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# THE BUSINESS SITUATION IN TEXAS, 1968 <br> Francis B. May 

Nineteen sixty-eight was a year of contrasts. It was also a year of transition. There were contrasts between unparalleled prosperity for most of the population and poverty for others. High incomes were accompanied by high prices as inflation bit deeply into the purchasing power of the dollar. The income surtax passed in midyear failed to weaken the inflationary forces at work in the economy to the extent expected. It was a year in which the transition from a war to a peacetime economy was begun with all that is implied by the shift from manufacturing munitions of war to manufacturing peacetime goods and services.
The year 1968 began with a strong January rise of 7.1 percent in the index of Texas business activity. This increase foreshadowed a sequence of increases in the value of the index that carried it to a succession of new highs during the year. An all-time peak of 245.5 percent of its 1957-1959 average monthly value was reached in October 1968. At this point the index was 17.2 percent above the October 1967 level. A more detailed comparison of 1967 and 1968 is shown in the following table.

COMPARISON BY QUARTERS OF THE INDEX OF TEXAS BUSINESS ACTIVITY, 1967 AND 1968
(Adjusted for seasonal variation-1957-1959 $=100$ )

|  | Year |  | Percentage change |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Quarter | $\mathbf{1 9 6 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 6 8}$ | Per to 1968 |
| 1 | 182.8 | 205.3 | 12.3 |
| 2 | 187.1 | 213.9 | 14.3 |
| 3 | 196.3 | 223.1 | 13.7 |
| 4 | 204.4 | 236.5 | 15.7 |

Source: Bureau of Business Research.
In each quarter of 1968 the index was above the comparable quarter of 1967 . The smallest 1968 -over- 1967 increase was registered in the first quarter of 1968, the
largest in the final 1968 quarter. The tendency in the 1968-over-1967 quarterly increases was upward. The second quarter of 1968 was 14.3 percent above the comparable 1967 period. In the third quarter the increase was not quite so large, but the fourth quarter showed a much larger rise. The net result was an increase in the percentage rate of increase in the year-to-year comparison by quarters.

A further indication of the strength of the rise is given by the relationships shown when each quarter is compared with the preceding one. The eight quarters form a rising sequence. Each quarterly average value of the index is larger than the preceding one. There were no quarter-toquarter declines.

The indexes of business activity for twenty of the largest Texas cities show that the rising level of prosperity was experienced in all but two of them. Average 1968 monthly business activity rose 34 percent over the 1967 average in Austin. This was the largest increase for any city of the twenty. Beaumont, with a 2 -percent 1968 rise over the average 1967 month, had the smallest increase. Of the state's four largest cities Houston had the smallest increase ( 13 percent) and Fort Worth the largest ( 16 percent). Dallas and San Antonio were tied in second place, each with a 15 -percent increase.

If the basis of comparison is shifted from year-to-year change in the average values of the indexes to a city-tocity comparison of 1968 average values, Austin still leads with a 1968 average index value of 279.8 percent of the 1957-1959 base value. It is plain that the economy of Austin has expanded rapidly during the 1960's. Port Arthur had the lowest average 1968 index value ( 111.8 percent).

In a comparison with the average 1968 value of the index of business activity for the entire state as a norm (219.7

## TEXAS BUSINESS ACTIVITY

Index Adjusted for Seasonal Variation-1957-1959 = 100

percent), sixteen of the twenty cities fell below the state level, although Laredo with a 219.4 percent average was only slightly below the norm. Austin, Dallas, Houston, and Texarkana were above the state average. These data represent percentage increases in volume of activity. They do not represent total volume of economic activity in a given city.

Examination of the selected barometers of Texas business shows that the general prosperity of 1968 was spread over a wide array of Texas industries. Production of crude oil showed one of the smaller gains in 1968. The 1-percent gain in average 1968 value over 1967 value was small compared with the 7.4 -percent gain in 1967. Texas oil production is much influenced by the growth in domestic demand and by oil imports. While domestic demand generally increases at a rate of $3-\check{0}$ percent a year, imports sometimes increase so rapidly relative to demand that it is necessary to curtail Texas production substantially. An understanding of the extent of past reductions in Texas output is deepened by the following table:

INDEX OF TEXAS PRODUCTION OF CRUDE PETROLEUM $1957-1959=100$

|  | Index <br> average <br> value | Percentage <br> change | Year | Index <br> average <br> value | Percentage <br> change |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1956 | 111.1 | 5.3 | 1963 | 94.5 | 2.5 |
| 1957 | 108.9 | -1.0 | 1964 | 95.7 | 1.3 |
| 1958 | 93.8 | -13.9 | 1965 | 96.2 | 0.5 |
| 1959 | 97.3 | 3.7 | 1966 | 103.2 | 7.3 |
| 1960 | 92.0 | -5.4 | 1967 | 110.8 | 7.4 |
| 1961 | 92.2 | 0.2 | 1968 | 112.2 | 1.3 |
| 1962 | 92.9 | 0.0 |  |  |  |

Source: Bureau of Business Research.
In 1956 the average value of the index reached a peak of 111.1 percent of the 1957-1959 average monthly production. This was a 5.3 -percent rise above 1955 . This substantial jump in production resulted from the Suez incident of that year, which resulted in the closing of the Canal. The subsequent reopening of the Canal was followed by a rising tide of imports which forced Texas production into a three-year decline. The index reached a low of 92.0 percent in average monthly production in 1960. For the next two years the average value of the index remained at 92.2 percent, a level only slightly above the 1960 low. In 1963 the index rose to a 94.5 -percent average. The rise accelerated in 1966. A new outbreak of hostilities between Israel and her Arab neighbors in June 1967 resulted in another closing of the Suez Canal. This time the Canal has not reopened. Oil from the Near East must be carried around the African continent at substantially increased cost, making it less competitive in price with domestically produced oil. As a result, Texas oil producers have not been forced into disastrous reductions such as those following the first Suez Canal closing. At present no prospect indicates that the Canal will be reopened. Until a pipeline is constructed across the isthmus connecting the Red Sea and the Mediterranean, Texas producers have a breathing space.

During 1968 crude-oil runs to stills averaged 5 percent higher than in 1967, continuing their steady rise of the past seven years. With the exception of the months of October and November every month in 1968 exceeded the corresponding 1967 month in runs to stills. Texas petroleum refining is an important industry, employing 36,700 persons in December. The Commerce Department has reported

BUSINESS-ACTIVITY INDEXES FOR 20 SELECTED TEXAS CITIES (Adjusted for seasonal variation-1957-1959 $=100$ )

| Index | $\begin{gathered} \text { Dec }^{*} \\ 1968 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Nov }{ }^{r} \\ 1968 \end{gathered}$ | Year-to-date average 1968 | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Dec 1968 from <br> Nov 1968 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Year-to-date } \\ & \text { average } \\ & 1968 \\ & \text { from } \\ & 1967 \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Abilene | 139.8 | 137.0 | 134.9 | 2 | - 1 |
| Amarillo | . 183.4 | 178.4 | 190.1 | 3 | 12 |
| Austin | . 357.8 | 338.5 | 279.8 | 6 | 34 |
| Beaumont | 200.3 | 170.3 | 189.8 | 18 | 2 |
| Corpus Christi | . 159.8 | 156.8 | 156.0 | 2 | 8 |
| Corsicana | . 179.4 | 145.1 | 160.6 | 24 | 9 |
| Dallas | . 305.7 | 264.1 | 255.6 | 16 | 15 |
| El Paso | . 152.8 | 136.1 | 140.1 | 12 | 7 |
| Fort Worth | . 189.4 | 165.5 | 170.9 | 14 | 16 |
| Galveston | . 129.0 | 133.9 | 129.5 | - 4 | 11 |
| Houston | 243.6 | 240.7 | 236.1 | 1 | 13 |
| Laredo | . 242.9 | 223.6 | 219.4 | 9 | 15 |
| Lubbock | .148.8 | 166.1 | 159.9 | $-10$ | 3 |
| Port Arthur | . 109.1 | 104.3 | 111.8 | 5 | ** |
| San Angelo | . 168.9 | 162.9 | 158.8 | 4 | 10 |
| San Antonio | 201.2 | 202.1 | 196.6 | ** | 15 |
| Texarkana | . 267.1 | 244.5 | 238.8 | 9 | 12 |
| Tyler | . 174.0 | 165.1 | 161.4 | 5 | 10 |
| Waco | . 182.7 | 181.6 | 175.3 | 1 | 10 |
| Wichita Falls | . 145.0 | 147.6 | 139.9 | - 2 | 6 |

** Change is less than one half of 1 percent.

* Preliminary.
${ }^{r}$ Revised.
that, under the influence of high demand, the problem of surplus refining capacity has virtually disappeared.

Although the seasonally adjusted index of total electricpower use declined 2 percent in December, the average value of the index for 1968 was 10 percent above that for 1967. The electric utilities comprise one of the fastestgrowing industries in the state.

Industrial electric-power use in December was at the November level with allowance for seasonal factors. The

average value of this index for 1968 was 214.5 percent of average monthly consumption during the 1957-1959 base period. This was 10 percent above 1967 consumption. Since a strong relationship exists between manufacturing activity and industrial power consumption, this means that the value of manufacturing output in the state increased substantially during 1968 .

The seasonally adjusted index of urban building permits issued rose 1 percent in December. Increases in both residential and nonresidential permits issued during the month contributed to the increase. Total permits issued during 1968 were 13 percent above those issued in 1967. This annual increase was due to the rise in residential construction authorized. Nonresidential construction declined during the year from its 1967 level. Apartment construction was primarily responsible for the rise in residential construction. The value of one-family homes authorized in-

creased, but not so much as the value of permits for multiple-family dwellings.

Construction permits issued by the 13,000 localities in the nation that require them were at an annual rate of 1.4 million housing units in December. This was a 0.7 -percent increase over the December 1967 annual rate.

Permits issued for single-family homes in the nation in December were at an annual rate of 702,000 units, a 5.8 percent decline from the December 1967 value. Units in two- to four-family buildings were authorized at an annual rate of 126,000 units in December, up 38.5 percent from the 91,000 -unit rate of December 1967. Permits for apartment buildings designed to house five or more families dropped to a 572,000 -unit annual rate, down 4.7 percent from the 600,000 -unit November rate. December apartment permits were 3.2 percent above the permits issued during the comparable month of last year.

Nationally, housing starts, which lag about one month behind permit issuance, declined 15 percent from November. This was a sharp drop, but the series behaved similarly in December 1967, dropping 21.4 percent to a $1,250,000$ unit rate. December's $1,454,000$-unit rate was 16.3 percent above the level of December 1967. Since the November rate was $1,717,000$ housing units, highest since the February 1964 rate of $1,867,000$ starts, the decline was not unexpected.

December housing starts fell more in the South than in the Northeast, the North Central States, and the West. Large apartment projects suffered the greatest drop of all housing-unit categories by type of structure in December.

The Commerce Department has reported that for all of 1968 private and public housing starts totaled $1,542,900$ units, up 16.7 percent from the 1967 total of $1,321,900$. This increase exceeded the expectations of many housingindustry analysts. The National Association of Homebuilders has forecast $1,650,000$ housing starts for 1969.

## FIRST DIRECTOR OF THE BUREAU OF BUSINESS RESEARCE DIES

Although he was probably better known as an expert on cotton marketing, Dr. Alonzo Bettis Cox was also the founder and first director of the Bureau of Business Research of the University of Texas in Austin. He served in this capacity nearly twenty years, and in 1945 relinquished the directorship to devote his full time to teaching and research in cotton marketing. He was an internationally known authority on the cotton industry, and continued in this activity until he became professor emeritus of cotton marketing in 1957.
In 1926, while Dr. Cox was in Italy as a representative of the U.S. Department of Agriculture, he received a cablegram from President H. Y. Benedict inviting him to come to The University of Texas to set up an organization for research on the economy of Texas. The first steps toward setting up the Bureau of Business Research were taken in the fall of 1926. Dr. Cox was a native of Indiana, but he had lived in Texas and he saw the great industrial promise as well as the tremendous agricultural value that had already been partially developed in the state.

The program of the Bureau developed by Dr. Cox has continued in basically the same form for over forty
years. The first need was for current statistical data on business activity, since very little information was available on a current basis for individual states, and still less for areas within a state. Dr. Cox started with development of important series, a policy continued to the present day. The Bureau has consistently maintained its original policy of avoiding the collection of any data that another agency could supply, and of cooperating in every way with the data-collecting activities of others.

The second need for research in the Texas economy, probably of greater long-run importance, lay with resources, the foundation of Texas wealth and the base for continued industrial expansion. Dr. Cox's first interest in resources was cotton, but he quickly recruited experts to develop research in other resources and in other segments of the Texas economy, particularly in the statistics program and in industry, with the publication of the Directory of Texas Manufacturers.

By 1947 the last of the early staff had left the Bureau, but the work of the twenty-two years since World War II has been based solidly on the foundation laid during the first twenty years by Dr. Cox.
J.R.S.


The high level of housing starts was achieved in 1968 despite high interest costs. In November the interest rate on FHA new-home mortgages was 7.29 percent. This was 0.64 of a percentage point above the November 1967 rate of 6.65 percent, and the rate was rising. Other costs of homebuilding are rising also. The result has been that consumers are building now in order to avoid higher costs in the future. This attitude is the essence of inflationary psychology. It can result in even higher prices, forced upward by increasing demand from people who anticipate that costs are not going to level off or decline but will continue to rise.

SELECTED BAROMETERS OF TEXAS BUSINESS
(Indexes - Adjusted for seasonal variation - 1957-1959=100)

| Index 1968 | Nov <br> 1968 | Year-to-date average 1968 | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Y Dec 1968 from Nov 1968 | ar-to-date average 1968 from 1967 |
| Texas business activity 240.7* | $223.4{ }^{\text {r }}$ | 219.7 | 8 | 14 |
| Crude-petroleum production ...........104.6 * | 107.2* | * 112.2 | - 2 | 1 |
| Crude-oil runs to stills 131.3 | 125.3 | 131.5 | 5 | 5 |
| Total electric-power use 231.5 ${ }^{\text {* }}$ | 235.7 * | + 226.2 | 2 | 10 |
| Industrial electric-power use $\ldots \ldots . .$. .........214.5 * | 214.4* | * 203.1 | ** | 10 |
| Bank debits ..........264.3 | 244.9 | 238.9 | 8 | 17 |
| Sales of ordinary life insurance ............239.1 | 242.9 | 226.4 | - 2 | 15 |
| Building construction <br> authorized ...........231.4 | 228.9 | 181.5 | 1 | 13 |
| New residential ....207.6 | 201.8 | 162.0 | 3 | 30 |
| New nonresidential 255.5 | 247.9 | 208.1 | 3 | - 5 |
| Total industrial production ...........169.2 * | 169.3 * | * 167.9 | ** | 8 |
| Miscellaneous freight carloadings in S.W. District $\ldots$........... 81.6 | 88.5 | 84.9 | - 8 | 3 |
| Total nonfarm employment .........140.7 * | 141.0* | * 188.5 | ** | 5 |
| Manufacturing <br> employment . .........147.0* | 146.0 * | - 144.5 | 1 | 5 |
| Total unemployment .. 66.5 | 79.1 | 71.4 | $-16$ | $-2$ |
| Insured unemployment . . 40.9 | 41.1 | 41.4 | ** | $-15$ |
| Average weekly earningsmanufacturing .......142.9* | 140.7 * | - 138.9 | 2 | 8 |
| Average weekly hoursmanufacturing ......101.4* | 100.1 | 101.0 | 1 | ** |
| * Preliminary. <br> ** Change is less than one half <br> ${ }^{r}$ Revised. | 1 perc | cent. |  |  |

One beneficiary of the high cost of traditional housing units has been the mobile-home industry. Because of the great need for low-cost housing about 340,000 mobile homes are expected to be manufactured in 1969. This is a 19 percent increase over production in 1968. It is 21.0 percent of the number of conventional single-family housing units forecast by the National Association of Homebuilders. It will be about 80 percent of the number of new single-family dwellings valued at $\$ 15,000$ or less.
The December seasonally adjusted index of total nonfarm employment in Texas was at a level of 140.7 percent of the 1957-59 monthly average. It was virtually unchanged from November's level of 141.0 percent. Total December nonfarm employment in the state was $4,119,900$, up 170,600 from December 1967. Farm employment of 296,600 in December brought the total for both agricultural and nonagricultural employment to $4,416,500$, an increase of 186,300 over December 1967. There were 101,500 unemployed in December, the same number as in the corresponding 1967 month. The Texas Employment Commission estimates that the total labor force of $4,522,100$ in December was 187,800 greater than in December 1967. December unemployment was 2.2 percent of the labor force, slightly below the 2.3 percent figure for December 1967.
Manufacturing employment in December was up 1 percent from November. During 1968 the index averaged 5 percent above 1967. These data are seasonally adjusted. There were 732,300 persons employed in manufacturing in December, a 30,000 increase over December of 1967. Manufacturing employment was 16.6 percent of total December employment. The greater portion of Texans at work are employed on farms, in government, and in other nonmanufacturing activities. Unemployment in December, with allowance for seasonal factors, was 16 percent lower than in November. For all of 1968 this index averaged 2 percent below the 1967 level.

Average weekly earnings in manufacturing in Texas rose 2 percent in December. They averaged 8 percent above earnings in 1967. The annual increase was due mainly to the rise in wage rates, because average weekly hours increased almost imperceptibly-only 1 percent in December, but not at all when averaged over the year.

Nineteen sixty-eight was a year of unequaled prosperity in Texas and in the nation. Will 1969 be another? The death of the boom has been forecast regularly for the past several years. Our long cyclical upswing is taking on the characteristics of an inflationary spiral. A large part of the annual increase in gross national product represents inflated prices of goods and services. More and more consumers are buying now in order to avoid still higher prices later. Can we stop inflation without a severe recession? The Federal Reserve System showed in 1966 that, by application of severe restrictions on the money supply, the rise in consumer prices can be contained. This application of monetary restraint produced a distinct depression in the homebuilding industry. Starts dropped from an annual rate of more than 1.5 million in the latter part of 1965 to less than 1.0 million toward the end of 1966 . Appropriate fiscal policy coupled with less monetary restraint would have produced better results. Fiscal restraint was not applied until mid-1968, two years later. We must have fiscal restraint now in order to avoid another 1966 type of credit crunch. This restraint must continue until inflation is checked.

## TEXAS INDUSTRY, 1968

In 1968 Texas manufacturers broke all previous records in the number of workers they employed, in the amount of industrial electric power they used, and in the amount of value they added by manufacture. These performances were supported by a record high set in the value of Texas mineral production, which is estimated by the Bureau of Mines to have risen to $\$ 5.67$ billion from $\$ 5.4$ billion in 1967. Reliable data on value of output from agriculture, forestry, and fisheries are not yet available, but all three categories probably operated at levels as high as that of any previous year, if not higher.

Almost 709,000 persons were estimated by the Texas Employment Commission to be at work in the state's factories in December 1968. This was a 4 -percent increase above employment for the same month in 1967. The index of industrial electric-power use stood at 214.5 , well above the index value of 191.8 set in December 1967. Value added by manufacture was estimated by the Business and Defense Services Administration to have reached $\$ 11.8$ billion, more than $\$ 2$ billion above the 1967 level. The estimate of $\$ 12.6$ billion for 1969 would indicate that 1969 performance is not expected to maintain as high a pace as that of 1968.

## Food Processing

For the second straight year food processing failed to regain its former number-one ranking in employment among Texas manufacturing categories. Transportation equipment, which surpassed food processing in 1967, easily retained that position in 1968. Even so the estimated 86,800 food-processing employees in December marked a 4 -percent gain during the year. Nationally, food-processing employment declined by an estimated 0.4 percent, probably because of automation. The outlook for this industry is optimistic because the nation's population continues to increase and exports are holding fairly steady. The list of major processors of food in Texas reads like a "Who's Who in the Food Industry," including such well-known firms as Armour, Wilson and Company, Anderson Clayton, Kraft, Frito-Lay, Uncle Ben's Rice, Foremost Dairies, Hormel, Swift, General Foods, Quaker Oats, Schlitz, Corn Products, Imperial Sugar, California Packing Company, Folger Coffee Company, Borden, Carnation Company, and Booth Fisheries.

## Transportation Equipment and Aerospace

By the close of December 100,000 persons were estimated to be working in the Texas transportation-equipment industry. This figure was up about ten percent from 1967. The rapid development of this industry in Texas is well illustrated by the following table:

| Year (December) | Number of Employees |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1966 | 75,400 |
| 1967 | 90,700 |
| 1968 | 100,000 |

The increase since 1966 has amounted to almost 25,000 , or about 33 percent. In 1967 transportation equipment surpassed food processing as Texas' largest employment category in manufacture, and it still retains its lead.

Until recent months Bell Helicopter has concentrated its manufacturing facilities in and around Fort Worth and Hurst in Tarrant County, where more than a dozen plants are operated. This year, however, Bell opened a new facility at the Amarillo Air Force Base for the purpose of overhauling and modifying helicopters damaged in Vietnam. Employment in this operation was forecast to reach about 650 by February 1969. Bell also expanded several of its Tarrant County plants during the year. Total employment by Bell in Texas is now approximately 11,000 . Late in 1968 the company delivered its 11,000 th aircraft.

Other major aircraft manufacturers in Texas include General Dynamics at Fort Worth, which now operates a supporting plant in Waco, and LTV, which has major operations in Garland, Grand Prairie, Greenville, Dallas, and Longview. Both of these companies have a number of expansion programs underway. General Dynamics is focusing its production capacity on the F-111 swept-wing aircraft; LTV's major output of craft is the Consair II.
A recent McGraw-Hill survey reports that the aerospace industry expects sales to decline about 2 percent next year because defense needs will probably taper off. The industry expects, however, to increase its capital investment by 19 percent during 1969.

Employment at General Motors' Arlington assembly plant reached 4,217 in December, an increase of 266 over the work force of the same date last year. The payroll reached $\$ 27.5$ million. In Dallas, Ford completed a 100,000 -square-foot enlargement program and the payroll increased to $\$ 19.2$ million. Assembly operations were begun in Dallas in 1913; last year the $3,000,000$ th Dallas-built Ford came off the assembly line.

Manufacture of trailers, boats, and mobile homes was notably dynamic during 1968 in Texas. The most dynamic of these appeared to be the mobile-home segment, which had many new plants built and many expansions of those plants already in place.

## Machinery, except Electrical

Nonelectrical machinery is an industry which does a large export business, especially in equipment used in agriculture, construction, and mining. Manufacture of the latter is especially important in Texas, which produces a high proportion of the equipment made for the oil industry in the United States and throughout the world. Seventyseven percent of such establishments are located in Texas, Oklahoma, and California, with the heaviest concentration being in Houston and surrounding communities. The total number of employees producing equipment in the oil industry in the nation in 1968 was estimated to be 36,800 .

Employment in the Texas nonelectrical-machinery industry reached 64,000 by December, 7 percent above that of 1967. Only transportation equipment in the manufacturing employment category exceeded nonelectrical machinery in percentage increase in workers during the year. The outlook for 1969 is equally optimistic, particularly in the oil-field equipment segment.


VALUE ADDED BY MANUFACTURE
TEXAS AND SELECTED STANDARD METROPOLITAN STATISTICAL AREAS

1964, 1968, and 1969

| Area | Value added (millions of dollars) |  |  | Percent increase |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1964 | 1968* | 1969* | $\begin{aligned} & 1968 \\ & \text { from } \\ & 1964 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1969 \\ & \text { from } \\ & 1968 \end{aligned}$ |
| Texas | 9.730 | 11,824 | 12,599 | 22 | 7 |
| Amarillo | 43 | 42 | 42 | $-2$ | ** |
| Beaumont-Port Orange | 814 | 894 | 939 | 10 | 6 |
| Corpus Christi | 196 | 223 | 236 | 14 | 6 |
| El Paso | 166 | 180 | 190 | 8 | 6 |
| Fort Worth | 1,050 | 1,186 | 1,271 | 18 | - |
| Houston | 2,617 | 2.801 | 2,978 | 7 | 6 |
| Lubbock | 77 | 83 | 87 | 8 | 5 |
| San Antonio | 252 | 280 | 294 | 11 |  |
| Waco | 125 | 124 | 128 | $-1$ | 3 |
| Wichita Falls | 35 | 37 | 38 |  | 3 |

* Estimates.
** Change is less than one half of 1 percent.
1 Dallas Standard Metropolitan Statistical Area omitted because of incomplete data.
Source: Abstracted from U.S. Department of Commerce, U.S. Im dustrial Outlook, 2969, D. 326.


## Electrical Machinery, Equipment, and Supplies

Employment in 1968 for this dynamic industry in Texas was up only 2 percent above that in 1967. Output, however, is estimated to have gained substantially over production the previous year. Industry shipments in 1968 at the national level were estimated to have reached $\$ 123.3$ billion, about 4 percent above the level estimated at the close of 1967. Since employment in the nation was up only 1 percent, the 2 -percent increase in Texas was somewhat above that for the nation.

Texas is one of the six leading states in the production of commercial, industrial, and government electronic systems and equipment. The Business and Defense Services Administration expects that factory shipments will be about 5 percent above 1968 levels.

## Chemicals and Allied Products

Texas employment in the production of chemicals and allied products increased 3 percent during the year, from 60,500 to 62,300 . Employment at the national level increased by 2 percent. Most of the Texas increase resulted from placing new plants on stream.
The outlook for expansion of this industry in Texas at the beginning of 1968 had not been particularly promising, but the year turned out to be one of the best on record. The prospects for 1969 are judged by forecasters to be better than those of the past two years. According to an estimate of the Business and Defense Services Administration shipments at the national level can be expected to reach $\$ 47.4$ billion in 1969, a 6 -percent increase over shipments in 1968, which were estimated to total $\$ 44.7$ billion. Much of this increase in value will come from Texas, which continues to lead in the production of chemicals.

