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## THE BUSINESS SITUATION IN TEXAS

Robert B. Williamson

Economic conditions in Texas and the nation as a whole during the early fall of 1968 continued to reflect rapid growth and to show a good performance in terms of some other major economic objectives, such as full employment and the assurance of minimum levels of living and economic opportunities for an increasing proportion of the population. Even the nation's balance of payments registered a small surplus during the third quarter, the first surplus since the second quarter of 1965. The economic objective being served the least advantageously was price stability. A few of the most recent trends and short-run forecasts point to somewhat slower gains in economic activity, accompanied by a slight lessening of inflationary pressures during the next few months; but the generally accepted outlook is for the pace of economic growth and inflation twelve months from now to be little different from the rates recorded during most of 1968.

The index of Texas business activity computed by the Bureau of Business Research from bank debits to demand deposits in the state's largest cities was at a record high during Octqber and was rising at about the same rate as in the spring six months earlier. During the first ten months of 1968 the index of Texas business activity showed an annual growth rate of 14 percent.

Industrial production in Texas grew at a somewhat slower pace during the first ten months of 1968 than did total business activity, and the trend of Texas industrial production has been about level in the past few months. Even so, the growth rate for the year has been greater than that for national industrial output. The nearly sidewise movement in Texas industrial output during October
was accompanied by a fractional decline in industrial elec-tric-power use and by slight increases in manufacturing employment and average hours of work per factory employee and in crude-oil production and refining. The national climate appears to be favorable for industrial production, especially for manufacturing, for the next several weeks. Total output was back on an upward trend during October. In the important automobile industry production schedules remained high despite some slowing of new-car sales during October and early November. Sales during mid-November, however, showed new strength. Steel output apparently has resumed its growth and new orders to durable-goods manufacturers as a group have been rising faster than shipments during the past few months.

Production in agriculture is also registering selective gains and national net farm income for 1968 is expected to be higher than last year, although not as high as the 1966 level. Texas grain production is forecast to share in a projective national increase despite an expected decline in the Panhandle's grain-sorghum crop due to bug infestation and hail damage during the summer. The number of cattle on feed lots has been trending upward in the state in response to increases in beef prices and declines in feed costs.

Business investment demands have provided a relatively firm support to general economic activity in the nation thus far during 1968, although the projected 4.4 -percent national increase in expenditures for new plant and equipment for the year is below earlier expectations. Business inventory investment throughout the nation in the first three quarters of 1968 averaged 13 percent higher than in 1967. Comparable state data are not available, but data on
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NOTE: Shaded areas indicate periods of decline of total business activity in the United States. SOURCE: Based on bank debits reported by the Federal Reserve Bank of Dallas and adjusted for seasonal variationand changes in the price level by the Bureau of Business Research.
the value of urban permits for private nonresidential buildings in Texas indicate a decline in this type of investment spending in the state during the first ten months of 1968. Nationally this component of investment spending shared in the general increase. The Texas index of total nonresidential building authorizations, including both public and private buildings, registered an improvement during the month of October; but for the January-October period it averaged 9 percent below the level a year earlier, largely because of declines for industrial plants and educational buildings. Since these permit figures do not include building activity outside the city limits, they often seriously understate the level of industrial plant construction within the state, and they undoubtedly sometimes fail to indicate the true direction of changes in the state total of industrial construction. The same statement applies also to other types of nonresidential buildings, but the degree of undercoverage is generally less for the other types.

The prospects for future levels of new-plant and equipment spending, according to a private national survey conducted this fall, indicate a fairly flat trend between now and mid- 1969 to be followed by a fairly sharp rise, which will increase total spending in 1969 to about 8 percent over the 1968 level. The greatest relative increases are planned by nonautomotive transportation equipment manufacturers, including aerospace companies, and by building-materials producers. Such strength in investment plans is somewhat surprising in view of the current status of factors presumed to influence business investment. The use of production capacity nationally had declined at last report to a little more than 80 percent, which is the lowest level in over five years. At the same time, the interest costs of financing investments are high; the growth of corporate profits has slowed; and the new surtax has raised corporate and personal tax levels. These seemingly adverse influences apparently are being offset or minimized by other considerations. For one, an operating rate of over 80 percent is still high, and because of the steady gains in business during the past few years, investment spending is not affected as much by short-run changes in operating rates as was once the case. In some instances utilization of plant capacity is being held back, not by low demand, but by a shortage of operating workers. These worker shortages plus rising wages provide a stimulus to labor-saving investments. Also encouraging to business investment are the anticipations of further sales growth and higher capi-tal-equipment costs later on. Some reports also suggest that businessmen generally are counting on a slowing of the war in Vietnam and a reduction or elimination of the surtaxes which are scheduled to expire next June 30 .

Private investment spending for residential construction has provided an especially important contribution to total demand in Texas during 1968. For the first ten months of the year the value of urban permits issued for residential building in Texas was 29 percent higher than a year earlier. Leading this growth was a near doubling in the value of authorizations for apartment buildings. The corresponding growth for one-family dwellings was a modest 5 percent. The seasonally adjusted index of residential building authorizations in Texas continued to rise in October and surpassed the record high set in July to reach a new record high equal to 182 percent of the 1957-1959 base-period
average. This latest gain was led by one-family dwellings. Apartment-building authorizations decreased from September but remained well above the year-ago level. New private-housing starts throughout the nation have not demonstrated quite as much push as the indicators of new-


BUSINESS-ACTIVITY INDEXES FOR 20 SELECTED TEXAS CITIES (Adjusted for seasonal variation-1957-1959 = 100)

| Index | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Oct } \\ & 1968 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Sep } \\ 1968 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Year-to-date } \\ & \text { average } \\ & 1968 \end{aligned}$ | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Oct } 1968 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Sep } 1968 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Year-to-date } \\ \text { average } \\ 1968 \\ \text { from } \\ 1967 \end{gathered}$ |
| Abilene .. | . 143.3 | 134.6 | 134.2 | 6 | 2 |
| Amarillo | . 215.0 | 200.6 | 192.0 | 7 | 14 |
| Austin .... | . 361.9 | 324.8 | 266.1 | 11 | 30 |
| Beaumont | . 198.1 | 192.1 | 190.7 | 3 | 2 |
| Corpus Christi | . 161.0 | 141.4 | 155.6 | 14 | 10 |
| Corsicana . | . 162.6 | 160.4 | 160.2 | 1 | 8 |
| Dallas .. | . 302.9 | 266.9 | 259.7 | 13 | 18 |
| El Paso | ...158.7 | 142.1 | 139.2 | 12 | 5 |
| Fort Worth | .181.4 | 187.4 | 169.6 | - 3 | 16 |
| Galveston | .117.3 | 139.8 | 129.1 | $-16$ | 12 |
| Houston .... | . 260.9 | 247.9 | 234.9 | 5 | 13 |
| Laredo | . 230.9 | 208.5 | 216.6 | 11 | 13 |
| Lubbock | .176.7 | 179.1 | 160.3 | - 1 | 2 |
| Port Arthur | . 114.6 | 111.9 | 112.8 | 2 |  |
| San Angelo | .163.7 | 161.1 | 157.4 | 2 | 9 |
| San Antonio | . .216.0 | 194.7 | 195.6 | 11 | 15 |
| Texarkana | . 259.1 | 245.3 | 235.4 | 6 | 12 |
| Tyler | . 182.6 | 166.6 | 159.7 | 10 | 9 |
| Waco .. | . 194.7 | 174.8 | 173.9 | 11 | 10 |
| Wichita Falls | . 161.4 | 184.9 | 138.6 | 20 | 6 |

home construction for the state. National starts have been on a level trend since early in the year and showed a slight decline during October. The maintenance of national starts during the summer at around a $1.5-$ million annual rate was surprising to many observers, however.

Government fiscal and monetary policy actions are potentially very important to business trends and prospects at both state and national levels. Largely because of monetary policy restraints, coupled with federal government deficit financing, credit conditions have tightened again
during recent months. Most short- and long-term interest rates have been trending up after their summer declines. Another indication of restraint is the fact that the money supply was permitted to grow at an annual rate of only about 2 percent during the three months ending in October, in contrast with the much more expansive rate of nearly 9 percent in the second quarter. Two other restraints, the federal government's surtax and cuts in expenditure, have helped to reduce the potential stimulus from government fiscal policy. One unofficial estimate placed the fed-

INDUSTRIAL PRODUCTION TEXAS*


INDUSTRIAL PRODUCTION, MINERALS, TEXAS*


* tneludea cende-oil ind notural-gaf prodiction.

NOTE Shaded areas indicate perioda of dectine of total business activity ia the Liniter Steted.


INDI STRIAL PRODLCTION, UTILITIES, TEXAS


INDUSTRIAL PRODUCTION TOTAL MANUFACTURES, TEXAS


## INDUSTRIAL PRODUCTION

DURABLE MANUFACTURES, TEXAS


INDUSTRIAL PRODUCTION NONDURABLE MANUFACTURES, TEXAS

eral government deficit on a national income-accounts basis at $\$ 3.4$ billion in the third quarter, compared with $\$ 10.2$ billion in the second quarter. Growing surpluses are projected for the remainder of the current fiscal year, which ends next June 30 . On the expenditure side the present budget calls for a leveling of federal government spending with a possible decline during the first half of 1969. The increasingly restrictive monetary and fiscal policies and the inevitable lag in their effects are the principal reasons for the general expectation of a slowing in overall economic growth between now and mid- 1969 .

Government policies are a major factor of uncertainty at the present time, however, because of the impending change of administrations and because of the possibility of a large cutback in military spending should negotiations on the Vietnamese conflict prove successful. The now expected resurgence of economic growth in the second half of 1969 might be held back by the Nixon Administration to contain inflation and to protect the nation's balance-of-payments position. An end to the war in Vietnam could result in a savings of as much as 20 billion dollars per year in military spending, but the economic effects of such a reduction in spending would be minimized by the probable spreading of any reduction in spending over several months and the expected offsetting increases in other military and nonmilitary spending by the government and the private sector.

The result of the autonomous spending increases for various types of private investment and for government programs has been to raise total employment, personal income, and consumer spending throughout the nation and within the state. Nonfarm employment in Texas during the first ten months of 1968 averaged 5 percent higher than a year ago, an unusually high rate of growth. As a consequence, Texas unemployment was reduced to only 2.4 percent of the civilian labor force in October, which is slightly less than the rates of the previous month and a year earlier. The largest year-to-year increases in employment within the state as of October were within the large government and services-industry groups. The next largest increase was in manufacturing, with nearly half of that increase occurring in aircraft and other transportation equipment manufacturing. The personal income total in Texas during the first three quarters of the year grew at an estimated annual rate of around 10 to 12 percent. Employment and income growth trends for the nation have been more moderate with the personal-income growth for the nation ranging around 8 to 9 percent during the same nine-month period. Available personal-income data for the nation for the month of October indicate a slowing in the growth rate.

Consumer spending was maintained at high levels in both the nation and the state during the summer, despite the imposition of the federal surtax on personal income beginning in July. The main impact of the surtax on consumers appeared initially to be a reduction in their savings rate. By September and October, however, retailsales trends began to soften, with the result that the seasonally adjusted trend of retail sales for the nation was about level from July to October. Texas retail sales in October were up on an unadjusted basis, but if seasonally adjusted totals were available, they probably would show
a decline in Texas retail sales from September to October. The important automotive category showed a month-tomonth sales gain well below the normal increase for October and several major categories of nondurable goods stores likewise registered below normal seasonal gains for the month.
The outlook for consumer spending over the next several months is not especially bullish. Purchases of automobiles and some other consumer durable goods were at abnormally high levels during the third quarter of 1968, due in part to buying done to beat expected price increases. These sales probably borrowed from future sales to some extent. Not only is the surtax apparently beginning to have an effect on consumer spending, but taxation will be even more discouraging to consumer spending after the first of the year. Social security taxes increase January 1 and many taxpayers will have to pay the retroactive part of the personal-income surtax by next April 15. The surtax became effective April 1, 1968, but withholding rates were not increased until July, 1968. The latest surveys of consumer attitudes and spending plans reinforce the prospect for a slowdown in consumer spending. The largest cutbacks in purchasing plans for the first half of 1969 occur in purchases of new automobiles and new homes.

Serious inflationary pressures have been one result of the strong economic demands experienced thus far during 1968. Available data for consumer prices indicate that the annual rate of increase in the cost of living has been around 4 percent in both the nation and the state dur-

SELECTED BAROMETERS OF TEXAS BUSINESS (Indexes-Adjusted for seasonal variation-1957-1959 = 100)

| Index $\begin{array}{r}\text { Oct } \\ 1968\end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Sep } \\ 1968 \end{gathered}$ | Year-to-date average 1968 | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Oct } 1968 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Sep } 1968 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Year-to-date } \\ \text { average } \\ 1968 \\ \text { from } \\ 1967 \end{gathered}$ |
| Texas business activity 245.5 * | 216.1* | 217.2 | 14 | 14 |
| Crude-petroleum production ...........109.0* | 108.6* | 113.7 | ** | 14 |
| Crude-oil runs to stills 129.2 | 128.6 | 132.1 | ** | 6 |
| Total electric-power use 229.5* | 239.0* | 224.7 | - 4 | 9 |
| Industrial electric-power use .....................205.8* | 207.2* | 200.8 |  | 9 |
| Bank debits ..........267.9 | 235.7 | 235.7 | 14 | 17 |
| Sales of ordinary life insurance ............264.6 | 223.1 | 223.5 | 19 | 17 |
| Building construction authorized ............209.6 | 171.2 | 171.8 | 22 | 10 |
| New residential ...... 182.0 | 167.8 | 153.5 | 8 | 29 |
| New nonresidential .. 253.0 | 160.4 | 199.4 | 58 | - 9 |
| Total industrial production ...........169.4* | 168.9* | 167.9 | ** | 8 |
| Miscellaneous freight <br> car-loadings in S.W. <br> District .............. 87.4 | 83.0 | 84.9 | 5 | 3 |
| Total nonfarm employment .........140.4* | 139.3* | 138.0 | 1 | 5 |
| Manufacturing employment ..........145.7* | 145.1* | 144.1 | ** | 6 |
| Total unemployment .. 67.8 | 71.6 | 71.1 | - 5 | 3 |
| Insured unemployment ..42.6 | 38.8 | 41.5 | 10 | $-15$ |
| Average weekly earningsmanufacturing ......141.6* | 139.9* | 138.3 | 1 | 8 |
| Average weekly hoursmanufacturing ......100.7* | 100.4* | 101.0 | ** | ** |

ing 1968. The increase in the consumer price index in September, however, slowed somewhat. Wholesale prices also weakened in August and after another increase in September held steady during October. The weakness in wholesale prices was due largely to declines in farm and food prices. Industrial prices continued upward across a broad front through October. Most forecasts call for another increase in consumer prices during 1969 at around the current 4 -percent rate.

The standard economic forecast for 1969 that is beginning to emerge points to a slowing in economic activity from now until mid-1969 with a strong resurgence during the second half of next year. For 1969 as a whole GNP is expected to total around $\$ 915$ billion, compared with the


CONSUMER PRICES IN THE UNITED STATES


BANK DEBITS. TEXAS


PRELIMINARY ESTIMATES OF TOTAL RETAIL SALES
(Unadjusted)

|  | Percent change |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Oct Type of store 1968 $p^{*}$ (millions of dollars) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Oct } 1968 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Sep } 1968 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Oct } 1968 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Oct } 1967 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Jan-Oct } 1968 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Jan-Oct } 1967 \end{aligned}$ |
| Total ..............1,675 | 7 | 12 | 11 |
| Durable grods \# ..... 656 | 15 | 19 | 18 |
| Nondurable goods ....1,019 | 3 | 9 | 7 |

p Preliminary.

* Bureau of Business Research estimates based on data from the Bureau of the Census.
\# Contains automotive stores, furniture stores, and lumber, buildingmaterial, and hardware dealers.

RETAIL-SALES TRENDS BY KIND OF BUSINESS (Unadjusted)


DURABLE GOODS

| Automotive stores $\dagger$...... 316 | 44 | 18 | 20 | 18 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Motor-vehicle dealers .. 184 |  | 19 | 20 | 18 |
| Furniture and householdappliance stores $\dagger$... 141 | 15 | -4 | 1 | 12 |
| Furniture stores ...... 88 |  | 1 | $-2$ | 11 |
| Lumber, building-material, and hardware dealers 199 | ** | 15 | 28 | 20 |
| Farm-implement <br> dealers ............. 20 |  | 28 | 35 | 17 |
| Hardware stores ...... 52 |  | ** | 7 | 11 |
| Lumber and buildingmaterial dealers ..... 127 |  | 15 | 30 | 22 |

NONDURABLE GOODS

| Apparel stores .......... 255 | 15 | 3 | 8 | 7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Family clothing stores 41 |  | 10 | 13 | 2 |
| Men's and boys' clothing stores ................ 53 |  | 7 | 12 | 14 |
| Shoe stores ........... 50 |  | $-5$ | 1 | 7 |
| Women's ready-to-wear stores ................ 86 |  | 1 | 7 | 8 |
| Other apparel stores .. 25 |  | 13 | 9 | 13 |
| Drugstores ............ 161 | 4 | 4 | 6 | 6 |
| Eating and drinking places $\uparrow$. ............. 188 | -1 | - 3 | 5 | 5 |
| Restaurants ......... 93 |  | -4 | 5 | 6 |
| Food storest . ........... 203 | ** | 1 | 6 | 8 |
| Groceries (without meats) .............. 64 |  | -4 | 6 | 6 |
| $\begin{array}{cl}\text { Groceries (with } \\ \text { meats) } & \ldots . . . . . . . . .131\end{array}$ |  | 2 | 6 | 2 |
| Gasoline and service stations .... 1007 | 5 | $-3$ | 10 | 11 |
| General-merchandise <br> stores $\dagger$............... 217 | 20 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| Full-line stores . ...... 124 |  | 4 | 17 | 15 |
| Dry-goods stores ...... 50 |  | $-1$ | 8 | 5 |
| Department stores .... 43 |  | 13 | 10 | 12 |
| Other retail stores $\dagger$.... 245 | -4 | 9 | 15 | 8 |
| Florists ............. 53 |  | 17 | 17 | 13 |
| Nurseries ........... 18 |  | 12 | 31 | 11 |
| Jewelry stores ........ 33 |  | -7 | 4 | 8 |
| Liquor stores ........ 21 |  | 6 | 8 | 3 |
| Office-, store-, and school-supply dealers 35 |  | 5 | 18 | 8 |

* Percent change of current month's seasonal average from preceding month's seasonal average.
† Includes kinds of business other than classifications listed.
** Change is less than one half of 1 percent.
expected total of $\$ 860$ billion for 1968 . This would represent a gain only about 2 percentage points less than the nearly 9 -percent increase expected for the current year. Some details of the standard forecast are a higher level of unemployment, continued inflation at about the 1968 rate, federal spending up by a very little, state and local government expenditures increasing by about 10 percent, total consumer spending up but with automobile purchases down from 1968, business plant-and-equipment investment spending up between 4 and 8 percent, business inventory spending down from the 1968 levels, and residential building up moderately. Although a few forecasters expect an actual recession between now and the middle of 1969, the generally accepted outlook is for nothing worse than a slowing of economic growth during the next several months followed by rapid acceleration during the last half of 1969.



