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## THE BUSINESS SITUATION IN TEXAS <br> Francis B. May

After reaching an April all-time high of 218.7 percent of its 1957-1959 average monthly value, the seasonally adjusted index of Texas business activity rose a fraction of a percentage point in May. At its new high of 219.0 percent of the base value the index was 15.9 percent above the May 1967 level.

The index of Texas business activity is based upon the volume of bank debits in twenty Texas cities, including the state's four largest cities: Dallas, Fort Worth, Houston, and San Antonio. Bank debits represent check payments made to settle any kind of business transaction, including payments for goods and services as well as purely financial transactions. The index is broadly based in terms of both geographical coverage and kinds of business transactions. It is adjusted for seasonal variation and price changes. Adjustment for seasonal variation emphasizes the cyclical fluctuations in the index. Without an adjustment for seasonal fluctuations, the cyclical changes are often obscured by the typical but wide pattern of customary seasonal changes, which do not represent any change in the business cycle. Correction for price change removes the influence of inflation. This is especially important in times of rapid price rises of the kind we are currently experiencing. Thus adjusted the current high level of the index represents a real and substantial improvement in the level of general business activity in the state over last year.

This improvement has been evident throughout the first five months of 1968 . Texas business activity has been above the corresponding 1967 month in each month of the January-May period. It has averaged 15 percent above activity last year. Prosperity has touched eighteen of the twenty Texas cities for which the Bureau of Business Research has indexes. These eighteen cities show an aver-
age increase of business activity during the January-May period ranging from a high of 18 percent for Austin, Dallas, and Fort Worth to 2 percent for Lubbock. Port Arthur showed no increase over the first five months of last year. Abilene had a 7 -percent decline.

Crude-oil production in the state, adjusted for seasonal factors, rose 3 percent in May. At 114.6 percent of average monthly production during the 1957-1959 base period the index was 10.1 percent above that of May 1967. During the January-May period this year the index has averaged 10 percent above the index for the corresponding 1967 period. The May production figure was 2.4 percent below the ten-year high of 117.4 percent, reached by the index in February of this year. Total imports from January to May this year have been below year-ago totals of the same period, This has helped Texas oil producers. The May production index was higher than it has been for any May since 1957, when production was 116.8 percent of its base value.

Texas production of crude oil totaled 393.5 million barrels during the first four months of this year, up 11.2 percent over the corresponding 1967 period. The Middle and Upper Gulf producing districts and West Texas produced most of the increase. West Texas alone produced 19.6 million barrels of the 39.5 -million-barrel increase in total production for the state during this period.

During the first four months Louisiana crude-oil production rose 15.9 percent. The increase of 38.3 million barrels almost matched the increase in Texas production of 39.5 million barrels. Louisiana production totaled 279.5 million barrels compared with 393.5 for Texas. This was 71.0 percent of the Texas total. During January-April of 1967 Louisiana production of 241.1 million barrels was

## TEXAS BUSINESS ACTIVITY

Index Adjusted for Seasonal Variation-1957-1959 = 100

NOTE: Shaded areas indicate periods of decline of total business activity in the United States. SOURCE: Based on bank debits reported by the Federal Reserve Bank of Dallas and adjusted for seasonal variation and changes in the price level by the Bureau of Business Research.
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## CRUDE-OIL RUNS TO STILLS, TEXAS


68.1 percent of Texas production. Unless exploration and discovery of new oil fields in this state are stepped up, Louisiana will challenge Texas for first place among oilproducing states one of these days.
Enormous offshore oil deposits have been the source of the rapid increase in Louisiana oil production. During the first four months the South Louisiana district, which includes offshore oil fields, produced 259.9 million barrels, or 93.0 percent of total production in the state. Relatively little oil has been discovered in offshore Texas waters, but interest in the area is increasing. At a recent lease sale bids for Texas offshore tracts brought in a total of $\$ 602.4$ million, indicating that the past feelings of pessimism concerning the area have been reversed. In 1962 the oil industry bought for a piddling $\$ 578,000$ only 10 of 30 tracts offered. The tracts which brought such high prices in the recent sale lie in two bands paralleling onshore Gulf Coast trends.
May crude-oil runs to stills rose 5 percent with seasonal adjustment. The 137.6 -percent value of the index was an all-time high, exceeding by 2.9 percent the February peak of 133.7 percent. Demand for the output of Texas refineries has been running at high levels since January, averaging 9 percent above demand during the first five months of last year. National demand for refined products for the first four months was above year-ago levels. Total demand for gasoline was up 8.3 percent; for kerosine, 12.9 percent; for distillate, up 8.9 percent; and for residual fuel oil, up 3.8 percent.
Although seasonally adjusted total electric-power use declined in May, average consumption during the first five months was 5 percent above that of the same period of 1967. Industrial power use fell 2 percent in May. For the January-May period industrial power use averaged 6 per-

BUSINESS-ACTIVITY INDEXES FOR 20 SELECTED TEXAS CITIES (Adjusted for sensonal variation-1957-1959=100)

| Index | $\begin{gathered} \text { May * } \\ 1968 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Apr r } \\ & 1968 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Year-to-date } \\ & \text { average } \\ & 1968 \end{aligned}$ | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { May } 1968 \\ \text { from } \\ \text { Apr } 1968 \end{gathered}$ | Year-to-date average 1968 from 1967 |
| Abilene | . 132.8 | 144.6 | 132.9 | - 8 | - 7 |
| Amarillo | .176.1 | 187.6 | 184.5 | - 6 | 10 |
| Austin | . 248.2 | 247.0 | 235.8 | ** | 18 |
| Beaumont | . 195.6 | 191.6 | 190.0 | 2 | 4 |
| Corpus Christi | . 164.2 | 153.1 | 157.9 | 7 | 13 |
| Corsicana | . . 155.1 | 166.4 | 164.2 | 7 | 13 |
| Dallas | . 260.0 | 269.3 | 247.8 | 3 | 18 |
| El Paso .. | . . 133.2 | 189.6 | 134.9 | 5 | 4 |
| Fort Worth | . 166.9 | 176.5 | 166.8 | - 5 | 18 |
| Galveston | . 136.7 | 126.0 | 134.7 | 8 | 17 |
| Houston | . 239.3 | 233.5 | 230.1 | 2 | 15 |
| Laredo ..... | . 215.4 | 213.0 | 209.2 | 1 | 12 |
| Lubbock | .157.1 | 163.3 | 143.0 | - 4 | 2 |
| Port Arthur | . 113.5 | 116.1 | 113.1 | $-2$ | ** |
| San Angelo | . .158.1 | 158.1 | 155.1 | ** | 8 |
| San Antonio | .. 188.4 | 186.1 | 190.5 | 1 | 15 |
| Texarkana | . 226.8 | 244.6 | 230.0 | $-7$ | 11 |
| Tyler | . 164.1 | 157.8 | 156.3 | 4 | 9 |
| Waco ... | . 189.5 | 178.0 | 170.0 | 6 | 13 |
| Wichita Falls | . 126.2 | 153.6 | 131.9 | $-18$ | 4 |

[^1]INDUSTRIAL PRODUCTION, TEXAS*
Index Adjusted for Seasonal variation-1957-1959 = 100


## RETAIL-SALES TRENDS BY KIND OF BUSINESS

(Unadjusted)


* Percent change of current month's seasonal average from preceding month's seasonal average.
$\dagger$ Includes kinds of business other than classifications listed.
** Change is less than one half of 1 percent.

cent above that of the corresponding 1967 period. Total power consumption and industrial power consumption both have strong upward growth trends. The average value of the total power index has advanced in eighteen of the last twenty years. Industrial power consumption also has increased in eighteen of the last twenty years.

Sales of ordinary life insurance in Texas rose 14 percent in May with allowance for seasonal factors. This strong rise carried the index to an all-time high of 240.0 percent of average monthly sales during the 1957-1959 base period. This figure was 16.2 percent above the May 1967 level. Sales of ordinary life insurance have been running at high levels all year, averaging 17 percent above sales during the first five months of 1967. Inflation, eroding the purchasing power of benefits to beneficiaries, forces family

heads to carry ever-larger amounts of insurance. The reserves against these liabilities which are accumulated by life-insurance companies are a tremendous source of investable capital, which is of particular importance to the homebuilding industry.

The seasonally adjusted index of urban building permits issued rose 5 percent in May. A modest 2-percent rise in nonresidential permits and a 13 -percent rise in nonresidential permits pushed the index to its highest level since its 209.5 -percent value in November 1967. Despite credit stringencies this index averaged 15 percent above the first five months of 1967. Strength in residential permits, which averaged 35 percent above the total for JanuaryMay 1967, offset weakness in nonresidential permits, which were 2 percent below the January-May 1967 levei.

| Industry \# | Average weekly earnings |  |  | Average weekly hours |  |  | Average hourly earnings |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { May ** } \\ 1968 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Apr} \\ & 1968 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } \\ & 1967 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { May * } \\ 1968 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Apr } \\ & 1968 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } \\ & 1967 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { May }{ }^{*} \\ 1968 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Apr} \\ & 1968 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } \\ & 1967 \end{aligned}$ |
| Manufacturing-total. | . 8120.67 | \$117.83 | \$109.71 | 41.9 | 41.2 | 41.4 | \$2.88 | \$2.86 | \$2.65 |
| Durable goods. | 125.24 | 119.07 | 111.76 | 42.6 | 41.2 | 41.7 | 2.94 | 2.89 | 2.68 |
| Lumber and wood products | 83.98 | 82.19 | 79.24 | 42.2 | 41.3 | 42.6 | 1.99 | 1.99 | 1.86 |
| Furniture and fixtures | 88.00 | 85.72 | 76.62 | 40.0 | 39.5 | 38.5 | 2.20 | 2.17 | 1.99 |
| Stone, clay, and glass products | 105.00 | 106.42 | 96.54 | 42.0 | 42.4 | 43.1 | 2.50 | 2.51 | 2.24 |
| Primary-metal industries. | 141.53 | 142.89 | 124.84 | 42.5 | 42.4 | 41.2 | 3.33 | 3.87 | 3.03 |
| Fabricated-metal products. | 121.98 | 115.09 | 116.04 | 42.8 | 41.4 | 43.3 | 2.85 | 2.78 | 2.68 |
| Machinery, except electrical. . | 130.09 | 118.94 | 118.16 | 43.8 | 41.3 | 43.6 | 2.97 | 2.88 | 2.71 |
| Oil-field machinery....... | 141.57 | 125.86 | 129.17 | 44.8 | 41.4 | 43.2 | 3.16 | 8.04 | 2.99 |
| Transportation equipment. | 155.76 | 143.59 | 136.36 | 44.0 | 41.5 | 41.7 | 3.54 | 3.46 | 3.27 |
| Nondurable goods.......... | 115.08 | 115.49 | 106.60 | 41.1 | 41.1 | 41.0 | 2.80 | 2.81 | 2.60 |
| Food and kindred products. | 101.57 | 101.02 | 92.10 | 41.8 | 41.4 | 41.3 | 2.43 | 2.44 | 2.23 |
| Meat packing..... | 105.17 | 105.83 | 97.90 | 41.9 | 41.5 | 42.2 | 2.51 | 2.55 | 2.32 |
| Textile-mill products. | 84.35 | 81.77 | 78.75 | 42.6 | 41.3 | 42.8 | 1.98 | 1.98 | 1.84 |
| Broad-woven-fabric mills. | 85.80 | 83.38 | 81.59 | 42.9 | 41.9 | 43.4 | 2.00 | 1.99 | 1.88 |
| Apparel and other finished textile products | 72.96 | 72.01 | 62.87 | 38.2 | 38.1 | 38.1 | 1.91 | 1.89 | 1.65 |
| Paper and allied products...... | 129.03 | 125.54 | 120.10 | 43.3 | 42.7 | 43.2 | 2.98 | 2.94 | 2.78 |
| Printing, publishing, and allied industries. | 117.73 | 116.35 | 112.16 | 38.6 | 38.4 | 40.2 | 3.05 | 3.03 | 2.79 |
| Chemicals and allied products...... | 155.79 | 159.94 | 148.26 | 42.8 | 43.7 | 42.0 | 3.64 | 3.66 | 3.53 |
| Petroleum refining and related industries | 163.66 | 167.70 | 161.88 | 42.4 | 43.0 | 42.6 | 3.86 | 3.90 | 3.80 |
| Leather and leather products. ........ . | 74.94 | 74.82 | 67.68 | 42.1 | 41.8 | 42.3 | 1.78 | 1.79 | 1.60 |
| Nonmanufacturing |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mining. | 143.47 | 148.09 | 132.40 | 42.7 | 43.3 | 42.3 | 3.36 | 3.42 | 3.13 |
| Crude petroleum and natural gas........ | 146.12 | 151.12 | 133.88 | 42.6 | 43.3 | 42.1 | 3.43 | 3.49 | 3.18 |
| Sulphur. | 141.64 | 142.86 | 152.15 | 40.7 | 40.7 | 42.5 | 3.48 | 3.51 | 3.58 |
| Public utilities... | 117.61 | 117.60 | 114.62 | 39.6 | 40.0 | 39.8 | 2.97 | 2.94 | 2.88 |
| Wholesale trade. | 120.13 | 117.02 | 110.77 | 42.3 | 42.4 | 43.1 | 2.84 | 2.76 | 2.57 |
| Retail trade............. | 78.70 | 78.28 | 72.36 | 37.3 | 37.1 | 37.3 | 2.11 | 2.11 | 1.94 |

[^2]* Preliminary, subject to revision upon receipt of additional reports.

Source: Texas Employment Commission.

May was the eighty-seventh month of this longest of all cyclical upswings. Passage of a 10 -percent income surtax and a cut in federal spending should dampen the fires of inflation. There should be continuing prosperity, and on a sounder basis, in Texas and the nation.

INDEXES OF CONSUMER PRICES, U.S. AND HOUSTON, TEXAS (Adjusted for seasonal variation $1957-1959=100$ )

| $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Apr}_{1968} \end{aligned}$ | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Apr 1968 from |  |
|  | Jan1968 | Apr 1967 |
| All items |  |  |
| United States ........... 119.9 | 1 | 4 |
| Houston, Texas ...........118.0 | 1 | 4 |
| Food |  |  |
| United States ..............118.3 | 1 | 4 |
| Houston, Texas ...........118.0 | 1 | 2 |
| Housing |  |  |
| United States .............. 117.5 | 1 | 3 |
| Houston, Texas ............115.1 | 1 | 5 |
| Apparel and upkeep |  |  |
| United States . . . . . . . . . . . 118.4 | 2 | 5 |
| Houston, Texas ...........114.9 | 2 | 5 |
| Transportation |  |  |
| United States .............. 119.0 | ** | 3 |
| Houston, Texas ...........116.4 | 1 | 4 |
| Health and recreation |  |  |
| United States . . . . . . . . . . . 128.8 | 1 | 5 |
| Houston, Texas ............127.3 | 1 | 4 |

** Change is less than one half of 1 percent.
Since these data are compiled quarterly, April is the latest month for which the consumer price index is available for Houston, Texas. Source: Bureau of Labor Statistics, U.S. Department of Labor.

## PRELIMINARY ESTIMATES OF TOTAL RETAIL SALES

(Unadjusted)

| Type of store | $\begin{gathered} \text { May } \\ 1968 \mathrm{p} \\ \text { (millions of dollars) } \end{gathered}$ | Percent change |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } 1968 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Apr } 1968 \end{aligned}$ | May 1968 from May 1967 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Jan-May } 1968 \\ \text { from } \\ \text { Jan-May } 1967 \end{gathered}$ |
| Total | .1,631.0 | 5 | 7 | 10 |
| Durable good | \# . . . 633.0 | 13 | 18 | 17 |
| Nondurable | ods .. 998.0 | ** | 2 | 7 |

p Preliminary.

* Bureau of Business Research estimates based on data from the Bureau of the Census.
\# Contains automotive stores, furniture stores, and lumber, buildingmaterial, and hardwara dealers.
** Change is less than one half of 1 percent.

CREDIT RATIOS IN DEPARTMENT AND APPAREL STORES


* Credit sales divided by net sales.
† Collections during the month divided by accounts unpaid on first of the month.

ESTIMATES OF NONAGRICULTURAL EMPLOYMENT IN TEXAS

| Industry | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Employment } \\ & \text { (thousands) } \\ & \text { May }{ }^{*} \text {. } \\ & 1968 \end{aligned}$ | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } 1968 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Apr } 1968 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } 1968 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { May } 1967 \end{aligned}$ |
| TOTAL NONAGRICULTURAL | .3,404.6 | ** | 5 |
| MANUFACTURING | 697.3 | ** | 6 |
| Durable goods | 388.1 | ** | 10 |
| Lumber and wood products | 20.3 | ** | -2 |
| Furniture and fixtures | 14.9 | ** | 6 |
| Stone, clay, and glass products | 28.0 | 1 | 3 |
| Primary-metal industries . | 33.3 | ** | ** |
| Fabricated-metal products | . 46.5 | - 1 | 8 |
| Machinery, except electrical | 62.0 | ** | 4 |
| Electrical machinery, equipment, and supplies | .. 51.2 | 1 | 10 |
| Transportation equipment | . 100.3 | 1 | 29 |
| Other durable goods (including ordnance) | 31.6 | 2 | 11 |
| Nondurable goods ......... | ... 809.2 | ** | 1 |
| Food and kindred products | 82.7 | 1 | ** |
| Textile-mill products ... | 8.3 | ** | ** |
| Apparel and other finished textile products | 53.9 | 1 | 2 |
| Paper and allied products | 14.4 | 1. | 7 |
| Printing, publishing, and allied industries | 37.2 | ** | 2 |
| Chemicals and allied products | 61.4 | ** | 4 |
| Petroleum refining and related industries ... | 36.2 | - 1 | ** |
| Leather and leather products | 4.1 | ** | 17 |
| Other nondurable goods | 11.0 | ** | 11 |
| NONMANUFACTURING .......... | . 2,707.3 | ** | 4 |
| Mining ............ | 104.9 | ** | ** |
| Crude petroleum and natural gas ....... | 98.6 | ** | ** |
| Metal, coal, and other mining .. | . 6.3 | 2 | 2 |
| Contract construction | 209.8 | ** | 1 |
| Transportation, communication, and public utilities ........ | 247.7 | ** | 1 |
| Interstate railroads | 30.9 | ** | - 3 |
| Other transportation | 126.5 | ** | 3 |
| Communication | 46.0 | ** | 2 |
| Public utilities | 44.3 | ** | 2 |
| Trade | 790.1 | ** | 3 |
| Wholesale trade | 232.6 | 1 | 4 |
| Retail trade ................... | . 557.5 | ** | 3 |
| Building materials, hardware, and farm equipment | . 31.9 | ** | 2 |
| General merchandise ......... | . 109.9 | - 1 | 2 |
| Food stores | 86.9 | ** | 1 |
| Automotive dealers and service stations .... | 93.1 | 1 | 4 |
| Apparel and accessories | 35.5 | $-3$ | 2 |
| Other retail trade ........... | . 200.2 | 1 | 4 |
| Finance, insurance, and real estate $\qquad$ | 171.2 | ** | 5 |
| Banking | 42.0 | ** | 5 |
| Insurance | 71.1 | ** | 3 |
| Real estate and other finance .. | . 58.1 | 1 | 7 |
| Services and miscellaneous ........ | . 537.8 | 1 | 8 |
| Hotels and lodging places | 39.7 | 2 | 5 |
| Laundries, cleaning and dyeing plants $\qquad$ | . 40.0 | 1 | 2 |
| Other services and miscellaneous | 458.1 | ** | 9 |
| Government .................. | . 645.8 | 1 | 6 |
| Federal government ............ | . 165.1 | ** | 1 |

[^3]** Change is less than one half of 1 percent.
Source: Texas Employment Commission in cooperation with the Bureau of Labor Statistics, U.S. Department of Labor.

