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## THE BUSINESS SITUATION IN TEXAS

Francis B. May

September values of the selected barometers of Texas business showed some signs of a cooling in the state's economy but nothing like the kind of glaciation necessary to effect a halt in the rapid advance of inflation. Declines occurred in the seasonally adjusted indexes of crude-oil production, total electric-power use, total industrial production, and manufacturing employment. There were changes of less than one half percent in crude-oil runs to stills, sales of ordinary life insurance, total nonfarm employment, total unemployment, and average weekly hours in manufacturing. Insured unemployment rose. All of these factors are negative or neutral. On the positive side were rises in the overall index of Texas business activity, industrial electric-power use, residential and nonresidential urban building permits issued, and average weekly earnings in manufacturing. The rise in bank debits is reflected in the Bureau Index of Texas Business Activity, which is based on adjusted bank debits.
This mixture of increases and decreases gives no clear indication of the course of business activity in the state during the final quarter of 1969. No clear signal of a recession or of a continuation of the rise is visible. Without stronger evidence of a recession the economy seems to be moving toward what will be, at worst, a leveling off in business activity.

Of those barometers of Texas business activity that showed increases in September, banking activity, as re-
flected in the Index of Texas Business Activity, rose most sharply, advancing 15 percent above its August level. At 274.4 percent of its 1957-1959 average monthly value the Index was at an all-time peak. It was 27 percent above the September 1968 level. During the first three quarters of the year this index has averaged 17 percent above the comparable period of 1968. This strong advance is reflected in several of the other barometers of Texas business, indicating that the advance was an industrially broad-based one.

An examination of the business-activity indexes for twenty selected Texas cities shows that the advance during the past nine months was geographically as well as industrially broad-based. Eighteen of the Texas cities had increases in activity. Corsicana showed virtually no change. Galveston had a 3 -percent decline.

Adjusted for seasonal factors September crude-oil production showed a 3 -percent decline. For the year to date the index shows a decline of 1 percent from the first three quarters of 1968. Shortly after the 1967 ArabIsraeli war the index rose to 128.6 percent of its $1957-$ 1959 monthly average. Closing of the Suez Canal as a result of the war distorted international patterns of oil production and resulted in increased demand for Texas oil. The readjustment to closure of the Suez Canal has been completed. Texas oil producers are once again in their accustomed role as suppliers of demand for do-

TEXAS BUSINESS ACTIVITY
Index Adjusted for Seasonal Variation-1957-1959 =100


BUSINESS-ACTIVITY INDEXES FOR 20 SELECTED TEXAS CITIES
(Adjusted for seasonal variation-1957-1959=100)

| Index | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sep } \\ & 1969 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Aug } \\ & 1969 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Year-to-date } \\ & \text { average } \\ & 1969 \end{aligned}$ | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | YSep 1969 <br> from <br> Aug 1969 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ear-to-date } \\ & \text { average } \\ & 1969 \\ & \text { from } \\ & 1968 \end{aligned}$ |
| Abilene | 148.8 | 182.9 | 142.3 | 12 | 7 |
| Amarillo | 207.0 | 196.7 | 193.7 | 5 | 2 |
| Austin | . 350.9 | 307.2 | 356.7 | 14 | 40 |
| Beaumont | . 190.7 | 180.5 | 193.3 | 6 | 2 |
| Corpus Christi | 164.7 | 148.3 | 159.1 | 11 | 3 |
| Corsicana .. | . 181.4 | 131.9 | 160.1 | 38 | 60 |
| Dallas | . 357.4 | 296.4 | 317.5 | 21 | 25 |
| El Paso | . 161.7 | 148.6 | 158.7 | 9 | 12 |
| Fort Worth | . 199.6 | 166.5 | 180.9 | 20 | 7 |
| Galveston | . 135.6 | 115.2 | 126.7 | 18 | $-3$ |
| Houston | . 284.9 | 248.5 | 260.6 | 15 | 12 |
| Laredo | . 231.7 | 234.7 | 236.6 | -1 | 10 |
| Lubbock | . 195.9 | 191.3 | 177.6 | 2 | 12 |
| Port Arthur | . 126.8 | 125.9 | 119.1 | 1 | 6 |
| San Angelo | 175.9 | 155.5 | 167.5 | 13 | 7 |
| San Antonio | 221.8 | 200.2 | 206.7 | 11 | 7 |
| Texarkana | . 247.6 | 223.8 | 245.7 | 11 | 6 |
| Tyler | . 192.1 | 174.5 | 180.4 | 10 | 15 |
| Wuco | . 211.4 | 201.0 | 189.2 | 5 | 10 |
| Wichita Falls | . 139.3 | 131.1 | 140.3 | 6 | 3 |

** Change is less than one half of 1 percent.
mestic oil refiners in competition with imports of foreign crude oil.

During the first eight months of the year Texas production of crude oil declined 1.5 percent. Total United States production declined 0.3 percent during the same period. Total crude imports rose 14.6 percent to an average of $1,379,000$ barrels a day. The accompanying table shows how Texas production compared with that of five other states.

CRUDE-OIL PRODUCTION IN THE UNITED STATES AND IN SIX LEADING PRODUCING STATES, JANUARY-AUGUST 1969
(Millions of barrels)

| State | January-August 1969 production | Percentage change Jan-Aug 1969 from Jan-Aug 1968 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| California | . 247.9 | $-0.9$ |
| Louisiana | .. 568.9 | 2.9 |
| New Mexico | - 85.7 | 0.6 |
| Oklahoma | . . . . 149.7 | $-0.8$ |
| Texas | . . . . 764.0 | $-1.5$ |
| Wyoming | - 96.7 | 2.8 |
| United States | . . . . 2,235.4 | $-0.8$ |

Source: World Oil, October 1969.

Texas and Louisiana are the leading producers by a substantial margin, producing a total of 1.3 billion barrels of crude oil during the eight-month period. This was 59.4 percent of the United States total. In view of the seizures of United States oil companies' properties in foreign countries in recent months, it seems important that this country maintain adequate production reserves. These reserves should be as close to markets as possible. It seems likely that oil from the North Slope of the Brooks mountain range in Alaska will begin to reach West Coast refineries by pipeline and tanker and East Coast markets by tanker in 1972. This development does

SELECTED BAROMETERS OF TEXAS BUSINESS
(Indexes-Adjusted for seasonal variation-1957-1959=100)

|  |  |  | Percent change |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |

* Preliminary.
at Change is less than one half of 1 percent.
not mean that Texas oil will not be needed. Midcontinent markets will still need Texas oil.

Crude-oil and natural-gas producers in Texas employed 99,400 workers in September at an average weekly wage of $\$ 156.09$. Petroleum refineries and related industries employed 35,700 workers at an average weekly wage of $\$ 180.18$. Chemical manufacturers employed 61,900 workers at an average weekly wage of $\$ 166.66$ in September. Oil-field machinery manufacturers employed 30,300 workers at an average weekly wage of $\$ 148.41$. This is a total of 227,300 workers in the state in well-paid jobs in industries which are part of petroleum production and refining, or are directly related to it. These are among the most important industries in Texas. Much of Texas long-range economic development depends on them.

Although crude runs to stills in September were virtually unchanged from their August level, the index was 6.5 percent above that of September 1968. This was the highest level of any September in the history of the index.

Total electric-power use fell 6 percent in September, but industrial power use rose 2 percent. Both indexes show good gains for the first three quarters of the year.

Sales of ordinary life insurance maintained about the same level in September as in August. They were 8 percent above the first three quarters of 1968. With inflation in consumer prices proceeding at approximately 6 percent a year and the state population increasing at a rate of 1.7 percent a year, sales of ordinary life insurance are barely keeping up with inflation and population growth.

After declining 15 percent in August total September urban building permits issued in Texas rose 3 percent.

INDUSTRIAL PRODUCTION dURABLE MANUFACTURES, TEXAS

Inder Adjusted for Seasonal Variation-1957-1959 = 100


INDUSTRIAL PRODUCTION, TEXAS*


## INDUSTRIAL PRODUCTION

 NONDURABLE MANUFACTURES, TEXAS

INDUSTRIAL ELECTRIC-POWER USE, TEXAS


Increases in both residential and nonresidential permits contributed to the advance. For the first three quarters of the year total permits were 13 percent above the comparable 1968 period. This increase over 1968 was achieved despite a substantial downward movement in residential permits beginning in January of this year. The index of residential permits reached an all-time peak of 207.6 in December 1968. Its decline since then has been caused by a substantial drop in dollar value of permits for single-family dwellings. The decline was not so great as to prevent the residential index from showing a 1-percent increase over the first nine months of 1968. Relative strength in permits for multiple-family dwellings slowed the descent of the index. Tight money and high building costs mean that the homebuilding industry will continue to be one of the principal sectors of weakness in the national and in the Texas economy. Unless more (Concluded p. 325)
TOTAL UNEMPLOYMENT, TEXAS


CONSUMER PRICES, UNITED STATES


WHOLESALE PRICES, UNITED STATES



Texans, and other Americans, representing American businesses in foreign countries are forced to make major adjustments when they begin life in a strange culture. Failure to adjust properly results in impaired professional efficiency, because a technician, regardless of his expertise, cannot operate with high effectiveness until he has achieved social acceptance by the nationals with whom he expects to associate and work. For this reason the management of a company doing business in a foreign country is much interested in helping its representatives, and their families, learn how to adjust to their new environment in all aspects of living.
When Texas A\&M University, in cooperation with the Agency for International Development, launched a program of contracts for foreign service it recognized the need for preparing American technicians abroad for the numerous changes they would encounter in their new way of life, and began plans for a program of family orientation for its personnel who were to receive foreign assignments. The course, participated in by both husband and wife, includes concentrated studies in cultural anthropology, with attention to the various concepts of culture, values and belief systems, crosscultural adjustments, ethnocentrism and cultural variability, culture shock, customs, religion, cultural change, the importance of the family in technical cooperation, and health precautions, as well as lectures and readings in the history and the geography of the host country, with careful consideration of its heroes, holidays, and important national events.

Preliminary to composition of this orientation program a study of the problems in adjustment to be encountered was made through interviews with people who had experienced them. One hundred fifty-three formal and 230 informal interviews were conducted in various parts of the world with American technicians, their wives, foreign nationals employed by the Agency for International Development, the World Health Organization, Ford Foundation, and the U.S. Department of State, and A\&M University Contract personnel to determine the problems of adjustment that American business representatives experience in foreign cultures. The interviews were conducted in East Pakistan, India, West Pakistan, Ceylon, the Philippines, Guatemala, Costa Rica, Brazil, Paraguay, and Peru to accumulate information that would be useful in conducting an Orientation and In-Service Training Program at Texas A\&M University for personnel to be assigned on A\&M contracts to East Pakistan, Tunisia, the Dominican Republic, Argentina, and Colombia.

This study was but one aspect of the program financed by the Ford Foundation for five years to enable the experimental establishment of an Orientation and In-Service Training Program at Texas A\&M for AID-University contract personnel. The experimental program emphasized

[^1]cultural adjustment, technological innovation, economic development, and language training.

The interviews concerning cultural adjustment always included both Americans and nationals, usually foreign counterparts to the Americans, in order to obtain a twodimensional consideration of the problems of adjustment of Americans in other cultures.
It was found that numerous factors affect the rate of cultural adjustment by American families, such as the lack of previous experience abroad, locations of residence and work at outlying rural villages in contrast to locations in large metropolitan areas, and variations in overall levels of development among the respective nations. American personnel serving in Asia were usually confronted with greater dissimilarities in religion, language, and degree of poverty in comparison to their own culture and to the more familiar cultural aspects of Latin American countries. During informal interviews highly specialized technicians from the United States admitted that upon arrival in a distant land they were submerged in an unfamiliar culture and awed by the peculiar behavior of the nationals.
Educational programs for technical specialists rarely include courses which would contribute to an understanding of cultures, such as sociology, anthropology, comparative religion, and philosophy. When one is ill-prepared to understand value systems and ethnocentric behavior in his own culture, he is at a distinct disadvantage for understanding the culture, values, and beliefs of people in other cultures.
The typical American usually admits that he does not understand the elements underlying the organization of his own culture because he has not studied it. Although his experiences have familiarized him with his culture, he often does not recognize that American culture itself is a pattern of paradoxes, in which people demand a winner but root for the underdog, worship bigness but idolize "the little man," encourage freedom in economic competition while building vast monopolies.

The functional adjustment to culture that results from socialization influences most Americans so fully that other modes of behavior become to him unthinkable. For instance, Americans are amazed to learn that women in some African tribes use butter (grease obtained from cow's milk) as a cosmetic. They are not disturbed, however, by an American wife's use of lanolin (grease obtained from sheep's wool) for the same purpose.
The urge to criticize a different culture seems natural to those who lack training in the values and belief systems of other cultures and lack the familiarity that is derived by life experience in residence abroad. It becomes difficult, therefore, for Americans to understand why women wearing shorts in public may be mobbed by an angry group of men on the grounds of immodesty while these same men reveal no qualms of immodesty when they squat alongside a walkway to defecate in
public. Furthermore, on the basis of religion, people of some cultures become infuriated at foreigners who kill animals and use the "flit-gun" to exterminate ants. Yet, without religious qualms, these same people readily exterminate one another over disputes concerning the official state language. Members of the American culture are familiar with the Methodists' practice of sprinkling water in baptism and the Baptists' insistence upon total immersion in water, and tolerate both, but many Americans may not even know of the millions of people of other cultures who religiously seek cures for their ills by bathing and drinking the muddy, germ-laden, and sewage-contaminated waters of a holy river, and they would abhor the custom if they did know of it.

The study revealed that the problems of adjustment that can confront an American technician in a foreign culture are varied and numerous, particularly when he has had relatively little training in those fields of knowl; edge that contribute to an understanding of the complexities and basic differences among cultures of the world. Some of the factors affecting adjustment, arranged in descending order of importance according to the interviewees, are these:

1. Many American families abroad are not effective in their foreign assignments because they fail to establish and maintain friendly relationships with the people of their host country.

One of the reasons for this failure is that Americans frequently seem to have trouble mixing socially with their hosts. Even where language is not a barrier to communication they seem reluctant to carry on a satisfactory conversation with people of another culture. This is especially true with the soncalled "non-Western" cultures. For example, a sizable portion of the Americans serving on posts where the culture is non-Western actually avoid occasions in which conversations and other forms of social interaction with their hosts will be required of them.

Another manifestation of this problem is the formation of small exclusive societies for American children on many of the posts in non-Western cultures. The American children go to their own schools, arrange and attend their own entertainment, and live in a secluded world or cultural island almost completely separated from the local situation. This prevents American children from having sufficient contacts with local children of their own age for crosscultural friendships to develop. The result is that the American children have no opportunity for participating in social activities with local people on a family basis.

Such family interaction would produce close friendships and mutual respect, which would be helpful to the whole family in its overseas assignment. Since effective rapport on a family basis is a key factor in the success of the technical-assistance worker, this problem assumes greater importance for the mission. It often becomes impossible to distinguish between the image the family creates locally, and the impression the head of the family makes with his host coworkers.

This problem often results in failure by the overseas American, because he and his family do not establish and maintain effective working relationships with their hosts. Individuals who are prone to isolate themselves and reduce the processes of communication and inter-
action with the local society are less likely to become integrated and accepted in that society.
2. Many Amexican families abroad spoil their chances for a successful foreign asignment by indulging in criticism of the host country, the people, and their customs.

Some Americans in foreign lands feel an almost uncontrollable urge, seemingly, to criticize culture of their host nation. They find fault with the country's food, its poverty, its government, its transportation system, its educational system, and almost everything else in the local situation. Even when they warily avoid the danger of direct criticism, many Americans adopt a patronizing attitude toward the host culture and people. This practice is worse than criticism, since the host people seem to resent it more intensely.

These practices influence adversely the effectiveness of a technical-assistance worker. A host coworker soon learns his American counterpart's attitude and quickly spreads the news throughout the host organization.

Americans abroad seem to lack, almost universally, an understanding of just how deeply their hosts resent their criticisms. The validity of the criticism seems to have little to do with the intensity of the resentment it engenders. And, although people of all nations quickly resent criticism by outsiders, people of the newly independent non-Western nations appear to be most sensitive to it and particularly to the criticisms of Americans. Hatred is probably the best name for the feelings it arouses.
3. Most Americans undergo a very unpleasant psychological experience, commonly referred to as "culture shock," during the first few months they are in a foreign culture.

This very unpleasant reaction results in the failure of many people to attempt to make a satisfactory adjustment in their new situation during the first months of their assignment. ${ }^{1}$ On the other hand, most Americans in foreign countries manage to get through this phase of their overseas experience with no apparent ill effects to themselves.

Culture shock steals in quietly, and gradually settles upon a person like a fog to obstruct his vision. This malady, however, is evident to objective observers, who notice how small irritations quickly become crises and how derogatory gossip about the local culture dominates the after-dinner conversation. Restricted social contacts are demanded, and there is a build-up of other subtle tensions.

Even the surface similarities between the cultures of East and West may be deceiving, with undesirable effects upon personal relationships. Business and administrative dealings also may become troublesome and confusing. Often the manner of the servant appears illogical, when for example the verbal response is "yes" and the behavioral response is negative.

Factors contributing to the development of culture shock include differences not only in the physical environment but also in the subconscious processes that normally reinforce one's feeling of ease, security, and emotional stability. The sight of extreme poverty affects sensitive persons, but it is the "unrelieved poverty" of millions that strikes deepest. Depressed feelings result

[^2]when one begins to realize that no solution to this poverty is possible during his foreign assignment or in the near future.
4. American families abroad have trouble with servants.
The majority of American families on their first foreign assignment face the new and often difficult experience of having servants for the first time. How happy they will be in their new assignment will be determined, to a large extent, by how successful they are in getting good servants and in learning to work with them effectively. Good servants, properly supervised, can be a source of interest and pleasure to the family; they can provide the housewife with a new leisure and the freedom to pursue nonroutine interests. On the other hand, poor servants, or a poor relationship with good servants, can become a heavy burden to the housewife and an unpleasant aspect of the new environment.
5. The unusual health hazards encountered in most developing nations of the world are a serious problem to American families going to these countries to live and work.
Most frequently these hazards are so dramatically impressed upon new arrivals by the unfamilar sights and smells of poverty, disease, and lack of modern public sanitation, that this experience results in a strong aversion to local foods, drinks, and other elements associated with personal hygiene.
This fear evoked by local standards of sanitation and cleanliness frequently borders on obsession, thereby preventing the new arrival from taking a rational view of the situation or making a satisfactory adjustment to it. The result is that a fanatic concern with cleanliness causes American housewives, and other members of their families, to offend their hosts and thereby endanger the very purpose of their presence in the country.

On the other hand, many Americans, after one or two years in such a post with no serious illnesses, become careless of the health precautions prescribed for the area and begin taking chances with unboiled water and uncooked food, thereby endangering their health. In effect on accomplishing the aims of the mission this error is as bad as the first.
6. American technical-assistance families often fail in their foreign assignments because they are unable to ad. just successfully to the new social role they assume in host countries.

American technical-assistance families abroad are usually placed at a level in society relatively much higher than the position they occupied at home. This new social position (which the family usually could not avoid even if it tried) is assigned them for a number of reasons: because the relatively high standard of living most Americans enjoy is equaled in many foreign countries only by the wealthy and by high-level government officials; because few nationals other than members of the socially elite have had the advantage of a Western education, and only this elite will have much in common with American families; and because the head of the family frequently finds that duties in his new assignment require him to work at a higher level than in America.

The new social position creates a number of problems of adjustment for the entire family. One of the most important dangers is that the position may prevent members of the family from establishing friendly relation-
ships with the very people they are there to help. The elite class usually exerts considerable indirect pressure upon the American family to adopt its values and attitudes toward the rest of the host society. These attitudes, which are generally less democratic than those held by most Americans, will often isolate the family from the common people of the country, and will certainly not be consistent with the expectations that most host people usually have for the role and behavior of Americans.

On the other hand, the American family certainly must not antagonize the powerful elite class in the host society. Its members are in a position to destroy the family's chances for success in its mission. The family must, therefore, be able to establish and maintain a good social relationship with members of the elite class and still work effectively at other levels of the host society. ${ }^{2}$
7. Providing for the education of their children often ereates a number of problems for American families assigned to less-developed countries.

Schools in other countries are usually operated on the basis of a different organization and with different standards from those of American schools and are therefore not entirely satisfactory for students expecting to go back to the American system later, Parents at a foreign post have three or four choices of action for their children's education. If there is a good local school available they can ignore the differences that may exist in the system of teaching, and the problems that might develop later, and send their children to the local school. In posts where schools have been organized especially for American children, following the American system, most families send their children to these schools. In both Asia and Europe several excellent boarding schools are open to American children, who, with some advanced planning, can be sent to these schools. Good home-study courses are available for American children abroad, and many American families take advantage of them.

The most serious problem in this situation is that some of the educational arrangements mentioned above frequently do not allow the children sufficient contact with the local culture to take advantage of the richly productive educational experience it provides.