## TOTAL NONAGRICULTURAL EMPLOYMENT. TEXAS



TOTAL UNEMPLOYMENT, TEXAS


TOTAL ELFCTRIC-POWER USE, TEXAS


AVERAGE WEEKLY HOURS MANUFACTERING INDUST RIES. TEXAS


MANLFACTURING EMPLOYMENT, TEXAS


INSURED UNEMPLOYMENT, TEXAS


# TEXAS CIVIL AIRPORTS: A GROWING NEED 

By Max Daggett, Jr.*

On a recent flight to San Antonio's International Airport the author was forced to put his private craft in line behind five other approaching airplanes to land, even with parallel runways in use. Thus, the basic problem at Texas' few major airway hubs-Dallas, Houston, San Antoniois heavy traffic in airplanes, automobiles, people, and cargo, a problem created by success. The smaller hubs and nonairline airports, in varying degrees, are still faced with the contrasting problem of developing enough traffic to enjoy a first or fuller taste of success.

Yet the big, and urgent, problem in Texas is providing airport services for the rapidly growing use of aircraft, commercial and private. The towns which can provide airport service will be the towns which will profit from the certain and rapid increase in air transportation of all types.

These towns will possess a decided advantage in attracting new industry. A business magazine ${ }^{1}$ dealing with factory relocation lists twenty-six considerations in selecting a new plant site. Two of these twenty-six are: (1) airport facilities for the company plane and (2) access to scheduled airline service.

Decentralization of industry at a still increasing rate has focused new attention on the adequacy of Texas air-

[^0]ports, the large, the medium, and the small. A traveldependent company in Pittsburgh, for example, may take an objective view in choosing a branch location in the South or Southwest. An arc of 1,000 miles radius swung from the home office passes near Lake Charles, Louisiana; Lufkin, Tyler, and Sherman-Denison, Texas; Ardmore and Norman, Oklahoma; and Hutchinson, Kansas. Other things being equal, the location with the desired access to the airways might be selected. Thus Texas communities are competing for new industry not only with each other but with communities hundreds of miles away in other states, and the availability of airport facilities can be the deciding factor.

Individuals and groups planning a holiday sometimes apply similar selectivity when deciding where to go. The airways provide the most practical access from major population centers to remote recreational areas such as Texas' Big Bend country, especially for short three- or four-day vacations. Travel by other means would consume most of the holiday time.

Texas is not unprepared to exploit these opportunities for increased industrialization and tourism. A Federal Aviation Administration report ${ }^{2}$ shows that Texas leads the nation in total number of civil airports. Next to California, Texas has more paved civil airports than any other state.

Table 1 classifies Texas airports to indicate their state of development and overall usefulness. Based on the population of the counties or standard metropolitan statistical
${ }^{2}$ FAA Statistical Handbook of Aviation, Washington, D.C.: Sup't of Documents, USGPO November 1967, p. 9.

"Street side" of the newly completed Administration Building at Meacham Field, Fort Worth, now devoted mostly to general (nonairline) aviation. Coffee shop on the opposite side overlooks the flight line. (Photo by Max Daggett, Jr.)


Both oirline and nonairline travelers are served by the International Arrivals House, McAllen. Airport Control Tower rises in the background. (Photo by McAllen Chamber of Commerce)
areas in which the tweny-nine airline airports are located, approximately 75 percent of the Texas population has access to some level of scheduled airline service. Fewer than 10 of the 187 active paved civil airports serve as home base for all of the approximately 150 airline aircraft registered in Texas. An estimated 85 percent of the approximately 9,000 active, nonairline aircraft registered in Texas are based on these paved airports. All of the civil airports, tied together as a system, provide some degree of aerial access to Texas cities, large and small, to remote towns, and to the hinterland.

Clearly, Texas is relatively well situated in terms of aircraft landing areas in being. This large number of existing landing areas is due partly to the proliferation of private airports, partly to the number of airports inherited from World War II military construction, and, in no small measure, to new construction and improvements under federal, state, and local government initiative.


The basic standard for airport accommodations is the condition that your wife and children can be comfortable and safe. (Photo by Virginia Daggett)

TABLE 1
CIVIL AIRPORTS IN TEXAS



Addison Airport near Dallas (above) is a leader among privately financed airports along with well-established Andrau and newly opened Spaceland Airparks in the Houston area. (Photo by Max Daggett, Jr.)

Quantity of airports in place is only the foundation, however, for an active and useful airport system. High quality of service also is essential to effectiveness.

A comfortable and pleasant atmosphere, and adequate personal facilities, must be provided if an airport is to contribute to the state's attractiveness to industry and tourists, or even to local travel. Several years ago a speaker, ${ }^{3}$ well known as a sales motivator, told a national meeting of airplane salesmen in effect, "Don't greet me in a pair of greasy overalls, invite me into a so-called airport office where the rest of yesterday's lunch is still on the desk, and expect me to buy a $\$ 15,000$ airplane, or anything else."

To the credit and benefit of all concerned, the era of "grime and grease" seems finally to be coming to an end. Now wives and children are seen routinely at medium and small airport offices, as well as in metropolitan air terminals where they became accustomed to adequate facil-

[^1]ities. It is heard more often now in trade circles that the basic standard for airport accommodations is the maintenance of conditions in which one's wife and children can be comfortable and safe.

A look around Texas shows that at many airports entrepreneurs and community groups have pulled away from a plodding past and launched into positive development for the future. But what about the airports still remaining with inadequate facilities or service? Table 1 shows thirty-eight paved airports with no service at all; many of those counted as having service make only a marginal offering.

Arriving at the airport and deplaning is only the beginning of the trip for the air traveler visiting a Texas community. His final destination is a nearby business or resort area, a local residence or hotel; he needs readily available transportation to get there. But before leaving the airport, he may also need other facilities and services.

The minimum adequate level of personal service at airports for people traveling on business or pleasure includes:

1. Rest room
2. Waiting area with telephone
3. Courtesy car, taxi, or rent car
4. Snack foods or restaurant

If the traveler is flying his own airplane he will also need the following aviation services as a minimum:
5. Fuel and oil
6. Aircraft storage

Among the larger and busier airports-except those at major hubs-Amarillo, Austin, Corpus Christi, El Paso, Lubbock, and Midland-Odessa are noteworthy for their modern, well-attended facilities for both airline and nonairline traffic. Outstanding smaller airports with con-
venient facilities for both airline and nonairline traffic are located at Abilene, Bryan-College Station, Longview, McAllen, and Tyler.

Among nonairline airports Addison-Dallas, Aransas County, Andrau-Houston, Denton, and Meacham-Fort Worth are outstanding. But most Texas airports, some of which have just begun to come to life, have considerable room for improvement and growth. Others are still dormant and unexploited despite the existence of substantial capital improvements standing ready for use. Finding ways and means to activate these idle or underutilized airports is a continuing challenge of major proportions.

In addition to the time-honored practice of farming idle airport acreage, the development of nonaviation and off-airport traffic has received varying amounts of attention. Some interesting options are motels, restaurants, business offices, automotive sales and service centers, light industry, mobile-home parks, golf courses, riding stables, and other recreational parks. Some of these options are highly compatible, directly or indirectly, with airport basic needs and activities.

What restaurateur, on his own, can offer diners an added attraction as intriguing as a moderately active airport just outside his window? And today's diner may be tomorrow's air traveler. What service-station operator has a captive market like that consisting of the tens or hundreds of cars parked and idle for one or more days at any moderately active airport?

A Texas legislator, ${ }^{\text {a }}$ quoted in a recent issue of the Texas Aeronautics Commission Bulletin, points out that civil aviation is basically a transportation system for

[^2]

While it's "First come, first served" as far as air-traffic control is concerned, a few of the big ones can outweigh a lot of the little ones where airport economics is concerned. But you must have adequate runways and other facilities to attract the big ones along with the little ones. (Photo by Virginia Daggett)

| Source of funds | Property acquired or nature of expenditure | Period | Amount (nearest million) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Federal government | Acquisition and construction costs, WWII military surplus airports Other improvements to military surplus and civil airports, WWII Federal aid to airports program, landing areas only | $\begin{aligned} & 1940-47 \\ & 1940-47 \\ & 1947-68 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 134,000,000 \\ 25,000,000 \\ 61,000,000 \end{array}$ |
| Local government | Matching funds for federal allocation, landing areas only Terminal buildings and other facilities (estimate) | $\begin{aligned} & 1947-68 \\ & 1947-68 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 61,000,000 \\ & 36,000,000 \end{aligned}$ |
| State government | Miscellaneous airports (includes some local matching funds) | 1968 | 1,000,000 |
| Private | Hangars, offices, other facilities (estimate) | 1947-68 | 32,000,000 |
|  | Total investment, all sources, as of June 1968 |  | \$350,000,000 |
| Federal government | Allocation for landing areas, FAAP | 1969 | 5,000,000 |
| Local government | Matching funds for federal allocation, landing areas only | 1969 | 5,000,000 |
| Local government | Allocation for miscellaneous airports Matching funds for state allocation | 1969 | 1,000,000 |
|  | Terminal buildings and other facilities (estimate) | $\begin{aligned} & 1969 \\ & 1969 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,000,000 \\ & 6,000,000 \end{aligned}$ |
| All sources | Hangars, offices, other facilities (estimate) | 1969 | 7,000,000 |
|  | New airports, improvements to existing airports (estimate) | 1970-73 | 450,000,000 |
|  | Total investment, all sources, as of June 1978 |  | \$825,000,000 |

Source: Records at Federal Aviation Administration Regional Office, Fort Worth, and Texas Aeronautics Commission Office, Austin; estimates by author in collaboration with others
people and goods and, as such, benefits every citizen of the state whether he flies or not. While the general public may sometimes disagree, this statement suggests that airports are broadly useful and important to the public as a whole. It is in agreement with one of the conclusions of a study of publicly owned airports conducted at Harvard Business School just after World War II. ${ }^{5}$ This study found that publicly owned airports are sufficiently like other public utilities that management policies related to
funding of investment, return on investment, and user charges should follow those applied to publicly owned facilities such as municipal warehouses, barge terminals, and seaports.

Not always obvious are the many and varied sources of operating income for a publicly owned airport. The

[^3]

Problems of success. Additional passenger loading gates nearing completion, upper left and lower right, just keep pace with increased traffic at Dallas Love Field. Additional auto parking spaces, left foreground, are not yet under construction. (Rendition by Jack Corgan \& Associates)

American Association of Airport Executives makes available to its membership periodic surveys of fees and charges levied at public airports. In addition, specific guidance is provided on developing and handling both aviation and nonaviation businesses conducted at the airport. The National Aviation Trades Association, composed of businessmen operating private businesses at public airports, publishes an Airport Leasing Guide to assist its members in negotiating workable leases.

Possible sources of revenue for the publicly owned airport may be summarized as follows:

1. Rental from tenants occupying space in publicly owned buildings
2. Ground rental from tenants erecting their own buildings and facilities on airport property
3. Landing fees collected from both airline and nonairline traffic
4. Gallonage fees on fuel sold at the airport
5. Percentage of gross income paid by tenants conducting businesses on airport property
6. Auto parking fees
7. Miscellaneous income

As in any other constructive business relationship, the arrangement between airport owner and tenant must be equitable and mutually beneficial. Only under these circumstances can the airport be an asset rather than a liability to the community as a whole.

The physical size and dollar value of the public-use airport system in Texas is difficult to describe and comprehend because of the variety of facilities, their scattered locations, and the sources of funding. Table 2 lists currently available data for publicly owned airports only (figures not included for privately financed airports open to the public).

Before conclusions are drawn from the data in Table 2 several aspects of the information should be noted. Since almost half of the expenditures to date were made during the period 1940-1947, when land and construction costs were relatively much lower than they are today, the present replacement cost for the airport system is probably half a billion dollars or more.

The figures shown in Table 2 do not include the cost of navigation and communication systems installed at airports by the federal government. (En route navigation and communication aids, also installed by the federal government, are not considered to be equipment at the airport site proper.) Finally, the estimate of future expenditures by all sources may be low. The Dallas-Fort Worth Regional Airport alone is expected to reach a final cost of $\$ 350$ million, and estimates have risen steadily while construction has hardly begun. Clearly, Texas has a large airport system in being and an immense one in prospect, but even these generous airport facilities may not be adequate in providing airport services to the potential air traffic in Texas.

A planning criterion sometimes applied by the Federal Aviation Administration for inclusion of a locality in the National Airport Plan, a prerequisite for allocation of federal-aid-to-airports funds, is that owners of at least ten aircraft in that locality are situated more than thirty minutes surface travel time from the nearest NAP airport. Perhaps, in order to set a long-range goal for development

## TEXAS CONSTRUCTION OCTOBER 1968 <br> Stanley A. Arbingast

The Texas index of total construction authorized leaped 38 points in October to 209.6 from its September value of 171.2. Only in August 1967 did this index register a higher value (245.1).
The index of residential construction authorized reached 182.0, an all-time high. An increase of almost 100 points (from 160.4 to 253.0 ) in the index of nonresidential construction authorized was impressive, but was not a record month-to-month gain.

A 30-million-dollar increase in value of total construction permits pushed October totals 17 percent above those of September 1968 and pushed the January-through-October value 10 percent above the level for the same period in 1967. Valuation of permits for three- and four-family dwellings almost doubled during the nine months. Percentage decreases in valuation for the ten months when compared with the same period in 1967 were registered in the following categories of permits: amusement buildings $(-10)$, churches $(-5)$, industrial buildings $(-20)$, service stations and garages (-7), hospitals and other institutional buildings (-12), office-bank buildings (-6), educational buildings (-23), stores and mercantile buildings (-5). It appears that the last-named category is soon to receive a big boost, however. Allied Stores, the parent organization of Titche's and Joske's, has plans to build new outlets in Dallas, Houston, San Antonio, and Austin. Leonard's of Fort Worth intends to construct a new suburban store in the Arlington-Grand Prairie area at a cost of $\$ 4$ million, and Target Discount Stores, a subsidiary of Dayton's-a well-known Minneapolis department store-plans to build several units in Texas, especially to serve the Dallas and Houston market areas.

Apartment construction in Texas is more dynamic than ever before. At the current annual rate for January through October new apartment dwelling units authorized in the state by December 31 this year will number approximately 60,500 , almost double the number authorized in 1967 and almost triple the number in 1966.

If residential construction continues through December at the rate it has maintained for the first ten months, approximately 100,000 new dwelling units for this year will have been authorized by cities which report construction data to the Bureau of Business Research. This number is well above the 83,032 units authorized in 1963 , the record year to date. The total of 100,000 new units is all the more
of the Texas airport system, this criterion might be rephrased to state, "An active airport open to the public shall be located within thirty minutes driving time of every incorporated town or city with a population of 2,500 or more."

When that goal is achieved, Texas will have gone far toward use of the airways, not only for the convenience and profit of her citizens, but as an inducement to bring: more industry and tourists to Texas.
impressive when it is considered that this figure includes reports which cover only about 75 percent of the population of the state. An estimated 5,000 units built in small communities and rural areas which do not report statistics to the Bureau should lift the total to near or to slightly above 105,000 .

Texas apartment construction is especially dynamic because of the high rate of family formation, the desire of many families to live close in to their places of employment, the conveniences of apartment living (for example, the typical tenant assumes no responsibility for lawn care



NONRESIDENTIAL BLILDING AUTHORIZED IN TEXAS*

or repair of structures), and most importantly, the more efficient use of expensive land, through construction of multistory apartments as compared with the relatively extravagant use of large areas of space required by the construction of one-family and two-family dwellings. Another factor which contributes to the popularity of apartment living in Texas is the large number of families that maintain second homes at beaches, lakes, and woodland retreats near their places of urban residence. They prefer country living in these separate houses on weekends and apartment living during the week. Texans, furthermore, live in a state which has become highly urbanized; a high percentage of dwellers in cities everywhere typically live in apartments. Almost 90 percent of the value of home construction up to date has been in the standard metropolitan statistical areas, where the number of units authorized in apartment buildings outnumbers the total of onefamily and two-family units by almost two to one.

