 35.0	763	8.6 8.7 8.3	
802	2 870	Twi	7- б-б <u>14</u>	/ 13	100		1	_(349		816	22	8.4	55	1.6	1.8	830	2	99.67	13.3	96.l	1,360	8.3	
804	4 730-822	Twi	9-12-687 7-13-792	/	50 		3. 2.	3 . 9 12	5 335		661 730	60 26	14 18	53 46	1.0 	0	<u>6</u> /789	4 52				1,180 1,460	8.6 8.7	27
80	5 7 50- 950	Iwi	1-26-/85	/ 11	<50	<50	2	< 1	605		844	24	0	411	1.9	<.1	469	6				2,490	8.6	

.

See funtnotes at end of table.

- 115 -

Table 11Water-Quality Data for Ground-Water Samples Collected From Wells in Rusk and Cherokee CountiesContinued	
---	--

-

Well	Depth or producing interval (feet)	Water- bearli unit	ng Date	Dis- solved silica (SiO ₂) (mg/L)	Dis- solved iron (Fe) (ug/L)	Dis- solved man- ganese (Mn) (µg/L)	Dis- solved cal- cium (Ca) (mg/L)	Dis- solved magne- sium (Mg) (mg/L)	Dis- solved sodium (Na) (mg/L)	Dis- solved potas- sium (K) (mg/L)	Bicar- bonate (HCO3) (mg/L)	Car- bonate (CO3) (mg/L)	Dis- solved sul- fate (SO ₄) (mg/L)	Dis- solved chlo- ride (Cl) (mg/L)	Dis- solved fluo- ride (F) (mg/L)	Ni- trate (NO3) (mg/L)	Dis- solved solids (sum of constit- uents (mg/L)	Hard- ness (Ca, Mg) (ng/L)	Per- cent sodium	Resid- ual sodium car- bonate (RSC)	Sodium ad- sorp- tion ratio (SAR)	Specific conduct- ande (umhos)	pH (units	Tem- pera-) ture (°C)
WR-35-49-812	890- 1,035	Twi	10-22-805/	11	1.8	<0.5	3	0.5	852		976	D	۵	746	3.9	<0.1	2,137	10				3,090	8.2	
50-101	20	Tc	11-27-36 <u>1</u> /				14	6	13		43		36	11			101	59						
103	32	Tr	11-29-3 <u>61</u> /				487	292	33		33		2,385	110			3,481	2,442						
204	85	Τc	8-14-6 <u>24</u> / 10- 1-64 <u>4</u> /	18 17			261 430	46 89	609 920	 	7 74		15 13	1,499 2,410	.2 .5	20.4 39	2,475 3,960	1,440		<u></u> 		4,430 6,800	5.6 6.4	:
302	49	Тс	9-21-72 <u>4/</u> 2-12-75 <u>4/</u> 7-29-77 <u>4</u> /	53 46 58	 	 	37 33 26	9 3 5	43 48 48	7 7	87 12 28		23 8 16	73 102 90	.4 .4 .3	27 61 28.9	308 314 287	129 93 85	41.96 50,18 49.77	0.0 .0 .0	1.6 2.1 2.0	475 472 438	6.9 6.1 6.6	