It is generally agreed that one of the most valuable benefits of a foreign assignment for the family is that it affords the children a unique opportunity to learn important and lasting lessons from the experience of living in a foreign culture. Where this opportunity is provided to children they usually learn at least as much from it as their parents, and a valuable educational dividend is thus garnered by the family. In the long run this is a very important benefit to America, as well as to the visiting family and the host country.
8. On many foreign posts relationships between Americans often prove to be a serious problem in adjustment.

The comment has often been made by Americans overseas that the troublesome people are not the people of the host country but other Americans on the post. This comment is a symptom of a very serious adjustment problem on many small posts. The relationships between Americans frequently become so bad that the purpose of the group is endangered. Factions develop and oppose

[^3]each other so intensely that cooperation between staff members from different segments becomes almost impossible. Bickering, gossip, and strong rivalry between individuals creates ill will and affects the morale and the progress of all concerned. The incidence and intensity of such conflicts are much higher on foreign posts than at home. Unfortunately, the potential damage to be done is much greater there also. The stakes are much higher and the risk is greater.

Many truths became evident from interviews with Americans and foreign nationals in country after country, and many problems of cultural adjustment were revealed. For instance, a country whose developmental level is roughly that of the United States in 1920 cannot suddenly utilize some developments and ideas characteristic of the United States in 1960 or 1969 without considerable adaptation to local conditions. It is extremely important, therefore, that the American selected for foreign service possess traits of adaptability and flexibility which enable him to "roll with the tide."

Significantly, he must resist the heavy temptation to judge other cultures-their moral standards and their ways of life-by the standards and customs of his own culture. It is important that the American technician abroad develop a tolerant sophistication that can be expressed in the words, "Well, some do and some don't."

INDEXES OF PRICES RECEIVED BY FARMERS IN TEXAS $(1910-1914=100)$

| Classification | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Sep}_{1969} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Aug } \\ & 1969 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sep } \\ & 1968 \end{aligned}$ | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sep } 1969 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Aug } 1969 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sep } 1969 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Sep } 1968 \end{aligned}$ |
| All farm products. | . 264 | 272 | 263 | - 3 | ** |
| All crops ......... | . 180 | 191 | 211 | - 6 | $-15$ |
| Food grains ....... | . 178 | 161 | 175 | 11 | 2 |
| Feed grains and hay. |  | 135 | 114 | 3 | 22 |
| Potatoes and sweet potatoes |  | 234 | 226 | 3 | 7 |
| Fruit ............. | . 235 | 200 | 310 | 18 | $-24$ |
| Commercial vegetables | . 479 | 481 | 451 | \% 0 | 6 |
| Cotton | . 154 | 175 | 205 | $-12$ | $-25$ |
| Oil-bearing crops .... | . 193 | 217 | 280 | $-11$ | $-31$ |
| Livestock and products. | . 400 | 404 | 347 | - 1 | 15 |
| Meat animals ........ | . 522 | 637 | 432 | - 3 | 21 |
| Dairy products | . 352 | 340 | 337 | , | 4 |
| Poultry and eggs.... |  | 212 | 219 | 4 | 1 |
| Wool and mohair..... | . 284 | 278 | 254 | 2 | 12 |

** Change is less than one half of 1 percent.
Source: Statistical Reporting Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture.


## SECURITIES REGISTRATION IN TEXAS, FISCAL YEAR 1969* <br> Ernest W. Walker

The securities industry in Texas is continuing to grow despite the depressed market which befell the second half of fiscal 1969. It was pointed out in the November 1968 issue of the Texas Business Review that the volume of securities for sale had reached an all-time high in fiscal 1968, as well as having experienced the largest percentage increase of the decade. The dollar volume of securities offered for sale in 1969 was nearly as large as that of the preceding year, but the percentage increase was much less. Nevertheless, the growth was phenomenal when one considers that both original and renewal applications actually declined during the fourth quarter (Figure I).


Table 1
SECURITIES REGISTRATION IN TEXAS TOTAL APPLICATIONS AND ORIGINAL APPLICATIONS AUTHORIZED

FISCAL YEARS 1960-1969

| Year | Total applications |  | Original applications |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Dollar value (in $\$$ millions) | Percent increase over previous year | $\begin{gathered} \text { Dollar } \\ \text { value } \\ \text { (in } \$ \text { millions) } \end{gathered}$ | Percent increase over previous year |
| 1969 | . $11,531.6$ | 40.8 | \$1,197.9 | 37.2 |
| 1968 | 1,087.7 | 74.3 | 873.4 | 89.0 |
| 1967 | 624.2 | 15.6 | 462.2 | 17.6 |
| 1966 | . 540.1 | 40.2 | 393.1 | 38.8 |
| 1965 | 385.1 | 19.9 | 283.3 | 30.9 |
| 1964 | . 321.1 | 28.8 | 216.4 | 42.9 |
| 1963 | ... 249.3 | -30.2 | 151.4 | -41.0 |
| 1962 | 357.8 | 1.6 | 256.8 | - 4.4 |
| 1961 | . 351.6 | 33.1 | 268.5 | 38.4 |
| 1960 | . 264.1 |  | 194.0 |  |

[^4]Table 2
SECURITIES REGISTRATION IN TEXAS: SUMMARY, 1967-1969


Table 3
SECURITIES REGISTRATION in texas RENEWALS, FISCAL YEARS 1960-1969

| Years | All applications (in $\$$ millions) | Renewals (in \$ millions) | Renewals as percent of total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1960 | . 264.1 | 70.1 | 26.5 |
| 1961 | . 351.6 | 83.1 | 23.6 |
| 1962 | ... 357.3 | 100.5 | 28.1 |
| 1968 | . 249.3 | 97.9 | 39.3 |
| 1964 | . 321.1 | 104.7 | 32.6 |
| 1965 | . . 385.1 | 101.8 | 26.4 |
| 1966 | .... 539.9 | 146.8 | 27.2 |
| 1967 | . . . 624.2 | 162.0 | 26.0 |
| 1968 | . . . 1,087.7 | 214.3 | 19.7 |
| 1969 | . . . 1,531.6 | 333.7 | 21.8 |

A comparison of the growth in total as well as original applications approved for sale indicates that from a dollar-volume standpoint the two years differed very little. In illustration: in 1969 the dollar volume of total applications rose $\$ 443.9$ thousand, which was slightly less than the $\$ 463.5$-thousand increase in 1968. Obviously, t: e percent of growth was smaller, primarily because of the larger base; for example, 40.8 percent as compared to 74.3 percent. A similar pattern emerges from a comparison of the 1969 increase in original applications with that of 1968 ; that is, they rose $\$ 324.5$ thousand as compared to $\$ 411.2$ thousand. While the annual growth in total dollar volume was extremely favorable in 1969,
the pattern of growth by quarters fluctuated rather widely in 1969 relative to the 1968 pattern. For example, total securities approved rose in every quarter of 1968 and the first three quarters of 1969, but declined 7.3 percent in the fourth quarter of 1969. This was true also in the case of renewals. Incidentally, this pattern of growth paralleled that which was experienced in fiscal 1967. The decline experienced in the fourth quarter may very well continue if general market conditions do not reverse themselves. While there are many reasons for such a drop, a primary cause is that the larger part of the decrease resulted from the activity of mutual-investment companies, which has a tendency to follow closely the movement of the general market. In demonstration of this correlation: the volume of original applications approved for sale by mutual investment companies declined $\$ 55.7$ thousand, or 20.4 percent, during the second half of the fiscal year, when the market was depressed. On the other hand, the volume of these securities rose 45.8 percent for the like period in 1968 , when the market was strong.

An examination of the growth experiences of the other two components of the total reveals that while the approved applications of Texas companies and other corporations grew at a slower rate than in 1968, they increased 97.0 percent and 82.8 percent respectively. This growth clearly supports the conclusion that the capital market in Texas is not only strong but is continuing to grow at a substantial rate.

The requirement of the securities law that approval of securities not sold within twelve months after approval

Table 4
SECURITIES REGISTRATION IN TEXAS
LICENSES ISSUED, FISCAL YEARS 1961-1969

| Type of dealers | 1961 | 1962 | 1963 | 1964 | 1965 | 1966 | 1967 | 1968 | 1969 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Corporate dealers | 635 | 729 | 693 | 489 | 452 | 435 | 436 | 501 | 633 |
| Individual dealers | 363 | 392 | 337 | 275 | 260 | 227 | 207 | 201 | 190 |
| Dealers in oil and gas. | 1,310 | 1,244 | 1,179 | 1,108 | 1,021 | 966 | 892 | 819 | 675 |
| Salesmen | 3,986 | 4,441 | 3,989 | 2,897 | 3,393 | 3,677 | 4,148 | 5,236 | 7,356 |
| Investment advisors |  | 12 | 17 | 21 | 24 | 29 | 23 | 42 | 52 |
| Real-estate investment trust | 0 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 2 | + | 2 |
| Total | 6,305 | 6,819 | 6,218 | 4,793 | 5,153 | 5,336 | 5,708 | 6,801 | 8,908 |

must be renewed if management wishes to continue offering them for sale creates an interesting market situation. During the period between 1965 and 1969 the relative importance of renewals not only declined substantially but it declined in each of the three years; however, this downward movement was reversed in 1969, when renewals rose to 21.8 percent of all applications approved for sale. The question can be asked, then, does the increase in the relative importance of renewals support the general conclusion that renewals move in the opposite direction of the general market? A comparison of renewals in 1969 with the movement of the market in 1968 will give some insight into this relationship.
An examination of the renewals activity of the first six months of 1969 reveals that they accounted for 24.3 percent of all securities approved for sale, but during the last six months they fell in relative importance to 19.7 percent. Relating this situation to the general market conditions during 1968 reveals that the market was depressed during the first half but rebounded during the last two quarters of the year. This inverse relationship tends to support the general conclusion stated above. Assuming that such a relationship exists, investors can expect that total renewals will increase in relative importance again next year unless the general market for securities reverses itself and rises rather sharply during the first three quarters of 1970.

The total number of licenses issued in 1969 rose by 2,107 , or 31 percent, which was the largest increase experienced in any one year during this decade. This growth in licenses is even more interesting since 770 were issued during the last six months of the year, the period when the market was greatly depressed. It must be assumed from these and other data that the securities market in Texas not only will continue strong but will continue to provide excellent opportunities for employment.

In the November 1968 issue of the Review the following observation was made: "While it is unlikely that we can expect future growth to be as dramatic as that experienced in 1968, we can expect it to be extremely favorable." The data for 1969 certainly validate this conclusion; they also suggest that the market for securities in Texas will continue strong, although some soft spots will begin to show up if the general market conditions do not turn upward during the second and third quarters of 1970 .


## THE BUSINESS SITUATION (Concluded)

financing is available the residential building industry will continue to suffer.

Because of a decline in mining output, September industrial production in Texas declined 2 percent. This series is prepared by the Research Department of the Federal Reserve Bank of Dallas. Nondurable manufacturing output also declined slightly.

The index of manufacturing employment declined 1 percent. Total unemployment was virtually unchanged from August. Total nonfarm employment in Texas was $4,193,600$, up 162,100 over September 1968. Total number of wage and salary workers employed in September was $3,610,000$, up 154,300 over September 1968. The increase in insured unemployment was the largest negative factor in the manpower sector. Average weekly wages advanced 1 percent in September. This index was up 4 percent over the first nine months of 1968.

The outlook for that portion of the state's economy not directly related to residential construction is uncertain but not recessionary. Continuation of fiscal restraint by the federal government and of the tight-money policy by the Federal Reserve Board should continue the depression in housing. The effect of all this on the consumer price index will probably be small. It took several years for inflation to get its teeth into the economy. It will take several years of fiscal restraint to break inflation's grip.

REVENUE RECEIPTS OF THE STATE COMPTROLLER

| Account | $\underset{1969}{ }{ }^{\text {Septer }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { September } \\ & 1968 \end{aligned}$ | Percent changeSeptember 1969 <br> from <br> September 1968 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL | \$167,750,722 | \$163,430,217 | 3 |
| Ad valorem and inheritance taxes | 2,408,308 | 3,301,218 | $-27$ |
| Natural- and casinghead-gas production taxes | 7,873,576 | 6,104,353 | 29 |
| Crude-oil production taxes. . | 14,083,533 | 12,621,835 | 12 |
| Other gross receipts and production taxes .... | 356,853 | 374,278 | -5 |
| Insurance companies and other occupation taxes. | 355,465 | 251,513 | 41 |
| Limited sales, excise, and use tax | 1,640,884 | 1,393,077 | 18 |
| Motor-fuel taxes (net) | 27,158,888 | 26,364,564 | 3 |
| Cigarette tax and licenses. . | 13,300,130 | 11,689,244 | 14 |
| Alcoholic-beverage taxes and licenses | 3,212,985 | 4,829,066 | - 33 |
| Automobile and other sales taxes | 7,922,405 | 5,306,937 | 49 |
| All licenses and fees. | 9,936,926 | 10,217,548 | - 3 |
| Franchise taxes ........ | 504,843 | 530,736 | - 5 |
| Mineral leases, land sales, rentals, and bonuses. | 366,577 | 1,321,080 | - 72 |
| Oil and gas royalties...... | 1,102,169 | 2,249,965 | - 51 |
| Interest earned | 5,388,324 | 3,982,653 | 35 |
| Unclassified receipts ...... | 2,965,165 | 2,970,799 | ** |
| Other miscellaneous revenue | 1,946,155 | 983,213 | 98 |
| Federal aid for highways... | 16,482,766 | 23,815,098 | $-31$ |
| Federal aid for public welfare | 30,582,420 | 22,139,409 | 38 |
| Other federal aid. | 19,807,450 | 22,659,736 | $-13$ |
| Donations and grants..... | 354,950 | 323,895 | 10 |

[^5]Source: State Comptroller of Public Accounts.

## TEXAS RETAIL TRADE SEPTEMBER 1969

Mildred Anderson

Texans, like most Americans, continued to be cautious in their consumer spending through the month of September, their willingness to spend seemingly sharply lessened.

Analysis of preliminary unadjusted data indicates that retail sales in Texas and the United States declined 2 percent from August 1969. The percentage of decrease was influenced considerably by the decline in nondurablegoods sales, which during September declined 6 percent in Texas and 5 percent in the United States. While nondurable goods declined, durable-goods sales increased 5 percent in Texas and 3 percent in the United States in the August-to-September comparison.

Comparisons of the durable-goods categories (unadjusted month-to-month data) show that automotive stores gained 10 percent, while furniture and household-appliance stores remained unchanged, and lumber, building material, and hardware dealers decreased 6 percent.

Unadjusted estimates of nondurable-goods sales for September indicate a decrease in all the main categories except drugstores and gasoline and service stations, which were unchanged from August. The largest decrease was registered in the eating and drinking category ( -13 ), followed by general-merchandise stores (-12), apparel stores ( -11 ), and food stores ( -6 ).
Retail sales in Texas, after adjustment for seasonal variation, fared better than in the nation as a whole. Total retail sales in Texas gained 16 percent in contrast to a 1-percent increase at the national level. Sales of durable goods in September gained 37 percent in Texas in comparison with a 3 -percent increase in the nation. Seasonally adjusted nondurable-goods sales gained at a slower rate in Texas ( 6 percent), but still at a higher rate than sales on the national level, which gained less than one half of 1 percent.
The fundamental strength of seasonally adjusted dur-able-goods sales in September should be attributed to the strong showing made in the automotive-stores category. Automotive sales during the month of September 1969 were spurred by the introduction of the 1970 -model cars and bargains on the remaining 1969 models. It should be emphasized that the excessive gain ( 50 percent) shown for automotive stores after adjustment for seasonal variation is less reliable in September 1969 because of early release of 1970 model cars. Adequate adjust-

ment is not possible, since the seasonal factor is currently indeterminate. In its present form this factor may be moving in the wrong direction, distorting the data and indicating a deceptively high figure. No way to make a more valid adjustment is apparent at this time. In past years new models were released in October and November. This practice made previous Septembers slow months for sales of automobiles, with the normal seasonal trend declining 27 percent. It will be interesting to watch automotive sales in October, when the normal seasonal trend for this category calls for an increase of 44 percent.

After adjustment for seasonal variation furniture and household-appliance stores increased 21 percent, and lumber, building-material, and hardware dealers increased 7 percent.

## RETAIL-SALES TRENDS BY KIND OF BUSINESS

 (Unadjusted)| Kind of business $\begin{gathered}\text { reporting } \\ \text { stores }\end{gathered}$ | Percent change |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sep from Aug |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sep } \\ & \text { 1969 } \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Sep } \\ & 1968 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Jan-Sep } \\ 1969 \\ \text { from } \\ \text { Jan-Sep } \\ 1968 \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  | Actual |  |  |
|  | Normal seasonal* | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sep } 1969 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Aug } 1969 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| DURABLE GOODS |  |  |  |  |
| Automotive storest . . . . . . 334 | -27 | 10 | 16 | 4 |
| Motor-vehicle dealers .... 185 |  | 18 | 18 | 4 |
| Furniture and householdappliance stores ....... 146 | -17 | 㞰 | * | 7 |
| Furniture stores ......... 85 |  | -8 | -1 | 8 |
| Lumber, building material, and hardware stores.... 208 | -12 | -6. | - 3 | 7 |
| Farm-implement dealers . 19 |  | 9 | $-7$ | 00 |
| Hardware stores ........ 51 |  | $-11$ | 2 | 7 |
| Lumber and buildingmaterial dealers ....... 138 |  | $-6$ | -4 | 8 |
| NONDURABLE GOODS |  |  |  |  |
| Apparel stores . .......... 279 | -26 | -11 | 2 | 3 |
| Family clothing stores.... 86 |  | $-6$ | $-2$ | 1 |
| Men's and boys' elothing stores .................... . 56 |  | -11 | 5 | 7 |
| Shoe stores ............. . 54 |  | -10 | $-4$ | $-7$ |
| Women's ready-to-wear stores . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 106 |  | -14 | 3 | $\bigcirc$ |
| Other apparel stores..... 27 |  | -11 | 17 | 10 |
| Drugstores ............... 158 | $-1$ | ** | 4 | 3 |
| Eating and drinking placest . ................... 106 | -9 | -13 | 3 | 3 |
| Restaurants ............ 90 |  | -13 | 4 | 3 |
| Food storest $\ldots . . . . . . . . .217$Groceries (without meats) 50Groceries (with meats) ... 159 | -8 | -6 | 6 | 3 |
|  |  | -6 | \% | 5 |
|  |  | -6 | 7 | 2 |
| Gasoline and service stations .................. 1,018 | -5 | 00 | 24 | 13 |
| General-merchandise stores . 203 | -23 | -12 | 1 | 5 |
| Full-line stores ......... 117 |  | -13 | $-1$ | 6 |
| Dry-goods stores . . . . . . . 59 |  | -5 | ** | 5 |
| Department stores ....... 27 |  | -14 | 3 | 5 |
| Other retail storest........ 268 | $-3$ | 4 | 8 | 5 |
| Florists . . . . . . . . . . . . . 46 |  | -1 | 6 | 6 |
| Nurseries ............. 14 |  | -18 | 29 | 11 |
| Jewelry stores .......... 36 |  | -1 | 5 | 6 |
| Liquor stores ........... 55 |  | 2 | 12 | 7 |
| Office-, store-, and school-supply dealers .. 36 |  | 7 | 12 | 11 |

[^6]Seasonally adjusted data for nondurable goods during September 1969 registered gains from August in all categories except eating and drinking places ( -5 percent). Increases ranged from 1 percent in drugstores to 20 percent in apparel stores.

Year-to-date comparisons show an increase of 5 percent in Texas total retail sales for the first nine months of 1969 over the same period in 1968. Both durable- and nondurable-goods sales show the same rate of increase (5 percent) for the nine-month period. These increases are based on dollar volume rather than number of items sold. When these dollar values are adjusted for price changes the increases will be lower.

The September Consumer Price Index indicates that prices are continuing to rise. The August-to-September increase of 0.5 percent is at the same rate as that realized for the past two months. The fast-rising food prices, which increased 0.6 percent in the August-to-September comparison, are the average housewife's great concern. In past years the food prices in Dallas, Texas, and Houston, Texas, remained below the average for the United States. Recently these prices have risen at a higher rate in Texas, the prices on some items being higher than the U.S. average. The accompanying table indicates the rate of price increment in the food group for Dallas, Houston, and the United States, with indication of increases for September 1969 over September 1968. Among foods served at home "meats, poultry, and fish" show the largest increase; "foods away from home" category was second in growth.

## SEPTEMBER RETAIL FOOD-PRICE INDEX

Trends in Dallas, Texas, Houston, Texas, and the United States

| Group | Percent change |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | September 1969 from September 1968 |  |  |
|  | Dallas, Tex. | Houston, Tex. U.S. average |  |
| Food | . 6.4 | 7.0 | 5.9 |
| Food at home. . . . . . . . . . . | .... 5.7 | 6.1 | 5.8 |
| Cereals and bakery product | cts. 0.3 | -0.6 | 2.7 |
| Meats, poultry, and fish. | . . . 11.9 | 11.5 | 11.7 |
| Dairy products ......... | .... 1.6 | 7.1 | 8.2 |
| Fruits and vegetables..... | .... 5.0 | 5.9 | 3.2 |
| Other foods at home..... | .... 3.2 | 1.6 | 2.9 |
| Food away from home..... | . . . 8.7 | 10.1 | 6.3 |

Source: Bureau of Labor Statistics, U.S. Department of Labor.