ESTIMATED VALUES OF BUILDING AUTHORIZED IN TEXAS


METROPOLITAN \# vs.
NONMETROPOLITAN

| Total metropolitan .. | 183,920 | 1,564,869 | 18 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Central cities ... | 137,117 | 1,164,060 | 11 | 7 |
| Outside central cities | 46,803 | 400,809 | 46 | 17 |
| Total nonmetropolitan | 22,990 | 209,707 | 11 | 14 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 10,000 \text { to } 50,000 \\ & \text { population } \ldots \ldots . \end{aligned}$ | 13,781 | 133,083 | 6 | 20 |
| Less than 10,000 population ... | 9,209 | 76,624 | 20 | 4 |

[^4]The Dallas SMSA has a commanding lead in new dwelling units authorized with a total of 25,672 through October 31. In the Houston SMSA 17,390 new units have received permits. Fort Worth, with 10,004 , ranks third, followed by San Antonio, Austin, and El Paso in that order.

The Houston SMSA, with approximately $\$ 417$ million, leads in the total value of construction authorized for the first ten months of the year. Dallas is a close second among the SMSA's with slightly over $\$ 403$ million. Largest percentage gains were at Texarkana (up 245 percent) and Brownsville-Harlingen-San Benito (up 148 percent).

SMSA's which have registered declines through October compared with last year include Abilene, Amarillo, Beau-mont-Port Arthur-Orange, Laredo, Midland, San Angelo, Sherman-Denison, Tyler, Waco, and Wichita Falls.

The accompanying table of the index of total construction authorized in Texas (adjusted for seasonal variation), beginning with 1947 and continuing through 1968, tells a convincing story of growth. The 1968 index will almost certainly show at least a 10 -point increase over the 1967 level, and some economists are predicting that 1969 will be even better than 1968.

INDEX OF TOTAL CONSTRUCTION AUTHORIZED, 1947-1968*
$1957-1959=100$

| Year | Jan | Feb | Mar | April | May | June | July | Aug | Sept | Oct | Nov | Dec | Average |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1947 | 22.8 | 27.1 | 26.5 | 30.5 | 30.9 | 35.8 | 39.5 | 37.2 | 42.3 | 45.1 | 44.0 | 45.2 | 35.6 |
| 1948 | 55.8 | 43.4 | 46.3 | 51.6 | 47.1 | 41.1 | 52.0 | 41.8 | 44.3 | 46.9 | 48.6 | 42.0 | 46.7 |
| 1949 | 29.4 | 38.1 | 39.9 | 40.2 | 36.5 | 52.5 | 41.3 | 51.4 | 48.6 | 52.5 | 59.0 | 52.6 | 45.2 |
| 1950 | 57.3 | 60.8 | 61.0 | 59.6 | 74.9 | 70.6 | 87.1 | 91.0 | 70.2 | 73.1 | 78.2 | 114.8 | 74.9 |
| 1951 | 92.2 | 67.1 | 65.9 | 52.0 | 58.9 | 83.8 | 39.7 | 57.0 | 60.3 | 43.0 | 47.0 | 42.3 | 59.1 |
| 1952 | 54.7 | 66.9 | 57.7 | 75.2 | 53.7 | 57.0 | 51.4 | 50.1 | 56.7 | 63.8 | 54.9 | 65.5 | 59.0 |
| 1953 | 63.8 | 61.3 | 61.6 | 65.4 | 58.3 | 63.8 | 51.0 | 52.2 | 58.7 | 52.5 | 67.3 | 62.6 | 59.9 |
| 1954 | 58.4 | 66.4 | 71.8 | 69.5 | 67.3 | 77.4 | 80.7 | 81.7 | 97.2 | 96.4 | 101.3 | 108.9 | 81.4 |
| 1955 | 84.5 | 92.0 | 97.7 | 87.4 | 94.7 | 86.0 | 89.1 | 91.9 | 76.7 | 87.7 | 80.8 | 77.7 | 87.2 |
| 1956 | 88.7 | 86.5 | 81.0 | 74.9 | 82.5 | 70.9 | 78.7 | 79.3 | 71.2 | 79.8 | 77.9 | 69.5 | 78.4 |
| 1957 | 98.5 | 81.0 | 75.3 | 80.2 | 85.4 | 87.1 | 103.9 | 87.2 | 85.5 | 93.9 | 82.6 | 81.1 | 86.8 |
| 1958 | 85.7 | 79.8 | 89.9 | 97.6 | 104.3 | 105.1 | 128.9 | 121.7 | 104.6 | 107.9 | 111.5 | 111.9 | 104.1 |
| 1959 | 108.3 | 103.2 | 105.3 | 111.4 | 106.4 | 110.4 | 135.8 | 103.8 | 105.0 | 119.1 | 94.1 | 112.8 | 109.6 |
| 1960 | 93.1 | 94.6 | 118.3 | 97.3 | 97.0 | 107.2 | 130.6 | 118.3 | 103.2 | 100.7 | 98.8 | 111.5 | 105.5 |
| 1961 | 101.3 | 97.3 | 115.9 | 96.7 | 127.3 | 106.7 | 115.4 | 152.3 | 95.9 | 113.9 | 119.7 | 102.5 | 112.1 |
| 1962 | 132.6 | 107.3 | 129.2 | 113.7 | 129,3 | 140.9 | 131.2 | 123.2 | 104.9 | 124.9 | 134.6 | 118.3 | 124.2 |
| 1963 | 120.6 | 139.5 | 137.1 | 123.9 | 136.7 | 109.6 | 139.8 | 132.9 | 110.0 | 116.9 | 120.0 | 112.5 | 125.0 |
| 1964 | 180.3 | 129.0 | 126.0 | 143.9 | 115.8 | 121.8 | 151.8 | 131.8 | 148.6 | 120.4 | 159.8 | 121.7 | 133.4 |
| 1965 | 113.0 | 118.5 | 118.5 | 134.3 | 116.8 | 148.6 | 123.7 | 183.6 | 122.8 | 125.9 | 155.0 | 167.5 | 135.7 |
| 1966 | 130.5 | 157.1 | 150.8 | 145.3 | 141.5 | 123.2 | 149.4 | 142.7 | 109.9 | 106.2 | 140.4 | 105.3 | 138.5 |
| 1967 | 107.9 | 155.9 | 156.4 | 134.4 | 159.5 | 156.6 | 168.2 | 245.1 | 127.0 | 161.0 | 209.5 | 155.7 | 161.4 |
| 1968 | 151.4 | 174.2 | 143.5 | 170.5 | 178.2 | 156.1 | 180.9 | 182.4 | 171.2 | 209.6 |  |  |  |

* Adjusted for seasonal variation.


# THE ACCOUNTING FUNCTION IN MEXICO 

by<br>Allan H. Savage<br>Studies in Latin-American Business No. 7

Analyzing the internal accounting practices among manufacturing companies in Mexico provides an excellent opportunity to examine management's use of accounting information in planning and controlling business operations under conditions of dynamic growth and change. Based on personal interviews with twenty-three large manufacturing concerns and thirteen private accounting firms, the author presents all aspects of the situation-practices followed by industrial concerns, services offered by accounting firms, and the integration of the two in order to provide accurate and timely accounting information necessary for effective management planning and control.

# The Bureau of Business Research The University of Texas at Austin 

## in cooperation with <br> Institute of Latin American Studies The University of Texas at Austin

(Texas residents add 4-percent sales tax)


Statistical data compiled by: Mildred Anderson, Constance Cooledge, and Glenda Riley, statistical assistants, and Doris Dismuke and Mary Gorham, statistical technicians.

Indicators of business conditions in Texas cities published in this table include statistics on banking, building permits, employment, postal receipts, and retail trade. An individual city is listed when a minimum of three indicators are available.

The cities have been grouped according to standard metropolitan statistical areas. In Texas all twenty-three SMSA's are defined by county lines; the counties included are listed under each SMSA. The populations shown for the SMSA's are estimates for April 1, 1967, prepared by the Population Research Center, Department of Sociology, The University of Texas at Austin. The population shown after the city name is the 1960 Census figure, unless otherwise indicated. Cities in SMSA's are listed alphabetically under their appropriate SMSA's; all other cities are listed alphabetically as main entries.

Retail-sales data are reported here only when a minimum total of fifteen stores report; separate categories of retail stores are listed only when a minimum of five stores report in those categories. The first column presents current data for the various categories. Percentages shown for retail sales are average statewide percent changes from the preceding month. This is the normal seasonal change in sales by that kind of business-except in the cases of Dallas, Fort Worth, Houston, and San Antonio, where the dagger ( $\dagger$ ) is replaced by another symbol ( $\dagger \dagger$ ) because the normal seasonal changes given are for each of these cities individually. The second column shows the percent change from the preceding month in data reported for the current month; the third column shows the percent change in data from the same month a year ago. A large variation between the
normal seasonal change and the reported change indicates an abnormal sales month.

Symbols used in this table include:
(a) Population Research Center data, April 1, 1967.
(b) Separate employment data for the Midland and Odessa SMSA's are not available, since employment figures for Midland and Ector Counties, composing one labormarket area, are recorded in combined form.
(c) Separate employment data for Gladewater, Kilgore, and Longview are not available, since employment figures for Gregg County, composing one labor-market area, are recorded in total.
$(\dagger)$ Average statewide percent change from preceding month.
$(\dagger \dagger)$ Average individual-city percent change from preceding month.
(r) Estimates officially recognized by Texas Highway Department.
(rr) Estimate for Pleasanton: combination of 1960 Census figures for Pleasanton and North Pleasanton.
(*) Cash received during the four-week postal accounting period ended Oct. 18, 1968.
( $\ddagger$ ) Money on deposit in individual demand deposit accounts on the last day of the month.
(§) Data for Texarkana, Texas, only.
(**) Change is less than one half of 1 percent.
(\|) Annual rate basis, seasonally adjusted.
(\#) Monthly averages.
(X) Sherman-Denison SMSA: a new standard metropolitan statistical area, for which not all categories of data are now available.

## ALPHABETICAL LISTING OF CITIES INCLUDED IN DECEMBER 1968 ISSUE OF TEXAS BUSINESS REVIEW

Abilene (Abilene SMSA)<br>Alamo (McAllen-Pharr-Edinburg SMSA)<br>Albany<br>Alpine<br>Amarillo (Amarillo SMSA)<br>Andrews<br>Angleton<br>Aransas Pass (Corpus Christi SMSA)<br>Arlington (Fort Worth SMSA)<br>Athens<br>Austin (Austin SMSA)<br>Bay City<br>Baytown (Houston SMSA)<br>Beaumont (Beaumont-Port ArthurOrange SMSA)<br>Beeville<br>Bellaire (Houston SMSA)<br>Bellville<br>Belton<br>Big Spring<br>Bishop (Corpus Christi SMSA)<br>Bonham<br>Borger<br>Brady<br>Brenham<br>Brownfield<br>Brownsville (Brownsville-HarlingenSan Benito SMSA)

Brownwood
Bryan
Burkburnett (Wichita Falls SMSA)
Caldwell
Cameron
Canyon (Amarillo SMSA)
Carrollton (Dallas SMSA)
Castroville
Cisco
Cleburne (Fort Worth SMSA)
Clute (Houston SMSA)
College Station
Colorado City
Conroe (Houston SMSA)
Copperas Cove
Corpus Christi (Corpus Christi SMSA)
Corsicana
Crystal City
Dallas (Dallas SMSA)
Dayton (Houston SMSA)
Decatur
Deer Park (Houston SMSA)
Del Rio
Denison (Sherman-Denison SMSA)
Denton (Dallas SMSA)
Dickinson (Galveston-Texas City
SMSA)
Dimmitt

Brownwood
Burkburnett (Wichita Falls SMSA)
Caldwell
Cameron
Canyon (Amarillo SMSA)
Carrollton (Dallas SMSA)
Cisco
Cleburne (Fort Worth SMSA)
Cute (Houston SMSA)
College Station
Conroe (Houston SMSA)
Copperas Cove
Corsicana
Crystal City
Dallas (Dallas SMSA)
Dayton (Houston SMSA)
Deer Park (Houston SMSA)
Del Rio
Denison (Sherman-Denison SMSA)
Denton (Dallas SMSA)
Dickinson (Galveston-Texas City
Dimmitt

Donna (McAllen-Pharr-Edinburg SMSA)
Eagle Lake
Eagle Pass
Edinburg (McAllen-Pharr-Edinburg SMSA)
Edna
El Paso (El Paso SMSA)
Elsa (McAllen-Pharr-Edinburg SMSA)
Ennis (Dallas SMSA)
Euless (Fort Worth SMSA)
Farmers Branch (Dallas SMSA)
Fort Stockton
Fort Worth (Fort Worth SMSA)
Fredericksburg
Freeport (Houston SMSA)
Friona
Galveston (Galveston-Texas City SMSA)
Garland (Dallas SMSA)
Gatesville
Georgetown
Giddings
Gladewater
Goldthwaite
Graham
Granbury
Grand Prairie (Dallas SMSA)

## ALPHABETICAL LISTING OF CITIES INCLUDED IN DECEMBER 1968 ISSUE OF TEXAS BUSINESS REVIEW (Continued)

## Grapevine (Fort Worth SMSA)

Greenville
Groves (Beaumont-Port ArthurOrange SMSA)
Hallettsville
Hallsville
Harlingen (Brownsville-HarlingenSan Benito SMSA)
Haskell
Henderson
Hereford
Hondo
Houston (Houston SMSA)
Humble (Houston SMSA)
Huntsville
Iowa Park (Wichita Falls SMSA)
Irving (Dallas SMSA)
Jacksonville
Jasper
Junction
Justin (Dallas SMSA)
Karnes City
Katy (Houston SMSA)
Kilgore
Killeen
Kingsland
Kingsville
Kirbyville
La Feria (Brownsville-HarlingenSan Benito SMSA)
La Marque (Galveston-Texas City SMSA)

## Lamesa

Lampasas
Lancaster (Dallas SMSA)
La Porte (Houston SMSA)
Laredo (Laredo SMSA)
Lewisville (Dallas SMSA)
Liberty (Houston SMSA)
Littlefield
Llano
Lockhart
Longview
Los Fresnos (Brownsville-HarlingenSan Benito SMSA)
Lubbock (Lubbock SMSA)
Lufkin
McAllen (McAllen-Pharr-Edinburg SMSA)

McCamey
McGregor (Waco SMSA)
McKinney (Dallas SMSA)
Marble Falls
Marshall
Mercedes (McAllen-Pharr-Edinburg SMSA)
Mesquite (Dallas SMSA)
Mexia
Midland (Midland SMSA)
Midlothian (Dallas SMSA)
Mineral Wells
Mission (McAllen-PharrEdinburg SMSA)
Monahans
Mount Pleasant
Muenster
Muleshoe
Nacogdoches
Nederland (Beaumont-Port ArthurOrange SMSA)
New Braunfels
North Richland Hills (Fort Worth SMSA)
Odessa (Odessa SMSA)
Olney
Orange (Beaumont-Port Arthur Orange SMSA)
Palestine
Pampa
Paris
Pasadena (Houston SMSA)
Pecos
Pharr (McAllen-Pharr-Edinburg SMSA)
Pilot Point (Dallas SMSA)
Plainview
Pleasanton
Port Aransas
Port Arthur (Beaumont-Port ArthurOrange SMSA)
Port Isabel (Brownsville-HarlingenSan Benito SMSA)
Port Neches (Beaumont-Port ArthurOrange SMSA)
Quanah
Raymondville
Refugio

Richardson (Dallas SMSA)
Richmond (Houston SMSA)
Robstown (Corpus Christi SMSA)
Rockdale
Rosenberg (Houston SMSA)
San Angelo (San Angelo SMSA)
San Antonio (San Antonio SMSA)
San Benito (Brownsville-HarlingenSan Benito SMSA)
San Juan (McAllen-Pharr-Edinburg SMSA)
San Marcos
San Saba
Schertz (San Antonio SMSA)
Seagoville (Dallas SMSA)
Seguin (San Antonio SMSA)
Sherman (Sherman-Denison SMSA)
Silsbee
Sinton (Corpus Christi SMSA)
Slaton (Lubbock SMSA)
Smithville
Snyder
Sonora
South Houston (Houston SMSA)
Stephenville
Stratford
Sulphur Springs
Sweetwater
Tahoka
Taylor
Temple
Terrell (Dallas SMSA)
Texarkana (Texarkana SMSA)
Texas City (Galveston-Texas City SMSA)
Tomball (Houston SMSA)
Tyler (Tyler SMSA)
Uvalde
Vernon
Victoria
Waco (Waco SMSA)
Waxahachie (Dallas SMSA)
Weatherford
Weslaco (McAllen-Pharr-Edinburg SMSA)
White Settlement (Fort Worth SMSA)
Wichita Falls (Wichita Falls SMSA)

## ALPHABETICAL LISTING OF SMSA'S AND CITIES WITHIN EACH SMSA, WITH DATA

|  |  | Percent change |  | City and item | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Oct } \\ & 1968 \end{aligned}$ | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| City and item | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Oct } \\ & 1968 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Oct } 1968 \\ \text { from } \\ \text { Sep } 1968 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Oet } 1968 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Oct } 1967 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Oct } 1968 \\ \text { from } \\ \text { Sep } 1968 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Oct } 1968 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Oct } 1967 \end{aligned}$ |


| ABILENE SMSA <br> (Jones and Taylor; pop. 118, $429{ }^{\text {" }}$ ) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Retail sales |  | 6 | 10 |
| Apparel stores |  | 9 | 3 |
| Automotive stores | $\ldots$ | 17 | 7 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ 474,893 | 68 | 59 |
| Bank debits (thousands) \|| | \$ $1,834,068$ | 8 | 9 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | \$ 103,383 | 2 | 8 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover .... | 17.9 | 8 | 1 |
| Nonfarm employment (area) .... | 37,550 | * | - 1 |
| Manufacturing employment (area). | 4,380 | 4 | 4 |
| Percent unemployed (area) ......... | 2.7 | 7 | $-10$ |

For an explanation of symbols see p. 354 .