303	32	Tc	1-24-36 <u>1</u> /	'			5	4	21		31		22	17			84	27						
401	612-682	Twi	2- 8-74 <u>7</u> /		1.5	.0	1.6	.0	236.8		509	19.2	5.0	45.6	1.0	.0	<u>6</u> /560	4				900	8.2	
403	20	Tc	5-27-3 <u>61</u> /				23	. 4	20		49		40	25			136	72						
501	48	Tc	9-21-7 <u>24</u> /	15			19	2	4		55		4	9	.1	.2.5	82	55	13.52	.0	.2	127	7.0	
502	292-364	Twi	9-25-63 <u>3</u> / 8-22-83	15 21	2 <3	 2	3.6 4.3	8. 8.	57.1 48	1.6	143 130	Ú Q	5.7 16	9 8.3	 .2		174 164	12 14	87		 5.8	240	7.7 7.5	26.5
801	531-611	Twi	4-26-47 <u>3/</u> 11- 3-59 <u>4/</u> 9-22-72 <u>4/</u> 6-30-77 <u>4/</u> 8-22-83	14 14 13 13	400 60 	 	3.3 4 2 2 1.7	1.2 <1 1 1 .3	140.4 97 88 109 130	 	344 210 207 250 340	14.0 0 0 0 0	.4 222 12 8 3.2	8.0 15 16 18 12	 .1 .2 .3	1.1 <.4 .5	380 249 235 275 328	13.2 9 11 8 5	94.46 96.30 98	3.2 3.9	 12.6 15.7 25	415 367 437 540	8.6 8.3 7.6 8.3 8.5	
802	548-736	Twi	4/			- -	2	1	240		363		7	40	.9	.4	950	6	98.28	5.7	34.6	950	8.8	
803	577-588 704-715	Twi	142 <u>2/</u> 142 <u>2/</u>				1,3		282 309	 	571 673		0 0	55 45	0 1.6		982 1,112	3.2						
804	246-257 493-504 600-611 683-694	Twi	242 <u>2/</u> 242 <u>2/</u> 242 <u>2/</u> 242 <u>2/</u>	 			4.7 2.8 2.3	1.1 0 .4 .6	66 308 230 202		172 68B 483 512	• 	2.5 0 6.2 1.9	11 23 40 8.5	.7 1.7 1.8 1.4	 	292 1,116 845 795	16 8.6 8.2	 					
805	15	Twi	10-23-36 <u>1</u> /			-	8		28		73		8	9			89	20						
9 01	419-551	Twi	6-19-36 <u>1</u> / 2-21-47 <u>1</u> / 11- 3-59 <u>4</u> /	15	7	 <.5 <.5	3 4 2	2 4 1	63 63 69		171 171 160	0	<10 8 18	10 11 12	 .1 .1	 <.4 <.4	162 200 181	17 27 7	 94.28				8,1 8,5	
903	488-592	Twi	84 <u>2?/</u> 2-21-47 <u>4/</u> 11- 3-59 <u>4</u> /	13	.4 3.2	 <.5 <.5	5 4 2	2 1 <1	88 97 100	 0	201 250 250	• 	.6 5 17	7 11 - 10 -	.4 .4 .1	.4 <.4 <.4	230 264 252	21 14 7	93.73	3.8	 11.2 14 4	 420	7.9	
ee footnotes	at end of	table,																	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	3.9	14.4	420	0.0	