# THE UNITED STATES BUSINESS EXECUTIVE IN LATIN AMERICA <br> Thomas M. Adams* 

A prominent United States mining company needs a division manager in a region of South America. John S., an experienced and highly competent executive, is selected for the job. Neither John nor his wife, Helen, knows Spanish. They are unacquainted with the history and the customs of the new country. Since the need for a division manager is pressing, John and his wife, after three short meetings with the overseas operations vice president, are rushed to the airport and bade a fond adiós. John has his doubts about living in a foreign country, but the $\$ 30,000$ salary is too attractive to turn down.

John finds the going rough, but he is willing to study the language in his spare moments. He knows it will take time to adjust to the new environment. Helen finds the transition even more difficult. With only two other persons from the States in the town, Helen is unable to find refuge in an American ghetto-style life. The weeks drag into months, but before a year has passed John asks for reassignment to the States. He has achieved only mediocre results in his work, the local people continue in their distrust of the gringos, and another United States business involvement fails,

Less than a half dozen years ago the United States government went to the United States business community to seek a team from the commercial aviation field to aid in the revitalization and modernization of the govern-ment-owned airline of a Latin American country.

The team of twelve was selected, a large amount of money was allocated, and the men and their families were on the way to a task which would require almost two years for completion. The men were well chosen. Each was an expert in his field. They and their families made great efforts to adapt to the local situation, but only two or three of the men were successful in acquiring minimal facility in the language.

Within two months after the team had completed its assignment and returned to the United States the airline it had attempted to overhaul reverted to its previous condition and gradually almost every trace of the gringos' presence disappeared. This occurrence caused much consternation and deep disappointment to the United States team, for these men had been sincerely interested in the constructive effort.
The mission failed because the team was not properly prepared to understand the mentality and the customs of the people with whom they were working and because they were not made aware of the political infighting which prevailed during that time.

Another United States failure!

[^4]
## The Ugly American

The United States citizen is found in almost every part of the world. Since 1958 the people of the United States have become more aware of the impact of the American presence, for it was in 1958 that William Lederer and Eugene Burdick described in fictionalized stories, based on their experiences and observations in southeast Asia, the actions and reactions of United States citizens living in that part of the world. After The Ugly American' became a best seller and a popular movie the title became a byword in the language.

Apart from the United States government the most important and far-reaching presence of the United States is that of its business community.

What image does United States business convey in foreign countries? How effectively does the United States business community operate overseas? Are the top personnel properly trained and prepared for their overseas assignments? If a man is technically proficient and a success in this country, is it assumed that he will be equally effective in a foreign country?

## The Size of the United States Business Presence in Latin America

During 1967 initial research was conducted at The University of Texas at Austin concerning the United States business presence in Latin America. The main focus was on the training provided by United States firms for the top personnel sent to Latin America. The research was done by the Institute of Latin American Studies with the cooperation of the College of Business Administration.

A glance at the figures shows that the size of the United States business presence in Latin America is substantial. Throughout Latin America 950 United States firms are involved in business enterprises. The involvement ranges from huge operations requiring the presence of several hundred Americans to small sales outlets which are associated with local businesses.
The number of United States firms in the individual countries of Latin America ranges from 521 in Mexico to 4 in British Honduras. Brazil has 344, Venezuela 247, Argentina 215, Colombia 203, and Peru 135. Each of the remaining countries has fewer than 100 United States firms operating within its borders.

Two thirds of the 950 companies have United States personnel in Latin America. These firms have over 10,500 men directly involved there. When wives and children are added to this number the full United States business community in Latin America totals nearly 40,000 United States citizens. A very formidable presence.

Criteria Used in Selection of Top Personnel
The average United States citizen would be quite surprised to hear a well-educated Latin American visitor

[^5]ask why we have a holiday on July 4 or why so many of our streets are named Jefferson.

In Central and South America one often hears United States tourists ask Latin American versions of the above questions. Perhaps the tourist can be forgiven. But what an insult it must be to the local citizenry to be presented with these and similar queries by an educated businessman who has come to live in their country! Yet such things happen with surprising frequency in every country of Latin America.
The efficiency and thoroughness of United States busines and industry is well known throughout the world. Certainly the top personnel sent to Latin America must be well trained and specially prepared for their work in a new land and among a new people.

The research undertaken at the Institute of Latin American Studies, in investigating the training given to top personnel sent to Latin America, sought the answers to this question: What cultural, social, psychological, and language training do United States firms provide for their executives experienced in administrative or technical service before giving them assignments to Latin America?

It is quite obvious that a company selecting a man for overseas work must choose someone who is administratively and/or technically competent. This competency, of course, must be the primary criterion.

Surprisingly, however, United States business firms generally do not emphasize the need for their representatives to Latin America to know the language, customs, and history of the countries of assignment. Of even less concern is the psychological disposition of the men for life in a foreign environment. Only 4 percent of the top personnel in Latin America were selected with the twofold secondary criteria of language proficiency and ability to adapt to a new culture. Fully 20 percent of foreign-service business personnel were chosen for positions in Latin America solely because of administrative or technical qualifications. No consideration was given to the capability of these people to adapt to a new culture or their ability to learn a foreign language.

The Training Provided for Business Representatives
Having selected their overseas representatives, what training do the United States firms give to these men and their families?

Those companies which provide no training program whatsoever send one fifth of the top personnel to Latin America. Other companies which do provide some sort of preparation frequently find it impossible to spare a man for a period of training because the flow of business or the immediate need for his talents precludes any delay in his departure for Latin America.

# The Number of United States Firms Operating in Selected Countries of Latin America 



Source: Juvenal L. Angel, Directory of American Firms Operating in Foreign Countries, 6 th ed. (New York, World Trade Academy Press, Inc., 1966).


Source: Survey by the Institute of Latin American Studies and the Bureau of Business Research at The University of Texas at Austin, 1967, and Juvenal Angel, Directory of American Firms Operating in Foreign Countries, 6th ed. (New York, World Trade Academy Press, Inc.).

Another group of firms, which send one fifth of the top personnel to Latin America, exposed their representatives to a program conducted by the firms themselves. The majority of these companies were concerned only with technical training. A few companies have rather extensive seminar-type programs held for a period of several days or even for a week or two. Other firms have like programs of shorter duration. In many instances the program consists of a conversation or two with the president of the company or the foreign operations officer. Some firms have a longer period of exposure for the appointee, but very few firms have a complete training program.

How many of these top personnel receive some sort of language training as well as cultural preparation? Fewer than one out of four. The length and quality of this training varies considerably, but generally it lacks thoroughness and is of too short duration to be effective.

The research reveals that overall just one half of the top personnel are given the opportunity to obtain language training. Most of the firms which encourage this language preparation permit the individual man to choose his own language course. The six-weeks Berlitz program is most popular.

At times the success of a man in an overseas assignment is determined by his own family. If his wife and children are able to adjust to the new environment the executive's outlook is much brighter and his work more fruitful. Yet only one out of four wives is given the opportunity to study the language and/or the history and customs of the country in which they will live. In all but a few singular instances no consideration is given to the cultural orientation of the children.

## Professional Training Programs

Of the various programs offered to train United States businessmen for service in Latin America, those at only two schools in the United States provide training of a specific and professional nature. A third is already planned.

The American Institute of Foreign Trade in Phoenix, Arizona, offers a "Key-Man Course" to executives who have been assigned overseas. The Course, lasting six weeks, has been presented several times each year since 1951. The A.I.F.T. Key-Man Course emphasizes language training, but offers only limited cultural, social, political, and psychological preparation. Somewhat more than 250 men and 130 wives have taken this course prior to their departure for Latin America.
The Business Council for International Understanding, New York City, has also established a training program
for executives who are involved in overseas activities. The program, begun in 1958, is conducted by the American University School of International Service, Washington, D.C. Consisting of courses in international relations and intensive language instruction, it is for mature executives -from technical specialists to managing directors. The majority of its students enroll before assignment or reassignment abroad, but about a third have responsibilities that keep them most of the time at their United States home base. Half of the participants who enroll have from five to thirty years' experience abroad. An increasing number of participants are foreign nationals who represent United States companies or affiliates in their own or other countries. Graduates include nationals of ten countries. The courses have special values for each of these categories of people whose very diversity creates an interplay that enriches the experience of all participants. As of December, 1966, eighty-one executives presently working in Latin America were trained in the B.C.I.U. Program. The wives of fifty-six of these men accompanied their husbands during the course.

A new approach to the specific task of preparing businessmen for Latin America is being developed at Transylvania University in Lexington, Kentucky, in what will be a third and more ambitious program.

Transylvania University has determined to establish the first International School for Development Administration (ISDA) in the Americas. In a two-year graduate curriculum, ISDA will concern itself with inter-American business problems and prepare its graduates for middlelevel executive positions in Latin American business organizations. In this, the University will fill long-neglected private business management gaps within the Latin American business sphere.

Graduates of the school will be prepared for responsible positions in all areas of business. The curriculum will be comprehensive, it will draw on the latest techniques in graduate business education. Practical rather than theoretical approaches to problems will be stressed.

ISDA will be located on the Transylvania campus and it will confer the degree of Master of Business Administration (M.B.A.) upon its graduates. It will be an affiliated

## TRAINING PROVIDED BY FIRMS FOR TOP PERSONNEL ${ }^{1}$ AFTER ASSIGNMENT TO LATIN AMERICA


professional school in the University's liberal arts community. The program will require two years' work beyond B.A. or B.S. degrees.

A specialized library with extensive research and study materials on Latin America, international business, and development administration will be established as an integral part of overall University library facilities.

The ISDA student body will be composed of 60 percent United States and 40 percent Latin American students.

At the present time Transylvania University is searching for a dean to head the ISDA program. Simultaneously, the search for financial support is underway.

If this program is successful Transylvania may expand its efforts into the field of training United States executives for overseas service in Latin America.

## The Specific Problems and the Literature

Many difficulties and problems of a cross-cultural nature beset an outside business or a foreign businessman adapting to an unfamiliar environment. Some of the perplexities are obvious, while others are noticed only after a longer exposure to the situation.

The literature on the conditions of the business environment in other countries, and specifically in Latin America, is sparse. Most of what has been written must be filtered out of the more comprehensive writings of sociology, anthropology, business management, and tax laws.

The outline of the general problems of United States accommodation to a foreign environment was given in the previously mentioned book, The Ugly American. It is the thesis of the authors that the $1,500,000$ United States citizens abroad have been on the whole eminently unsuccessful in understanding and relating to the people of other lands. Dollar diplomacy is worse than useless unless it is backed by a sane and thorough policy of sending a small force of well-trained, well-chosen, hard-working, and dedicated professionals to represent the United States in foreign lands.

This book brought to the attention of the people of the United States the need for government and business to choose and train their overseas representatives more thoroughly.

How must foreign-service personnel be chosen and trained? When United States personnel are sent abroad to deal with other peoples they should first be carefully selected as to their suitability to work in a foreign culture. Each must have a tolerance of cultural differences and a high threshold of impatience. He must possess certain talents, and he should have the perception and the awareness of the need for study to adapt successfully to a cross-cultural exchange.

A listing of the more obvious needs includes a knowledge of the language or at least a minimal adequacy. Some study of the history of the country as well as a rudimentary acquaintance with the political and economic situation is essential. Of more importance is some familiarity with the culture and customs of the people, or at least the openness and willingness initially to observe and learn these cultural values and perhaps later to understand and appreciate them.

All the aforementioned needs are basic, but they form just the first step. In themselves they will not assure successful contact with the people. Cross-cultural communi-
cation demands more. Before United States citizens abroad can communicate effectively with foreign nationals they must be introduced to the nonverbal language of the people. Such language exists in every country of the world and among the various groups within each country.

In his book The Silent Language ${ }^{2}$ Dr. Edward T. Hall treats of this nonverbal language. He points out the elaborate patterning of behavior which prescribes the handling of time, the spatial relationships, attitudes toward work, play, and learning. In short, the language of behavior is an integral part of communication, and the person who receives an overseas assignment would do well to learn this language.

The entire United States business community and, in particular, the top personnel sent to Latin America or to any overseas assignment ought to appreciate the fact that the international business field-like international economics, international politics, and international lawlies within the broader field of international relations. International business lies not at the periphery but at the very heart of international relations.
Richard D. Robinson discusses this aspect of United States business involvement in International Business Policy, ${ }^{3}$ where he strives to develop a calculus which, if used in selecting overseas projects, in structuring international enterprises, and in resolving operational problems, would enable the business community to reduce the area of conflict and to develop a more viable international concept of its role.
The business or industrial executive who goes to Latin America encounters a situation which often he fails to recognize. This is the special problem involved in applying modern management concepts in situations in which a substantial portion of the work force have a very limited appreciation of advanced technology, concepts of efficiency, and methods of cost control. Cultural adaptation and behavioral change are necessary by-products of industrialization.

One faces the problem of the inconsistency between local values and habitual ways of thinking and acting, on the one hand, and the values, habits, and behavioral patterns essential to the efficient operation of modern industry, on the other hand. Another basic difficulty is the general tendency toward deteriorating relations between overseas managers who come from highly industrial nations and the people of preindustrial areas with whom they are in contact.

For a complete development of this problem, one may consult the book Overseas Management ${ }^{4}$ written by Ted R. Brannen and Frank X. Hodgson. The authors suggest that to achieve an efficient industrial operation in preindustrial areas, the overseas managers must accept and adjust to cultural differences.

A final observation on the problem of cross-cultural exchange in the area of business and industry is the acknowledgment that the United States educational system has

[^6]not yet mobilized its imagination and resources to meet the urgent requirements of those thousands of United States citizens who now live and work in foreign countries. The challenge for the universities is to develop a general theory of overseas service. ${ }^{5}$

## The Commitment of United States Business

The research summarized in this article answers some questions concerning the involvement of United States business in Latin America but leaves many more unanswered. The results indicate, if nothing else, the need for a more comprehensive and detailed study of the situation.

Perhaps the greatest need is for a study of the effectiveness of the representatives of the United States firms in relation to their qualifications and preparation for work in Latin America. An on-the-spot investigation of a representative cross section of such executives should prove worth the expense. What number of top personnel are failures? Why have they failed? How well has the United States business community in Latin America integrated itself into the culture of the various Latin American countries? A comparison between those executives who have a cultural and language preparation and those who do not possess such a background ought to be conducted. It is really worth the time and expense to prepare a man (and his family) for service in Latin America? Does the man who lives and moves within the confines of the United States enclave, or ghetto, achieve the same results as one who attempts to integrate his life with those of the Latin Americans with whom he and his family are living?

A second field of investigation is the method and type of training which should be given to those top personnel who are assigned to Latin America. Is language training necessary to the proper preparation of a person for work in Latin America? Is a cultural preparation worth the expense and effort? Should the wives and children of top personnel be included in the training program? Should each company provide the training, or could this be done best at a center founded and supported by the United States business community which has interests in Latin America? Could a university be found which would cooperate with United States business in conducting such a training center?

Every United States citizen who has read The Ugly American, or any similar book or article, agrees that the problem of accommodation to a new environment ought to be given prime consideration by those who will live among another people. The observant United States visitor to Latin America sees many instances of the failure of United States citizens to understand, appreciate, and accept the people, language, and culture of the country in which they are living or traveling.
If we expect to achieve maximum success in our business relations with Latin America, and if ever the "ugly American" is to become more presentable, the United States business community cannot afford to neglect any longer the effective training and preparation of its representatives to Latin America.

[^7]
## BUILDING REVIEW, MAY

Robert B. Williamson

Construction authorized in Texas cities rose during May to a monthly total of over $\$ 187$ million. On a seasonally adjusted basis this was the highest level since last November and the third highest level on record. The adjusted index of construction authorizations during May showed gains of 5 percent from April and 12 percent from a year ago. Both residential and nonresidential authorizations contributed to these gains.

The dollar total of Texas construction authorizations for the first five months of 1968 registered an increase of 15 percent from the corresponding period of 1967. Residential building permits provided the main support for this growth with a year-to-year increase in cumulative value for the five-month period of 35 percent. Nonresidential authorizations registered a small decrease of 2 percent in value compared with the same months of last year. The growth in residential activity partly reflects a recovery from the depressed levels of early 1967, when homebuilding was just beginning to emerge from its lowest trough in ten years. Nonresidential authorizations during the first part of 1968, on the other hand, are being compared with the near-record levels reached by this category during the same months of 1967. In the latest changes for May it appears that the upward trend for residential building permits may be beginning to taper off somewhat while the nonresidential authorizations appear to be showing new strength.

Nonresidential building authorizations in Texas, although down slightly from a year earlier, remain at a very high level. During the first five months of 1968 declines from the year-earlier period were registered for some of the categories that had shown large gains to reach record highs in 1967, such as industrial buildings, stores and mercantile buildings, and educational buildings. These and other declines were nearly offset, however, by gains in other categories, with some of the more dramatic increases being for hotels, motels, and tourist courts; commercial garages; hospitals and other institutional buildings; and works and utilities. The Houston Standard Metropolitan Statistical Area accounted for the greatest strength in Texas nonresidential building authorizations during the January-May period of 1968 by showing a 40 -percent year-to-year growth rate for this category of building. Smaller

## HemisFair and Foreign Trade

The World's Fair of the Western Hemisphere, in San Antonio, is making a valuable contribution to the development of trade between the Americas, and even among nations more distantly situated throughout the world, through a mutual education process. This objective is the sole aim of the Office of Export Expansion, Texas Industrial Commission, which maintains quarters adjacent to HemisFair grounds, with numerous services for businessmen from all countries of the Americas and other parts of the world.

SMSA's registering especially high growth rates for nonresidential building included San Angelo, Brownsville-Harlingen-San Benito, Texarkana, Waco, and Corpus Christi. The three largest nonresidential building authorizations in the state during May were a $\$ 2.7$-million authorization in Dallas for a parking garage, a $\$ 1.7$-million authorization for a coliseum in Abilene, and a $\$ 1.7$-million authorization for a hospital addition in Wichita Falls.