## ABILENE (pop. $110,049{ }^{r}$ )

| Retail sales | $18 \dagger$ | 6 | 10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Apparel stores . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | $15 \%$ | 9 | 3 |
| Automotive stores | 44i | 17 | 7 |
| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . \$ | 169,346 | 5 | 22 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 471,893 | -60 | 60 |
| Bank debits (thousands) .......... \$ | 142,307 | 12 | 14 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger \ldots \$$ | 79,945 | 5 | 8 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 21.9 | 6 | 7 |


| Local Business Conditions |  | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| City and item | Oct <br> Oct 1968 <br> from <br> fer | Oct 1968 <br> from <br> Oct <br> Sep 1968 |  |

## AMARILLO SMSA

(Potter and Randall; pop. 167,323 ")

| Retail sales |  | 23 | 15 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Automotive stores | .. | 29 | 18 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ 1,942,086 | 15 | 10 |
| Bank debits (thousands) \|| | \$ 5,419,056 | 1 | 25 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger .$. | \$ 147,777 | ** | 6 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover .... | 36.6 | ** | 16 |
| Nonfarm employment (area) ....... | 60,500 | ** | 2 |
| Manufacturing employment (area). | 6,470 | 2 | 22 |
| Percent unemployed (area) .......... | 3.3 | 8 | 10 |

AMARILLO (pop. 155,205 ${ }^{r}$ )


## Canyon (pop. 6,755 ${ }^{r}$ )

| Postal receipts* $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots . \ldots$ | 13,107 | -32 | 18 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | $\$$ | 326,256 | 333 | 18 |
| Bank debits (thousands) $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \$$ | 9,244 | 8 | 2 |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger \ldots \$$ | 7,929 | 10 | 11 |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover..... | 14.7 | 2 | -9 |  |

## AUSTIN SMSA

(Travis; pop. 258,406 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ )

| Retail sales |  | 11 |  | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Apparel stores |  | $-7$ |  | 8 |
| Automotive stores |  | 37 |  | 16 |
| Eating and drinking places. | $\ldots$ | 8 |  | 1 |
| Furniture and householdappliance stores | $\cdots$ | - 16 | - | 3 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ 7,592,424 | $-27$ |  | 50 |
| Bank debits (thousands) \|| | \$ 8,315,076 | 8 |  | 61 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $⿻$. | \$ 283,488 | 10 |  | 31 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 30.7 | 1 |  | 27 |
| Nonfarm employment (area) | 113,500 | ** |  | 5 |
| Manufacturing employment (area). | 10,670 | 1 |  | 26 |
| Percent unemployed (area) ........ | 1.9 | 6 | - | 5 |

AUSTIN (pop. 245,295 ${ }^{r}$ )

| Retail sales | $13 \dagger$ | 12 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Apparel stores | $15 \dagger$ | $-7$ | 8 |
| Automotive stores | $44 \dagger$ | 37 | 16 |
| Eating and drinking places | - $1 \dagger$ | 4 | 2 |
| Furniture and householdappliance stores | ${ }^{15} \dagger$ | - 16 | 3 |
| Postal receipts* ................... \$ | 921,451 | 16 | 31 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 7,592,424 | $-27$ | 51 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............ \& | 672,808 | 14 | 64 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . . \$$ | 281,787 | 11 | 31 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 30.2 | 5 | 30 |

For an explanation of symbols see p. 354.

| Local Business Conditions |  | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| City and item | Oct <br> Oct 1968 <br> from <br> for <br> Sep 1968 | Oct 1968 <br> from <br> Oct 1967 |  |

## BEAUMONT-PORT ARTHUR-ORANGE SMSA (Jefferson and Orange; pop. 325,527 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ )

| Retail sales |  | 15 | 16 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Apparel stores |  | $-2$ | * |
| Automotive stores |  | 13 | 24 |
| Food stores |  | 4 | 2 |
| Furniture and householdappliance stores ....... |  | 13 | 13 |
| Gasoline and service stations |  | 10 | 5 |
| Lumber, building-material, and hardware dealers | $\ldots$ | 23 | 19 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ 3,179,578 | 120 |  |
| Bank debits (thousands) \|| | \$ 6,006,348 | 1 | 55 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger .$. S | \$ 224,582 | 6 | ** |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 25.9 | 4 | 1 |
| Nonfarm employment (area) | 114,800 | ** | 1 |
| Manufacturing employment (area). | 34,800 | ** | 2 |
| Percent unemployed (area) ......... | 3.9 | -11 | ** |

BEAUMONT (pop. 127,500 ${ }^{\text { }}$ )

| Retail sales | $18 \dagger$ | 21 | 12 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Automotive stores | $44 \dagger$ | 22 | 17 |
| Lumber, building-material, and hardware dealers | ** ${ }^{\text {\% }}$ | 34 | 4 |
| Postal receipts* | \$ 217,996 | 25 | 22 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | \$ 1,727,390 | 94 | 8 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ 342,492 | 9 | 9 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$.. \$ | 132,857 | $-4$ | ** |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 30.3 | 8 | 5 |

## Groves (pop. 17,304)

| Postal receipts* $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots . . \$$ | 13,953 | 11 | 24 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | 126,799 | $\ldots$ | 65 |  |
| Bank debits (thousands) ........... \$ | 12,434 | 4 | 22 |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . . \$$ | 5,830 | - | 4 | 11 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover..... | 25.1 | 7 | 7 |  |

## Nederland (pop. 15,274 ${ }^{r}$ )

| Postal receipts* $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$ | 20,236 | 47 | 68 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | $\$$ | 181,965 | 100 | 50 |
| Bank debits (thousands) $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$ | $\$, \ldots 50$ | 8 | 25 |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . . \$$ | 5,820 | - | 2 | 4 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover..... | 17.3 | 10 | 17 |  |

## ORANGE (pop. 25,605 )

| Postal receipts* $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$ | 40,247 | 10 | 18 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Building permits, less federal contracts $\$ 8$ | 302,754 | 593 | -76 |  |
| Bank debits (thousands) $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots . \$$ | 40,812 | 13 | 6 |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . . \$$ | 27,395 | 4 | - | 7 |
| Annual rate of depasit turnover..... | 18.2 | 11 | 10 |  |
| Nonfarm placements $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$ | 159 | -19 | -13 |  |

## PORT ARTHUR (pop. 66,676)

| Postal receipts* $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots . . \$$ | 78,593 | 24 | 29 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | $\$$ | 731,930 | 137 | 76 |
| Bank debits (thousands) $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \$$ | 81,502 | 7 | 2 |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . . \$$ | 46,566 | - | 3 | 4 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover..... | 20.7 | 8 | -4 |  |

## Port Neches (pop. 8,696)

| Postal receipts* $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$. | 13,162 | 11 | 7 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | $\$$ | 103,890 | - | 4 |
| Bank debits (thousands) $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$ | 17,817 | 20 | 29 |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger \ldots \$$ | 5,804 | -12 | -18 |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover....... | 34.4 | 26 | 48 |  |


| Local Business Conditions |  | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| City and item | Oct <br> Oet 1968 <br> from <br> fep 1968 | Oct 1968 <br> from <br> Oet 1967 |  |

## BROWNSVILLE-HARLINGEN-SAN BENITO SMSA (Cameron; pop. 139,124 a)

| Retail sales |  |  | 8 | - 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Automotive stores |  |  | 9 | - 2 |
| Lumber, building-material, and hardware dealers |  | $\ldots$ | 29 | 4 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts |  | 2,397,459 | 292 | 549 |
| Bank debits (thousands) \|| |  | 1,762,140 | 4 | 22 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. |  | 72,938 | ** | ** |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover |  | 24.1 | $-1$ | 15 |
| Nonfarm employment (area) |  | 37,100 | - 3 | - 2 |
| Manufacturing employment (area). |  | 6,070 | 4 | 6 |
| Percent unemployed (area) |  | 6.0 | ** | 22 |
| BROWNSVILLE (pop. 48,040) |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* |  | 61,021 | 21 | 8 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts |  | 388,650 | 5 | 35 |
| Bank debits (thousands) |  | 57,982 | 25 | 24 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. |  | 28,289 | 4 | 2 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. |  | 25.1 | 19 | 22 |
| Nonfarm placements |  | 1,280 | 20 | $-22$ |
| HARLINGEN (pop. 41,207) |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* | \$ | 55,207 | 9 | 5 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts |  | 2,007,400 | 837 |  |
| Bank debits (thousands) |  | 65,008 | $-17$ | 37 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$.. |  | 29,318 | - 2 |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover.... |  | 26.3 | $-12$ | 35 |
| Nonfarm placements |  | 536 | 6 | - 55 |


| La Feria (pop. 3,047) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Postal receipts* | 3.391 | 5 | 26 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | 750 | - 93 | 42 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ........... \& | 2,640 | - 19 | 62 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger .$. \$ | 1,996 | - 14 | 9 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover.... | 14.7 | -14 | 65 |

## Los Fresnos (pop. 1,289)

| Postal receipts* $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$ | 1,968 | 18 | 16 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Bank debits (thousands) $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \$$ | 2,962 | $-\ldots$ | 37 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger \ldots \$$ | 1,683 | -21 | -10 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover..... | 18.7 | 2 | 41 |


| Port Isabel (pop. 3,575) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Postal receipts* .................. \& | 4,223 | 17 | 55 |
| Bank debits (thousands) .......... \$ | 4,077 | $-3$ | 55 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger .$. \$ | 3,408 | - 9 | 17 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 13.7 | 4 | 10 |
| SAN BENITO (pop. 16,422) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* .................. \& | 13,136 | 44 | 10 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 22,253 | 89 | 126 |
| Bank debits (thousands) .......... \& | 8,136 |  | 28 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger .$. \$ | 7,514 |  |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover..... | 12.9 | 5 | 29 |

## CORPUS CHRISTI SMSA

(Nueces and San Patricio; pop. 280,174 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ )

| Retail sales | ... | 10 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Automotive stores |  | 7 | 9 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$10,408,293 | 168 | 173 |
| Bank debits (thousands) \|| | \$ 4,527,504 | 4 | 3 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | \$ 199,270 | 2 | 2 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 23.0 | 2 | 1 |
| Nonfarm employment (area) | 87,400 | 1 | 2 |
| Manufacturing employment (area). | 10,710 | 5 | 2 |
| Percent unemployed (area) | 3.3 | 3 | 3 |

For an explanation of symbols see p. 354.

| Local Business Conditions |  | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| City and item | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Oct } \\ & 1968 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Oct } 1968 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Sep } 1968 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Oct } 1968 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Oct } 1967 \end{aligned}$ |
| Aransas Pass (pop. 6,956) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* ................... . \$ | 8,108 | 11 | 26 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 42,750 | $-88$ | 39 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............ \$ | 9,044 | 40 | 10 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . . \$$ | 6,921 | 2 | 17 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover.... | 15.8 | 34 | 7 |
| Bishop (pop. 3,825 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ ) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* ................... \$ | 3,679 | $-10$ | - 11 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | 2,309 | - 28 |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger .$. \$ | 2,978 | - | - 9 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 9.0 | $-29$ | - 5 |
| CORPUS CHRISTI (pop. 204,850 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ ) |  |  |  |
| Retail sales ....................... | $13 \dagger$ | 12 | 5 |
| Automotive stores | 44 $\dagger$ | 8 | 8 |
| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . \$ | 298,001 | 4 | 13 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 9,637,917 | 184 | 322 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ........... \$ | 323,222 | 9 | 7 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . . \$$ | 152,741 | 2 | ** |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 25.7 | 8 | 7 |
| Port Aransas (pop. 824) |  |  |  |
| Bank debits (thousands) ........... \$ | 1,216 | 5 | 30 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger .$. \$ | 1,054 | 7 | 22 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 14.3 | 8 | 14 |
| Robstown (pop. 10,266) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* ................... \$ | 12,819 | 16 | 7 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 400,213 | 918 | 14 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ........... \$ | 15,744 | - 8 | 21 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger .$. \$ | 11,300 | - 8 | 2 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 16.1 | - 6 | 15 |
| Sinton (pop. 6,008) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* .................. \$ | 8,555 | $-2$ | 4 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 41,200 | 94 | -45 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ........... \$ | 7,460 | - 7 | 16 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. . \$ | 5,922 | $-35$ | 1 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 11.9 | - 5 | $-10$ |

## DALLAS SMSA

Dallas, Denton, Ellis, Kaufman, and Rockwall; pop. $1,424,415{ }^{\circ}$ )

| Retail sales ........................ | ... | 16 | 26 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Apparel stores | $\ldots$ | 4 | 8 |
| Automotive stores | ... | 33 | 31 |
| Drugstores | $\ldots$ | 3 | 12 |
| Eating and drinking places....... | $\ldots$ | $-1$ | 10 |
| Florists |  | 8 | 4 |
| Food stores |  | 12 | 16 |
| Furniture and householdappliance stores | $\ldots$ | $-16$ | 20 |
| Gasoline and service stations...... | $\ldots$ | 5 | 11 |
| Lumber, building-material, and hardware dealers | $\ldots$ | 21 | 65 |
| Office, store, and schoolsupply dealers |  | 8 | 22 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$37,405,317 | $-23$ | $-15$ |
| Bank debits (thousands) \|| ........ \$ | \$96,069,360 | 4 | 21 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$.. | \$ 1,978,814 | - 5 | 13 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover .... | 47.4 | 4 | 7 |
| Nonfarm employment (area) ....... | 651,800 | ** | 7 |
| Manufacturing employment (area). | 163,100 | ** | 12 |
| Percent unemployed (area) ......... | 1.4 | -12 | $-18$ |
| Carrollton (pop. 9,832 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ ) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* . ................... | \$ 35,015 | 92 | 122 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ 782,900 | -78 | 73 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ 13,747 | 29 | 5 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger .$. | \$ 6,564 | $-7$ | 22 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover..... | 24.2 | 20 | $-20$ |


| Local Business Conditions |  | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| City and item |  | Oct <br> Oct 1968 | Oct 1968 <br> from <br> from <br> Srom <br> Oct |


| DALLAS (pop. 679,684) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Retail sales |  | $15 \dagger \dagger$ | 15 | 25 |
| Apparel stores |  | 8 \% $\dagger$ | 3 | 7 |
| Automotive stores |  | $32+\dagger$ | 38 | 39 |
| Eating and drinking places |  | $11 \dagger$ | - 2 | 9 |
| Florists ................. |  | $1+\dagger$ | - | 4 |
| Furniture and householdappliance stores $\qquad$ |  | $3 \dagger \dagger$ | - 19 | 26 |
| Gasoline and service stations |  |  |  | 1 |
| Lumber, building-material, and hardware stores |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* |  | ,493,465 | 29 | 36 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts |  | ,323,431 | - 39 | $-27$ |
| Bank debits (thousands) |  | ,680,680 | 15 | 23 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. . |  | .732,194 | $-4$ | 11 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. |  | 52.2 | 12 | 9 |
| Denton (pop. 26,844) |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* ................... | \$ | 79,279 | 24 | 27 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts |  | 713,640 | - 53 | 62 |
| Bank debits (thousands) |  | 47,062 | 11 | 19 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$.. s |  | 31,728 | - | 14 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover..... |  | 17.4 | 16 | 4 |
| Nonferm plagements ............. |  | 174 | $-27$ | $-13$ |
| Ennis (pop. 10,250 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ ) |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts ${ }^{*} \ldots \ldots \ldots$ Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 17,428 | 32 | 21 |
|  |  | 8,991 | 20 | 29 |
| End-of-month deposits (thouss |  | 9,399 | 5 | 14 |
|  |  | 11.7 | 43 | 15 |

Farmers Branch (pop. 13,441)
Building permits, less federal contracts $\$ 2,724,174 \quad 434 \quad 29$

| Bank debits (thousands) | 11,382 | -18 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger .$. \$ | 5,874 |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnove |  |  |


| Garland (pop. 50,622 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ ) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Postal receipts* $\ldots \ldots \ldots . . . . .$. |  | 91,084 | 13 | 37 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts |  | 2,850,267 | 38 | 90 |
| Bank debits (thousands) |  | 63.853 | 21 | 31 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. |  | 31,305 | 10 | 27 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover.. |  | 25.6 | 14 | 6 |
| Grand Prairie (pop. 40,150 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ ) |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts |  | 2,095,824 | 23 | 21 |
| Bank debits (thousands) .......... |  | 26,384 | 2 | 18 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. . |  | 18,613 | 11 | 33 |
| Annual rate of depssit turnover...... |  | 17.9 |  |  |
| Irving (pop. 60,136 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ ) |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$. |  | 98,233 | 19 | 43 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts |  | 1,126,113 | - 41 | - 40 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ........... |  | 65,783 | 12 | 27 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. . |  | 30,432 | ** | 18 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. |  | 25.9 | 14 | 4 |
| Justin (pop. 622) |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* .................. |  | 1,433 | 49 | 47 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts s |  | 25,000 | 400 |  |
| Bank debits (thousands) ........... s |  | 1,113 |  | 10 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger .$. s |  | 1,135 | 6 | 24 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover.... |  | 12.1 |  | - 10 |
| Lancaster (pop. 7,501) |  |  |  |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts |  | 139,150 | - 20 | 168 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ........... s |  | 7.925 | 4 | 26 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger .$. s |  | 4,857 | 2 | 6 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. |  | 19.7 | 1 | 14 |
| Lewisville (pop. 3,956) |  |  |  |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts |  | 419,500 | 245 | 499 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ........... s |  | 8,738 | 8 | 21 |
| End-of month deposits (thousands) ${ }^{\text {a }}$.. |  | 6,016 | 5 | 23 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover .... |  | 17.8 | 5 | ** |

For an explanation of symbols see p. 354 .