PRELIMINARY ESTIMATES OF TOTAL RETAIL SALES (Unadjusted)

| Type of store | Sep 1969p* (millions of dollars) | Jan-Sep 1969 (millions of dollars) | Percent change |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Sep <br> 1969 <br> from <br> Aug 1969 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sep } \\ & 1969 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Sep } \\ & 1968 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Jan-Sep } \\ 1969 \\ \text { from } \\ \text { Jan-Sep } \\ 1968 \end{gathered}$ |
| Total | 1,570 | 13,903 | -2 | 8 | 5 |
| Durable good | \#. . 557 | 5,013 | 5 | 10 | 5 |
| Nondurable g | ds. 1,013 | 8,890 | -6 | 7 | 5 |

[^7]CREDIT RATIOS IN DEPARTMENT AND APPAREL STORES

| Classification (annual sales volume 1968) | Credit ratios* |  | $\underline{\text { Collection ratios } \dagger}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Sep } \\ 1969 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sep } \\ & 1968 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sep } \\ & 1969 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \operatorname{Sep}_{1968} \end{gathered}$ |
| ALL STORES .......... 29 | 60.1 | 62.9 | 33.1 | 32.6 |
| BY TYPE OF STORE |  |  |  |  |
| Department stores ........11 | 65.3 | 65.4 | 34.7 | 34.6 |
| Dry-goods and apparel stores | 65.1 | 63.4 | 35.2 | 86.1 |
| Women's specialty shops. 7 | 49.1 | 58.2 | 34.6 | 30.9 |
| Men's elothing stores..... 6 | 66.5 | 67.5 | 40.5 | 39.0 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { BY VOLUME OF } \\ & \text { NET SALES } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Over \$1,500,000 ......... 11 | 60.0 | 63.4 | 32.8 | 32.4 |
| \$500,000 to $\$ 1,500,000 \ldots . .7$ | 60.8 | 57.3 | 36.1 | 35.2 |
| \$250,000 to $\$ 500,000 \ldots \ldots .4$ | 66.0 | 68.2 | 40.7 | 40.0 |
| Less than $\$ 250,000 \ldots \ldots .7$ | 59.1 | 58.0 | 31.1 | 31.3 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Credit sales divided by net sales.
$\dagger$ Collections during the month divided by accounts unpaid on first of the month.
Recent observations indicate that the average housewife is taking advantage of food specials, going from store to store in order to compare prices and quality, and searching out the less expensive restaurants when the family eats out.

The retail-sales picture for the fourth quarter of 1969 is not easy to paint. Consumer attitudes suggest a slowing down of both durable- and nondurable-goods sales. The accelerated rise in consumer prices tends to reduce the physical volume of retail goods demanded by consumers. Inventories are beginning to build up, a situation which may lead to a cutback in production, which in turn increases the unemployment rate. The uncertainties about the general economy may give the average consumer-and retailer-some apprehension about the coming holiday season.


## TRENDS IN TEXAS FARM COMMODITIES

Although 1968 is the first year of operation of the annual county statistical program for Texas, a few comments on trends of farm commodities at the state level are of interest.

Compared with four years earlier, 1968 prices of cattle, hogs, milk, lambs, and pecans were higher, prices of cotton, sorghum, wheat, and mohair were lower, and average prices of other agricultural products were at about the same level.
Compared with four years earlier, 1968 production was higher for sorghum, soybeans, rice, peanuts, sugarbeets, wheat, hay, pecans, turkeys, and broilers, and lower for cotton.

Compared with four years earlier, 1968 agricultural income was higher for cattle, dairy, turkeys, sorghum, rice, peanuts, citrus, pecans, and government payments, but lower for cotton, wool, and mohair.
Source: Crop and Livestock Reporting Service, Texas Department of Agriculture.

# CONSTRUCTION IN TEXAS SEPTEMBER 1969 

Robert B. Williamson

Construction in Texas, while continuing to record good year-to-year gains, is showing signs of weakness. The value of building permits issued in Texas during the first three quarters of 1969 was 14 percent greater than in the same period of the record-setting year of 1968. The 1969 trend on a monthly basis has been generally downward, however, mainly because of a downturn in homebuilding. If this trend continues Texas construction for all of 1969 will show little, if any, increase over the 1968 total.

Residential building permits issued in Texas during the first nine months of 1969 registered a slight 2 -percent increase in total value over the same period of 1968. Multi-ple-family dwellings accounted for all of the growth in value and number of dwellings recorded by Texas residential permits during this period. Permits for singlefamily dwellings showed declines. The Dallas Standard Metropolitan Statistical Area, while leading the state in both the level and the absolute increase in total building authorizations during the first nine months of 1969, also led in the level of residential building authorizations. The Houston SMSA, however, led with respect to increases in the total number of dwelling units authorized and with respect to the level and gain in apartment units authorized. The Austin SMSA showed the next-largest increase in number of apartment units authorized. The weakening of residential building as the year progressed brought the monthly value of residential permits issued in the state down to a seasonally adjusted level in September that was 27 percent below the level of September 1968.

Nonresidential building authorizations in the state during the January-September period recorded a value nearly one third above that of a year earlier. The month-to-month trend for the nonresidential category has held up very well, and the September rate of authorizations was slightly above both the highest rate reached in 1968 , at the end of the year, and the average for the first nine months of 1969. Private investment in commercial and industrial buildings has provided the main impetus to nonresidential building activity in Texas during 1969. The specific categories registering the largest dollar increases this year are stores and mercantile buildings, office-bank buildings, and industrial buildings. Educational buildings are another category which has shown sizable expansion. The Dallas Standard Metropolitan Statistical Area has led the state with respect to both the total and the absolute increase in the value of nonresidential building authorizations thus far during 1969.

The weakening of residential building activity in Texas and throughout the nation during 1969 has been related to a severe tightening of credit supplies and a large increase in housing costs. Interest rates on mortgage credit had risen at a fairly steady pace without stopping the growth of homebuilding from mid-1967 to the end of 1968. Supplies of mortgage credit tightened sharply, however, beginning in 1969, and homebuilding turned
down as the rise in interest rates accelerated. By October the credit squeeze in the mortgage market was reported to be worse than that experienced during 1966. Savings and loan associations and other thrift institutions which typically provide large amounts of mortgage credit suffered a sharp loss of deposits at the end of the third quarter. Forward commitments of mortgage funds to homebuilders have been cut drastically and, according to a recent national survey, builders have made a correspondingly drastic cutback in their plans to construct new homes. The flow of mortgage credit was maintained during September only with the help of large infusions of funds from the Federal National Mortgage Association and the Federal Home Loan Banks. The present credit squeeze could very well be at its worst during the fourth quarter. If recent evidence of an easing of general inflationary pressures should continue to accumu-

ESTIMATED VALUES OF BUILDING AUTHORIZED IN TEXAS\#

| Classification | $\underset{1969}{\mathrm{Sep}^{2}}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Jan-Sed } \\ 1969 \end{gathered}$ | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sep } 1969 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Aug } 1969 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Jan-Sep } \\ 1969 \\ \text { from } \\ \text { Jan-Sep } \\ 1968 \end{gathered}$ |
|  | (thousands of dollars) |  |  |  |
| ALL PERMITS ........ | .187,723 | 1,784,389 | 12 | 14 |
| New construction ....... | .165,580 | 1,593,926 | 14 | 14 |
| Residential (housekeeping) | $68,445$ | 817.549 | - 2 | 2 |
| One-family dwellings | . 37,640 | 434,451 | - 6 | - |
| Multiple-family dwellings | . 30,805 | 383,098 | 4 | 16 |
| Nonresidential |  |  |  |  |
| Hotels, motels, and tourist courts | 2,345 | 20,997 | 233 | $-35$ |
| Amusement buildings. | 3. 390 | 13,397 | $-72$ | 16 |
| Churches .......... | 2,609 | 26,833 | 147 | $-3$ |
| Industrial buildings | 23,056 | 111,311 | 135 | 44 |
| Garages (commercial and private) | $454$ | 11,289 | - 22 | - 21 |
| Service stations ... | . 1,338 | 13,557 | 28 | 10 |
| Hospitals and institutions | Hospitals and |  |  | 32 |
| Office-bank buildings. | 3. 15,872 | 105,153 | - 6 | 56 |
| Works and utilities. . | . 2,517 | 27,247 | 81 | $-25$ |
| Educational buildings. | . 6,923 | 160,870 | $-34$ | 24 |
| Stores and mercantile |  |  |  |  |
| Other buildings and structures | $1,891$ | 44,547 | 11 | 115 |
| Additions, alterations, and repairs | 22,143 | 190,463 | - 2 | 9 |
| METROPOLITAN $\dagger$ vs. NONMETROPOLITAN $\dagger$ |  |  |  |  |
| Total metropolitan ...... | .163,073 | 1,594,662 | 10 | 15 |
| Central cities ......... | .115,000 | 1,120,726 | 9 | 9 |
| Outside central cities.. | . 48,073 | 473,986 | 11 | 34 |
| Total nonmetropolitan .. | . 24,650 | 189,727 | 27 | 2 |
| $\begin{gathered} 10,000 \text { to } 50,000 \\ \text { population } \end{gathered}$ | $16,468$ | 111,318 | 42 | - 7 |
| Less than 10,000 population | $8,182$ | 78,409 | 5 | 16 |

\# Only buildings for which permits were issue within the incorporated area of a city are included.
$\dagger$ Standard metropolitan statistical area as defined in 1960 Census and revised in 1968.
Source: Bureau of Business Research in cooperation with the Bureau of the Census, U.S. Department of Commerce.
late and fiscal policy restraints such as the temporary surtax should be maintained a while longer, monetary policy undoubtedly would become less restrictive and the supply of new mortgage money would begin to grow again.

Building costs rose throughout the nation during 1968 and 1969 at the fastest rates recorded in approximately twenty years. The most recent reports show some slowing of the cost rise, but the annual rates of increase in building costs during the twelve months ended in September remained over 7 percent for the nation as a whole and even higher within the Southwest. Whereas increases in prices for lumber and other building materials led the cost rise during 1968, construction wages provided the major upward push on costs during 1969. Future wage increases, already set under existing labor contracts, indicate a continuation of the increases in building costs at close to the present rates during the next two years.

The response of homebuilders and homebuyers in Texas and throughout the nation to the credit and cost squeezes has been to shift to types of new housing which are less costly and easier to finance. Apartments, prefabricated houses, and mobile homes have all increased in importance during the past two years. Apartment units have accounted for nearly two thirds of all residential units covered by building permits in Texas during 1968 and the first three quarters of 1969. This ratio is well above that for the nation as a whole. Prefabricated homes meanwhile have accounted for one sixth or more of all new housing starts, according to available national data. Mobile-homes shipments, which are not included in the regular series on building permits and new housing starts, and which have risen steeply, in 1968 and early 1969 accounted for one sixth to one fifth of all new residential units in the nation. Their proportion of total new housing may be even higher in Texas. Basic demographic and economic trends are expected to maintain the importance of apartments, prefabricated units, and mobile homes at relatively high levels for the next few years at least.

The near-term outlook for new residential construction is viewed as bleak, with spokesmen for the housing industry forecasting that the annual rate of new housing starts in the nation will fall further by the end of the

year. Builders are anticipating an upturn in homebuilding next year, however, although the pace of the recovery may be slower than in 1967 because of the Nixon Administration's avowed determination not to relax its antiinflation credit policies too rapidly.

Nonresidential building also is expected to show weakness over the next few months and then to expand during 1970. The expansion next year is likely to be at a slower rate, however, than in 1969. Contributing to the outlook for a weakening of demands and reduced growth for nonresidential building are reports of planned cutbacks in business capital spending and federal government construction. Businesses throughout the nation plan a reduction in their seasonally adjusted rate of spending for new plants and equipment during the fourth quarter. New federal government construction contracts, meanwhile, are to be cut by 75 percent as an anti-inflation policy measure. The period of government construction cutbacks may not continue until next June 30, as originally planned, if inflationary pressures should ease significantly before then. Furthermore, advance indications of business building plans for 1970 point to a resumption of growth in private nonresidential building.

Consideration of the prospects for both residential and nonresidential building suggests that building trends in Texas and the nation will probably sag over the next few months and then show renewed growth in the early part of 1970.

HOME-MORTGAGE INTEREST RATES AND RESIDENTIAL BUILDING AUTHORIZED IN TEXAS 1966-1969

| Month | $\begin{gathered} \text { Avg. } \\ \text { int. } \\ \text { rate } \\ \text { (percent) } \end{gathered}$ | Res. bldg. auth. ${ }^{2}$ | Month | $\begin{gathered} \text { Avg. } \\ \text { int. } \\ \text { rate } \\ \text { (percent) } \end{gathered}$ | Res. bldg. auth. ${ }^{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1966 |  |  | 1968 |  |  |
| January | . 5.95 | 119 | January | . 6.95 | 148 |
| February | . . 6.00 | 117 | February | . . 7.00 | 141 |
| March | .... 6.00 | 111 | March | . . 7.00 | 148 |
| April ... | . . . 6.15 | 109 | April .... | . . 6.95 | 138 |
| May ... | . . 6.30 | 102 | May .... | . . . 7.15 | 149 |
| June ... | ... 6.35 | 101 | June ... | . . 7.85 | 159 |
| July .... | ...6.55 | 92 | July ... | ...7.40 | 157 |
| August | ...6.65 | 81 | August | . 7.45 | 161 |
| September | . 6.6 .80 | 74 | September | . 7.40 | 164 |
| October . | . . 6.85 | 70 | October | . . 7.35 | 184 |
| November | ....6.90 | 72 | November | .... 7.35 | 197 |
| December | . . . 6.90 | 76 | December | . 7.7 .45 | 194 |
| 1967 |  |  | 1969 |  |  |
| January | . . . 6.90 | 85 | January | ...7.55 | 182 |
| February | .... 6.75 | 100 | February | . . 7.75 | 160 |
| March . | . . . . 6.65 | 103 | March . | . . 7.75 | 166 |
| April ... | . . . 6.60 | 114 | April .. | ...7.85 | 162 |
| May .... | . . . . 6.50 | 123 | May ... | . . 7.90 | 163 |
| June : .. | .... 6.60 | 128 | June . . | .. 7.85 | 150 |
| July | . . 6.65 | 133 | July | . 88.30 | 189 |
| August | . . . 6.65 | 125 | August | . . . 8.40 | 132 |
| September | ....6.65 | 135 | September | .... 8.50 |  |
| October | . . . . 6.65 | 142 |  |  |  |
| November | . . . 6.70 | 152 |  |  |  |
| December | . . . 6.90 | 146 |  |  |  |

${ }^{1}$ Average for conventional first-mortgage, new-house loans in the Southwest, on the first of the month, as reported by the Federal Housing Administration.
3 Three-month moving average, centered on the middle month, of the seasonally adjusted index of the value of residential authorizations.


Statistical data compiled by: Mildred Anderson, Constance Cooledge, and Glenda Riley, statistical assistants and Doris Dismuke and Mary Gorham, statistical technicians.

Indicators of business conditions in Texas cities published in this table include statistics on banking, building permits, employment, postal receipts, and retail trade. An individual city is listed when a minimum of three indicators are available.

The cities have been grouped according to standard metropolitan statistical areas. In Texas all twenty-three SMSA's are defined by county lines; the counties included are listed under each SMSA. The populations shown for the SMSA's are estimates for April 1, 1968, prepared by the Population Research Center, Department of Sociology, The University of Texas at Austin. The population shown after the city name is the 1960 Census figure, unless otherwise indicated. Cities in SMSA's are listed alphabetically under their appropriate SMSA's; all other cities are listed alphabetically as main entries.

Retail-sales data are reported here only when a minimum total of fifteen stores report; separate categories of retail stores are listed only when a minimum of five stores report in those categories. The first column presents current data for the various categories. Percentages shown for retail sales are average statewide percent changes from the preceding month. This is the normal seasonal change in sales by that kind of business-except in the case of Dallas, Fort Worth, Houston, and San Antonio, where the dagger ( $\dagger$ ) is replaced by another symbol ( $\dagger \dagger$ ) because of the normal seasonal changes given are for each of these cities individually. The second column shows the percent change from the preceding month in data reported for the current month; the third column shows the percent change in data from the same month a year ago. A large variation between the normal seasonal change and the reported change indicates an abnormal sales month.

Symbols used in this table include:
(a) Population Research Center data, April 1, 1968.
(b) Separate employment data for the Midland and Odessa SMSA's are not available, since employment figures for Midland and Ector Counties, composing one labormarket area, are recorded in combined form.
(c) Separate employment data for Gladewater, Kilgore, and Longview are not available, since employment figures for Gregg County, composing one labor-market area, are recorded in total.
$(\dagger)$ Average statewide percent change from preceding month.
$(\dagger \dagger)$ Average individual-city percent change from preceding month.
(r) Estimates officially recognized by Texas Highway Department.
(rr) Estimate for Pleasanton: combination of 1960 Census figures for Pleasanton and North Pleasanton.
(*) Cash received during the four-week postal accounting period ended September 19, 1969.
( $\ddagger$ ) Money on deposit in individual demand deposit accounts on the last day of the month.
(§) Since Population Center data for Texarkana include no inhabitants of Arkansas, the data given here are those of the Bureau of the Census, which include the population of both Bowie County, Texas, and Miller County, Arkansas.
(**) Change is less than one half of 1 percent.
(||) Annual rate basis, seasonally adjusted.
(\#) Monthly averages.
(X) Sherman-Denison SMSA: a new standard metropolitan statistical area, for which not all categories of data are now available.

ALPHABETICAL LISTING OF CITIES INCLUDED IN NOVEMBER 1969 ISSUE OF TEXAS BUSINESS REVIEW

| Abilene (Abilene SMSA) | Borger |
| :---: | :---: |
| Alamo (McAllen-Pharr-Edinburg SMSA) | Brady |
| Albany | Brenham |
| Alice | Brownfield |
| Alpine | Brownsville (Brownsville-Harlingen-San Benito |
| Amarillo (Amarillo SMSA) | SMSA) |
| Andrews (Heuston SMSA) | Brownwood |
| Angleton (Houston SMSA) | Bryan |
| Arlington (Fort Worth SMSA) | Burkburnett (Wichita Falls SMSA) |
| Athens | Caldwell |
| Austin (Austin SMSA) | Cameron |
| Bartlett | Canyon (Amarillo SMSA) |
| Bay City | Carrollton (Dallas SMSA) |
| Baytown (Houston SMSA) | Carthage |
| Beaumont (Beaumont-Port Arthur-Orange SMSA) | Castroville |
| Beeville (H) | Cisco |
| Bellaire (Houston SMSA) | Cleburne (Fort Worth SMSA) |
| Bellville | Clute (Houston SMSA) |
| Belton | College Station |
| Big Spring | Colorado City |
| Bishop (Corpus Christi SMSA) | Conroe (Houston SMSA) |
| Bonham | Copperas Cove |

Borger
Brady
Brenham
Brownsville (Brownsville-Harlingen-San Benito SMSA)
Brownwood
Burkburnett (Wichita Falls SMSA)
Caldwell
Cameron
Canyon (Amarillo SMSA)
Carrollton (Dallas SMSA)
Carthage
Casto
Cleburne (Fort Worth SMSA)
Chlle (Houston SMSA)
Colorado City
Conroe (Houston SMSA)
Copperas Cove

Corpus Christi (Corpus Christi SMSA)
Corsicana
Crane
Crystal City
Dallas (Dallas SMSA)
Dayton (Houston SMSA)
Dayton
Decatur
Decatur
Deer Park (Houston SMSA)
Del Rio
Denison (Sherman-Denison SMSA)
Denton (Dallas SMSA)
Dickinson (Galveston-Texas City SMSA)
Dimmitt
Eagle Lake
Eagle Pass
Edinburg (MeAllen-Pharr-Edinburg SMSA)
Edna
El Campo
El Paso (El Paso SMSA)
Elsa (McAllen-Pharr-Edinburg SMSA)
Ennis (Dallas SMSA)
Euless (Fort Worth SMSA)

# ALPHABETICAL LISTING OF CITIES INCLUDED IN NOVEMBER 1969 ISSUE OF TEXAS BUSINESS REVIEW (continued) 

Farmers Branch (Dallas SMSA)
Fort Worth (Fort Worth SMSA)
Fredericksburg
Freeport (Houston SMSA)
Friona
Galveston (Galveston-Texas City SMSA)
Garland (Dallas SMSA)
Gatesville
Georgetown
Giddings
Gladewater
Goldthwaite
Graham
Granberry
Grand Prairie (Dallas SMSA)
Grapevine (Fort Worth SMSA)
Greenville
Groves (Beaumont-Port Arthur-Orange SMSA)
Hallettsville
Hallsville
Harlingen (Brownsville-Harlingen-San Benito SMSA)
Haskell
Henderson
Hereford
Hondo
Houston (Houston SMSA)
Humble (Houston SMSA)
Huntsville
Iowa Park (Wichita Falls SMSA)
Irving (Dallas SMSA)
Jacksonville
Jasper
Junction
Justin (Dallas SMSA)
Karnes City
Katy (Houston SMSA)
Kilgore
Killeen
Kingsland
Kingsville
Kirbyville
La Feria (Brownsville-Harlingen-San Benito SMSA)
Lamesa
Lampasas
Lancaster (Dallas SMSA)
La Porte (Houston SMSA)
Laredo (Laredo SMSA)
Levelland
Liberty (Houston SMSA)