The outlook for the privately financed component of nonresidential building appears to be favorable for the remainder of the year. A government survey released in June confirmed the indications of earlier private surveys that businessmen throughout the nation are raising their planned levels of spending for new plants and equipment during 1968. The projected increase in this kind of spending is now placed at nearly 7 percent, compared with an increase of slightly less than 6 percent projected in a government survey made three months earlier. Publicly financed construction prospects, on the other hand, are being clouded by budgetary cutbacks indicated for some types of federal government construction programs and
by the tendency of state and local governments to defer new construction projects as a consequence of recent sharp increases in the interest cost of public bond issues.

The growth in Texas residential building thus far during 1968 has been fairly general with respect to type of structure and location, but the greatest gains have been shown by apartments and other multiple-family dwellings in metropolitan areas. Apartment authorizations within the state during the first five months of 1968 more than doubled, in terms of both total value and number of dwelling units, compared with the same period of last year. The state's four largest standard metropolitan statistical areas-Dallas, Fort Worth, Houston, and San Antonio accounted for over two thirds of the total dollar increase in Texas residential permits during the first five months of 1968 compared with a year earlier. The Dallas area alone accounted for one third of the increase. Over three fourths of the dollar increase in residential authorizations for both the state and the four largest SMSA's was the result of apartment authorizations. Permits for one-family dwellings showed moderate decreases in two of the largest

ONE-FAMILY, TWO-FAMILY, AND APARTMENT-BUILDING DWELLING UNITS IN STANDARD METROPOLITAN STATISTICAL AREAS, MAY $1968 \dagger$
(Value in thousands of dollars)

| Standard metropolitan statistical area | ONE-FAMILY DWELLING UNITS |  |  |  |  |  | TWO-FAMILY DWELLING UNITS |  |  |  |  |  | APARTMENT-BUILDING DWELLINGUNITS |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | May 1968 |  | PercentchangeJan-May1968fromJan-May1967 |  |  |  | May 1968 |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Jan-May } \\ 1968 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Perc } \\ \text { chan } \\ \text { Jan-1 } \\ 196 \\ \text { fro } \\ \text { Jan- } \\ 196 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | cent nge May on May 67 | May 1968 |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Jan-May } \\ 1968 \end{gathered}$ |  | PercentchangeJan-May1968fromJan-May1967 |  |
|  |  |  | Value | No. of units | Value | $\begin{gathered} \text { No. } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { units } \end{gathered}$ | Value | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No. } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { e units } \end{aligned}$ | Valu | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No. } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { e units } \end{aligned}$ | Value | $\begin{gathered} \text { No. } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { units } \end{gathered}$ | Value | $\begin{gathered} \text { No. } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { of units } \end{gathered}$ | Value | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No. } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { units } \end{aligned}$ | Value | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No. } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { units } \end{aligned}$ |
| Abilene | 111 | 5 | 533 | 32 | - 38 | $-42$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -100 | $-100$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - 100 | $-100$ |
| Amarillo | 665 | 27 | 4,069 | 160 | 5 | $-13$ | 0 | 0 | 15 | 2 | $-94$ | -89 | 0 | 0 | 735 | 81 | 1,738 | 918 |
| Austin | 2,754 | 119 | 17,447 | 774 | 1 | $-1$ | 359 | 22 | 2,607 | 178 | 60 | 39 | 1,702 | 163 | 9,901 | 1,093 | 46 | 40 |
| Beaumont-Port ArthurOrange ............ | $836$ | 55 | 4,154 | 448 | 1 |  | 0 | 0 | 66 | 10 | 14 | 150 | 0 | 0 | 1,149 |  | $-\quad 27$ |  |
| Brownsville-Harlingen- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| San Benito ...... | 304 | 25 | 934 | 113 | 187 | 169 | 0 | 0 | . | 0 | $-100$ | $-100$ | , | , | 475 | 102 | 58 | 143 |
| Corpus Christi | 889 | 65 | 6,368 | 483 | ** | 1 | 0 | 0 | 49 | 8 | ... | ... | 1,586 | 220 | 5,874 | 718 | 348 | 212 |
| Dallas .......... | .13,384 | 861 | 64,757 | 3,944 | 14 | 10 | 661 | 52 | 2,630 | 204 | 21 | 19 | 7,008 | 1,015 | 44,102 | 7,278 | 177 | 166 |
| El Paso | 2,000 | 111 | 13,185 | - 757 | 24 | 22 | 55 | 4 | 185 | 16 | 243 | 167 | 68 | 9 | 5,529 | 642 | 295 | 218 |
| Fort Worth .... | 5,999 | 379 | 27,672 | 1,752 | 13 | 6 | 147 | 20 | 633 | 70 | 24 | 17 | 7,930 | 1,066 | 20,286 | 2,946 | 104 | 87 |
| Galveston-Texas City | 687 | 36 | 2,750 | 161 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $-100$ | $-100$ | 1,152 | 192 | 1,811 | 292 | 3,522 | 1,725 |
| Houston | 9,060 | 481 | 45,227 | 2,495 | $-8$ | $-10$ | 85 | 12 | 940 | 126 | 75 | 31 | 2.147 | 281 | 36,417 | 5,331 | 87 | 53 |
| Laredo | 250 | 22 | 487 | 62 | 65 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ... | . | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ... | ... |
| Lubbock | 1,296 | 50 | 4,469 | 199 | - 8 | $-15$ | 0 | 0 | 97 | 12 | $-81$ | $-80$ | 34 | 8 | 394 | 58 | 13 | $-3$ |
| McAllen-Pharr- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Midland . | 689 | 22 | 2,885 | 115 | 6 | $-12$ | 0 | 0 | 75 | 2 | 2,825 | , | 140 | 28 | 310 | 53 | 34 | 2 |
| Odessa | 187 | 9 | 1,133 | 53 | $-22$ | $-28$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 175 | 36 | 175 | 36 | 150 | 157 |
| San Angelo | 302 | 19 | 1,502 | 100 | 7 | $-13$ | 0 | 0 | 9 | 2 | ... | $\cdots$ | 0 | 0 | 101 | 24 | - 84 | $-76$ |
| San Antonio | 3,438 | 285 | 12,539 | 1,202 | 7 | -1 | 51 | 8 | 749 | 132 | 85 | 144 | 5,002 | 714 | 20,009 | 2,808 | 281 | 267 |
| Sherman-Denison | 166 | 10 | 1,615 | 106 | $-41$ | $-49$ | 0 | 0 | 55 | 6 | $-72$ | $-75$ | 60 | 8 | 285 | 44 | - 61 | $-67$ |
| Texarkana | 155 | 14 | 704 | 71 | 37 | 61 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ... | ... | 400 | 71 | 625 | 117 | . ${ }^{\text {. }}$ | ... |
| Tyler | . 389 | 16 | 1.766 | 82 | ** | 6 | 148 | 8 | 170 | 10 | 4,153 | 400 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 18 | 75 | $-64$ |
| Waco ............. | . 461 | 23 | 1,968 | 101 | 23 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 2 | -84 | $-67$ | 0 | 0 | 1,105 | 148 | ... |  |
|  TOTAL METROPOLI- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| OUTSIDE METRO- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL FOR STATE | . 51,923 | 3.486 | 254,748 | 15,903 | 5 | - 4 | 2,028 | 200 | 9,514 | 950 | 29 | 14 | 27,494 | 3,830 | 155,201 | 22,829 | 124 | 23 103 |

[^8]|  | Residential |  |  | Dwelling units (number) |  |  | Nonresidential |  |  |  | Total construction * |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| City | $1968^{\text {Jan- }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1967 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Percent change | $\begin{gathered} \text { Jan- } \\ 1968 \end{gathered}$ | ${ }_{1967}{ }^{-M a y}$ | Percent change |  | 1968 Jan- | ${ }_{1967}$ | Percent change | $1968^{\text {Jan- }}$ | May 1967 | Percent change |
| Abilen | \$ 484,779 | \$ 1,607,683 | $-70$ | 20 | 120 | $-83$ | \$ | 3,611,813 | \$ 5,213,514 | - 31 | \$ 4,283,294.8 | 7,031,011 |  |
| Amarillo. | 4,501,250 | 3,862,000 | 17 | 225 | 188 | 20 |  | 4,262,640 | 5,216,267 | $-18$ | 9,474,753 | 10,062,392 | - 6 |
| Arlington. | 13,693,206 | 12,256,600 | 12 | 1,225 | 1,026 | 19 |  | 5,919,725 | 2,414,150 | 145 | 20,133,643 | 15,079,700 | 34 |
| Austin | 29,679,000 | 25,566,500 | 16 | 2,037 | 1,686 | 21 |  | 17,118,324 | 33,154,400 | $-48$ | 49,877,814 | 60,951,165 | $-18$ |
| Beaumont | 4,269,761 | 4,099,964 | 4 | 261 | 322 | $-19$ |  | 2,977,384 | 1,501,915 | 98 | 7,832,724 | 6,351,297 | 23 |
| Corpus Christi. | 10,464,928 | 6,146,969 | 70 | 1,028 | 588 | 75 |  | 5,685,770 | 3,323,851 | 70 | 18,194,538 | 12,699,686 | 43 |
| Dallas. | 57,092,175 | 37,363,543 | 53 | 6,308 | 3,433 | 84 |  | 29,636,732 | 24,299,097 | 22 | 98,554,585 | 71,834,082 | 37 |
| Denton | 3,311,460 | 2,335,190 | 42 | 255 | 235 | 9 |  | 3,791,600 | 2,359,593 | 61 | 7,122,260 | 4,746,733 | 50 |
| El Paso. | 18,878,700 | 12,008,100 | 57 | 1,413 | 823 | 72 |  | 9,322,763 | 10,804,249 | $-14$ | 30,981,600 | 25,600,518 | 21 |
| Fort Worth | 16,834,133 | 12,376,873 | 36 | 1,862 | 1,291 | 44 |  | 12,813,558 | 11,604,786 | 10 | 34,163,399 | 30,230,058 | 13 |
| Galveston. | 2,716,388 | 1,028,493 | 164 | 316 | 66 | 379 |  | 1,542,457 | 2,420,308 | $-36$ | 4,717,635 | 4,013,539 | 18 |
| Garland. | 6,752,293 | 5,871,640 | 15 | 726 | 724 | ** |  | 3,629,279 | 1,913,687 | 90 | 11,270,071 | 8,339,017 | 35 |
| Grand Prairie. | 8,715,950 | 2,916,500 | 199 | 821 | 148 | 455 |  | 1,742,912 | 24,110,130 | -93 | 11,302,452 | 27,772,185 |  |
| Houston. | 64,448,444 | 54,213,258 | 19 | 6,619 | 5,237 | 26 |  | 82,781,629 | 59,357,999 | -39 | 174,663,637 | 141,421,214 | 24 |
| Irving | 9,236,592 | 5,714,785 | 62 | 1,157 | 394 | 194 |  | 3,259,302 | 2,770,954 | 18 | 12,969,649 | 8,668,960 | 50 |
| Longview . . . . . . . . . . . | 3,069,500 | 2,186,000 | 40 | 135 | 114 | 18 |  | 2,197,000 | 2,087,200 | 5 | 5,663,100 | 4,567,700 | 24 |
| Lubbock. .............. | 4,949,175 | 5,547,592 | $-11$ | 268 | 346 | $-23$ |  | 3,817,337 | 7.826,210 | $-51$ | 9,605,247 | 14,163,026 | -32 |
| Midland. | 3,270,250 | 3,187,900 | 3 | 170 | 182 | $-7$ |  | 447,100 | 1,518,100 | $-71$ | 4,412,870 | 5,247,100 | $-16$ |
| Odessa. | 1,307,050 | 1,520,750 | $-14$ | 89 | 88 | 1 |  | 821,500 | 777,874 | , | 2,558,843 | 2,815,505 | - 9 |
| Pasadena. | 6,604,305 | 3,309,500 | 100 | 639 | 316 | 102 |  | 1,243,923 | 3,514,925 | $-65$ | 8,686,517 | 7,259,735 | 20 |
| Port Arthur | 255,969 | 241,790 | 6 | 16 | 18 | $-11$ |  | 855,190 | 1,333,425 | $-36$ | 1,432,009 | 1,957,265 | $-27$ |
| San Angelo. | 1,613,201 | 2,036,278 | $-21$ | 126 | 215 | - 41 |  | 3,419,136 | 567,622 | 502 | 5,379,066 | 2,889,560 | 86 |
| San Antonio | 31,430,362 | 17,026,173 | 85 | 4.048 | 1,919 | 111 |  | 29,030,361 | 25,359,244 | 14 | 66,123,001 | 46,105,406 | 43 |
| Tyler. | 1,825,550 | 2,069,750 | $-12$ | 98 | 131 | $-25$ |  | 677,350 | 2,051,180 | $-67$ | 2,772,465 | 4,491,280 |  |
| Waco................. | 2,870,300 | 3,148,800 | $-9$ | 235 | 231 | 2 |  | 3,186,117 | 1,620,648 - | 97 | 7,210,537 | 5,827,960 | 24 |
| Wichita Falls......... | 1,371,913 | 3,697,216 | -63 | 77 | 326 | $-76$ |  | 3,359,067 | 2,262,614 | 48 | 5,787,325 | 6,545,753 | - 12 |

* Includes additions, alterations, and repairs.
** Change is less than one half of 1 percent.

SMSA's, Houston and San Antonio. During May there were eight new apartment projects authorized in Texas valued at $\$ 1$ million or more. Three of these were in San Antonio. The largest was in Dallas. The latter was a project valued at $\$ 2.4$ million and scheduled to contain 232 units. Scattered reports indicate that vacancy rates for apartment projects in major Texas cities remain relatively low despite the large increases in apartment construction.

The average value of Texas building permits for onefamily dwellings continues to rise, but less rapidly than in some recent years. The average value during the first five months of 1968 was $\$ 16,019$, which is only 1 percent higher than a year earlier. The moderation of the growth in average value may reflect an increase in the number of homes being built for lower-income families as the total volume of residential building recovers from its 1966 slump. The less-rapid rise in average value may also reflect a slackening of demands for single-family houses in some areas of the state. Decreases in the volume of authorizations for single-family houses in the Houston and San Antonio areas during this period have been accompanied by a stability in average value in the Houston area and a decline in average value in the San Antonio area.

Homebuilding throughout the state and the nation is encountering increasingly restrictive credit conditions, which tend to reduce the demand for new home construction. The total number of private nonfarm housing starts in the nation decreased sharply on a seasonally adjusted basis during May to reach the second-lowest level since June 1967. The total number of dwelling units authorized by building permits also showed a seasonally adjusted decline during May.

Interest rates on mortgage loans have been rising during the past several months. The average effective rate on conventional first-mortgage loans for new singlefamily homes moved to well above 7 percent in Texas during May. The rate in the Dallas area jumped about one third of a percentage point to 7.36 percent. The rate in the Houston area rose less sharply to 7.21 percent. Lenders are reported to have begun rationing credit as well as charging higher rates.

The fiscal policy changes approved by Congress in June which increased taxes and cut planned government expenditures were intended to avoid the need for a further serious tightening of credit to prevent inflation. This should help support homebuilding activity, but such effects may be felt only after a period of time. Furthermore, a continuation of the expansion of all kinds of private credit demands may prevent any dramatic improvement in the supply of mortgage credit.

Housing legislation expected to receive Congressional approval in July would provide further support to homebuilding activity through the expenditure of nearly $\$ 2$ billion a year over the next three years for federal housing programs. An end to the Vietnam War would be expected to add a further stimulus to homebuilding through an easing of credit conditions and an acceleration of public housing programs to meet critical housing needs in urban slum areas.

The long-run prospects for building in Texas continue to be very favorable, but there may be a temporary slowing of the expansion in homebuilding until the recently approved changes in federal government fiscal policy and other influences can bring an easing in the tight credit situation that has developed for new home construction.


ESTIMATED VALUES OF BUILDING AUTHORIZED IN TEXAS

$\dagger$ As defined in 1960 Census and revised in 1968.
** Change is less than one half of 1 percent.
\# Standard metropolitan statistical area.
Source: Bureau of Business Research in cooperation with the Bureau of the Census, U.S. Department of Commerce.

## Studies In Latin American Business <br> (Now available)

Fluctuations in United States Imports from Brazil, Colombia, Chile, and Mexico, 1919-1954 (No. 1)-Calvin Patton Blair (225 pp., \$2.00)
The United States and Latin America: The Alliance for Progress Program (No, 2) -Walter Krause (35 pp., $\$ 1.00$ )
Some Aspects of Latin American Trade Policies: Three Essays (No. 3)-Milton D. Lower, Raymond R. Hannigan, and Rudolf K. Jansen ( $83 \mathrm{pp} ., \$ 1.50$ )
Entrepreneurship and Industrialization in Latin America: Three Essays (No. 4) - Lawrence G. Franko, Michael Dixon, and Carlos Arturo Marulanda R. (59 pp., \$1.50)
Government's Role in Retail Marketing of Food Products in Chile (No. 6) -Peter D. Bennett (135 pp., \$2:50)

## Published by the Bureau of Business Research <br> The University of Texas at Austin.

(Texas residents add 3 -percent sales tax)


Statistical data compiled by: Mildred Anderson, Constance Cooledge, and Margaret Tannich, statistical assistants, and Doris Dismuke and Mary Gorham, statistical technicians.

Indicators of business conditions in Texas cities published in this table include statistics on banking, building permits, employment, postal receipts, and retail trade. An individual city is listed when a minimum of three indicators are available.

The cities have been grouped according to standard metropolitan statistical areas. In Texas all twenty-three SMSA's are defined by county lines; the counties included are listed under each SMSA. The populations shown for the SMSA's are estimates for April 1, 1966, prepared by the Population Research Center, Department of Sociology, The University of Texas at Austin. The population shown after the city name is the 1960 Census figure, unless otherwise indicated. Cities in SMSA's are listed alphabetically under their appropriate SMSA's; all other cities are listed alphabetically as main entries.

Retail-sales data are reported here only when a minimum total of fifteen stores report; separate categories of retail stores are listed only when a minimum of five stores report in those categories. The first column presents current data for the various categories. Percentages shown for retail sales are average statewide percent changes from the preceding month. This is the normal seasonal change in sales by that kind of business-except in the cases of Dallas, Fort Worth, Houston, and San Antonio, where the dagger ( $\dagger$ ) is replaced by another symbol ( $\dagger \dagger$ ) because the normal seasonal changes given are for each of these cities individually. The second column shows the percent change from the preceding month in data reported for the current month; the third column shows the percent change in data from the same month a year ago. A large variation between the
normal seasonal change and the reported change indicates an abnormal sales month.