| Local Business Conditions | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Oct } \\ & 1968 \end{aligned}$ | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| City and item |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Oct } 1968 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Sep } 1968 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Oct } 1968 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Oct } 1967 \end{aligned}$ |
| McKinney (pop. 13,763) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* $\ldots$................. \& | 22,875 | 12 | 11 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 167,650 | 38 | 78 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ........... \$ | 12,945 |  | - 11 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger .$. \$ | 15,165 | 3 | 17 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover..... | 10.4 | - 4 | $-23$ |
| Nonfarm placements | 127 | $-20$ | 3 |


| Mesquite (pop. 27,526) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Postal receipts* | \$ | 33,175 | 5 | 26 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ | 928,434 | 80 | 34 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 18,293 | 12 | 14 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands)f. |  | 9,616 | - 5 | 3 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. |  | 22.3 | 14 | 7 |
| Midlothian (pop. 1,521) |  |  |  |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ | 21,500 | 115 | 43 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 1,622 | 10 | 1 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | \$ | 1,811 | $-3$ | 3 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover..... |  | 10.6 | 9 | - 5 |

## Pilot Point (pop. 1,254)

| Building permits, less federal contracts $\$$ | 1,125 | $\ldots$ | -94 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Bank debits (thousands) $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots . \$$ | 2,327 | 7 | 24 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . . \$$ | 2,394 | 1 | 10 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover...... | 11.7 | 4 | 8 |

## Richardson (pop. 34,390 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ )

| Postal receipts* | \$ 89,766 | 25 | 27 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ 1,366,822 | 7 | 50 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | 42,779 | 11 | 28 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | \$ 24,707 | 18 | 21 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 22.5 | ** | 3 |

## Seagoville (pop. 3,745)

| Postal receipts* | \$ | 9,422 | 2 | 15 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ | 3,745 | -66 | - 71 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | 8 | 6,305 | 10 | 6 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\downarrow$ | \$ | 3,368 | - 4 | 31 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnove |  | 22.0 | 9 |  |

Terrell (pop. 13,803)

| Postal receipts* | \$ | 14,494 | 4 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ | 212,160 | 352 | 92 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 14,768 |  | 3 |
| End-of month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | \$ | 12,206 |  | 6 |
| Waxahachie (pop. 12,749) |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* | \$ | 19,287 | 39 | 23 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ | 94,940 | - 22 | 47 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ........... | \$ | 17,496 | 18 | 17 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. . | \$ | 13,104 | 6 | 11 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover..... |  | 16.5 | 14 | 9 |
| Nonfarm placements |  | 104 | 3 | 35 |

## EL PASO SMSA

(El Paso; pop. 349,144 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ )

| Retail sales |  | 7 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Apparel stores |  | 23 | 10 |
| Automotive stores |  | 3 | 9 |
| Food stores |  | 10 | 2 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$10,140,964 | 162 | 86 |
| Bank debits (thousands) \|| | \$ 6,025,656 | 2 | 7 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | \$ 211,025 | - 1 | 4 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover .... | 28.4 | 4 | 1 |
| Nonfarm employment (area) | 111,400 | ** | 2 |
| Manufacturing employment (area). | 20,970 | 2 | 8 |
| Percent unemployed (area) | 3.4 | 6 | 3 |


| Local Business Conditions |  | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| City and item | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Oct } \\ & 1968 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Oct } 1968 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Sep } 1968 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Oct } 1968 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Oct } 1967 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| EL PASO (pop. 276,687) |  |  |  |
| Retail sales | ${ }^{13 \%}$ | 7 | 9 |
| Apparel stores | 15* | 23 | 10 |
| Automotive stores | ${ }^{44}$ | 3 | 9 |
| Food stores | ** | 10 | 2 |
| Postal receipts* | 462,083 | 10 | 14 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$10,138,464 | 163 | 86 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | 496,397 | 9 | 9 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | \$ 205,960 | 1 | 4 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 29.1 | 8 | 4 |
| FORT WORTH SMSA <br> (Johnson and Tarrant; pop. 660,341 ${ }^{\text {n }}$ ) |  |  |  |
| Retail sales ....................... | ... | 16 | 11 |
| Apparel stores |  | 10 | 28 |
| Automotive stores |  | 22 | 3 |
| Drug stores |  | - 3 | 8 |
| Eating and drinking places |  | ** | 9 |
| Gasoline and service stations |  | 4 | 18 |
| Lumber, building-material, and hardware dealers |  | 18 | 46 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$16,509,470 | 31 | 28 |
| Bank debits (thousands) \|| | \$18,977,544 |  | 19 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$.. | \$ 582,013 | - 2 | 7 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover .... | 32.3 | $-5$ | 9 |
| Nonfarm employment (area) ........ | 280,900 | ** | 2 |
| Manufacturing employment (area). | 92,000 | - | 4 |
| Percent unemployed (area) ........ | 1.9 | $-10$ |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Arlington (pop. } 75,000^{r} \text { ) } \\ & \text { Retail sales } \end{aligned}$ | ${ }^{13+}$ | 10 | 4 |
| Postal reccipts* | 160,437 | 8 | 24 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ 2,508,458 | 19 | 36 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | 89,592 | 14 | 31 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | 39,776 | 2 | 26 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 27. | 10 | 5 |
| Cleburne (pop. 15,381) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* | 27,803 | 12 | 19 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | 341,030 | 89 | 351 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | 18,966 | 12 | 19 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | 15,680 | 4 | 12 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover..... | 14.2 | 8 | 4 |
| Euless (pop. 10,500 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ ) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* ................... | 14,492 | 18 | 17 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | 150,186 | - 74 |  |
| Bank debits (thousands) | 14,209 | ** | 23 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger .$. | 5,850 | 2 | 11 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 29.4 | $-3$ | 7 |
| FORT WORTH (pop. 356,268) |  |  |  |
| Retail sales . . . . . . . . . . .......... | ${ }^{3+\dagger}$ | $\dagger$ | 7 |
| Apparel stores | $4{ }^{\text {+ } \dagger}$ | $\dagger \quad 13$ | ${ }^{1}$ |
| Automotive stores | $29 \dagger$ ¢ | + 30 | 9 |
| Eating and drinking places........ | - 2才才 | $\dagger-1$ | 10 |
| Gasoline and service stations .... | $1+\dagger$ | + 4 | 19 |
| Lumber, building material, and |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* | \$ 1,358,185 | 23 | 19 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | 8 9,611,914 | 5 | 55 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ 1,483,017 | 5 | 22 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands)t. | \$ 199,065 | ** | 5 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 35.7 | 5 | 14 |
| Grapevine (pop. 4,659 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ ) Postal receipts* | \$ 9,169 |  | 12 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | 160,210 | 203 | 49 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ........... | 5,968 | 4 | 41 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$.. | 4.747 | ${ }^{3}$ | 16 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover...... | 15.3 |  | 20 |
| North Richland Hills (pop. 8,662) |  |  |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ 1,039,200 | 197 | 497 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ 13,251 | 10 | 29 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$.. | 6.423 |  | 13 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover... | 24.3 | 15 | 8 |

For an explanation of symbols see p. 354.

| Local Business Conditions |  | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| City and item | $\underset{1968}{\substack{\text { Oct }}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Oct } 1968 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Sep } 1968 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Oct } 1968 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Oct } 1967 \end{aligned}$ |
| White Settlement (pop. 11,513) |  |  |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | 167,540 | 1 | 473 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | 7,039 | 3 | 47 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger .$. | 2,809 | 8 | 20 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover...... | 31.2 | 4 | 21 |

## GALVESTON-TEXAS CITY SMSA <br> (Galveston; pop. 166,016 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ )

| Retail sales |  | 31 | 27 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Apparel stores .................... | $\ldots$ | ** | - |
| Automotive stores | $\ldots$ | 62 | 50 |
| Drusstores |  | 1 | 12 |
| Food stores |  | 14 | 14 |
| Lumber, building-material, and hardware dealers ........... | $\ldots$ | ** | 26 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$11,205,199 | 545 | 894 |
| Bank debits (thousands) \|| | \$ 2,234,328 | - 13 | 8 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | \$ 111,151 | 4 | 11 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 20.5 | - 13 | - 1 |
| Nonfarm employment (area) | 56,000 | - | - 2 |
| Manufacturing employment (area). | 10,710 | - | 1 |
| Percent unemployed (area) | 4.2 | 20 | 20 |
| Dickinson (pop. 4,715) |  |  |  |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ 11,574 | - 13 | 52 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$.. | \$ 5,899 | 11 | 27 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover.... | 24.8 | $-19$ | 28 |

## GALVESTON (pop. 67,175)



## TEXAS CITY (pop. 32,065)

| Postal receipts* $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$ | $\$ \ldots \ldots$ | 42,486 | 25 | 31 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | $\$$ | 445,345 | -69 | -16 |
| Bank debits (thousands) $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$. | 35,206 | 6 | 6 |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger .$. | $\$$ | 15,480 | - | 2 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover... | 27.1 | 10 | 5 |  |
| ** |  |  |  |  |

## HOUSTON SMSA

(Brazoria, Fort Bend, Harris, Liberty, and Montgomery; pop. $1,771,256{ }^{\text {a }}$ )

| Retail sales | ... | 9 |  | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Apparel stores | . $\cdot$ | 4 |  | 7 |
| Automotive stores |  | 11 |  | 8 |
| Eating and drinking places. | $\ldots$ | 3 |  | 6 |
| Food stores | $\ldots$ | - 6 |  | 3 |
| Furniture and householdappliance stores ..... | $\ldots$ | 4 |  | 1 |
| General-merchandise stores |  | 14 |  | 4 |
| Lumber, building-material, and hardware dealers. |  | 17 |  | 9 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$53,979,421 | 17 |  | 2 |
| Bank debits (thousands) \|| | \$81,651,528 |  |  | 1 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | \$ 2,342,446 | 2 |  | 6 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover .... | 35.1 |  |  | 4 |
| Nonfarm employment (area) ....... | 770,400 | ** |  | 6 |
| Manufacturing employment (area). | 138,200 | $-1$ |  | 6 |
| Percent unemployed (area) | 1.8 | -18 | - | 5 |


| Local Business Conditions |  |  | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| City and item |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Oct } \\ & 1968 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Oct } 1968 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Sep } 1968 \end{aligned}$ | Oct 1968 from Oct 1967 |
| Baytown (pop. 38,000 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ ) |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* |  | 47,511 | 7 | 24 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts |  | 2,026,610 | $-13$ | 423 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 63,689 | 17 | 8 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. . | \$ | 33,095 | - 4 | 9 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. |  | 22.7 | 16 | $-2$ |
| Bellaire (pop. 21,182 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ ) |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* | \$ | 272,950 | 8 | 10 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ | 96,474 | - 41 | - 31 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 41,443 | 11 | 36 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | \$ | 22,536 | 1 | 18 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover.. |  | 22.2 | 12 | 16 |
| Clute (pop. 4,501) |  |  |  |  |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 3,964 | 10 | 17 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$.. | \$ | 2,250 | 6 | 8 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. |  | 21.7 | 9 | 9 |
| Conroe (pop. 9,192) |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* | \$ | 35,654 | 6 | ** |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ | 724,400 | 716 | ... |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 25,305 | 16 | 22 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$.. | \$ | 16,401 | 1 | 8 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. |  | 18.6 | 13 | 10 |
| Dayton (pop. 3,367) |  |  |  |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ | 149 | ... |  |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 6,136 | 4 | 7 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | \$ | 4,523 | 6 | ** |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. |  | 16.7 | $-2$ | 4 |
| Deer Park (pop. 4,865) |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* | \$ | 16,358 | 8 | 74 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ | 2,905,364 | 718 | $\ldots$ |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 8.371 | 9 | 54 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$.. | \$ | 3,652 | - | 2 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover.... |  | 26.7 | 9 | 41 |
| Freeport (pop. 11,619) |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* | \$ | 29,984 | 15 | 26 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ | 10,500 | - 71 | -88 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............ | S | 28,426 | 10 | 31 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$.. | \$ | 15,542 | - | 17 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. |  | 21.2 | 15 | 12 |
| HOUSTON (pop. 938,219) |  |  |  |  |
| Retail sales |  | $9 \dagger \dagger$ | 10 | 23 |
| Apparel stores |  | 7* | 4 | 7 |
| Automotive stores |  | 24亦 | 10 | 54 |
| Eating and drinking places |  | 37 | 3 | 6 |
| Food stores |  | $5 \dagger \dagger$ | 6 | 12 |
| General-merchandise stores |  | $11 \dagger \dagger$ | 14 | 14 |
| Lumber, building-material, and hardware stores |  | 13才† | 17 | 19 |
| Postal receipts* ................... |  | ,670,103 | 11 | 23 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts |  | ,315,719 | 4 | 43 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............ | \$ 6 | ,584,370 | 8 | 15 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$.. |  | 2,015,143 | ** | 6 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover..... |  | 39.1 | 8 | 9 |
| Humble (pop. 1,711) |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* .................... | \$ | 6,468 | 1 | 25 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ | 27,500 | $-85$ | 7 |
| Bank debits (thousands) |  | 5,978 | 3 | 14 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$.. | \% | 4,948 | 8 | 19 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover..... |  | 15.1 | - 4 | ** |
| Katy (pop. 1,569) |  |  |  |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ | 1,550 | $-98$ | $-98$ |
| Bank debits (thousands) ........... |  | 4,697 | 78 | 20 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$.. |  | 3,743 | 1 | 12 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover..... |  | 15.1 | 76 |  |

For an explanation of symbols see p. 354.

| Local Business Conditions |  | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| City and item | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Oct } \\ & 1968 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Oct } 1968 \\ \text { from } \\ \text { Sep } 1968 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Oct } 1968 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Oct } 1967 \end{aligned}$ |
| La Porte (pop. 7,250 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ ) |  |  |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ 143,700 | 238 | 11 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ........... | 8 4,533 | 8 | - |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) ${ }^{\text {a }}$.. \$ | \$ 3,630 | 8 | 4 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover .... | 15.6 | 1 | - 11 |
| Liberty (pop. 6,127) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts** ${ }^{\text {* }}$. $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$. \& | 9,483 | $-23$ | 11 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | 8 77,606 |  | 42 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | 15,304 | - | 14 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger .$. \& | - 11,621 | 5 | 6 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover..... | 16.2 | $-10$ | 7 |
| Pasadena (pop. 58,737) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* .................. \$ | 86,919 | 3 | 17 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | 5,328,843 | 98 | 98 |
| Bank debits (thousands) $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$ | \% 96,227 |  | 16 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger .$. \$ | 8 43,299 | 2 | 9 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 27.0 | 4 | 5 |
| Richmond (pop. 3,668) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* ................... \& | 8 8,835 | 122 | 61 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 170,650 | , | -83 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ........... \$ | 9,965 | 15 | 24 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger .$. \$ | 10,656 | 14 | $-3$ |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover.. | 12.0 | 7 | 25 |
| Rosenberg (pop. 9,698) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* .................. \$ | 13,467 | - 20 | 8 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 209,366 | 11 | 124 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger .$. \$ | 11,628 | 1 |  |
| South Houston (pop. 7,253) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* .................. \$ | 12,039 | 28 | 22 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ........... \$ | 10,711 | 8 | 17 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger .$. \$ | 7,373 |  | 17 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 17.2 | 10 |  |
| Tomball (pop. 2,025 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ ) |  |  |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 50,000 | .. | 257 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ........... \$ | 7,858 | 27 | 34 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger .$. \$ | 11,588 | 3 | 12 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover..... | 8.2 | 22 | 21 |

## LAREDO SMSA

(Webb; pop. $75,863^{\circ}$ )
Building permits, less federal contracts $\$ 305,725 \quad-24 \quad 80$

| Bank debits (thousands) \|| $\ldots \ldots . . \$$ | 779,892 | 1 | 22 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger \ldots \$$ | 37,988 | 5 | 15 |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover $\ldots .$. | 21.0 | - | 2 | 6 |
| Nonfarm employment (area) ....... | 24,350 | $* *$ | 6 |  |
| Manufacturing employment (area). | 1,340 | 2 | 9 |  |
| Percent unemployed (area) $\ldots . . .$. | 6.8 | -4 | - | 8 |

LAREDO (pop. 60,678)

| Postal receipts* | \$ | 67.765 |  | 34 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ | 305,725 |  | 80 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 65,443 |  | 26 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | \$ | 37,076 |  | 15 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. |  | 21.6 |  | 9 |
| Nonfarm placements |  | 590 | - | 12 |

## LUBBOCK SMSA

(Lubbock; pop. 175,839 ")

| Retail sales |  | 14 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Automotive stores |  | 20 | - 11 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ 4,326,114 | 134 | 14 |
| Bank debits (thousands) \|| | \$ 3,967,548 | $-12$ | - 1 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | \$ 161,854 | - 2 | 3 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 24.2 | $-15$ | - 5 |
| Nonfarm employment (area) | 64,500 | 1 | 3 |
| Manufacturing employment (area). | 6,950 | 1 | 5 |
| Percent unemployed (area) | 2.8 | $-12$ | $-3$ |


| Local Business Conditions |  | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| City and item | Oct <br> Oct 1968 <br> from <br> Sep 1968 | Oct 1968 <br> from <br> Oct 1967 |  |

## LUBBOCK (pop. 155,200 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ )

| Retail sales | $13 \dagger$ | 14 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Automotive stores | 44 中 | 20 | $-11$ |
| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . \$ | 327,583 | 18 | 22 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 4,326,114 | 141 | 15 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............ \$ | 302,102 | 5 | 4 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . . \$$ | 146,907 | - 1 | 3 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover..... | 24.5 | ** | ** |


| Slaton (pop. 6,568) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Postal receipts* ................... \$ | 5,506 | 35 | 19 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 0 | $\ldots$ | ... |
| Bank debits (thousands) ........... \$ | 5,611 | - 3 | - 8 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger .$. \$ | 4,376 | $-4$ | 2 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 15.1 | - 14 | $-16$ |