Llano
Lockhart
Longview
Los Fresnos (Brownsville-Harlingen-San Benito SMSA)
Lubbock (Lubbock SMSA)
Lufkin
McAllen (McAllen-Pharr-Edinburg SMSA)
McCamey
McGregor (Waco SMSA)
McKinney (Dallas SMSA)
Marble Falls
Marshall
Mercedes (McAllen-Pharr-Edinburg SMSA)
Mesquite (Dallas SMSA)
Mexia
Midland (Midland SMSA)
Midlothian (Dallas SMSA)
Mineral Wells
Mission (McAllen-Pharr-Edinburg SMSA)
Monahans
Mount Pleasant
Muenster
Muleshoe
Nacogdoches
Nederland (Beaumont-Port Arthur-Orange SMSA)
New Braunfels
North Richland Hills (Fort Worth SMSA)
Odessa (Odessa SMSA)
Olney
Orange (Beaumont-Port Arthur-Orange SMSA)
Palestine
Pampa
Paris
Pasadena (Houston SMSA)
Pearland (Houston SMSA)
Pecos
Pharr (McAllen-Pharr-Edinburg SMSA)
Pilot Point (Dallas SMSA)
Plainview
Pleasanton
Port Aransas
Port Arthur (Beaumont-Port Arthur-Orange SMSA)
Port Isabel (Brownsville-Harlingen-San Benito SMSA)
Port Neches (Beaumont-Port Arthur-Orange SMSA)

Quanah
Raymondville
Refugio
Richardson (Dallas SMSA)
Richmond (Houston SMSA)
Robstown (Corpus Christi SMSA
Rockdale
Rosenberg (Houston SMSA)
San Angelo (San Angelo SMSA)
San Antonio (San Antonio SMSA)
San Benito (Brownsville-Harlingen-San Benito SMSA)
San Juan (McAllen-Pharr-Edinburg SMSA)
San Marcos
San Saba
Schertz (San Antonio SMSA)
Seagoville (Dallas SMSA)
Seagraves
Seguin (San Antonio SMSA)
Seminole
Sherman (Sherman-Denison SMSA)
Silsbee
Sinton (Corpus Christi SMSA)
Slaton (Lubbock SMSA)
Smithville
Snyder
Sonora
South Houston (Houston SMSA)
Stephenville
Stratford
Sulphur Springs
Sweetwater
Tahoka
Taylor
Temple
Terrell (Dallas SMSA)
Texarkana (Texarkana SMSA)
Texas City (Galveston-Texas City SMSA)
Tomball (Houston SMSA)
Tyler (Tyler SMSA)
Uvalde
Vernon
Victoria
Waco (Waco SMSA)
Waxahachie (Dallas SMSA)
Weatherford
Weslaco (McAllen-Pharr-Edinburg SMSA)
White Settlement (Fort Worth SMSA)
Wichita Falls (Wichita Falls SMSA)

## ALPHABETICAL LISTING OF SMSA'S AND CITIES <br> WITHIN EACH SMSA, WITH DATA



## ABILENE SMSA

(Jones and Taylor; pop. 120,100 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ )

| Retail sales |  | 3 | 22 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Automotive stores |  | 11 | 44 |
| Building permits less federal contracts | \$ 457,440 | 46 | -69 |
| Bank debits (thousands) \|| | \$ 2,166,084 | 9 | 14 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | 100,247 | 1 | 1 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover.... | 21.7 | 7 | 11 |
| Nonfarm employment (area). | 40,950 | 1 | 3 |
| Manufacturing employment (area) | 5,310 | 2 | 13 |
| Percent unemployed (area) | 3.1 | 11 | 11 |

## ABILENE (pop. $110,054^{\text { }}$ )

| Retail sales |  | $-15 \dagger$ | 3 | 22 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Automotive stores |  | $-27 \dagger$ | 11 | 44 |
| Postal receipts ${ }^{\text {* }}$ | \$ | 137.702 | - 4 | $-14$ |
| Building permits less federal contracts | \$ | 452,840 | 60 | - 61 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 146,486 | 11 | 15 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | \$ | 75,776 | ** | - 1 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover |  | 23.2 | 9 | 18 |

For an explanation of symbols see p. 330 .

| Local Business Conditions |  | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| City and item | Sep <br> Sep | Sep 1969 <br> from <br> Aug 1969 | Sep 1969 <br> from <br> Sep 1968 |

## AUSTIN SMSA

(Travis; pop. 263,800 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ )

| Retail sales |  |  | 5 | 22 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Apparel stores | $\ldots$ | - | 1 | - 3 |
| Automotive stores |  | - | 7 | 3 |
| Furniture and householdappliance stores | ... |  | 21 | 6 |
| Building permits less federal contracts | \$10,742,353 |  | 68 | 3 |
| Bank debits (thousands) \\|. | \$ 8,667,312 |  | 3 | 12 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | \& 268,062 | - | 2 | 4 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover.... | 31.9 |  | 5 | 5 |
| Nonfarm employment (area) | 120,600 |  | 1 | 6 |
| Manufacturing employment (area) | 10,850 | - | 1 | 6 |
| Percent unemployed (area).. | 1.8 |  | 6 | \% |


| AUSTIN (pop. 250,000 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ ) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Retail sales | $-15 \dagger$ | 6 | 23 |
| Apparel stores | - 26 ¢ |  |  |
| Eating and drinking places...... |  | 4 | 6 |
| Furniture and householdappliance stores ..... | $-17{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 21 | 6 |
| Postal receipts ${ }^{\text {* }}$ | 847,093 | $-6$ | 7 |
| Building permits less federal contracts | \$10,742,353 | 68 |  |
| Bank debits (thousands) | 664,030 | 12 | 2 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | 262,969 | - | 4 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 30.1 | - 11 | ${ }_{5}$ |

## BEAUMONT-PORT ARTHUR-ORANGE SMSA (Jefferson and Orange; pop. 320,500 ${ }^{\text {2 }}$ )

| Retail sales | $\ldots$ | 3 | 17 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Apparel stores | ... | $-18$ | 4 |
| Automotive stores |  | 10 | 30 |
| Food stores |  | $-12$ | 5 |
| Furniture and householdappliance stores |  | 8 | - 1 |
| Lumber, building-material, and hardware dealers. | $\cdots$ | - 2 | * |
| Euilding permits less federal contracts | \$ 2,736,166 | 62 | 90 |
| Bank debits (thousands) \\| | \$ 6,431,736 | 11 | 8 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | \$ 233,449 | - 4 | $-2$ |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover.... | 27.0 | 13 | 8 |
| Nonfarm employment (area)...... | 118,700 | - 1 | 2 |
| Manufacturing employment (area) | 36,900 | 2 | 5 |
| Percent unemployed (area).. | 3.1 | - | - 14 |

BEAUMONT (pop. 127,500 ${ }^{\text {² }}$ )

| Retail sales | $\rightarrow 15 \dagger$ | 4 | 20 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Apparel stores | $-26 \dagger$ | $-19$ | 6 |
| Automotive stores | $-27 \dagger$ | 10 | 33 |
| Lumber, building-material, and hardware dealers. | $-12 \dagger$ | - 5 | 3 |
| Postal receipts* | 189,083 | 3 | 8 |
| Building permits less federal contracts | 2,233,068 | 126 | 151 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | 325,691 | 8 | 3 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | \$ 127,282 | $-3$ | 8 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover.... | 30.3 | ) | 8 |


| Groves (pop. 17,304) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Postal receipts* | \$ | 13,063 | - 1 | 4 |
| Building permits less federal contracts | \$ | 82,280 | - 41 |  |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 13,399 | - | 12 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | \% | 6,597 | ** | 9 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. |  | 24.4 | - 3 | 4 |
| Nederland (pop. 15,274 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ ) |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* | \$ | 12,981 | $-40$ | $-5$ |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 9,530 | 1 | 21 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | \$ | 6,124 | - 2 | 3 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover.... |  | 18.5 | 3 | 18 |

[^8]| Local Business Conditions |  | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| City and item | $\begin{gathered} \text { Sep } \\ 1969 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Sep } 1969 \\ \text { from } \\ \text { Aug } 1969 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sep } 1969 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Sep } 1968 \end{aligned}$ |
| ORANGE (pop. 25,605) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . \$ | \$ 36,562 | 4 | - 1 |
| 'Building permits less federal contracts \$ | \$ 223,581 | - 3 | 412 |
| Bank debits (thousands)........... § | \& 47,126 | 18 | 31 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ \$ | \$ 28,086 | - | 6 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 20.0 | 16 | 22 |
| Nonfarm placements | 172 | 74 | - 12 |
| PORT ARTHUR (pop. 69,271 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ ) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* ................... \$ | \$ 67,488 | - 5 | 6 |
| Building permits less federal contracts \$ | \$ 114,585 | $\cdots 45$ | - 63 |
| Bank debits (thousands)........... \$ | \$ 89,921 | - 1 | 18 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. \$ | \% 52,506 | ** | 10 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 20.5 | - 1 | 7 |


| Port Neches (pop. 12,292 ${ }^{\text {² }}$ ) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Postal receipts* .................. \$ | 12,838 | - 5 | 8 |
| Building permits less federal contracts \$ | 72,322 | 129 | $-33$ |
| Bank debits (thousands) .............s | 16,543 | 5 | 12 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ \$ | 6,560 | - 3 | $-1$ |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover.... | 29.9 | 8 | 10 |


| BROWNSVILLE-HARLINGEN-SAN BENITO SMSA <br> (Cameron; pop. 134,900 ${ }^{\text {2 }}$ ) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Retail sales |  | 2 | 12 |
| Apparel stores |  | $-25$ |  |
| Automotive stores |  | 6 | 10 |
| Lumber, building-material, and hardware dealers |  | 17 | 23 |
| Building permits less federal contracts | \$ 3,201,450 | 314 | 423 |
| Bank debits (thousands) \|| | S 1,683,480 | 49 | So |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | 70,337 | 7 |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover.... | 24.7 | 47 | 2 |
| Nonfarm employment (area) | 38,400 | - | * |
| Manufacturing employment (area) | 5,720 | - 11 |  |
| Percent unemployed (area) | 6.3 | 15 | 5 |

## BROWNSVILLE (pop. 48,040)



HARLINGEN (pop. 41,207)

| Retail sales |  | $-15 \dagger$ | 1 | 19 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lumber, building-material, and hardware dealers. |  | $-12 \dagger$ | 29 | 40 |
| Postal receipts* | \$ | 49,387 | 1 | 2 |
| Building permits less federal contracts | S | 2,161,375 |  | 909 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | S | 73,679 | - 9 | 6 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | \$ | 30,482 | $-10$ | 2 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover.... |  | 27.4 |  | - 8 |
| Nonfarm placements |  | 369 | - 2 | $-27$ |

La Feria (pop. 3,740 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ )

| Postal receipts* | S | 3,724 | 10 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 3,315 | 14 |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | \$ | 2,190 | - 18 | - |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover |  | 16.4 | 13 | - |

## Los Fresnos (pop. 1,289)

| Postal receipts ${ }^{*} \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots . \ldots$ | 2,341 | 41 | 41 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Bank debits (thousands)............ $\$ 8$ | 3,934 | 48 | 25 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger \ldots$ | 1,765 | -26 | -17 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover.... | 22.7 | 38 | 24 |


| Local Business Conditions | Sep1969 | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| City and item |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Sep } 1969 \\ \text { from } \\ \text { fug } 1969 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sep } 1969 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Sep } 1968 \end{aligned}$ |
| Port Isabel (pop. 3,575) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts ${ }^{\text {* }}$ | 3,811 | - 3 | 5 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | 2,717 | 3 | $-35$ |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . \$$ | 1,696 | $-32$ | - 55 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover.... | 15.6 | 8 | 18 |
| SAN BENITO (pop. 16,420 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ ) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts ${ }^{\text {c }}$................. \& | 8,890 | - 13 |  |
| Building permits less federal contracts | 851,275 |  |  |
| Bank debits (thousands). | 9,192 | 7 | 5 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | 6,891 | - | 9 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover.... | 15.2 | 7 | 12 |

## CORPUS CHRISTI SMSA

(Nueces and San Patricio; pop. 279,700 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ )

| Retail sales |  | क ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Automotive stores |  | 5 | 6 |
| Drugstores |  | * 0 | $-10$ |
| Furniture and householdappliance stores |  | 5 | 8 |
| General-merchandise stores |  | - 15 | 6 |
| Building permits less federal contracts | \$ 2,789,303 | 43 | $-28$ |
| Bank debits (thousands) \|| | \$ 5,118,720 | 17 | 17 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | 204,345 | ** | 5 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover.... | 25.1 | 19 | 12 |
| Nonfarm employment (area) | 91,400 | 1 | 5 |
| Manufacturing employment (area) | 11,420 | 2 | 10 |
| Percent unemployed (area). | 3.9 | 5 | 22 |

## Bishop (pop. 4,180 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ )

Postal receipts ${ }^{\text {s }}$...................... \& $\$$, 788
Building permits less federal contracts
Bank debits (thousands) ........

| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger .8$ | $\$ 8,922$ | -11 | -9 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |

Annual rate of deposit turnover... $10.4 \quad-18 \quad-17$

CORPUS CHRISTI (pop. 204,850 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ )

| Ketail sales |  | $-15{ }^{*}$ | ** | 6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Automotive stores |  | - $27 \dagger$ | 5 | 5 |
| Postal receipts* | \$ | 288,790 | - 4 | \% |
| Building permits less federal contracts | \$ | 2,179,809 | 112 | $-36$ |
| Bank debits (thousands) | S | 358,590 | 10 | 21 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | \$ | 163,763 | 4 | 10 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. |  | 26.8 | 9 | 12 |

## Port Aransas (pop. 824)

Bank debits (thousands) ........... \& 1,1
End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$.
Annual rate of deposit turnover...

| 1,141 | -23 | -1 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 909 | -23 | -7 |
| 13.1 | -14 | -2 |

## Robstown (pop. 10,266)

| Postal receipts ${ }^{*}$ | \$ | 10,224 | 1 | 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits less federal contracts | \$ | 292,605 | 296 | 644 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | 8 | 17,078 | $-17$ | - 1 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) ) | \$ | 11,740 |  | - 4 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover |  | 16.9 | $-16$ | - 2 |

## Sinton (pop. 6,500 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ )

Postal receipts* ${ }^{*}$..................... \$
Building permits less federal contracts Bank debits (thousands)
End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger \$$
Annual rate of deposit turnover.

| 7,253 | -14 | -17 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 12,570 | -93 | -41 |
| 8,780 | -17 | 9 |
| 6,646 | -5 | -28 |
| 15.4 | -16 | 23 |

For an explanation of symbols see p. 330 .

| Local Business | Conditions |  | Perce | hange |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | ${ }_{\text {Sep }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sep } 1969 \\ & \text { from } \end{aligned}$ | Sep 1969 from |
| City and item |  | 1969 | Aug 1969 | Sep 1968 |

## DALLAS SMSA <br> (Collin, Dallas, Denton, Ellis, Kaufman and Rockwall; pop. $1,446,100^{\circ}$ )

| Retail sales ....................... . . . | 5 | 15 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Apparel stores | - 5 | 4 |
| Automotive stores | 14 | 24 |
| Drugstores | 1 | 9 |
| Eating and drinking places. | $-15$ | 2 |
| Food stores | 3 | 7 |
| Furniture and householdappliance stores | * | 8 |
| Gasoline and service stations..... | - 1 | 25 |
| Lumber, building-material, and hardware dealers. | $-11$ | $-15$ |
| Office, store, and schoolsupply dealers | 4 | 17 |
| Building permits less federal contracts \$44,382,034 | 1 | 9 |
| Bank debits (thousands) \|| . . . . . . . \$127,275,588 | 22 | 88 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger .8$ 2,154,633 | - | 4 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover.... 57.6 | 22 | 26 |
| Nonfarm employment (area) ..... . 684,500 | 1 | 5 |
| Manufacturing employment (area) 175,300 | - 1 | 7 |
| Percent unemployed (area) ......... 1.7 | - | 6 |

## Carrollton (pop. 9,832 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ )

Postal receipts* ..................... \& 82,173
Building permits less federal contracts $\$ 1,081,575$
Bank debits (thousands)............ \$ 11,181
End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . \$ \quad 6,894$
Annual rate of deposit turnover.

| 40 | 76 |
| ---: | ---: |
| -50 | -69 |
| 19 | 5 |
| 6 | -3 |
| 18 |  |

DALLAS (pop. $810,000^{\text {r }}$ )

| Retail sales |  | - $9 \dagger \dagger$ | 4 | 10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Apparel stores |  | $3 \%$ | $-1$ | - |
| Automotive stores |  | - 21才† | 20 | 30 |
| Furniture and householdappliance stores |  | -6t | 2 | 11 |
| Lumber, building-material, and hardware dealers |  | - 12†t | - 11 |  |
| Postal receipts* |  | 4,961,991 | 2 | 16 |
| Building permits less federal contracts |  | 9,049,815 | - 29 | -48 |
| Bank debits (thousands) |  | 9,336,381 | 14 | 39 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\downarrow$. |  | 1,848,904 | - 5 | 3 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. |  | 59.1 | 14 | 27 |
| Denton (pop. 26,844) |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* | \$ | 72,261 | ** | 18 |
| Building permits less federal contracts | \$ | 955,000 | - 28 | - 37 |
| Bank debits (thousands).... | \$ | 51,066 | 10 | 21 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. |  | 32,523 | 8 | - 2 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover... |  | 19.6 | 7 | 31 |
| Nonfarm placements |  | 208 | 46 | $-13$ |

## Ennis (pop. 10,250 ${ }^{\text {² }}$ )

Building permits less federal contracts Bank debits (thousands).
End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ \&

| 20,785 | -15 | 57 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 40,085 | -88 | -35 |
| 10,489 | 13 | 40 |
| 9,706 | 7 | 8 |
| 13.4 | 10 | 63 |

## Farmers Branch (pop. 13,441)

Building permits less federal contracts \$ 711,036 Bank debits (thousands) ............ \& 19,670 End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. \& 8,502 Annual rate of deposit turnover.... 29.6

| Local Business |  | Percen | hange |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sep | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sep } 1969 \\ & \text { from } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sep } 1969 \\ & \text { from } \end{aligned}$ |
| City and item | 1969 | Aug 1969 | Sep 1968 |

## Garland (pop. 66,574 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ )

Retail sales


## Grand Prairie (pop. 40,150 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ )


Building permits less federal contracts \$ $1,460,841 \quad-24 \quad-14$
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { Bank debits (thousands) ............ } \$ 1 & 30,093 & -6 & 16 \\ \text { End-of-month deposits (thousands) } \ddagger . & \$ & 17,397 & & 4\end{array}$
Annual rate of deposit turnover.... 20.7 - 6

## Irving (pop. 86,360 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ )

Postal receipts* ..................... \& 110,272 - 5 34
Building permits less federal contracts $\begin{aligned} & \text { \$ } 1,557,675\end{aligned} \quad 46 \quad-18$
Bank debits (thousands) ............. \& 67,375 - $5 \quad 14$
$\begin{array}{lrrrr}\text { End-of-month deposits (thousands) }) . & 8 & 34,146 & 4 & 12 \\ \text { Annual rate of deposit turnover.... } & 24.1 & -\quad 9 & 6\end{array}$

| Justin (pop. 622) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Postal receipts ${ }^{\text {s }}$. ................. $\$$ | 1,196 | 2 | 25 |
| Building permits less federal contracts \$ | 36,000 | 80 | 620 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ........... \$ | 1,238 | 19 | 8 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . \$$ | 1,111 | - | 4 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 13.1 | 18 | 2 |
| Lancaster (pop. 10,117 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ ) |  |  |  |
| Building permits less federal contracts \$ | 181,900 | - 3 | 5 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............ § | 8,934 | $-18$ | 17 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger .8$ | 5,871 | 8 | 23 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 19.0 | $-15$ | 3 |
| McKinney (pop. 16,237 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ ) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts ${ }^{\text {s }}$................. . ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 21,573 | 1 | 6 |
| Building permits less federal contracts \$ | 234,700 | 377 | 98 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ........... \$ | 12,615 | - 2 |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . \$$ | 15,406 | 2 | 4 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover.... | 9.9 | - |  |
| Nonfarm placements | 110 | 8 | - 30 |

## Mesquite (pop. 51,496 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ )

Postal receipts ${ }^{*} \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots .$. § 36,256 - 1 . 15
Building permits less federal contracts $\$ 9,602,304$
Bank debits (thousands)............ \$ 18,748
End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger \$ 11,224$
Annual rate of deposit turnover.