- 116

. .

,

Table 11.--Water-Quality Data for Ground-Water Samples Collected From Wells in Rusk and Cherokee Counties-Continued

,

_	Well	Depth or producing interval (feet)	Water- bearing unit	g Date	Dis- solved silica (SiO ₂) (mg/L)	Dis- solved iran (Fe) (µg/L)	Dis- solved man- ganese (Mn) (ug/L)	Dis- solved cal- cium (Ca) (mg/L)	Dis- solved magne- sium (Mg) (mg/L)	Dis- solved sodium (Na) (mg/L)	Dis- solved potas- sium (K) (mg/L)	Bicar- bonate (HCO ₃) (mg/L)	Car- bonate (CO ₃) (mg/L)	Dis- solved sul- fate (SO ₄) (m <u>g/L</u>)	Dis- solved chlo- ride (Cl) (mg/L)	Dis- solved fluo- ride (F) (mg/L)	Ni- trate (NO3) (mg/L)	Dis- solved solids (sum of constit- uents (mg/L)	Hard- ness (Ca, Mg) (mg/L)	Per- cent sodium	Resid- ual sodium car- bonate (R <u>SC)</u>	Sodium ad- sorp- tion ratio (SAR)	Specific conduct- ande (umhos)	рН (units	Tent- pera-) ture (°C)
W	R-35-50-904	510-686	Twi	2- 8-54 <u>3</u> / 2- 9-54 <u>4</u> / 11- 3-59 <u>4</u> /	/ 14 / 13	1 1.6 2.8	 <0.5 <.5	1.4 I 2	0,5 1 1	105.3 107 144		232 250 345	18 6 10	0.0 4 9	10 14 12	0.5 .9	<0.4 <.4	<u>6</u> /304 270 336	ն 7 5	97.18 97.17	4.2 5.4	17.7 20.7	560	8,8 8.3 8.7	
	905	410-658	Tw1	2-19-55 <u>3</u> / 11- 3-59 <u>4</u> /	18	100 100		3 4	1 1	98 92		207 198	6 5	21 22	16 14	.1 .1	<.4 <,4	262 246	12 14	94.84 93.42	3.2 2.9	$12.5 \\ 10.6$	410	8.0 8.5	
	906	592-740	Twi	6-22-57 <u>3/</u> 11- 3-59 <u>4/</u> 9-22-72 <u>4/</u> 6-30-77 <u>4/</u>	$\begin{pmatrix} 15\\\\ 14\\ 15 \end{pmatrix}$	400 400		1 2 1 4	3 1 1 1	115 99 104 109	 	256 249 256 271		9 15 11 10	10 	 .2 .2	 -4 .4	278 239 265 279	5 7 13	94.40 95.94 97.16 94.39	3.8 3.8 4.0 4.1	12.9 14.2 17.6 12.6	434 410 432	8.5 7.7 8.2	
	907	530-700	Twi	2- 1-64 <u>3</u> /	/ 19	800		1.3		107.4		268		7.7	7.0			<u>6</u> /298	4.	5				8.2	