Symbols used in this table include:
(a) Population Research Center data, April 1, 1967.
(b) Separate employment data for the Midland and Odessa SMSA's are not available, since employment figures for Midland and Ector Counties, composing one labormarket area, are recorded in combined form.
(c) Separate employment data for Gladewater, Kilgore, and Longview are not available, since employment figures for Gregg County, composing one labor-market area, are recorded in total.
$(\dagger)$ Average statewide percent change from preceding month.
$(\dagger \dagger)$ Average individual-city percent change from preceding month.
(r) Estimates officially recognized by Texas Highway Department.
(rr) Estimate for Pleasanton: combination of 1960 Census figures for Pleasanton and North Pleasanton.
(*) Cash received during the four-week postal accounting period ended May 31, 1968.
( $\ddagger$ ) Money on deposit in individual demand deposit accounts on the last day of the month.
(§) Data for Texarkana, Texas, only.
(**) Change is less than one half of 1 percent.
(||) Annual rate basis, seasonally adjusted.
(\#) Monthly averages.
(X) Sherman-Denison SMSA: a new standard metropolitan statistical area, for which not all categories of data are now available.
(nr) Not reliable due to Easter date fluctuations.

## ALPHABETICAL LISTING OF CITIES INCLUDED IN JULY 1968 ISSUE OF TEXAS BUSINESS REVIEW

Abilene (Abilene SMSA)
Alamo (McAllen-Pharr-Edinburg SMSA)
Albany
Alpine
Amarillo (Amarillo SMSA)
Andrews
Angleton
Aransas Pass (Corpus Christi SMSA)
Arlington (Fort Worth SMSA)
Athens
Austin (Austin SMSA)
Bay City
Baytown (Houston SMSA)
Beaumont (Beaumont-Port ArthurOrange SMSA)
Beeville
Bellaire (Houston SMSA)
Bellville
Belton
Big Spring
Bishop (Corpus Christi SMSA)
Bonham
Borger
Brady
Brenham
Brownfield

Brownsville (Brownsville-HarlingenSan Benito SMSA)

## Brownwood

Bryan
Burkburnett (Wichita Falls SMSA)
Caldwell
Cameron
Canyon (Amarillo SMSA)
Carrollton (Dallas SMSA)
Castroville
Cisco
Cleburne (Fort Worth SMSA)
Clute (Houston SMSA)
College Station
Colorado City
Conroe (Houston SMSA)
Copperas Cove
Corpus Christi (Corpus Christi SMSA)
Corsicana
Crystal City
Dallas (Dallas SMSA)
Dayton (Houston SMSA)
Decatur
Deer Park (Houston SMSA)
Del Rio
Denison (Sherman-Denison SMSA)
Denton (Dallas SMSA)

Dickinson (Gaveston-Texas City SMSA)
Dimmitt
Donna (McAllen-Pharr-Edinburg SMSA)
Eagle Lake
Eagle Pass
Edinburg (McAllen-Pharr-Edinburg SMSA)
Edna
El Paso (El Paso SMSA)
Elsa (McAllen-Pharr-Edinburg SMSA)
Ennis (Dallas SMSA)
Euless (Fort Worth SMSA)
Farmers Branch (Dallas SMSA)
Fort Stockton
Fort Worth (Fort Worth SMSA)
Fredericksburg
Freeport (Houston SMSA)
Friona
Galveston (Galveston-Texas City SMSA)
Garland (Dallas SMSA)
Gatesville
Giddings
Gladewater

## ALPHABETICAL LISTING OF CITIES INCLUDED IN JULY 1968 ISSUE OF

Goldthwaite
Graham
Granbury
Grand Prairie (Dallas SMSA)
Grapevine (Fort Worth SMSA)
Greenville
Groves (Beaumont-Port ArthurOrange SMSA)
Hallettsville
Hallsville
Harlingen (Brownsville-Harlingen-
San Benito SMSA)
Haskell
Henderson
Hereford
Hondo
Houston (Houston SMSA)
Humble (Houston SMSA)
Iowa Park (Wichita Falls SMSA)
Irving (Dallas SMSA)
Jacksonville
Jasper
Junction
Justin (Dallas SMSA)
Karnes City
Katy (Houston SMSA)
Kilgore
Killeen
Kingsville
Kirbyville
La Feria (Brownsville-HarlingenSan Benito SMSA)
La Marque (Galveston-Texas City SMSA)

## Lamesa

Lampasas
Lancaster (Dallas SMSA)
La Porte (Houston SMSA)
Laredo (Laredo SMSA)
Levelland
Liberty (Houston SMSA)
Littlefield
Llano
Lockhart
Longview
Los Fresnos (Brownsville-HarlingenSan Benito SMSA)
Lubbock (Lubbock SMSA)
Lufkin

TEXAS BUSINESS REVIEW (Continued)
McAllen (McAllen-Pharr-Edinburg SMSA)
McCamey
McGregor (Waco SMSA)
McKinney (Dallas SMSA)
Marble Falls
Marshall
Mercedes (McAllen-Pharr-Edinburg SMSA)
Mesquite (Dallas SMSA)
Mexia
Midland (Midland SMSA)
Midlothian (Dallas SMSA)
Mineral Wells
Mission (McAllen-PharrEdinburg SMSA)
Monahans
Mount Pleasant
Muenster
Muleshoe
Nacogdoches
Nederland (Beaumont-Port ArthurOrange SMSA)
North Richland Hills (Fort Worth SMSA)
Odessa (Odessa SMSA)
Olney
Orange (Beaumont-Port Arthur Orange SMSA)
Palestine
Pampa
Paris
Pasadena (Houston SMSA)
Pecos
Pharr (McAllen-Pharr-Edinburg SMSA)
Pilot Point (Dallas SMSA)
Plainview
Pleasanton
Port Aransas
Port Arthur (Beaumont-Port ArthurOrange SMSA)
Port Isabel (Brownsville-HarlingenSan Benito SMSA)
Port Neches (Beaumont-Port ArthurOrange SMSA)
Quanah
Raymondville

Refugio
Richardson (Dallas SMSA)
Richmond (Houston SMSA)
Robstown (Corpus Christi SMSA)
Rockdale
Rosenberg (Houston SMSA)
San Angelo (San Angelo SMSA)
San Antonio (San Antonio SMSA)
San Benito (Brownsville-HarlingenSan Benito SMSA)
San Juan (McAllen-Pharr-Edinburg SMSA)
San Marcos
San Saba
Schertz (San Antonio SMSA)
Seagoville (Dallas SMSA)
Seguin (San Antonio SMSA)
Sherman (Sherman-Denison SMSA)
Silsbee
Sinton (Corpus Christi SMSA)
Slaton (Lubbock SMSA)
Smithville
Snyder
Sonora
South Houston (Houston SMSA)
Stephenville
Stratford
Sulphur Springs
Sweetwater
Tahoka
Taylor
Temple
Terrell (Dallas SMSA)
Texarkana (Texarkana SMSA)
Texas City (Galveston-Texas City SMSA)
Tomball (Houston SMSA)
Tyler (Tyler SMSA)
Uvalde
Vernon
Victoria
Waco (Waco SMSA)
Waxahachie (Dallas SMSA)
Weatherford
Weslaco (McAllen-Pharr-Edinburg SMSA)
White Settlement (Fort Worth SMSA)
Wichita Falls (Wichita Falls SMSA)

## ALPHABETICAL LISTING OF SMSA's AND CITIES WITHIN EACH SMSA, WITH DATA

| City and item | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } \\ & 1968 \end{aligned}$ | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } 1968 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Apr } 1968 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { May } 1968 \\ \text { from } \\ \text { May } 1967 \end{gathered}$ |
| ABILENE SMSA <br> (Jones and Taylor; pop. 118,429 a) |  |  |  |
| Retail sales |  | 13 | 14 |
| Automotive stores | ... | 15 | 24 |
| General-merchandise stores | ... | 2 | 8 |
| Lumber, building-material, and hardware dealers |  | 5 | - |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ 2,106,275 | 185 | 17 |
| Bank debits (thousands) \|| ....... | \$ 1,799,148 | - 3 | - 3 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$.. | \$ 94,871 | 1 | 2 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover .... | 19.0 | $-5$ | $-2$ |
| Nonfarm employment (area) ....... | 37,200 | ** | - 1 |
| Manufacturing employment (area). | 4,140 | 1 | - 4 |
| Percent unemployed (area) ......... | 3.1 | ** | - 3 |


| City and item | May | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { from } \\ \text { May } 1968 \\ \text { Apr } 1968 \end{gathered}$ | from <br> May 1968 <br> May 1967 |
|  | 1968 | Apr 1968 | May 1967 |

ABILENE (pop. $110,049 \mathrm{r}$ )

| Retail sales | $7 \dagger$ | 13 |  | 14 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Automotive stores | $1 \dagger$ | 15 |  | 24 |
| General-merchandise stores | $11 \dagger$ | 2 |  | 8 |
| Lumber, building-material, and hardware dealers | $2 \dagger$ | 5 | - | 9 |
| Postal receipts* | \$ 157,208 | 12 |  | .. |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ 2,076,925 | 194 |  | 16 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ 128,150 | 6 | - | 6 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | \$ 69,625 | $-3$ | - | 4 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover..... | 21.8 | - 6 | - | 4 |

For an explanation of symbols see p. 202.

| Local Business Conditions |  | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| City and item | May <br> May 1968 | May 1968 <br> from <br> from <br> Apr |  |

AMARILLO SMSA
(Potter and Randall; pop. 167,323 a)

| Retail sales |  |  | 27 | 23 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Automotive stores |  |  | 34 | 32 |
| Drugstores |  |  | 9 | ** |
| General-merchandise stores |  |  | 14 | 7 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ 2,040,615 |  | 40 | 79 |
| Bank debits (thousands) \|| | \$ 4,811,652 |  | ** | 8 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | \$ 136,545 |  | 1 | 2 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 35.5 | - | 1 | 10 |
| Nonfarm employment (area) | 60,300 |  | 1 | 1 |
| Manufacturing employment (area) . | 5,830 |  | 3 | 2 |
| Percent unemployed (area) | 2.9 | - | 3 | 12 |

## AMARILLO (pop. 155,205 r)

| Retail sales | $7 \dagger$ |  | 27 | 23 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Automotive stores | $1{ }^{\text {\% }}$ |  | 34 | 32 |
| Postal receipts* .................... \$ | 308,249 | - | 7 |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 1,964,395 |  | 50 | 96 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ........... \$ | 382,045 | - | 4 | 6 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger .$. \$ | 120,552 | - | 3 |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 37.4 | - | 2 |  |


| Canyon (pop. 6,755 r) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . \$ | 8,456 | 23 |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 76,220 | 48 | - 44 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............ \$ | 8,215 | $-5$ | 6 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . . \$$ | 6,756 | - 6 | 7 |
| Annual rate of deposit turno | 14.2 |  |  |

## AUSTIN SMSA

(Travis; pop. 258,406 a)

| Retail sales | 11 | 16 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Apparel stores | -14 | 9 |
| Eating and drinking places....... | 9 | 7 |
| Food stores | 8 | 1 |
| Furniture and householdappliance stores | 5 | 1 5 |
| General-merchandise stores ....... | 8 | 17 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts $\$ 10,309,394$ | $-7$ |  |
| Bank debits (thousands) \|| ........ \$ 5,812,500 | ** | 27 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger \ldots \$ 240,906$ | - 5 | 16 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover .... 23.5 | ** | 1 |
| Nonfarm employment (area) ...... 113,800 | 1 | 4 |
| Manufacturing employment (area). 9,850 | 1 | 35 |
| Percent unemployed (area) ....... 1.8 | 12 | 6 |

## AUSTIN (pop. 245,295 r)

| Retail sales | $7 \dagger$ | 11 | 16 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Apparel stores |  | - 14 | 9 |
| Eating and drinking places | $8 \dagger$ | 9 | 7 |
| Food stores | $12 \dagger$ | 8 | 1 |
| Furniture and householdappliance stores ...... | $15{ }^{+}$ | 5 | 5 |
| General merchandise stores | $11+$ | 8 | 17 |
| Postal receipts* | \$ 783,087 | - 4 | $\ldots$ |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$10,258,894 | - 8 |  |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ 571,565 | 21 | 30 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | \$ 230,030 | $-13$ | 15 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover.. | 27.7 | 25 | 3 |

For an explanation of symbols see p. 202.

| ocal Business |  | Percen | t change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ${ }_{1968}^{\text {May }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } 1968 \\ & \text { from } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } 1968 \\ & \text { from } \end{aligned}$ |
| City and item | 1968 | Apr 1968 | May 1967 |

BEAUMONT-PORT ARTHUR-ORANGE SMSA (Jefferson and Orange; pop. 325,527a)

| Retail sales |  | 13 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Apparel stores |  | $-14$ | 8 |
| Automotive stores |  | 18 | 11 |
| Food stores |  | 3 | - 2 |
| Furniture and householdappliance stores $\qquad$ |  | 46 | 29 |
| General-merchandise stores |  | 9 | 6 |
| Lumber, building-material, and hardware dealers |  | 14 | 11 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 2,450,444 | -14 | 27 |
| Bank debits (thousands) \|| | 5,717,112 | 3 | 4 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger .$. \$ | 222,011 | 1 | 3 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover .... | 25.9 | 3 | 2 |
| Nonfarm employment (area) ...... | 114,100 | ** | 2 |
| Manufacturing employment (area). | 34,800 | ** | 3 |
| Percent unemployed (area) ......... | 4.4 | 10 | 13 |

BEAUMONT (pop. 127,500 r)



ORANGE (pop. 25,605)

| Postal receipts* | 35,888 | 3 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | 115,732 | - 8 | - 50 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | 39,699 | ** | 3 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$.. | 26,349 | ** | 2 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover..... | 18.0 | 7 | 2 |
| Nonfarm placements | 195 | 7 | 7 |

## PORT ARTHUR (pop. 66,676)

| Postal receipts* ................... $\$ 8$ | 80,393 | 44 | $\ldots$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Building permits, less federal contracts $\$$ | 204,261 | -58 | -34 |
| Bank debits (thousands) $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots . . \$$ | 82,640 | 3 | $-\quad 2$ |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . . \$$ | 45,953 | $* *$ | 5 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover..... | 21.5 | 3 | -8 |

## Port Neches (pop. 8,696)

| Postal receipts* | 12,687 | $-14$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | 241,060 | 189 | 319 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | 16,135 | 19 | 25 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | 7,236 | 2 | 7 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 27.0 | 17 | 28 |


| Local Business Conditions |  | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| City and item | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } \\ & 1968 \end{aligned}$ | May 1968 from <br> Apr 1968 | May 1968 from <br> May 1967 |
| BROWNSVILLE-HARLINGEN-SAN BENITO SMSA <br> (Cameron; pop. 139,124 a) |  |  |  |
| Retail sales |  | 4 | 9 |
| Apparel stores |  | -18 | 4 |
| Automotive stores |  | 8 | 7 |
| Drugstores |  | * |  |
| Lumber, building-material, and hardware dealers $\qquad$ |  |  |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 1,082,802 | 16 | 23 |
| Bank debits (thousands) \|| ........ \$ | 1,546,836 | $-2$ | 14 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger .$. \$ | 72,738 | - 2 | 19 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover .... | 21.0 | * |  |
| Nonfarm employment (area) | 38,600 | - 1 | 2 |
| Manufacturing employment (area). | 6,540 | ** |  |
| Percent unemployed (area) | 6.2 | 11 |  |
| BROWNSVILLE (pop. 48,040) |  |  |  |
| Retail sales | $7 \dagger$ | 6 | 15 |
| Postal receipts* ................... \& | 47,522 | - 6 | 6 |
| Building permits, kess federal contracts | 235,675 | - 67 | 60 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ........... \$ | 45,077 | 6 | 15 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . .8$ | 25,746 |  | 14 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 20.2 | 12 |  |
| Nonfarm placements | 762 | 23 | 47 |
| HARLINGEN (pop. 41,207) |  |  |  |
| Retail sales | $7 \dagger$ | 4 | 2 |
| Postal receipts* ................... \& | 45,753 | - 14 |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 708,980 | 396 | 1 |
| Bank debits (thousands) .......... \$ | 49,150 | - 4 | 13 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger .$. \% | 26,885 |  | 20 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 21.5 | 1 |  |
| Nonfarm placements | 681 | 28 | 24 |
| La Feria (pop. 3,047) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* ................... \$ | 2,591 |  |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts 8 | 18,100 | 409 |  |
| Bank debits (thousands) | 2,264 | 1 | 14 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$.. \$ | 1,855 | - 2 | 22 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover..... | 14.5 | 2 |  |
| Los Fresnos (pop. 1,289) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* .................... \$ | 1,440. |  |  |
| Bank debits (thousands) .......... 8 | 1.563 | - | 7 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger .$. \& | 1,524 | ** | 19 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 12.3 |  | $-16$ |
| Port Isabel (pop. 3,575) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* ................. \& | 2,736 | $-25$ |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 87,055 | 142 |  |
| Bank debits (thousands) ........... \& | 2,715 | - 10 | 33 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$.. 8 | 2,050 |  | 17 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 15.1 |  | 1 |
| SAN BENITO (pop. 16,422) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* ................... \& | 10,039 | 4 |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | 32,392 | 22 | 96 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ........... \$ | 6,886 |  | 9 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. \% | 6,944 |  | 16 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 11.7 | ** |  |

## CORPUS CHRISTI SMSA

(Nueces and San Patricio; pop. 280,174 a)

| Retail sales | ... | 7 | 18 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Automotive stores | ... | 10 | 25 |
| Drugstores |  | 3 | 6 |
| General-merchandise stores ....... | ... | 8 | 5 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ 3,631,868 | $-19$ | - 9 |
| Bank debits (thousands) \|| | \$ 4,564,248 | 4 | 14 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | \$ 196,371 | 2 | 3 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover .... | 23.5 | 3 | 8 |
| Nonfarm employment (area) ........ | 86,700 | ** | 2 |
| Manufacturing employment (area). | 10,560 | ** | $-1$ |
| Percent unemployed (area) | 3.6 | 16 | -16 |

For an explanation of symbols see p. 202.

| Local Business Conditions |  | Percent change <br>  <br> City and item |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |


| Aransas Pass (pop. 6,956) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Postal receipts* .................... \$ | 5,188 | $-14$ |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 254,200 | 918 | 30 |
| Bank debits (thousands) . ........... \$ | 6,409 | $-19$ | 29 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger .$. \& | 5,313 | 1 | 29 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover... | 14.6 | $-19$ | 28 |
| Bishop (pop. 3,825 r) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* .................... \$ | 3,912 | 16 | ... |
| Bank debits (thousands) | 8,248 | $-10$ | 9 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger .$. \$ | 2,235 |  | 4 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover.... | 11.7 |  | 4 |
| CORPUS CHRISTI (pop. 204,850 r) |  |  |  |
| Retail sales | $7 \dagger$ | ${ }^{6}$ | 18 |
| Automotive stores . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | $1{ }_{1}$ | 10 | 25 |
| General-merchandise stores ........ | $11 \dagger$ |  | 5 |
| Postal receipts* .................... \$ | 286,410 | 2 |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 3,012,697 | - 25 |  |
| Bank debits (thousands) ........... \$ | 330,595 | 3 | 18 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger .$. \$ | 145,065 | $-1$ | 2 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 27.2 | 4 | 18 |
| Port Aransas (pop. 824) |  |  |  |
| Bank debits (thousands) | 1.053 | 18 | 21 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger .$. \$ | 877 | $-17$ | 4 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 13.0 | 17 | 2 |
| Robstown (pop. 10,266) |  |  |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 78,291 | $-45$ |  |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............ | 11,411 | ** | 6 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger .$. \$ | 9,209 |  |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 14.6 | ** | 6 |
| Sinton (pop. 6,008) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* ..................... \$ | 7,098 | - | ... |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 167,850 | 55 | 707 |
| Bank debits (thousands) . . . . . . . . \% | 5,830 | 2 | 30 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger .$. \$ | 4,990 | - | 15 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover.. | 13.6 | 4 | 11 |