| Midlothian (pop. 1,580 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ ) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits less federal contracts \$ | 59,269 | \% | 493 |
| Bank debits (thousands)........... \$ | 1,918 | - 1 | 30 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. \$ | 2,233 | 5 | 20 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover.... | 10.6 | 8 | 9 |
| Pilot Point (pop. 1,603 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ ) |  |  |  |
| Building permits less federal contracts \$ | 600 | - 98 | - 96 |
| Bank debits (thousands) .......... \$ | 2,560 | 24 | 17 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger .8$ | 2,460 | 11 | 4 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 13.1 | 16 | 17 |

## Richardson (pop. 43,406 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ )

Postal receipts ${ }^{*}$.................... \& 92,775
Building permits less federal contracts \$ 1,489,031
Bank debits (thousands) ............ \$ 41,098
End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. $\$ 21,294$
Annual rate of deposit turnover...
For an explanation of symbols see p. 330.

| Local Business Conditions |  | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| City and item | Sep | Sep 1969 <br> from <br> 1969 | Sep 1969 <br> from <br> Aug 1969 |
| Sep 1968 |  |  |  |


| Seagoville (pop. 4,410 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ ) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Postal receipts* ${ }^{\text {\% }}$ (................ \$ | 9,761 | 6 | 6 |
| Building permits less federal contracts \$ | 4,185 | $-35$ | -63 |
| Bank debits (thousands)........... \& | 8,009 | 17 | 40 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger .8$ | 3,306 | - | 6 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover.... | 28.3 | 20 | 40 |
| Terrell (pop. 13,803) |  |  |  |
|  | 12,128 | $-23$ | $-13$ |
| Building permits less federal contracts \$ | 134,650 | - 41 | 187 |
| Bank debits (thousands)........... \$ | 15,427 | 6 | 9 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | 12,591 | 4 | 2 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 15.0 | 2 | 9 |
| Waxahachie (pop. 15,720 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ ) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* ${ }^{*}$. . . . . . . . . . . . . . \& | 18,861 | 1 | 36 |
| Building permits less federal contracts \$ | 175,118 | 3 | 44 |
| Bank debits (thousands)........... \& | 17,213 | 4 | 16 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . \$$ | 13,664 | 4 | 10 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover.... | 15.4 | 1 | 6 |
| Nonfarm placements | 94 | - 5 | $-7$ |

## EL PASO SMSA <br> (El Paso; pop. 343,800 ")

| Retail sales ....................... |  |  | $-1$ | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Apparel stores |  | ... | $-17$ | 9 |
| Automotive stores |  | $\ldots$ | 7 | 27 |
| Food stores |  |  | 2 | 8 |
| Building permits less federal contracts | \$ | 3,873,179 | $-16$ | ab |
| Bank debits (thousands)\\| | \$ | 6,998,556 | 8 | 18 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | \$ | 233,533 | 3 | 9 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover.... |  | 29.6 | 4 | 8 |
| Nonfarm employment (area). |  | 116,900 | * | 4 |
| Manufacturing employment (area) |  | 23.650 | 1 | 9 |
| Percent unemployed (area) |  | 3.6 | 5 | \% |
| EL PASO (pop. 315,000 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ ) |  |  |  |  |
| Retail sales |  | $-15 \dagger$ |  | 9 |
| Apparel stores |  | $-26 \dagger$ | $-17$ | 9 |
| Automotive stores |  | $-27 \dagger$ | 7 | 27 |
| Food stores |  | - 89 | $-2$ | 8 |
| Postal receipts* | \$ | 482,749 | 3 | 15 |
| Building permits less federal contracts | \$ | 3,868,954 | - 16 | * |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 537,185 | 6 | 18 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | \$ | 222,323 | * | 9 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover... |  | 29.1 | 3 | 8 |

FORT WORTH SMSA
(Johnson and Tarrant; pop. 629,400 ")

| Retail sales | ... | 4 | 13 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Apparel stores |  | - 20 | 14 |
| Automotive stores |  | 13 | 19 |
| Eating and drinking places. | . $\cdot$ | - 20 | 5 |
| Gasoline and service stations..... | , . | - 1 | 13 |
| Lumber, building-material, and hardware dealers. |  |  | $-10$ |
| Building permits less federal contracts | \$ 9,865,636 | - 6 | $-21$ |
| Bank debits (thousands) \||. | \$22,501,248 | 9 | 13 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | 636,106 | 3 | 7 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover.... | 35.9 | 8 | 5 |
| Nonfarm employment (area) | 287,200 | \%* | 2 |
| Manufacturing employment (area) | 92,200 | ** | - 1 |
| Percent unemployed (area). | 2.1 | 9 | ** |
| Arlington (pop. 79,713 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ ) |  |  |  |
| Retail sales | $-15 \dagger$ | *) | 6 |
| Apparel stores | - $26 \dagger$ |  | 24 |
| Postal receipts* | \$ 171,899 | $-3$ | 15 |
| Building permits less federal contracts | \$ 2,219,775 | 6 | - 29 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ 107,913 | 1 | 37 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | \$ 47,687 | 2 | 22 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover.... | 27.4 | $-2$ | 10 |


| Local Business Conditions |  | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| City and item | Sep <br> Sep 1969 | Sep 1969 <br> from <br> from <br> from 1969 | Sep 1968 |

## Cleburne (pop. 15,381)

Postal receipts ${ }^{\boldsymbol{*}}$....................... \$ Building permits less federal contracts \$ Bank debits (thousands) End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. \& Annual rate of deposit turnover. .

## Euless (pop. 10,500 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ )

Postal receipts* ${ }^{*} \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$............. $\$ 13,318$-24 8
Building permits less federal contracts \$ $1,390,294$ Bank debits (thousands)............ \$ 14,974
End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . \$ \quad 5,722$
Annual rate of deposit turnover.

| 24,166 | - | -2 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 86,550 | - | -52 |
| 20,133 | 5 | 18 |
| 17,480 | 1 | 7 |
| 13.9 | 5 | 6 |


| -24 | 8 |
| ---: | ---: |
| 216 | 139 |
| 3 | 5 |
| 5 | 6 |
| 2 | 6 |

FORT WORTH (pop. 356,268)

| Retail sales ........................ | - $7 \dagger \dagger$ | 2 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Apparel stores | - 8 \% ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $-27$ | 10 |
| Automotive stores | - 15才† | 26 | 38 |
| Eating and drinking places....... | $6 \dagger \%$ | - 11 | $-4$ |
| Lumber, building-material, and hardware dealers | - $11 \dagger \dagger$ | - 10 | - 9 |
| Postal receipts* | \$ 1,175,117 | 4 | 6 |
| Building permits less federal contracts | \$ 4,247,896 | 2 | $-31$ |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ 1,559,777 | 7 | 11 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | S 527,077 | 2 | 6 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover.... | 35.9 | 6 | 5 |


| Grapevine (pop. 4,659 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ ) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Postal receipts* | \$ | 10,285 | 11 | 9 |
| Building permits less federal contracts \$ | \$ | 508,663 |  | 862 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 6,536 | $-20$ | 14 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. |  | 5,059 | 5 | 10 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover.... |  | 15.9 | $-19$ | 3 |
| North Richland Hills (pop. 8,662) |  |  |  |  |
| Building permits less federal contracts |  | 192,650 | $-23$ | - 45 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 15,137 | 11 | 25 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | \$ | 7,922 | 6 | 19 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover.... |  | 23.6 | 9 | 12 |

White Settlement (pop. 11,513)

| Building permits less federal contracts $\$$ | 115,928 | -93 | -30 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Bank debits (thousands).......... $\$ 8$ | 11,148 | 15 | 64 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . \$$ | 3,830 | 2 | 47 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover.... | 34.5 | 15 | 15 |


| Annual rate of deposit turnover.... | 34.5 | ${ }^{35}$ | 15 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| GALYESTON-TEXAS CITY SMSA |  |  |  |

## GALVESTON-TEXAS CITY SMSA <br> (Galveston; pop. 168,600 *)

| Retail sales | ... | 9 | 7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Apparel stores | $\ldots$ | $-14$ | $-10$ |
| Automotive stores |  | 34 | 33 |
| Drugstores |  | - 4 | -7 |
| Food stores |  | - 5 | $-12$ |
| Lumber, building-material, and hardware dealers. |  | - 19 | - 24 |
| Building permits less federal contracts | 8,632,522 | 166 | 167 |
| Bank debits (thousands) \\| | 8,2,642,556 | 3 | 3 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | 8 106,656 | 2 | 加 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 25.0 | 1 | 6 |
| Nonfarm employment (area) | 59,200 | 1 | 3 |
| Manufacturing employment (area) | 11,150 | - | 3 |
| Percent unemployed (area) | 3.8 | $-22$ | 9 |
| Dickinson (pop. 4,715) |  |  |  |
| Bank debits (thousands) | § 13,061 |  | - |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | \$ 6,410 | 1 | 21 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover.... | 24.6 | $-10$ | - 19 |

For an explanation of symbols see p. 330.

| Local Business |  | Percen | change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sep | Sep 1969 | Sep 1969 from |
| City and item | 1969 | Aug 1969 | Sep 1968 |

GALVESTON (pop. 67,175)

| Retail sales |  | $-15 t$ |  | 18 |  | 11 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Apparel stores |  | $-26 \dagger$ | - | 9 |  |  |
| Food stores |  | - $8{ }^{+}$ | - | 5 |  | 13 |
| Postal receipts* | \$ | 135,496 |  | 19 |  | 45 |
| Building permits less federal contracts | \$ | 4,317,725 |  |  |  |  |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 129,848 |  | 2 |  | 1 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | \$ | 66,608 |  | 2 |  | 1 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover |  | 23.7 | - | 4 |  | ; |

## TEXAS CITY (pop. 38,276 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ )

| Postal receipts ${ }^{\text {² }}$ |  | 36,279 | - 7 | 7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits less federal contracts | \$ | 287,050 | 77 | 80 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 36,812 | 2 | 11 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | \$ | 15,001 | 1 |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover |  | 29.6 | \% | 20 |

## HOUSTON SMSA

## (Brazoria, Fort Bend, Harris, Liberty, and Montgomery ; pop. $1,836,700$ *)

| Retail sales | $\ldots$ | - 2 | 10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Apparel stores |  | $-10$ | 8 |
| Automotive stores |  | 5 | 7 |
| Eating and drinking places....... | $\ldots$ | $-11$ | 16 |
| Food stores | ... | i | 8 |
| Furniture and householdappliance stores |  | - 16 | ** |
| General-merchandise stores |  |  | 3 |
| Liquor stores |  | 8 | 10 |
| Lumber, building-material, and hardware dealers. |  | 3 | 2 |
| Building permits less federal contracts | \$46,134,231 | ** | * |
| Bank debits (thousands) \||......... | \$99,477,720 | 8 | 19 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | \$ 2,455,952 | 2 | 7 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover.... | 40.2 | 8 | 12 |
| Nonfarm employment (area) ....... | 830,400 | 1 | ; |
| Manufacturing employment (area) | 142,900 | - 1 | 2 |
| Percent unemployed (area)........ | 2.0 | 5 | 9 |


| Angleton (pop. 9,131) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Postal receipts* | \$ | 12,379 | 11 | - 32 |
| Euilding permits less federal contracts | \$ | 142,550 | 300 | 45 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 17,778 | 8 | 1 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | \$ | 12,103 | 1 | 4 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. |  | 17.5 | 7 | 4 |

## Baytown (pop. 45,263 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ )

| Postal receipts* | \$ | 46,071 | 2 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits less federal contracts | \$ | 209,656 | - 98 | - 91 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 58,440 | 7 | 8 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | \$ | 32,962 | 4 | 4 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. |  | 21.7 | 5 | 11 |

## Bellaire (pop. 19,872 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ )

Postal receipts\% 8 434,307 - 3 71
Building permits less federal contracts
Bank debits (thousands)
End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$.
434,307
153,205
46,560
46,560
Annual rate of deposit turnover.

## Clute (pop. 4,463 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ )

| Clute (pop. 4,463 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ ) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Postal receipts ${ }^{\text {\% }}$ | \$ | 5,205 | 8 | -24 |
| Building dermits less federal contracts | \$ | 74,550 | 29 | -76 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 3,640 | 3 | 1 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | \$ | 2,327 | 2 | 9 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. |  | 19.0 | 6 |  |

Building
Bank
End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . \$ 2,327$
Annual rate of deposit turnover.
19.0

| -3 | 71 |
| ---: | ---: |
| 479 | 7 |
| $-\quad 2$ | 24 |
| -3 | 11 |
| -1 | 12 |

Annual rate of deposit turnover... $22.2-12$

Local Business Conditions

| City and item | Sep <br> 1969 | Sep 1969 <br> from <br> Aug 1969 | Sep 1969 <br> from <br> Sep 1968 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


| Conroe (pop. 9,192) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Postal receipts* | \$ | 24,920 | $-23$ | $-26$ |
| Building permits less federal contracts | \$ | 85,488 | $-54$ | - 4 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 32,698 | 7 | 50 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | \$ | 18,842 | - 2 | 16 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. |  | 20.6 | 7 | 25 |
| Dayton (pop. 3,367) |  |  |  |  |
| Building permits less federal contracts | \$ | 70,125 |  | 24 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 5,995 | 15 | 2 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | \$ | 4,508 | 9 | 5 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. |  | 16.6 | 12 | 3 |
| Deer Park (pop. 4,865) |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* | \$ | 12,713 | $-10$ | - 16 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 9,864 | - 6 | 29 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | , | 4,376 | - | 13 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. |  | 26.8 | $-7$ | 9 |
| Freeport (pop. 11,619) |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* | \$ | 22,396 | $-24$ | $-14$ |
| Building permits less federal contracts | \$ | 21,000 | -96 | - 41 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 29,703 | 16 | 15 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | \$ | 13,605 | $-13$ | $-18$ |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. |  | 24.3 | 19 | 31 |

HOUSTON (pop. 938,219)

| Retail sales | - $8 \dagger \dagger$ | - 6 | 7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Apparel stores | - 6\% | - 9 | 9 |
| Automotive stores | - $13 \dagger \dagger$ | 9 | 9 |
| Eating and drinking places. | -7才† | $-11$ | 16 |
| Food stores | - $4 \dagger \dagger$ | - | 7 |
| Lumber, building-material, and hardware dealers. | - $12 \dagger \dagger$ | - 3 | 2 |
| Postal receipts* | \$ 3,771,184 | - 2 | 14 |
| Building permits less federal contracts | \$40,409,830 | 18 | 4 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ 7,282,188 | 3 | 20 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | \& 2,158,914 | 1 | 7 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover.... | 40.6 | 1 | 12 |
| Humble (pop. 1,711) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* | \$ 5,579 | $-18$ | -13 |
| Building permits less federal contracts | \$ 57,300 | . . | -68 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ 8,252 | 16 | 43 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | 5,892 | 4 | 29 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover.... | 17.1 | 12 | 9 |

## Katy (pop. 1,569)

| Building permits less federal contracts $\$$ | 46,000 | 70 | -41 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Bank debits (thousands) ........... $\$ 7$ | 5,495 | 5 | 108 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . \$$ | 3,297 | - | -11 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover.... | 19.1 | 11 | 122 |


| La Porte (pop. 7,500 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ ) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits less federal contracts | \$ | 75,800 | 35 | 78 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 4,334 | 4 | 29 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | \$ | 4,877 | $-2$ | 17 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover.... |  | 13.7 |  | $-11$ |
| Liberty (pop. 6,127) |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* | \$ | 11,614 | 21 | 6 |
| Building permits less federal contracts | \$ | 24,200 | $-72$ | 501 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 14,943 | 19 |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousards) $\ddagger$. | \$ | 11,096 | 6 | 1 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover |  | 16.6 | 16 | - 8 |
| Pasadena (pop. $83,000^{\text {r }}$ ) |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* | \$ | 90,353 | 7 | 8 |
| Building permits less federal contracts | \$ | 2,053,054 | 349 | - 24 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 100,245 | 16 | 11 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) 4. | \$ | 48,781 | 3 | 15 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover.... |  | 25.1 | 11 | $-3$ |

[^9]Local Business Conditions

| City and item | Sep | Sep 1969 <br> from | Sep 1969 <br> from <br> from 1969 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sep 1968 |  |  |  |

Pearland (pop. 1,430)

| Building permits less federal contracts \$ | 154,600 | -73 | $\ldots$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Bank debits (thousands).......... \$ | 7,431 | 17 | $\mathbf{3 4}$ |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . \$$ | 3,994 | -12 | -5 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover.... | 20.9 | 18 | 24 |


| Richmond (pop. 4,500 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ ) |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Postal receipts* $\ldots \ldots . . \ldots \ldots \ldots . \$$ | 7,047 | 21 | 77 |
| Building permits less federal contracts $\$$ | 196,095 | 76 | 62 |
| Bank debits (thousands) $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots . \$$ | 9,068 | 17 | 5 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . \$$ | 9,770 | 6 | 4 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover.... | 11.5 | 12 | 3 |

## Rosenberg (pop. 13,000 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ )

Postal receipts* .................... \& 11,937 - 17 - 29

| Building permits less federal contracts $\$$ | 68,400 | -41 | -64 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . \$$ | 12,250 | 6 | 6 |

## South Houston (pop. 7,253)

Postal receipts* .......................
$-25 \quad-7$

Building permits less federal contracts \$ 129,
Bank debits (thousands) ........... \$ $10,762 \quad$ - 78
$\begin{array}{llrll}\text { End-of-month deposits (thousands) } \ddagger .8 & 7,799 & 5 & 3 \\ \text { Annual rate of deposit turnover.... } & 17.0 & -\quad 9 & 9\end{array}$
Annual rate of deposit turnover.... $\quad$, $17.0 \quad-9 \quad 9$

Tomball (pop. 2,025 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ )

| Postal receipts* |  | 47,183 |  | 5 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits less federal contracts | \$ | 34,000 |  | 31 |  |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 12,506 | - | 3 | 10 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | 8 | 7,561 |  | 4 | -3 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. |  | 20.2 | - | 6 | 20 |


| LAREDO SMSA(Webb; pop. 79,300 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ ) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Retail sales |  | - 2 | 9 |
| 'General-merchandise stores |  | 2 | 4 |
| Building permits less federal contracts | \$ 53,900 | -62 | $-87$ |
| Bank debits (thousands) \\|. | 890,388 | 9 | 16 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) + . | \$ 39,246 | 4 | 8 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover.... | 23.1 | 9 | 8 |
| Nonfarm employment (area) ....... | 24,700 | ** | 2 |
| Manufacturing employment (area) | 1,410 | 4 | 8 |
| Percent unemployed (area) | 6.2 | - 5 | - 18 |
| LAREDO (pop. 71,512 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ ) |  |  |  |
| Retail sales | $-15 \dagger$ | 2 | 9 |
| Postal receipts* | \$ 62,927 | - | 6 |
| Building permits less federal contracts | \$ 53,900 | $-62$ | $-87$ |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ 68,491 | 4 | 16 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | \& 38,461 | 2 | 8 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 21.6 | 4 | 9 |
| Nonfarm placements | 438 | 7 | $-32$ |
| LUBBOCK SMSA (Lubbock; pop. $198,600^{\text {a }}$ ) |  |  |  |
| Retail sales |  | 5 | 15 |
| Apparel stores |  | - | 11 |
| Automotive stores | $\ldots$ | 19 | 48 |
| Furniture and householdappliance stores | - .. | 2 | 5 |
| Lumber, building-material, and hardware dealers | ... | - 2 | - 11 |
| Building permits less federal contracts | \$ 1,914,322 | 13 | 3 |
| Bank debits (thousands)\\|......... | \$ 5,129,700 | 10 | 14 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | \$ 165,835 | 4 | ** |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover.... | 31.5 | 5 | 10 |
| Nonfarm employment (area) ...... | 65,200 | 2 | 2 |
| Manufacturing employment (area) | 7,050 | 1 | 2 |
| Percent unemployed (area)......... | 3.2 | - | * 0 |


| Local Business Conditions |  | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| City and item | Sep <br> 1969 | Sep 1969 <br> from <br> Aug 1969 | Sep 1969 <br> from <br> Sep 1968 |

LUBBOCK (pop. $170,025{ }^{\text {r }}$ )

| Retail sales | $-15{ }^{+}$ | 5 | 15 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Apparel stores | - $26{ }^{\text {¢ }}$ | 1 | 11 |
| Automotive stores | - $27 \%$ | 19 | 48 |
| Furniture and householdappliance stores | - 17才 | 2 | - 5 |
| Lumber, building-material, and hardware dealers. | -12† | $-2$ | - 11 |
| Postal receipts* ${ }^{*}$ | \$ 301,098 | 7 | 8 |
| Building permits less federal contracts | \$ 1,884,322 | 11 | 5 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | 327,177 | 4 | 14 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) 7 . | \$ 148,307 | 4 | \% |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover.... | 27.0 | * | 11 |

## Slaton (pop. 6,568)

Postal receipts ${ }^{*}$...................... \$
Building permits less federal contracts
Bank debits (thousands)............ \&
End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. §
Annual rate of deposit turnover.

| 5,045 | 5 | 24 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 0 | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ |
| 6,169 | 23 | 6 |
| 4,978 | 22 | 9 |
| 16.3 | 9 | $-\quad 7$ |