Capital investment in the chemical industry was high in 1968 and it will continue high in 1969. Major new plants and expansions already announced for Texas in 1969 include greatly increased acetaldehyde capacity and hydro-carbon-cracking capacity for Texas Eastman at Longview; an Amoco Chemicals facility for production of polypropylene resins at Chocolate Bayou; also for Amoco Chemicals, an isopropanol and acetone unit at Texas City; for Rohm

INDEXES OF CHEMICALS AND ALLIED PRODUCTS INDUSTRY, UNITED STATES, 1959-1968

| Item 1959 | 1960 | 1961 | 1962 | 1963 | 1964 | 1965 | 1966 | 1967 | 1968\# | Percent increase 1968 over 1959 | Average growth rate per year (percent) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Total shipments <br> (billions of dollars) ............. 26.3 | 26.6 | 27.3 | 29.3 | 31.8 | 34,3 | 37.5 | 40.8 | 42.3 | 44.5 | 69.2 | 6.0 |
| 2. Production index <br> ( $1957-59=100$ ) <br> 109.8 | 116.6 | 123.4 | 136.1 | 148.6 | 159.6 | 173.4 | 193.2 | 203.8 | 221.6 | 101.8 | 8.1 |
| 3. Assets <br> (billions of dollars) ............. 23.3 | 24.3 | 25.9 | 27.1 | 28.7 | 31.7 | 35.4 | 38.2 | 41.6 | 45.0 | 93.1 | 7.6 |
| 4. Capital expenditures for new plant and equipment <br> (billions of dollars) ............. 1.23 | 2.60 | 1.62 | 1.56 | 1.61 | 1.97 | 2.59 | 2.99 | 2.88 | 2.72 | 121.1 | 10.1 |
| 5. Wholesale price index $(1957-59=100) \quad \ldots . . . . . . . . . . . . .$ | 100.2 | 99.1 | 97.5 | 96.3 | 96.7 | 97.4 | 97.8 | 98.4 | 98.2 | $-1.8$ | . 2 |
| 6. Total employment <br> (thousands) <br> 809.2 | 828.2 | 828.2 | 848.5 | 865.2 | 877.1 | 902.3 | 954.4 | 991.0 | 1,029.7 | 27.2 | 2.7 |
| 7. Average weekly wage, production workers (dollars) ........................ 99.36 | 103.25 | 106.81 | 110.24 | 112.88 | 116.48 | 121.09 | 125.16 | 128.96 | 136.11 | 37.0 | 3.6 |
| 8. Funds for research and development (millions of dollars) ............743.0 | 807.0 | 881.0 | 939.0 | 1,004.0 | 1,098.0 | 1,198.0 | 1,324.0 | 1,438.0\# | 1,562.0 | 110.2 | 8.6 |

[^0]
and Haas, a methyl methacrylate facility, at Deer Park; for National Distillers and Chemical Corporation, vinyl acetate plant, also at Deer Park; new acetaldehyde, acetic acid, vinyl acetate, ethylene oxide, and ethylene glycol units for Celanese at Clear Lake; for Dow Chemical, a styrene monomer unit at Freeport; methanol and acetic acid units for Monsanto at Texas City; and an acrylonitrile plant for DuPont at Beaumont. Dow will soon announce its choice of location of a huge ethylbenzene unit. This plant will be located somewhere on the Gulf Coast, very likely in Texas.

Intense competition, technological advances, and the rapid rate of obsolescence of equipment combine to make high capital investment mandatory each year for all the major chemical companies. Because of the size of the industry here, Texas can be expected to retain its lead in capital expenditures for new plant and equipment.

Production of plastics materials is one of the fastestgrowing segments of the chemical industry in Texas as well as in the nation. An article in the November 23, 1968, issue of Chemical Week stated that U.S. plastics production would probably reach 40 billion pounds per year by the early 1980's, 300 percent above the 1965 output. New uses for plastics are being discovered almost daily. Major users are the packaging, automobile, furniture, and construction industries. Of these markets, the construction industry offers the greatest incentive for prospective growth. At least 6,000 companies in the United States are active in some phase of the plastics industry. The most active segment of the Texas plastics industry is composed of the large chemical companies such as Dow, Monsanto, Amoco, DuPont, and Union Carbide, which compound the basic

| Industry | Employment(thousands)Dec ${ }^{*}$1968 | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Dee 1968 <br> from <br> Nov 1968 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dec } 1968 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Dec } 1967 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| TOTAL NONAGRICULTURAL | 3,544.6 | 1 | 5 |
| MANUFACTURING | 708.8 | ** | 4 |
| Durable goods | 391.4 | ** | 5 |
| Lumber and wood products | 20.5 | 1 | ** |
| Furniture and fixtures | 15.2 | 1 | 3 |
| Stone, clay, and glass products | s 27.9 | - 1 | 1 |
| Primary-metal industries ...... | ... 30.8 | 1 | - 1 |
| Fabricated-metal products | 47.4 | ** | 2 |
| Machinery, except electrical | 64.2 | 2 | 7 |
| Electrical machinery, equipment, and supplies ................... | $\begin{array}{ll}\text {, . } & 51.3\end{array}$ | ** | 2 |
| Transportation equipment ...... | ... 100.0 | $-1$ | 10 |
| Other durable goods (including ordnance) | $\ldots \quad 34.1$ | 1 | 12 |
| Nondurable goods ...... | 317.4 | ** | 3 |
| Food and kindred products | 86.8 | 1 | 4 |
| Textile-mill products .. | . 8.0 | 2 | 8 |
| Apparel and other finished textile products | 55.0 | 1 | 3 |
| Paper and allied products ..... | . 14.7 | 1 | 3 |
| Printing, publishing, and allied industries | 38.2 | ** | 4 |
| Chemicals and allied products | . 62.3 | ** | 3 |
| Petroleum refining and related industries | $36.7$ | ** | 1 |
| Leather and leather products | 4.3 | ** | 16 |
| Other nondurable goods | 11.4 | ** | 9 |
| NONMANUFACTURING . ......... | ...2,835.8 | 1 | 5 |
| Mining | 107.5 | ** | 2 |
| Crude petroleum and natural gas ..... | $\text { . } 101.1$ | ** | 2 |
| Metal, coal, and other mining . | . 6.4 | ** | 2 |
| Contract construction | . 216.3 | ** | 3 |
| Transportation, communication, and public utilities | 260.6 | 8 | 6 |
| Interstate railroads | 30.2 | ** | 2 |
| Other transportation | . 137.2 | 5 | 9 |
| Communication | 48.3 | ** | 7 |
| Public utilities ............... | . 44.9 | ** | 2 |
| Trade | 850.4 | 4 | 4 |
| Wholesale trade | . 240.3 | 1 | 4 |
| Retail trade . ................. | ... 610.1 | 5 | 3 |
| Building materials, hardware, and farm equipment ....... | $\ldots \quad 31.0$ | ** | ** |
| General merchandise | . 142.7 | 14 | 2 |
|  | . 90.0 | 1 | 4 |
| Automotive dealers and service stations | $\text { .. } 94.4$ | 1 | 4 |
| Apparel and accessories ..... | ... 43.3 | 15 | 1 |
| Other retail trade ........... | . 208.7 | 2 | 5 |
| Finance, insurance, and real estate | $\text { .. } 175.1$ | ** | 5 |
| Banking ..................... | . 43.5 | ** | 6 |
| Insurance . .................... | . 72.6 | ** | 3 |
| Real estate and other finance . | .. 59.0 | ** | 7 |
| Services and miscellaneous ....... | . 555.3 | ** | 8 |
| Hotels and lodging places | . 38.1 | 2 | 4 |
| Laundries, cleaning and dyeing plants | . 39.2 | ** | 1 |
| Other services and miscellaneous | $\ldots 478.0$ | ** | 9 |
| Government ................... | . 670.6 | ** | 5 |
| Federal government .......... | ... 166.3 | ** | 1 |

* Preliminary.
** Change is less than one half of 1 percent.
Source: Texas Employment Commission in cooperation with the Bureau of Labor Statistics, U.S. Department of Labor.
resins of plastics from many feedstock elements. Numerous smaller processors, however, also mold, extrude, and laminate.

The importance of the chemical industry to Texas was emphasized by an announcement by the DuPont Com-
pany that the firm had paid out $\$ 112,188,000$ for shipments of materials and services to several thousand Texas vendors and that payrolls at its four plants in the state totaled $\$ 42,705,000$ in 1968 . If data for these four plants were to be expanded to include data for all chemicals manufactured in Texas the total would be truly impressive.

## Petroleum Refining and Related Industries

Texas leads the other forty-nine states in refining capacity, in value added by manufacture of refinery products, and in refining employment. In December 1968 petroleum refiners in the state were estimated by the Texas Employment Commission to be employing 500 more persons than in December 1967; the increase in 1967 over the 1966 total was estimated to be 100 . These increases reversed an employment decline which began in the early 1950's, when expansive modernization and automation programs were begun by the state's major refiners.

Expanded demand for output of the petroleum and gasproducts industries was the most important reason for the increase in the number of workers. Value of shipments at the national level reached $\$ 20.1$ billion in 1968 , in comparison with $\$ 14.5$ billion in 1958. Production in Texas in 1969 should continue at the same level, or slightly above, with value of U.S. shipments forecast by the Department of Commerce to reach $\$ 21$ billion.

Refineries are located in the main so as to gain easy access to crude oil. Texas and Louisiana together account for a major portion of the nation's reserves. These reserves and their location on the Gulf Coast, open to yeararound shipping, have been the most important of the factors attracting the heavy concentration of refining in the two states.

Refining capacity in Texas will be expanded substantially by 1970-1971, when Crown Central will have an added 40,000 barrels of capacity per stream day and when Shell's
crude capacity of 100,000 barrels per stream day and hydrocracking capacity of 25,000 barrels per stream day will have been completed. Modernization programs are underway at a number of the other big refineries. Importantly, too, substantial sums have been set aside for abatement of water and air pollution by all refiners.

Lumber and Wood Products (Including Paper)
Texas pulp mills now make up slightly over 8 percent of our national pulping capacity. This substantial increase over 1960 production reflects the trend for the industry to shift toward the South and the West. Demand remains high; in 1967 per capita consumption reached 512 pounds, bringing an 18 -percent increase for the seven years of the decade. Texas employment in this industry gained by 3 percent during 1968.

New sources of pulpwood are being sought. In one Central Texas county a substantial acreage of cottonwood trees has been planted for ultimate use as a raw material for paper and paperboard. Cottonwood, a fast-growing tree, has long been considered to be of little or no commercial use. This development will be watched with special interest by farmers in areas where tree farming is now unknown.

The Business and Defense Services Administration estimates that lumber production in the nation is expected to approximate 36.3 billion board feet in 1969, a gain of 3 percent over 1968. Texas employment in the industry was stable during the past year, but will probably increase slightly during 1969 , because of the expected high demand by the construction industry. Other types of lumber-using industries which should contribute to high demand are those producing pallets, furniture, and railway cars.

## Apparel

During 1967 employment in Texas apparel manufacture declined by 6 percent, but 1968 brought a 3 -percent in-

MINERAL PRODUCTION ${ }^{1}$ IN TEXAS, 1967-1968

| Mineral | 1967 |  | 1968 D |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Quantity | Value (thousands) | Quantity | Value (thousands) |
| Cement: |  |  |  |  |
| Portland ........................thousand 376-pound barrels | 31,944 | \$99,329 | 34,125 | \$106,452 |
| Masonry . .....................thousand 280 -pound barrels . . . . . . . . . | 888 | 2,847 | 1,058 | 3,320 |
| Clays . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . thousand short tons . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 4,497 | 8,081 | 4,507 | 8,081 |
| Gem stones . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | na | 150 | na | 150 |
| Gypsum . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . thousand short tons | 984 | 3,419 | 1,071 | 3,594 |
| Helium (refined) . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . thousand cubic feet . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 335,900 | 9,900 | 365,200 | 9,560 |
| Helium (crude) . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . thousand cubic feet . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 977,600 | 10,246 | 1,043,700 | 11,428 |
| Lime . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . thousand short tons | 1,564 | 20,713 | 1,533 | 20,266 |
| Natural gas ............................million cubic feet ......................... | 7,188,900 | 948,935 | 7,548,300 | 1,003,924 |
| Natural gas liquids : |  |  |  |  |
| Natural gasoline and cycle products........ |  |  |  |  |
| . . . . . . . . .thousand gallons. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 4,031,589 | 277,105 | 4,108,440 | 286,618 |
| LP gases . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . .thousand gallons . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 7,449,439 | 320,326 | 7,888,860 | 315,554 |
| Petroleum (crude) . . . . . . . . . . . . . .thousand 42 -gallon barrels . . . . . . . . . . | 1,119,962 | 3,375,565 | 1,144,350 | 3,467,381 |
| Salt . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . thousand short tons . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 8,344 | 36,435 | 8,559 | 36,318 |
| Sand and gravel . . . . . . . . . . . . . .thousand short tons . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 31,398 | 39,170 | 38,910 | 42,388 |
| Stone (includes basalt and shell) . . . . . thousand short tons . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 49,424 | 61,577 | 50,674 | 60,929 |
| Sulfur (Frasch) . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . .thousand long tons . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 3,448 | 111,931 | 2,569 | 102,755 |
| Talc and soapstone . . . . . . . . . . . . .short tons . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 90,836 | 356 | 122,000 | 525 |
| Value of items that cannot be disclosed: |  |  |  |  |
| Asphalt (native), bromine, coal (lignite), graphite, iron ore (usable, magnesium chloride (for metal), magnesium compounds (except for metal), mercury, perlite (1967), pumice, sodium sulfate, uranium oxide, and crude vermiculite | x ${ }^{\text {x }}$ | 80,286 | x ${ }^{\text {x }}$ | 87,073 |
| Total . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | xx | 5,406,371 | xX | 5,566,311 |

[^1]TEXAS PULPWOOD MILLS, 1967 (by process and capacity)

| Location | Operator $\quad$All <br> processes | Sulfate | Groundwood and other mechanical | Semichemical |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Evadale | EasTex, Inc. ......1,200 | 1,200 |  |  |
| Dallas | General Aniline and Film Corporation ...... 40 |  | 40 |  |
| Orange | Owens-Illinois, Forest <br> Products Division 900 | 900 |  |  |
| Lufkin | Southland Paper <br> Mills, Inc. .......1,250 | 400 | 850 |  |
| Sheldon | Southland Paper <br> Mills, Inc. ...... 860 | 500 | 360 |  |
| Diboll | Temple Industries, Fiber Products Division 195 |  |  | 195 |
| Pasadena | U.S. Plywood-Champion <br> Papers, Inc. .... 980 | 850 | 80 |  |
| Total | ...... 5,375 | 3,850 | 1,330 | 195 | Pulpwood Production, 1967, Washington: 1968.

crease, in which the number of workers was estimated to have reached approximately 55,000 by the end of December. This 3 -percent increase in Texas was 1 percent above the national increase of 2 percent. The 55,000 Texas garment workers account for about 4 percent of the national employment of 1,414 million in the clothing industry.
In Texas the apparel industry is made up of a small number of large firms and of many small firms employing 50 persons or fewer. Apparel manufacture is concentrated in the Dallas and Fort Worth Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas and in the surrounding counties, but impressive concentrations occur also at El Paso and San Antonio. In recent years this labor-intensive industry, in which between 75 and 80 percent of all employees are women, has tended to locate new garment plants in such areas as West Texas and the Rio Grande Valley, where a surplus of female labor is readily available.
For example, within the past fifteen years executives of sizable apparel-manufacturing operations have chosen to locate in communities such as Wichita Falls, Amarillo, McLean, Pampa, San Angelo, Abilene, Kermit, Pecos, Quanah, and Sweetwater-all in West Texas. In the Valley new plants include those established at Del Rio, Eagle Pass, Laredo, San Benito, Brownsville, Weslaco, and McAllen. This year the owners of the company which manufactures the well-known Haggar slacks announced a decision to locate new factories at Robstown, near Corpus Christi, and at Edinburg, in the Valley. Andrews in West Texas has been chosen by the Wentworth Company as the site for a new plant which is expected to employ about 500.

A number of factors contribute to the promising outlook for the apparel industry in the nation and in Texas. Per capita incomes are increasing; the population is expanding; the public is more fashion-conscious; and there is a greater demand for many types of leisure clothing.

## Primary Metals

The major news in the Texas primary-metals industry during 1968 was the announcement of National Steel's
purchase of 3,700 acres near Ingleside, in San Patricio County, as a site for a metallurgical plant. The existing 40 -foot Corpus Christi Ship Channel will provide direct access from the site to the Gulf. No announcement of the size or type of facility has been made, but investment in the facility is expected to exceed $\$ 100$ million.

Texas, which has both blast furnaces and steel-making furnaces, now has facilities to produce thirteen of the twenty-five major product categories of the steel industry. They include: hot-rolled sheets, hot-rolled strip, reinforcing bars, other hot-rolled bars and light shapes, coldfinished bars, heavy structural shapes, plates, skelp, pipe and tubing, wire rods, plain wire, wire products, and blooms, billets, and slabs.

The two major steel-making companies in Texas are Armco, at Houston, and Lone Star, near Daingerfield. The Texas Works of U.S. Steel is still under construction on a 13,000 -acre site at Cedar Point in Harris and Chambers Counties, near Baytown, where during the second phase of plant building, a continuous slab caster is being installed.

Modernization of the casting plant at Alcoa's Point Comfort smelter at a cost of approximately $\$ 1$ million is underway. The plant is being expanded because orders for rigid container ingot have increased. Both alumina and aluminum are produced at Point Comfort.

At Alcoa's Rockdale plant a seventh pot line was placed in operation and construction was begun on an eighth. When the eighth line is completed, capacity will be 275,000 tons per year. Some of the new smelting capacity will be used in the manufacture of redraw rod at Rockdale. The redraw rod will then be shipped to Alcoa's new works at Marshall, where it will be used as a raw material for the production of electrical conductors. At Rockdale combined employment of Alcoa and Industrial Generating Company, which supplies power from lignite for the works, now exceeds 1,650 , with the annual payroll currently more than $\$ 13.5$ million.

Reynolds Metals also produces aluminum and alumina in Texas. Reynolds operates two plants in the Corpus Christi area: the Sherwin Plant at Gregory produces alumina, and the San Patricio plant nearby uses the alumina to make aluminum. Corpus Christi is also the headquarters for Reynolds Marine Division, which operates a fleet of vessels to bring bauxite ore from Jamaica to be used in alumina manufacture. Reynolds is the largest nongovernment employer in the Corpus Christi area.

Texas accounts for about 90 percent of magnesiummanufacturing capacity in the nation. Manufacture in Texas is now confined to Dow's major operation at Freeport, in Brazoria County. However, plans are underway to produce this lightweight metal from underground brines by American Magnesium Company at Snyder, where a 30,000 -ton-per-year electrolytic plant is under construction at a cost of $\$ 30$ million. About 10,000 tons of the 30,000 -ton capacity will be ready for production in mid-1969.

TEXAS AND UNITED STATES
(thousand 376 -pound barrels)

|  | 1963 | 1964 | 1965 | 1966 | 1967 | 1968 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Texas | 29,150 | 29,792 | 30,771 | 31,487 | 32,277 | 34,768 |
| Total United States | 352,543 | 368,633 | 371,422 | 384,632 | 369,399 | 408,635 |
| Texas percent of total | 8.3 | 8.1 | 8.3 | 8.2 | 8.7 | 8.5 |

Source: Bureau of Mines, U.S. Department of the Interior.
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## INDUSTRIAL PRODUCTION

NONDURABLE MANUFACTURES, TEXAS


MILITARY PRIME CONTRACTS OF $\$ 10,000$ OR MORE TEXAS AND PERCENT OF UNITED STATES TOTAL FISCAL YEARS 1962-1968

|  | Amount | Percent of |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Year | (millions of dollars) | United States |
| 1962 | 1,006 | 4.0 |
| 1963 | 1,203 | 4.8 |
| 1964 | 1,294 | 5.3 |
| 1965 | 1,447 | 6.2 |
| 1966 | 2,292 | 7.2 |
| 1967 | 3,547 | 9.5 |
| 1968 | 4,087 | 11.0 |

Source: Military Prime Contract Awards by Region and State, Office of the Secretary of Defense, Directorate of Statistical Services, November 1968, p 2.

Phelps Dodge is proceeding with an expansion project at its El Paso refinery. When the expansion is completed the refinery will be the largest in the United States. Included in the 100,000 -ton expansion program is a new hotrod rolling mill.

Stone, Clay, Glass, and Concrete
The 1969 outlook for the stone, clay, glass, and concrete industry is highly promising because of the expected upsurge in the construction industry. Employment in Texas during 1968 was up 1 percent over the level of 1967.

During 1968 Texas production of finished portland cement reached $34,768,000$ barrels, up about 2.5 million barrels from the 1967 output according to preliminary estimates made by the Bureau of Mines. Lone Star Cement Corporation closed its Dallas County plant during the year and expanded and modernized its Houston facility. Georgia Pacific added to its gypsum plant at Acme by building a board plant and other manufacturing facilities. A brick plant at Pittsburg in Northeast Texas which had been closed for some time was reactivated and expanded. Chattanooga Glass Company will add a new furnace at its Corsicana glass-container plant; construction will probably be completed by mid-1969. This is part of an expansion program which will almost double production at Corsicana.

## Military Prime Contracts

In 1968 Texas continued to rank second among the fifty states in the amount of money spent on prime military contracts by the federal government. Approximately 11 percent of all money allocated for this purpose was spent in Texas. Only California, which accounted for 17.4 percent of the total, exceeded Texas. No other state shared as much as 10 percent of the total. Several of the nation's biggest prime contractors headquartered in the state during the year.
The transportation-equipment industry, chiefly the aircraft segment, accounted for the largest share in Texas, but millions of dollars were spent with refiners of petroleum, with manufacturers of electronic equipment, and with producers of apparel. For example, several Texas clothing manufacturers hold contracts to produce military uniforms, especially of the work-clothing type.

Not so well known and understood is the fact that between 4 and 5 percent of the Texas work force is estimated to be employed in the manufacture of ordnance items such as bomb casings. ammunition boxes, fuses, solid-rocket motors, and other equipment. Peace in Vietnam would result in an almost immediate cutback in orders for ordnance items. If peace should be negotiated, however, skills developed by workers in ordnance plants would almost assuredly be in demand by other manufacturers.

## Outlook

Though many economists anticipate that 1969 will not be as promising for manufacturing in the nation as was 1968 , the outlook for most Texas manufacturers is slightly more optimistic. The state's population is continuing to grow at a rate somewhat above that of the nation; the number of Texas inhabitants is now about 11 million. This means that more market-oriented and more labor-oriented industry will be attracted. Texas continues to lead in value of mineral production, and it ranks high among the states in production from farms and forests; therefore the state can be expected to draw more raw-material-oriented industry and retain and expand those industries already in place. (concluded p. 42)

## Texas Construction, 1968

## Robert B. Williamson

Texas urban building authorizations in 1968 rose to a new record high for the second year in a row, the nearly $\$ 2.2$ billion of authorizations reflecting a gain of 13 percent from the year before. While this gain was below the extraordinary 19-percent increase recorded in 1967, it was more than double the average rate of the past decade. The outstanding performance of Texas building activity during 1968 occurred in a setting of high economic prosperity and rapid growth for the state and the nation, with the state's general growth continuing to exceed the national rate by a significant margin. The major flaws in this picture of general economic progress were accelerating price increases and tightening credit conditions, developments which, if left unchecked, could stifle further growth in important categories of construction in Texas and the nation. Grounds for optimism about the problems of inflation and credit stringencies appeared somewhat firmer, however, by the start of 1969 .
The trend of Texas building continued upward throughout all of 1968. In December the seasonally adjusted index of total construction authorized in the state was the highest since the abnormally high record peak of August 1967, when there was an unusual bunching of authorizations. The December index, at 231 percent of the 1957-1959 base-period level, was well above the average levels of 161 percent in 1967 and 182 percent in 1968.

The leading category of Texas building construction in 1968 was new residential construction, which showed a 29 percent increase in permit values to reach a record total of over $\$ 1$ billion. The 1968 growth in Texas residential authorizations was only moderately less than the growth rate of 1967 and reflected a continuation of the recovery from the declines of 1964,1965 , and 1966. The seasonally adjusted monthly index of residential construction authorized in Texas reveals that the trend for this type of construction was still strong at the end of the year. The index reached new record highs in each of the last three months of 1968, and the December index, at 208 percent of the 1957-1959 monthly average, was 28 percent higher than the average for 1968 and more than three times the low point reached in the 1966 decline.

The number of residential dwelling units provided by Texas building permits rose by more than one third during 1968 to an annual total of over 97,000 . The inclusion of units not covered by urban permits would certainly bring the state total of new dwelling units for the year to more than 100,000 . The Texas growth in new residential units during 1968 was exactly double the corresponding national rate of increase.

Within the residential category, apartment construction was the stellar performer in both the state and the nation last year. The value of apartment authorizations in Texas rose 91 percent during 1968. This growth is doubly impressive because it was on top of a 65-percent increase for the year before. As a consequence of these large increases, multiple-family dwelling authorizations rose to more than 40 percent of the value of all residential authorizations in
the state during 1968, to nearly two thirds of the total number of dwelling units provided by these authorizations, and to over one fifth of the $\$ 2.2$ billion value of all types of building authorizations in the state. Except for the greater total value of one-family dwelling authorizations, no other category of building in Texas came even close to the importance of apartment construction during the past year. Authorizations for one-family dwellings in Texas during 1968 continued to register a sizable total dollar increase, but the annual percentage rate of growth for this category slowed from the 1967 pace to a 6 -percent increase in terms of value and to a 2-percent gain in terms of units.

Helping to raise the total of Texas residential authorizations to a new record level at the close of the year were nine December permits worth over $\$ 1$ million each for apartment projects. Four of these were issued at Pasadena in the Houston Standard Metropolitan Statistical Area. One of these at Pasadena, valued at $\$ 3.5$ million, was the largest apartment authorization in the state during December.

The value of nonresidential building authorizations in Texas during 1968 registered a decline of 5 percent but totaled second only to the record reached in 1967. The trend

ESTIMATED VALUES OF BUILDING AUTHORIZED IN TEXAS

| Classification | $\begin{gathered} \text { Jan-Dec } \\ 1968 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dec } 1968 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Nov } 1968 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Jan-Dec } 1968 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Jan-Dec } 1967 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | f dollars) |  |  |
| ALL PERMITS . .......192,460 | 2,162,251 | - | 13 |
| New construction .....171,595 | 1,917,220 | 1 | 12 |
| Residential (House- <br> keeping) ....... 93,740 1,085,644 $\quad 29$ |  |  |  |
| One-family dwellings 41,744 | 616,072 | $-12$ | 6 |
| Multiple-family dwellings . ....... 51,996 | Multiple-family | 18 | 81 |
| Nonresidential buildings 77,855 | 831,576 | ** | - 5 |
| Hotels, motels, and |  |  |  |
| Amusement buildings 690 | 13,617 | 177 | $-28$ |
| Churches ......... 2,689 | 34,647 | 39 | - 8 |
| Industrial buildings 10,354 | 113,675 | 3 | 9 |
| Garages (commercial |  |  |  |
| Service stations .... 1,300 | 16,908 | - 28 | 1 |
|  |  |  |  |
| Office-bank buildings 9,234 | 97,806 | 62 | - 1 |
| Works and utilities 871 | 48,431 | -87 | 12 |
| Educational buildings 32,446 | 203,681 | 32 | $-12$ |
| Stores and mercantile <br> buildings ......... 8,544 | 145,645 | - 50 | 3 |
| Other buildings and structure...... . 2,850 | 27,943 | 89 | 12 |
| Additions, alterations, and repairs .......... 20,865 | 245,031 | - 19 | 19 |
| METROPOLITAN $\dagger$ vs. NONMETROPOLITAN $\dagger$ |  |  |  |
| Total metropolitan .....174,337 | 1,920,197 | - 4 | 13 |
| Central cities . . . . . . 100,480 | 1,389,815 | - 20 | 8 |
| Outside central cities 73,857 | 530,382 | 33 | 28 |
| Total nonmetropolitan .. 18,123 10,000 to 50,000 | 242,054 | 27 | 12 |
| 10,000 to 50,000 population $\ldots \ldots . .12,833$ | 154,984 | 42 | 21 |
| Less than 10,000 |  |  |  |
| $\dagger$ Standard metropolitan statistical area as defined in 1960 Census and revised in 1968. |  |  |  |
| ** Change is less than one half of 1 percent. |  |  |  |
| Source: Bureau of Business Research in cooperation with the Bureau of the Census, U.S. Department of Commerce. |  |  |  |

of Texas nonresidential authorizations improved during the year. The December seasonally adjusted index, at 256 percent of the 1957-1959 average, was the highest since the abnormal bunching of authorizations in August 1967 and exceeded by significant margins both the annual average index of 220 in 1967 and that of 208 in 1968.