## DALLAS SMSA

(Collin, Dallas, Denton, Ellis, Kaufman, and Rockwall; pop. $1,424,415$ a)

| Retail sales | ... | 5 | 15 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Apparel stores ..................... | .... | $-7$ | 13 |
| Automotive stores | ... | 8 | 23 |
| Drugstores | ... | 8 | 14 |
| Eating and drinking places.. |  | 9 | 11 |
| Florists |  | 16 | 8 |
| Food stores |  | 11 | 15 |
| Furniture and householdappliance stores $\qquad$ | $\ldots$ | 10 | 9 |
| Gasoline and service stations...... |  | ** | 2 |
| General-merchandise stores ....... | . $\cdot$ | 1 | 3 |
| Lumber, building-material, and hardware dealers |  | 11 | 40 |
| Office, store, and school supply dealers |  | 2 | $-5$ |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$41,122,262 | 5 | 23 |
| Bank debits (thousands) \|| | \$84,001,368 | 3 | 25 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | \$ 1,888,023 | ** | 9 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover .... | 44.4 | - | 15 |
| Nonfarm employment (area) ...... | 634,800 | ** | 7 |
| Manufacturing employment (area). | 160,250 | ** | 15 |
| Percent unemployed (area) ......... | 1.6 | 14 | $-20$ |
| Carrollton (pop. 9,832 r) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* | \$ 32,399 | 80 | ... |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ 3,154,132 | 341 | 185 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ 11,356 | 19 |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$.. | \& 5,043 | 3 | 17 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 27.5 | 17 | $-23$ |


| Local Business Conditions |  | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| City and item | $\begin{gathered} \text { May } \\ 1968 \end{gathered}$ | May 1968 from <br> Apr 1968 | May 1968 from <br> May 1967 |
| DALLAS (pop. 679,684) |  |  |  |
| Retail sales | $6 \dagger \dagger$ | 7 | 10 |
| Apparel stores | - 11+ $\dagger$ | - | 13 |
| Automotive stores | $3{ }^{\text {+ } \dagger}$ | 8 | 27 |
| Eating and drinking pla | $6+\dagger$ | 7 | 11 |
| Florists | - 9tt | 16 | 8 |
| Furniture and household- |  |  |  |
| Gasoline and service stations | 11tit | ** | - 12 |
| General-merchandise stores |  | ** | 5 |
| Lumber, building-material, and hardware stores |  |  |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | 324,307,870 | 13 | 74 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ 6,936,160 | - | 26 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$.. | \$ 1,590,142 | - | 7 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover..... | 52.1 | ** | 17 |
| Denton (pop. 26,844) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* | 78,050 | 11 |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \& 538,200 | - 34 | 74 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | 40,617 |  | 10 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$.. | \& 27,701 | 7 | 11 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 18.2 | - | 3 |
| Nonfarm placements ..... | 157 | - 20 | $-10$ |
| Ennis (pop. 10,250 r) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* | 23,040 | 55 |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ 17,200 | -83 | - 75 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | 6,496 |  | - 14 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | 7.669 | 2 | 13 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 10.3 |  | - 23 |
| Farmers Branch (pop. 13,441) |  |  |  |
| Bank debits (thousands) ........... | \& 10,296 | 3 | 16 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$.. | 8 5,299 |  | 8 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 23.2 |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Garland (pop. } 50,622 \mathrm{r} \text { ) } \\ & \text { Retail sales } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Automotive stores | ${ }^{+}$ | 7 | 21 |
| Postal receipts* | 89,042 | 6 |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ 2,126,215 | 23 | 17 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | 57,693 |  | 20 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger .$. | 24,911 |  | 13 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 27.6 | $-3$ | 6 |
| Grand Prairie (pop. 40,150 r) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* ................... | \$ 60,228 |  |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | 8 1,516,461 | - 18 | - 80 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ........... | 23.578 | - 16 | 9 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. . | - 15,656 |  | 19 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 17.8 | - 12 | - 11 |
| Irving (pop. 60,136 r) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts $=$. $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$. | 84,217 |  |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | 8 3,087,743 | 24 | 62 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ........... | \$ 64,427 | 7 | 27 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. . S | \& 28,402 | 1 | 21 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 27.3 | 2 | 3 |
| Lancaster (pop. 7,501) |  |  |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | 183,050 | 53 | 181 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ 8,060 | 26 | 17 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | \$ 4,493 |  | 24 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 21.5 | 27 |  |
| McKinney (pop. 13,763) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* .................s | $8 \quad 20,494$ |  |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | 169,940 | 38 | 331 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ........... | 8 10,942 |  |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. . | 13,105 |  | 16 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 9.9 |  |  |
| Nonfarm placements | 180 | 2 | 55 |

[^9]| Local Business Conditions |  | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| City and item | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } \\ & 1968 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } 1968 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Apr } 1968 \end{aligned}$ | May 1968 <br> from May 1967 |
| Mesquite (pop. 27,526) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* ................... \& | 29,468 | ** |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 1,341,929 | 136 | 279 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............ s | 16,303 | 13 | 4 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$.. \& | 10,048 | 3 | 16 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover.. | 19.8 | 8 | 10 |
| Midlothian (pop. 1,521) |  |  |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 63,300 | 53 | 322 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............ 8 | 1,401 |  | 8 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$.. \& | 1,577 | - | 5 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 10.5 | ** | 2 |
| Pilot Point (pop. 1,254) |  |  |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 12,000 |  | 33 |
| Bank debits (thousands) .......... \$ | 1,950 | 5 | 19 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger .$. \$ | 1,963 | 2 | 1 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover.. | 12.0 | 8 | 14 |
| Richardson (pop. 34,390 r) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts .................... \& | 74,138 | - 1 |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 2,005,888 | 36 | 130 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ........... \$ | 39,294 | $\ldots$ | 21 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger .$. \$ | 17,737 | ... | 14 |
| Seagoville (pop. 3,745) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* ................... \$ | 7,748 |  |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 11,894 | - 14 | - 29 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............ \& | 5,787 | ** | 15 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$.. \$ | 3,038 |  | 26 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover..... | 21.6 | 4 | $-13$ |
| Terrell (pop. 13,803) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* .................... \& | 12,516 | $-17$ |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 211,500 | 43 | 26 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ........... \$ | 12,452 | 1 |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger .$. \$ | 10,422 | 1 |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 14.4 | 3 | 1 |
| Waxahachie (pop. 12,749) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* ................... \% | 31,849 | 12 |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | 134,274 | 14 | 180 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ........... \$ | 15,089 | 12 | 22 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger .$. \$ | 11,529 |  | 15 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 15.5 | 12 | 5 |
| Nonfarm placements | 93 | ** | -15 |

## EL PASO SMSA

(El Paso; pop. 349,144 a)

| Retail sales ......................... |  | 14 | 14 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Apparel stores ................... |  | - 4 | 11 |
| Automotive stores |  | 18 | 22 |
| Food stores |  | 6 | 3 |
| General-merchandise stores |  | 23 | 16 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ 3,960,459 |  |  |
| Bank debits (thousands) \|| | \$ 5,324,796 | 6 | 2 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger .$. | \$ 201,851 | 1 | 2 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 26.5 | 6 | 1 |
| Nonfarm employment (area) | 108,200 | * | ** |
| Manufacturing employment (area). | 19,680 | ** | $-4$ |
| Percent unemployed (area) ......... | 4.1 | 8 | 8 |
| EL PASO (pop. 276,687) |  |  |  |
| Retail sales | $7 \dagger$ | 14 | 14 |
| Apparel stores | - $6 \dagger$ | - | 11 |
| Automotive stores | $1{ }^{+}$ | 18 | 22 |
| Food stores | $12 \%$ | 6 | 3 |
| General-merchandise stores | 11\% | 23 | 16 |
| Postal receipts* ..................... | \$ 453,267 | 2 |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ 3,954,159 |  | $-23$ |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ 485,479 | 6 | 4 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger .$. \& | \$ 193,575 | $-7$ | 2 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 29.0 | 8 | 3 |


| Local Business Conditions |  | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | May 1968 <br> from May 1968 |  |
| City and item | May |  |  |
| Crom |  |  |  |

## FORT WORTH SMSA

(Johnson and Tarrant; pop. 660,341 a)

| Retail sales |  | 12 | 25 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Apparel stores | ... | $-7$ | 18 |
| Automotive stores |  | 15 | 31 |
| Drugstores |  | 10 | 5 |
| Eating and drinking places. |  | 8 | 9 |
| Gasoline and service stations. |  | 8 | 21 |
| General-merchandise stores | - | 13 | 3 |
| Lumber, building-material, and hardware dealers |  | 3 | 15 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$20,669,052 | 13 | 53 |
| Bank debits (thousands) \|| | \$17,589,096 | 2 | 17 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | \& 541,210 | 2 | 9 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover .... | 32.2 | ** | 6 |
| Nonfarm employment (area) | 278,000 | 1 | 5 |
| Manufacturing employment (area). | 92,375 | ** | 14 |
| Percent unemployed (area) | 2.0 | 11 |  |


| Arlington (pop. 75,000 r) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Retail sales | $7 \dagger$ | 22 | 30 |
| Postal receipts* | \$ 147,675 | 2 |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ 7,301,250 | 55 | 37 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | 82,622 | 1 | 22 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\downarrow .$. | \$ 35,189 | 3 | 28 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 28.6 | 1 | $-10$ |
| Cleburne (pop. 15,381) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* | \$ 24,512 | $-12$ | $\ldots$ |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | - 78,950 | 63 | 140 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ 17,155 | 8 | 11 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger .$. | \$ 14,711 | 2 | 12 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover..... | 14.1 | 1 | * |


| Euless (pop. 10,500 r) |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Postal receipts* $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots . \$$ | 14,202 | 6 | $\ldots$ |
| Building permits, less federal contracts $\$ 8$ | 446,202 | -9 | 212 |
| Bank debits (thousands) $\ldots \ldots \ldots . . \$ \$$ | 11,125 | -18 | 1 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger \ldots \$$ | 5,359 | 5 | 20 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover..... | 25.5 | -20 | -18 |

## FORT WORTH (pop. 356,268)

| Retail sales |  |  | $8 \dagger$ |  | 10 |  | 15 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Apparel stores |  |  | $9 \div \dagger$ | - | 7 |  | 18 |
| Automotive stores |  |  | $9 \dagger \dagger$ |  | 4 |  | 29 |
| Eating and drinking places |  |  | 8\% ${ }^{\text {\% }}$ |  | 8 |  | 9 |
| Gasoline and service stations. |  |  | $7 \dagger \dagger$ |  | 8 |  | 21 |
| Lumber, building material, and hardware stores .............. |  |  | $4 \dagger \dagger$ |  | 18 |  | ** |
| Postal receipts* |  | 1,174,005 |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts |  | 6,762,486 |  |  | 26 |  | 46 |
| Bank debits (thousands) |  | 1,338,498 |  |  | ** |  | 18 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. |  | 454,877 |  | - | 8 |  | 8 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover..... |  | 34.8 |  |  | 2 |  | 8 |
| Grapevine (pop. 4,659 r) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ | 670,534 |  |  | 27 |  | 600 |
| Bank debits (thousands) |  | 5,074 |  | - | 8 |  | 8 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. |  | 4,033 |  | - | 3 |  | 3 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. |  | 14.9 |  | - | 7 |  | 10 |


| North Richland Hills (pop. 8,662) |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Building permits, less federal contracts $\$$ | 510,700 | 86 | 153 |  |
| Bank debits (thousands) ........... $\$ 8$ | 12,353 | - | 1 | 6 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . . \$$ | 6,064 | - | 2 | 3 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover..... | 24.1 | 1 | - | 4 |
| White Settlement (pop. 11,513) |  |  |  |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts $\$$ | 43,055 | -70 | -34 |  |
| Bank debits (thousands) ........... $\$ 8$ | 5,996 | 5 | 46 |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . . \$$ | 2,699 | $* *$ | 37 |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover...... | 26.6 | 5 | 7 |  |

For an explanation of symbols see p. 202.

| Local Business Conditions |  | Percent chanse |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | May | May 1968 from | $\underbrace{\text { com }}_{\substack{\text { May } 1968 \\ \text { from }}}$ |
| City and item | 1968 | Apr 1968 | May 1967 |

## GALVESTON-TEXAS CITY SMSA (Galveston; pop. 166,016 a)

| Retail sales |  | 14 | 14 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Apparel stores |  | 13 | 12 |
| Automotive stores | ... | 12 | 20 |
| Drugstores |  | 1 | 15 |
| Food stores | . $\cdot$ | 2 | ** |
| Furniture and householdappliance stores $\qquad$ |  | 61 | 2 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | 2,689,507 | 45 | - 4 |
| Bank debits (thousands) \|| | 2,457,528 | 11 | 17 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$.. | 101,642 | * | 10 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 24.2 | 7 | 4 |
| Nonfarm employment (area) | 57,700 | $-1$ | 3 |
| Manufacturing employment (area). | 10,580 | 1 | 4 |
| Percent unemployed (area) ......... | 3.1 | 11 |  |


| Dickinson (pop. 4,715) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bank debits (thousands) ........... \& | 10,088 | 4 | 36 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . . \$$ | 6,420 | 11 | 44 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 19.9 | $-10$ | 1 |
| GALVESTON (pop. 67,175) |  |  |  |
| Retail sales | $7 \dagger$ | 17 | 18 |
| Apparel stores | - $6 \dagger$ | 15 | 13 |
| Postal receipts* ..................... \$ | 168,521 | 33 |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 1,658,581 | 141 | $-21$ |
| Bank debits (thousands) ........... \$ | 137,267 | 8 | 22 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . . \$$ | 62,692 | $-2$ | 7 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 26.0 | 7 | 10 |

## La Marque (pop. 13,969)

| Postal receipts* ..................... \$ | 16,769 | - | 2 | $\ldots$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Building permits, less federal contracts $\$$ | 676,251 | - | 9 | 615 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............ \$ | 13,428 | - | 4 | 7 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . . \$$ | 9,112 |  | 4 | 21 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover..... | 18.0 | - | 5 | -9 |

## TEXAS CITY (pop. 32,065)

| Postal receipts* | \$ | 37,629 | 22 | ... |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ | 354,675 | -15 | 42 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 34,990 | 2 | 4 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | \$ | 14,948 | $-4$ | 10 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. |  | 27.5 | 5 | - 9 |

## HOUSTON SMSA

(Brazoria, Fort Bend, Harris, Liberty, and
(Montgomery; pop. 1,771,256 a)



For an explanation of symbols see p. 202.

| Local Business Conditions |  | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| City and item | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } \\ & 1968 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } 1968 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Apr } 1968 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } 1968 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { May } 1967 \end{aligned}$ |
| La Porte (pop. 7,250 r) |  |  |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 127,353 | $-37$ | 99 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | 4,505 | 1 | 8 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . .8$ | 3,255 | 2 | 4 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 16.8 |  | 8 |
| Liberty (pop. 6,127) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* | 12,397 | 12 |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 124,893 | 1 | $-52$ |
| Bank debits (thousands) ........... \$ | 13,599 | 3 |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger .$. \$ | 10,971 | $-3$ | 9 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 14.6 | 7 | $-6$ |
| Pasadena (pop. 58,737) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* ..................... \$ | 78,667 | - 3 |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 2,611,234 | 232 | 109 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............ \$ | 92,231 | 3 | 17 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger .$. \$ | 40,335 | 4 | 19 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover.. | 28.0 | 4 | ** |
| Richmond (pop. 3,668) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* .................... \$ | 5,816 | 23 |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 82,900 | $-15$ | 24 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | 7,666 | - 16 | 30 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger \ldots$.. | 9,154 | - 6 | 14 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 9.7 | $-13$ | 18 |
| Rosenberg (pop. 9,698) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* .................... \$ | 15,116 | 12 |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 238,700 | 130 | 54 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . .8$ | 10,499 | $-2$ | 9 |
| South Houston (pop. 7,253) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* ..................... \& | 9,514 | $-14$ |  |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............ \$ | 10,772 | 5 | 12 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger .$. \$ | 6,938 | $-3$ | 11 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 18.4 | , |  |

## Tomball (pop. 2,025 r)

| Bank debits (thousands) ............. $\$ 8$ | 6,875 | 8 | -21 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . . \$$ | 10,931 | - | 1 | 16 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover..... | 7.5 | 9 | -32 |  |


| LAREDO SMSA (Webb; pop. 75,863 a) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \& | 372,751 | 15 | 26 |
| Bank debits (thousands) \|| | 724,008 | 3 | 11 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger .$. \& | 35,177 | 3 | 10 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 20.9 | 1 | $-1$ |
| Nonfarm employment (area) | 24,050 | 1 | 4 |
| Manufacturing employment (area). | 1,290 | 9 | 1 |
| Percent unemployed (area) | 6.2 | $-21$ | $-16$ |
| LAREDO (pop. 60,678) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* .................... \& | 54,705 | $-9$ |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 372,751 | 15 | $-26$ |
| Bank debits (thousands) ........... \$ | 65,594 | 7 | 13 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger .$. \$ | 35,353 | 2 | 10 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 22.5 | 5 | 1 |
| Nonfarm placements | 607 | - 7 | 19 |

## LUBBOCK SMSA

(Lubbock; pop. 175,839 a)

| Retail sales |  | 9 | 7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Automotive stores |  | 13 | 6 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ 2,090,627 |  | 12 |
| Bank debits (thousands) \|| | 3,668,124 | - 4 | - 2 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | 152,902 | 5 | 9 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 24.6 | - 8 | - 9 |
| Nonfarm employment (area) | 63,100 | ** | 1 |
| Manufacturing employment (area). | 6,860 | 1 | ** |
| Percent unemployed (area) | 3.2 | 19 |  |


| cal Business |  | Percen | $t$ change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | May | May 1968 from | May 1968 |
| City and item | 1968 | Adr 1968 | May 1967 |

LUBBOCK (pop. 155,200 r)

| Retail sales | $7 \dagger$ | 9 | 7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Automotive stores | $1 \dagger$ | 13 | 6 |
| Postal receipts* | 288,847 | 3 |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | 2,090,627 |  | $-10$ |
| Bank debits (thousands) | 274,273 | 1 | 1 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger .$. \$ | 138,907 | 2 | 9 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 23.9 | - |  |

## Slaton (pop. 6,568)

| Postal receipts* ..................... \$ | 4,852 | - 8 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bank debits (thousands) ........... \& | 4,832 | 5 | 1 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger .$. § | 3,623 |  | 6 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 15.0 | 1 | - 7 |