## McALLEN-PHARR-EDINBURG SMSA (Hidalgo; pop. 180,596 ${ }^{\text {" }}$ )

| Retail sales | ... | 16 | - 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Apparel stores | $\cdots$ | 7 | 12 |
| Automotive stores |  | 33 | 5 |
| Drugstores | $\ldots$ | 13 | $-5$ |
| Food stores | $\ldots$ | 6 | $-2$ |
| Furniture and householdappliance stores | $\ldots$ | 1 | - 32 |
| Gasoline and service stations | ... | -5 | 2 |
| General-merchandise stores | $\ldots$ | 13 | -34 |
| Lumber, building-material, and hardware dealers | . ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 12 | $-17$ |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ 2,311,900 | 22 | 262 |
| Bank debits (thousands) \|| | \$ 1,733,292 | 4 | 21 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$.. | \$ 86,912 | 1 | - 2 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover .... | 20.0 | - 1 | 23 |
| Nonfarm employment (area) ....... | 44,100 | 5 | 4 |
| Manufacturing employment (area). | 4,810 | 8 | 15 |
| Percent unemployed (area) ......... | 5.6 | 10 | 2 |


| Alamo (pop. 4,121) |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Building permits, less federal contracts $\$$ | 383 | -94 | $\ldots$ |  |
| Bank debits (thousands) $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots . \$$ | 2,838 | 12 | 59 |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . \$ \$$ | 1,685 | - | 4 | $* *$ |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover..... | 19.8 | 5 | 52 |  |


| Donna (pop. 7,522) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Postal receipts* | \$ | 7,992 | 50 | 11 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ | 32,625 | $-17$ | 412 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 3,743 | 44 | 18 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. |  | 5,104 | 27 | 6 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. |  | 9.9 | 36 |  |
| EDINBURG (pop. 18,706) |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* | \$ | 20,370 | - 8 | $-12$ |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ | 685,435 | 736 | ... |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 30,960 | 30 | 77 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger .$. | \$ | 13,562 | - | - 4 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover..... |  | 27.3 | 18 | 81 |
| Nonfarm placements |  | 475 | 145 | 14 |
| Elsa (pop. 3,847) |  |  |  |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ | 9,878 | 268 | $-27$ |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 3,988 | - 24 | 38 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$.. |  | 1,987 | $-12$ | - 6 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover...... |  | 22.5 | - 20 | 86 |

For an explanation of symbols see p. 354.

| Local Business Conditions |  | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Oct | ${ }_{\substack{\text { Oct } \\ \text { from } \\ \text { from }}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Oct } 1968 \\ & \text { from } \end{aligned}$ |
| City and item | 1968 | Sep 1968 | Oct 1967 |

## McALLEN (pop. 35,411 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ )

| Retail sales |  | $13 \dagger$ | 18 | $-4$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Postal receipts* | \$ | 50,007 | 11 | 18 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ | 407,030 | -68 | 56 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 53,431 | 4 | 38 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | \$ | 32,209 | $-2$ | 12 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. |  | 19.7 | 3 | 19 |
| Nonfarm placements |  | 1,043 | $-2$ | 87 |
| Mercedes (pop. 10,943) |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* | \$ | 7,385 | 24 | 15 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ | 67,171 | 29 | 336 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 7,115 | $-27$ | $-2$ |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. |  | 5,678 | , | 12 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. |  | 15.3 |  | - 2 |


| Mission (pop. 14,081) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . \$ | 13,496 | 23 | 7 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 28,503 | - 31 | 16 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............ \$ | 15,376 | 10 | 23 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger .$. \$ | 11,751 | - 11 | 6 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover .... | 14.8 | 7 |  |
| PHARR (pop. 15,279 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ ) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* .................... \% | 8,930 | - 11 |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 65,445 | 165 | 54 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ........... \$ | 5,998 | - 1 | 41 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger .$. \$ | 5,678 | $-12$ | 25 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 11.9 |  |  |
| San Juan (pop. 4,371) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* .................. \$ | 4,289 | 7 | 31 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 3,000 | 75 |  |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............ \$ | 2,677 | $-13$ |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger .$. \$ | 3,082 | 6 | 13 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 10. | $-17$ | - 12 |
| Weslaco (pop. 15,649) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* ................... \$ | 16,854 | 27 | 30 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 67,150 | $-73$ |  |
| Bank debits (thousands) .......... \$ | 12,901 | 21 | 20 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger .$. \$ | 12,307 |  | 7 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover..... | 12.4 | 2 | 10 |

## MIDLAND SMSA

(Midland; pop. $66,487^{\circ}$ )
Building permits, less federal contracts $\$ \quad 615,425 \quad 51 \quad-46$
Bank debits (thousands) || ........ \$ 1,856,172
End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . . \$ 129,992$
Annual rate of deposit turnover .... 14.2
Nonfarm employment (area) b ...... 60,900

| Manufacturing employment (area) b | 60,900 | $-1,870$ | 1 | 2 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Percent unemployed (area) b $\ldots \ldots$ | 2.3 | -21 | 6 |  |

MIDLAND (pop. 62,625)

ODESSA SMSA
(Ector; pop. $88,194^{\text {a }}$ )

| Local Business Conditions |  | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| City and item | $\underset{1968}{\text { Oct }}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Oct } 1968 \\ \text { from } \\ \text { frome } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Oct } 1968 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Oct } 1967 \end{aligned}$ |
| ODESSA (pop. 86,937 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ ) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* ${ }^{\text {a }}$ (................. \& | 118,612 | 6 | 18 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 650,429 | - 28 |  |
| Bank debits (thousands) .......... \$ | 121,848 | 13 | 12 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger .$. \$ | 68,945 | - 2 | 1 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover..... | 21.0 | 9 | 8 |
| Nonfarm placements | 621 | $-7$ | 7 |


| SAN ANGELO SMSA <br> (Tom Green; pop. $75,210^{\text {a }}$ ) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Retail sales |  | 7 | 6 |
| Gasoline and service stations. |  | 2 | 7 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 469,408 | 25 | $-14$ |
| Bank debits (thousands) \|| ........ \& 1 | 1,034,700 |  | 6 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger .$. \$ | 64,120 | 2 | 7 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover .... | 16.0 | - 3 |  |
| Nonfarm employment (area) ........ | 23,450 | ** | 3 |
| Manufncturing employment (area). | 3,810 | ** | 2 |
| Percent unemployed (aren) ......... | 2.6 | $-13$ |  |
| SAN ANGELO (pop. 58,815) |  |  |  |
| Retail sales | $13 \uparrow$ | 7 | 6 |
| Gasoline and service stations .... | ${ }_{5}{ }^{\text {¢ }}$ | 2 | 7 |
| Postal receipts* ................... \$ | 140,584 | 2 | 11 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 469,408 | 25 | - 14 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ........... 8 | 90,761 | 4 | 9 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. \% | 65,018 |  | 7 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover...... | 16.6 | 3 | $-1$ |

## SAN ANTONIO SMSA (Bexar and Guadalupe; pop. 852,491 ")

| Retail sales | ... | 10 | 13 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Apparel stores |  | - 1 | 6 |
| Automotive stores |  | 23 | 19 |
| Eating and drinking places | $\ldots$ | 8 | 5 |
| General-merchandise stores |  | 3 | 2 |
| Lumber, building-material, and hardware dealers .. |  | 12 | 22 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ 8,095,393 | $-10$ |  |
| Bank debits (thousands) \|| | \$15,241,248 | 5 | 22 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | \$ 593,946 | 3 | 9 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 25.3 | 5 | 10 |
| Nonfarm employment (area) | 271,900 | - 1 | 5 |
| Manufacturing employment (area). | 31,425 | * | 8 |
| Percent unemployed (area). | 3.5 | ** | 6 |

## SAN ANTONIO (pop. $655,006{ }^{r}$ )

| Retail sales | $7 \dagger \dagger$ | 7 | 7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Apparel stores | $3 \dagger \dagger$ | - 1 | 6 |
| Automotive stores | $15 \dagger \dagger$ | 24 | 18 |
| Eating and drinking places | $2 \dagger \dagger$ | 8 | 5 |
| General-merchandise stores | $6 \div \dagger$ | 3 | 2 |
| Lumber, building-material, and hardware stores | $11 \dagger \dagger$ | 14 | 23 |
| Postal receipts* | \$ 1,313,613 | 8 | 18 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ 7,691,271 | - 9 | $-27$ |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ 1,297,193 | 15 | 27 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | \$ 568,475 | $-2$ | 9 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover..... | 27.1 | 14 | 13 |

For an explanation of symbols see p. 354.

| Local Business Conditions |  | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| City and item | Oct <br> Oct 1968 | Oct 1968 <br> from <br> from <br> from <br> Sep 1968 |  |
| Oct 1967 |  |  |  |

## Schertz (pop. 2,281)

| Postal receipts* | \$ | 2,811 |  | 18 |  | 73 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 703 | - | 1 |  | 2 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | \$ | 1,098 | - | 3 |  | 11 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. |  | 7.6 |  | 3 |  | 7 |

$\longrightarrow$

| Postal receipts* $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots .$. | 15,123 | -12 | -16 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | $\$$ | 190,795 | 43 | -81 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ........... $\$ 8$ | 19,755 | 12 | 22 |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\% .$. | $\$$ | 16,907 | -1 | 2 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover..... | 13.8 | 13 | 16 |  |

## SHERMAN-DENISON SMSA ${ }^{\text {x }}$ <br> (Grayson; pop. 80,957 ")

| Retail sales | $\ldots$ |  | 6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Apparel stores |  |  | 24 |
| Automotive stores |  |  | 5 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ 1,084,347 |  | 25 |
| Bank debits (thousands) \|| | 923,760 | - | 9 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | 56,720 | - | ... |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 16.0 | - |  |

DENISON (pop. 25,766 ${ }^{r}$ )

| Postal receipts* | \$ | 38,569 | 4 | 26 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ | 310,572 | 55 | 246 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 28,023 | 3 | 10 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | \$ | 18,663 | $-3$ | 4 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover..... |  | 17.8 | 2 | 4 |
| Nonfarm placements |  | 201 | $-21$ | 10 |

## SHERMAN (pop. $\mathbf{3 0 , 6 6 0}{ }^{\text {r }}$ )

## Retail sales

| Automotive stores |  | 44 ${ }^{\text {¢ }}$ | 22 | 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Postal receipts* | \$ | 42,685 | 7 | - 4 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ | 710,775 | 1 | - 3 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 48,274 | 5 | 12 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | \$ | 28,025 | 1 | 7 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. |  | 20.8 | 4 | 2 |
| Nonfarm placements |  | 353 | $-17$ | 91 |

## TEXARKANA SMSA <br> (Bowie, excluding Miller, Ark.; pop. 70,413 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ )

| Retail sales |  |  | 15 | ** |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ | 259,014 | 59 | 31 |
| Bank debits (thousands) \|| | \$ | 1,538,148 | 1 | 19 |
| End-ol-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | \$ | 69,549 | 5 | 17 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover |  | 22.6 | - 5 | 3 |
| Nonfarm employment (area) |  | 44,350 | ** | 8 |
| Manufacturing employment (area). |  | 15,620 | 1 | 24 |
| Percent unemployed (aren) |  | 2.2 | ** | - 19 |
| TEXARKANA (pop. $50,006{ }^{\text {r }}$ ) |  |  |  |  |
| Retail sales |  | $13 \dagger$ | 15 | - 1 |
| Postal receipts* | S | 120,095 | 34 | 22 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ | 256,514 | 75 | -28 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 129,678 | 13 | 25 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | \$ | 30,506 | 5 | 20 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. |  | 27.4 | 10 | 14 |


WACO (pop. 103,462)

| Retail sales | $13 \dagger$ | 1 | 30 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Automotive stores | $44 \dagger$ | 3 | 42 |
| Postal receipts* | 277,448 | 4 | 15 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | 830,133 | $-53$ | 10 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | 204,732 | 13 | 16 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$.. | 98,645 | - 5 | ** |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover..... | 24.2 | 14 | 12 |

## WICHITA FALLS SMSA <br> (Archer and Wichita; pop. 126,794 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ )

| Retail sales |  | 15 | 14 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | 506,696 | $-16$ | 2 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | 2,403,600 | 12 | 18 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$.. | 118,027 | 2 | 5 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 20.6 | 11 | 18 |
| Nonfarm employment (area) | 50,100 | ** | ** |
| Manufacturing employment (area). | 5,040 | 2 | 12 |
| Percent unemployed (area) | 1.9 | - 10 | - 14 |

## Burkburnett (pop. 7,621)

| Building permits, less federal contracts | $\$$ | 68,646 | - | 9 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Bank debits (thousands) $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$ | $8, \ldots 25$ |  | 4 | 10 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger .$. | $\$$ | 5,090 | - | 6 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover..... | 18.8 |  | 7 | 4 |

Iowa Park (pop. 5,152 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ )
Building permits, less federal contracts \$ 23,150 ... 49 Bank debits (thousands) ........... \$ 3,960 15 24
$\begin{array}{lrrrr}\text { End-of-month deposits (thousands) } \ddagger . . \$ & 3,815 & * * & 5 \\ \text { Annual rate of deposit turnover.... } & 12.5 & 13 & 19\end{array}$

## WICHITA FALLS (pop. $115,340^{r}$ )



## ALPHABETICAL LISTING OF NON-SMSA CITIES, WITH DATA

| ALBANY (pop. 2,174) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ | 15,000 | ... | ... |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 2,945 | 2 | - 9 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger .$. | \$ | 4,299 | 4 | ** |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. |  | 8.4 | 1 | 7 |
| ALPINE (pop. 4,740) |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* | \$ | 8,436 | 11 | 25 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ | 32,540 | -95 | $-73$ |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 4,731 | - 8 | 15 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\dagger$.. | \$ | 6,192 | 7 | 15 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover |  | 9.5 | $-14$ | 2 |
| ANDREWS (pop. 11,135) |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* | \$ | 12,263 | 21 | 7 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ | 422,500 | 445 | . |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 7,507 | 6 | 6 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$.. | \$ | 7,576 | 4 | 6 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover..... |  | 12.1 | - | 1 |

For an explanation of symbols see p. 354.

ANGLETON (pop. 9,131)

| Postal receipts* $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots .$. | 11,791 | -35 | 11 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Building permits, less federal contracts $\$$ | 207,650 | 111 | 133 |  |
| Bank debits (thousands) $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots . \$$ | 17,047 | - | 3 | 8 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . . \$$ | 12,762 | 1 | 3 |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover..... | 16.1 | - | 5 | 10 |

ATHENS (pop. 7,086)

| Postal receipts* | S | 20,412 |  | 25 |  | 27 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ | 111,855 |  | 43 |  | 265 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 13,274 |  | 7 |  | 10 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | \$ | 12,097 |  | 6 |  | 13 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover |  | 13.5 | - | 1 |  | 4 |

BAY CITY (pop. 11,656)

| Postal receipts* | \$ | 20,981 | 8 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ | 66,350 | 820 | $-67$ |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 27,282 | 6 | 24 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | \$ | 30,395 | 3 | 5 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. |  | 10.9 | 3 | 17 |
| Nonfarm placements |  | 74 | - 57 | ** |


| Local Business Conditions |  | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| City and item | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Oct } \\ & 1968 \end{aligned}$ | $\text { Oct } 1968$ $\operatorname{Sen} 19 B$ $\text { Sep } 196$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Oct } 1968 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { oct } 1967 \end{aligned}$ |
| BEEVILLE (pop. 13,811) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* ................... \$ | 21,322 | 24 | 23 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | 172,182 | 52 | 107 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | 17,640 | 13 | 33 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$.. \$ | 17,085 | ** | 9 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover..... | 12.4 | 14 | 22 |
| Nonfarm placements | 82 | $-20$ | $-57$ |
| BELLVILLE (pop. 2,218) |  |  |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | 8,800 | -84 | 82 |
| Bank debits (thousands) .......... \% | 5,754 | 2 | -20 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger .$. \$ | 6,000 | 1 |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 11.6 | 2 | $-17$ |
| BELTON (pop. 8,163) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* $\ldots \ldots \ldots . . . . . . . . . .18$ | 15,112 | 19 | 45 |
| Building dermits, less federal contracts \$ | 175,650 | 583 | 222 |
| End-of-month depusits (thousands) $\ddagger$. 8 | 11,080 | 5 | 17 |
| BIG SPRING (pop. 31,230) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* $\ldots$................ \$ | 43,497 | 12 | 11 |
| Building Dermits, less federal contracts \$ | 66,481 | 18 | 157 |
| Bank debits (thousands) $\ldots . . . . . . .$. \& | 55,837 | 14 | s |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) ${ }^{\text {a }}$.. \$ | 30,794 | 7 | 12 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 22.4 | 6 | - |
| Nonfarm placements | 173 | - 21 | 18 |
| BONHAM (pop. 7,357) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* $\ldots . . . . . . . . . . . . .$. \$ | 8,766 | - 12 |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracto \$ | 17,000 | -90 | - |
| Bank delits (thousands) ........... 8 | 11,397 | 15 | 10 |
| End-of-month deposita (thousands) $1 .$. \$ | 10,702 | 12 | 8 |
| Annual rate of depasit turnover. | 13.5 | 10 | 5 |
| BORGER (pop. 20,911) |  |  |  |
| Postal recelipts* .................. * | 26,372 | 10 | 17 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | 1,334,800 |  |  |
| Nonfarm placements | 83 |  | - 40 |
| BRADY (pop. 5,338) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts" ................. \$ | 9.837 | 22 | 72 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 35,050 | - 34 | - 58 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............ \% | 10,215 | 27 | 11 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . .8$ | 7.623 | 2 | 8 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 16.2 | 26 | 3 |
| BRENHAM (pop. 7,740) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* ................... \% | 14,260 | 5 | 9 |
| Buildinx permits, less federal contracts | 86.465 | $-13$ | 66 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............ \$ | 18.336 | 16 | 21 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$.. \$ | 17,789 | 2 | 9 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 12.5 | 10 | 12 |
| BROWNFIELD (pop. 10,286) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* .................. \& | 14.830 | 26 | 16 |
| Bank debits (thousands) .......... \% | 20,312 | , | 19 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands)t.. \$ | 14,748 | 1 | ** |
| Annual rate of debosit turnover. | 16.6 | 1 | 16 |
| BROWNWOOD (pop. 16,974) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* .................. \$ | 35,765 | 11 | 24 |
| Ruilding permits, less federal contracts \$ | 77.750 | - 51 | 176 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ........... | 26,277 | 3 | 25 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger .$. * | 14,607 | 4 | 6 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover..... | 22.0 | ** | 18 |
| Nonfarm placements | 131 | , - | 6 |