Among the various types of nonresidential buildings, only commercial and private garages, works and utilities, and the miscellaneous category "other nonresidential buildings" showed significant increases in authorizations in Texas during 1968. While most other nonresidential categories recorded declines in authorizations in Texas last year, the largest decrease was for educational buildings. This large decrease is partly explained by the fact that authorizations for educational buildings had been at exceptionally high levels in the state during both 1966 and 1967. Despite the 1968 decrease, educational building authorizations were again providing important support to the growth of Texas nonresidential construction by the end of the year. A half-dozen educational buildings valued at over $\$ 2$ million each were authorized in the state during December. The largest were a $\$ 4.4$-million structure to be built for Texas Technological College at Lubbock and another costing $\$ 3.3$ million to be built for The University of Texas at Arlington.

Permits for additions, alterations, and repairs as well as the authorizations for new residential and nonresidential buildings, are a significant part of total building authorizations in Texas. Such permits rose 19 percent in Texas during 1968 to a record total of over $\$ 245$ million.

Among Texas standard metropolitan statistical areas the largest amounts of building authorizations during 1968 for both residential and nonresidential buildings were in the


## RESIDENTIAL BUILDING AUTHORIZED IN TEXAS*


five major standard metropolitan statistical areas of Houston, Dallas, Fort Worth, Austin, and San Antonio. Houston held the lead in value of total building authorizations and nonresidential authorizations, while Dallas led in value and number of residential units authorized. The sharpest rates of gain in building authorizations in the state during 1968 were reported for the SMSA's of Texarkana, Brownsville-Harlingen-San Benito, Galveston-Texas City, and McAllen-Pharr-Edinburg. Texarkana and Galveston-Texas City led in nonresidential building growth rates, while the other two areas, which are both in the Lower Rio Grande Valley, led in growth rates for the value of residential authorizations.

Forecasts by industry experts of construction activity in the nation during 1969, like most forecasts of gross national product, generally call for somewhat slower rates of growth compared with 1968. The standard outlook for practically all of the important individual categories of construction is positive but reduced rates of gains. Forecasts for building in Texas major metropolitan areas likewise point to further increases in activity in 1969. Supporting this outlook are plans of businessmen to increase outlays for plant and equipment, scheduled increases in public construction outlays, and builders' forecasts of continued expansion in residential building. These latter forecasts are reinforced by evidence of rising basic demands for housing, currently low vacancy rates for both rental and owner-occupied dwelling units, a hoped-for slowing in the increase of housing prices, and the prospect that present government fiscal policies will help bring forth more, if not cheaper, mortgage credit through at least the first half of the year. These credit conditions are anticipated despite the fact that mortgage and other basic credit rates were still rising as of January 1969 and might go somewhat higher before reaching a peak. Conventional new-home first mortgages in the Southwest were already up to an average rate of around 7.5 percent at the end of 1968.
With regard to apartment construction, which showed such outstanding growth in Texas during 1967 and 1968, the recent rapid growth presumably reflects longrun changes in such things as the size and age characteristics of families, the degree of urbanization, and preferences in living styles; it reflects also the shorter-run influence of a differential impact of tight credit favoring apartment construction over single-family homebuilding. Granted the existence and the significance of these influences, it appears doubtful that they will persist to such a degree that they can maintain the recent rates of growth in apartment construction in the state during the next few years. Robert H. Ryan in his review of building in the November 1968 Texas Business Review pointed out developing changes in the character of Texas urbanization with a significant amount of residential construction occurring outside the metropolitan centers; he noted also the projected growth in family numbers and family size in the homebuying age brackets between now and 1975. In the short run, there is the expected easing of mortgage credit supplies. All of the factors argue against the continuation of such a large shift in residential building toward apartment construction. At the same time, however, the growth in single-family home construction should improve. In short, the mix may change, but total building activity in the state is still looking up.

# TEXAS RETAIL TRADE, 1968 

Dennis W. Cooper

At the end of 1968 retail sales in Texas were maintaining the high level of activity on which this vital aspect of the Texas economy has operated throughout the year. Total retail sales in Texas during 1968 recorded a 10 -percent increase over 1967. This increase is significantly larger than the comparable 7- and 3 -percent growth rates recorded for 1966 and 1967 respectively. Sales of durable goods provided the bulk of the increase by registering a hefty 17 -percent increase over their 1967 sales total, while sales of nondurables recorded a 6-percent rise from 1967. These percent increases are illustrative of the strength of retail sales for all of 1968 in Texas as demonstrated by the fact that the increases for total retail sales and sales of durable goods exceed the comparable mid- 1968 figures by one percentage point and that sales of nondurables, while relatively weaker than durables, still maintained their mid-year level without losses.

All major store categories of both durable and nondurable goods recorded increases over their 1967 sales levels. Durable goods registered the highest level of consumer acceptance, with lumber, building-material, and hardware dealers chalking up a 19-percent increase as automotive stores recorded an 18-percent gain and furniture and house-hold-appliance stores achieved a 10 -percent increase. The growth in sales of nondurable goods was less spectacular, with increases ranging from 3 percent for food stores up to 11 percent for general-merchandise stores.

The Texas total retail sales figure of $\$ 1,972$ million for December represents an 18 -percent increase over the November total and a 7-percent increase over December 1967. These figures compare favorably with the 14 -percent increase over November 1968 and the 5-percent rise from December 1967 registered by retail sales at the national level. December increases over November in the unadjusted sales figures for furniture and household-appliance stores (32 percent), hardware stores ( 30 percent), and farmimplement dealers ( 28 percent) helped offset losses in sales by automotive stores ( -4 percent) and lumber and building-material dealers (-4 percent). Unadjusted statewide sales figures for nondurables during December show a majority of increases, to be expected as a result of heavy Christmas shopping.

When the December sales data are adjusted for seasonal variation all three categories of retail sales, total, durable, and nondurable, reflect decreases from November. Total retail sales were down 7 percent while sales of durables and nondurables recorded decreases of 2 - and 10 -percent respectively. With the exception of drugstores, which recorded a 1-percent increase, all major store categories for nondurable goods registered decreases during December in their adjusted sales figures. Automotive stores produced the only seasonably adjusted decline among durable goods for December but it was sizable enough to produce a decrease for the whole category of durables. One mitigating factor in evaluating these monthly declines is the fact that November 1968 was an extremely good month for retail sales in Texas according to the seasonally adjusted data and thus most categories of both durable and nondurable
goods were unable to maintain November's frenzied pace. Another probable, though entirely speculative, factor is the likelihood that Christmas trade was drawn into the November totals by the determined effort of retailers to stimulate November shopping as a counterbalance to the shortened post-Thanksgiving season.

Automotive stores experienced a high level of sales throughout 1968. At mid-year this category was registering a 16 -percent increase over the first half of 1967. By the end of December sales by automotive stores had risen to 18 percent above the figure for 1967 with the important subcategory of motor-vehicle dealers registering an identical increase. Although December sales by automotive stores declined 4 percent from November, they were 12 percent above the figure for December 1967. Favorable consumer reaction to the 1969 automobiles is helping to offset the negative effects of higher prices and the 10 -percent

RETAIL-SALES TRENDS BY KIND OF BUSINESS
(Unadjusted)

| $\underline{\text { Kind of business }}$ | Percent change |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | December from November |  |  |  |
|  | Actual |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Normal } \\ & \text { seasonal } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Dee } 1968 \\ \text { from } \\ \text { Nov } 1968 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dee } 1968 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Dec } 1967 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Jan-Dec } 1968 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Jan-Dec } 1967 \end{aligned}$ |
| DURABLE GOODS |  |  |  |  |
| Automotive stores $\uparrow$. .... 233 | 6 | -4 | 12 | 18 |
| Motor-vehicle dealers . . 159 |  | - 5 | 13 | 18 |
| Furniture and household- |  |  |  |  |
| Furniture stores ...... 82 |  | 25 | 2 | 10 |
| Lumber, building-material, |  |  |  |  |
| Farm-implement dealers 17 |  | 28 | ** | 13 |
| Hardware stores ...... 56 |  | 80 | 7 | 11 |
| Lumber and building- |  |  |  |  |
| NONDURABLE GOODS |  |  |  |  |
| Apparel stores .......... 247 | 90 | 58 | 1 | 6 |
| Family clothing stores 41 |  | 63 | -2 | 1 |
| Men's and boys' clothing <br>  |  |  |  |  |
| Shoe stores .......... 52 |  | 33 | -11 | 4 |
| Women's ready-to-wear |  |  |  |  |
| Other apparel stores .. 23 |  | 64 | 6 | 10 |
| Drugstores ............ 133 | 41 | 42 | 4 | 6 |
| Eating and drinking |  |  |  |  |
| Restaurants .......... 93 |  | -4 | 4 | 5 |
| Food stores $\dagger$............ 239 | 17 | 9 | $-2$ | 3 |
| Groceries (without meats) .............. 66 |  | 3 | 4 | 6 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Groceries (with } \\ & \text { meats) } \text {.............159 }\end{aligned}$ |  | 9 | - 2 | 2 |
| Gasoline and service stations .... 1007 | 14 | 7 | 12 | 10 |
| General-merchandise |  |  |  | 11 |
| Full-line stores ....... 55 |  | 49 | 34 | 16 |
| Dry-goods stores ...... 53 |  | 42 | 4 | 4 |
| Department stores .... 32 |  | 51 | 6 | 12 |
| Other retail stores $\dagger$.... 242 | 54 | 44 | 6 | 7 |
| Florists ............ 43 |  | 75 | 3 | 11 |
| Nurseries ........... 14 |  | -4 | ** | 10 |
| Jewelry stores ........ 38 |  | 170 | 1 | 6 |
| Liquor stores ......... 23 |  | 34 | 3 | 3 |
| Office-, store-, and schoolsupply dealers ...... 34 |  | 12 | 4 | 6 |

[^2](Unadjusted)

| Type of store $\begin{array}{r}\text { (millions }\end{array}$ | Percent change |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dec } 1968 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Nov } 1968 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dec } 1968 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Dec } 1967 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Jan-Dec } 1968 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Jan-Dec } 1967 \end{aligned}$ |
| Total . . . . . . . . . . . . 1,972 | 18 | 7 | 10 |
| Durable Goods $\dagger$. .... 667 | 2 | 12 | 17 |
| Nondurable goods ....1,305 | 26 | 5 | 6 |
| p Preliminary. |  |  |  |
| * Bureau of Business Research estimates based on data from the Bureau of the Census. |  |  |  |
| $\dagger$ Contains automotive stores, furniture stores, and lumber, buildingmaterial, and hardware dealers. |  |  |  |
| ** Change is less than one half of 1 percent. |  |  |  |

CREDIT RATIOS IN DEPARTMENT AND APPAREL STORES

| Classification (annual sales volume 1967) | Credit ratios * |  | Collection ratios $\dagger$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Dec | Dec | Dec | Dec |
|  | 1968 | 1967 | 1968 | 1967 |
| ALL STORES ............ 30 BY TYPE OF STORE | 60.9 | 60.1 | 37.7 | 37.3 |
| Department stores ........ 9 | 63.1 | 57.6 | 41.3 | 39.7 |
| Dry-goods and apparel stores $\qquad$ | 54.3 | 54.2 | 44.8 | 40.4 |
| Women's specialty shops . . 9 | 58.3 | 56.8 | 35.0 | 32.4 |
| Men's clothing stores ..... 6 BY VOLUME OF NET SALES | 48.1 | 48.3 | 48.5 | 48.8 |
| Over $\$ 1,500,000 \ldots . . . . .11$ | 62.0 | 61.3 | 37.3 | 37.0 |
| \$500,000 to $\$ 1,500,000 \ldots .5$ | 51.9 | 48.8 | 44.1 | 41.8 |
| \$250,000 to $\$ 500,000$..... 5 | 51.9 | 52.4 | 38.7 | 39.1 |
| Less than $\$ 250,000 \ldots . .{ }^{\text {a }} 9$ | 47.2 | 47.0 | 42.0 | 37.0 |

* Credit sales divided by net sales.
$\dagger$ Collections during the month divided by accounts unpaid on first of the month.


Texas Industry, 1968 (continued from p. 38)
Furthermore, of the twenty manufacturing industries with the fastest growth rate in sales, according to a De partment of Commerce study, nine are important to the Texas economy and are increasing output rapidly. These industries include the producers of: aircraft semiconductors, radio and communication equipment, plastics, trailer coaches, truck trailers, truck and bus bodies, and commercial printing. Products of other fast-growing industries important here include: corrugated and fiber boxes; frozen fruits, juices, and vegetables; manifold business forms; meat products; optical instruments and lenses; organic chemicals; paper; petroleum products; primary aluminum; steel; and synthetic rubber.

All of these factors augur well for Texas industry in 1969.


Statistical data compiled by: Mildred Anderson, Constance Cooledge, and Glenda Riley, statistical assistants, and Doris Dismuke and Mary Gorham, statistical technicians.

Indicators of business conditions in Texas cities published in this table include statistics on banking, building permits, employment, postal receipts, and retail trade. An individual city is listed when a minimum of three indicators are available.

The cities have been grouped according to standard metropolitan statistical areas. In Texas all twenty-three SMSA's are defined by county lines; the counties included are listed under each SMSA. The populations shown for the SMSA's are estimates for April 1, 1968, prepared by the Population Research Center, Department of Sociology, The University of Texas at Austin. The population shown after the city name is the 1960 Census figure, unless otherwise indicated. Cities in SMSA's are listed alphabetically under their appropriate SMSA's; all other cities are listed alphabetically as main entries.

Retail-sales data are reported here only when a minimum total of fifteen stores report; separate categories of retail stores are listed only when a minimum of five stores report in those categories. The first column presents current data for the various categories. Percentages shown for retail sales are average statewide percent changes from the preceding month. This is the normal seasonal change in sales by that kind of business-except in the cases of Dallas, Fort Worth, Houston, and San Antonio, where the dagger ( $\dagger$ ) is replaced by another symbol ( $\dagger \dagger$ ) because the normal seasonal changes given are for each of these cities individually. The second column shows the percent change from the preceding month in data reported for the current month; the third column shows the percent change in data from the same month a year ago. A large variation between the
normal seasonal change and the reported change indicates an abnormal sales month.

Symbols used in this table include:
(a) Population Research Center data, April 1, 1968.
(b) Separate employment data for the Midland and Odessa SMSA's are not available, since employment figures for Midland and Ector Counties, composing one labormarket area, are recorded in combined form.
(c) Separate employment data for Gladewater, Kilgore, and Longview are not available, since employment figures for Gregg County, composing one labor-market area, are recorded in total.
$(\dagger)$ Average statewide percent change from preceding month.
$(\dagger \dagger)$ Average individual-city percent change from preceding month.
(r) Estimates officially recognized by Texas Highway Department.
(rr) Estimate for Pleasanton: combination of 1960 Census figures for Pleasanton and North Pleasanton.
(*) Cash received during the four-week postal accounting period ended January 10, 1969.
( $\ddagger$ ) Money on deposit in individual demand deposit accounts on the last day of the month.
(§) Data for Texarkana, Texas, only.
(**) Change is less than one half of 1 percent.
(||) Annual rate basis, seasonally adjusted.
(\#) Monthly averages.
(X) Sherman-Denison SMSA: a new standard metropolitan statistical area, for which not all categories of data are now available.

ALPHABETICAL LISTING OF CITIES IN CLUDED IN FEBRUARY 1969 ISSUE OF TEXAS BUSINESS REVIEW

Abilene (Abilene SMSA)
Alamo (McAllen-Pharr-Edinburg
SMSA)
Albany
Alpine
Amarillo (Amarillo SMSA)
Andrews
Angleton (Houston SMSA)
Aransas Pass (Corpus Christi SMSA)
Arlington (Fort Worth SMSA)
Athens
Austin (Austin SMSA)
Bay City
Baytown (Houston SMSA)
Beaumont (Beaumont-Port ArthurOrange SMSA)

## Beeville

Bellaire (Houston SMSA)
Bellville
Belton
Big Spring
Bishop (Corpus Christi SMSA)
Bonham
Borger
Brady
Brenham
Brownfield
Brownsville (Brownsville-HarlingenSan Benito SMSA)

Brownwood
Bryan
Burkburnett (Wichita Falls SMSA)
Caldwell
Cameron
Canyon (A marillo SMSA)
Carrollton (Dallas SMSA)
Castroville
Cisco
Cleburne (Fort Worth SMSA)
Clute (Houston SMSA)
College Station
Colorado City
Conroe (Houston SMSA)
Copperas Cove
Corpus Christi (Corpus Christi SMSA)
Corsicana
Crystal City
Dallas (Dallas SMSA)
Dayton (Houston SMSA)
Decatur
Deer Park (Houston SMSA)
Del Rio
Denison (Sherman-Denison SMSA)
Denton (Dallas SMSA)
Dickinson (Galveston-Texas City SMSA)
Dimmitt

Donna (McAllen-Pharr-Edinburg SMSA)
Eagle Lake
Eagle Pass
Edinburg (McAllen-Pharr-Edinburg SMSA)
Edna
El Paso (El Paso SMSA)
Elsa (McAllen-Pharr-Edinburg SMSA)
Ennis (Dallas SMSA)
Euless (Fort Worth SMSA)
Farmers Branch (Dallas SMSA)
Fort Stockton
Fort Worth (Fort Worth SMSA)
Fredericksburg
Freeport (Houston SMSA)
Friona
Galveston (Galveston-Texas City SMSA)
Gatesville
Georgetown
Giddings
Gladewater
Goldthwaite
Graham
Granbury
Grand Prairie (Dallas SMSA)

# ALPHABETICAL LISTING OF CITIES INCLUDED IN FEBRUARY 1969 ISSUE OF <br> TEXAS BUSINESS REVIEW (continued) 

| Grapevine (Fort Worth SMSA) |
| :--- |
| Greenville |
| Groves (Beaumont-Port Arthur- |
| Orange SMSA) |
| Hallettsville |
| Hallsville |
| Harlingen (Brownsville-Harlingen- |
| San Benito SMSA) |
| Haskell |
| Henderson |
| Hereford |
| Hondo |
| Houston (Houston SMSA) |
| Humble (Houston SMSA) |
| Huntsville |
| Iowa Park (Wichita Falls SMSA) |
| Irving (Dallas SMSA) |
| Jacksonville |
| Jasper |
| Junction |
| Justin (Dallas SMSA) |
| Karnes City |
| Katy (Houston SMSA) |
| Kilgore |
| Killeen |
| Kingland |
| Kirbyville |
| La Feria (Brownsville-Harlingen- |
| San Benito SMSA) |
| La Marque (Galveston-Texas City |
| SMSA) |
| Lamesa |
| Lampasas |
| Lancaster (Dallas SMSA) |
| La Porte (Houston SMSA) |
| Laredo (Laredo SMSA) |
| Liberty (Houston SMSA) |
| Littlefield |
| Llano |
| Lockhart |
| Longview |
| Los Fresnos (Brownsville-Harlingen- |
| San Benito SMSA) |
| Lubbock (Lubbock SMSA) |
| Lufkin |
| McAllen (McAllen-Pharr-Edinburg |
| SMSA) |

McCamey
McGregor (Waco SMSA)
McKinney (Dallas SMSA)
Marble Falls
Marshall
Mercedes (McAllen-Pharr-Edinburg SMSA)
Mesquite (Dallas SMSA)
Mexia
Midland (Midland SMSA)
Midlothian (Dallas SMSA)
Mineral Wells
Mission (McAllen-PharrEdinburg SMSA)
Monahans
Mount Pleasant
Muenster
Muleshoe
Nacogdoches
Nederland (Beaumont-Port ArthurOrange SMSA)
New Braunfels
North Richland Hills (Fort Worth SMSA)
Odessa (Odessa SMSA)
Olney
Orange (Beaumont-Port Arthur Orange SMSA)
Palestine
Pampa
Paris
Pasadena (Houston SMSA)
Pecos
Pharr (McAllen-Pharr-Edinburg SMSA)
Pilot Point (Dallas SMSA)
Plainview
Pleasanton
Port Aransas
Port Arthur (Beaumont-Port ArthurOrange SMSA)
Port Isabel (Brownsville-HarlingenSan Benito SMSA)
Port Neches (Beaumont-Port ArthurOrange SMSA)
Quanah
Raymondville

Refugio
Richardson (Dallas SMSA)
Richmond (Houston SMSA)
Robstown (Corpus Christi SMSA)
Rockdale
Rosenberg (Houston SMSA)
San Angelo (San Angelo SMSA)
San Antonio (San Antonio SMSA)
San Benito (Brownsville-HarlingenSan Benito SMSA)
San Juan (McAllen-Pharr-Edinburg SMSA)
San Marcos
San Saba
Schertz (San Antonio SMSA)
Seagoville (Dallas SMSA)
Seguin (San Antonio SMSA)
Sherman (Sherman-Denison SMSA)
Silsbee
Sinton (Corpus Christi SMSA)
Slaton (Lubbock SMSA)
Smithville
Snyder
Sonora
South Houston (Houston SMSA)
Stephenville
Stratford
Sulphur Springs
Sweetwater
Tahoka
Taylor
Temple
Texarkana (Texarkana SMSA)
Texas City (Galveston-Texas City SMSA)
Tomball (Houston SMSA)
Tyler (Tyler SMSA)
Uvalde
Vernon
Victoria
Waco (Waco SMSA)
Waxahachie (Dallas SMSA)
Weslaco (McAllen-Pharr-Edinburg SMSA)
White Settlement (Fort Worth SMSA)
Wichita Falls (Wichita Falls SMSA)

## ALPHABETICAL LISTING OF SMSA'S AND CITIES WITHIN EACH SMSA, WITH DATA

| City and item | $\begin{gathered} \text { Dec } \\ 1968 \end{gathered}$ | Percent change |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Jan-Dec } \\ 1968 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Jan-Dee } \\ 1967 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\frac{\text { Percent change }}{\text { Jan-Dec } 1968} \begin{gathered} \text { from } \\ \text { Jan-Dec } 1967 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Dec 1968 from Nov 1968 | Dec 1968 from Dec 1967 |  |  |  |

ABILENE SMSA
(Jones and Taylor; pop. $120,100^{\text {a }}$ )

| Retail sales |  |  | 33 | 14 |  | ......... |  | ..... | 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Apparel stores |  |  | 10 | - 4 |  | . $\cdot .$. |  | .... | 11 |
| Automotive stores |  |  | 20 | 29 |  |  |  |  | ** |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ | 207,668 | $-17$ | -82 | \$ | 8,308,591 |  | 2,410 | -22 |
| Bank debits (thousands) \|| | \$ | 1,882,980 | 2 | 12 |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | \$ | 104,439 | 3 | 6 | \% | 96,700 \# | \$ | 94,491 \# | 2 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover |  | 18.3 |  | 4 |  | 19.0 \# |  | 19.1 \# | -1 |
| Nonfarm employment (area) |  | 38,600 | 2 | 1 |  | 37,463 \# |  | 37,538 \# | ** |
| Manufacturing employment (area) |  | 4,430 | - | 3 |  | 4,271 \# |  | 4,271 \# | ** |
| Percent unemployed (area) |  | 2.0 | $-26$ | $-20$ |  | 3.0 \# |  | 3.3 \# | - 9 |

[^3]| City and item | $\begin{gathered} \text { Dec } \\ 1968 \end{gathered}$ | Percent change |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Jan-Dec } \\ 1968 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Jan-Dec } \\ 1967 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | Percent changeJan-Dec 1968fromJan-Dec 1967 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Dec } 1968 \\ \text { from } \\ \text { Nov } 1968 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dec } 1968 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Dec } 1967 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| ABILENE (pop. 110,049 r) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Retail sales | $33 \dagger$ | 33 | 14 |  | ........ |  | ......... | 2 |
| Apparel stores | 62 † | 10 | - 4 |  | ........ |  | ........ | 11 |
| Automotive stores | $6 \dagger$ | 20 | 29 |  | ......... |  | ........ | ** |
| Building permits, less federal contracts ....... \$ | 207,668 | $-16$ | - 82 | \$ | 7,864,404 |  | 10,356,110 | -24 |
| Bank debits (thousands) .................... \$ | 147,053 | 13 | 20 | \$ | 1,576,797 | \$ | 1,550,738 | 2 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger \ldots$. ....... \$ | 85,655 | 10 | 5 | \$ | 74,159 \# | \$ | 73,418 \# | ** |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover $\ldots . . . . . . . .$. | 21.6 | 9 | 13 |  | 21.3 \# |  | 21.2 \# | ** |

## AMARILLO SMSA

(Potter and Randall; pop. 177,100 ${ }^{\text { }}$ )

| Retail sales | ......... | 9 | 12 |  | ......... |  | ........ | 13 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Automotive stores |  | 3 | 14 |  | ......... |  | ......... | 17 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts ...... \$ | 1,718,317 | 73 | 20 |  | 21,761,782 |  | 22,222,329 | $-2$ |
| Bank debits (thousands)\\| .................... \$ | 4,837,200 | ** | 7 |  | . |  | ......... | 14 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$.......... \$ | 153,215 | 2 | 10 | 8 | 142,743 \# | \& | 138,074 \# | 3 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 31.9 | 2 | $-3$ |  | 35.2 \# |  | 31.8 \# | 11 |
| Nonfarm employment (area) ............... | 60,700 | 1 | 1 |  | 60,000 \# |  | 60,000 \# | ** |
| Manufacturing employment (area) | 6,670 | 3 | 24 |  | 6,011 \# |  | 5,662 \# | 6 |
| Percent unemployed (area) | 4.1 | 11 | 28 |  | 3.3 \# |  | 3.1 \# | 6 |

## AMARILLO (pop. 155,205 r)

| Retail sales |  | $33 \dagger$ |  | 8 | 13 |  | ........ |  | ........ | 13 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Automotive stores |  | $6 \dagger$ |  | 3 | 14 |  | ......... |  |  | 17 |
| Postal receipts* | \$ | 395,776 | - | 7 | 6 | \$ | 4,527,347 |  | ......... | $\cdots$ |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | 8 | 1,684,365 |  | 85 | 21 | \$ | 20,131,384 |  | 20,243,694 | - 1 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | 8 | 416,650 |  | 11 | 11 | \$ | 4,886,386 | \$ | 4,253,290 | 15 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ |  | 153,742 |  | 5 | 10 | \$ | 134,674 \# | \$ | 130,717 \# | 3 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover |  | 33.2 |  | 7 | 1 |  | 36.5 \# |  | 32.6 \# | 12 |


| Canyon (pop. 6,755 r) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Postal receipts* | \$ | 23,960 | - 6 | 5 | \$ | 165,862 |  | ......... | $\cdots$ |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ | 33,952 | - 60 | 5 | \$ | 1,630,398 | \$ | 1,978,635 | $-18$ |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 8,291 | $-10$ | $-5$ | \$ | 103,458 | 8 | 99,042 | 4 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | \$ | 8,099 | 6 | 15 | \$ | 7,244 \# | \$ | 6,746 \# | 7 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover ... |  | 12.7 | - 11 | - 14 |  | 14.4 \# |  | 14.6 \# | $-1$ |