	908	510-695 640-665	Twi	11-10-69 <u>5</u> / 9-19-69 <u>5</u> / 8-22-83	/ 13 / 13	160 70		3 4 1.4	1 1	84 84 177		198 204 200		13 11 13	13 12 11	 2	 	224 211 217	12 13 5	 96	 	16	367 356 360	8.4 8.5 8.5	25.1
	909	317-372	Twf	1- 2-705	/ 12	<50		·3	1	84		183		20	17			229	13				374	7.2	
	910	448-558	Twi	6-19-361	/			3	1	90		238		<10	9			220	11				220		
	913	14	Тс	6-15-36 <u>1</u>	/			27	4	12		110		<10	13			110	82						
	51-101	411-520	Tw1	6- 3-775	/ 10	60	<20	2	-5	5 148		376	٥	8	8	.2	.1	353	7				600	8.8	
4	401	470-490 470-570	Twi	7- 4-68 <u>5</u> 7-30-68 <u>5</u> 8-24-83	/ 12 / 11 13	11 <30 23	 9	1 1 .8		5 114 5 133 2 140	 1.3	251 325 330	14 0 6	12 11 12	7 9 6.1	 .4 .3	 ⁵	283 317 342	4 3 3	 99 .		 40	455 515 560	8.5 8.1 8.9	24.8
	502	410-4 9 0	Tw1	9-30-764 7-29-77 <u>4</u> 7-11-792	/ 27 / 26 /	44	15	32 31 29	6 6 6.2	65 70 2		210 229 230		36 38 39	22 23 24	.1 .1 	<_4 <_4	291 307	105 101 98	57.49 59.87	1.3	2.7 3.0	465 477 . 573	8.6 7.8 8.0	 26.0
	503	60	Twi	12- 1-36 ¹	1			3	5	14		37		<10	20			60	28						
	801	710	Tw1	7-12-79	13			.9		2 120	.8	300	14	10	5.7	.2		313	3	99		30	549	8.7	2 5.0
	901	675-738	Twi	2-10-66 <u>7</u> 7-12-79 <u>2</u>	/ 14 / 13	100		2.4 .7		0 245.2 1 270		504 610	4 3 14	9.3 6.8	34.0 52	0 .7		<u>6</u> /598 659	10 2	 99		80	1,080	8.7 8.7	25.0
	903	48	Twi	12- 2-36 <u>1</u>	/			219	159	146		342		312	650			1,654	1,203						
	52-101	. 1 92	Twi	9-25-72 <u>4</u> 6-30-77 <u>4</u> 8-24-83	/ 11 / 11 10	 20		1 1 1.2	1 1 2 <	184 188 4 190	 1.3	470 449 450	14	15 17 18	10 9 9.5	.2 .2 .4	_4 3.2	453 451 466	9 4 5	98.37 98.41 99	7.5	31.1 31.8 42	716 725 740	7.8 8.6 9.1	 21.5
	701	270-302	Twi	6- 30-77 <u>4</u>	/ 24	500		47	8	91		181		152	37	.1	2.3	450	153	56.86	.0	3.2	699	7.8	
	702	29	Twi	10-30-36 <u>1</u>	/			9	5	21		85		<10	14			91	43		·				
	57-203	660-820	Twi	6- 28-74 <u>5</u>	/ 11	120	<20	1	۲.	5 374		783	37	1	64	2.2	1.2	<u>6</u> /870	4				1,600	8.2	