[^5]| Local Business Conditions |  | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| City and item | $\begin{gathered} \text { Oct } \\ 1968 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Oct } 1968 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Sep } 1968 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Oct } 1968 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Oct } 1967 \end{aligned}$ |
| BRYAN (pop. 32,891 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ ) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ${ }^{\text {s }}$ | 46,620 | 16 | 19 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 959,267 | - 79 | 52 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | 69,804 | 24 | 28 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger .$. \$ | 35,708 | 16 | 29 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 25.2 | 12 | 7 |
| Nonfarm placements | 257 | - 36 | - 21 |
| CALDWELL (pop. 2,202 ${ }^{\text { }}$ ) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipta* ...................... \$ | 3,962 | 6 | ** |
| Bank debits (thousands) | 3,550 | 9 | 12 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) \% . \$ | 4,942 | 3 | 1 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 8.8 | $\%$ | 14 |
| CAMERON (pop. 5,640) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipta* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . \$ | 8,402 | 40 | 37 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............ \$ | 7,396 | 18 | 13 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) ${ }^{\text {a }} .$. \% | 6,523 | 6 | ** |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover, | 14,0 | 10 | 15 |
| CASTROVILLE (pop. 1,508) |  |  |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 6,050 | -84 | - 84 |
| Bank debits (thousands) . .......... \$ | 1,174 | - 19 | 21 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands)t.. \$ | 1,385 | - 4 | 1 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 10.4 | - 15 | 18 |
| CISCO (pop. 4,499) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* | 7,823 | 39 | 25 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | 5,166 | 10 | 6 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $4 .$. \$ | 4,278 | - 9 | 9 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 13.8 | 8 | $-7$ |
| COLLEGE STATION (pop. 18,590 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ ) |  |  |  |
| Postal receints* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . * | 38,284 | - 31 | 9 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 118,355 | - 51 | $-57$ |
| Bank debits (thousands) | 9,663 | 16 | 11 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) F . . \$ | 6,189 | - 3 | 11 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 18.4 | 16 | - 3 |
| COLORADO CITY (pop. 6,457) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipta* | 6,231 | -18 | 12 |
| Bank debits (thousands) .........., \$ | 5,835 | 9 | 15 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $⿻$ ¢.. \$ | 6,965 | 8 | 1 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 10.4 | 5 | 13 |
| COPPERAS COVE (pop. 4,567) |  |  |  |
| Postsl receipts* .................... \$ | 8,174 | 16 | 21 |
| Building permits, less federal contraets \$ | 161,410 | 373 | 23 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ........... \$ | 4,944 | 57 | 113 |
| End-or-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. . \$ | 2,581 | - 8 | 39 |
| Annual rate of deporit turnover. | 23.1 | 40 | 39 |
| CORSICANA (pop. 20,344) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* ..................... \$ | 69.457 | 34 | 36 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 434,008 | 118 | - 2 |
| Bank debity (thousands) ........... \$ | 31,149 | 16 | 14 |
| End-of-month deposits (thourands) $\dagger .$. \$ | 25,112 | 7 | 1 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 15.4 | 11 | 16 |
| Nonfarm placements | 316 | 42 | 43 |
| CRYSTAL CITY (pop. 9,101) |  |  |  |
| Building permits, lese federal contracts \$ | 50,669 | -65 | - 11 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............ | 4,733 | 24 |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger .$. \$ | 3,206 | 8 | 5 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover.... | 18.4 | 19 | - 4 |
| DECATUR (pop. 3,563) |  |  |  |
| Building permits, less federal contractg \$ | 10,500 | - 56 | $\ldots$ |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............ \$ | 4.487 | ** | $-12$ |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger .$. | 4,128 | $-13$ | $-17$ |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover..... | 12.1 | 9 | - 5 |


| Local Business Conditions |  | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| City and item | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Oct } \\ & 1968 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Oct } 1968 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Sep } 1968 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Oct } 1968 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Oct } 1967 \end{aligned}$ |
| DEL RIO (pop. 18,612) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipta* . ................... * | 25,368 | 4 | 5 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 108,448 | - 68 | 21 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............ \$ | 19,511 | 17 | 15 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger .$. \% | 20.200 | 2 | 6 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 11.7 | 16 | 6 |
| DIMMITT (pop. 2,935) |  |  |  |
| Bank debits (thousands) ........... \$ , | 15,750 | 13 | 67 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$.: \$ | 9,488 | 4. | 21 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 20.3 | - | 25 |
| EAGLE LAKE (pop. 3,565) |  |  |  |
| Bank debitg (thousands) ............. 8 | 5,605 | - 27 | 39 |
| End-op-month deposits (thousands) ${ }^{\text {a }}$.. 8 | 5,868 | - 12 | 4 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 10.7 | - 28 | 29 |
| EAGLE PASS (pop. 12,094) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . \$ | 15,087 | 9 | 17 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 93,665 | - 25 | 64 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ........... \$ | 8,503 | 11 | -6 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands)f.. \$ | 4,971 | 2 | 6 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 20.7 | 6 | - 11 |
| EDNA (pop. 5,038) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . \$ | 9,053 | 45 | 34 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 41,150 | $\ldots$ | 374 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............. \$ | 8,985 | 10 | ... |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) ${ }^{\text {a }}$. . \$ | 8,408 | 17 | $\ldots$ |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover..... | 13.8 | 1 | $\ldots$ |
| FORT STOCKTON (pop. 6,373) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* ................... \$ | 10,168 | 9 | 7 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 73,650 | - 70 | - 8 |
| Bank, debits (thousands) ........... 8 | 11,062 | $\ldots$ | 30 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\dagger .$. \$ | 9,570 | $\cdots$ | 6 |
| FREDERICKSBURG (pop. 4,629) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* .................... . \$ | 11,271 | 12 | 84 |
| Building permitg, less federal contracts \$ | 18,100 | $-62$ | $-13$ |
| Bank debita (thousands) ............ \$ | 15,344 | 13 | 16 |
| End-of-month deposits, (thousands) $4 .$. \$ | 11,239 | - 4 | 7 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 16.1 | 13 | 8 |
| FRIONA (pop. 3,049 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ ) |  |  |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts $\$$ | 25,800 | $-36$ | - 77 |
| Bank debits (thousands) . . . . . . . . . \$ | 17.555 | 20 | 70 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger .$. \& | 6,486 | 23 | 26 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 35.9 | 11 | 46 |
| GATESVILLE (pop. 4,626) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts" .................... \% | 10,377 | 46 | 61 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ........... \$ | 8,044 | - 1 | - 11 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands)f.. \$ | 8,096 | 5. | 6 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover..... | 12.2 | - 3 | $-16$ |
| GEORGETOWN (pop. 5,218) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* .................... \$ | 9,204 | * ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | $\cdots$ |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 65,425 | - 38 | - 30 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............ \$ | 7,284 | 14 | 14 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . . \$$ | 7,862 | 1 | 11 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover.... | 11.1 | 9 |  |

[^6]

## GIDDINGS (pop. 2,821)

| Postal receipts* | 5,625 | $-3$ |  | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | 6,600 | - 61 |  |  |
| Bank debits (thousands) | 5,641 | 15 |  | 4 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | 5,619 | 2 |  | 7 |
| Annual rate of debosit turnover. | 12.0 | 11 | - | 4 |

## GLADEWATER (pop. 5,742)

| Postal receipts* | 7,782 | 8 | 40 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | 23,600 | $-34$ | - 31 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | 6,606 | 22 | 16 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger .$. | 5,155 | - 9 | 2 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 14.7 | 21 | 15 |
| Nonfarm employment (area) e | 34,750 | ** | 4 |
| Manufacturing employment (area) e | 9.810 | ** | 12 |
| Percent unemployed (area) c | 2.2 | - 15 | - 8 |

## GOLDTHWAITE (pop. 1,383 )

| Postal receipts* | 2,982 | - 26 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bank debits (thousands) . ........... \$ | 6,268 | 24 | 37 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. . \$ | 4,343 | - 1 | -29 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 17.2 | 19 | 89 |

GRAHAM (pop. 8,505)

| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . \$ | 12,876 | 2 | 11 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits, Iess federal contracts \$ | 714,200 | 842 |  |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............ \$ | 13,219 | 15 | 23 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$.. $\$^{\text {S }}$ | 11,827 | **. | 18 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 18.4 | 16 | g |
| GRANBURY (pop. 2,227) |  |  |  |
|  | 4,668 | $-18$ | 8 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............ \$ | 2,533 | 8 | 12 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) | 3,482 | 7 | 24 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 9.0 | 5 | 6 |
| GREENVILLE (pop. 22,134 ${ }^{\text {' }}$ ) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* ..................... \$ | 41,159 | 7 | ${ }_{4}^{4}$ |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 635,275 | 121 | $-72$ |
| Bank debits (thousands) ........... \$ | 33,038 |  | 10 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger .$. \$ | 24,083 | 3 | 22 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 16.7 | - 9 | - 8 |
| Nonfarm placements | 205 | - 23 | 21 |

HALLETTSVILLE (pop. 2,808)

| Bank debits (thousands) $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$ | 3,766 | 9 | 4 |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger .$. | 6,877 | 2 | - | 3 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover...... | 6.6 | 6 | 5 |  |

HALLSVILLE (pop. 684)


HASKELL (pop. 4,016)

| Building permits, less federal contracts | $\$ 33,000$ | -18 | $\ldots$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Bank debits (thousands) $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots .8$ | 5,315 | 5 | 8 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $4 \ldots$ | 5,394 | 8 | 1 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover...... | 12.3 | -4 | 2 |

## HENDERSON (pop. 9,666)

| Postal receipts ${ }^{*}$.................... \$ | 20,219 | 39 | 23 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 68,100 | - 11 | 25 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............ | 14,366 | 1 | 1 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$., \$ | 17,861 | 6 | 14 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 9.9 | - 6 |  |


| Local Business Conditions |  | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| City and item | $\begin{gathered} \text { Oct } \\ 1968 \end{gathered}$ | Oct 1968 from Sep 1968 | Oet 1968 from Oct 1967 |
| HEREFORD (pop. 9,584 ${ }^{\text {\% }}$ ) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* | 23,611 | $-13$ | 5 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | 320,200 | 37 | 19 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | 42,726 | 26 | 28 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $⿻$ (. | 20,348 | 3 | 16 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 25.6 | 11 | 6 |
| HONDO (pop. 4,992) |  |  |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | 50,827 | 558 | 44 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | 4,521 | 6 | 15 |
| Fnd-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | 4,663 | 2 | 7 |
| Annual rata of deposit turnover, | 11.7 | 9 | 6 |
| HUNTSVILLE (pop. 11,999) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* | 27,784 | 5 | 7 |
| Building permits, less, federal contracts | 178,000 | 87 | 22 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | 25,185 | 26 | 45 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | 15,101 | $-13$ | 9 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 18.6 | 26 | 19 |
| JACKSONVILLE (pop. 10,509 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ ) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* ................... | 29,954 | ** | 18 |
| Building permits, less fedcral contracts | 88,250 | $-37$ | 264 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | 20,918 | 8 | 11 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | 12.571 | ** | 7 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 19.9 | 5 | 4 |
| JASPER (pop, 5,120 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ ) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* | 13,092 | - 4 | 6 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | 38.350 | 88 | - 2 |
| Eank debits (thousands) | 16,336 | 11 | 27 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | 9,809 | 3 | 4 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 20.3 | 10 | 22 |
| JUNCTION (pop. 2,441) |  |  |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | 6,500 | - 51 | $-80$ |
| Bank debits (thousands) | 3,285 | 21 | 15 |
| Find-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ : . \$ | 4,304 | - | 14 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 8.9 | 19 | 3 |
| KARNES CITY (pop. 2,693) |  |  |  |
| Building pormits, less federal contracts \$ | 0 |  |  |
| Bank debits (thcousands) | 8,971 | - 2 | 2 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $4 .$. \$ | 4,527 | 5 | 9 |
| Annugi rate of deposit turnover. | 10.8 | 4 | - 6 |
| KILGORE (pop. 10,092) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* ................... \$ | 19,310 | - 5 | 23 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | 82,610 | 4 | 190 |
| Bank debits (thnusands) | 14,852 | 4 | 8 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger .$. \$ | 15,337 | 8 | 10 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 11.8 | - 1 | - 2 |
| Nonfarm employment (area) c ..... | 34,750 | ** | 4 |
| Manufacturing employment (area) e | 9.810 | ** | 12 |
| Percent unemployed (area) c | 2.2 | - 15 | - 8 |
| KILLEEN (pop. 34,000 ${ }^{\text {² }}$ ) |  |  |  |
| Postil receipt** . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 60,465 | 3 | 6 |
| Building permits, less federsl contracts \$ | 576,656 | $-27$ | 7 |
| Bank debits (thousands) . ........... \$ | 30,631 | 38 | 66 |
| End-nf-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . . \$$ | 13,254 | - 1 | 5 |
| Annual rate of deporit turnover. | 27.5 | 34 | 54 |
| KINGSLAND (pop. 150) |  |  |  |
| Postrl receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ${ }_{\text {\% }}$ | 2,161 | 9 | 30 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ........... \$ | 2,602 | 20 | 26 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands)f.. \$ | 1,653 | 9 | 5 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 19.7 | 15 | 17 |
| KINGSVILLE (pop. 25,297) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* ................... \$ | 28,935 | $-18$ | 41 |
| Duilding permits, less federal contract \$ | 304,650 | - 6 | 7 |
| Bank delits (thousands) ........... \$ | 20,162 | - 11 | 26 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) ${ }^{\text {. . . }}$ | 19.142 | 2 | ** |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 12.7 | $-10$ | 22 |

For an explanation of symbols see p. 354 .

|  | Percent change |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Local Business Conditions |  | Oct <br> City and item |  |

KIRBYVILLE (pop. 2,021 ${ }^{\text {² }}$ )

| Postal receipta* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . \$ | 6,134 | 13 | 47 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bank debita (thousands) ........... \$ | 3,088 | - 6 | 20 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger .$. \& | 4,749 | ** | 13 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 7.8 | 9 | 7 |

LAMESA (pop. 12,438)

| Postal receipts* .................... \$ | 18,711 | 2 | 30 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits, less federal contracts ${ }^{\text {¢ }}$ | 31,600 | ... | 108 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............ \$ | 19,115 | $-7$ | 18 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . . \$$ | 18,022 | 1 | 5 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 12.8 | -15 | 18 |
| Nonfarm placements | 62 | - 22 | ** |

LAMPASAS (pop. 5,670 ${ }^{\text { }}$ )

| Postal receipts* $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots .$. | 7,280 | - | 8 | 3 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Buildink permits, less federal contracts | $\$$ | 134,100 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
| Bank debits (thousands) $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \$$ | 9,950 | 12 | 13 |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger \ldots \$$ | 8,076 | 2 | 5 |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover..... | 14,9 | 10 | $\mathbf{7}$ |  |

## LITTLEFIELD (pop. 7,236)

| Postal receipts* | 7,767 | - 14 | -14 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | 9,950 | - ... | $-27$ |
| Bank debits (thousands) | 9,614 | $-17$ | - 5 |
| Find-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | 10,019 | ** | $-1$ |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover.... | 11.5 | -25 | - 12 |

## LLANO (pop. 2,656)

| Postal receipts* .................... | 4,887 | - 1 | 17 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permitu, less federal contracts \$ | 37,900 | 203 |  |
| Bank debits (thousands) | 7,116 | 15 | 46 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | 4,941 | 4 | - I |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 17.6 | 21 | 52 |
| LOCKHART (pop. 6,084) |  |  |  |
| Pastal receipts* | 7,692 | 10 | 46 |
| Ruilding permits, less federal contracts | 38,700 | $-47$ | -28 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | 7,158 | 10 | 9 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. ${ }^{\text {\% }}$ | 8,278 | 5 | 9 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 10.6 | 7 | 2 |
| LONGVIEW (pop. 52,242 ${ }^{\text { }}$ ) |  |  |  |
| Retail sales | $13 \uparrow$ | 15 | 43 |
| Automotive stores | $44 \dagger$ | 19 | 49 |
| Postal receipta* ${ }^{*}$................... \$ | 90,847 | 21 | 25 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 757,500 | 38 | 40 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ........... | 89,149 | 4 | 15 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger .$. | 49,696 | 1 | 14 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 21.8 |  | $-1$ |
| Nonfarm employment (area) e | 34,750 | ** | 4 |
| Manufacturins employment (area) e | 9,810 | ** | 12 |
| Percent unemployed (area) c | 2.2 | $-15$ |  |