## AUSTIN SMSA

(Travis; pop. 263,800 ${ }^{\circ}$ )

| Retail sales |  | 23 | 9 |  | ........ |  | ....... |  | 13 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Eating and drinking places |  | $-14$ | 4 |  | .... |  | ........ |  | 8 |
| Furniture and household-appliance stores.. |  | 49 | ** |  |  |  | ........ |  | 8 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts ...... \$ | 6,548,300 | $-71$ | -25 |  | 175,035 |  | 404,935 |  | ** |
| Bank debits (thousands) \|| .................... \$ | 8,492,112 | 4 | 51 |  |  |  | ....... |  | 36 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . . . . . . . . .$. . \$ | 284,729 | 15 | 36 | \$ | 250,305 \# | \$ | 202,575 \# |  | 24 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 31.9 | 4 | 21 |  | 26.9 \# |  | 24.4 \# |  | 10 |
| Nonfarm employment (area) | 119,000 | 1 | 6 |  | 113,959 \# |  | 107,767 \# |  | 6 |
| Manufacturing employment (area) ...... | 10,600 |  | 24 |  | 10,209 \# |  | 7,764 \# |  | 31 |
| Percent unemployed (area) ................. | 1.6 | $-27$ | 6 |  | 1.9 \# |  | 2.0 \# | - | 5 |

## AUSTIN (pop. 245,295 r)

| Retail sales | 33 † | 24 | 9 |  | ........ |  | ........ | 13 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Eating and drinking places | $4 \dagger$ | $-10$ | ** |  | ......... |  | ......... | 7 |
| Furniture and houschold-appliance stores.. | $8 \dagger$ | 49 | ** |  | ......... |  | ......... | 8 |
| Postal receipts* | 387,247 | $-2$ | 14 |  | 10,788,842 |  | ......... | $\ldots$ |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | 6,548,300 | -71 | - 25 |  | 0,818,935 |  | 0,745,935 | ** |
| Bank debits (thousands) | 673,067 | - 2 | 51 | \$ | 6,668,575 | \$ | 4,887,169 | 36 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | 298,965 | 21 | 36 | \$ | 250.428 \# | \$ | 202,396 \# | 24 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover . . . . . . . . . . . | 29.6 | - 5 | 21 |  | 26.9 \# |  | 24.3 \# | 11 |


| City and item | $\begin{gathered} \text { Dee } \\ 1968 \end{gathered}$ | Percent change |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Jan-Dec } \\ & 1968 \end{aligned}$ | Jan-Dec | Percent change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Dec } 1968 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Nov } 1968 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dec } 1968 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Dec } 1967 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Jan-Dec } 1968 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Jannec 19a7 } \end{aligned}$ |

## BEAUMONT-PORT ARTHUR-ORANGE SMSA

(Jefferson and Orange; pop. 320,500 ${ }^{\circ}$ )


For an explanation of symbols see p. 43.

| City and item | $\begin{gathered} \text { Dec } \\ 1968 \end{gathered}$ | Percent change |  | $\underset{1968}{\substack{\text { Jan-Dec } \\ \hline}}$ | $\underset{1967}{\text { Jan-Dec }}$ | Percent change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Dec 1968 from Nov 1968 | Dec 1968 from Dec 1967 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Jan-Dec } 1968 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Jan-Dec } 1967 \end{aligned}$ |

## BROWNSVILLE-HARLINGEN-SAN BENITO SMSA <br> (Cameron; pop. 134,900 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ )

| Retail sales |  | 10 | - 8 |  | .... |  |  |  | 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Automotive stores |  | 2 | - 7 |  | .... |  | . $\cdot . .$. |  | 8 |
| Drugstores |  | 64 | $-17$ |  |  |  | ... .1. | - | 3 |
| Lumber, building-material, and hardware dealers |  | 10 | - 22 |  | ...... |  |  |  | 14 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | 927,055 | - 44 | 12 |  | 84,593 | \$ | 6,418,841 |  | 185 |
| Bank debits (thousands) \|| | 1,804,404 | 1 | 13 |  | ..... |  | ........ |  | 13 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | 69,496 | $-4$ | -7 | \$ | 71,750 \# | \$ | 64,782 \# |  | 11 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 25.4 | 3 | 19 |  | 21.5 \# |  | 21.5 \# |  | ** |
| Nonfarm employment (area) | 39,000 | 3 | 2 |  | 38,192 \# |  | 37,875 \# |  | 1 |
| Manufacturing employment (area) | 6,770 | 5 | 4 |  | 6,530 \# |  | 6,652 \# | - | 2 |
| Percent unemployed (area) | 5.7 | $-11$ | 27 |  | 5.8 \# |  | 6.0 \# | - | 3 |

BROWNSVILLE (pop. 48,040)


HARLINGEN (pop. 41,207)

| Postal receipts* | \$ | 68,539 | - | 9 | 20 | \$ | 725,679 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ | 612,755 | - | 8 | 5 | \$ | 7,908,725 | 8 | 2,390,088 |  | 231 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 56,524 |  | 6 | 13 | \$ | 685,232 | \$ | 596,595 |  | 15 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | \$ | 28,878 |  | 3 | $-12$ | \$ | 28,820 \# | \$ | 26,651 \# |  | 8 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover |  | 23.9 |  | 7 | 23 |  | 23.6 \# |  | 22.3 \# |  | 6 |
| Nonfarm placements |  | 583 | - | 8 | 6 |  | 6,245 |  | 6,833 | - | 9 |

## La Feria (pop. 3,047)

| Postal receipts* | \$ | 4,942 | 38 | 30 | \$ | 40,416 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits, iess federal contracts | \$ | 27,010 |  | 245 | \$ | 87,316 | \$ | 68,226 | 28 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 2,611 | 21 | 17 | \$ | 29,323 | \$ | 22,626 | 30 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | \$ | 2,007 | 8 |  | \$ | 2,006 \# | \$ | 1,912 \# | 5 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover |  | 16.2 | 21 | 34 |  | 14.6 \# |  | 12.1 \# | 21 |

## Los Fresnos (pop. 1,289)

| Postal rece.pts* | \$ | 4,127 |  | 56 | 121 | \$ | 26,879 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 1,861 |  | 4 | 14 | \$ | 23,510 | \$ | 21,336 | 10 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | \$ | 1,599 | - | 3 | $-21$ | \$ | 1,681 \# | \$ | 1,615 \# | 4 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover |  | 13.8 |  | 7 | 39 |  | 13.7 \# |  | 13.4 \# | 2 |

Port Isabel (pop. 3,575)

| Postal receipts* | \$ | 5,840 | 14 | 30 | \$ | 55,560 |  |  |  | .. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 2,902 | ** | 1 | \$ | 39,286 | \$ | 31,108 |  | 26 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | \$ | 2,569 | 25 | $-13$ | \$ | 2,772 \# | \$ | 2,130 \# |  | 30 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover |  | 15.1 | 19 | 32 |  | 14.1 \# |  | 15.2 \# | - | 7 |

## SAN BENITO (pop. 16,422)

Postal receipts* $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$
Building permits, less federal contracts $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$
Bank debits (thousands) $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$
End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \$$
Annual rate of deposit turnover $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$

For an explanation of symbols see p. 43.

| City and item | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dec } \\ & 1968 \end{aligned}$ | Percent change |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Jan-Dec } \\ 1968 \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{1967}{\substack{\text { Jan-Dee }}}$ | Percent changeJan-Dec 1968fromJan-Dec 1967 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Dec 1968 from Nov 1968 | Dec 1968 from Dec 1967 |  |  |  |

CORPUS CHRISTI SMSA
(Nueces and San Patricio; pop. 279,700 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ )


## DALLAS SMSA

(Collin, Dallas, Denton, Ellis, Kaufman and Rockwall; pop. 1,446,100)


For an explanation of symbols see p. 43.

| City and item | $\underset{1968}{\text { Bec }}$ | Percent change |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Jan-Dec } \\ 1968 \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{1967}{\text { Jan-Dec }}$ | Percent chankeJan-Dec 1968 <br> from <br> Jan-Dec 1967 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Dec } 1988 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Nov } 1968 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dec } 1968 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Dee } 1967 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
| Carrollton (pop. 9,832 r) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* .............................. \$ | 33,030 | 6 | 21 | \$ 354,078 | +... | ** |
| Building permits, less Pederal contracts ....... \$ | 279,950 | - 76 | - 65 | \$ 11,851,336 | \$ 6,323,522 | 87 |
| Bank debits (thousknds) ..................... \$ | 11,768 | -8 | 18 | \$ 129,802 | \$ 135,347 | - 4 |
| End-oi-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger \ldots . . . . .$. \$ | 6,780 | 6 | 37 | 85,684 \# | 3 4,487 \$ | 27 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 21.4 | 9 | $-9$ | 23.3 \# | 80.7 \# | -24 |
| DALLAS (pop. 679,684) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Retail sales | $334 \dagger$ | 16 | 11 | ........ | ......... | 11 |
| Apparel stores | 70 | 41 | 1 | ......... | .. ...... | 6 |
| Automotive' stores | $3 \dagger \dagger$ |  | 17 | ........ | ........ | 27 |
| Florists | ** $\dagger \dagger$ | 78 | 9 | ......... | ........ | 13 |
| Furniture and household appliance stores | $16 \dagger \dagger$ | 9 | -2 | . $\cdot .$. | . ....... | 16 |
| Gasoline and service stations | $64+$ | 9 | 18 | . $\cdot$...... | . $\cdot$...... | 7 |
| Lumber, building-material, and hardware dealers | - 13 + ${ }^{\text {¢ }}$ | -1 | 36 |  |  | 31 |
| Postal receipts* . ............................... \$ | 6,095,857 | 19 | 32 | . ....... | ........ | ... |
| Building permits, less federal contracts ....... \$ | 29,864,704 | 47 | 39 | \$281.287.777 | \$228,876,139 | 23 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ....................... | 8,763,557 | 28 | 34 | ( 83,388,216 | \$68,731,241 | 21 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$........... \$ | 2,154,456 | 18 | 15 | ( 1,708,810 \# | \$ 1,545,769 \# | 11 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 52.9 | 15 | 15 | 49.1 \# | 44.6 \# | 10 |
| Denton (pop. 26,844) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* . ................................ \% | 85,208 | 4 | 33 | ......... | $\ldots$ | . . |
| Building permits, less federal contracts ........ \$ | 641,200 | 98 | - 76 | \$ 14,837,500 | \$ 18,334,328 | - 19 |
| Brank debits (thousands) ....................... \$ | 44,507 | 9 | 11 | \$ 494,671 | \% 428,616 | 15 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$........... \$ | 32.739 | 4 | 16 | \$ 30,236 \# | - 26,087 \# | 16 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 16.6 | 7 | - 5 | 16.5 \# | 16.5 \# | ** |
| Nonfarm placements | 109 | $-17$ | - 9 | 2,088 | 1,985 | 6 |
| Ennis (pop. 10,250 r) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . \$ | 20,607 | - 11 | $\sqrt{5}$ | \% 226,406 | . | ** |
| Building permits, less federal contracts ....... | 90,245 | $-19$ | 108 | \$ 863,687 | \% 1,024,290 | - 16 |
| Bank debits (thousands) .................... \$ | 8.256 | 3 | 34 | \% 93,883 | \$ 90,912 | 3 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$........... | 9,626 | 2 | 14 | \$ 8.771 \# | \$ 7,559 \# | 16 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover . ............ | 11.3 | 2 | 16 | 10.8 \# | 12.2 \# | - 11 |
| Farmers Branch (pop. 13,441) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts ........ \$ | 2,172,892 | 131 | 137 | ........ | ......... |  |
| Bank debits (thousands) ....................... \$ | 12,362 | 26 | 34 | \$ 132,798 | \$ 109,025 | 22 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$............ \$ | 6,707 | 8 | 38 | \$ 6,013 \# | \% 4,958 \# | 21 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 22.9 | 18 | - 5 | 23.0 \# | 22.5 \# | 2 |
| Grand Prairie (pop. 40,150 r) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . $\$$ | 86,207 | $-12$ | 21 | \$ 854,881 | ......... | $\cdots$ |
| Building premits, less federal contracts ........ \$ | 1,940,166 | 16 | 42 | \$ 28,973,408 | \$ 38,652,609 | - 25 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ...................... | 27.801 | ... | 21 | ........ | ........ | ... |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$.......... \$ | 19,264 | -. | 31 | . $\cdot$. ${ }^{\text {a }}$. | . $\cdot$...... | -'. |
| Irving (pop. 60,136 r) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* ............................... | 110,176 | - 16 | 10 | \$ 1,225,110 | ......... | $\cdots$ |
| Building permits, less federal contracts ....... \$ | 1,104,074 | - 71 | $-41$ | * 26,566,154 | \$ 22,804,852 | 16 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ........................ \$ | 68,933 | 12 | 28 | \$ 734,699 | \& 610,478 | 20 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$.......... \$ | 30,391 | -6 | 11 | \$ 28,843 \# | \$ 24,141 \# | 19 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 26.7 | 12 | 7 | 25.6 \# | 25.4 \# | 1 |
| Justin (pop. 622) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* . .............................. ${ }^{\text {\% }}$ | 1,542 | - 8 | 12 | \$ 15,487 | . $+\cdots \cdot \ldots$ | $\cdots$ |
| Building permits, less federal contracts ........ \$ | 0 | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | \$ 239,000 | ........ | $\cdots$ |
| Bank debits (thousands) ...................... \$ | 1,196 | 17 | 15 | \$ 12,968 | \$ 12,410 | 4 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger \ldots . . . . .$. . | 1,118 | $-3$ | 35 | \$ 963 \# | \$ 884 \# | 9 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover ............... | 12.6 | 18 | $-15$ | 13.8 \# | 14.0 \# | 1 |

For an explanation of symbols see p. 43.

| City and item | Percent change |  |  |  | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Dee } \\ 1968 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Dec } 1968 \\ \text { from } \\ \text { Nov } 1968 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dec } 1988 \\ & \text { fecrom } \\ & \text { Dec } 1967 \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{1968}{\substack{\text { Jan-Dee }}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Jan-Dec } \\ & \text { 1967 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Jan-Dec } 1968 \\ & \text { from } 1967 \end{aligned}$ |

Lancaster (pop. 7,501)

| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ | 55,350 | $-27$ | -33 | \$ | 1,268,650 | \$ | 1,259,849 |  | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 8,965 | 6 | 37 | \$ | 88,375 | \% | 76,502 |  | 16 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | \$ | 5,028 | 1 | 14 | \$ | 4,711 \# | \$ | 3,945 \# |  | 19 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover |  | 21.3 | 4 | 20 |  | 18.8 \# |  | 19.6 \# | - | 4 |

McKinney (pop. 13,763)

| Postal receipta* | \$ | 27,902 |  | 9 | 77 | \$ | 294,863 |  | ........ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ | 208,100 |  | 51 | - 71 | \$ | 2,074,900 | \$ | 2,169,930 | 4 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 14,167 |  | 9 | 28 | \$ | 148,045 | * | 144,100 | 3 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | \$ | 16,469 |  | 5 | 22 | \% | 14.408 \# | \% | 12,058 \# | 19 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover |  | 10.6 |  | 5 | 4 |  | 10.4 \# |  | 12.1 \# | -14 |
| Nonfarm placements |  | 107 | - | 4 | 3 |  | 1,846 |  | 1,452 | 27 |

Mesquite (pop. 27,526)

| Postal receipts* | \$ | 53,320 | 28 | 29 | \$ | 431,142 |  |  | $\ldots$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 19,821 | 13 | 37 | \$ | 192,849 | \% | 169,917 | 13 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | \$ | 9,769 | 1 | 5 | \$ | 9.719 \# | \% | 8,961 \# | 8 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover |  | 24.3 | 12 | 29 |  | 19.9\# |  | 19.1 \# | 4 |

Midlothian (pop. 1,521)

| Building permitg, less federal contracts | \$ | 68,000 | 444 | $\ldots$ | \$ | 423,512 | \$ | 480,492 | -12 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 1.728 | 17 | 17 | \$ | 18,424 | \$ | 16,502 | 12 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | \$ | 1,885 | 3 | 8 | \$ | 1,775 \# | \$ | 1,619 \# | 10 |
| Antual rate of deposit turnover |  | 10.9 | 15 | 7 |  | 10.4 \# |  | 10.2 \# | 2 |

Pilot Point (pop. 1,254)

| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ | 132,000 | 180 | 442 | \$ | 264,478 | \$ | 280,176 | 6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 2,281 | 8 | 40 | \$ | 23,507 | \$ | 20,174 | 17 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | \$ | 2.530 | 5 | 22 | \$ | 2,201 \# | \$ | 2,033 \# | 8 |
| Annual rate of deposit tarnover |  | 10.5 | 5 | 14 |  | 10.8 \# |  | 9.9 \# | 9 |

Richardson (pop. 34,390 r)

| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . \% | 111,663 | - | 9 | 18 | \$ 1,097,090 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits, less federal contractz ........ \$ | 3,085,188 |  | 21 | -18 | ( 22,134,886 | \$ 26,129,857 | -11 |
| Bank debits (thousands) . + . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . \$ | 39,140 | -- | 14 | 34 | ........ |  |  |
| Enc-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. . . . . . . . . . | 21.283 | - | 1 | 15 |  |  |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 22.0 | - | 7 | 11 |  |  |  |

Seagoville (pop. 3,745)

| Postal receidts* | \$ | 12,343 | 84 | 67 | \% | 130,883 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ | 2,050 | -64 | -84 | \$ | 402,449 | \$ | 348,678 | 15 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 5,514 | 3 | 7 | \$ | 68,352 | \$ | 62,558 | 9 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\%$ | \$ | 4,536 | 40 | 53 | \$ | 3,309 \# | \% | 2,532 \# | 31 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover |  | 17.0 | $-17$ | - 18 |  | 21.2 \# |  | 24.7 \# | - 14 |

Waxahachie (pop. 12,749)

| Postal receipts* |  | 24,589 |  | 14 | 10 | \$ | 294,588 |  | .1... |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building vermits, less federal contracts | \$ | 69.531 |  | 19 | 108 | \$ | 1,078,018 | \$ | 1,730,440 | - 38 |
| Bank debits (thousands) |  | 16,067 | - | 5 | 17 | \% | 177,711 | \$ | 160,075 | 11 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousends) $\ddagger$ |  | 14,254 |  | 1 | 23 | \$ | 12,294 \# | \$ | 11.002 \# | 12 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover |  | 13.6 | - | 9 | 2 |  | 14.6 \# |  | 14.6 \#\# | ** |
| Nonfarm placements |  | 65 | - | 7 | - 14 |  | 1,004 |  | 990 | 1 |

For an explanation of symbols see p. 43.

| City and item | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dec } \\ & 1968 \end{aligned}$ | Percent change |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Jan-Dec } \\ 1968 \end{gathered}$ | Jan-Dec 1967 | $\frac{\text { Percent change }}{\text { Jan-Dec } 1968}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Dec 1968 from Nov 1968 | Dec 1968 from Dec 1967 |  |  |  |

EL PASO SMSA
(El Paso; pop. $343,800^{4}$ )


## EL PASO (pop. 276,687)

| Retail sales | 33 † | 9 | 31 |  | ........ |  |  | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Apparel stores | $62 \dagger$ | 34 | - 4 |  | . |  |  | 4 |
| Food stores | $4 \dagger$ | 2 | 5 |  |  |  |  | 3 |
| Postal receipts* | 619,397 | 7 | 24 | s | 6,163,991 |  | ........ | $\ldots$ |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | 3,809,281 | $-22$ | $-16$ | \$ | 67,637,499 |  | 58,830,283 | 15 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | 584,400 | 23 | 33 | \$ | 5,715,373 | \$ | 5,221,061 | 9 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | 237,582 | 4 | 7 | \$ | 207,574 \# | \$ | 202,096 \# | 3 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 30.1 | 15 | 21 |  | 27.6 \# |  | 26.0 \# | 6 |

FORT WORTH SMSA
(Johnson and Tarrant; pop. 629,400 ${ }^{*}$ )


## Arlington (pop. 75,000 r)

| Retail sales |  | 33 † | $-12$ | 4 |  | ........ |  |  | 22 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Postal receipts* | \$ | 211,389 | 14 | 50 | , | 2,036,838 |  |  | .. |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ | 9,898,885 | 71 | 136 | \$ | 51,551,746 | \$ | 47,584,861 | 8 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 97,227 | 18 | 36 | \$ | 978,277 | \$ | 789,738 | 24 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | \$ | 42,600 | ** | 30 | \$ | 37,038 \# | \$ | 29,291 \# | 26 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover |  | 27.4 | 14 | 2 |  | 26.7 \# |  | 27.3 \# | - 2 |

## Cleburne (pop. 15,381)

| Postal receipts* | \$ | 35,843 | 1 | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ | 35,100 | -41 | $-83$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 18,374 | 6 | 16 | \$ | 207,824 | \$ | 184,958 | 12 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | \$ | 17,230 | 9 | 14 | \$ | 15,153 \# | \$ | 18,793 \# | 10 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover |  | 13.4 | 1 | , |  | 13.8 \# |  | 13.5 \# | 2 |

Euless (pop. 10,500 r)

| Postal receipts* | \$ | 19,136 | - 12 | 44 | \$ | 190,590 |  | ........ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ | 742,230 | - 28 | 435 | \$ | 9,862,680 | \$ | 8,149,295 | 21 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 15,340 | 1 | 31 | \$ | 159,279 | \$ | 126,215 | 26 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | \$ | 5,473 | 2 | 7 | \$ | 5,282 \# | \$ | 4,551 \# | 16 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover |  | 34.0 | 2 | 25 |  | 30.6 \# |  | 27.9 \# | 10 |

For an explanation of symbols see p. 43.


## GALVESTON-TEXAS CITY SMSA

(Galveston; pop. $168,600^{\circ}$ )

| Retail sales | ......... | 16 |  |  | ........ |  | ...... | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Apparel stores .......................... | ......... | 80 |  |  | ........ |  | .... | 8 |
| Automotive stores |  | 5 |  |  | ........ |  | . $\cdot$. | 15 |
| Drugstores | ......... | 47 |  |  | ......... |  | .... | 12 |
| Food stores |  | 1 | $-1$ |  | . |  |  | $-2$ |
| Building permits, less federal contracts ........ \$ | 932,596 | -46 |  | \$ | 30,886,286 |  | 23,178 | 66 |
| Bank debits (thousands) \\| . . . . . . . . . . . . . . \$ | 2,339,868 | 3 |  |  | ........ |  |  | 12 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$........... \$ | 108,235 | 2 |  | \$ | 103,651 \# | \$ | 95,869 \# | 8 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 21.9 | 2 | - |  | 23.3 \# |  | 22.2 \# | 5 |
| Nonfarm employment (area) | 57,700 | 3 | - |  | 57,250 \# |  | 56,559 \# | 1 |
| Manufacturing employment (area) | 10,710 | ** |  |  | 10,662 \# |  | 10,325 \# | 3 |
| Percent unemployed (area) | 3.1 | $-37$ | - |  | 3.5 \# |  | 3.9 \# | - 10 |



For an explanation of symbols see p. 43.

| City and item | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dec } \\ & 1968 \end{aligned}$ | Percent change |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Jan-Dec } \\ & 1968 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Jan-Dec } \\ & 1967 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Percent change } \\ \text { Jan-Dec } 1968 \\ \text { fron-Dec } 1967 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Dec } 1968 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Nov } 1968 \end{aligned}$ | Dec 1968 Dec 196 |  |  |  |

La Marque (pop. 13,969)

| Postal receipts* | s | 23,363 | ... | - 5 |  |  |  | ......... | .. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ | 83,126 | 45 | 216 | \$ | 2,283,146 | \$ | 1,231,251 | 85 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 14,834 | 5 | 27 | \$ | 179,869 | \$ | 150,274 | 20 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | \$ | 10,197 | 5 | 23 | \$ | 9,299 \# | \$ | 8,060 \# | 15 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover |  | 17.8 | 2 | 3 |  | 19.6 \# |  | 18.7 \# | 5 |

## TEXAS CITY (pop. 32,065)

| Postal receipts* | 47,985 | 4 | 6 | \$ | 497,142 |  | ......... |  | . |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | 101,800 | $-79$ | $-55$ | \$ | 7,377,402 | \$ | 5,552,576 |  | 33 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | 34,696 | 4 | 8 | \$ | 412,758 | \$ | 402,159 |  | 3 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | 16,039 | 2 | $-14$ | \$ | 15,844 \# | \$ | 15,403 \# |  | 3 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 25.7 | 3 | 11 |  | 25.9 \# |  | 26.5 \# | - | 2 |

## HOUSTON SMSA

(Brazoria, Fort Bend, Harris, Liberty, and Montgomery; pop. 1,836,700 ${ }^{\text {n }}$ )

| Retail sales | ........ | 12 | 4 | ......... | ......... |  | 13 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Automotive stores |  | $-2$ | 10 | ........ | ......... |  | 21 |
| Eating and drinking places ........... | ........ | 12 | 3 | ........ | ........ |  | 2 |
| Florists |  | 84 | 5 |  |  |  | 9 |
| Food stores |  | 13 | $-2$ | ........ |  |  | 1 |
| Furniture and household-appliance stores |  | 40 | - 8 | ........ | . ........ |  | 9 |
| General-merchandise stores |  | 18 | 14 | ........ | ........ |  | 17 |
| Liquor stores ......... | $\cdots$ | 52 | 2 | . . . . . ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | ....... |  | 2 |
| Lumber, building-material, and hardware dealers |  | $-10$ | 14 | ........ | ........ |  | 12 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ 42,128,149 | - 6 | 57 | \$504,265,614 | \$479,102,364 |  | 5 |
| Bank debits (thousands)\\| .... | \$ 83,825,640 | ** | 13 | ......... |  |  | 14 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | \$ 2,381,126 | 3 | 10 | \$ 2,258,265 \# | \$ 2,060,448 \# |  | 10 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover .. | 35.7 |  | 3 | 35.1 \# | 33.7 \# |  | 4 |
| Nonfarm employment (area) ........... | 783,900 | 1 | 5 | 759,800 \# | 723,650 \# |  | 5 |
| Manufacturing employment (area) ...... | 139,725 | 1 | 7 | 138,096 \# | 130,725 \# |  | 6 |
| Percent unemployed (area) ............... | 1.5 | $-29$ | -6 | 2.0 \# | 2.1 \# | - | 5 |


| Angleton (pop. 9,131) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Postal receipts* | \$ | 16,923 | $-7$ | 23 |  | ......... |  | ......... | . , |
| Building permits, less federal contracts |  | 1,023,100 | 1,000 | ... | \$ | 3,424,035 | \$ | 1,294,676 | 164 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | 8 | 19,367 | 12 | 7 |  | ........ |  | ........ | ... |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | \$ | 18,294 | 34 | 13 |  | ........ |  | ........ | ... |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover |  | 14.5 | - 8 | 4 |  | . $\cdot$...... |  | . $\cdot$...... | $\cdots$ |
| Baytown (pop. 38,000 r) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* | \$ | 72,465 | 26 | 26 | \$ | 634,801 |  | ......... | $\ldots$ |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ | 433,479 | 123 | 217 | \$ | 11,104,965 | \$ | 7,824,977 | 42 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 58,829 | 11 | 21 | \$ | 697,969 | \$ | 606,661 | 15 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | \$ | 35,159 | 6 | 10 | \$ | 32,537 \# | \$ | 29,781 \# | 9 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover |  | 20.7 | 8 | 9 |  | 21.5 \# |  | 20.4 \# | 5 |
| Bellaire (pop. 21,182 r) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* | 8 | 249,429 | $-8$ | - 4 | \$ | 3,331,371 |  | ...... | $\cdots$ |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ | 102,810 | 78 | ... | \$ | 967,282 | \$ | 968,765 | ** |
| Bank debits (thousands) | 8 | 42,433 | 1 | 34 |  | ........ |  | ........ | $\cdots$ |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | 8 | 24,349 | 6 | 14 |  | ......... |  | ......... | $\ldots$ |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover |  | 21.5 | - 3 | 14 |  | ........ |  | . ....... | ... |
| Clute (pop. 4,501) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* | \$ | 6,257 | $-10$ | $\cdots$ |  | ......... |  | ......... | $\ldots$ |
| Building permits, less federal contracts. | \$ | 15,000 | 136 | - 14 |  | ...... |  | ...... | $\cdots$ |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 3,774 | - 1 | - 4 | \$ | 46,022 | \$ | 40,379 | 14 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | \$ | 2,502 | 10 | 18 | \$ | 2,245 \# | \$ | 2,101 \# | 7 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover .. |  | 19.0 | - 6 | $-14$ |  | 20.7 \# |  | 19.2 \# | 8 |
| Conroe (pop. 9,192) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* | \$ | 34,200 | $-45$ | 11 | \$ | 458,117 |  | ........ | . |
| Building permits, less federal contracts |  | 34,500 | - 58 | $-87$ | \$ | 3,178,325 | \$ | 1,738,540 | 83 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 25,698 | 15 | 26 | \$ | 276,252 | \$ | 221,813 | 25 |
| End-of-month depasits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | \$ | 19,289 | 12 | 24 | \$ | 16.361 \# | \$ | 14,104 \# | 16 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover ... |  | 16.9 | 6 | 6 |  | 17.0 \# |  | 15.9 \# | 7 |

For an explanation of symbols see p. 43.