## McALLEN-PHARR-EDINBURG SMSA (Hidalgo; pop. 177,100 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ )

| Retail sales |  | 14 | 10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Apparel stores |  | $-17$ | 8 |
| Automotive stores |  | 30 | 18 |
| Food stores |  | - 4 | - |
| Gasoline and service stations.... | . . | 5 | $-11$ |
| Lumber, building-material, and hardware dealers. |  | 3 | - |
| Building permits less federal contracts | \$ 721,445 | 32 | -62 |
| Bank debits (thousands) \|| | \$ 1,607,520 | 26 | - 3 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | \$ 91,797 | 3 | 7 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover.... | 17.8 | 26 | $-12$ |
| Nonfarm employment (area) | 40,900 | \% |  |
| Manufacturing employment (area) | 4,170 | 4 | - |
| Percent unemployed (area)... | 5.9 | - 6 | 16 |


| Alamo (pop. 4,121) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Postal receipts* ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | 30,311 | 148 | 34 |
| Bank debits (thousands) |  | 3,740 | 63 | 47 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. |  | 1,899 | - 14 | 8 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover.... |  | 21.9 | 61 | 16 |
| EDINBURG (pop. 18,706) |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts ${ }^{*}$ |  | 23,460 | 21 | 6 |
| Building permits less federal contracts |  | 127,100 | 10 | - 37 |
| Bank debits (thousands) |  | 24,775 | 1 | 4 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. |  | 14,428 | 7 | 6 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover.... |  | 21.3 | 5 |  |
| Nonfarm placements |  | 199 | 24 | 3 |
| Elsa (pop. 3,847) |  |  |  |  |
| Building permits less federal contracts |  | 2,125 | -43 | - 21 |
| Bank debits (thousands). |  | 5,249 | 8 |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. |  | 2,669 | - 12 | 18 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover.. |  | 22.1 | 1 | $-22$ |

McALLEN (pop. 35,411 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ )

| Retail sales |  | - 15 $\dagger$ |  | 10 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Postal receipts* | \$ | 48,671 | - | 4 | 8 |
| Building permits less federal contracts | \$ | 271,550 |  | 37 | -79 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 40,115 | - | 4 | -22 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | \$ | 32,297 | - | 6 | 2 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover.... |  | 14.5 | - | 3 | - 24 |
| Nonfarm placements |  | 384 |  | 83 | -64 |

For an explanation of symbols see p. $\mathbf{3 8 0}$.

| Local Business Conditions |  | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| City and item | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Sep } \\ \text { Sep 1969 } \\ \text { from }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Sep } 1969 \\ \text { from } \\ \text { Aug 1969 }\end{array}$ | Sen 1968 |


| Mercedes (pop. 11,843 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ ) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Postal receipts* | \$ | 5,887 | 3 | 2 |
| Building permits less federal contracts |  | 41,614 | 40 | $-20$ |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 10,890 | - | 12 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. |  | 5,271 | - 4 | - |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover.... |  | 24.2 | - 9 | 10 |
| Mission (pop. 14,081) |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* | \$ | 10,449 | - 14 | - |
| Building permits less federal contracts | \$ | 40,783 | 64 | - |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 16,304 | 16 | 17 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. |  | 11,598 | - 8 | $-13$ |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. |  | 16.2 | 24 | 17 |
| PHARR (pop. 15,279 ${ }^{\text {² }}$ ) |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* | \$ | 8,225 | 13 | - 18 |
| Building permits less federal contracts | \$ | 24,885 | - 42 | 1 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 6,276 | 6 | 4 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\%$. | \$ | 5,966 | 1 | $-8$ |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover.... |  | 12.6 | 8 | 5 |
| San Juan (pop. 4,371) |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* | \$ | 3.645 | $-4$ | 9 |
| Building permits less federal contracts | \$ | 0 | - |  |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 3,971 | 29 | 29 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | 8 | 3,006 | 6 | 4 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover.... |  | 15.4 | 31 | 19 |
| Weslaco (pop. 15,649) |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts ${ }^{\text {o }}$ | \$ | 15,338 | 6 | 15 |
| 'Building permits less federal contracts | 8 | 130,953 | 18 | -48 |
| Bank debits (thousands). | § | 15,401 | 21 | - |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | \$ | 13,654 |  | 9 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover.... |  | 13.4 | 19 | $-15$ |

## MIDLAND SMSA

(Midland; pop. $65,200^{\text {a }}$ )

| Retail sales | $\ldots$ | 4 | 14 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Automotive stores |  | 25 | 25 |
| Building permits less federal contracts | \$ 892,110 | 22 | 120 |
| Bank debits (thousands) \|| | \$ 1,965,084 | 7 | 9 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | 8 185,350 | * | 4 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 14.5 | 7 | 7 |
| Nonfarm employment (area) ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 62,400 | 1 | 2 |
| Manufacturing employment (area) ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 4,950 | - 4 | 1 |
| Percent unemployed (area) ${ }^{\text {b }}$. | 2.8 | - 7 | - 3 |

## MIDLAND (pop. 62,625)

| Retail sales | $-15 \%$ | 4 | 14 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Automotive stores | - $27 \dagger$ | 25 | 25 |
| Postal receipts* | 156,135 | 34 | 8 |
| Building permits less federal contracts | 892,110 | 22 | 120 |
| Bank debits (thousands). | 155,812 | 7 | 9 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) 产. | 134,267 | as | 4 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover.... | 13.9 | 6 | 6 |
| Nonfarm placements | 778 | 21 | 3 |

ODESSA SMSA
(Ector; pop. 83,200 ${ }^{\text {2 }}$ )

| Retail sales |  | 4 | 27 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Apparel stores |  | - 14 | 3 |
| Automotive stores |  | 5 | 32 |
| Building permits less federal contracts | \& 307,730 | $-52$ | -66 |
| Bank debits (thousands) \\| . | \$ 1,756,416 | 9 | 27 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\dagger$. | \$ 78,177 | 2 | 13 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover.... | 22.7 | 7 | 12 |
| Nonfarm employment (area) ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 62,400 | 1 | 2 |
| Manufacturing employment (area) ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 4,950 | - 4 | 1 |
| Percent unemployed (area) ${ }^{\text {b }}$. | 2.8 | - 7 | - 3 |


| Local Business Conditions | $\begin{gathered} \text { Sep } \\ 1969 \end{gathered}$ | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Sep } 1969 \\ \text { from } \\ \text { Aug } 1969 \end{gathered}$ | Sep 1969 from <br> Sep 196 |
| ODESSA（pop． 80,338 ） |  |  |  |
| Retail sales | $-15 \dagger$ | 4 | 27 |
| Apparel stores ．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．． | $-26 \dagger$ | $-14$ | 3 |
| Automotive stores | －27¢ | 5 | 32 |
| Postal receipts ${ }^{*}$ | 8 111，169 | － | － |
| Building permits less federal contracts \＄ | \＄307，730 | － 52 | － 66 |
| Bank debits（thousands） | \＄137，157 | 5 | 27 |
| End－of－month deposits（thousands）$\ddagger$. | 82，875 | 7 | 18 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover．．．． | 20.5 | \％ | 6 |
| Nonfarm placements ．．．．．．．．．．．．．． | 1，170 | 3 | 75 |
| SAN ANGELO SMSA <br> （Tom Green；pop．75，200 ${ }^{\text { }}$ ） |  |  |  |
| Retail sales |  | 4 | 9 |
| Apparel stores | $\ldots$ | 5 |  |
| Gasoline and service stations．．．．． |  | － 6 | 6 |
| Building permits less federal contracts \＄ | \＄553，535 | － 34 | 48 |
| Bank debits（thousands）\｜． | \＄1，213，824 | 10 | 14 |
| End－of－month deposits（thousands）$\ddagger$ ． | \＄69，884 | 4 | 7 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover．．．． | 17.7 | 4 | 7 |
| Nonfarm employment（area）．．．．．．． | 23，700 | 0 | 1 |
| Manufacturing employment（area） | 3，620 | 2 |  |
| Percent unemployed（area）． | 3.5 | － 3 | 25 |
| SAN ANGELO（pop．58，815） |  |  |  |
| Retail sales | － $15{ }^{+}$ | 4 | 9 |
| Apparel stores | $-26 \dagger$ | 5 | － 6 |
| Gasoline and service stations． | － $5 \dagger$ | － 6 | 6 |
|  | § 157，479 | 17 | 10 |
| Building permits less federal contracts \＄ | \＄553，535 | $-34$ | 48 |
| Bank debits（thousands）．．．．．．．．．．． | 99，333 | 9 | 14 |
| End－of－month deposits（thousands）$\ddagger$ ． | 70，373 | 3 | 7 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover．．．． | 17.2 | 2 | 7 |

## SAN ANTONIO SMSA <br> （Bexar and Guadalupe；pop．837，100＂）

| Retail sales |  | 3 | 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Apparel stores |  | $-17$ | － 12 |
| Automotive stores |  | 6 | 3 |
| Eating and drinking places． |  | $-13$ | － 8 |
| General－merchandise stores |  | － 28 | － 8 |
| Lumber，building－material， and hardware dealers |  |  |  |
| Building permits less federal contracts | \＄11，126，276 | 50 | 24 |
| Bank debits（thousands）\｜ | \＄17，094，924 | 9 | 18 |
| End－of－month deposits（thousands）$\ddagger$ ． | \＆593，995 | \％ | 3 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover． | 28.8 | 10 | 19 |
| Nonfarm employment（area）． | 282，500 | $-1$ | 1 |
| Manufacturing employment（area） | 31，200 | \％${ }^{\text {\％}}$ | $-2$ |
| Percent unemployed（area） | 3.9 | － 9 | 11 |

## SAN ANTONIO（pop．726，660 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ ）

| Retail sales |  | $-10 \uparrow \%$ | $-2$ | ＊＊ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Apparel stores |  | －1\％ | $-17$ | $-12$ |
| Automotive stores |  | － 15 ¢ $\dagger$ | 7 | 3 |
| Eating and drinking places． |  | －11才t | $-13$ | － 8 |
| General－merchandise stores |  | －17才† | － 29 | 3 |
| Lumber，building－material， and hardware dealers． |  |  | 3 | － 21 |
| Postal receipts＊ | \＄ | 1，334，568 | 3 | 10 |
| Building permits less federal contracts | \＄ | 9，637，886 | 41 | 13 |
| Bank debits（thousands） | \＄ | 1，340，097 | 6 | 18 |
| End－of－month deposits（thousands）t． | \＄ | 563，796 | so | $-3$ |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover．．．． |  | 28.5 | 6 | 20 |
| Schertz（pop．2，867 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ ） |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts＊${ }^{\text {＊}}$ | \＄ | 3，084 | 26 | $-10$ |
| Bank debits（thousands） | \＄ | 765 | 11 | 8 |
| End－of－month deposits（thousands）$⿻$ ¢ | \＄ | 1，234 | 3 | 9 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover． |  | 7.5 | 9 | 1 |

For an explanation of symbols see p． 330


| Seguin（pop．14，299） |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Postal receipts ${ }^{\text {t }}$ | \＄ | 20，731 |  | 2 | 21 |
| Building permits less federal contracts | \＄ | 105，760 |  | 14 | $-21$ |
| Bank debits（thousands） | \＄ | 19，933 |  | 1 | 13 |
| End－of－month deposits（thousands）$\ddagger$. | \＄ | 18，068 |  | 2 | 3 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover．．．． |  | 13.3 | － | 1 | 9 |

## SHERMAN－DENISON SMSA＊ <br> （Grayson；pop．80，500 ${ }^{\text {² }}$ ）



DENISON（pop．25，766 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ ）

| Postal receipts＊ | \＄ | 36，414 | $-15$ | 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits less federal contracts | \＄ | 192，185 | 311 | 4 |
| Bank debits（thousands） | \＄ | 31，417 | 3 | 15 |
| End－of－month deposits（thousands）$\stackrel{\text { ¢ }}{ }$ | \＄ | 21，555 | 5 | 13 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover． |  | 17.9 | ＊${ }^{\text {\％}}$ | 3 |
| Nonfarm placements |  | 219 | 12 |  |

## SHERMAN（pop． $30,660^{\circ}$ ）

| Postal receipts ${ }^{*}$ | \＄ | 50，652 | $-3$ | 11 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits less federal contracts | \＄ | 745，603 | － 33 | 6 |
| Bank debits（thousands） | § | 63，239 | 23 | 37 |
| End－of－month deposits（thousands）$\ddagger$ ． | 8 | 31，580 | 1 | 14 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover．．．． |  | 24.2 | 17 | 21 |
| Nonfarm placements |  | 173 | $-37$ |  |

## TEXARKANA SMSA

（Bowie，Texas，and Miller，Ark；pop．100，000 ${ }^{\text {§ }}$ ）

| Retail sales |  | ．．． | － 8 | 17 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits less federal contracts |  | 1，263，140 | 245 | 678 |
| Bank debits（thousands）｜｜ | \＄ | 1，665，276 | 14 | 7 |
| End－of－month deposits（thousands）$\ddagger$ ． | \＄ | 67，655 |  | 2 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover． |  | 24.5 | 19 | 3 |
| Nonfarm employment（area） |  | 43，950 | － 1 | － 1 |
| Manufacturing employment（area） |  | 14，700 | 2 | 5 |
| Percent unemployed（area） |  | 3.3 | \％${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 50 |
| TEXARKANA（pop．50，006 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ ） |  |  |  |  |
| Retail sales |  | $-15 \dagger$ |  | 16 |
| Postal receipts＊ | \＄ | 90，221 | 2 | 1 |
| Building permits less federal contracts | \＄ | 1，255，640 | 274 | 756 |
| Bank debits（thousands） | \＄ | 120，220 | 4 | 5 |
| End－of－month deposits（thousands）$\ddagger$ ． | \＄ | 56，482 | 1 | 2 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover．．．． |  | 25.4 | 9 | 2 |

## TYLER SMSA

（Smith；pop．99，100 ${ }^{\text {² }}$ ）

| Retail sales |  |  | － 5 | $-10$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Apparel stores |  |  | $-12$ | 6 |
| Building permits less federal contracts | \＄ | 627，125 | －89 | 32 |
| Bank debits（thousands）\｜． | \＄ | 2，273，664 | 6 | 20 |
| End－of－month deposits（thousands）$\ddagger$ | \＄ | 97，288 | 4 | 1 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover．．．． |  | 23.8 | 3 | 17 |
| Nonfarm employment（area）．．．．．．． |  | 37，950 | ＊ | 5 |
| Manufacturing employment（area） |  | 11，120 | $-1$ | 10 |
| Percent unemployed（area） |  | 2.5 | \％ | 4 |

## TYLER（pop．51，230）

| Retail sales | － $15{ }^{*}$ | － | $-10$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Apparel stores | $-26{ }^{+}$ | － 12 | 6 |
| Postal receipts＊ | 148，972 | 13 | 1 |
| Building permits less federal contracts | 602，625 | －89 | 27 |
| Bank debits（thousands） | 170，334 | 3 | 20 |
| End－of－month deposits（thousands）$\ddagger$ ． | 87，945 | 5 | to |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover．．．． | 23.8 |  | 17 |
| Nonfarm placements | 539 | 41 |  |

Local Business Conditions

| City and item | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Sep } \\ 1969 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Sep } 1969 \\ \text { from } \\ \text { Aug } 1969 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sep } 1969 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Sep } 1968 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| WACO SMSA <br> (MeLennan; pop. $148,400^{\text {a }}$ ) |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Retail sales Automotive stores |  | - 4 | - 8 |
|  |  | 0, | - 14 |
| Building permits less federal contracts | \$ 1,253,642 | - 13 | - 31 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | S 3,127,224 | 12 | 26 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) \%. | \$ 120,304 | 3 | 1 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover.... | 26.4 | 9 | 26 |
| Nonfarm employment (area). | 59,400 | 1 | \%o |
|  | 13,110 | ${ }_{*}^{*}$ | - 6 |
| Percent unemployed (area). | 3.5 | - |  |

## McGregor (pop. 4,642)

| Building permits less federal contracts $\$$ | 0 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Bank debits (thousands)........... $\$ 8$ | 6,279 | 61 | 39 |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) 4 | $\$$ | 8,019 | -2 | 79 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover.... | 9.3 | 60 | 37 |  |

## WACO (pop. 103,462)

| Retail sales | $-15 \dagger$ | $-4$ | - 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Automotive stores | $-27{ }^{\text {d }}$ | \% | - 14 |
| Postal receipts* | \$ 267,480 | -5 | \% |
| Building permits less federal contracts | \$ 1,157,987 | $-18$ | -34 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ 228,177 | 6 | 26 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | \$ 104,835 | 6 | 1 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover.... | 26.8 | 2 | 26 |

WICHITA FALLS SMSA
(Archer and Wichita; pop. 132,200 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ )

| Retail sales |  |  | 7 | - 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits less federal contracts | S 1,449,078 |  | 97 | 141 |
| Bank debits (thousands) \|| | \$ 2,326,776 |  | 5 | 8 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | \& 120,219 |  | 2 | 4 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover.... | 19.5 |  | 2 | 5 |
| Nonfarm employment (area) | 49,400 | - | 1 | 2 |
| Manufacturing employment (area) | 5,130 | - | 1 | 4 |
| Percent unemployed (area) | 2.4 |  | 9 | 14 |


| Local Business Conditions | Percent change |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| City and item | Sep |  |  |
| Sep 1969 | Sep 1969 <br> from <br> from <br> 1969 | Aug 1969 | Sep 1968 |

## Burkburnett (pop. 7,621)

Building permits less federal contracts $\$ 707950$

| Bank debits (thousands) ............. | $\$$ | 8.542 | 5 | 8 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | $\$$ | 5,694 | 1 | 5 |

Annual rate of deposit turnover. ... $18.1 \quad 1 \quad 1 \quad 3$

Iowa Park (pop. 5,152 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ )
Building permits less federal contracts \$
Bank debits (thousands)............ \$
End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . \$$

| 7,083 | 23 | $\ldots$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 4,003 | -2 | 16 |
| 4,023 | 1 | 6 |
| 12.0 | -2 | 8 |

WICHITA FALLS (pop. $115,340{ }^{\text {r }}$ )

| Retail sales | $-15 \dagger$ | $-7$ | 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Postal receipts* | \$ 153,122 | $-10$ | \% |
| Building permits less federal contracts | \$ 1,362,402 | 120 | 158 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | S 161,443 | 2 | 7 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) t. | 103,296 | 3 | 4 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover... | 19.0 | 1 | 5 |

## LOWER RIO GRANDE VALLEY

(Cameron, Willacy, and Hidalgo; pop. $326,800^{*}$ )
Retail sales

| $-15 \dagger$ | 9 | 8 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $-26 \dagger$ | -19 | 5 |
| $-27 \dagger$ | 19 | 9 |
| $-1 \dagger$ | -2 | 2 |
| $-8 \dagger$ | -8 | 3 |
| $-17 \dagger$ | 16 | 11 |
| $-5 \dagger$ | -7 | -4 |
|  |  |  |
| $-12 \dagger$ | 12 | 12 |
| $\cdots$ | 4 | 3 |
| $\cdots$ | 194 | 58 |
| $\cdots$ | 2 | - |
| $\cdots$ | 6 | - |
| $\cdots$ | 1 | - |
| 19.0 | 1 | 5 |

## ALPHABETICAL LISTING OF NON-SMSA CITIES, WITH DATA

| ALBANY (pop. 2,174) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits less federal contracts |  | 0 | ... |  |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 2,898 | $-10$ | \% |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | \$ | 4,307 | 1 | 4 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. |  | 8.0 | $-11$ | 4 |
| ALICE (pop. 20,861) |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts ${ }^{*}$ | $\$$ | 25,943 | 16 | 23 |
| Building permits less federal contracts | \$ | 31,598 | $-67$ | -92 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 38,199 | 11 | 54 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | s | 20,538 | as | 7 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover.... |  | 22.3 | 10 | 66 |
| ALPINE (pop. 4,740) |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* | \$ | 6,779 | - 7 | $-11$ |
| Building permits less federal contracts | \$ | 5,800 | 24 |  |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 6,262 | 37 | 22 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | \$ | 5,883 | 9 | 1 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. |  | 13.3 | 28 | 21 |

For an explanation of symbols see p. 380 .