LUFKIN (pop. 20,756 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ )

| Postal receipts* | $\$$ | 39,221 | 2 | 16 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ | 254,465 | 86 | 53 |
| Nonfarm placements |  | 57 | - 30 | - 27 |
| MeCAMEY (pop. 3,350 ${ }^{\text {² }}$ ) |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* | \$ | 3,887 | 16 | 13 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 2,366 | 20 | 10 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $⿻$ (. | \% | 2,115 | -1 | 18 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. |  | 13.3 | 8 | - 4 |


| Local Business Conditions |  | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| City and item | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Oct } \\ & 1968 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Oct } 1968 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Sep } 1968 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Oct } 1968 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Oct } 1967 \end{aligned}$ |
| MARBLE FALLS (pop. 2,161) |  |  |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 7,300 |  |  |
| Bank debits (thousands) ........... \$ | 3,352 | - 2 | 12 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger .$. \$ | 2,916 | - 2 | 12 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover...... | 13.6 | ** | 10 |
| MARSHALL (pop. 25,715 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ ) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* | 41,218 | 16 | 18 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | 874,575 | - | 50 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | 27,905 | 10 | 18 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger .$. \$ | 30,687 | 1 | 12 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover...... | 11.0 | 8 | 6 |
| Nonfarm placements | 486 | 22 | 21 |
| MEXIA (pop. 7,621 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ ) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* ................... \$ | 8,816 | - |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 10,000 | -95 | - 89 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ........... \$ | 7,599 | 12 | 12 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger .$. \$ | 6,776 | 1 | 10 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover..... | 13.5 | 11 | 2 |
| MINERAL WELLS (pop. 11,053) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* .................. \$ | 30,865 | - 8 | 31 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 678,074 | - 63 | 19 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ........... \$ | 28,226 | 5 | 19 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger .$. \$ | 16,594 |  | 4 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 20.3 | 4 | 12 |
| Nonfarm placements ....... | 119 | - 11 | - 28 |
| MONAHANS (pop. 9,252 ${ }^{\text {) }}$ |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* .................. | 12,228 | 19 | 4 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | 15,200 |  | - 18 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | 12,606 | 23 | 58 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$.. \$ | 7,775 | 8 | 11 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 20.2 | 20 | 50 |
| MOUNT PLEASANT (pop. 8,027) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* .................. S | 14,501 | 12 | 22 |
| Building permits, less federal contreets \$ | 93,400 | 807 | 15 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ........... \$ | 17,940 | 13 | 20 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger .$. | 10,602 | 1 | 1 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover..... | 20.4 | 9 | 17 |
| MUENSTER (pop. 1,190) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* | 3,192 | 102 | 58 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | 13,500 | -46 |  |
| Bank debits (thousands) | 3,927 | $-1$ | 8 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | 2,718 |  | 7 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 17.3 | 2 |  |
| MULESHOE (pop. 3,871) |  |  |  |
| Bank debits (thousands) | 12,003 | - 12 | -31 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | 8,251 | - 23 | - 6 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover..... | 15. | 18 | - 31 |
| NACOGDOCHES (pop. $15,450{ }^{\text {r }}$ ) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$. | 34,252 | 22 |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | 1,170,374 | 933 | 124 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | 34,232 | 11 | 24 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$., | 27,733 | - | 7 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover..... | 14.1 | 11 | 11 |
| Nonfarm placements .............. | 61 | 13 | $-27$ |
| NEW BRAUNFELS (pop. 15,631) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* ................... | 26,342 | - | 14 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | 155,719 | - 18 | 2 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ........... | 18,095 | - 3 | 10 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$.. | 18,066 | 1 | 15 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 12.1 | ... |  |
| OLNEY (pop. 4,200 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ ) |  |  |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | 3,300 |  | 53 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ........... | 6,862 | 25 | 31 |
| End-of-month deposits (thoussnds) $\ddagger$. | 5,249 |  | 1 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover...... | 15.4 | 28 | 31 |

For an explanation of symbols see p. 354.

| Local Business Conditions |  | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| City and item | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Oct } \\ & 1968 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Oct } 1968 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Sep } 1968 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Oct } 1968 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Oct } 1967 \end{aligned}$ |
| PALESTINE (pop. 13,974) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* ..................... \$ | 21,709 | 13 | 16 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 187,750 | 71 | 65 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............ \$ | 17,637 | 18 | 12 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$.. \$ | 19,337 | 6 | 10 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover..... | 11.3 | 14 | 3 |
| Nonfarm placements | 88 | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ |
| PAMPA (pop. 24,664) |  |  |  |
| Retail sales | $13 \dagger$ | 11 | 11. |
| Postal receipts* . .................... \$ | 41,381 | 26 | 41 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 72,500 | 40 | - |
| Bank debits (thousands) ........... \$ | 35, 296 | 12 | 18 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. . \$ | 23,193 | - 2 | ** |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover..... | 18.0 | 11 | 11 |
| Nonfarm placements | 129 | - 4 | - 19 |
| PARIS (pop. 20,977) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* .................... \$ | 37,711 | 8 | 33 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 182,041 | 7 | -80 |
| Nonfarm placements | 242 | 9 | 18 |
| PECOS (pop. 12,728) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* ...................... \$ | 14,908 | 29 | 32 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............ \& | 20,820 | 26 | 2 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger .$. \$ | 12,273 | 17 | 12 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 21.9 | 18 | - 8 |
| Nonfarm placements | 83 | - | - 9 |
| PLAINVIEW (pop. $23,703{ }^{\text {r }}$ ) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* ................... \$ | 30,842 | - | 1 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 45,500 | $-30$ | - 6 |
| Bank debits (thousands) .......... \$ | 54,227 | 1 | - 10 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . . \$$ | 29,121 | 4 | ** |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover..... | 22.7 | - 8 | - 11 |
| Nonfarm placements | 218 | $-10$ | -28 |
| PLEASANTON (pop. 5,053 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ ) |  |  |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 45,000 | 70 | 676 |
| Bank debits (thousands) .......... \$ | 5,631 | 15 | 19 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . . \$$ | 4,613 | 4 | 5 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover..... | 14.9 | 15 | 8 |
| QUANAH (pop. 4,564) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . \$ | 5,153 | $-13$ | 7 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 0 | $\ldots$ |  |
| Bank debits (thousands) | 6,310 | 13 | 31 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger .$. \$ | 5,984 | - 2 | - 1 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 12.6 | 12 | 29 |
| RAYMONDVILLE (pop. 9,385) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* ................... \$ | 9,010 | 5 | 32 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 56,600 | 455 | ... |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............ \$ | 10,467 | - 26 | 41 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . . \$$ | 11,895 | - 5 | 2 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover..... | 10.3 | - 21 | 32 |
| Nonfarm placements | 42 | - 2 | -83 |
| REFUGIO (pop. 4,944) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* ................... 8 | 6,580 | 40 | 13 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 1,600 | . | ... |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............ \$ | 5,820 | 29 | 18 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger .$. \$ | 9,959 | 15 | - 4 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 7.5 | 19 | 23 |
| ROCKDALE (pop. 4,481) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* ................... \& | 7,934 | 28 | 37 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............ S | 6,146 | 10 | 19 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger .$. \$ | 5,327 | - 3 | 5 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover.... | 13.7 | 11 | 13 |


| Local Business Conditions |  | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| City and item | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Oct } \\ & 1968 \end{aligned}$ | Oct 1968 from Sep 1968 | Oct 1968 from Oct 1967 |
| SAN MARCOS (pop. 12,713) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* .................... \$ | 23,145 | $-29$ | 14 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 288,045 | 452 | 457 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ........... \& | 20,181 | 11 | 2 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . . \$$ | 13,818 | $-12$ | $-13$ |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover...... | 16.4 | 12 | 1 |
| SAN SABA (pop. 2,728) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* .................... \$ | 3,763 | $-18$ | 4 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 21,500 | ... | 115 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............ \$ | 9,656 | 36 | 36 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . . \$$ | 6,226 | 5 | 8 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 19.1 | 35 | 25 |
| SILSBEE (pop. 6,277) |  |  |  |
| Bank debits (thousands) ........... \$ | 9,469 | 2 | 58 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . . \$$ | 9,233 | - | 33 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 12.3 | ** | 19 |
| SMITHVILLE (pop. 2,933) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . \$ | 3,299 | ** | 27 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 0 | ... | ... |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............ \$ | 2,472 | 24 | 60 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger .$. \$ | 2,927 | 5 | 8 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 10.4 | 22 | 51 |
| SNYDER (pop. 13,850) |  |  |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 79,750 | - 38 | 70 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ........... \$ | 15,691 | 4 | 12 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . . \mathrm{s}$ | 20,866 | 9 | 8 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 9.4 | ** | 6 |
| SONORA (pop. 2,619) |  |  |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 2,000 | - | -91 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ........... \$ | 3,171 | 1 | 2 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . . \$$ | 5,346 | 18 | 26 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover..... | 7.7 | - 8 | $-15$ |
| STEPHENVILLE (pop. 7359) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* ................... \$ | 14,296 | - 9 | 25 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 122,900 | - | - |
| Bank debits (thousands) ........... \$ | 13,131 | 10 | 10 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger .$. \$ | 11,816 | 1 | 11 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 13.4 | 6 | - |
| STRATFORD (pop. 1,380) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* .................... . \& | 3,659 | 23 | 36 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 28,050 | ... | $-33$ |
| Bank debits (thousands) ........... \$ | 12,400 | 25 | 36 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . . \$$ | 6,591 | 12 | - 5 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 23.9 | 16 | 47 |
| SULPHUR SPRINGS (pop. 9,160) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* .................... \& | 24,343 | 8 | 24 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 240,650 | 337 | 102 |
| Bank debits (thousands) .......... \$ | 23,006 | 8 | 11 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . . \$$ | 18,362 | 4 | 9 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 15.4 | 5 | 3 |
| SWEETWATER (pop. 13,914) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* .................... \$ | 28.659 | 47 | 21 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts S | 7,550 | 61 | - 69 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............ \$ | 14,931 | 15 | 12 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . . \$$ | 11.165 | 10 | 8 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover...... | 16.8 | 11 | 8 |
| Nonfarm placements | 153 | 10 | - 7 |

For an explanation of symbols see p. 354 .

| Local Business Conditions |  | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| City and item | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Oct } \\ & 1968 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Oct } 1968 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Sep } 1968 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Oct } 1968 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Oct } 1967 \end{aligned}$ |
| TAHOKA (pop. 3,012) |  |  |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | 5,000 | -83 | 6 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | 4,978 | - 19 |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . . \$$ | 6,752 | - 2 |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover..... | 8.8 | $-22$ |  |
| TAYLOR (pop. 9,434) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* .................... \$ | 13,212 | - 5 | 22 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 92,350 | $-73$ | - 50 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ........... \$ | 15,995 | 18 | 31 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . . \$$ | 24,170 | 5 | 13 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 8.2 | 15 | 21 |
| Nonfarm placements | 26 | $-28$ | 4 |
| TEMPLE (pop. 34,730 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ ) |  |  |  |
| Retail sales ..... | $13 \dagger$ | 7 | 2 |
| Furniture and household appliance stores | $15 \dagger$ |  |  |
| Postal receipts* .................. \$ | 62,125 | 9 | 6 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 164,340 | $-51$ | -47 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............ \$ | 51,875 | 1 | 14 |
| Nonfarm placements | 277 | 14 | 15 |
| UVALDE (pop. 10,293) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* ................... \& | 18,825 | 51 | 63 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 372,063 | 190 | 382 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ........... \& | 20,705 | 18 | 14 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . .8$ | 10,627 | - | 7 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 23.0 | 22 | 6 |
| VERNON (pop. 12,141) |  |  |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 114,025 | 60 | -95 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ........... \$ | 19,687 | 15 | 7 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . . \$$ | 24,270 | - | 3 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 9.7 | 18 | 2 |
| Nonfarm placements ......... | 61 | - 49 | - |
| VICTORIA (pop. 33,047) |  |  |  |
| Retail sales | $13 \dagger$ | 2 | 3 |
| Automotive stores | $44 \dagger$ | 6 | 7 |
| Postal receipts* .................... \% | 58,237 | - | 1 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 1,729,475 | 445 | 524 |
| Bank debits (thousands) .......... \$ | 93,449 | 6 | 8 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger .$. \$ | 99,103 | 1 | 7 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover..... | 11.4 | 9 | 4 |
| Nonfarm placements | 551 | 3 | ** |
|  |  |  |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 342,500 | 743 | 737 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . . \$$ | 17,675 |  | 3 |
| LOWER RIO GRANDE VALLEY (Cameron, Willacy, and Hidalgo; pop. 335,450 *) |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Retail sales $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots .$. | 13¢ | 11 | - 4 |
| Apparel stores | $15 \dagger$ | 7 | 12 |
| Automotive stores | $44 \dagger$ | 18 | 2 |
| Drusstores | $4 \dagger$ | 12 |  |
| Food stores | ** | - |  |
| Furniture and householdappliance stores | $15 \dagger$ | 1 |  |
| Gasoline and service stations | $5 \dagger$ | - |  |
| General-merchandise stores | $20 \dagger$ | 12 | - 32 |
| Lumber, building-material, and hardware dealers. | ** $\dagger$ | 23 |  |
| Postal receipts . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . \$ | ... | 14 | 11 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ |  | 101 | 389 |
| Bank debits (thousands) .......... \$ |  | ** | 34 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger .$. \$ |  | - 2 | 3 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 19.5 | 2 | 18 |

## INDEX <br> TEXAS BUSINESS REVIEW VOLUME XLII, 1968
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Population Research Center, The University of Texas: "Population Estimates for Texas Counties, April 1, 1967" (Mar., pp. 76-80).

Reierson, Curtis C. (with Gordon Arnold): "The Texas Retail Potential for Exports of Manufactured Products" (Aug., pp. 220-222); "Recommendations for Developing the Export Potential of Texas" (Sept., pp. 261-263).

Ryan, Robert H.: "Personal Income in Texas" (Nov., pp. 312-319) ; "Building Review" (Nov., pp, 324-326); "The Business Situation in Texas" (Mar., pp. 65-67; Sept., pp. 257-260).

Stockton, John R.: "Building Review, April" (June, pp. 172-173) ; "The Business Situation in Texas" (Jan., pp. 1-3; April, pp. 101-103; Oct., pp. 281-284).

Walker, Ernest W.: "Securities Registration in Texas, First Half of Fiscal Year 1967-1968" (May, pp. 141142); "Securities Registrations in Texas, Fiscal Year 1968" (Nov., pp. 320-321).

Williamson, Robert B.: "Alpine, Texas, and the Big Bend Country" (Jan., pp. 4-9); "Building Review"" (Feb., pp. 34-36; Mar., pp. 74-75; July, pp. 198-201; Aug.; (June, pp. 161-164; Dec., pp. 341-344); "Texas Retail Sales" (May, pp. 145-146).

# BAROMETERS OF TEXAS BUSINESS 

(All figures are for Texas unless otherwise indicated.)
All indexes are based on the average months for 1957-1959 except where other specification is made; all except annual indexes are adjusted for seasonal variation unless otherwise noted. Employment estimates are compiled by the Texas Employment Commission in cooperation with the Bureau of Labor Statistics of the U.S. Department of Labor. The symbols used below impose qualifications as indicated here: *-preliminary data subject to revision; r-revised data; \#dollar totals for the calendar year to date; $\$$-dollar totals for the fiscal year to date; $\dagger$-employment data for wage and salary workers only.


## CLIMATOLOGICAL SUMMARIES

One-sheet, two-page summaries of the various climatological stations in Texas are in process of publication as a joint contribution by the Environmental Science Services Administration of the U.S. Department of Commerce, Cotton Economic Research of The University of Texas, and the Bureau of Business Research of The University of Texas. These succinct and highly informative reports give climatolog-ical-geographical profiles of the area served by each station, with a concise statement as to its industrial component and its agricultural status. Discursive descriptions of how one may expect the weather to behave in each of these sections are supplemented by tables and graphs presenting data recorded over a period of years on temperatures (means and extremes) and on total rainfall (cumulative by month and year), by a brief history of the climatological station, by a description of the local topography, and by facts relative to latitude, longitude, elevation, and other basic geographic characteristics.
The University Bureau of Business Research has for distribution copies of this summary for the following stations:

| Albany | Centerville | Henderson | New Braunfels |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Alpine | Chisos Basin | Hereford | Paducah |
| Anahuac | Clarksville | Hillsboro | Pierce |
| Athens | Conroe | Huntsville | Plainview |
| Austin | Corsicana | Jacksonville | Rising Star |
| Baytown | Crockett | Jasper | Rusk |
| Beaumont | Denison | Karnack | Seguin |
| Belton | Dublin | Kaufman | Spearman |
| Benbrook | Eastland | Kenedy | Steinhagen Lake |
| Bonham | Fort Stockton | Kirbyville | Sulphur Springs |
| Bowie | Lampasas | Texarkana Dam |  |
| Breckenridge | Fredericksburg | Littlefield | Tulia |
| Bridgeport | Gainesville | Livingston | Vernon |
| Brownfield | Gatesville | Lufkin | Vega |
| Brownwood | Gilmer | Madisonville | Warren |
| Cameron | Gadewater | Marshall | Wills Point |
| Carthage | Graham | Mount Pleasant | Wink |
| Center | Haskell | Nacogdoches |  |

Single copies are available without charge from the Bureau of Business Research, The University of Texas, Austin, Texas 78712. Quantity rates upon request.
U. S. Department of Commerce

Environmental Science Services Administration
in cooperation with
Cotton Economic Research and The Bureau of Business Research of The University of Texas at Austin



[^0]:    *Max Daggett, Jr., of Dallas, former research and design engineer, has been active in marketing for more than fifteen years. He is currently interested in industrial and aviation marketing, working in the latter area as a consultant. He is a Registered Professional Engineer and a licensed aviator.
    "'The Right Way to Pick a New Location," Business Management, Vol. 34, No. 1 (April 1968) p. 41.

[^1]:    3Arthur "Red" Motley, President of Parade Magazine, speaking at the 1951 National Sales Meeting, Cessna Aircraft Company, Wichita, Kansas.

[^2]:    ${ }^{4}$ "The Honorable Ben Barnes," TAC Bulletin, Texas Aeronautics Commission, Vol. 5, No. 4 (March-April 1968), p. 1.

[^3]:    ${ }^{5}$ Bollinger, Passen, and McElfresh, Terminal Airport Financing and Management (Boston: Harvard University, 1946), p. 60.

[^4]:    $\dagger$ Standard metropolitan statistical area as defined in 1960 Census and revised in 1968.
    Source: Bureau of Business Research in cooperation with the Bureau of the Census, U.S. Department of Commerce.

[^5]:    For an explanation of symbols see p. 354.

[^6]:    For an explanation of symbols see p. 354.