[^4]|  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| City and item |

## LAREDO SMSA

(Webb; pop. 79,300*)


## LAREDO (pop. 60,678)

| Postal receipts* | 77,740 | ** | 27 | \% | 839,524 |  |  | $\ldots$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | 428,300 | 86 | 41 | 8 | 3,505,067 | \$ | 4,472,314 | $-22$ |
| Bank debits (thousands) | 72.411 | 16 | 38 | \$ | 740,959 | \$ | 629,614 | 18 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | 38,979 | 3 | 12 | \$ | 35,750 \# | \$ | 32,771 \# | 9 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 22.6 | 12 | 23 |  | 20.8 \# |  | 19.3 \# | 8 |
| Nonfarm placements | 322 | $-20$ | - 36 |  | 6.204 |  | 6,056 | 2 |

## LUBBOCK SMSA

(Lubbock; pop. 198,600 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ )

| Retail sales |  | 31 | - 3 |  |  |  |  |  | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits, less federal contracts ........ \$ | 7,910,855 | 35 | 465 | \$ | 44,137,865 | \$ | 0,641,477 |  | 44 |
| Bank debits (thousands) \|| ..................... \% | 3,693,192 | ** | 15 |  | $\ldots$ |  |  |  | 5 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger \ldots \ldots$...... \$ | 149,663 | - 5 | 2 | \$ | 150,676 | \$ | 145,456 |  | 4 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 24.0 | 3 | 12 |  | 25.3 |  | 25.1 |  | 1 |
| Nonfarm employment (area) | 35.000 | 1 | 4 |  | 34,134 |  | 33,225 |  | 3 |
| Manufacturing employment (area) | 10,000 | 1 | 14 |  | 9,506 |  | 8,710 |  | 9 |
| Percent unemployed (area) | 2.1 | $-30$ | $-13$ |  | 2.6 |  | 2.8 | - | 7 |

## LUBBOCK (pop. $155,200 \mathrm{r}$ )



For an explanation of symbols see p. 43.

| City and item | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dec } \\ & 1968 \end{aligned}$ | Percent change |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Jan-Dec } \\ & 1968 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Jan-Dec } \\ 1967 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | Percent change <br> Jan-Dec 1968 <br> from <br> Jan-Dec 1967 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Dec } 1968 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Nov } 1968 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dec } 1968 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Dec } 1967 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Slaton (pop. 6,568) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . \$ | 11,752 | 59 | 2 | \$ | 75,105 |  | .... | ... |
| Building permit, less federal contracts ........ \$ | 0 | ... | $\ldots$ | \$ | 427,213 | 8 | 198,387 | 115 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ...................... \$ | 7,252 | 35 | 11 | \$ | 66,665 | \$ | 61,252 | 9 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$.......... \$ | 5,043 | 20 | 12 | \$ | 4,141 \# | \$ | 3,912 \# | 6 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 18.8 | 25 | 6 |  | 16.1 \# |  | 15.6 \# | 3 |
| McALLEN-PHARR-EDINBURG SMSA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (Hidalgo; pop. 177,100n) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Retail sales |  | 16 | $-3$ |  | ........ |  | ........ | 11 |
| Apparel stores |  | 60 | $-3$ |  | . |  | ......... | 4 |
| Automotive stores . ....................... |  | 9 | ** |  | ........ |  | . | 17 |
| Food stores . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . |  | 6 | ** |  | ........ |  | ........ | 3 |
| Furniture and household-appliance stores .. |  | - 3 | $-17$ |  | ........ |  | ......... | 8 |
| Gasoline and service stations ........... |  | 7 | 9 |  | ....... |  | ........ | 6 |
| General-merchandise stores |  | 92 | 12 |  | ... |  | ..... | 7 |
| Lumber, building-material, and hardware dealers |  | 12 | $-10$ |  | ........ |  | ........ | 4. 16 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts ........ \$ | 875,775 | - 28 | - 56 | \$ 1 | 9,087,772 | \$ | 12,061,922 | 58 |
| Bank debits (thousands) \|| ..................... \$ | 1,573,608 | 3 | 14 |  | ........ |  | ........ | - 11 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$.......... \$ | 92,714 | 4 | - 5 | \$ | 84,910 | \$ | 81,050 | 5 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover .............. | 17.3 | - 1 | 17 |  | 17.2 |  | 16.6 | 4 |
| Nonfarm employment (area) ................ | 65,200 | ** | 2 |  | 63,584 |  | 62,509 | 2 |
| Manufacturing employment (area) ....... | 7,040 | ** | 6 |  | 6,873 |  | 6,826 | 1 |
| Percent unemployed (area) ................. | 2.3 | $-26$ | $-12$ |  | 3.2 |  | 3.6 | $-11$ |
| Alamo (pop. 4,121) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bank debits (thousands) ..................... \$ | 3,384 | 35 | 100 | \$ | 31,249 | \$ | 24,887 | 26 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . \ldots . . . . .$. § | 1,608 | - 11 | 2 | \$ | 1,520 \# | \$ | 1,491 \# | 2 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover ............ | 23.8 | 38 | 82 |  | 20.6 \# |  | 16.9 \# | 22 |
| Donna (pop. 7,522) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* ............................... \$ | 8,650 | - 16 | 25 | \$ | 79,567 |  | ......... | $\cdots$ |
| Building permits, less federal contracts ........ \$ | 15,550 | - 46 | 152 | \$ | 488,202 | \$ | 562,144 | $-14$ |
| Bank debits (thousands) \$ | 3,333 | ** | 12 |  | ........ |  | . ....... | ... |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger \ldots \ldots$...... \$ | 5,481 | 6 | 6 |  | ........ |  | ........ | ... |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover ............. | 7.5 | - 4 | 7 |  | . ....... |  | ........ | $\ldots$ |
| EDINBURG (pop. 18,706) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* ............................. . \$ | 25,593 | ** | 5 | \$ | 276,759 |  | ......... | $\cdots$ |
| Building permits, less federal contracts ....... \$ | 154,825 | 14 | -61 | \$ | 6,743,566 | \$ | 4,232,081 | 59 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ..................... \$ | 19,481 | $-22$ | 19 | \$ | 277,771 | \$ | 225,989 | 23 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger \ldots \ldots \ldots .$. \$ | 14,897 | 13 | $-3$ | \$ | 13,544 \# | \$ | 12,733 \# | 6 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 16.6 | $-26$ | 18 |  | 20.6 \# |  | 18.1 \# | 14 |
| Nonfarm placements | 233 | $-31$ | $5$ |  | 3,369 |  | 3,155 | 7 |
| Elsa (pop. 3,847) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts ........ \$ | 36,560 | 98 | 92 |  | ......... |  | ......... | $\cdots$ |
| Bank debits (thousands) ....................... \$ | 4,410 | 45 | 73 | \$ | 40,237 | \$ | 34,989 | 15 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$........... \& | 2,124 | $-13$ | - 9 | \$ | 2,034 \# | \$ | 2,009 \# | 1 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover ............. | 23.2 | 41 | 76 |  | $19.7 \#$ |  | 18.4 \# | 7 |
| McALLEN (pop. $35,411 \mathrm{r}$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Retail sales | $33 \dagger$ | 13 | - 5 |  | ........ |  | . | 13 |
| Postal receipts* ................................ \$ | 77,935 | 11 | 18 | \$ | 695,471 |  | ......... | ... |
| Building permits, less federal contracts ....... \$ | 280,000 | -65 | 12 | \$ | 7,123,236 | \$ | 4,045,105 | 76 |
| Bank dehits (thousands) ..................... \$ | 55,888 | 18 | 22 | \$ | 620,430 | \$ | 508,860 | 22 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger \ldots \ldots \ldots .$. . \$ | 35,707 | 9 | 6 | \$ | 32,219 \# | \$ | 27,611 \# | 17 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover ...... | 19.6 | 12 | $10$ |  | 19.3 \# |  | 18.7 \# | 3 |
| Nonfarm placements $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots . .$. | 328 | 40 | $-44$ |  | 9,216 |  | 9,370 | $-2$ |
| Mercedes (pop. 10,943) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* ............................. \$ | 9,822 | 12 | 15 | \$ | 97,581 |  | ......... | $\cdots$ |
| Building permits, less federal contracts ....... \$ | 41,890 | 25 | -96 | \$ | 1,120,585 | \$ | 1,402,831 | $-20$ |
| Bank debits (thousands) ..................... \$ | 7,638 | 8 | 12 | 8 | 92,537 | \$ | 85,188 | 9 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger \ldots . . . . .$. \& | 4,634 | - 9 | $-5$ | \$ | 4,972 \# | \$ | 4,661 \# | 7 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover ............ | 18.8 | 19 | 11 |  | 18.6 \# |  | 18.6 \# | ** |

For an explanation of symbols see p. 43.

| City and item | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dec } \\ & 1968 \end{aligned}$ | Percent change |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Jan-Dec } \\ 1968 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Jan-Dec } \\ 1967 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\frac{\text { Percent change }}{\text { Jan-Dec 1968 }}$fromJan-Dec 1967 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Dec } 1968 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Nov } 1968 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dec } 1968 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Dec } 1967 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mission (pop. 14,081) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* . ............................. . \$ | 17,500 | - 11 | 21 | \$ | 167,643 |  | . | ... |
| Building permits, less federal contracts ....... \$ | 19,200 | 16 | 14 | \$ | 1,183,625 | \$ | 357,990 | 231 |
| Bank debits (thousands) .................... \$ | 15,994 | - 6 | 16 | \$ | 183,358 | s | 159,033 | 15 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger \ldots \ldots$...... \$ | 13,088 | 7 | ** | \$ | 11,578 \# | \$ | 10,160 \# | 14 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover ............. | 15.2 | - 11 | 12 |  | 15.9 \# |  | 16.0 \# | - 1 |
| PHARR (pop. 15,279 r) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* ............................... \$ | 22,965 | 79 | 24 | \$ | 136,020 |  | ......... | ... |
| Building permits, less federal contracts ....... \$ | 82,250 | 92 | 58 | \$ | 710,978 | \$ | 409,641 | 74 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ...................... \& | 6,383 | 18 | 25 | \$ | 67,703 | \$ | 66,123 | 2 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$.......... \$ | 6,559 | 21 | 29 | \$ | 5,696 \# | \$ | 5,550 \# | 3 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover ............. | 12.8 | 8 | 2 |  | 12.0 \# |  | 11.9 \# | 1 |
| San Juan (pop. 4,371) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* ............................. \$ | 5,893 | - 7 | 43 | \$ | 55,952 |  | ........ | $\ldots$ |
| Building permits, less federal contracts ....... \$ | 22,200 | 587 | 276 | 8 | 150,832 | \$ | 112,014 | 35 |
| Bank debits (tho -sands) ...................... \$ | 3,933 | 42 | 30 | - | 38,474 | \$ | 35,008 | 10 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$.......... \& | 3,411 | 5 | 11 | \$ | 3,239 \# | \$ | 2,766 \# | 17 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover ..... | 14.2 | 35 | 17 |  | 12.0 \# |  | 12.8 \# | - 6 |
| Weslaco (pop. 15,649) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* ................................ \$ | 21,403 | - 8 | 16 | \$ | 208,943 |  |  | ... |
| Building permits, less federal contracts ....... \$ | 223,300 | 78 | 92 | \$ | 1,322,707 | \$ | 731,071 | 81 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ...................... \% | 12,065 | 15 | 18 | \$ | 146,444 | \$ | 126,162 | 16 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$.......... \$ | 12,937 | 5 | $-1$ | \$ | 11,920 \# | 8 | 10,607 \# | 12 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover .. | 11.5 | 13 | 15 |  | 12.3 \# |  | 12.2 \# | 1 |
| MIDLAND SMSA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (Midland; pop. $65,200^{\text {a }}$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts ....... \$ | 1,445,484 | - 450 | 123 | \$ | 12,516,166 | \$ | 13,565,335 | - 8 |
| Bank debits (thousands) \\| . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . \$ | 2,036,148 | 15 | 16 |  | . |  | . | 7 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$.......... \$ | 127,096 | - 1 | 1 | \$ | 129,013 \# | \$ | 121,469 \# | 6 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 15.9 | 16 | 12 |  | 13.6 \# |  | 13.5 \# | 1 |
| Nonfarm employment (area) ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 48,200 | 5 | 7 |  | 43,917 \# |  | 42,655 \# | 3 |
| Manufact ring employment (area) ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 5,500 | 12 | 26 |  | 4,678 \# |  | 4,230 \# | 11 |
| Percent unemployed (area) ${ }^{\text {b }}$. | 5.4 | $-23$ | $-10$ |  | 5.8 \# |  | 5.9 \# | - 2 |
| MIDLAND (pop. 62,625) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* ............................... \$ | 191,186 | 42 | 11 | \$ | 1,826,053 | \$ | 1,595,448 | 14 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts ....... \$ | 1,445,484 | 450 | 123 | \$ | 12,516,166 | \$ | 13,565,335 | - 8 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ...................... \& | 164,864 | 20 | 11 | \$ | 1,729,930 | \$ | 1,596,068 | 8 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$.......... \$ | 153,305 | 16 | 14 | \$ | 129.311 \# | \$ | 120,796 \# | 7 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover ............ | 13.9 | 10 | 1 |  | 13.4 \# |  | 13.3 \# | 1 |
|  | 518 | $-23$ | ** |  | 8,748 |  | 7,552 | 16 |
| ODESSA SMSA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (Ector; pop. 83,200 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts ....... \$ | 768,200 | $-19$ | 194 | \$ | 7,820,948 | \$ | 6,156,647 | 27 |
| Bank debits (thousands)\\| ................... \$ | 1,423,296 | 4 | 15 |  | ....... |  | ...... | 7 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$........... S | 69,280 | ** | 13 | \$ | 66,725 \# | \$ | 63,935 \# | 4 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover .. | 20.5 | - 2 | 2 |  | 20.0 \# |  | 19.5 \# | \# 3 |
| Nonfarm employment (area) ${ }^{\text {b }}$. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ ( ........... | 61,000 | ** | 2 |  | 60,284 \# |  | 58,992 \# | + 2 |
| Manufacturing employment (area) ${ }^{\text {b }}$. ${ }^{\text {c. }}$. | 4,760 | ** | - 3 |  | 4,852 \# |  | 5,147 \# | + - 6 |
| Percent unemployed (area) ${ }^{\text {b }}$. $\ldots . . . . . . . . . . .$. | 2.2 | - 29 | $-12$ |  | 3.0 \# |  | 3.4 \# | - 12 |
| ODESSA (pop. $86,937 \mathrm{r}$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* ................................ \$ | 139,808 | - 11 | ** | \$ | 1,557,938 |  | $\cdots$ | .. |
| Building permits, less federal contracts ....... \$ | 768,200 | $-19$ | 194 | \$ | 7,820,948 | \$ | 6,156,647 | 27 |
| Bank debits (thousands) .................... \% | 119,839 | 12 | 18 | \$ | 1,333,903 | \$ | 1,233,988 | 8 |
|  | 76,103 | 15 | 15 | \$ | 67,613 \# | \$ | 64,981 \# | \# 4 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover .............. | 20.3 | 6 | 9 |  | 19.8 \# |  | 19.0 \# | + 4 |
| Nonfarm placements . ${ }^{\text {a }}$..................... | 864 | 33 | 89 |  | 6,867 |  | 5.062 | 36 |

For an explanation of symbols see p. 43.

| City and item | $\begin{gathered} \text { Dec } \\ 1968 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Percent change |  | $\underset{1968}{\text { Jan-Dec }}$ |  | $\underset{1967}{\text { Jan-Dec }^{2}}$ |  | Percent change <br> Jan-Dec 1968 <br> from 1967 <br> Jan-Dec 196 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Dec 1968 from Nov 1968 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \begin{array}{l} \text { Dec } 1968 \\ \text { from } \\ \text { Dee } 1967 \end{array} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| SAN ANGELO SMSA(Tom Green; pop. $75,200^{\wedge}$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Retail sales |  | 19 | 9 |  | . |  | . ..... | 9 |
| Gasoline and service stations |  | 2 | 3 |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts ........ \$ | 1,835,786 | 690 | 305 | \$ | 9,913,085 | \$ | 9,648,108 | 3 |
| Bank debits (thousands)\\| .................... \$ | 1,139,088 | 3 | 16 |  | . |  |  | 12 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . . .$. ..... \$ | 66,433 | 3 | 5 | \$ | 63,681 \# | \$ | 57,697 \# | 10 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover .............. | 17.4 | 1 | 10 |  | 16.4 \# |  | 16.2 \# | 1 |
| Nonfarm employment (area) ................. | 23,500 | ** | 2 |  | 23,225 \# |  | 22,559 \# | 3 |
| Manufacturing employment (area) ........ | 3,660 | - 2 | $-1$ |  | 3,761 \# |  | 3,760 \# | ** |
| Percent unemploy ${ }^{\text {d }}$ (area) ................. | 3.2 | $-11$ | 14 |  | $3.1 \text { \# }$ |  | 3.5 \# | - 11 |
| SAN ANGELO (pop. 58,815) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Retail sales .............................. | 33 † | 19 | 9 |  | ......... |  | ..... | 9 |
| Gasoline and service stations ............. | 11 † | 2 | 3 |  | ........ |  | ........ | 2 |
| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . \% | 162,225 | - 11 | 16 | \$ | 1,836,419 |  | . | $\ldots$ |
| Building permit, less federal contracts ........ \$ | 1,835,786 | 690 | 305 | \$ | 9,913,085 | \$ | 9,648,108 | 3 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ...................... | 93,974 | 11 | 20 | \$ | 1,046,267 | \$ | 928,546 | 13 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$........... \$ | 68,758 | 5 | 5 | \$ | 63,710 \# | \$ | 57,750 \# | 10 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover ............. | 16.8 | 8 | 14 |  | 16.4 \# |  | 16.2 \# | 1 |
| SAN ANTONIO SMSA <br> (Bexar and Guadalupe; pop. $837,100^{\text { }}$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Retail sales ................................. | ......... | 20 | 15 |  | . . . . . . |  | ........ | 13 |
| Apparel stores | ........ | 31 | 2 |  | .... |  | ........ | 7 |
|  | . | - 6 | 3 |  | ........ |  | ........ | 16 |
| Eating and drinking places | . | 1 | $-3$ |  | ......... |  | ......... | 7 |
| General-merchandise stores .............. | ........ | 40 | 52 |  | ........ |  | ........ | 19 |
| Lumber, building-material, and hardware dealers |  | 15 | 10 |  | ....... |  | ...... | 22 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts ........ | 4,469,621 | - 9 | - 41 |  | 19,852,704 |  | 24,372,351 | $-4$ |
| Bank debits (thousands)\|| .................... | 15,195,192 | $-2$ | 15 |  | ..... |  | ........ | 16 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | 613,521 | 4 | 12 | \$ | 582,557 \# | 8 | 526,987 \# | + 11 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 25.3 | - 3 | 4 |  | 24.9 \# |  | 23.6 \# | + |
| Nonfarm employment (area) ................ | 274,600 | 1 | 4 |  | 271,567 \# |  | 257,459 \# | 5 |
| Manufacturing employment (area) ........ | 31,775 | ** | 8 |  | 31,175 \# |  | 28,595 \# | - 9 |
| Percent unemployed (area) | 3.0 | - 21 | 11 |  | 3.5 \# |  | 3.6 \# | - 3 |
| SAN ANTONIO (pop. 655,006 r) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Retail sales .................................. | $214 \dagger$ | 11 | 4 |  | ......... |  | ......... | 9 |
| Apparel stores . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | $90 \uparrow \dagger$ | 31 | 2 |  | . |  | . $\cdot$..... | 7 |
| Eating and drinking places | $6 \dagger \dagger$ | 1 | - 3 |  | ...... |  | ........ | 7 |
| General merchandise stores . ............. | $96 \dagger \dagger$ | 40 | 52 |  | ........ |  | . $\cdot$...... | 19 |
| Lumber, building-material, and hardware dealers | $-13 \dagger \dagger$ | 10 | 12 |  | ........ |  | ........ | 23 |
| Postal receipts* ............................... | 1,478,536 |  | 16 |  | 16,784,514 |  | ......... | ... |
| Building permits, less federal contracts ........ | 4,175,906 | - 6 | - 41 |  | 11,235,399 |  | 14,889,769 | $-3$ |
| Bank debits (thousands) | 1,291,633 | 11 | 20 |  | 14,113,686 |  | 12,082,357 | 17 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | 608,331 | 6 | 12 | \$ | 558,370 \# | \$ | 504,768 \# | 11 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover .......... | 26.3 | 7 | 9 |  | 25.4 \# |  | 24.0 \# | + 6 |
| Schertz (pop. 2,281) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* | 5,499 | 7 | 51 | \$ | 44,318 |  | . | ... |
| Bank debits (thousands) ......... | 690 | 18 | 25 | \$ | 8,020 | \$ | 7,383 | 9 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | 1,170 | 3 | 6 | \$ | 1,138 \# | \$ | 1,028 \# | + 11 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover ............. | 7.2 | 14 | 14 |  | 7.1 \# |  | 7.2 \# | - $\quad 1$ |
| Seguin (pop. 14,299) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* | 26,711 | 5 | 19 |  | ........ |  | ........ | . . |
| Bank debits (thousands) | 17,897 | 9 | 19 | \$ | 208,921 | \$ | 174,380 | 20 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | 18,164 | 4 | 8 | \$ | 17,268 \# | \$ | 16,063 \# | + 8 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover ............. | 12.1 | , | 11 |  | 12.1 \# |  | 10.9 \# | + 11 |


| City and item | $\begin{gathered} \text { Dec } \\ 1968 \end{gathered}$ | Percent change |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Jan-Dec } \\ 1968 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\underset{1967}{\text { Jan-Dec }}$ |  | Percent changeJan-Dec 1968 <br> from <br> Jan-Dec 1967 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Dec 1968 from Nov 1968 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dec } 1968 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Dec } 1967 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| SHERMAN-DENISON SMSA ${ }^{\text {x }}$ <br> (Grayson; pop. 80,500 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Retail sales |  | 21 | 8 |  | ......... |  | ......... | 7 |
| Apparel stores |  | 65 | 4 |  | ....... |  | . ....... | 9 |
| Automotive stores ...................... |  | - 4 | 19 |  | ......... |  |  | 9 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts ........ \$ | 732,754 | - 54 | 32 | \$ | 10,814,980 | \$ | 11,625,488 | - 7 |
| Bank debits (thousands)\|| ..................... \$ | 978,204 | 8 | 18 |  | ........ |  |  | 11 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger \ldots \ldots .$. . § | 57,789 | ** |  | \$ | $55,646 \text { \# }$ |  |  |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | $16.9$ | 6 | 8 |  | $16.6 \#$ |  | 16.0 \# | 4 |
| DENISON (pop. 25,766r) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* ............................... \% | 38,148 | $-15$ | 5 | \$ | 446,894 |  | ......... | $\cdots$ |
| Building permits, less federal contracts ....... \$ | 131,084 | - 1 | - 11 | \$ | 3,737,011 |  | 2,797,321 | 34 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ..................... \$ | 28,831 | 8 | 21 | \$ | 325,667 | \$ | 279,194 | 17 |
| End-of-month depcsits (thousands) $\ddagger \ldots . . . . . .$. \% | 21,633 | 13 | 12 | \$ | 18,858 \# | \$ | 17,889 \# | 5 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 17.0 | 1 | 12 |  | 17.4 \# |  | 15.7 \# | 11 |
| Nonfarm placements | 128 | $-20$ | 16 |  | 2.268 |  | 2,323 | - 2 |
| SHERMAN (pop. $30,660 \mathrm{r}$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* . ............................ \$ | 51,587 | - 22 | $-1$ | \$ | 631,201 |  | …..... | $\cdots$ |
| Building permits, less federal contracts ....... \$ | 601,670 | - 59 | 48 | \$ | 6,657,819 | \$ | 7,980,617 | $-17$ |
| Bank debits (thousands) $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$. . ${ }^{\text {\% }}$ | 49,130 | 13 | 17 | \$ | 530,014 | \$ | 483,931 | 10 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger \ldots . . . . .$. \% | 32,268 | 16 | 15 | \$ | 27,091 \# | \$ | 25,055 \# | 8 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 19.6 | 5 | 6 |  | 19.7 \# |  | 19.4 \# | 2 |
| Nonfarm placements ..................... | 311 | - 51 | 121 |  | 3,865 |  | 1,950 | 98 |