See footnotes at end of table.

- 117 -

•

Table 11.--Water-Quality Data for Ground-Water Samples Collected From Wells in Rusk and Cherokee Counties--Continued

Well	Depth or producing interval (feet)	Water- bearir unit	ig Date	Dis- solved silica (SiO2) (mg/L)	Dis- solved iron (Fe) (µg/L)	Dis- solved man- ganese (Mn) (µg/L)	Dis- solved cal- cium (Ca) (mg/L)	Dis- solved magne- slum (Mg) (mg/L)	Dis- solved sodium (Na) (mg/L)	Dis- solved potas- sium (K) (mg/L)	Bicar- bonate (HCO ₃) (mg/L)	Car- bonate (CU3) (mg/L)	Dis- solved sul- fate (SO4) (mg/L)	Dis- solved chlo- ride (Cl) (mg/L)	Dis- solved fluo- ride (F) (mg/L)	Ni- trate (NO3) (mg/L)	Dis- solved solids (sum of constit- uents (mg/L)	Hard- ness (Ca, Mg) (mg/L)	Per- cent sodium	Resid- ua! sodium car- bonate (RSC)	Sodium ad- sorp- tion ratio (SAR)	Specific conduct- ande (umhos)	рН (units)	Tem- pera-) ture (°C)
WR-35-57-202	1,025- 1,105	Twi	8 -20- 74 <u>5</u> /	12	210	<20	7	2	1,349		867	29	3	1,550	3.4	0.7	<u>6</u> /3,430	25				7,170	8.2	
203	995-1,105	Twi	10- 3-74 <u>5</u> /	12	190	<20	5	´ 1	1,157		888	43	0	1,224	2.6	1.0	<u>6</u> /2,914	17				4,920	8.2	
406	Spring		8~23-83	29	130	12	1.8	.7	11	1.7	8	Ð	2	17	.1		67	7	72	0	2.0	74	5.3	
601	32	Τc	11-12-36 <u>1</u> /				11	6	4		49		8	10			63	54						
802	350-413	Twi	5-31-65 <u>7/</u> 9-30-76 <u>4</u> /	18.4 28	<300		4.0 3	.8 1	61 62		124 151	12.0	10 11	10.0 10	 .1	4	$\frac{6}{165}$	13 10	90.39 92.08	1.7	7.0 7.9	281	8.5 7.7	
803	14	Тс	4-17-36 <u>1</u> /				105	78	51				700	106			1,040	583						
901	315	Twi.	9-19-72 <u>4</u> / 6- 3 0-77 <u>4</u> /	11 13	100		4	1 1	178 185		432 388		29 33	17 13	.6 .6	4	453 438	14 6	95.48 97.78	6.7 6.1	20.6 26.6	716 716	8.4 8.9	
· 58-101	31	Тс	9-20-72 <u>4/</u> 6-30-77 <u>4</u> /	11 11	100		33 37	4 2	11 7		8 4 104		4 4	19 12	.1 .1	27.0 19.3	150 143	97 101	19.49 13.15	0. 0	.4 .3	247 238	7.1 7.6	
102	500-550	Twi	5-31-65 <u>7</u> /	16	170		3.2	.3	204.6		495	24	.6	5.0			<u>6</u> /498	9.4					8.5	
201	47	Twf	9-19-72 <u>4</u> / 7- 1-77 <u>4</u> /	14 12	100		12 12	2 1	2 3		34 29		4 4	5 6	.1 .1	6.0 8.9	61 61	39 36	10.23 16.08	.0 .0	.1 .2	92 95	6.7 6.8	
301	500-600	Twi	4-23-657/	12	80		4.0	Trace	95.3		195	19	10.7	10.0			<u>6</u> /247	10.0					8.7	.
302	29	Twi	11 -26-36<u>1</u>/				28	6	28		110		18	33			167	94						
401	82	Twi	9 -2 0-72 <u>4</u> /	30			43	3	3		73		58	7	.1	1.5	181	121	5.7	.0	.1	254	7.2	
402	450-490	Twí	8- 3-79 <u>2</u> / 8-25-83	15	100	 	2.4 1.8	1 .3	91 81	 1 .9	170 150	9.6 0	22 23	20 16	.1 .2	.1	246 228	10 6	 96		 15	380 390	8.1 8.4	23.8
601	276-292	Тс	9-19-72 <u>4</u> / 7- 1-77 <u>4</u> /	13 13	ō		3 5	1 1	150 155	 	353 362		33 36	11 9	.1 .6	.4 .4	385 397	12 17	96.56 95.31	5.5 5.6	19.1 16.5	606 526	7.9 8.2	
801	24	Ŧwŕ	10-23-36 <u>1</u> /		·				'		120		52	100			335							
59-102	509-593	Twi	1/		60	Ģ	2	.5	213		408	31	61	15	.3	.2	<u>6/5</u> 24	8				730	8.7	
201	36	Twi	7- 6-61 <u>2/</u> 9-25-72 <u>4/</u> 7- 1-77 <u>4</u> /	18 46 48	130 100	 	16 29 33	· 2.8 1 1	5.4 6 7	1.6 	58 96 111	. 0	8.4 4 4	5.0 6 5	.2 .1	.0 .4 2.4	139 155	51 77 85	14.57 14.97	 .0	.3 .2	136 173	5.8 7.1	 •
402	Spring	Тс	10-19-361/				3	2	11		31		<10	11			42	17						
601	224-242	Twi	7- 1-77 <u>4</u> /	11	100		1	. I	195		388		60	16	.2	_4	475	5	98.46	6.2	33.0	766	87	
701	28	Twi	11-26-3 <u>61</u> /				1	10	42		67		26	35			147	41						
801	382-412	Twi	7- 6-61	21			36	13	57	- -	266		19	19	.1	1.2	. 297	144	46.38	1.4	2.0	499	7.0	
See footnotes	at end of	table.																- · ·			2.00	- 22		

- 118 -

Table 11.--Water-Quality Data for Ground-Water Samples Collected From Wells in Rusk and Cherokee Counties--Continued