## MeALLEN-PHARR-EDINBURG SMSA (Hidalgo; pop. 180,596 a)

| Retail sales | ... | 5 | 13 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Apparel stores ................... | ... | $-12$ | 2 |
| Automotive stores |  | 15 | 16 |
| Drug stores |  | - 8 | - 5 |
| Food stores |  | - 3 | - 3 |
| Furniture and householdappliance stores |  | 7 | 27 |
| Gasoline and service stations. |  | 1 | 12 |
| General-merchandise stores |  | 7 | 14 |
| Lumber, building-material, and hardware dealers |  | 5 | 52 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \% 5,048,116 | 372 | 269 |
| Bank debits (thousands) \|| | \$ 1,484,544 | 10 |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | \$ 87,099 | 2 | 16 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 17.3 | 5 |  |
| Nonfarm employment (area) | 44,400 | - 3 | 4 |
| Manufacturing employment (area). | 4,720 | - 2 | 16 |
| Percent unemployed (area) ......... | 5.1 | $-7$ |  |


| Alamo (pop. 4,121) |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Building permits, less federal contracts $\$ 8$ | 29,100 | 484 | $\ldots$ |
| Bank debits (thousands) .......... \$ | 2,587 | $*$ | 26 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . \$$ | 1,275 | -12 | -10 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover..... | 22.8 | 8 | 33 |

Donna (pop. 7,522)

| Postal receipts* |  | 3,602 | $-25$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits, less federal contracts |  | 16,400 | $-83$ | $-6$ |
| Bank debits (thousands) |  | 3,125 | 5 | $-11$ |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. |  | 4,614 | ** | 7 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. |  | 8.1 | $-2$ | $-16$ |
| EDINBURG (pop. 18,706) |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* | \$ | 18,109 | $-10$ |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts |  | 3,302,662 |  |  |
| Bank debits (thousands) |  | 19,569 | $-10$ | $-8$ |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. |  | 14,676 | 3 | 39 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. |  | 16.3 | $-16$ | $-28$ |
| Nonfarm placements |  | 333 | 44 | 8 |

## Elsa (pop. 3,847)

Bank debits (thousands) $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots .8$ 2,811 $\quad$ - 9 - 9
End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger \ldots \$ 1,842 \quad-\quad 3 \quad 22$
Annual rate of deposit turnover..... $18.1 \quad-\quad 5 \quad-26$
For an explanation of symbols see p. 202.

| Local Business Conditions |  | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| City and item | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } \\ & 1968 \end{aligned}$ | May 1968 from Apr 1968 | May 1968 from May 1967 |
| McALLEN (pop. 35,411 r) |  |  |  |
| Retail sales | $7 \dagger$ | 7 | 18 |
| Apparel stores |  | - 15 | ** |
| Postal receipts* ................... \$ | 49,364 | 6 |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 319,250 | - 17 | - 72 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | 55,601 |  | 26 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger .$. \% | 32,667 | 2 | 23 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 20.7 |  | 4 |
| Nonfarm placements | 783 | - 24 | - 24 |
| Mercedes (pop. 10,943) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* .................. \& | 6,789 | - 14 |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | 600,372 | 619 |  |
| Bank debits (thousands) .......... \& | 7,754 |  | ** |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger .$. \% | 4,774 | 9 | 20 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 20.3 | $-10$ | - 15 |
| Mission (pop. 14,081) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* | 11,781 | 6 |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 676,937 | 845 |  |
| Bnnk debits (thousands) | 16,941 | 7 | 18 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger .$. \$ | 10,431 |  | 8 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 19.0 | 9 |  |
| PHARR (pop. 15,279 r) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* ................... \& | 8,876 | 20 |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | 45,902 | 36 | 87 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............ \$ | 5,131 | - 12 |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger .$. \& | 5,288 | - 8 | 10 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 11.2 | 12 | - 10 |
| San Juan (pop. 4,371) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* ................... \& | 3,298 |  |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 1,500 | - 95 | - 93 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............ \$ | 3,009 |  | ** |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger .$. \$ | 3,404 | 1 | 35 |
| Annual rate of deposit tarnover..... | 10.6 | - | - 25 |
| Weslaco (pop. 15,649) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* ................... \& | 14,965 | 19 |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts s | 55,993 | 30 | 110 |
| Bank debits (thousands) .......... 8 | 12,049 |  | 15 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger .$. \$ | 11,495 |  | 19 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover..... | 12.4 | - | - |

## MIDLAND SMSA

(Midland; pop. 66,487 a)

| Retail sales . ................... . . |  | 14 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| building permits, less federal contracts | \$ 1,038,000 | 115 | - 41 |
| Bank debits (thousands) \|| | \$ 1,573,392 | 1 | - 4 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | \$ 185,366 | 6 | 11 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover .... | 12.0 | 2 |  |
| Nonfarm employment (area) b | 58,400 | ** | ** |
| Manufacturing employment (area) b | 4,860 | ** | 5 |
| Percent unemployed (area) b | 2.9 | 7 | 17 |
| MIDLAND (pop. 62,625) |  |  |  |
| Retail sales | $7 \dagger$ | 14 | 5 |
| Postal receipts .................... s | \$ 160,349 | 13 | 30 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ 1,038,000 | 115 | 41 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \& 137,414 | ** | 6 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. . \$ | \$ 128,693 | 5 | 1 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover..... | 13.1 | - 2 | 8 |
| Nonfarm placements | 829 | 15 | 22 |

## ODESSA SMSA <br> (Eetor; pop. 88,194 a)

| Retail sales |  | 11 | 11 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | 578,720 | 33 | $-14$ |
| Bank debits (thousands) \|| | \$ 1,278,468 | ** | 8 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | 65,840 | - 1 | 4 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover .... | 19.4 | 2 | 4 |
| Nonfarm employment (area) b | 58,400 | ** | ** |
| Manufacturing employment (area) b | 4,860 | ** |  |
| Percent unemployed (area) b ...... | 2.9 | 7 |  |


| Local Business Conditions | $\begin{gathered} \text { M } \\ 1968 \end{gathered}$ | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | May 1968 from Apr 1968 | May 1968 from May 1967 |
| ODESSA (pop. 86,937 r) |  |  |  |
| Retail sales | $7 \dagger$ | 11 | 11 |
| Postal receipts ................... \& | 109,130 | - 7 |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 578,720 | 33 | -14 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ........... \& | 116,786 | 6 | 8 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger .$. \$ | 64,210 |  | 5 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover..... | 21.3 | 7 | 5 |
| Nonfarm placements | 541 | 9 | 7 |


| SAN ANGELO SMSA <br> (Tom Green; pop. 75,210 a) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Retail sales |  | 11 |  | 15 |
| Automotive stores | ... | 12 |  | 17 |
| Gasoline and service stations. |  | 1 | - | 1 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 513,677 | $-82$ |  | 49 |
| Bank debits (thousands) \|| ........ \$ | 997,320 | 1 |  | 15 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. . \$ | 64,579 | 3 |  | 16 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover .... | 15.6 | $-4$ | - | 1 |
| Nonfarm employment (area) | 23,150 | 1 |  | 3 |
| Manufacturing employment (area). | 3,780 | 3 | - | 1 |
| Percent unemployed (area) | 3.3 | 32 | - | 8 |

## SAN ANGELO (pop. 58,815)

| Retail sales | $7 \dagger$ | 11 | 15 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Automotive stores | 17 | 12 | 17 |
| Gasoline and service stations | $5 \dagger$ | 1 |  |
| Postal receipts* .................... \& | 142,864 | 14 |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 513,677 | $-82$ | - 49 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............ \$ | 89,028 | 7 | 14 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. . \$ | 62,319 | ** | 16 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover...... | 17.2 | 4 | $-1$ |

## SAN ANTONIO SMSA

## (Bexar and Guadalupe; pop. 852,491 a)

| Retail sales | 11 | 12 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Apparel stores | 1 | 18 |
| Automotive stores | 23 | 4 |
| Eating and drinking places. | 10 | 14 |
| General-merchandise stores ........ | 13 | 23 |
| Lumber, building-material, and hardware dealers | 1 | 17 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$16,625,295 | 56 | 59 |
| Bank debits (thousands) \|| ....... \$13,550,844 | 1 | 14 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger .$. \$ 589,190 | 8 | 14 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover .... 23.3 | 2 | ** |
| Nonfarm employment (area) ........ 271,700 | ** | 7 |
| Manufacturing employment (area) . 30,900 | ** | 10 |
| Percent unemployed (area).......... 3.5 | 35 | 10 |
| SAN ANTONIO (pop. 655,006 r) |  |  |
| Retail sales . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 8 8 \% $^{\text {¢ }}$ | 9 | 10 |
| Apparel stores . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . $\quad 24 \dagger$ | 1 | 18 |
| Automotive stores . . . . . . . . . . . . . 4 $\dagger \dagger_{\text {¢ }}$ | 24 |  |
| Eating and drinking places....... $2 \dagger \%$ | 10 | 14 |
| General-merchandise stores . . . . . . . 14 $\dagger \uparrow$ | 13 | 23 |
| Lumber, building-material, and hardware stores | - 1 | 17 |
| Postal receipts* ..................... \% \$ 1,149,118 | $-13$ |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$15,760,481 | 54 | 64 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ........... \$ 1,177,136 | 4 | 13 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . . \$ 561,030$ | 5 | 14 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover..... 25.7 | 2 | ** |

[^10]| Local Business |  | Percen | t change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| City and item | May | May 1968 from | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } 1968 \\ & \text { from } \end{aligned}$ |
| City and item | 1968 | Apr 1968 | May 1967 |

## Schertz (pop. 2,281)


Annual rate of deposit turnover.... $\quad 6.6 \quad-88-16$

## Seguin (pop. 14,299)

| Postal receipts* | \$ | 17,587 | - | 7 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ | 181,874 |  | 18 | - 69 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 18,819 | - | 1 | 27 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | \$ | 17,013 | - | 1 | 8 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. |  | 13.2 |  | 1 | 17 |

## SHERMAN-DENISON SMSA ${ }^{\text {x }}$ (Grayson; pop. 80,957 a)



DENISON (pop. 25,766 r)

| Retail sales | 7 | 14 | 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Postal receipts* | 28,752 | - |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | 195,582 | - 65 | 44 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | 27,792 | 3 | 18 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | 18,586 | 1 | 8 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 18.1 | 3 | 10 |
| Nonfarm placements | 149 | $-10$ |  |

SHERMAN (pop. $\mathbf{3 0 , 6 6 0} \mathbf{r}$ )

| Retail sales | $7{ }^{7}$ | 9 | 6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Automotive stores . . . . . . . . . . . . . \% | 47,052 | ** |  |
| Postal receipts* | 1 ${ }^{+}$ | 2 | ** |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 280,032 |  | 75 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............ \$ | 42,234 | 2 | 3 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger .$. \$ | 25,140 | 2 | 7 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 20.0 | ** |  |
| Nonfarm placements | 492 | 86 | 287 |

TEXARKANA SMSA (Bowie, excluding Miller, Ark.; pop. 70,413 a)

| Retail sales |  | 14 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \& 1,131,985 |  | 288 |
| Bank debits (thousands) \|| | \$ 1,393,788 | 7 | 9 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | \$ 64,116 | 5 | 7 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 22.2 | 8 | ** |
| Nonfarm employment (area) ....... | 42,800 | 1 | 8 |
| Manufacturing employment (area). | 14,250 | 5 | 25 |
| Percent unemployed (area) | 2.1 | 5 |  |
| TEXARKANA (pop. 50,006 r) |  |  |  |
| Retail sales | $7 \dagger$ | 14 | 3 |
| Postal receipts* | \$ 82,781 | - 6 |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ 1,070,085 | $-18$ | 272 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ 110,249 | 1 | 9 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger .$. | \$ 27,011 | 1 | 6 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 25.8 | 1 | ** |


| Local Business Conditions |  | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| City and item | May 1968 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } 1968 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Apr } 1968 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } 1968 \\ & \text { from } \end{aligned}$ $\text { May } 1967$ |
| TYLER SMSA (Smith; pop. 99,881a) |  |  |  |
| Retail sales |  | 24 | 21 |
| Apparel stores |  | - | - |
| Drugstores |  | 10 | 11 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \& | 831,001 | 30 |  |
| Bank debits (thousands) \\| ........ \& | 1,840,128 | 5 | 17 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger .$. \& | 84,588 | 1 | 2 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover .... | 21.8 | 5 | 13 |
| iNonfarm employment (area) | 35,400 |  | 2 |
| Manufacturing employment (area). | 9,800 | 1 | 1 |
| Percent unemployed (area)..... | 2.3 | 5 | - 21 |
| TYLER (pop. 51,230) |  |  |  |
| Retail sales | 74 | 24 | 21 |
| Apparel stores | $6 \dagger$ | - 1 | - |
| Drugstores | 11* | 10 | 11 |
| Pastal receipts .................... \$ | 143,820 | ** | 6 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 787,895 | 32 |  |
| Bank debits (thousands) ........... \& | 153,861 | 7 | 16 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger .$. \$ | 76,207 | - | 1 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover..... | 23.9 | 10 | 12 |
| Nonfarm placements | 482 | $-27$ | - 16 |
| WACO SMSA <br> (McLennan; pop. 151,871 a) |  |  |  |
| Retnil sales |  | 17 | 11 |
| Automotive stores |  | 15 | 10 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | 1,204,024 | - |  |
| Bank debits (thousands) \|| | 2,593,512 | 1 | 27 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger .$. \$ | 118,415 | 1 | 6 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover .... | 22.1 | - 1 | 18 |
| Nonfarm employment (area) ....... | 58,300 | 2 | 5 |
| Manufacturing employment (area). | 13,790 | 4 | 14 |
| Percent unemployed (area) ......... | 3.4 | $-3$ | - 11 |
| McGregor (pop. 4,642) |  |  |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | 2,500 | -94 |  |
| Bank debits (thousands) ........... \$ | 6,008 | 2 | 48 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger .$. \$ | 7,266 | - |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 9.7 |  | 45 |


| Local Business Conditions | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } \\ & 1968 \end{aligned}$ | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | May 1968 from Apr 1968 | May 1968 from May 1967 |
| WACO (pop. 103,462) |  |  |  |
| Retail sales | $7 \dagger$ | 17 | 11 |
| Automotive stores | ${ }^{+}+$ | 15 | 10 |
| Postal receipts* .................... \& | 251,428 | - |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | 1,142,759 | ** | - 59 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............ \$ | 197,684 |  | 27 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . .8$ | 99,311 | ** | 7 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover..... | 23.9 |  | 17 |

## WICHITA FALLS SMSA (Archer and Wichita; pop, 126,794 a)

| Retail sales |  | 10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ 2,435,705 | 55 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | S 1,969,440 | 14 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$.. | \& 112,755 | - 1 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 17.4 | $-13$ |
| Nonfarm employment (area) | 49,300 | ** |
| Manufacturing employment (area). | 4,790 | 1 |
| Percent unemployed (area) | 2.2 | 10 |

## Burkburnett (pop. 7,621)

Bank debits (thousands) $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$. . $7,714 \quad-15 \quad-28$
End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. \$ 4,439 ** - 4

Annual rate of deposit turnover...... $20.8 \quad-\quad 9 \quad-26$

## Iowa Park (pop. 5,152 r)

Building permits, less federal contracts Bank debits (thousands)

| 400 | -71 | -97 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 3,648 | 5 | 11 |
| 3,559 | $* *$ | -4 |
| 12.3 | 6 | 15 |

WICHITA FALLS (pop. 115,340 r)

| Retail sales | $7 \dagger$ | 10 | 20 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Postal receipts* .................... \$ | 150,293 | ** |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 2,424,515 | 63 | 20 |
| Bank debits (thousands) .......... \$ | 154,053 | 11 | ** |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger .$. \$ | - 94,785 | 2 | 3 |
| Annual rate of deposit | 19.3 |  |  |

## ALPHABETICAL LISTING OF NON-SMSA CITIES, WITH DATA

ALBANY (pop. 2,174)

| Building permits, less federal contracts $\$$ | 0 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............ \$ | 2,921 | 6 | -6 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . . \$$ | 3.867 | $* *$ | -9 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover..... | 9.1 | 7 | -2 |


| ALPINE (pop. 4,740) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Postal receipts* | 6,971 | 6 | $\ldots$ |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | 79,473 | 346 | 59 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | 4,568 | 3 | 20 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\dagger$. . | 5,364 | 5 | 31 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 9.9 | 8 | - 6 |
| ANDREWS (pop. 11,135) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* | 9,581 | 17 |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | 29,200 | 84 | 85 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | 7,643 | - 1 | 19 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. . | ¢, 117 | 1 | $-11$ |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 12.8 | - 2 | 29 |

For an explanation of symbols see p. 202.