ANDREWS (pop. 13,450 ${ }^{\circ}$ )

| Postal receipts* ${ }^{\text {² }}$ | \$ | 11,444 | 13 | 13 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits less federal contracts | \$ | 16,000 | - 48 | 79 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 7,788 | 6 | 10 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | 8 | 7,193 | 5 | - |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover |  | 13.3 | 5 |  |

ATHENS (pop. $10,260{ }^{\text {r }}$ )

| Postal receipts* | \$ | 18,085 |  | \% | 11 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits less federal contracts | \$ | 135,800 |  | 45 | 75 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | S | 14,475 | - | 2 | 16 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | S | 12,726 |  | 6 | 11 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. |  | 14.0 | - | 7 |  |

BARTLETT (pop. 1,540)

| Postal receipts ${ }^{*} \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots . . . \ldots$ | 2,072 | 56 | -13 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Bank debits (thousands) $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$ | 1,463 | -33 | 1 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . \$$ | 2,019 | 5 | 10 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover.... | 8.9 | -39 | -9 |


| Local Business Conditions |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Sep } \\ \text { Sep } \end{gathered}$ | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Sep 1969 from Aug 1969 |  |
| BAY CITY (pop. 11,656) |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* ${ }^{*}$ | \$ |  | 18,356 | - | - 6 |
| Building permits less federal contracts |  | 140,300 | 27 | 788 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | 8 | 27,877 | 22 | 8 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) l . |  | 31,033 | 2 | 5 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover.... |  | 10.9 | 16 | 3 |
| Nonfarm placements |  | 72 |  | - 58 |
| BEEVILLE (pop. 13,811) |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* |  | 17,150 | 12 | \% |
| Building permits less federal contracts |  | 45,937 | - 34 | - 60 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 17,640 | 4 | 13 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | 8 | 16,606 |  |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover.... |  | 12.6 | 6 | 16 |
| Nonfarm placements |  | 110 | 31 | 8 |
| BELLVILLE (pop. 2,218) |  |  |  |  |
| Building permits less federal contracts |  | 600 |  |  |
| Bank debits (thousands) ......... |  | 6,740 | 3 | 19 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. |  | 6,324 |  | 6 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover.... |  | 12.6 | 1 | 11 |
| BELTON (pop. 10,000 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ ) |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | \$ | 13,202 | 8 | 4 |
| Building permits less federal contracts |  | 18,700 | - 62 | - 26 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. |  | 11,463 | - | 8 |
| BIG SPRING (pop. 31,230) |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* | \$ | 41,114 | 2 | 6 |
| Building permits less federal contracts |  | 159,069 | 355 | 182 |
| Bank debits (thousands) |  | 55,438 | 1 | 13 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. |  | 30,794 | 7 | 7 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover.... |  | 22.3 | * | 6 |
| Nonfarm placements |  | 173 | 18 | - 21 |
| BONHAM (pop. 9,506 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ ) |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* ${ }^{\text {* }}$ |  | 9,264 |  | $-7$ |
| Building permits less federal contracts |  | 18,800 | -94 | - 89 |
| Bank debits (thousands) . .......... |  | 11,800 | 8 | 19 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. |  | 10,861 | 5 | 14 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover |  | 13.4 | 5 | 9 |
| BORGER (pop. 20,911) |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts ${ }^{*}$ | \$ | 24,359 | $-10$ | 2 |
| Building permits less federal contracts |  | 17,754 |  | - 33 |
| Nonfarm placements . . . . . . . . . . . . |  | 97 | 11 | 13 |
| BRADY (pop. 5,338) |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* ${ }^{\text {a }}$. ${ }^{\text {a }}$............... | \$ | 6,693 | ** | - 17 |
| Building permits less federal contracts |  | 2,850 | - 95 | -95 |
| Bank debits (thousands) . .......... |  | 10,247 | 11 | 27 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | 8 | 7,920 | 1 | 6 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover |  | 15 | 12 | 21 |
| BRENHAM (pop. 7,740) |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* | \$ | 16,476 | 12 | 22 |
| Building permits less federal contracts |  | 222,130 | 62 | 123 |
| Bank debits (thousands) .......... |  | 19,177 | 4 | 21 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ |  | 19,013 | 10 | 9 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover.... |  | 12.7 | $-4$ | 11 |
| BROWNFIELD (pop. 10,286) |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* ${ }^{\text {² }}$................. | \$ | 12,038 | - 18 | 2 |
| Building permits less federal contracts | \$ | 81,300 | -39 | 87 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 21,491 | 4 | 15 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. |  | 16,883 | 18 | 15 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover.... |  | 16.5 | - 5 | 1 |

[^10]| Local Business Conditions |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Sep } \\ 1969 \end{gathered}$ | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sep } 1969 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Aug } 1969 \end{aligned}$ | Sep 1969 from <br> Sep 19 |
| BROWNWOOD (pop. 16,974) |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* |  |  | 32,843 |  | 2 |
| Building permits less federal contracts |  | 227,419 | -42 | 44 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............ |  | 25,008 | 11 |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. |  | 15,445 | \% | 10 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover... |  | 19.4 | 16 | - 12 |
| Nonfarm placements |  | 138 | 60 | 4 |
| BRYAN (pop. 33,141 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ ) |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* |  | 40,385 | - 21 | 1 |
| Building permits less federal contracts |  | 570,842 | 57 | - 87 |
| Bank debits (thousands) |  | 59,886 | $0 \%$ | 6 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. |  | 35,124 | 5 |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover.... |  | 20.9 | - |  |
| Nonfarm placements |  | 469 | 62 | 17 |
| CALDWELL (pop. 2,204 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ ) |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* |  | 3,609 |  |  |
| Bank debits (thousands) |  | 3,594 | - 16 | 11 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. |  | 5,055 | $-3$ | 6 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. |  | 8.4 | - 14 | 4 |
| CAMERON (pop. 5,640) |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* | \$ | 6,590 | 5 | 10 |
| Building permits less federal contracts |  | 1,006,000 |  |  |
| Bank debits (thousands) |  | 7,526 |  | 15 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ |  | 6,981 | - | 13 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. |  | 12.8 | - 12 | 1 |
| CARTHAGE (pop. 5,262) |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* | \$ | 7,074 | - 23 | $-17$ |
| Building permits less federal contracts |  | 650,000 |  |  |
| Bank debits (thousands) |  | 4,824 |  | 46 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. |  | 4,295 |  | 6 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover |  | 13.4 |  | 35 |
| CASTROVILLE (1,800 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ ) |  |  |  |  |
| Building permits less federal contracts |  | 7,500 | 257 | -81 |
| Eank debits (thousands) ........... |  | 1,362 |  | 7 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. |  | 1,583 |  | 14 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover |  | 9.9 |  | -20 |
| CISCO (pop. 4,499) |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* ${ }^{\text {* }}$ |  | 6,555 | 14 | 15 |
| Bank debits (thousands) |  | 4,804 | 11 | 3 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. |  | 4,788 |  | 1 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover |  | 12.0 | 9 |  |
| COLLEGE STATION (pop. 18,590 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ ) |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* |  | 41,296 | 17 | 15 |
| Bank debits (thousands) |  | 11,464 | 4 | 37 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. |  | 7,297 | - 6 | 14 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover |  | 19.4 |  | 22 |
| COLORADO CITY (pop. 6,457) |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts ${ }^{\text {\% }}$ | \$ | 6,946 | 9 | - 10 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 6,155 | ${ }_{2}^{2}$ | 15 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. |  | 6,809 |  | 5 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover |  | 10.8 |  | 9 |
| COPPERAS COVE (pop. 10,202 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ ) |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts ${ }^{*}$ | \$ | 8,042 |  | 14 |
| Building permits less federal contracts | \$ | 103,100 | 209 | 202 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 3,136 | - 4 |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. |  | 2,784 | 31 | 7 |
| Annual rate of deposit tumover.... |  | 15.3 | $-21$ |  |
| CORSICANA (pop. 20,344) |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* |  | 35,954 | - 19 | - 30 |
| Building permits less federal contracts |  | 89,950 | - 51 | - 55 |
| Bank debits (thousands) |  | 31,610 | 20 | 18 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. |  | 26,701 | 8 | 14 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover.... |  | 14.8 | 14 | 6 |
| Nonfarm placements |  | 275 | 80 | 23 |


| Local Business Conditions |  |  | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| City and item |  | $\underset{1869}{\text { Sep }}$ | Sep 1969 from Aug 1969 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sep } 1969 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Sep } 1968 \end{aligned}$ |
| CRANE (pop. 3,796) |  |  |  |  |
| Building permits less federal contracts |  | 2,000 | -95 | - 94 |
| Bank debits (thousands)........... |  | 2,561 | 31 |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. |  | 2,762 | 32 |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. |  | 12.6 | 11 |  |
| CRYSTAL CITY (pop. 9,101) |  |  |  |  |
| Building permits less federal contracts |  | 79,816 | 23 | $-45$ |
| Hank debits (thousamds). | \$ | 5,874 | 7 | 41 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. |  | 3,014 |  | 2 |
| Annual rate of dejosit turnover. |  | 21.1 | 6 | 37 |
| DECATUR (pop. 3,563) |  |  |  |  |
| Building permits less federal contracts | \% | 15,000 | - 74 | 37 |
| Bank debits (thousanda) ....... | * | 5,549 | 17 | 24 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. |  | 5,439 | 1 | 14 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. |  | 12.3 | 15 | 11 |
| DEL RIO (pop. 23,290 ${ }^{\text {² }}$ ) |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts ${ }^{\circ}$ | \$ | 25,847 | 8 | 5 |
| Building permits less federal contracta | \$ | 1,169,701 |  | 247 |
| Bank deblts (thousands) | \$ | 19,281 | 9 | 15 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) 4 . |  | 19.710 | 0 | to |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. |  | 11.7 | 6 | 16 |
| DIMMITT (pop. 4,500 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 19,208 | 20 | 37 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | \$ | 8.967 | 23 | - |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. |  | 28.3 | 9 | 37 |
| EAGLE LAKE (pop. 3,565) |  |  |  |  |
| Bank debits (thousands)... |  | 6,891 | 29 | $-10$ |
| End-of-month deposits (thousknds) $\ddagger$. |  | 6,809 | 8 |  |
| Annual rate of deposit tarnover. |  | 13.6 | 14 |  |
| EAGLE PASS (pop. 12,094) |  |  |  |  |
| Postal $^{\text {receipts }}{ }^{\text {¢ }}$. ${ }^{\text {a }}$.......... | \$ | 15,212 | 4 | 10 |
| Building permits less federal contracts |  | 148,795 | 43 | 20 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 10,200 | 1 | 38 |
| End-of-month deposits (thoossands) $\ddagger$. |  | 5,101 | - | 4 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover |  | 23.4 | 7 | 20 |
| EDNA (pop. 5,038) |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts, . ................ | \$ | 6,626 | - 19 | g |
| Building permits iess federal contracts |  | 5,100 | - 75 | $-97$ |
| Bank debits (thousands).......... | \$ | 9,294 | 8 | 14 |
| End-of-month deposita (thousands) . |  | 7,904 | 1 | 10 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover |  | 14.1 | 11 | 3 |
| EL CAMPO (pop. 7,700) |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipta* | \$ | 16,285 | - 10 | 2 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 24,377 | 18 |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | \$ | 15,812 | 1 | 1 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover |  | 18.5 | 8 |  |
| FREDERICKSBURG (pop. 4,629) |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* | ) | 10,962 | - 17 | 9 |
| Building permits less federal contracts | \$ | 14,625 |  | - 70 |
| Bank debits (thousands) .......... | \$ | 15,224 | 2 | 12 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | \$ | 12,888 | 7 | 10 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. |  | 14.7 |  | 3 |
| FRIONA (pop. 3,149 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ ) |  |  |  |  |
| Building permits less federal contracts | \$ | 0 |  |  |
| Bank debits (thousands).......... | \$ | 25,169 | 19 | 72 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | - | 7,228 | - 15 | 87 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover |  | 38.3 | 14 | 19 |
| GATESVILLE (pop. 5,180 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ ) |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* | \$ | 7,261 | - 14 | 2 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 9,014 | 9 | 11 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | \$ | 8,042 | - | 4 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. |  | 18.4 | 8 | 6 |

For an explanation of symabots see D .380 .

| Local Business Conditions |  |  | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| City and item |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sep } \\ & 1969 \end{aligned}$ | Sep from from <br> Aug 1969 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sep } 1969 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Sep } 1968 \end{aligned}$ |
| GEORGETOWN (pop. 5,218) |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* |  | 10,058 | 35 |  |
| Building permits less federal contracts | \$ | 84,350 | -78 | - |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 7,458 | 2 | 17 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | \$ | 8,403 | 5 |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. |  | 10.9 |  | 7 |
| GIDDINGS (pop. 2,821) |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* |  | 6,219 | 16 | 8 |
| Building permits less federal contracts | \% | 3.425 | - 97 | - 80 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 5,664 | - 13 | 15 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | 8 | 6,150 | ** | 8 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover |  | 11.0 | - 15 | 2 |
| GLADEWATER (pop. 5,742) |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts ${ }^{*}$ | \$ | 7.021 | 6 |  |
| Building permits less federal contracts | 8 | 69,800 | - 73 | 95 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 6,463 | - | 20 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | \$ | 5,915 | 17 |  |
| Annual rate of dedosit turnover. |  | 14.1 | - 18 | 17 |
| Nonfarm employment (area) ${ }^{\text {e }}$ |  | 35,450 | 1 | 3 |
| Manufacturing employment (area) ${ }^{\text {e }}$ |  | 10,090 | \%6 |  |
| Fercent unemployed (area) e . |  | 3.0 | 11 | 15 |
| GOLDTHW AITE (pop. 1,383) |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts ${ }^{\text {* }}$ | \$ | 3,065 | 12 | -24 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \% | 6.375 | 4 | 6 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands | \$ | 4,218 |  | -4 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover |  | 17.9 | 5 | 24 |
| GRAHAM (pop. 9,326 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ ) |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* | \$ | 13.383 | 18 | 5 |
| Building permits less federal contracts | \$ | 36,365 | 11 | - 52 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 18,456 | 19 | 17 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | \$ | 11,458 | 2 |  |
| Antuual rate of deposit turnover. |  | 13.9 | 18 | 20 |
| GRANBURY (pop. 2,227) |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* | 8 | 10,770 | 23 | 100 |
| Bank debits (thousands) |  | 2,872 | - 18 | 28 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) | \$ | 4,013 | 9 | 3 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover |  | 8,9 | - 18 | 3 |
| GREENVILLE (pop. 22,134 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ ) |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* ${ }^{*}$................. | \$ | 38.970 | - 6 | 1 |
| Building permits less federal contracts |  | 206,329 | -69 | $-15$ |
| Bank debits (thousands). |  | 34,299 | 7 | ** |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. |  | 21,668 | * |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover |  | 19.1 | 14 | 4 |
| Nonfarm placements |  | 158 | 17 | - 40 |
| HALLETTSVILLE (pop. 2,808) |  |  |  |  |
| Building permits less federal contracts |  | 19,700 | 28 | -88 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 4,065 |  | 18 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | \$ | 7,379 | 1 | 10 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnove |  | 6.7 |  | 8 |
| HALLSVILLE (pop. 1,015 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ ) |  |  |  |  |
| Bank debits (thousands). |  | 1,218 | $-24$ | 16 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. |  | 1,471 | 8 | 18 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. |  | 10.1 | - 31 | 2 |
| HASKELL (pop. 4,016) |  |  |  |  |
| Building permits less federal contracts | \$ | 17,000 |  | - 57 |
| Bank debits (thousands). |  | 4,805 | 19 |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ |  | 5,042 | 11 | 1 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover.... |  | 12.0 | 15 |  |
| HENDERSON (pop. 11,477 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ ) |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* - . . . . . . . . . . . . . |  | 15,833 | - 1 | 5 |
| Building permits less federal contracts |  | 46,760 | -65 | -40 |
| Bank debits (thousanda) ........... |  | 18,177 | 7 | 26 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. |  | 18,010 | 3 | 7 |
| Anmus rate of deposit turnover... |  | 12.3 | 4 | 17 |


| City and item |  | $\underset{1969}{\text { Sep }}$ | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Sep } 1969 \\ \text { from } \\ \text { Aug } 1969 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sep } 1969 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Sep } 1968 \end{aligned}$ |
| HEREFORD (pop. 12,175 ${ }^{\text {² }}$ ) |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts ${ }^{\text {* }}$ |  |  | 19,761 | - 22 | $-27$ |
| Building permits less federal contracts |  | 209,500 | 34 | $-10$ |
| Bank debits (thoustnds) |  | 51,043 | 19 | 51 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. |  | 21,836 | 7 | 8 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. |  | 29.7 | 10 | 29 |
| HONDO (pop. 4,992) |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* | \$ | 6,182 | 12 | 24 |
| Building permits less federal contracts |  | 2,220 | -93 | - 71 |
| Bank debits (thousands) |  | 5,152 | -19 | 21 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. |  | 4,998 | - | 9 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. |  | 12.2 | $-20$ | 14 |
| HUNTSVILLE (pop. 11,999) |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* |  | 33,876 | 43 | 29 |
| Building permits less federal contracts |  | 60.500 | - 72 | 37 |
| Bank debits (thousands).......... |  | 17,659 | $-10$ |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ |  | 16,823 | 11 | - 3 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. |  | 13.2 | \% | - 11 |
| JACKSONVILLE (pop. 10,509 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ ) |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | 32,559 | 7 | 9 |
| Building permits less federal contracts |  | 63,000 |  | 55. |
| Bank debits (thousands). |  | 22,486 |  | 16 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. |  | 13,998 | 4 | 11 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. |  | 19.7 |  | 4 |
| JASPER (pop. 5,120 ${ }^{\text {² }}$ ) |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* | \$ | 13,917 |  | 2. |
| Building permits less federal contracts |  | 45,600 | 427 | 124 |
| Bank debits (thousands) |  | 16,984 | 9 | 16 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. |  | 10,179 | 6 | 7 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover |  | 20.6 | 8 | 12 |
| JUNCTION (pop. 2,514 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ ) |  |  |  |  |
| Building permits less federal contracts |  | 500 | 40 | - 96 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ........... |  | 2,915 | 19 | 9 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ |  | 4,354 | 3 |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover |  | 8.2 | 21 | 9 |
| KARNES CITY (pop. 3,000 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ ) |  |  |  |  |
| Building permits less federal contrects |  | 33,000 | - 29 | 27 |
| Bank debits (thousands)........... | \$ | 4,444 | -- | 10 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) f. |  | 4,719 | 1 | 10 |
| Annusl rate of deposit turnover. |  | 11.3 |  | ** |
| KILGORE (pop. 10,500 ${ }^{\text { }}$ ) |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* | \% | 17,838: | $-6$ | - 19 |
| Building permits less federal contracts |  | 42,000 | 79 | $-47$ |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............. |  | 15,963 | - | 12 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. |  | 14,849 | 7 | ** |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover., |  | 13.4 |  | 13 |
| Nonfarm employment (area) ©. |  | 36,450 | 1 | 3 |
| Manufacturing employment (erea) ${ }^{\text {e }}$ |  | 10,090 | $2 *$ | 3 |
| Percent unemployed (area) ${ }^{\text {a }}$. |  | 3.0 | 11 | 15 |
| KILLEEN (pop. 30,400 ${ }^{\text {² }}$ ) |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* | \$ | 54,204 | 1 |  |
| Building permits less federal contracts |  | 481,396 |  | - 39 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ........... |  | 32,042 | - 6 | 44 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. |  | 16,260 | 5 | 22 |
| Annuel rate of deposit turnover. |  | 24.2 |  | 18 |
| KINGSLAND (pop. 1,206 ${ }^{\text {\% }}$ ) |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receiptg* |  | 2,303 | 1 | 16 |
| Bank debits (thousands). |  | 3.143 | - 15 | 45 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. |  | 1,959 |  | ${ }^{30}$ |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. |  | 19.9 | - 16 | 16 |

For an explanation of symbols see p. 330.