							zuany e							•										· · · ·
₩ei]	Depth or producing interval (feet)	Water- bearing unit) Date	Dis- solved silica (SiO ₂) (mg/L)	Dis+ solved iron (Te) (ug/L)	Dis- solved man- ganese (Mn) (µy/L)	Dis- solved cal- cium (Ca) (mg/L)	Dis- solved magne- sium (Mg) (mg/L)	Dis- solved sodium (Na) (mg/L)	Dis- solved potas- sium (K) (mg/L)	Bicar- bonate (HCO3) (mg/L)	Car- bonate (CO3) (mg/L)	Dis- solved sul- fate (SCq) (mg/L)	Dis- solved chlo- ride (Ci) (mg/L)	Dis- solved fluo- ride (F) (mg/L)	Ni- trate (NO3) (mg/L)	Dis- solved solids (sum of constit- uents (mg/L)	Hard~ ness (Ca, Mg) (mg/L)	Per- cent sodium	Resid- ual sodium car- bonate (RSC)	Sodium ad- sorp- tion ratio (SAR)	Specific conduct- ande (µmhos)	рН (units	Ten- pera) ture (°C)
WR-35-59-802	530-601	īwi	10- 1-667/		130		2.4	1.5	175.2		339	46	19.8	13.0	0.6	0.6	<u>6</u> /421	12				620	8.9	
902	448 -480	Twi	9-22-724/ 7- 1-77 <u>4</u> /	13 12	100		1 3	1 1	115 118		298 298		10 12	5 5	.1 .1	.4 1.9	292 299	б 10	97.42 95.67	4.7 4.6	19.4 15.0	4 60 467	7.9 8.3	
60-101	190	Twi	7-29-6 <u>14</u> /	13	0		9	4	76		202		20	10	.1	2.2	233	38	80.95	2.5	5.3	390	7.3	
701	32	Twi	10-12-36 <u>1</u> /	·							73		851	790			2,500							
37-01-105	23	Tr	11- 2 - 36 <u>1</u> /				5	4	10		24		16	9			56	27						
301	43	Tr	9-29-76 <u>4</u> / 6-30-77 <u>4</u> /	24 20	100		5 3	9 6	10 7		1 1		4 4	20 14	.3 ,1	56.0 35.9	128 90	50 33	30.53 32.13	0. 0.	.6 .5	180 124	5.9 5.3	
501	217-280	Tq	10-12-65//	16	160		7	2	97		156	0	80	17.0	.2	.3	<u>6</u> /297	26	89.14	2.0	8.3		7.3	
701	4,100		10-12-361/	·							73	 ·	851	790			2,500							
803	30	Tr	10-22-361/	'			1	4	14		61		<10	9			64	32		 '				
901	23	Tr	10-22-361/	′			21	64	38		281		40	90	-		391	315						
02-101	202	Twi	10-23-36 <u>1</u> /	ʻ			7	4	8		49		<10	6			49	32						19.5
102	152	Тс	1.0-22-361/	·			2	1	10		31		21	6			34	11			,			20
201	144	Τc	7- 6-61 <u>4</u> /	/ 18	4,600		16	3	5	1.6	58	0	8	5	.2	0	89	51	16.68	0	.3	136	5.8	
206	280	Twi	10 -23 -36 <u>1</u> /	·			17	11	38		183		<10	13			169	87						19.5
301	230-280	Twi	9 -28- 76 <u>4</u> / 7- 1-77 <u>4</u> /	/ 16 / 14	1,900		3 3	1 1	80 82	1.0	205 201		11 11	5 5	.1 .1	2.0 <.4	219 217	12 9	93.11 93.89	3.1 3.0	10.2 10.4	344 342	8.7 8.4	
501	33	Tr	10-27 -36 1/				29	28			183		<10	12			159	187			••			
601	24	Tr	10-23-36 <u>1</u> /	·			257	93	10				967	46			1,373	1,022						
701	962- 1,067	lwi	8-25-83	14			<1	.2	380	1.2	820	23	<4	92	2.0		920	3	99		101	1,460	8.9	28.0
801	630-820	Twi	9-27-724/	/ 12			2	2	230		590		4	10	,3	.4	550	11	97.42	9.4	27.5	870	8.6	·
802	410-430	Twi	9-28-76 <u>4</u> / 7- 1-77 <u>4</u> /	/ 18 / 16	 4		5 4	3 2	52 52		135 127		16 16	7 7	.1 ,1	3.0 3.0	170 163	22 18	82.01 86.13	1.7	4.5 5.3	259 255	8.0 7.5	
904	Spring		8-25-83	52	1,700	21	б	4	8		21	0	30	б	.1		121	30	31	0	.7	137	5.9	18.7
03-10	55	Τc	10 -2 2-361	/			11	4	11		67		<10	7			66	42						
203	414-474	Twi	4-17-79 <u>7</u>	/	20	U	8.0	, c	107		214	11,4	40.9	13.5	.3	0	<u>6</u> /287	23				420	8.2	
202	310- 3 60	Twi	4-17-79 <u>7</u> / 8-26-83	14	20 7	0 42	20.0) 2.9) 2.4	76.7 65	 22	135 180	18.6 0	57.6 40	19.2 23	.05 <.2	0 	<u>6</u> / 262 243	62 5	72		4.1	400 400	8 8.3	22.4