ANGLETON (pop. 9,131)

| Postal receipts* | 12,492 | 6 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | 1,365,760 |  | 783 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | 14,128 | $\ldots$ | 10 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) | 11,783 |  |  |

## ATHENS (pop. 7,086)

| Postal receipts* .................... $\$ 8$ | 15,568 |  | 4 | $\ldots$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | $\$$ | 244,280 | $\ldots$ | 260 |
| Bank depits (thousands) ............. $\$ 8$ | 10,931 | - | 5 | 8 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ldots . . \$$ | 10,016 | - | 2 | 10 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover .... | 13.0 | $\ldots$ | - | 2 |

BAY CITY (pop. 11,656)

| Postal receipts* .................... \$ | 18,022 | 2 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 217,400 | 429 | 154 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ........... \$ | 21,492 | 1 | 13 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . .8$ | 27,086 | ** | 4 |
| Annunl rate of deposit turnover...... | 9.5 | 8 | 8 |
| Nonfarm placements | 76 | - 1 |  |


| Local Business Conditions |  | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| City and item | ${ }_{1988}^{\text {May }}$ | May 1968 Apr 1968 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } 1968 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { May } 10 a t \end{aligned}$ |
| BEEVILLE (pop. 13,811) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipta* ${ }^{\text {a }}$................. | 17,836 | 11 |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | 144.848 | 540 | 68 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ........... | 15,604 | 5 | 17 |
| Endool-month devosite (thousands) $\ddagger$. | 16,769 |  | 21 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover., | 11.0 | 6 |  |
| Nonfarm placements | 81 | - 22 |  |
| BELLVILLE (pop. 2,218) |  |  |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | 800 | - 95 | 82 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............ | 6,418 | 12 | 1 |
| End-uf-month depasits (thousands) $\ddagger$. . | 6.400 | 9 | 19 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 12.5 | 9 | - 13 |
| BELTON (pop. 8,163) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* .... | 11.462 | - 16 |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts 8 | 59,000 | - 35 | - ${ }^{55}$ |
| End-of-month deposits (thousinds) $\ddagger$. \$ | 9,868 | - 6 | 15 |
| BIG SPRING (pop. 31,230) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* ................... | 45,097 | 11 |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 121,123 | - 75 | - 39 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............ | 47,860 | 1 | 11 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$.. | 25,884 | ** | 2 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover..... | 22.2 | 4 | 10 |
| Nonfarm placements | 284 | 2 | 34 |
| BONHAM (pop. 7,357) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* .................. s | 8,097 | 2 |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 50,500 | - 36 | - 30 |
| Eank debits (thousands) ........... s | 10,163 | 2 | 14 |
| End-os-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. . \$ | 9,208 |  | ${ }^{3}$ |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 13.0 | 2 | 7 |
| BORGER (pop. 20,911) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* | 23,311 | 3 |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 49.925 | 363 | 258 |
| Nonfarm placements | 83 | 46 | - 21 |
| BRADY (pop. 5,338 ) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* $\ldots . . . . . . . . . . . . .$. \$ | 7,510 | 31 |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 89,800 |  | 142 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ........... | 7,944 | - | 13 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$.. \% | 7,335 | 3 | 7 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 18.2 | $-10$ | 6 |
| BRENHAM (pop. 7,740) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* $\ldots . . . . . . . . . . . . .$. \& | 10,286 | - 22 |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 41,908 | - 62 | 76 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............ \$ | 16,195 | ** | 17 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$.. \$ | 16,052 | 1 | 9 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 12.1 | ** | 9 |
| BROWNFIELD (pop. 10,286) |  |  |  |
| Posta1 reeeipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . \$ | 12,422 | - 12 |  |
| Bank delits (thoussnds) ........... \$ | 16,321 | -17 |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thonsands) $\ddagger$.. | 13,831 | - 10 | 14 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 13.4 | 12 | $-17$ |
| BROWNWOOD (pop. 16,974) |  |  |  |
| Retail salee | 7 | 4 | 4 |
| Postal receipts* $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$. . | 31.434 | - |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \% | 118.900 | 456 | 9 |
| Bank debits (thousande) ........... \$ | 23,158 | 10 | 16 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands)t.. \$ | 13,608 |  | 2 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover..... | 20.2 | 10 | 10 |
| Nonfarm placements .......... | 178 | 13 | 6 |

For an explanation of symbols see p. 202.

| Local Business Conditions | $\begin{gathered} \text { May } \\ 1968 \end{gathered}$ | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| City and item |  | May 1968 Apr 1968 Apr 1968 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } 1968 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { May } 196 \end{aligned}$ |
| DEL RIO (pop. 18,612) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipta* | 23,365 |  |  |
| Building permits, less federal contraets \$ | 97,952 | - 55 | 95 |
| Bank debits (thousands) .......... \% | 18,766 | 1 | 10 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$.. \$ | 19,050 |  | 8 |
| Annual rate of depoeit turnover. | 11.7 | 2 | ${ }^{* *}$ |
| DIMMITT (pop. 2,935) |  |  |  |
| Bank debits (thousands) ........... \$ | 10,418 | 1 | 33 |
| End-of-month deposits. (thousands) $\ddagger$.. ${ }^{\text {\% }}$ | 5,843 | - | 2 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 20.7 | 10 | 23 |
| EAGLE LAKE (pop. 3,565) |  |  |  |
| Benk debits (thousands) ............ | 4,061 | 20 | - 15 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$.. \$ | 4.749 |  | 5 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover..... | 10.0 | - 13 | $-17$ |
| EAGLE PASS (pop. 12,094) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . \% | 14,211 | 9 |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 49,731 | - 54 | - |
| Bank debita (thousands) .......... \$ | 8,980 | - 6 | 8 |
| Endwof-month deposits (thousands) $⿻$ ¢. \$ | 4,593 | - ${ }^{-1}$ |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 22.8 |  | 5 |
| EDNA (pop. 5,038) |  |  |  |
| Fostal receipts* ................... \% | 6,301 | - 27 |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 1,750 | -98 | -93 |
| Bank debita (thousands) ........... \$ | 6,619 | - |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) \& ${ }^{\text {c. }}$ \$ | 6,743 | - 1 |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 1.7 | - 4 |  |

FORT STOCKTON (pop. 6,373)

| Postal receipts* $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$ | 10,317 | -35 | $\ldots$ |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Rank delits (thousands) $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \$$ | 9,541 | - | 2 | 22 |
| End-uf-month deposits (thousands) $\$ \ldots$ | 8,482 | - | 9 | 10 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover..... | $\mathbf{1 2 . 9}$ | 1 | 8 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

## FREDERICKSBURG (pop. 4,629)

| Postal receipts* | 9,023 | - |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits, less federal contracts ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 76,450 | 62 | 41 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............ $\%$ | 13,518 | 6 | 10 |
| End-of-month deposits, (thousands) $\ddagger .$. \$ | 9,887 | 8 | ** |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 16.2 | 6 |  |

FRIONA (pop. 3,049.r)

| Building perrnits, leas federal contracts \$ | 97,500 | - 51 | $-20$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bank debits (thousands) .......... \$ | 14,662 | 29 | 94 |
| Knd-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . . \$$ | 6,020 | 3 | 39 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 29.7 | 23 | 47 |

GATESVILLE (pop. 4,626)

| Postal receipts* ..................... \$ | 6,257 | $-18$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bank debits (thoasands) ........... \$ | 7,711 | 3 |  | 7 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . . \$$ | 7.358 | ** |  | 9 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 12.6 | 2 | - | 4 |

For an explanation of symbols see $p .202$

| Local Business Conditions |  | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| City and item | $\underset{1968}{\text { May }}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { May } 1968 \\ \text { from } \\ \text { Apr } 1968 \end{gathered}$ | May 1968 from May 1967 |
| GIDDINGS (pop. 2,821) |  |  |  |
|  | 5,399 | - 14 |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 66,790 | 46 | 5 |
| Bank debits (thpusands) .......... \$ | 5.072 | 2 | 1 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$.. \$ | 5,130 | 3 | 4 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 12.0 | 3 |  |
| GLADEWATER (pop. 5,742) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts*. | 7,146 | 8 |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | 44,860 | - | 48 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | 5,325 | 4 | 21 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$.. | 4,580 |  | 4 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 13.6 | 5 | 10 |
| Nonfarm employment (area) e | 34,050 | 1 | 2 |
| Manufacturing employment (axea) c | 9,460 | 3 | \% |
| Percent unemployed (area) © ........ | 2.5 | 9 |  |
| GOLDTHWAITE (pop. 1,383) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* | 3,750 | 40 |  |
| Bank debits (thousands) | 6,954 | 45 | 28 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) ¢., \$ | 6,206 | 53 | 7 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 16.3 | 9 | 42 |
| GRAHAM (pop. 8,505) |  |  |  |
| Fostal receipts* | 11,379 | - 6 |  |
| Bank debits (thousands) | 11,703 | - 6 | 12 |
| End-nf-month deposits thousandb) $\ddagger .$. \$ | 10.61 .5 | 1 | 9 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 13.3 | - 6 | 2 |
| GRANBURY (pop. 2,227) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* | 4,768 | 3 |  |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............ \$ | 2,652 | 5 | 33 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands)t.. \$ | 3,150 | 8 | 3 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 10.5 | 6 | 12 |
| GREENVILLE (pop. 22,134 r) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipta* | 33,786 |  |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \% | 196;926 | 47 | - 18 |
| Bank debits (thousands). | 34,135 |  | 29 |
| End-ot-month deposits (thoussids) $\ddagger$. . | 20,275 |  | 2 |
| Annual rate of depoiit turnover | 20.6 |  | 12 |
| Nonfarm placements | 209 | 2 | 35 |
| HALLETTSVILLE (pop. 2,808) |  |  |  |
| Bank debits (thousands) .......... \$ | 3,629 | 5 |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. \$ | 6,546 |  |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 6.5 | 7 | 10 |
| HALLSVILLE (pop. 684) |  |  |  |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............ | 852 |  |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$, \% | 1,230 |  |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover...... | 8.2 | 6 |  |
| HASKELL (pop. 4,016) |  |  |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 2.000 | 25 | 38 |
| Bank debits (thousands) .......... | 4,365 | 3 | 11 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. . \$ | 4,766 |  | 2 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover...... | 10.6 | 8 | 4 |
| HENDERSON (pop. 9,666) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts ${ }^{*}$................. 8 | 18,583 | 15 |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | 94,600 |  |  |
| Bank debits (thousands) ......... ${ }^{\text {s }}$ | 12,653 |  | 42 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$.. \$ | 15.148 |  |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover..... |  |  | 94 |


| Local Business Conditions |  | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| City and item | $\underset{\text { Mat8 }}{\text { May }}$ | May 1968 Adr 1968 | May 1968 from May 1967 |
| HEREFORD (pop. 9,584 r) |  |  |  |
| Poetal receipts* ................... \% | 19,520 | - 8 |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 256,700 | - 13 | - 12 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............ \$ | 28,983 | - | 6 |
| End-of-month deposite (thousands) $1 .$. | 14,388 | 10 |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover...... | 22.9 | - 2 | 5 |
| HONDO (pop. 4,992) |  |  |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 28,805 | - 60 | 80 |
| Bank delits (thousands) ........... | 4,229 | 2 | 3 |
| End-af-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger .$. \$ | 4,199 |  | 4 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover.... | 11.9 | 1 |  |
| JACKSONVILLE (pop. 10,509 r) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* ${ }^{\text {* }}$................ $\%$ | 22,231 | - 20 |  |
| Buildine permits, less federal contracts | 1,050 |  | -95 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ........... \$ | 18,299 | ** | 12 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\mathrm{C}_{\text {, , \$ }}$ | 12,010 |  | 8 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 18.1 | 1 | 1 |
| JASPER (pop. 5,120 r) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* | 13.319 | - 9 |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | 84,700 | - 38 | 91 |
| Bank debits (thousands) . .......... \$ | 16,323 | 11 | 52 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . .1$ | 9.163 |  | 12 |
| Annuil rate of deposit turnover | 20.3 |  | 28 |
| JUNCTION (pop. 2,441) |  |  |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | 420 | - 98 | 99 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ........... \$ | 2.686 | 5 | 17 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) ${ }^{\text {a }}$. \$ | 4,251 | 8 | 20 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 8.1 |  | 1 |
| JUSTIN (pop. 622) |  |  |  |
| Postal reccipts* ................... \$ | 783 | 10 |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \% | 0 |  |  |
| Bank delits (thousands) .......... \$ | 1,018 | ** | - 16 |
| End-of-month deprsits (thoubands) $\ddagger$.. \$ | 778 |  | - 12 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 15.3 | 5 | - 14 |
| KARNES CITY (pop. 2,693) |  |  |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 24,000 | 112 | 87 |
| Rank debits (thousands) ........... * | 3,827 | $\ldots$ |  |
| End-ot-month deposits (thoussnds) $\ddagger$. . \% | 4,043 | $\ldots$ | 3 |
| KILGORE (pop. 10,092) |  |  |  |
| Postal receiptu* .................. \% | 20,023 | 18 |  |
| Ruilding permits, less federal contracts \$ | 61,000 | - 37 | 3 |
| Bank delits (thousands) ............ \$ | 15,049 | 3 | 9 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) \%.. | 13,098 |  | 12 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover.. | 13.5 | 5 | - |
| Nonfarm employment (area) e.... | 34,050 | 1 | 2 |
| Manufacturing employment (area) c | 9.460 | 3 | 9 |
| Percent unemployed (area) e | 2.5 | 9 |  |
| KILLEEN (pop. 34,000 r) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* | 53,946 |  |  |
| Bank delvits (thousands) ............ \$ | 21,066 |  | 12 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $⿻$... \$ | 12,276 |  | 1. |
| Annual rate of degosit turnover. | 20.0 | ** | 8 |
| KINGSVILLE (pop. 25,297) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipta ${ }^{*} \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$ * | 24,156 |  |  |
| Building permits, less federal contraet | 218,425 | - 40 | $-27$ |
| Bank debits (thousands) .......... \$ | 18,332 |  | 6 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. . \% | 17,853 |  | 5 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover..... | 12.0 | 2 |  |

[^11]| Local Business Conditions |  | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| City and item | $\underset{1968}{\text { May }}$ | May 1968 Apr 1968 Apr 1968 | May 196 from May 196 |
| KIRBYVILLE (pop. 2,021 r) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* | 5,635 | 7 |  |
| Bank debits (thousands) | 2,838 | 5 | 14 |
| End-nf-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger .$. | 4,414 | 2 | 13 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 7.8 | 3 | 3 |
| LAMESA (pop. 12,438) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* | 14,014 | - 18 |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | 16,175 | 200 | 60 |
| Bank debits (thousnnds) | 15,470 |  | 11 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$.. | 15,447 |  |  |
| Antual rate of deposit turnover. | 11.5 | - 2 | 14 |
| Nonfarm placements | 90 | - 31 | $-19$ |
| LAMPASAS (pop. 5,670 r) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* | 7.579 | 11 |  |
| Huilding permits, less federal contracts | 58.900 | - 12 | 78 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ........... | 9,658 | 11 | 11 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$.. | 8,295 | 9 | 15 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover..... | 14.6 | 4 |  |
| LEVELLAND (pop. 12,117 r) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* ................... \% | 13,128 | 15 |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | 180,010 | - 34 | 64 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............ \$ | 14,925 |  | 4 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$.. \$ | 11,429 | $\ldots$ | 11 |
| LITTLEFIELD (pop. 7,236) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* | 8.905 | 17 |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | 22,050 |  | - 67 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | 8,664 | 25 |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thonsands) ¢.. \% | 9,231 | 5 | 9 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 11.0 | - 20 | - 13 |
| LLANO (pop. 2,656) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* ................... \& | 5,181 | 6 |  |
| Ruilding Dermits, leas federal contracte \$ | 3.500 | 88 | 84 |
| Bank debits (thousands) . .......... \$ | 4,354 | - 3 | 2 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$.. \$ | 4,423 | 5 |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 12.1 |  | 5 |
| LOCKHART (pop. 6,084) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipta ${ }^{*}$. $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots . .$. | 6,459 | 24 |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 11,968 | -85 | 78 |
| Bank debits (thousands) . .......... \$ | 6,985 | 4 | 19 |
| Fnd-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. . \$ | 7,461 |  | 3 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 11.1 | 4 | 13 |
| LONGVIEW (pop. 52,242 r) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* . . ................ \& | 81,071 | 4 |  |
| Ruilding permits, less federsl contracts \$ | 951,600 | 9 | 47 |
| Nonfarm emplogment (area) e ...... | 34,050 | 1 | 2 |
| Manufacturing employment (ares) e | 9,460 | 3 | 9 |
| Percent unemployed (area) e ........ | 2.5 | 9 |  |
| LUUFKIN (pop. 20,756 r) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts ${ }^{*}$. ${ }^{\text {a }}$. .............. \$ | 44,154 | 21 |  |
| Builing permite, less federal contracts \$ | 411,938 | 239 | 128 |
| Nonfarm placements .......... | 68 | - 15 | - 24 |
| McCAMEY (pop. 3,350 r) |  |  |  |
|  | 3,227 | 22 |  |
| Bank debits (thousands) ........... \$ | 2,090 |  | 12 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) f.. \$ | 1,744 |  | 12 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnove | 18. | 2 |  |
| MARBLE FALLS (pop. 2,161) |  |  |  |
| Bank debits (thousands) ........... \$ | ${ }^{3.063}$ | - 13 | 7 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) a . . \$ $^{\text {d }}$ | 2,755 |  | 14 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover...... | 13.6 | $-13$ |  |


| Local Business Conditions |  | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| City and item | $\begin{gathered} \text { May } \\ 1968 \end{gathered}$ | May 1968 from Apr 1968 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } 1968 \\ & \text { froma } \\ & \text { May } 1967 \end{aligned}$ |
| MARSHALL (pop. 25,715 r) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* ................... \% | 37,131 | 6 |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | 377,772 | 126 | 98 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | 27,299 | 7 | 20 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousunds) $\ddagger$. 8 | 28,051 | 2 | ** |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 11.8 | 7 | 17 |
| Nonfarm placements | 388 | - 8 | 2 |
| MEXIA (pop. 7,621 r) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipta* | 0.04 | 25 |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | 76,133 | 408 | 9 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............ \$ | 7,195 | 8 | 18 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$., \$ | 6.142 | 1 | 11 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover., | 14.1 | 9 | 7 |
| MINERAL WELLS (pop. 11,053) |  |  |  |
| Pratal receipts* $\ldots . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . \$$ | 93,292 | 9 |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 350,550 | - 60 | - 28 |
| Eank debits (thousands) ........... \$ | 27,817 |  | 26 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands).. \$ | 15,973 |  | 13 |
| Annual rate of dedosit turnover | 20.7 | - 2 | 13 |
| Nonfarm placements | 215 | 54 | 111 |
| MONAHANS (pop. 9,252 r) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* .................. \$ | 11,017 | - 8 |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | 31,885 |  | - 61 |
| Bank dehits (thousands) ........... 8 | 11,872 | 2 | 1 |
| End-of-month deposity (thousandg) $\ddagger$. \% | 7,228 |  | 10 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 19.1 | 6 |  |
| MOUNT PLEASANT (pop. 8,027) |  |  |  |
| Postal reeeipts* .................. \$ | 12,629 |  |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 27,660 | - 38 | - 75 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ........... \$ | 15,999 | 3 | 7 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. $\%$ | 9.229 | 2 |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 21.1 | 4 | 12 |
| MUENSTER (pop. 1,190) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* ................... | 1,686 | 2 |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 15,000 | 15 | 32 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ........... | 3,357 | ** | 10 |
| End-of-month deposits (thoussudg) $\ddagger .$. \% | 2,631 |  | 29 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 15.1 | 3 | $-15$ |
| MULESHOE (pop. 3,871) |  |  |  |
| Bank debits (thousands) .......... | 10,190 | - 2 | 13 |
| End-ot-munth deyosits (thousands) $\ddagger$.. \$ | 7,324 | - 15 | - 21 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 15.4 | 5 | 36 |
| NACOGDOCHES (pop. 15,450 r) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* .................. | 27,76s |  |  |
| Building permite, less federal contracts | 1,688,733 | 410 | - 18 |
| Bank debits (thousands) $\ldots \ldots . . .$. | 26,948 | 7 | 1 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger .$. | 25.445 |  | 19 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover..... |  | 9 | - 16 |
| Nonfarm placements | 50 | - 25 | 46 |
| OLNEY (pop. 4,200 r) |  |  |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | - 0 |  |  |
| Rank debitc (thoussnds) ............ | 4,568 | - 14 | - 14 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$.. \$ | 4,809 | ** |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 11.4 | - 13 |  |
| PALES'TINE (pop. 13,974) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* . .................. \% | 19,630 |  |  |
| Buiding permits, less federal contracts | 156,600 | 80 | 66 |
| Eank debits (thousands) ............ | - 15,842 | ** | 21 |
| End-of-month deposite (thousands) ${ }_{\text {a }}$. | - 18,122 | 4 | 3 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover..... | 10.7 | - 2 | 16 |