| Local Business Conditions |  | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| City and item | $\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{Sep}_{1960} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Sep } 1969 \\ \text { from } \\ \text { Aug } 1969 \end{gathered}$ | Sep 1969 from Sep 1968 |
| KINGSVILLE (pop. 31,160 ${ }^{\text {² }}$ ) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts ${ }^{\text {a }}$. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . \$ | \$ 25,856 | 2 | -27 |
| Building permits less federal contracts | \$ 434,000 | 10 | 40 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ 22,030 | 8 | - |
| End-of-month depesits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | \$ 18,187 | - 3 | . 4 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 14.3 | 9 | 1 |
| KIRBYVILLE (pop. 2,021 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ ) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* | 6,398 | 7 | 00 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | 3,234 | 10 |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $⿻$ 廿. | \$ 4,874 | 2 | 2 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 8.0 | 10 | 7 |
| LAMESA (pop. 12,438) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts ${ }^{\text {t }}$ | \$ 16,591 | 1 | - |
| Building permits less federal contracts | 80 |  |  |
| Bank debits (thousands) | 20,386 | 14 | \% |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) \% | 18,618 | \% | 5 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 13.2 | 7 | - 12 |
| Nonfarm placements | 109 | 33 | 88 |
| LAMPASAS (pop. 5,670 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ ) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* | \$ 6,814 | - 5 | - 13 |
| Building permits less federal contracts | \$ 134,450 | 438 |  |
| Bank debits (thousands) | 10,505 | 8 | 18 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | \$ 8,447 | - 5 | 6. |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 14.6 | 11 | 7 |
| LEVELLAND (pop. 12,073 ${ }^{\text {² }}$ ) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* | \$ 19,167 | - 4 | - |
| Building permits less iederal contracts | 134,740 | 169 | 82 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ 20,496. | 29 | 19 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) ${ }^{\text {a }}$. | 11,595 | 15 | - 17 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 22.7 | $22:$ | 38 |
| LITTLEFIELD (pop. 7,236) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* | 7,127 | - 24 | - 21 |
| Building permits lese federal contracts | \$ 17,200 | - 58 | 237 |
| Bank debits (thousands). | \$ 12,068 | 37 | 4 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. \$ | \$ 9,327 | 28 | - 7 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 17.1 | 26 | 12 |
| LLANO (pop. 2,656) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* .................. 8 | 8 4,207 | - 3 | - 14 |
| Building permits less federal contracto | \$ 300 |  |  |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ 6,516 | 26 | 5 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. \$ | \$ 5,918 | $-1$ | 12 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 14.6 | 24 | Ot |
| LOCKHART (pop. 6,084) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts ${ }^{*}$.................. \$ | \$ 5,657 | $-22$ | $-19$ |
| Building permits less federal contracts \$ | \$ 957,693 |  | ... |
| Bank debits (thousands) ........... \% | \$ 7,699 | - 4 | 18 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. \$ | \$ 8,352, | 14 | 6 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover.... | 11.7 | $-7$ | 18 |
| LONGVIEW (pop. 52,242 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ ) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* ................... 8 | \$ 89,386 | 4 | 19 |
| Building permits less federal contracts \$ | \$ 7,617,500 | 141 |  |
| Bank debits (thousands)........... \$ | \$ 92,374 | 3 | 8 |
| End-pi-month deposits (thousends) $\ddagger$. \$ | \$ 50,109 | -. 2 | 2 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover.... | 21.9 | 3 | 6 |
| Nonfarm employment (area) ${ }^{\text {c...... }}$ | 35,450 | 1 | 3 |
| Manufactaring employment (area) e | 10,090 | 00 | 3 |
| Percent unemployed (aree) ${ }^{\text {c }}$........ | 3.0 | 11 | 15 |


| Local Business Conditions |  |  | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Clty and item |  | Sep 1969 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Sep } 1969 \\ \text { from } \\ \text { Aug } 1969 \end{gathered}$ | Sep 1969 From <br> Sep 1968. |
| LUFKIN (pop. 20,756 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ ) |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | \$ | 42;852 | - | 11 |
| Building permite less federal contracts | \$ | 222,650 | 79 | 63 |
| Nonfarm placements |  | 50 | - 29 | -- 38 |
| MeCAMEY (pop. 3,375 ${ }^{\text { }}$ ) |  |  |  |  |
| Postal reeeipts* | \$ | 3,176 |  | - 5 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 2,306 |  | 17 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | \$ | 2,117 | 13 |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. |  | 13.9 |  | 13 |
| MARBLE FALLS (pop. 2,161) |  |  |  |  |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 4,961 | 14 | 45 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | \% | 4,315 | 11 | 45 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover |  | 14.5 | 6 | 7 |
| MARSHALL (pop. 29,445 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ ) |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* | \$ | 38,707 | - 3 | 3 |
| Building permits less federal contracts | \% | 154,652 | 17 | - 62 |
| Nonfarm placements |  | 288 |  | - 28 |
| MEXIA (pop. 7,621 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ ) |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* | \$ | 9,300 | 16 |  |
| Building permits less federal contracts | \$ | 6,258 | $-91$ | - 97 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 7,960 | 4 | 18 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | \$ | 6,929 | 6 | 3 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. |  | 14.2 | 3 | 16 |
| MINERAL WELLS (pop. 11,053) |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* ${ }^{*}$ | \$ | 27,637 | - 12 | - 18 |
| Euilding permits less federal contracts | \$ | 68,862 | 46. | - 96 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | * | 30,380 | 2 | 13 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | \$ | 16,437 |  |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover |  | 21.2 | 12 | 9 |
| Nonfarm placements |  | 103 | 37 | - 23 |
| MONAHANS (pop. 9,476 ${ }^{\text {\% }}$ ) |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* | \$ | 12,025 | 25 | 17 |
| Building permits less federal contracts | 8 | 78,705 | 132 |  |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 12,679 | 15 | 24 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | \$ | 7.829 | 1 | 8 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. |  | 19.6 | 15 | 17 |
| MOUNT PLEASANT (pop. 8,027) |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* | * | 12,980 |  | 1 |
| Building permits less federal contracts | \$ | 12,190 | --81 | 18 |
| Bank debits (thousands). | \$ | 20,091 | 12 | 27 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | \$ | 11,751 | 4 | 11 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. |  | 20.9 | 8 | 12 |
| MUENSTER (pop. 1,190) |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* | \$ | 2.960 | - | 87 |
| Building permits less federal contracts | \$ | 0 |  |  |
| Bank debits (thousands). | \$ | 3.674 | 2 | - 8 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | \$ | 2,979 | 7 | 9 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover |  | 15.3 | 1 | $-10$ |
| MULESHOE (pop. 4,945 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ ) |  |  |  |  |
| Bank debits (thousands)....... | \$ | 17,854 | 40 | 27 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | * | 9,560 |  | $-10$ |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. |  | 21.0 | 46 | 13 |
| NACOGDOCHES (pop. 18,076 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ ) |  |  |  |  |
| Pastal receipts" | \$ | 37,871 | 16 | 34 |
| Building permits less federal contracts | \$ | 221,494 | 3 | 95 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 38,088 | 17 | 23 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | \$ | 30.191 | ** |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. |  | 15.1 | 14 | 19 |
| Nonfarm placements |  | 61 | 2 | - 13 |

For an explanation of symbols see p. 330.

| Local Business Conditions |  | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ${ }_{\text {Sep }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sep } 1969 \\ & \text { from } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sep } 1969 \\ & \text { from } \end{aligned}$ |
| City and item | 1969 | Aug 1969 | Sep 1968 |


| NEW BRAUNFELS (pop. 15,631) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits less federal contracts |  | 202.165 | - 38 | 6 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | 8 | 20,937 |  | 13 |
| Endoof-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | \$ | 20,424 | 1 | 14 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. |  | 12.4 |  | 2 |
| OLNEY (pop. 4,200 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ ) |  |  |  |  |
| Building permits less federal contracts | \$ | 0 |  |  |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 6,741 | 13 | 3 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. |  | 5,157 |  |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. |  | 15.6 | 17 | 30 |

PALESTINE (pop. 15,950)

| Polital receipts: | \$ | 20,646 | 2 | 7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits less federal contracts | \$ | 104,300 | 71 | 5 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 18,686 | 7 | 25 |
| End-of-month depasits (thousands) ${ }^{\text {fa }}$. | \$ | 19,971 | 5 | 9 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover.... |  | 11.5 | 3 | 16 |
| Nonfarm placements |  | 73 | 3 | . |

PAMPA (pop. 24,664)

| etail sales |  | - 15¢ |  | ** |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Automotive stores |  | $-27 \dagger$ | - | 3 |
| Postal receipts** |  | 30,670 | - 13 |  |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 37,589 | 13 | 19 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ |  | 26,132 | 13 | 10 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover |  | 18.3 | 6 | 13 |
| Nonfarm placements |  | 114 | - |  |
| PARIS (pop. 20,977) |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receiptst ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | + | 32,681 |  |  |
| Building permits less federal contracts | \% | 202.126 | 35 | 18 |
| Nonfarm placements |  | 176 | 5 | - 21 |
| PECOS (pop. 15,592 ${ }^{\text {² }}$ ) |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* | \$ | 14,330 | - 18 | 24 |
| Building permits less federal contracts | \$ | 24,780 | $-28$. | 529 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \% | 18,410 | $-14$ | 11 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\dagger$. | \$ | 11,151 | $-7$ | 6 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. |  | 19.1 | - 12 | 3 |
| Nonfarm placements |  | 75 | 9 | - |
| PLAINVIEW (pop. 21,703 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ ) |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts" | \$ | 31,309 | \% |  |
| Building permits less federal contracts | \$ | 17,050 | - 53 | -74 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 57,816 | 31 | 7 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) ${ }^{\text {a }}$. | \$ | 27,645 | 4 |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. |  | 25.6 | 27 | 4 |
| Nonfarm placements |  | 236 | 8 |  |
| PLEASANTON (pop. 5,053 ${ }^{\text {T }}$ ) |  |  |  |  |
| Building permits less federal contracts | \$ | 29,000 | - 21 | 9 |
| Brak debits (thousands) | \$ | 5,195 | G | 6 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) ${ }_{\text {a }}$ | \$ | 4,642 |  | 5 |
| Annual rate of deposit tarnover. |  | 13.3 | 6 | 2 |
| QUANAH (pop. 4,570 ${ }^{\text {² }}$ ) |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* | \$ | 5,821 | 9 |  |
| Buildink permits less federal contracts | \$ | 0 |  |  |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 6,135 | an | 10 |
| End-of-month deposits (thoussands) $\ddagger$. | \$ | 6,476. | $-10$ | 7 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. |  | 10.8 | 10 |  |
| RAYMONDVILLE (pop. 9,385) |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* | \$ | 9.368 | 8 | 9 |
| Building permits less federal contracts | 8 | 4,000 | - 78 | -61 |
| Bank debits (thousands) |  | 13,283 |  |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\dagger$. | \$ | 11,911 |  |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. |  | 12.7 | - 2 |  |
| Nonfarm placements ...... |  | 44 | - 17 | 2 |
| REFUGIO (pop. 4,944) |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* ${ }^{*}$ | \$ | 4,594 | - 10 |  |
| Building permits less federal contracts | \$ | 1,000 |  |  |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 4,641 | $-20$ | 3 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) t . |  | 7,942 | - 6 |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. |  | 6.8 | -18 | 8 |


| Local Business Conditions |  |  | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| City and item |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sep } \\ & 1969 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Sep } 1969 \\ \text { from } \\ \text { Aug } 1969 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sep } 1969 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Sep } 1968 \end{aligned}$ |
| ROCKDALE (pop. 4,481) |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts ${ }^{\text {¢ }}$. .................. \$ |  | 7,225 | 8 | 16 |
| Building permits less federal contracts \$ |  | 29,710 | 675 |  |
| Bank debits (thousands)............ \$ | \$ | 7,061 | -8 | 27 |
| End-ot-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. \$ |  | 5,861 | - 3 | 7 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. |  | 14.3 | -8 | 16 |
| SAN MARCOS (pop. $17,500^{\text { }}$ ) |  |  |  |  |
| Postal recelpts* ${ }^{*}$.................. \& |  | 18,911 | --11 | $-42$ |
| Building permits less federal contracts \$ |  | 152,540 | -.. 68 | 192 |
| Bank debits (thousands) |  | 20,708 | 17 | 13 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. \$ |  | 17,032: | 9 | 8 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. |  | 15.2 | 9 | 4 |
| SAN SABA (pop. 2,728) |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receiptst ${ }^{\text {a }}$. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ${ }^{\text {\% }}$ |  | 3,442 | - 6 | $-25$ |
| Building permits less federal contracts \$ |  | 0 |  |  |
| Bank debits (thousands)........... |  | 8,552 | 19 | 21 |
| End-of-month deposits (thoushands) $\ddagger$. \$ |  | 6,200 | - 1 | 4 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. |  | 16.5 | 21 | 17 |
| SEAGRAVES (pop. 2,307) |  |  |  |  |
| Building permits less federal contracts ${ }_{\text {d }}$ |  | 18,000 |  | 47 |
| Bank debits (thousands) \\| . . . . . . . . \$ |  | 8,186 | 13 | 11 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . \$$ |  | 2,305 | 11 | -25 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. |  | 17.4 | 17 | 38 |
| SEMINOLE (pop. 5,737) |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* |  | 6,525 | 8 | 17 |
| Building permits less federal contracts \$ |  | 40,850 | 896 |  |
| Bank debits (thousands)............ \$ |  | 6,660 | 14 | 9 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) i. \$ |  | 6,639 | - | 8 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. |  | 11.5 | 4 | - |
| SILSBEE (pop, 8,447 ${ }^{\text {² }}$ ) |  |  |  |  |
| Bank debits (thousands)........... \$ |  | 12,285 | - 9 | 32 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. |  | 11,524 | 6 | 24 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. |  | 18.2 | -- 14 | 7 |
| SMITHYKLLE (pop. 2,935 ${ }^{\text {² }}$ ) |  |  |  |  |
| Postal recetpts* .................... \& |  | 4,028 | 31 | 23 |
| Building permits less federal contracts \$ |  | 2,667 | -.-56 | - 59 |
| Bank debits (thousands)........... \$ |  | 2,158 | - | 8 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. |  | 2,884 | 1 | 3 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover |  | 9.4 | 3 | 6 |
| SNYDER (pop. 13,850) |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts ${ }^{\text {n }}$. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . $\$$ |  | 17,911 | 24 |  |
| Building permits less federal contracts \$ |  | 37,250 | -61 | $-71$ |
| Bank debits (thousands) |  | 14,563 | 1 | - 3 |
| End-ofumonth deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. |  | 19,089 |  | * |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. |  | 9.1 | - |  |
| SONORA (pop. 2,619) |  |  |  |  |
| Building permits less federal contracts \$ |  | 19,500 | 680 | 807 |
| Bank debits (thousands) |  | 3,465 | 5 | 10 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. |  | 4,800 | - 5 | B |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. |  | 8.5 | 12 | 1 |
| STEPHENVILLE (pop. 7,359) |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 13,229 | 5 | - 16 |
| Building permits less federal contracts \$ |  | 198,500 | 31 | 55 |
| Bank debits (thousands)........... \$ |  | 13.600 | 6 | 14 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. \$ |  | 12,692: | 7 | 9 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover.... |  | 13.3 | 4 | 5 |
| STRATFORD (pop. 2,500 ${ }^{\text { }}$ ) |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* |  | 2,922 | - 5 | $-12$ |
| Building permits less federal contracts |  | 0 |  |  |
| Bank debits (thousands) |  | 12,561 | 3 | 27 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ |  | 6,800 | - 1 | 7 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover.... |  | 23.8 | 6 | 16 |

For an explanation of symbols see p. 380 .

| Local Business Conditions |  |  | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| City and item- |  | Sep | $\begin{gathered} \text { Sep } 1969 \\ \text { from } \\ \text { Aug } 1969 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sep } 1969 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Sep } 1968 \end{aligned}$ |
| SULPHUR SPRINGS (pop. 12,158 ${ }^{\text {² }}$ ) |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts ${ }^{\text {P }}$ | \$ | 21,449 | - | - 5 |
| Building permits less federal contracts |  | 239,670 | 82 | 395 |
| Bank debits (thousands) .......... |  | 25,637 | 13 | 20 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. |  | 18,653 | 9 | 6 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. |  | 16.8 | 11 | 15 |
| SWEETWATER (pop. 13,914) |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* | 8 | 15,148 | 2 |  |
| Building permits less federal contracts | \$ | 12,325 | - 65 | 162 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 15,977 |  | 23 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | \$ | 12,660 |  | 24 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. |  | 15.0 |  |  |
| Nonfarm placements |  | 110 | 26 | $-21$ |
| TAHOKA (pop. 3,600 ${ }^{\text {\% }}$ ) |  |  |  |  |
| Building permits less federal contracts | \$ | 0 |  |  |
| Bank debits (thousands). | \$ | 6,056 |  |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | \$ | 7,186 | 2 | 5 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. |  | 10.2 |  | $-10$ |
| TAYLOR (pop. 9,434) |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* | \$ | 12,893 | 24 |  |
| Building permits less federal contracts | 8 | 71,160 | 402 | - 79 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | 8 | 17,120 | 1 | 27 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | \$ | 25,658 | 3 | 12 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. |  | 8.1 | - | 14 |
| Nonfarm placements |  | 42 | 62 | 17 |
| TEMPLE (pop. 34,730 ') |  |  |  |  |
| Retail sales |  | $-15 \dagger$ | 4 | 19 |
| Furniture and householdanpliance stores |  | -17¢ |  |  |
| Postal receipts* | * | 65,522 | $\mathbf{3}^{3}$ | 15 |
| Building permits less federal contracts | * | 218,110 | $-45$ | 86 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 54,435 | 3 | 6 |
| Norifarm placements |  | 264 | 14 | 9 |
| UVALDE (pop. 14,000 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ ) |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* | \$ | 18,912 | 14 | 52 |
| Building permits less federal contracts | \$ | 62,650 |  | - 51 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 23,302 |  | 21 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | \$ | 11,415 |  | 4 |
| Annual rate of depasit turnover |  | 22,1 |  | 17 |
| VERNON (pop. 13,385 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ ) |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* | \$ | 15,703. | 6 | 10 |
| Building permits less federal contracts | \$ | 123,510 | - 48 | 73 |
| Nonfarm placements |  | 93 | - 18 | - 23 |
| VICTORIA (pop. 37,000 ${ }^{\text {² }}$ ) |  |  |  |  |
| Retail sales |  | - $15 \frac{1}{}$ | t |  |
| Automotive stores |  | - 279 | $\dagger 14$ |  |
| Pastal receipts* | * | 58,503 | - 14 | - 2 |
| Building permits less federal contracts | \$ | 209,000: | -. 66 | -34 |
| Bank debits (thousands). | \$ | 94,538 | ${ }^{6}$ | 7 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | \$ | 100,656 | $-2$ | 3 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover.... |  | 11.2 | 8 | 7 |
| Nonfarm placements |  | 521 | ${ }^{3}$ |  |
| WEATHERFORD (pop. 9,759) |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* ${ }^{\text {\% }}$ (............... | \$ | 17,707 | 13 | 20 |
| Building permits less federal contracts |  | 381,650 | 394 | 889 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) . | \$ | 20,466 | 10 | 13 |
| YOAKUM (pop. 5,761) |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* | \% | 21,875 | -18 |  |
| Building permits less federal contracts | \$ | 67,850 |  | 243 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | \$ | 11,153 | 3 | 16 |

# BAROMETERS OF TEXAS BUSINESS 

## (All figures are for Texas unless otherwise indicated.)

All indexes are based on the average months for 1957-1959 except where other specification is made; all except annual indexes are adjusted for seasonal variation unless otherwise noted. Employment estimates are compiled by the Texas Employment Commission in cooperation with the Bureau of Labor Statistics of the U.S. Department of Labor. The symbols used below impose qualifications as indicated here: "-preliminary data subject to revision; $r$-revised data; \#-dollar totals for the calendar year to date; §-dollar totals for the fiscal year to date; $\ddagger$-employment data for wage and salary workers only.


## RADIO STATIONS AIRING

## The Bureau of Business Research

Series of Radio Interviews TEXAS BUSINESS REVIEW

Austin-KNOW<br>Austin-KOKE Austin-KVET<br>Big Spring-KBST<br>Big Spring-KHEM<br>Bowie-KBAN<br>Brownsville-KBOR<br>Brownwood-KBWD<br>Cleburne-KCLE<br>Cuero-KCFH<br>Dalhart-KXIT<br>Dallas-KBOX<br>Dallas-KKDA Dallas-KRLD<br>Edinburg-KURV<br>Fort Worth-KXOL<br>Freeport-KBRZ<br>Galveston-KGBC<br>Gonzales-KCTT<br>Henderson--KGRI<br>Houston-KENR<br>Houston-KODA<br>Houston-KTHT<br>Huntsville-KSAM<br>Jasper-KTXJ<br>Kermit-KERB<br>La Grange-KBLG<br>Lampasas-KCYL<br>Lufkin-KRBA<br>Midland-KCRS<br>Monahans-KVKM<br>Navasota-KWBC<br>Odessa-KOCV<br>Odessa-KQIP<br>Pecos-KIUN<br>San Angelo-KPEP<br>San Antonio-KEEZ<br>Stephenville-KSTV<br>Texarkana-KATQ<br>Tyler-KDOK<br>Victoria-KNAL<br>Victoria-KVIC<br>Weatherford-KZEE<br>Weslaco-KRGV<br>Wichita Falls-KWFT


[^0]:    Published monthly by the Bureax of Business Research, Graduate School of Business, The University of Texas at Austin, Austin, Texas 78712. Second-class postage pald at Austin, Texas, Content of this publication is not copyrighted and may be reproduced freely, but acknowledgment of source will be appreciated. The views expressed by authors are not necessarily those of the Burean of Business Research. Subscription, $\$ 8.00$ a year; individual copies 25 cents.

[^1]:    * Associate professor of sociology and associate of The Office of International Programs, The Texas A\&M University System, College Station, Texas.

[^2]:    ${ }^{1} \mathrm{~K}$, Oberg, Culture Shock and the Problem of Adjustment to New Cultural Environments, memo., the U.S. Embassy, Rio De Janeiro.

[^3]:    "Dan R. Davis, Social Change: A Sociological Series on Rural Development, Cross-Cultural Adjustment, and Motivation, Dir. No. 67-4, 1967, Texas A\&M University Agricultural Experiment Station,

[^4]:    * The fiscal year for the Texas Securities Commission runs from September 1 to August 31.

[^5]:    \$t Change is less than one half of one percent.

[^6]:    ${ }^{*}$ Percent change of current month's seasonal average from preceding month's seasonal average.
    $\dagger$ Includes kinds of business other than classifications listed.
    ** Change is less than one half of 1 percent.

[^7]:    p Preliminary.

    - Bureau of Business Research estimates based on data from the Bureau of the Census.
    \# Contains automotive stores, furniture stores, and lumber, buildingmaterial, and hardware dealers.

[^8]:    For an explanation of symbols see p. $\mathbf{3 3 0}$.

[^9]:    For an explanation of symbols see p. 330 .

[^10]:    For an explanation of symbols see p. 330.