See footnotes at end of table.

- 119 -

- - - -

Table 11Water-Quality Data	for Ground-Water Samples Collecte	d From Wells in Rusk and Cherokee	CountiesContinued
----------------------------	-----------------------------------	-----------------------------------	-------------------

k/ell	Depth or producing interval (feet)	Water- bearing unit) Date	Dis- solved silica (SiO ₂) (mg/L)	Dis- solved iron {Fe) (µg/L)	Dis- solved man- ganese (Mn) (yg/L)	Dis- solved cal- cium (Ca) (mg/L)	Dfs- solved magne- sium (Mg) {mg/L <u>)</u>	Dfs- solved sodium (Na) (mg/L)	Dis- solved potas- sium {K} (mg/L}	Bicar- bonate (NCO3) (mg/l)	Car- bonate (CO ₃) (mg/L)	Dis- solved sul- fate (SO4) {mg/L)	Dis- solved chlo- ride (Cl) {mg/L)	Dis- solved fluo- ride (F) (mg/L)	Ni- trate (NO3) (mg/L)	Dis- solved solids (sum of constit- uents (mg/L)	Hard- ness (Ca, Mg) (mg/L)	Per- cent sodium	Resid- ual sodium car- bonate (RSC)	Sodium ad- sorp- tion ratio (SAR)	Specific conduct- ande (µmhos)	pH (units)	Tent- pera-) ture (°C)
WR-37-03-302	34	Tc	9-29-76 <u>4</u> / 7- 1-77 <u>4</u> /	14 12	300	•	11 7	8 1	8 7	4.0	68 23		6 7	13 10	0.1 <.1	2.0 1.2	99 56	60 21	21.00 41.37	0.0 -0	0.4	17 2 94	8.1 6.4	19 19
501	210	Tr	7- 5-61 <u>4</u> /	54	0		5	3	6	5.0	6		26	7	.1		109	24	29.48	.0	.5	107	4.9	
502	390-470	1wi	10-26-657/ 9-29-764/ 7- 1-774/ 7-13-79 <u>2</u> /	8 19 16 17	190 590		10 19 11 10	4 5 5 5_9	27 24 24 55	5.0 5.0 4.7	42 52 26 84		40 62 66 64	17 15 14 20	 .1 .1	 .4 .7	128 175 160 218	42 66 49 49	58.65 41.24 48.96 68	.0 .0 .0	1.8 1.2 1.5 3.4	266 242 402	6.2 7.1 6.3 6.9	 25.0
901	54	Twi	10-20-36 <u>1</u> /				33	30	1		244		<10	7			191	208						
0 4 -401	375-435	Tc	8-18-657/ 10-11-65	10 	500		34,4 	15.1 	31.9 		95.2 	0	100	25		25	<u>6/263</u>	148.0					6.7	
402	31	Te :	10-19-36 <u>1</u> /				3	4	13		31		<10	18			53	22	•-					
601		Τc	9-29-76 <u>4/</u> 7- 1-77 <u>4</u> /	14 13	 0		2 2	1 <1	115 117		265 271		18 16	10 10	-1 -1	1.4 1.0	292 293	7 6	96.48 96.54	4.1 4.2	16.5 16.8	472 462	8.5 8.3	
901	220-262	1wi	6- 3-77 <u>7</u> /		120	0	15.2	. 7.9	139.3		322.1	9.6	24.5	48	0	0	<u>6</u> /403	70.3				675	8.3	
0 9-2 01	3,000		10-26-36 <u>1</u> /				4	18	416		1,042		<10	95			1,046	86						27
1 0- 101	60	tc :	10-28-36 <u>1</u> /				7	4	35		45		2	51			118	32				• • •		
103	372-395	Twi	3-30-422/		4,5						281		71	25			<u>9</u> /310							
11-201	59	Tc	9-27-76 <u>4</u> /	14			42	1	4		122		4	9	.1	.4	134	109	7.39	.0	1	234	7 2	
202	65	Τ¢	9-29-7 <u>64/</u> 7- 1-77 <u>4</u> /	17 18	500		24 15	1	3 3		74 41		6 4	5 6	•1 •1	.4 .8	92 68	67 40	9.25 13.57	.0 .0	.1 .2	153 104	7.2 5.8	
12-302	260-310	Twi	8-18-657/	11	100		33.6.	7.8	92.8		302.6	Ð	20.6	34.0	.05	.025	<u>6</u> /349	116.0					8.0	
Cherokee Cou DJ-37-09-101	<u>nty</u> 86-138	Tc 3	10-18-65 <u>4</u> / 12-15-70 <u>4</u> /	 20	5 6.1	<.5 <.5	8 3	4 2	8 8		13		33 22	6 7	<.1 <.1	<.4 <.4	$\frac{10}{10}$	38 14	 			130 83	6.5 ·	
102	530-624	Twi	4-22-65 <u>4</u> /		.8	<.5	2	1	510	 .	990	24	. 5	170	2.6	<.4	<u>10</u> /1 ,2 18	10				2,189	8.6	