For an explanation of symbols see p. 202.

| Local Business Conditions |  | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| City and item | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } \\ & 1968 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } 1968 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Apr } 1968 \end{aligned}$ | May 1968 from May 1967 |
| 1'AMPA (pop. 24,664) |  |  |  |
| Retail sales | $7{ }^{7}$ | 8 | 8 |
| Postal receipts . ................... \$ | 32,506 | - 2 | *. |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 91.200 | $-25$ | - 55 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | 30,624 | - 8 | 2 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . . \$$ | 20,609 | - | ** |
| Annuel rate of deposit turnover..... | 17.0 | $-4$ | - 3 |
| Nonfarm placements | 141 | $-16$ | $-46$ |
| PARIS (pop. 20,977) |  |  |  |
| Retail seles | $7 \dagger$ | 4 | ** |
| Postal receipts* | 26,904 | $-23$ | $\cdots$ |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 171.626 | - 28 | $-19$ |
| Nonfarm placements ............... | 200 | - 11 | 18 |
| PECOS (pop. 12,728) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* . ................... ${ }_{\text {\& }}$ | 14,161 | 23 | $\cdots$ |
| Bank debits (thousands) | 16,020 | $-12$ | 10 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$.. \% | 10,287 | - 4 | 8 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover..... | 18.4 | - 9 | 1 |
| Nonfarm placements | 90 | 2 | 30 |
| PLAINVIEW (pop. 23,703 r) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* ................... | 31,121 | 7 | . ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| Ruilding permits, less federal contracts \$ | 112,700 | -93 | -45 |
| Bank debita (thousands) ........... | 44.743 |  | 41 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands)f.. \$ | 26,186 |  | 9 |
| Nonfarm placements | 304 | 1 | 8 |
| PLEASANTON (pop. 5,053 r) |  |  |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | 124,250 | 197 | 188 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | 4,602 | $\leftarrow 13$ |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $4 .$. \$ | 4,239 | - 1 | ${ }^{6}$ |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 12.9 | - 11 | - 10 |
| QUANAH (pop. 4,564) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* | 6,044 | 3 |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 0 |  |  |
| Bank debits (thousands) | 5,519 | 2 | 14 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands)t.. \$ | 6,170 | 5 | 9 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 11.0 | ** | 5 |
| RAYMONDVILLE (pop. 9,385) |  |  |  |
| Postal receiptg* .................... ${ }^{\text {S }}$ | 6,867 | -32 | $\cdots$ |
| Buildink permits, less federal contracts $\%$ | 2,525 | -98 | - 53 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | 8,023 | - 3 | $-1$ |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $4 .$. \$ | 10,019 | * | 21 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover..... | 9.6 | 1 | $-19$ |
| Nonfarm placements | 75 | $-12$ | 29 |
| REFUGIO (pop. 4,944) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* .................... \$ | 6,570 | 25 | $\cdots$ |
| Buildins permits, less federal contracts \$ | 3,000 | $\cdots$ | - 70 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............ \$ | 4,910 | 4 | 32 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . .8$ | 8,859 | -6 | 5 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 6.4 | 8 | 21 |
| ROCKDALE (pop. 4,481) |  |  |  |
| Pubtal receipts ${ }^{\text {s }}$. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . \$ | 7,058 | 15 |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 26,090 | 13 | 2 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | 6,697 | ** | 13 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger .$. \$ | 5 5,036 | - 3 | 6 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover.... | 13.3 | 3 | 5 |
| SAN MARCOS (pop. 12,713) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* . ................... | \$ 24,014 | 20 | $\ldots$ |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 5 535,433 | $-64$ | - 84 |
| Bank debits (thousanda) | 16,559 | - 10 | 14 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$.. \$ | - 12,903 | - 9 | 14 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover..... | 14.7 | $-11$ | $-1$ |


| Local Business Conditions |  | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| City and item | May <br> May 1968 | May <br> from <br> Apr 1968 | May 1968 |

SAN SABA (pop. 2,728)

| Postal receipts* | \$ | 4,511 | 22 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ | 10,000 | - 54 |  |
| Bank debits (thousands) |  | 7,314 | 27 | 15 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | \$ | 5,562 | 1 | 11 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. |  | 15.9 | 22 | 3 |
| SILSBEE (pop. 6,277) |  |  |  |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ | 1,262 | - 99 |  |
| Bank debits (thousands) |  | 8,895 | 1 | 61 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$.. | \$ | 10,317 | 20 | 60 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. |  | 11.3 | - 7 | 9 |

## SMITHVILLE (pop. 2,933)

| Postal receipts* .................. $\$$ | 3,864 | 19 | $\ldots$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Building permits. less federal contracts $\$ 8$ | 25,300 | -63 | $\ldots$ |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............ $\$ 8$ | 1,854 | 3 | 24 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . . \$$ | 2,673 | 7 | $* *$ |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover..... | 8.6 | 4 | 30 |

## SNYDER (pop. 13,850 )

| Postal receipts* | 16,568 | 10 | 12 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | 125,250 | 88 | 62 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | 15,442 | 24 | 21 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | 16,876 | $-3$ | - 5 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 10.8 | 27 | 27 |
| SONORA (pop. 2,619) |  |  |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | 20,050 |  | 175 |
| Bank debits (thousanas) | 2,386 |  | $-11$ |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$.. | 4,248 | 1 | 7 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover.. | 6.8 | - |  |

## STEPHENVILLE (pop. 7359)

| Postal receipts* .................... \& | 12,591 | $-25$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 72,450 | - 69 | 134 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............ \$ | 10,428 | $-10$ | 4 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . .8$ | 10,069 | $-11$ | 4 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 11.7 | 6 | - 11 |
| STRATFORD (pop. 1,380) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* .................... \% | 3,163 | 18 |  |
| Bank debits (thousands) | 10,921 | 1 | 32 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger .$. \& | 5,141 |  |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 24.5 | 5 | 37 |

## SULPHUR SPRINGS (pop. 9,160)

| Postal receipts* | 24,096 | 12 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | 116,000 | - 15 | 7 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | 20,714 | ** | 17 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) t . | 16,397 | 2 | 4 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 15.0 | ** | 9 |
| SWEETWATER (pop. 13,914) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* | 15,401 | 15 |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | 52,100 |  |  |
| Bank delits (thousands) | 13,188 | 5 | 2 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | 9,962 | 8 | - 1 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 15.2 | 7 |  |
| Nonfarm placements | 170 | - 9 | 17 |

## TAHOKA (pop. 3,012)

Building permits, less federal contracts \$
Bank debits (thousands) ............ s
End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . . \$$
Annual rate of deposit turnover....

| 0 | $\cdots$ |
| ---: | ---: |
| 4,101 | 5 |
| 6,309 | -5 |
| 7.6 | 12 |

For an explanation of symbols see p. 202.

| Local Business Conditions |  | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| City and item | May <br> May 1968 <br> from | May 1968 <br> from <br> Apr 1968 |  |

## TAYLOR (pop. 9,434)

| Postal receipts* | 10,976 | - 11 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | 65,200 | - 96 | - 11 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | 10,009 | - 12 | - 2 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | 20,152 | 1 | 14 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 6.0 | $-10$ | - 14 |
| Nonfarm placements | 31 | 15 | 19 |

TEMPLE (pop. 34,730 r)

| Retail sales | $7 \dagger$ | 16 | 18 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Furniture and household <br> appliance stores | $15^{\circ}$ | 23 | 12 |
| Postal receipts* | 55,877 | 1 | . |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | 400,289 | $-57$ | 13 |
| Nonfarm placements | 240 | - 21 | 3 |

UVALDE (pop. 10,293)

| Postal receipts* ..................... $\$$ | 12,093 | - 53 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bank debits (thousands) .......... \$ | 18,575 | 6 | 9 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . . \$$ | 10,188 | 7 | 3 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover..... | 21.0 | 4 | ** |
| VERNON (pop. 12,141) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* .................... . \% | 14,033 | ** |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 3,105 | -98 |  |
| Bank debits (thousands) .......... 8 | 17,366 | - 4 | 7 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . . \$$ | 23,475 | 4 | 12 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover.... | 9.1 | ., | - |
| Nonfarm placements | 100 | $-22$ | 14 |

VICTORIA (pop. 33,047)

| Retail sales | 7* | 13 | 15 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Automotive stores | $1 \dagger$ | 11 | 31 |
| Postal receipts* | 59,524 | 1 | ... |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | 385,149 | 2 | $-11$ |
| Bank debits (thousands) | 81,237 | $-2$ | - 4 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | 93,657 | ** | 7 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 10.4 | 2 | - 9 |
| Nonfarm placements | 488 |  | - 19 |

## WEATHERFORD (pop. 9,759)

| Postal receipts* $\ldots \ldots . . . . . . . . . . . . . \$$ | 15,776 | -1 | $\ldots$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Building permits, less federal contracts $\$ 8$ | 49,800 | -67 | -52 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . . \$$ | 17,149 | -2 | 12 |

## LOWER RIO GRANDE VALLEY

(Cameron, Willacy, and Hidalgo; pop. 335,450 a)

| Retail sales | 7 | 5 | 11 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Apparel stores | - 6 | $-14$ | 3 |
| Automotive stores | 1 | 12 | 11 |
| Drugstores | 11 | - 3 | 4 |
| Fating and drinking places | 8 | $-13$ | 5 |
| Food stores | 12 | 1 | 5 |
| Furniture and householdappliance stores | 15 | $-7$ | 25 |
| Gasoline and service stations ...... | 5 | 1 | 10 |
| General-merchandise stores | 11 | 6 | 13 |
| Lumber, building-material, and hardware dealers | 2 | - 5 | 36 |
| Postal receipts | $\ldots$ | - 5 | ... |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | $\ldots$ | 189 | 172 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | ... | 2 | 12 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$.. | $\ldots$ | 2 | 19 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 17.5 | 1 | $-7$ |

## BAROMETERS OF TEXAS BUSINESS

(All figures are for Texas unless otherwise indicated.)
All indexes are based on the average months for 1957-1959 except where other specification is made; all except annual indexes are adjusted for seasonal variation unless otherwise noted. Employment estimates are compiled by the Texas Employment Commission in cooperation with the Bureau of Labor Statistics of the U.S. Department of Labor. The symbols used below impose qualifications as indicated here: *-preliminary data subject to revision; r-revised data; \#dollar totals for the calendar year to date; §-dollar totals for the fiscal year to date; $\dagger$ - employment data for wage and salary workers only.

|  | May |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { April } \\ & 1968 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } \\ & 1967 \end{aligned}$ |  | Year-to-date everage |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | 1968 |  |  |  | 1967 |
| GENERAL BUSINESS ACTIVITY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Texas business activity (index |  | 219.0 |  |  |  | 218.7 r |  | 189.0 r |  | 210.7 |  | 183.6 |
| Wholesale prices in U.S. (unadjusted index) |  | 108.4* |  | 108.3 r |  | 105.8 |  | 108.0 |  | 105.8 |
| Consumer prices in U.S. (unadjusted index) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Income payments to individuals in U.S. (billions, at seasonally adjusted annual rate) $\qquad$ | \$ | 674.0 ${ }^{*}$ | \$ | 669.8 * | \$ | 618.2 r | \$ | 664.1 | \$ | 614.7 |
|  |  | 35 |  | 37 |  | 45 |  | 39 |  | 45 |
| Business fallures (liabilities, thousands) | \$ | 2,881 | \$ | 2,524 | \$ | 4,107 | \$ | 3,347 | \$ | 5,310 |
| Newspaper linage (index) .-- -------------1-1-1) |  | 121.5 |  | 120.8 |  | 123.3 |  | 125.1 |  | 121.3 |
| Sales of ordinary life insurance (Index) |  | 240.0 |  | 209.7 |  | 206.5 |  | 215.0 |  | 183.4 |
| Miscellaneous freight carloadings in S.W. District (Index) $\qquad$ TRADE |  | 86.2 |  | 88.6 |  | 86.3 |  | 84.4 |  | 85 |
| Ratio of credit sales to net sales in department and apparel stores $\qquad$ |  | 60.3 * |  | 62.8 * |  | 59.0 r |  | 62.5 |  | 62.8 |
| Ratio of collections to outstandings in department and apparel stores $\qquad$ |  | 32.9 * |  | 33.2 * |  | 33.7 r |  | 34.9 |  | 34.4 |
| PRODUCTION |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total electric-power use (index) |  | 213.1 * |  | 215.2 * |  | 212.3 r |  | 211.0 |  | 200.5 |
| Industrial electric-power use (index) |  | 194.9** |  | 198.6 * |  | 184.9 |  | 193.7 |  | 183.0 |
| Crude-oil production (index) |  | 114.6 * |  | 111.3 * |  | 104.1 r |  | 113.9 |  | 103.3 |
| Average daily production per oll well (bbl |  | 15.4 |  | 15.6 |  | 14.3 |  | 15.8 |  | 14.4 |
| Crude-oil runs to stills (index) --.---. |  | 137.6 |  | 131.6 * |  | 128.9 |  | 132.0 |  | 121.5 |
| Industrial production in U.S. (inde |  | 163.7** |  | 162.5** |  | 155.6 r |  | 162.5 |  | 156.7 |
|  |  | $166.4{ }^{\text {1 }}$ * |  | 164.8 * |  | 150.9 r 169.1 r |  | 186.0 |  | 150.9 173.0 |
| Texas industrial production-total manufactures (index) |  | 191.1** |  | 198.4 * |  | 177.0 r |  | 188.1 |  | 189.7 |
| Texas industrial production-nondurable manufactures (Index) |  | 181.0 * |  | 179.8** |  | 163.9 r |  | 174.4 |  | 161.8 |
| Texas industrial production-mining (index) --------------------------- |  | 124.4** |  | 123.9 * |  | 114.5 r |  | 126.0 |  | 115.1 |
| Texas industrial production-utilttes (index) |  | 207.7** |  | 207.7** |  | 204.9 r |  | 209.9 |  | 199.0 |
| Building construction authorized (Index) |  | 178.2 |  | 170.5 |  | 159.5 r |  | 163.6 |  | 142.8 |
| New residential building authorized (index) |  | 146.6 233.6 |  | 143.3 206.3 |  | 132.2 r |  | 198.6 |  | 105.7 |
| New nonresidential building authorized (in |  | 233.6 |  | 206.3 |  | 201.4 r |  | 198.6 |  | 201.7 |
| AGRICULTURE |  | 241 |  | 241 |  | 236 |  | 243 |  | 240 |
| Prices received by farmers (unadjusted inc |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prices paid by farmers in U.S. (unadjusted Index, 1910-1914=100) |  | 354 |  | 353 |  | 342 |  | 350 |  | 340 |
| Ratio of Texas farm prices received to U.S. prices paid by farmers $\qquad$ |  | 68 |  | 68 |  | 69 |  | 69 |  | 70 |
| FINANCE |  | 2374 |  | 236.9 |  | 199.9 |  | 227.6 |  | 194.2 |
| Bank debits (index) |  | 237.4 |  | 259.8 |  | 219.9 |  |  |  | 221.1 |
| Bank debits, U.S. (index) .-.alas Federal Reserve District |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reporting member banks, Dallas Federal Reserve District | \$ | 5,232 | \$ | 5,228 |  | 4,880 | \$ | 5,191 | \$ | 4,837 |
|  | \$ | 7,698 | \$ | 7,713 | \$ | 7,202 | \$ | 7,688 | \$ | 7,118 |
| Loans and investmed demand deposits (millions) | \$ | 3,168 | \$ | 3,112 | \$ | 3,170 | \$ | 3,117 | \$ | 2,980 |
| Revenue recelpts of the state comptroller (thousan |  | 10,720 |  | 212,983 |  | 257,615 |  | 222,139 |  | 190.880 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mutual investment companies <br> All other corporate securities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 10,675 |  | 14,835 14,640 | \$ | 9,989 | \$ | 140,4488 |  | 54,418§ |
| Other companies (thousands) |  | 12,569 |  |  |  |  | \$ |  |  | 54,41.8§ |
| Securities registrations renewals Mutual investment companies (thousands) |  |  |  |  |  | 15,312 | \$ | 144,6218 |  | 113,056\$ |
|  | \$ | $\begin{array}{r} 26,903 \\ 3,761 \end{array}$ |  | 2,581 |  | $\begin{array}{r}15,312 \\ \hline 90\end{array}$ | \$ | 14,567§ |  | 6,809§ |
| LABOR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 142.0 |  | 134.6 |
| Manufacturing employment in Texas (Index) |  | $144.4{ }^{*}$ |  | 143.6 |  | 136.3 r |  | 136.9 |  | 130.5 |
| Total nonagricultural employment in Texas (index) |  | $102.7 *$ |  | 101.1* |  | 101.5 r |  | 101.1 |  | 101.0 |
| Average weekly hours-manufacturing (index) |  | 141.1* |  | 138.2 * |  | 128.3 r |  | 137.0 |  | 126.6 |
| Average weekly earnings-manufacturing (inde |  | 3,404.6 * |  | 3,391.3 * |  | 3,250.9 r |  | 3,361.8 |  | 3,203.6 |
| Total nonagricultural employment (thousands) - |  | 697.3 * |  | 694.2 * |  | 658.1 r |  | 688.0 |  | 649.8 |
| Total manufacturing employme (thousands) |  | 388.1 * |  | 386.5 * |  | 352.9 r |  | 380.7 |  | 347.4 |
| Nondurable-goods employment (thousands) |  | 309.2 * |  | 307.7 * |  | 305.2 r |  | 307.3 |  | 302.5 |
| Total nonagricultural labor force in selected labor-marke areas (thousands) |  | 3,159.2 |  | 3,150.5 |  | 3,044.5 |  | 3,116.7 |  | 3,006.7 |
|  |  | 2,986.7 |  | 2,985.3 |  | 2,859.2 |  | 2,960.6 |  | 2,836.6 |
| Employment in selected labor-market areas (thousands) Manufacturing employment in selected labor-market |  | 595.9 |  | 593.6 |  | 545.8 |  | 586.9 |  | 541.4 |
| Total unemployment in selected labor-market areas |  | 81.0 |  | 72.8 |  | 85.9 |  | 77.7 |  | 84.0 |
| Percent of labor force unemployed in selected | 2.6 |  | 2.3 |  | 2.8 |  |  | 2.5 |  | 2.8 |

# GOVERNMENT"S ROLE IN RETAIL MARKETING OF FOOD PRODUCTS IN CHILE 

by<br>Peter D. Bennett

No. 6 in Studies in Latin American Business Series
Although this book is written specifically about conditions in Chile, it presents much material useful to the government of any developing country. The author's focus is on a special phase of economic development: the efficiency with which the institutional marketing structure of a nation operates and the degree to which government action affects that efficiency. The study is basically descriptive, but its purpose is prescriptive. The author achieves his aim through his conclusions as to the ways in which government can act to improve efficiency in retail marketing, and thus foster economic development. These conclusions come inevitably after consideration of the mass of fact relative to the economy and the environment in Chile.
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