TEXARKANA SMSA
(Bowie, excluding Miller, Ark.; pop. 71,500 ${ }^{\circ}$ )

| Retail sales |  | 13 | 6 |  | ........ |  | ......... | 13 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | 239,725 | 302 | 42 | \$ | 13,297,720 | s | 4,524,811 | 194 |
| Bank debits (thousands) \\|| | 1,633,500 | 7 | 23 |  | ........ |  |  | 14 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | 68,681 | $-2$ | 9 | \$ | 65,259 \# | \$ | 59,125 \# | 10 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 23.5 | 8 | 10 |  | 22.4 \# |  | 21.7 \# | 3 |
| Nonfarm employment (area) | 44,300 | ** | 6 |  | 43,113 \# |  | 40,117 \# | 7 |
| Manufacturing employment (area) ........ | 15,880 | 1 | 22 |  | 14,557 \# |  | 11,719 \# | 24 |
| Percent unemployed (area) | 2.3 | $-12$ | $-21$ |  | 2.5 \# |  | 2.9 \# | - 14 |

TEXARKANA (pop. 50,006 r)

| Retail sales | 33 † | 13 | 6 |  | ........ |  | ......... | 13 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Postal receipts* ............................... \$ | 115,173 | 3 | 9 |  | 1,276,002 |  | ......... | $\ldots$ |
| Building permits, less federal contracts ....... \$ | 239,725 | 302 | 72 |  | 13,065,285 | \$ | 4,122,974 | 217 |
| Bank debits (thousands) .................... \$ | 128,938 | 11 | 24 | \$ | 1,352,238 | \$ | 1,174,988 | 15 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger \ldots \ldots . .$. . \$ | 30,529 | 1 | 10 | \$ | 28,386 \# | \$ | 25,618 \# | 11 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 26.7 | 12 | 11 |  | 25.0 \# |  | 24.0 \# | 4 |

## TYLER SMSA

(Smith; pop. 99,100 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ )

| Retail sales |  | 15 | 9 |  | ......... |  |  |  | 12 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Apparel stores |  | 59 | ** |  | ......... |  | ...... |  | 3 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | 563,448 | -69 | 52 | \$ | 7,853,653 |  | 6,256 | - | 29 |
| Bank debits (thousands)\\| | 2,016,504 | 1 | 19 |  |  |  |  |  | 12 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | 98,830 | 7 | 9 | \$ | 88,887 \# | \$ | 83.872 \# |  | 6 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 21.1 | 2 | 9 |  | 20.9 \# |  | 19.8 \# |  | 6 |
| Nonfarm employment (area) | 37,000 | 1 | 6 |  | 35,830 \# |  | 34,692 \# |  | 3 |
| Manufacturing employment (area) | 10.440 | 1 | 10 |  | 9,920 \# |  | 9,671 \# |  | 3 |
| Percent unemployed (area) | 1.8 | - 22 | $-22$ |  | 2.6 \# |  | 2.8 \# | - | 7 |

TYLER (pop. 51,230)

| Retail sales | 33 t | 15 | 9 |  | ........ |  | ........ | 12 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Apparel stores | $62 \dagger$ | 59 | ** |  | . $\cdot$....... |  | ......... | 3 |
| Postal receipts* | 232,846 | 64 | 28 | \$ | 1,780,628 | \$ | 1,513,836 | 18 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | 541,448 | - 70 | 49 | \$ | 7,510,507 |  | 10,268,876 | $-27$ |
| Bank debits (thousands) | 161,096 | 9 | 25 | \$ | 1,757,299 | \$ | 1,562,974 | 12 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | 93,767 | 13 | 9 | \$ | 81,318 \# | \$ | 77,052 \# | 6 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover .............. | 21.9 | 3 | 14 |  | 21.7 \# |  | 20.3 \# | 7 |
| Nonfarm placements | 284 | $-17$ | -38 |  | 6,529 |  | 6,839 | 5 |

For an explanation of symbols see p. 43.

| City and item | $\begin{gathered} \text { Dec } \\ 1968 \end{gathered}$ | Percent change |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Jan-Dec } \\ 1968 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Jan-Dec } \\ 1967 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{\text { Percent change }}{\text { Jan-Dec } 1968} \text { from } \\ & \text { Jan-Dec } 1967 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Dee } 1968 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Nov } 1968 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dec } 1968 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Dec } 1967 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
| WACO SMSA <br> (McLennan; pop. 148,400 ${ }^{\circ}$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Retail sales |  | 30 | 30 | ......... |  | ......... | 14 |
| Apparel stores |  | 64 | 7 | ......... |  | ........ | 12 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts ....... \$ | 1,405,560 | 48 | 140 | \$ 17,384,130 |  | 17,391,155 | ** |
| Bank debits (thousands)\\| ................... \$ | 2,643,744 | 6 | 13 | ........ |  | ......... | 11 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger \ldots \ldots \ldots .$. . \$ | 115,617 | 3 | 2 | \$ 115,507 \# | \$ | 110,430 \# | 5 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover .............. | 23.2 | 3 | 12 | 21.5 \# |  | 20.2 \# | 6 |
| Nonfarm employment (area) .. | 58,600 | 1 | 2 | 57,609 \# |  | 55,592 \# | 4 |
| Manufacturing employment (area) ....... | 13,090 | 2 | 4 | 13,349 \# |  | 12,267 \# | 9 |
| Percent unemployed (area) .......... | 4.1 | $-16$ | 28 | 4.1 \# |  | 4.1 \# | * |
| McGregor (pop. 4,642) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts ....... \$ | 0 | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | \$ 64,455 |  | 138,441 | - 53 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ................... \$ | 5,672 | 12 | 13 | \$ 67,289 | \$ | 64,086 | 5 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$ \% | 8,161 | 2 | 7 | 7,772 \# | \$ | 7,549 \# | 3 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover ............. | 8.4 | 12 | 11 | 8.7 \# |  | $8.5 \#$ | 2 |
| WACO (pop. 103,462) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Retail sales | $33 \dagger$ | 31 | 30 | ........ |  | ......... | 14 |
| Apparel stores | 62 † | 64 | 7 | . $\cdot$...... |  | .... .... | 12 |
| Postal receipts* . . ............................ . \$ | 301,358 | - 9 | 9 |  |  |  |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts ........ \$ | 1,379,260 | $49$ | $201$ | \$ 16,797,461 |  | 16,324,295 | 3 |
| Bank debits (thousands) .................... \$ | 206,561 | 12 | 10 | \$ 2,270,966 |  | 2,016,476 | 13 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$. \$ | 103,926 | $6$ | $1$ | \$ 100,550 \# | \$ | $95,950 \text { \# }$ | 5 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover ............... | 24.5 | $9$ | $9$ | 22.6 \# |  | $21.1 \text { \# }$ | 7 |
| WICHITA FALLS SMSA <br> (Archer and Wichita; pop. $132,200^{\text {a }}$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Retail sales |  | 25 | 3 | .... |  | ......... | 7 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts ....... \$ | 889,636 | 60 | 15 | \$ 11,654,530 |  | 20,795,388 | $-44$ |
| Bank debits (thousands)\\| ................... \$ | 2,310,168 | - 2 | 9 | ........ |  | . $11 . .$. . | 7 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger \ldots \ldots$..... \& | 114,559 | - 3 | 2 | \$ 114,045 \# | \$ | 110,668 \# | 3 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover ... | 19.9 | ** | 5 | 19.1 \# |  | $18.3 \text { \# }$ | 4 |
| Nonfarm employment (area) .................. | 51,100 | 2 | 2 | 49,825 \# |  | 49,571 \# | 1 |
| Manufacturing employment (area) ........ | 5,080 | 1 | 13 | 4,849 \# |  | 4,556 \# | 6 |
| Percent unemployed (area) ................ | 1.6 | - 24 | $-24$ | 2.2 \# |  | 2.8 \# | - 21 |
| Burkburnett (pop. 7,621) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts ........ \$ | 1,500 | - 95 |  |  | \$ |  |  |
| Bank debits (thousands) .................... s | 7,570 | $-10$ | $-12$ | \$ 98,750 | \$ | 105,638 | - 7 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger \ldots \ldots$...... \$ | 5,299 | 3 | 13 | \$ 5,039 \# |  | 4,735 \# | 6 |
| Annual rate o: deposit turnover $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots .$. | 17.4 | $-12$ | $-19$ | 19.7 \# |  | 22.4 \# | - 12 |
| Iowa Park (pop. 5,152 r) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts ....... \$ | 2,500 | $-18$ | -82 | \$ 145,738 | \$ | 140,552 | 4 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ................... \$ | 3.774 | 4 | 10 | \$ 44,023 | \$ | 39,061 | 13 |
| Find-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger \ldots \ldots \ldots .$. s | 3,796 | 1 | 1 | \$ 3,676 \# | \$ | 3,725 \# | - 1 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover . ............ | 12.0 | 4 | 7 | 12.0 \# |  | 10.5 \# | 14 |
| WICHITA FALLS (pop. 115,340 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Retail sales | $33 \dagger$ | 25 | 3 | ......... |  | ......... | 7 |
| Postal receipts* . .............................. \$ | 222,448 | 22 | 19 | \$ 2,271,725 |  | $\cdots$ | . |
| Building permits, less federal contracts ....... \$ | 885,636 | 70 | 18 | \$ 11,031,657 |  | 19,495,172 | $-43$ |
| Bank debits (thousands) ................... \$ | 180,414 | 11 | 14 | \$ 1,967,740 |  | 1,810,009 | 9 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger \ldots \ldots \ldots$.... \$ | 110,258 | 8 | 1 | \$ 99,423 \# | \$ | 96,793 \# | 3 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover ............. | 20.4 | 7 | 11 | 19.8 \# |  | 18.8 \# | 5 |

## alphabetical Listing of non-sMSa CITIES, WITH DATA



For an explanation of symbols see p. 43.

| City and ftem | $\begin{gathered} \text { Dec } \\ 1968 \end{gathered}$ | Percent change |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Jan-Dee } \\ 1868 \end{gathered}$ |  | Jan-Dec 1967 |  | Percent change <br> Jan-Dec 1968 from Jan-Dec 1967 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Dec } 1968 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Nov } 1968 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dec } 1968 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Dec } 1967 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| ALPINE (pop. 4,740) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . \% | 10,789 | 24 | 18 | 8 | 102.116 |  | $\ldots$ |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts ....... \$ | 8,200 | -59 | 165 | $\$$ | 1,287,582 | \$ | 654,650 | 97 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ...................... \$ | 4,836 | $-6$ | 9 | \$ | 56,204 | \% | 48,062 | 17 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$.......... \$ | 6,207 | 1 | 8 | * | 5,813 \# | \$ | 4,748 \# | 22 |
| Annual rate of deporit turnover | 9.4 | $-6$ | - 2 |  | 9.7 \# |  | 10.2 \# | - 5 |
| ANDREWS (pop. 11,135) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 20,367 | 58 | 40 | \$ | 150,475 |  | .. |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts ....... ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 10,200 | 88 | - 52 |  | ...... |  | ........ |  |
| Bank debits (thousands) ....................... | 7,815 | 15 | 16 | \$ | 87,782 | 8 | 80.816 | 9 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. . . . . . . . $\$$ | 7,392 | $-7$ | 4 | \$ | 7,147 \# | \$ | 7,601 \# | $-7$ |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 12.2 | 16 | 18 |  | 12.3 \# |  | 10.5 \# | 17 |
| ATHENS (pop. 7,086) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* ............................... \$ | 24,025 | 15 | 52 | \$ | 235,740 |  | . $\cdot . .1 .$. |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts ........ \$ | 24,300 | -68 | - 12 |  | 991,595 | \$ | 818,561 | 21 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ..................... \$ | 11,770 | - 11 | 12 | \$ | 145,147 | \$ | 137.313 | , |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$.......... \$ | 12,444 | 2 | 13 | \$ | 10,956 \# | \$ | 9,841 \# | 11 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 11.5 | - 12 | - 2 |  | 13.3 \# |  | 13.8 \# | - 4 |
| BAY CITY (pop. 11,656) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ${ }^{\text {s }}$ | 25,681 | 4 | 27 | \% | 271,780 |  | ... | $\ldots$ |
| Building permits, less federal contracts ....... \% | 134,750 | 624 | --33 | \$ | 1,572,814 |  | 3,615,823 | -62 |
| Bank debits (thoussnds) ..................... \$ | 23,106 | - 3 | - 2 | $\$$ | 276,969 | \$ | 256,874 | 8 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$......... \$ | 31,666 | 3 | 4 | \$ | 28,835 \# | \$ | 27,628 \# | 4 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 8.9 | - 4 | - $\mathbf{6}$ |  | 9.6 \# |  | 9.3 \# | 3 |
| Nonfarm placements | 66 | 12 | 32 |  | 995 |  | 804 | 24 |
| BEEVIIJ.E (pop. 13,811) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . \$ | 25,676 | 7 | 36 | \$ | 248,863 |  | ......... | $\cdots$ |
| Building permits, less federal contracts ....... \$ | 181,825 | ... | 105 | \$ | 1,642,292 | \$ | 898.978 | 88 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ....................... \$ | 16,827 | 9 | 24 | \$ | 185.127 | \$ | 161,551 | 15 |
| End-oi-month deposits (thoustands) $\ddagger . . . . . . .$. \% | 18.423 | 3 | 11 | \$ | 17,211 \# | \$ | 15.188 \# | 13 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 11.1 | 5 | 10 |  | 10.8 \# |  | 10.7 \# | 1 |
| Nonfarm placements | 82 | - 4 | --20 |  | 1.093 |  | 1,240 | $-12$ |
| BELLVILLE (pop.eq,218) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts ....... \$ | 0 | $\cdots$ | . $\cdot$ | . | 403,196 | \$ | 808.540 | 31 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ..................... \% | 5.452 | 21 | - 18 | \% | 66,548 | \$ | 74,825 | - 11 |
| Find-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$.......... \$ | 6,218 | 1 | 2 | \$ | 6,053 \# | 8 | 5,692 \# | 6 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 10.6 | 19 | - 16 |  | 11.0 \# |  | 13.2 \# | $-17$ |
| BELTON (pop. 8,163) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Postal rcecipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . \$ | 15,698 | 2 | - 18 | \$ | 189,808 |  | ......... | ... |
| Building permits, less federsil contracts ....... \$ | 85.600 | 147 | 62 | \$ | 918,260 | \$ | 665,880 | 38 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$.......... \$ | 11,942 | 6 | 16 | 8 | 10,638 \# | \$ | 8.287 \# | 15 |
| BIG SPRING (pop. 31,230) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 60.010 | 5 | 8 | \% | 582,258 |  | +... | $\cdots$ |
| Building permits, less federal contracts ....... \$ | 7,825 | - 76 | - 78 | \$ | 1,676,589 | $\$$ | 1,364,638 | 23 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ....................... \$ | 56,906 | 16 | 24 | \$ | 576.157 | 8 | 533.849 | 8 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousends) $\ddagger$.......... \$ | 31.930 | 5 | 13 | \$ | 27.773 \# | \$ | 26,841 \# | 3 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 21.9 | 13 | 11 |  | 20.9 \# |  | 19.9 \# | 5 |
| Nonfarm placements | 93 | - 29 | - 31 |  | 2,422 |  | 1,870 | 80 |
| BONHAM (pop. 7,357) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 16,991 | 15 | 18 | \$ | 132,689 |  | ........ | $\cdots$ |
| Building permits, less federal contracts ....... * | 61,000 | 213 | 214 | \$ | 584,400 | \$ | 847,265 | - 31 |
| Rank debits (thousands) ..................... \$ | 10.896 |  | 20 | \$ | \$22,495 | 8 | 109,811 | 12 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger \ldots \ldots . .$. . \$ | 10.365 | $-2$ | 6 | \$ | 9,727 \# | \$ | 9,243 \# | 5 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover .... | 12.5 | 1 | 11 |  | 12.6 \# |  | 11.9 \# | 6 |
| BORGER (pop. 20,911) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* . ............................... \$ | 34,372 | - 1 | 8 | \$ | 358,188 |  | . $1 . .$. | $\cdots$ |
| Buitding permits, less federal contracts ....... \$ | 5,280 | 19 | - 53 | \$ | 1,962,061 | \$ | 996,975 | 97 |
| Nonfarm placements . ........................ | 73 | ** | $-16$ |  | 1,071 |  | 1,216 | - 12 |

For an explanation of gymbols see p. 43.

| City and item | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dec } \\ & 1968 \end{aligned}$ | Percent change |  | $\underset{1968}{\text { Jan-Dec }}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Jan-Dec } \\ 1967 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Percent change } \\ \hline \text { Jan-Dee 1968 } \\ \text { from } 1967 \\ \text { Jan-Dec } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dec } 1968 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Nov } 1968 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dec 1968 } \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Dec } 1967 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| BRADY (pop. 5,338) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . \$ | 9,863 | 26 | 11 | \$ | 97,862 |  |  |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts ........ | 78,800 | . . | 366 | \$ | 432,255 | \$ | 539,995 | $-20$ |
| Bank debits (thousands) ....................... \$ | 8,526 | - 4 | 16 | 8 | 101,658 | \$ | 92,925 | 9 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$........... \$ | 7,725 | 2 | 9 | \$ | 7,448 \# | 3 | 7,008 \# | 6 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover ...... | 13.4 | $-4$ | 6 |  | 13.7 \# |  | 13.2 \# | 4 |
| BRENHAM (pop. 7,740) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . \$ | 19,131 | -7 | 4 | \$ | 197,008 |  | ......... |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts ....... \$ | 377,060 | 191 | - 35 | \$ | 1,683,569 | \$ | 2,181,285 | - 23 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ...................... | 17.320 | - 3 | 15 | * | 184,412 | \$ | 175,462 | 11 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$.......... | 17,634 | ** | 8 | \$ | 16,605 \# | \$ | 15.424 \# | 8 |
| Annual rate of deposit tarnover .............. | 11.8 | 2 | 4 |  | 11.7 \# |  | 11.4 \# | 8 |
| BROWNFIELD (pop. 10,286) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* ................................ . | 16,740 | --4 | 19 |  |  |  | ........ |  |
| Bank debits (thousands) ....................... \$ | 24,758 | 40 | 18 | \$ | 231,438 | \$ | 220,801 | 5 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$.......... \$ | 18,041 | 27 | - 1 | \$ | 14,708 \# | 8 | 14,155 \# | 4 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 18.4 | 26 | 25 |  | 15.6 \# |  | 15.7 \# | - 1 |
| BROWNWOOD (pop. 16,974) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* . ............................ | 40,961 | 9 | 47 | \$ | 448,872 |  | ......... | + $\cdot$ |
| Building permits, less federal contracts ....... \$ | 0 | . | $\cdots$ | \$ | 951,677 | \$ | 1,408,241 | - 32 |
| Bank debits (thousands) .................... \$ | 22,552 | 3 | 16 | \$ | 266,144 | \$ | 236,941 | 12 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$.......... | 25,435 | 5 | 11 | \$ | 13,989 \# | \$ | 13,481 \# | 4 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 18.0 | ** | 8 |  | 19.1 \# |  | 17.6 \# | 9 |
| Nonfarm placements | 64 | -38 | -33 |  | 1,476 |  | 1,563 | -6 |
| BRYAN (pop. 32,891 r) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* ................................ \$ | 55,306 | - 4 | 24 | \$ | 581,969 |  | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ |
| Building permits, less federal contracts ........ \$ | 446,095 | -61 | $-47$ | \$ | 13,549,833 | \$ | 6,690,420 | 103 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ...................... \$ | 58,984 | - 2 | 25 | \$ | 654,354 | \$ | 556,350 | 18 |
| End-oż-month deposits (thoubands) $\ddagger . \ldots . . . .$. . | 34.563 | 4 | 21 | \$ | 29,742 \# | \$ | 26,363 \# | 13 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 20.8 | ** | 4 |  | 22.1 \# |  | 21.1 \# | 5 |
| Nonfarm placements | 21.5 | $-15$ | $-13$ |  | 3,383 |  | 3,950 | - 14 |
| CALDWELL (pop. 2,202 r) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fostal receipts* ................................. ${ }^{\text {* }}$ | 4,465 | $-12$ | 17 | \$ | 52,159 |  | *..... | $\cdots$ |
| Bank debits (thousands) ..................... \% | 3,462 | 4 | -1 | \$ | 40,619 | \$ | 41,582 | - 2 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$........... \$ | 5,091 | 5 | 6 | \$ | 4,705 \# | \$ | 4,696 \# | ** |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 8.4 | 2 | - 2 |  | 8.7 \# |  | 8.9 井 | - 2 |
| CAMERON (pop. 5,640) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . \$ | 14,439 | 62 | 15 | \$ | 106,779 |  | . $\cdot . .1$. | . |
| Building permits, less federal contracts . . . . . . \$ | 0 | . | ... | \$ | 272.326 | \$ | 332,176 | $-18$ |
| Bank debits (thousands) ...................... \$ | 7.930 | 3 | 22 | , | 76,761 | \% | 73,926 | 4 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$........... \% | 6,588 |  | 2 | \$ | 6,058 \# | \$ | 6,051 \# | ** |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover . | 14.2 | 3 | 15 |  | 12.6 \# |  | 12.2 \# | 3 |
| CASTROVILLE (pop. 1,508) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts ......... \% | 38,300 | $\cdots$ | 237 |  | ........ |  | . $\cdot$...... | $\cdots$ |
| Bank debits (thousands) ...................... \$ | 1,184 | 11 | 15 | \$ | 13,430 | \$ | 11,647 | 15 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$........... \$ | 1,392 | 4 | 7 | \$ | 1,310 \# | \$ | 1,252 \# | 5 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover ............ | 10.4 | 8 | 9 |  | 10.3 \# |  | 9.3 \# | 11 |
| CISCO (pop. 4,499) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* ................................ ${ }^{\text {\% }}$ | 8,798 | 13 | 28 | \$ | 86,998 |  | . ........ | $\ldots$ |
| Bank dehits (thousands) ..................... \$ | 5,061 | 10 | $-7$ |  | $\cdots$ |  | ..... | $\cdots$ |
| Find-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$.......... \$ | 4,532 | 3 | 8 |  | ........ |  | . ....... | ... |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover ... | 13.6 | 6 | - 11 |  | . $\cdot$. |  | . $\cdot$...... | '.' |
| COLLEGE STATION (pop. 18,590 r) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Postal recejpts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . \$ | 35,300 | ** | 37 | \$ | 440,473 |  | ....... | $\cdots$ |
| Building permits, less federal contracts ....... \$ | 886.605 | 13 | 213 | \$ | 6,447,617 | \$ | 3,339,667 | 93 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ...................... \$ | 9,544 | 22 | 24 |  | ...... |  | ... | $\cdots$ |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$.......... \$ | 6,309 | 5 | 9 |  | ........ |  | . ....... | ... |
| Annual rate of debosit turnover | 18.6 | 20 | 15 |  | . $\cdot$..... |  | ........ | . $\cdot$ |

For an explanation of symbols see p. 43.

| City and item | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dee } \\ & 1968 \end{aligned}$ | Percent change |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Jan-Dec } \\ 1968 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Jani-Dec } \\ 19 \mathrm{BF}^{2} \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{\text { Percent change }}{\text { Jan-Dec 1968 }} \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Jan-Dec } 1967 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dec } 1968 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Nov } 1968 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dec } 1968 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Dec } 1967 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| COLORADO CITY (pop. 6,457) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . \$ | 10,746 | 7 | 46 | \$ | 100,015 |  | . . . . . ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $\cdots$ |
| Bank debits (thousands) ...................... \$ | 5,761 | - 2 | 16 | \$ | 65,233 | \$ | 62,301 | 5 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$........... | 7,544 | 5 | 7 | \$ | 6,762 \# | \$ | 6,561 \# | 3 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover .............. | 9.4 | -6 | 9 |  | 9.7 \# |  | 9.5 \# | 2 |
| COPPERAS COVE (pop. 4,567) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 11,380 | - 10 | 17 | \$ | 108.196 |  | $\ldots$ | , |
| Building permits, less federal contracts ........ \$ | 36.100 | - 70 | - 80 | * | 1,038,481 | \$ | 1,354,767 | - 23 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ....................... | 4.000 | 13 | 39 | \$ | 36,859 | \$ | 29,444 | 25 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$........... \$ | 2,300 | 8 | 47 | \$ | 2,056 \# | \$ | 1,583 \# | 30 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover ... | 21.2 | 19 | - 4 |  | 18.0 \# |  | 19.1 \# | - 6 |
| CORSICANA (pop. 20,344) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Retail sales | $33 \dagger$ | 33 | 16 |  | ......... |  | , +...... | 4 |
| Postal recelpts* ............................... \$ | 101,187 | -39 | 44 | \$ | 787,893 |  | …… | $\cdots$ |
| Building permits, less federal contracts ....... \$ | 3,418,957 | 599 | ... | \$ | 6,236,755 | \$ | 2.846,542 | 86 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ....................... | 32,309 | 15 | 27 | \$ | 347.779 | \$ | 312,774 | 11 |
| End-af-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$........... \$ | 26,930 | 6 | 4 | \$ | 23,414 \# | \$ | 23,821 \# | - 2 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover .............. | 14.8 | 11 | 20 |  | 14.9 \# |  | 13.2 \# | 13 |
| Nonfarm placements | 187 | $-38$ | 6 |  | 2,381 |  | 2,536 | - 6 |

CRYSTAL CITY (pop. 9,101)

| Building permits, less federal contracta |  | 71,948 | 25 | 47 | \$ | 731,298 | \% | 760,786 | $-4$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 4,186 | 6 | 12 | \$ | 52,646 | $\$$ | 47,857 | 10 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | \$ | 3,827 | 27 | 11 | \$ | 3,231 \# | \$ | 3,185 \# | 3 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover ... |  | 14.7 | $-15$ | 8 |  | 16.4 \# |  | 15.4 \# | 6 |

DECATUR (pop. 3,563)

| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ | 21,000 |  |  | $-19$ | ${ }^{\circ}$ | 234,628 | \$ | 68,053 | 245 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \% | 4,671 |  | 1 | 10 | \$ | 54,425 | \$ | 49,660 | 10 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousgnds) $\ddagger$ | \$ | 5.158 | - | 2 | 10 | \$ | 4,783 \# | \% | 4,361 \# | 10 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover |  | 10.8 | - | 8 | ** |  | 11.4 \# |  | 10.8 \# | 6 |

DEL RIO (pop. 18,612)

| Postal receipts* | \$ | 31,805 | 3 | 12 | \% | 330,265 |  |  | $\cdots$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fank debits (thousands) | \$ | 19,139 | 12 | 19 | \$ | 213,344 | \$ | 187,047 | 14 |
| End-of-month deposite (thousands) $\ddagger$ | \$ | 19,992 | - 1 | 1 | \$ | 19,672 \# | \$ | 18,152 \# | 8 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover |  | 12.5 | 13 | 17 |  | 10.9 \# |  | 10.4 \# | 5 |