Chemical analyses by Works Progress Administration.
 Chemical analyses by U.S. Geological Survey.
 Chemical analyses by Curtis Laboratories.
 Chemical analyses by Texas State Department of Health.
 Chemical analyses by Edna Wood Laboratories.
 The bicarbonate reported is converted to carbonate and the carbonate rigure is used in the calculation of this sun.
 Chemical analyses by Microbiology Laboratories.
 Estimated.

120 4

.

Well	Depth or producing interval (feet)	Date	Dis- solved arsenic (As)	Dis- solved barium (Ba)	Dis- solved cadmium (Cd)	Dis- solved chro- mium (Cr)	Dis- solved copper (Cu)	Dis- solved lead (Pb)	Dis- solved lithium (Li)	Dis- solved mercury (Hg)	Dis- solved sele- nium (Se)	Dis- solved silver (Ag)	Dis- solved zinc (Zn)
WR-35-41-703	240-330	8-23-83	1	5	<1	<10	10	2	24	0.7	· <1	<1	8
807	745-800	8-23-83	1	16	<1	<10	1	2	24	.7	<1	<1	5
808	436-583	8-23-83							19			**	
44-701	555	8-24-83						÷-	34				
50-502	292-364	8-22-83	 ,						19				
801	531-611	8-22-83							20				
57-406	Spring	8-23-83	<1	67	8	60	40	28	19	<.1	<1	<1	300
37-02-904	Spring	8-25-83	1	38	3	<10	1	13		•1	<1	<1	17
03-202	484	8-26-83	<1	170	<1	<10	<1	1	21	.01	<1	<1	9

.

Table 12.--Concentrations of Metals and Trace Elements in Water From Wells and Springs in Rusk County

,

•





Base from U.S. Seviogícal Survey topographíc quaárangias

Ľ





.

,
\$

. .

.