DIMMITT (pop. 2,935)

| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 16,938 | $-4$ | 42 | ........ | ........ | $\cdots$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | \$ | 10,680 | 17 | 38 | ......... | '.......', | $\cdots$ |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover |  | 20.5 | $-10$ | 10 | . $\cdot$...... | ......... | $\cdots$ |

EAGLE LAKE (pop. 3,565)

| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 5,296 | 24 | 10 | \$ | 57.759 | \$ | 47,918 | 21 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | \$ | 6,105 | 4 | 1 | \$ | 5.599 \# | \$ | 5,309 \# | 5 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover |  | 10.6 | 22 | 8 |  | 10.3 \# |  | 9.1 \# | 13 |

## EAGLE PASS (pop. 12,094)

| Postal receipts* | \$ | 19,523 | 16 | 20 | \$ | 190,788 |  |  | $\cdots$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ | 104,770 | 33 | - 23 | \$ | 2,514,504 | \$ | 1.574,675 | 60 |
| Bank debits (thousends) | \% | 9,671 | 30 | 6 | \$ | 102,246 | \$ | 96,464 | 6 |
| Find-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | \$ | 5,430 | 10 | 6 | \$ | 4,866 \# | \$ | 4,726 \# | 3 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover |  | 22.4 | 24 | 3 |  | 21.1 \# |  | 20.5 \# | 3 |

For an explanation of symbols see p. 48.

| City and item | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dec } \\ & 1968 \end{aligned}$ | Percent change |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Jan-Dec } \\ 1968 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\underset{1967}{\text { Jan-Dec }^{\text {and }}}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Percent change } \\ & \text { Jan-De } 1968 \\ & \text { from } 1967 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Dee } 1968 \\ \text { from2 } \\ \text { Nov } 1968 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dea } 1968 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Dee } 1967 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| EDNA (pop. 5,038) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . \% | 9,405 | 10 | 41 | \$ | 87,303 |  | . $\cdot$ |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts ....... \$ | 2,350 | - 98 | - 92 |  | . |  | . |  |
| Bank debits (thousands) ....................... \$ | 9,478 | 14 | ... |  |  |  |  |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$.......... \$ | 8,745 |  | $\ldots$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 18.0 | 12 | . . |  |  |  |  | $\ldots$ |
| FORT STOCKTON (pop. 6,373) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* ............................... \$ | 12,646 | $-30$ | 4 | $\$$ | 148.506 |  | . $\cdot$. | $\ldots$ |
| Building permits, less federal contracts ....... | 98,750 | 73 | 45 |  | . |  |  | ... |
| Bank debits (thousands) ...................... \$ | 10,451 | - 12 | 14 | \$ | 116,464 | ${ }^{5}$ | 97.189 | 20 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . . . . . . .$. . | 10,681 | 2 | 18 | \$ | 8,864 \# | \$ | 8,061 \# | 10 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover .. | 11.8 | - 17 | - 3 |  | 13.3 \# |  | 12.3 \# | B |
| FREDERECKSBURG (pop. 4,629) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* ............................... \$ | 15.415 | - 2 | 23 | \$ | 148,231 |  |  | $\ldots$ |
| Building permits, less federal contracts ....... \$ | 18,000 | - 56 | -66 | \$ | 485,005 | \$ | 723,904 | - 33 |
| Bank debits (thoussnds) ..................... | 13,883 | ** | 18 | \$ | 155,324 | \$ | 147.622 | 5 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. ......... \$ | 11.560 | 2 | 11 | \$ | 10,681 \# | \$ | 10,136 \# | 5 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 14.3 | 2 | 5 |  | 14.6 \# |  | 14.6 \# | ** |
| FRIONA (pop. 3,049 r) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts ....... \$ | 69,000 | $-24$ | - 4 | \$ | 1,012,100 | \$ | 505,811 | 100 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | 16,521 | -13 | 62 | \$ | 160.437 | \$ | 110,982 | 45 |
| End-of-month deposits (thoussuds) $\ddagger . . . . . . . . . . .$. \% | 7.456 | 17 | 21 | \$ | 5,987 \# | \% | 5.088 \# | 17 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 28.7 | $-19$ | 36 |  | 27.2 \# |  | 21.9 \# | 24 |
| GATESVILLE (pop. 4,626) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* ................................ \$ | 13,281 | 27 | 1 | \$ | 109.297 |  | ........ | $\cdots$ |
| Bank debita (thousands) | 7,256 | - 8 | $-2$ | \$ | 90,892 | \$ | 88.334 | 2 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$........... \% | 8.709 | 8 | 22 | \$ | 7,604 \# | \$ | 7,013 \# | 8 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 10.4 | $-11$ | $-16$ |  | 12.1 \# |  | 12.7 \# | - 5 |
| GEORGETOWN (pop. 5,218) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* .............................. \$ | 11.970 | 14 | $\cdots$ |  | - $\cdot$. ${ }^{\text {a }}$. |  | ....... |  |
| Bank debits (thousands) ........................ \% | 7,178 | 22 | 24 | \$ | 77,871 | $\$$ | 78,406 | 6 |
| End-af-month deposits (thoussnds) $\ddagger$........... | 7,740 | 3 | 9 | \$ | 7,561 \# | \$ | 6,683 \# | 13 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 11.3 | 23 | 14 |  | 10.6 \# |  | 11.0 \# | - 4 |
| GIDDINGS (pop. 2,821) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts ${ }^{\text {c }}$. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . \% | 10,630 | 61 | 37 | \$ | 81,916 |  | ......... | + $\cdot$ |
| Building permits, less federal contracts ....... \$ | 5,393 | -80 | 59 | \$ | 246,458 | \$ | 372,355 | $-34$ |
| Bank debits (thousands) ..................... | 5,247 | - 3 | 9 | \$ | 60,568 | \$ | 59,865 | 1 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$.......... \$ | 5,820 | -3 | 8 | $\$$ | 5,376 \# | \$ | 5,067 \# | 6 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 10.6 | - 5 |  |  | 11.3 \# |  | 11.8 \# | - 4 |
| GLADEW ATER (pop. 5,742) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receiptst . ................................ \$ | 10,314 | 25 | 43 |  | $\cdots$ |  | ........ | ... |
| Building permits, less federal contracts ....... \% | 20,400 | - 81 | - 39 | \$ | 607,517 | \% | 576.837 | $\cdots$ |
| Bank debits (thousands) ...................... \$ | 5,943 | 12 | 18 | \% | 68,115 | \$ | 60,634 | 12 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$.......... \$ | 5,115 | 5 | 4 | \$ | 4,991 \# | \$ | 4,736 \# | 5 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 14.3 | 12 | 11 |  | 13.7 \# |  | 12.8 \# | 7 |
| Nonfarm employment (area) ${ }^{\text {c }}$.. | 35,003 | 1 | 4 |  | 34,134 \# |  | 33,225 \# | 3 |
| Manufacturing employment (area) ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 10,000 | 1 | 14 |  | 9,506 \# |  | 8,710 \# | 9 |
| Percent unemployed (area) ${ }^{\text {c }}$.... | 2.1 | $-30$ | $-13$ |  | 2.6 \# |  | 2.8 \# | $-7$ |
| GOLDTHWAITE (pop. 1,383) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* ................................. \$ | 5,415 | 3 | 6 | 8 | 53,694 |  | ........ | $\cdots$ |
| Bank debits (thousands) ....................... \$ | 5,383 | 1 | 14 | \$ | 66,195 | \$ | 56,642 | 17 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$........... \$ | 4,358 | 3 | - 30 | \$ | 4.783 \# | \$ | 6,854 \# | $-18$ |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover ............ | 15.0 | ** | 60 |  | 13.8 \# |  | 9.7 \# | 42 |

[^5]| City and item | $\begin{gathered} \text { Dec } \\ 1968 \end{gathered}$ | Percent change |  | $\underset{1968}{\text { Jan-Dee }}$ |  | Jan-Dec 1967 |  | Percent changeJan-Dec 1968 <br> from <br> Jan-Dee 1967 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Dee } 1968 \\ \text { froma } \\ \text { Nov } 1968 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \begin{array}{l} \text { Dee } 1968 \\ \text { from } \\ \text { Dec } 1967 \end{array} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| GRAHAM (pop. 8,505) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* . ............................... * | 22,566 | 57 | 23 | \$ | 175,401 |  | ......... | $\ldots$ |
| Building permits, less federal contracts ....... \$ | 1.69,300 | ... | 195 |  | ........ |  |  |  |
| Bank debits (thousands) ..................... \$ | 12,005 | - 1 | 18 | \$ | 141,080 | \$ | 127,058 | 11 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$.......... \$ | 11,839 | 1 | 10 | 8 | 11,198 \# | \$ | 10,245 \# | 9 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 12.2 |  | 6 |  | 12.6 \# |  | 12.5 \# | 1 |
| GRANBURY (pop, 2,227) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* ............................... \$ | 5,454 | 7 | 4 | \$ | 64.149 |  | ......... | $\ldots$ |
| Bank debits (thousands) ....................... 8 | 2,913 |  | 18 | * | 31,630 | \% | 26,110 | 21 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$.......... \$ | 4,017 | 4 | 30 | \$ | 3,335 \# | \$ | 2,741 \# | 22 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 8.9 | $-10$ | - 7 |  | 9.6 \# |  | 9.6 \# | ** |

GREENVILLE (pop. 22,134 r)

| Postal receipts* | \$ | 42,307 | - 37 | 9 | \% | 584,056 |  | ........ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ | 178,460 | - 47 | 150 | \$ | 4,446,164 | \$ | 5,359,790 | $-17$ |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 32,279 | 7 | 9 | \$ | 394,084 | \$ | 340.507 | 16 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | \$ | 23,814 | 4 | 14 |  | 21,811 \# |  | 18,427 \# | 18 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover |  | $\pm 5.9$ | 6 | - 10 |  | 18.2 \# |  | 18.6 \# |  |
| Nonfarm placemente |  | 106 | $-25$ | - 1.6 |  | 2,089 |  | 1,665 | 25 |

HALLETTSVILLE (pop. 2,808)

| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ | 14,500 | - |  |  | \$ | 476,211 | \$ | 225,627 | 111 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 3,815 | - | 1 | 10 | $\$$ | 44,207 | \$ | 40,029 | 10 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | \$ | 7,282 | - | 1 | 5 | \$ | 6,823 等 | * | 6,686 \# | 2 |
| Anmual rate of deposit turnover |  | 6.4 | - | 4 | 5 |  | 6.5 \# |  | 6.0 \# | 8 |

HALLSVILLE (pop. 684)

| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 1,257 | 18 | ... | \$ | 12.755 |  | . $\cdot$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| End-uf-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | \$ | 1,304 | ** | $\cdots$ | \$ | 1,385 \# | [....'. | $\cdots$ |
| Annusl rate of deposit turnover |  | 11.6 | 18 | $\cdots$ |  |  |  |  |

HASKELL (pop. 4,016)

| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ | 0 | ... | ... | \% | 254,352 | \$ | 280,702 | $-9$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 6,152 | 30 | 20 | \$ | 57.364 | * | 49.192 | 17 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | \$ | 5,995 | 2 | 2 | \$ | 5.279 \# | 8 | 4,858 \# | 6 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover |  | 12.4 | 23 | 17 |  | 10.9 \# |  | 9.9 \# | 10 |

HENDERSON (pop. 9,666)

| Postal receipts* | \% | 24,742 | 10 | 24 | \$ | 238,186 |  | +........ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ | 95,000 | 709 | 204 | \$ | 1,093,425 | \$ | 1,432,354 | - 24 |
| Bank debita (thousands) | \$ | 14,555 | 5 | 2.3 | \$ | 166,490 | \$ | 132,607 | 26 |
| End-of-month deposits (thoussnds) ! | \$ | 18,189 | 5 | 14 | \$ | 16,205 \# | \$ | 17,919 \# | $-9$ |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover |  | 9.8 | 4 | 9 |  | 10.3 \# |  | 7.7 \# | 34 |

HEREFORD (pop. 9,584 r)

| Puztal receidts* | \$ | 27,374 | 27 | 8 | \$ | 305,947 |  | ....... |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ | 174,400 | - 32 | 19 | \% | 3,326,120 | $\$$ | 3,002,130 | 8 |
| Bank dehits (thousands) | \$ | 38,128 | 4 | 18 | \$ | 406,681 | \$ | 350,713 | 16 |
| End-of-month deposits (thoushinds) $\ddagger$ | \$ | 21,575 | 16 | 16 | \$ | 17,172 \# | \$ | 16,392 \# | 5 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover |  | 22.8 | 7 | 4 |  | 23.9 \# |  | 21.5 \# | 11 |

For an explanation of symbols see p. 43.

| City and Item | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dee } \\ & 1968 \end{aligned}$ | Percent change |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Jan-Dec } \\ 1968 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\underset{1967}{\substack{\text { Jan-Dee }}}$ |  | Percent changeJan-Dec 1968 <br> from <br> Jun-Dec 1967 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dec } 1968 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Nov } 1968 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dec } 1968 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Dec } 1967 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| HONDO (pop. 4,992) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts ......... \$ | 32,300 | 121 | -42 | \$ | 417.967 | 1 | 557.760 | $-25$ |
| Bank debits (thousands) ...................... \$ | 4,084 | 1 | 8 | \$ | 51,511 | \$ | 46,777 | 10 |
| End-of-month deposits (thougands) $\ddagger$.......... \$ | 4,613 | 4 | 9 | \$ | 4,410 \# | \$ | 4,190 \# | 5 |
| Annual rate of deposit tarnover | 10.9 | 2 | - 3 |  | 11.7 \# |  | 11.2 \# | 4 |
| HUNTSVILLE (pop. 11,999) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* | 32,804 | 8 | 52 | \$ | 289,213 |  | ... | $\cdots$ |
| Building permits, less federal contracts ....... | 448,000 | 299 | 235 | \$ | 2,943,300 | \$ | 2,462,108 | 20 |
| Bank debits (thousands) . .................... \& | 26,623 | 37 | 33 |  | ........ |  | ........ | $\cdots$ |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$.......... \$ | 17,430 | 18 | 21 |  | ......... |  | ......... | $\cdots$ |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 19.9 | 28 | 13 |  | -••*... |  | ......... | + ${ }^{\prime}$ |
| JACKSONVILLE (pop. 10,509 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* ................................ \$ | 31,355 | 3 | 19 | * | 379,114 |  | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ |
| Building permits, less federal contracta ........ \$ | 67,000 | 26 | 428 | \$ | 3,713,013 | \$ | 561,050 | 562 |
| Bank debits (thrusands) | 19,809 | 2 | 23 | \$ | 223.884 | \% | 204,701 | 9 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger \ldots \ldots . . .$. | 13,522 | 10 | 9 | \$ | 12,412 \# | \$ | 11,487 \# | 8 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 18.4 | $-2$ | 14 |  | 18.1 \# |  | 17.9 \# | 1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* ................................ \$ | 16,767 | 3 | 29 | \$ | 189,899 |  | ......... | $\cdots$ |
| Building permits, less federal contracts ........ \$ | 26.450 | 14 | 82 | \% | 471,060 | \% | 1,407,908 | -67 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ...................... \$ | 13,383 | - 12 | $\ldots$ | \$ | 179,207 |  | . + ...... | $\cdots$ |
| Find-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$........... | 10.221 | ** | $\cdots$ | \$ | 9.716 \# |  | . ........ | $\cdots$ |
| Annual rate on deposit turnover ... | 15.7 | - 14 | $\ldots$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| JUNCTION (pop. 2,514 ${ }^{\text {² }}$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts ....... \$ | 0 | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | \$ | 127,883 | \% | 86,000 | 49 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ..................... \% | 2,879 | 14 | 29 | \% | 31,204 | \$ | 27,007 | 16 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . . . . . . .$. . \$ | 4,280 | - 11 | , | \$ | 4,098 \# | * | 3,525 \# | 16 |
| Annual rate os deposit turnover | 7.6 | 13 | 10 |  | 7.6 \# |  | 7.7 \# | -1 |

## KARNES CITY (pop. 3,000 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ )

| Building permits, less '́ederal contracts | \$ | 41,900 | 4 | $\ldots$ | \$ | 304,951 | \$ | 116,921 | 161 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 4,695 | 33 | 84 |  | ....... |  |  | ... |
| End-ot-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | \$ | 4,317 | $-4$ | 8 |  | ....... |  |  | $\cdots$ |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover |  | 12.8 | 36 | 22 |  |  |  |  |  |

KILGORE (pop. $10,500^{\circ}$ )

| Postal receipts* | \$ | 27,462 | 23 | 39 | \% | 264,380 |  |  |  | . |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ | 18,750 | -78 | 21 | \$ | 1,479,516 | \$ | 1,023,715 |  | 45 |
| lank debits (thousands) | \$ | 15,264 | ${ }^{6}$ | 19 | \$ | 174,056 | \$ | 165,870 |  | 5 |
| End-o:-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | \$ | 15,620 | 4 | 14 | \$ | 14,094 \# | * | 12,941 \# |  | 9 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover |  | 11.8 | 4 | 3 |  | 12.4 \# |  | 12.9 \# | - | 4 |
| Nonfarm employment (area) ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  | 35,000 | 1 | 4 |  | 34,134 \# |  | 33,225 \# |  | 3 |
| Manufacturing employment (area) ${ }^{\text {e }}$ |  | 10,000 | 1 | 14 |  | 0.506 \# |  | 8,710 \# |  | 9 |
| Percent unemployed (area) ${ }^{\text {e }}$ |  | 2.1 | - 30 | - 13 |  | 2.6 \# |  | 2.8 \# | - | 7 |

## KILLEEN (pop. 30,400 ${ }^{\circ}$ )

| Postal receipts* | \$ | 82,766 | - 1 | 20 | \$ | 814,564 |  | ......... |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ | 352,373 | - 23 | -69 | \$ | 7.717,352 | \$ | 6,503,937 | 19 |
| Bank debits (thotsands) | \$ | 32,111 | 5 | 54 | \$ | 286,962 | \$ | 223.215 | 29 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | \$ | 14,418 | 13 | 7 |  | 13,018 \# |  | 12,128 \# | 7 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover |  | 28.4 | ** | 47 |  | 22.0 \# |  | 18.3 \# | 20 |

For an explanation of symbols see $\mathfrak{p} .43$.
City and item

Kingsland (pop. 150)

| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . \% | 3,688 |  | 14 | 74 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bank debits (thousands) ....................... \$ | 2,493 | - | 8 | 6 | 8 | 30,582 | \$ | 24,940 | 23 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) \% ............ \$ | 1,575 | - | 1 | 1 | \% | 1,569 \# | 8 | 1,364 \# | 15 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 18.9 | - | 6 | $-10$ |  | 19.5 \# |  | 18.8 \# | 4 |

KINGSVILLE (pop. 25,297)

| Postal receipts* | \$ | 37,692 | 20 | 60 | \$ | 375,755 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits, less federal contracta | 8 | 215.212 | 72 | 7 | 3 | 3,604,033 | \$ | 3,838,896 | 6 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 22,714 | 38 | 33 | \$ | 225,180 | \$ | 198,924 | 13 |
| End-of-month deposits (thowsands)t | \$ | 19,666 | 5 | 7 | $\delta$ | 18,816 \# | \$ | 17,970 \# | 5 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover |  | 13,5 | 36 | 18 |  | 12.0 \# |  | 11.1 \# | 8 |

## KIRBYVILLE (pop. 2,021r)

| Postal receipts* | \$ | 7,617 |  | 24 |  | 36 | \$ | 74,897 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 2,778 | - | 5 |  | 12 | \$ | 32,888 | \$ | 28,367 | 16 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) \% | 8 | 4,971 |  | 2 |  | 18 | \$ | 4,482 \# | \$ | 4,125 ${ }^{\text {4 }}$ | 9 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover |  | 6.8 | - | 7 | - | 4 |  | 7.4 \# |  | 6.9 \# | 7 |

LAMESA (pop. 12,438)

| Postal receipts* | \$ | 30,829 | 57 | 61 | * | 228.625 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bank debits (thousands) | 8 | 29,447 | 34 | 25 | 8 | 235,434 | \$ | 213,148 | 10 |
| End-of-month deposits (thotsands) : | \$ | 24,987 | 24 | 31 | \$ | 17,772 \# | \$ | 17,683 \# | 1 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover |  | 15.7 | 14 | 1 |  | 13.8 \# |  | 11.9 \# | 12 |
| Nonfarm placernents |  | 46 | - 29 | $-18$ |  | 817 |  | 930 | $-1$ |

LAMPASAS (pop. 5,670r)

| Postal receipts* | \$ | 13,207 | 46 | 74 | \$ | 104,178 |  |  | . |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \% | 50,400 | 581 | 78 | \$ | 691,792 | \$ | 571,480 | 4 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 9,738 | 10 | 25 | \$ | 107,114 | \$ | 98,470 | 9 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) | \$ | 8.397 | 8 | 2 | \$ | 7,911 \# | \$ | 7,388 \# | 7 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover |  | 14.1 | 8 | 22 |  | 13.6 \# |  | 13.4 \# | 1 |

LITTLEFIELD (pop. 7,236)

| Postal receipts* | \$ | 10,952 | $-4$ | 28 | \$ | 126,924 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits, less fedetal contracta | \$ | 4,200 | - 92 | 740 | \$ | 128,600 | \$ | 128,491 | ** |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 12,261 | 37 | 18 | \$ | 124,701 | \$ | 114,298 | 9 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | \$ | 11,727 | 9 | 8 | \$ | 10,072 \# | \$ | 9,364 \# | 8 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover |  | 13.1 | 26 | 11 |  | 12.4 \# |  | 12.2 \# | 2 |

## LLANO (pop. 2,656)

| Postal receipta* | \$ | 6,341 | 22 | 15 | \$ | 62,393 |  |  | ... |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permitg, less federal contracts | \$ | 500 | - 95 | 150 | \$ | 159,500 | 8 | 78,400 | 103 |
| Bank debits (thoubands) | \$ | 4,119 | - 22 | 10 | \$ | 60,500 | \$ | 50.090 | 21 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) | \$ | 4,862 | - 3 | 2 | \$ | 4,705 \# | \$ | 4,719 \# | ** |
| Annual rate of depusit turnover |  | 10.0 | - 22 | 6 |  | 12.8 \# |  | 10.6 \# | 21 |

## LOCKHART (pop. 6,084)

| Postal receipts* | \$ | 10,864 |  | 39 | 21 | \$ | 90,848 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ | 42,275 | - |  | 34 | \$ | 585,200 | 8 | 717,255 | - 18 |
| Bank dehits (thousands) | \$ | 6,609 | - | 4 | 4 | \$ | 80,578 | \$ | 76,245 | 6 |
| End-of-month deposit (thousands) $\ddagger$ | \$ | 8.993 |  | 7 | 12 | \$ | 7,846 \# | \$ | 7,405 \# | 6 |
| Anmual rate of deposit turnover |  | 9.1 | - | 8 | - 7 |  | 10.3 \# |  | 10.4 \# | 1 |

[^6]| Gity and Item | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dec } \\ & \mathbf{1 9 6 8} \end{aligned}$ | Percent change |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Jan-Dec } \\ 1968 \end{gathered}$ | Jan-Dec$1967$ | $\begin{gathered} \frac{\text { Percent chanke }}{\text { Jan-Dee } 1968} \text { from } \\ \text { fan-Dec } 1967 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dec } 1968 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Nov } 1968 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dec } 1968 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Dec } 1967 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |

LONGVIEW (pop. 52,242 r)

| Retail sales | 33 † | - 17 | 27 |  |  |  | ........ |  | 26 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . \% | 103,239 | 5 | 15 |  | 1,125,452 |  | .....'. |  | . |
| Building permits, less federal contrects ....... \$ | 525,000 | $-24$ | - 61 | \$ | 11,425,200 | \$ | 3,006,800 |  |  |
| Bank debits (thousands) . ..................... \% | 92,328 | 1 | 18 | \$ | 1,043,966 | \$ | 883,091 |  | 18 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands)f .......... \$ | 52,412 |  | 9 | \% | 48,883 \# | \$ | 42,449 \# |  | 14 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 20.5 |  | ** |  | 21.9 \# |  | 21.0 年 |  | 4 |
| Nonfarm employment (area) ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | 35,000 | 1 | 4 |  | 34,134 \# |  | 38,225 \# |  | 3 |
| Manufacturing employment (area) ${ }^{\text {c }}$. ${ }^{\text {a }}$..... | 10,000 | 1 | 14 |  | 9,506 \# |  | 8,710 \# |  | 9 |
| Percent unemployed (area) | 2.1 | - 30 | $-13$ |  | 2.6 \# |  | 2.8 \# | - | 7 |

LUFKIN (pop. 20,756 r)


McCAMEY (pop. $3,350 \mathrm{r}$ )

| Postal receipts* | \$ | 5,399 |  | 16 |  | 1 | 8 | 48,859 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 2,150 | - | 7 |  | 12 | \$ | 25,614 | \$ | 24,028 | 7 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | \$ | 1,983 |  | 6 |  | 16 | \$ | 1.898 \# | \$ | 1,687 \# | 13 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover |  | 13.4 | - | 4 | - | 2 |  | 18.6 \# |  | 14.3 \# | 5 |

MARBLE FALLS (pop. 2,161)

| Lank debits (thousands) | \$ | 3,526 | 21 | 38 | \% | 39,020 | \$ | 32,588 | 20 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | 8 | 3,465 | 10 | 43 | \$ | 2,924 \# | \$ | 2,457 \# | 19 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover |  | 12.8 | 11 | 5 |  |  |  | 13.3 \# | 2 |

MARSHALL (pop. 25,715 r)

| Postal receipts* | \$ | 47,807 | 1 | 17 | \$ | 520,120 |  | ......... | $\ldots$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ | 1,756,327 | 358 | 875 | \$ | 7,955,084 | \$ | 3,446,685 | 131 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \% | 29,685 | 14 | 19 | \$ | 315,848 | \$ | 281,616 | 12 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) 4 | \$ | 31,732 | * | 2 | \$ | 29,698 \# | \$ | 27,582 \# | 8 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover |  | 11.2 | 13 | 14 |  | 10.6 \# |  | 10.6 \# | ** |
| Nonfarm placements |  | 151 | - 50 | $-26$ |  | 4,032 |  | 4,410 | 9 |

MEXIA (pop. 7,621 r)

| Postal receipta* | \$ | 12,007 |  | 5 | 26 | \$ | 120,300 |  | .*..... | , |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits, less federal contracta | \$ | 26,000 | 一 | 5 | 24 | \$ | 538,634 | \$ | 511,000 | 5 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 7,511 | - | 5 | 15 | \$ | 83,897 | \$ | 75,546 | 11 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | \$ | 7,175 | - | 1 | 15 | \$ | 6,517 \# | \$ | 5,891 \# | 11 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover |  | 12.5 | - | 8 | - 1 |  | 18.5 \# |  | 12.9 \# | 5 |

MINERAL WELLS (pop. 11,053)

| Postal receipts ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ | \$ | 36,072 | - 25 | 14 |  |  |  |  | $\cdots$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ | 80,100 | 48 | $-74$ | \$ | 5,392,874 | \$ | 5,558,107 | 3 |
| Bank debits (thousends) | \$ | 28,656 | 4 | 15 | \$ | 323,701 | \$ | 264,535 | 22 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | \$ | 18,119 | 7 | 7 | \$ | 16.685 \# | \% | 15,118 \# | 10 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover |  | 19.6 |  | 8 |  | 19.5 \# |  | 17.6 \# | 11 |
| Nonfarm placements |  | 80 |  | - 21 |  | 1,697 |  | 1,383 | 23 |

MONAHANS (pop. 9,252r)

| Postal receipts* | \$ | 17.818 |  | 20 | 18 | \$ | 158,953 |  | ....... | . ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Buflding permits, less federal contracts | \$ | 1,000 | - |  | ... | \$ | 194,515 | \% | 582,576 | $-63$ |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 11,834 | - | 2 | 15 | \$ | 138,500 | \$ | 127,687 | 8 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | \$ | 8.374 |  | 6 | 8 | \$ | 7,656 \# | \$ | 7,440 \# | 3 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover |  | 17.4 | - | 5 | 5 |  | 18.2 \# |  | 17.2 \# | 6 |

For an explanation of symbols see p. 43.

| City and Item | $\underset{1968}{\substack{\text { Dec } \\ \hline}}$ | Percent change |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Jan-Dec } \\ 1968 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\underset{1967}{\text { Jan-Dec }}$ |  | Percent changeJand-Dec 1968 <br> from <br> Jan-Dec 1967 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Dec } 1968 \\ & \text { fromn } \\ & \text { Nov 1968 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dec } 1968 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Dec } 1967 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| MOUNT PLEASANT (pop. 8,027) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ${ }^{\text {\% }}$ | 16,645 | 13 | 27 | \$ | 179,179 |  | -+...... | $\cdots$ |
| Building permits, lesa federal contracts ....... \$ | 12.142 | - 72 | -34 | * | 786,656 | * | 764,589 | 3 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ..................... \$ | 16,721 | 11 | 32 | \$ | 192,018 | \$ | 162,281 | 18 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$.......... \$ | 11,760 | 13 | 5 | \$ | 10,080 \# | * | 9.822 \# | 3 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 18.1 | 6 | 28 |  | 19.2 \# |  | 16.7 \# | 15 |
| MUENSTER (pop. 1,190) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pustal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . \% | 2,496 | - 25 | - 21 | \$ | 34,881 |  | . $\cdot$...... | . |
| Buiding permits, less federal contracts ....... \$ | 15,500 | 15 | -61 | \$ | 95,506 | \$ | 373,503 | - 74 |
| Bank debits (thnusands) ....................... \$ | 3,415 |  | 4 | * | 42.446 | \$ | 37,460 | 13 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $)$........... | 2,682 | 1 | - 1 | \$ | 2,698 \# | 8 | 2,345 \# | 15 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 15.3 | - 3 | 6 |  | 15.8 \# |  | 16.1 \# | - 2 |
| MULESHOE (pop. 3,871) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bank debits (thousands) .................... \$ | 12,712 | 6 | 13 | \$ | 142,042 | \$ | 144,751 | - 2 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . . . . . . .$. . \$ | 12,682 | O | 24 | \$ | 8,971 \# | \$ | 9,810 \# | $-9$ |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 12.5 | $-13$ | $-13$ |  | 16.1 \# |  | 14.8 \# | 9 |
| NACOGDOCHES (pop. 15,450 r) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Postai receipts* . .............................. \$ | 36,489 | - 25 | 7 | \$ | 431,162 |  | . $\cdot$...... | $\cdots$ |
| Building permits, less federal contracts ....... \$ | 166,840 | -64 | 66 | \$ | 7,014,564 | \$ | 4,164,286 | 68 |
| Nonfarm placements | 86 | 9 | - 45 |  | 827 |  | 1,241 | $-33$ |
| NEW BRAUNFELS (pop. 15,631) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* ................................ \% | 38,615 | - 28 | 63 | \$ | 393.141 |  | .... | $\cdots$ |
| Building bermits, less federal contracts ....... \$ | 358,152 | - 58 | 102 | \$ | 8,964,890 | \$ | 3,032.184 | 31 |
| Bank debits (thousand) ........................ \$ | 19,208 | 17 | 9 |  | +... |  | . .1. | ... |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . . . . . . . .$. \$ | 19,662 | 5 | 24 |  | ........ |  | . ....... | $\cdots$ |
| Annual rate of deposit tiarnover ... | 12.0 | 12 | $-10$ |  | $\ldots . .$. |  | . | $\cdots$ |
| OLNEY (pop. 4,200 r) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts ....... \$ | 2,600 | -63 | $\cdots$ | \$ | 54,105 | \$ | 560,306 | -90 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ..................... \$ | 5,399 | ** | 9 | \% | 65,707 | \% | 62,104 | 8 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$.......... * | 5,153 | - | 6 | \$ | 5,284 \# | \$ | 5,271 \# | ** |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 12.5 | 1 | 5 |  | 12.4 \# |  | 11.8\# | 5 |
| PALESTINE (pop. 13,974) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* ............................... . \% | 28,221 | $-27$ | 15 | \$ | 287,490 |  | ....... | $\cdots$ |
| Building permits, less federal contracts ....... \$ | 468,250 | 745 | 892 | \$ | 2,695,974 | \$ | 1,115,357 | 142 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ....................... | 16,198 | - 2 | 4 | \$ | 192,876 | \$ | 168,223 | 14 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger \ldots . . . . .$. \$ | 19,734 | 4 | 9 | \$ | 18,199 \# | \$ | 17,105 \# | 6 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 10.1 | - 3 | - 4 |  | 10.6 \# |  | 9.8 \# | 8 |
| Nonfarm placements | 44 | - 2 | ... |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAMPA (pop. 24,664) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Retail sales | $33 \dagger$ | 8 | 29 |  | -........ |  | . | 8 |
| Postal receipts* ${ }^{*}$. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ${ }^{\text {\% }}$ | 41,343 | - 12 | 5 | * | 472,761 |  | ...... | ... |
| Bank debits (thousands) ...................... \$ | 34,396 | 6 | 8 | \$ | 382,964 | \$ | 361,324 | 6 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$......... d | 24.188 | 6 | 2 | \$ | 22,577 \# | \$ | 21,508 \# | 5 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 17.6 | 4 | 9 |  | 17.0 \# |  | 16.9 \# | 1 |
| Nonfarm placements | 95 | ** | 30 |  | 1,624 |  | 2,043 | $-25$ |
| PARIS (pop. 20,977) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* ............................... \$ | 47,680 | 8 | 25 | \$ | 462,789 |  | ..... | $\cdots$ |
| Building permits, less federal contracts ....... \$ | 283,024 | 223 | $-18$ | \$ | 3,375,985 | \$ | 3,760,840 | $-10$ |
| Nonfarm placements | 184 | -23 | $-10$ |  | 2.509 |  | 2,141 | 17 |

For an explanation of symbols see p. 43.

| City and item | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dec } \\ & 1968 \end{aligned}$ | Percent chango |  | $\underset{1968}{\text { Jan-Dec }^{2}}$ | $\underset{1967}{\text { Jan-Dec }^{2}}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Percent change } \\ \text { Jan-Dec } 1968 \\ \text { from } \\ \text { Jan-Bec } 1967 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dec } 1968 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Nov } 1968 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { De 1968 } \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Dec } 1967 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |

PECOS (pop. 12,728)

| Postal receipts* | \$ | 16,284 | - | 5 | 16 | \$ | 182,923 |  | ........ | .. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 22,597 | - | 2 | 30 | \$ | 227,986 | \$ | 185.708 | 16 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | \$ | 14,275 |  | 16 | 16 | \$ | 11,328 \# | \$ | 10,546 \# | 7 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover |  | 20.4 | - | 9 | 16 |  | 20.2 \# |  | 18.6 \# | 9 |
| Nonfarm placements |  | 86 |  | 41 | 19 |  | 1,034 |  | 916 | 13 |

PLAINVIEW (pop. 23,703 r)

| Postal receipts* | * | 41,610 | - |  | - 4 | \$ | 461,612 |  | ........ | $\ldots$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ | 435,150 |  | 846 | 110 | \$ | 8,060,200 | \$ | 2,105,800 | 283 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | * | 53.362 |  | ** | - |  | +........ |  | ......... | $\cdots$ |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | \$ | 38,689 |  | 1 | 3 |  | *....... |  | ......... | $\ldots$ |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover |  | 19.1 | - | 7 | $-15$ |  | ......... |  | ........ | $\cdots$ |
| Nonfarm placements |  | 201 | - | 3 | $-15$ |  | 2,854 |  | 3.210 | $-11$ |


| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ | 32,500 | $-16$ | - 24 | \$ | 530,001 | \$ | 410,235 | 29 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bank debits (thousands) |  | 4,877 | 4 | 14 | \$ | 59,572 | \$ | 51,402 | 16 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | \% | 4,845 | 2 | 10 | \$ | 4,461 \# | \$ | 4,112 \# | 8 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover |  | 12.2 | 7 | 5 |  | 13.4 \# |  | 12.6 \# | 6 |


| Postal recejpts* | \$ | 8,151 | 27 | 15 | \$ | 75.096 |  | +....... | $\cdots$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ | 0 | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | \% | 394,508 | \$ | 171,657 | 130 |
| Bank debita (thousands) | \$ | 7,246 | 27 | 20 | \$ | 71,505 | \$ | 62,256 | 15 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | \% | 6,683 | t1 | 2 | \$ | 6,083 \# | \$ | 5,785 \# | 5 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover |  | 13.8 | 21 | 21 |  | 11.8 \# |  | 10.7 \# | 10 |

## RAYMONDVILLE (pop. 9,385)

| Postal receipts* | \$ | 12,461. | 16 | 30 | \$ | 119.085 |  |  | $\cdots$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \% | 15,600 | -63 | $-10$ | \$ | 511,725 | \$ | 187,100 | 174 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | 8 | 8,020 | - 11 | $-17$ | \$ | 113,762 | \$ | 107,058 | 6 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | \$ | 10,994 | 2 | 9 | \$ | 11,166 \# | 8 | 10,362 \# | 8 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover |  | 8.7 | 6 | $-10$ |  | 10.1 \# |  | 10.4 \# | $-8$ |
| Nonfarm placements |  | 40 | 9 | - 34 |  | 680 |  | 937 | - 27 |

REFUGIO (pop. 4,944)

| Postal receipts* | \$ | 7,768 | 18 | 28 | \$ | 80.787 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Huilding permits, less federal contracts | \$ | 12.000 | $\ldots$ | ... | 8 | 372,505 | \$ | 68,506 | 444 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 5,140 | - 14 | 17 | \$ | 56,178 | \$ | 49,773 | 13 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | * | 9.522 | 7 | 5 | \$ | 8.291 \# | \$ | 9,184 \# | 2 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover |  | 6.3 | - 11 | 21 |  | 6.0 \# |  | 6.5 \# | 9 |

ROCKDALE (pop. 4,481)

| Poatal receipts* | \$ | 9.377 |  | 0 | 26 | \$ | 89,748 |  |  | $\ldots$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bank debits (thousands) | 8 | 6,675 | - | 6 | 15 | \$ | 71,448 | \$ | 68,215 | 13 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | \$ | 5,472 | - | 2 | 6 | \% | 5,271 \# | \$ | 4.978 \# | 6 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover |  | 14.5 | - | 7 | 7 |  | 13.6 \# |  | 12.7 \# | 7 |

## SAN MARCOS (pop. 12,713)

| Postal receipta* | \% | 26,908 | - 3 | 34 | \$ | 302,287 |  |  | * $\cdot$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \% | 287,155 | 253 | 64 | \% | 3,898,270 | \$ | 5,825,248 | - 33 |
| Bunk debits (thousands) | * | 18,186 | 12 | 14 | \$ | 211,202 | 2 | 185,167 | 14 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | \$ | 13,954 | ** | 4 | \$ | 13,879 \# | \$ | 12,977 \# | 7 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover |  | 15.7 | 12 | 11 |  | 15.3 \# |  | 14.3 \# | 7 |

[^7]| City and item | $\begin{gathered} \text { Dec } \\ 1968 \end{gathered}$ | Percent change |  | ${ }_{1968}$ |  | Jan-Trec 1967 |  | $\begin{gathered} \frac{\text { Percent change }}{\text { Jan-Dec } 1968} \begin{array}{c} \text { from } \\ \text { Jan-Dec } 1967 \end{array} \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Dec 1968 } \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Nov } 1968 \end{aligned}$ | Dec 1968 from Dec 19f7 |  |  |  |  |  |
| SAN SABA (pop. 2,728) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Postel receipta* | 6,152 | 16 | 73 | \$ | 57,605 |  | ........ | ... |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | 21,600 | 45 | ... | \$ | 153,741 | \$ | 255.900 | -40 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | 7,227 | 3 | 7 | \$ | 80,159 | \$ | 71,177 | 13 |
| Find-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | 6,323 | - 4 | 12 | \$ | 5,844 \# | \$ | 5,380 \# | 10 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover . | 13.4 | 2 | - 8 |  | 13.7 \# |  | 18.1 \# | 2 |
| SILSBEE (pop. 6,277) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | 29,200 | 95 | $-10$ |  | ........ |  | ..... | $\cdots$ |
| Bank debits (thousands) | 10,352 | 18 | 83 |  | $\ldots$ |  | .. | $\ldots$ |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | 9,176 | $\pm$ | 42 |  | . ....... |  | . | . |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 13.6 | 18 | 30 |  | ........ |  | $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ |
| SMITHVILLE (pop. 2,933) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* | 5,540 | 22 | 36 | \$ | 48,315 |  |  |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | 133,250 | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ | \$ | 960,125 | * | 541,050 | 77 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | 2,177 | 1 | 27 | \$ | 24,011 | \% | 19,895 | 21 |
| End-ol-month deposita (thousands) $\ddagger$ | 3,519 | 24 | 30 | \$ | 2,785 \# | \$ | 2,688 \# | , |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover ... | 8.2 | - 8 | 8 |  | 8.7 \# |  | 7.4 \# | 18 |
| SNYDER (pop. 13,850) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poatal receipts* | 30,951 | 30 | 57 | \$ | 213,372 | \$ | 165,191 | 29 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | 78.300 | 6 | 109 | \$ | 964,621 | \$ | 677,030 | 42 |
| Dank debits (thousands) | 17,794 | 15 | - 1 | $\$$ | 177,529 | \$ | 168,639 | 5 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | 21,199 | - 2 | 12 | \$ | 18,750 \# | \$ | 18,777 \# | ** |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover ... | 10.0 | 15 | $-11$ |  | 9.5 \# |  | 8.9 \# | 7 |
| SONORA (pop. 2,619) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracta | 14,000 | 551 | $\cdots$ | \$ | 72,673 | \$ | 46,697 | 56 |
| Bank debits (thoussads) ........... | 4,122 | 12 | 36 | \$ | 37,677 | \$ | 34,564 | 9 |
| Endof-month deposits (thousands)f | 5,278 | 3 | 11 | \$ | 4,563 \# | \$ | 4,113 \# | 11 |
| Annnal rate of deposit turnover | 9.5 | 12 | 16 |  | 8.3 \# |  | 8.4 \# | - 1 |
| STEPHENVILLE (pap. 7,359) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* | 21,454 | - 4 | 38 | \$ | 195,553 |  |  |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | 49.650 | --66 | - 50 | \$ | 1,858,865 | \$ | 877,150 | 112 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | 11,950 | - 3 | 13 | * | 139,878 | \$ | 125,805 | 11 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | 12,170 | 5 | 10 | \$ | 11,281 \# | \% | 10,430 \# | 1 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 12.1 | - 4 | 3 |  | 12.5 \# |  | 12.1 \# | 8 |
| STRATFORD (pop. 1,380) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* | 8,900 | - 12 | 26 | \$ | 44,434 |  | ........ |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | 325 | - 99 |  |  |  |  | ...... |  |
| Hrank debits (thnusands) .......... | 14,220 | , | 34 | \$ | 140,057 | \% | 104,633 | 84 |
| Fnd-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | 6,745 | 10 | 1 | \$ | 5.849 \# | $\%$ | 6,049 \# | $-\quad 3$ |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 26.6 | 2 | 32 |  | 24.0 \# |  | $17.4 \#$ | 38 |
| SULPHUR SPRINGS (pop, 9,160) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* | 27,126 | 10 | 37 | \$ | 309,220 |  |  |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | 177,150 | - 21 | 249 | \% | 2,012,446 | \$ | 4,457,178 | - п5 |
| Latank debits (thousands) | 23.223 | 10 | 16 | \$ | 254,658 | \$ | 233,488 | 9 |
| End-of-month deposits (housands) $\ddagger$ | 18,264 | ** | 5 | \$ | 17,283 \# | \$ | 16,099 \# | 7 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 15.2 | 10 | 9 |  | 14.8 \# |  | 14.5 \# | 2 |
| SWEETWATER (pop. 13,914) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fostal reccipts* | 24.450 | 31 | 6 | \$ | 245,240 |  |  |  |
| Building permits, less federal cantracts | 26.650 | 499 | 214 | \$ | 765,310 | \$ | 627.730 | 22 |
| Bank debits (thuusands) ............. | 1.6.820 | 11 | 24 | \% | 177,681 | \$ | 164,338 | 8 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | 11,750 | 10 | 6 | \$ | 10.929 \# | \$ | 10,226 \# | 8 |
| Annual rate of deposit tirnover | 18.0 | 8 | 15 |  | 16.2 \# |  | 16.0 \# | 1 |
| Nonfarm placements | 93 | - 14 | $-21$ |  | 1,632 |  | 1.574 | 4 |

For an explanation of symbols see p. 48.

| City and item | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dec } \\ & 1968 \end{aligned}$ | Percent change |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Jan-Dec } \\ 1968 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Jan-Dec } \\ 1967 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\frac{\text { Percent change }}{\text { Jan-Dec } 1968}$fromJan-Dec 1967 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Dec 1968 from Nov 1968 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dec } 1968 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Dec } 1967 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| TAHOKA (pop. 3,012) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts ....... \& | 10,200 | $\ldots$ | - 54 | \$ | 195,830 | \$ | 174,909 | 12 |
| Bank debits (thousands) .................... \& | 7,201 | 48 | ... | \$ | 60,228 |  | . | . |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$.......... \$ | 9,317 | 31 | $\ldots$ |  | 6,990 \# |  | ........ | $\ldots$ |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover . | 10.5 | 25 | $\cdots$ |  | ......... |  | .... | $\ldots$ |
| TAYLOR (pop. 9,434) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* .............................. \% | 17,107 | - 3 | 23 | \$ | 176,100 |  | ......... | … |
| Building permits, less federal contracts ........ s | 9,235 | - 95 | $-87$ | \$ | 2,507,388 | \$ | 903,290 | 178 |
| Bank debits (thousands) .................... \$ | 12,416 | 3 | 12 | \$ | 144,512 | \$ | 136,388 | 6 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger \ldots \ldots$...... \$ | 28,998 | 2 | 13 | \$ | 21,711 \# | \$ | 19,342 \# | 12 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover .. | 6.3 | 5 | ** |  | 6.7 \# |  | 7.1 \# | $-6$ |
| Nonfarm placements .......... | 18 | $-40$ | $-25$ |  | 318 |  | 260 | 22 |
| TEMPLE (pop. $34,730 \mathrm{r}$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Retail sales | $33 \dagger$ | 4 | - 1 |  | . |  | ......... | 8 |
| Furniture and household-appliance stores .. | $8 \dagger$ | 42 | - 1 |  | ... |  | ........ | 9 |
| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . \$ | 84,865 | - 10 | 21 | \$ | 833,282 |  |  |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts ........ \$ | 382,395 | - 78 | 211 | \$ | 5,894,590 | , | 5,984,608 | - 2 |
| Bank debits (thousands) .................... \& | 44,801 | $-13$ | 5 | \$ | 558,265 | \$ | 484,399 |  |
| Nonfarm placements ... | 159 | - 28 | $-13$ |  | 2,820 |  | 2,644 | 7 |
| UVALDE (pop. 10,293) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* .............................. s | 19.110 | 12 | 11 | \$ | 215,723 |  | .... | . $\cdot$ |
| Building permits, less federal contracts ....... \$ | 12,725 | -82 | -81 |  | ........ |  |  | 15 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ...................... \$ | 21,169 | 15 | 27 | \$ | 218,023 | \$ | 190,243 | 15 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger \ldots \ldots \ldots .$. \$ | 11,401 | ** | 5 | \$ |  | \$ | 9,948 \# |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover ... | 22.3 | 11 | 21 |  | $20.1 \text { \# }$ |  | $19.2 \text { \# }$ | 5 |
| VERNON (pop. 12,141) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* ............................... \$ | 21,164 | - 1 | 7 | \$ | 211,382 |  | ........ | \% |
| Building permits, less federal contracts ....... \$ | 49,200 | 10 | 170 | \$ | 826,949 | \$ | 7,441,869 | - 89 |
| Bank debits (thousands) .................... \$ | 25,513 | 16 | 3 |  | ........ |  | ......... | .. |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger \ldots \ldots$...... \$ | 25,531 | 8 | 2 |  | ........ |  | ......... | $\ldots$ |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 12.5 | 13 | 3 |  | - |  | - | $\cdots$ |
| Noniarm placements | 83 | - 9 | 73 |  | 1,154 |  | 869 | 33 |
| VICTORIA (pop. 33,047) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Retail sales | $33 \dagger$ | 5 | - 8 |  | ......... |  | ......... | 8 |
| Postal receipts* ............................... \$ | 73,467 | - 6 | 19 |  | ........ |  | 6....... | - 19 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts ....... \$ | 211,960 | 3 | - 4 | \$ | 5,433,858 | \$ | 6,726,955 | - 19 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ................. \$ | 87,708 | - 8 | 9 | \$ | 1,010,507 | \$ | 984,874 | 3 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger \ldots \ldots$..... \$ | 101,057 | 2 | 2 | \$ | 97,118 \# | \$ | 92,516 \# | 5 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 10.5 | - 9 | 6 |  | 10.4 \# |  | 10.6 \# | - |
| Nonfarm placements . ${ }^{\text {a }}$..................... | 354 | $-22$ | - 14 |  | 5,702 |  | 5,960 | - |

## LOWER RIO GRANDE VALLEY

(Cameron, Willacy, and Hidalgo; pop. $326,800^{2}$ )

| Retail sales .................................... | 31 † | 12 | - 6 | ........ | ........ | 10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Apparel stores ........................... | $90 \dagger$ | 60 | ** | .... | ......... | 5 |
| Automotive stores | $6 \dagger$ | 4 | - 4 | ......... | ......... | 13 |
| Drugstores .............................. | $41 \dagger$ | 45 | - 15 | . . . . . . ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | ........ | - 4 |
| Food stores ............................ | $17 \dagger$ | 3 | - 4 | .... | ......... | 2 |
| Furniture and household-appliance stores .. | $28 \dagger$ | - 3 | - 18 | ......... | ........ | 11 |
| Gasoline and service stations | $14 \dagger$ | 7 | 5 | . $\cdot$. | .... | 3 |
| General-merchandise stores | $96 \dagger$ | 75 | 9 | ....... | ....... | 21 |
| Lumber, building-material, and hardware dealers | - $13 \dagger$ | 11 | - 18 | ......... | ......... | 15 |
| Postal receipts* .............................. |  | 42 | 24 | ......... | . . . . . . | ... |
| Building permits, less federal contracts ........ |  | $-40$ | $-37$ | . . . . . . ${ }^{\text {. }}$ | . ........ | 88 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ................... |  | 6 | 39 | ......... | ......... | 16 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . . . . . . . . .$. | ........ | 6 | 16 | $\cdots$ |  | 12 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover ............ | 18.1 | 6 | 17 | 17.8 | 17.2 | 3 |

[^8]
# BAROMETERS OF TEXAS BUSINESS 

## (All figures are for Texas unless otherwise indicated.)

All indexes are based on the average months for 1957-1959 except where other specification is made; all except annual indexes are adjusted for seasonal variation unless otherwise noted. Employment estimates are compiled by the Texas Employment Commission in cooperation with the Bureau of Labor Statistics of the U.S. Department of Labor. The symbols used below impose qualifications as indicated here: *-preliminary data subject to revision; r-revised data; \#dollar totals for the calendar year to date; §-dollar totals for the fiscal year to date; t-employment data for wage and salary workers only.


## EXPLORATIONS IN CONSUMER BEHAVIOR

## A Symposium Held at The University of Texas at Austin April 18-19, 1966

As the result of a recognized need for establishment of a tradition of research methodology in consumer behavior and of a clear definition of the area, a symposium was held at The University of Texas at Austin in April of 1966. Invited as speakers were leading professionals in marketing and allied disciplines. These participants were asked to prepare papers, with distribution of copies to the other speakers in advance of the sessions in Austin, so that a large part of the time could be devoted to informed discussion of the problems presented in the papers.

The list of contributors includes many eminent authorities: Gerald D. Bell (University of North Carolina, Harvard University), Phillip C. Burger (Northwestern University), Donald F. Cox (Coca-Cola Company), Peter G. Durkson (Market Structure Studies), Ronald E. Frank (Wharton School, University of Pennsylvania), Paul E. Green (Wharton School), Michael H. Halbert (Marketing Science Institute), John A. Howard (Columbia University), Jerome B. Kernan (University of Cincinnati), Charles W. King (Purdue University), Sidney J. Levy (Northwestern University), Edgar A. Pessemier (Purdue University), Patrick J. Robinson (Marketing Institute), Montrose S. Sommers (University of Toronto), and W. T. Tucker (The University of Texas). Professors Sommers and Kernan, editors of the symposium papers and the related discussions, were in the Department of Marketing Administration at The University of Texas at Austin when the symposium was held.

The papers considered such topies as the need for a theory of consumer behavior; consumer behavior as human behavior; selfesteem, persuasibility, and remorse among car buyers; perceived risk and information handling in consumer behavior; the theory of buyer behavior; a large-scale systems view of consumerbehavior research, and risk taking in relation to information seeking.

$$
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## BUREAU OF BUSINESS RESEARCH THE UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS AT AUSTIN

(Texas residents add 4-percent sales tax)


[^0]:    Source: Information Service, Manufacturing Chemists Association, Inc., December 1967.

[^1]:    1 Production as measured by mine shipments, sales, or marketable production (including consumption by producers).
    p Preliminary. na Not available. $\quad$ xx Not applicable.
    Source: Mineral Industry Surveys, Bureau of Mines, U.S. Department of the Interior.

[^2]:    * Percent change of current month's seasonal average from preceding month's seasonal average.
    $\dagger$ Includes kinds of business other than classifications listed.
    ** Change is less than one half of 1 percent.

[^3]:    For an explanation of symbols see p. 43

[^4]:    For an explanation of symbols see p. 43

[^5]:    For an explanation of symbols see p. 43.

[^6]:    For an explanation of symbols see p. 43.

[^7]:    For an explanation of symbols see p. 43.

[^8]:    For an explanation of symbols see p. 43.

