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business activity in texas during arril showed in general a substantial improvement over March. The index of business activity compiled by the Bureau of Business Research from bank debits in twenty cities reported to the Federal Reserve Bank of Dallas rose $15 \%$ after adjustment for seasonal variation. This sharp increase carried the index to a new high, after having declined for the two previous months. The previous record of 138.3 set in January was beaten when the March level reached 139.2. The month-to-month fluctuations of this index are somewhat erratic, and it was not unexpected that the high point of January was followed by a decline in the two following months. A more significant measure of the present level of business is the average of 133.5 for the first four months of 1963 , which is $4.5 \%$ higher than the average for the last quarter of 1962.

The improvement in the level of Texas business tends to parallel the rising trend of business for the country as a whole. Personal income in the United States set a new record in April, due to expanded employment. Gross National Product for the first quarter of 1963 was $1.5 \%$ higher than the last quarter of 1962. The index of in-
dustrial production compiled by the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System in April rose to a record high of $122.4 \%$ of the $1957-59$ base period. This was the third successive monthly rise in the index. There is a general feeling of optimism among business analysts with regard to the national business picture, and the barometers for Texas business generally indicate a somewhat improved prospect for the state's economy.

Consumer spending in Texas during April was 2\% below March after allowance for seasonal variation. Nondurable goods stores registered an increase of $1 \%$, but automobiles sales failed to maintain the high level of the earlier months and were chiefly responsible for the small decline in total retail sales. However, total sales for the first four months of 1963 were $3 \%$ ahead of the same period in 1962, and sales for April 1963 were $6 \%$ higher than for April 1962.

In spite of the slight slowing down in Texas automobile sales in April, the month was $14 \%$ higher than April 1962, and the first four months of 1963 were $6 \%$ ahead of the same period last year. The sales of automobile dealers in Texas have been an important contribu-

## TEXAS BUSINESS ACTIVITY

Index-Adjusted for seasonal variation-1957-1959=100

tor to the improving overall business picture. Other consumer durable goods have been equally as strong a support to consumer spending as automobiles, with the result that sales of all durable goods stores are running $6 \%$ higher than last year.

Industrial activity continued strong during April, with industrial electric power consumption $9 \%$ higher than March and $12 \%$ above a year ago. Crude petroleum production rose $1 \%$ over March, and refining, as measured by crude runs to stills, increased by the same percentage. The seasonally adjusted index of industrial production in Texas, compiled by the Federal Reserve Bank of Dallas, rose from 113 in March to 115 in April. Manufacturing employment increased $.5 \%$ in April, and average weekly hours worked increased .1 hours.

The rate at which industry is expanding is one of the most important factors in the Texas business picture, and the prospects for a healthy increase in this segment of the economy during 1963 looks favozable. No statistical data are available for capital spending plans in Texas, but national figures compiled by the Securities and Exchange Commission and the Department of Commerce

SELECTED BAROMETERS OF TEXAS BUSINESS
$(1957-59=100)$

|  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Adjusted for seasonal variation.
*Freliminary.
rRevised.
**Charge is less than one-half of $1 \%$.
indicate that business firms expect to spend an all-time high of $\$ 39.1$ billion during 1963. The rate of spending for the first quarter was expected to be about the same as the last quarter of 1962 , but increases were expected for the subsequent quarters of 1963. Capital expenditures made in Texas have in the past been closely related to the national totals, so it is reasonable to conclude that industrial expansion will become an increasingly important element in the Texas business picture. Consumer and government spending have been the most important supports to Texas business during the past six months, and they will probably continue at close to their present levels. The increased spending on capital goods should
be a significant factor in pushing the level of business higher during the remainder of this year.

The value of construction authorized in Texas declined $10 \%$ in April after adjustment for seasonal variation. Nonresidential construction declined $13 \%$, while residential decined only $5 \%$. This was the second consecutive month that total construction declined, but the first four months of 1963 were $7.0 \%$ above the first four months of last year. Residential building has not made as great an advance over the first four months of last year as nonresidential, the percentages of increase being 2.5 and 10.3, respectively. The National Association of Home Builders estimates that housing starts this year for the nation as a whole will about equal last year; for the first one-third of the year housing authorized has been running a little ahead of last year in Texas. The outlook for nonresidential construction in Texas continues bright for the remainder of the year.

## BUSINESS ACTIVITY INDEX <br> (1.967-59-100)

| Cits | $\underset{1963}{\text { April }}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { March } \\ 1968 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { April } \\ & 1966 \end{aligned}$ | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { April } 1963 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { March } 1963 \end{aligned}$ | April 1963 from April 1962 |
| Abilene | 127.6 | 108.8 | 125.9 | $+17$ | + |
| Amarillo | .182.4 | 114.7 | 124.2 | $+15$ | + 7 |
| Austin | 146.2 | 142.1 | 131.8 | + 3 | +11 |
| Beaumont | . 127.9 | 114.8 | 116.2 | + 11 | $+10$ |
| Corpus Christi | .112.4 | 106.0 | 101.8 | $+6$ | +10 |
| Corsicana | 114.6 | 111.1 | j05.0 | $+3$ | + 9 |
| Dallas | . 159.4 | 125.5 | 124.7 | $+27$ | +28 |
| El Paso | 121.7 | 111.4 | 115.0 | + 9 | + 6 |
| Ft. Worth | .116.4 | 106.2 | 115.9 | $+10$ | * |
| Galveston | .111.6 | 97.1 | 116.3 | $+15$ | - 4 |
| Houston | 140.8 | 126.7 | 124.9 | + 11 | $+13$ |
| Laredo | .136.0 | 129.7 | 129.5 | + 5 | $+10$ |
| Lubbock ... | . 139.8 | 140.7 | 127.4 | 1 | $+9$ |
| Port Arthur | 97.1 | 94.3 | 90.8 | $+3$ | + 7 |
| San Angelo | . 108.9 | 100.6 | 107.8 | + 8 | + 1 |
| San Antonio | 142.4 | 125.7 | 122.9 | $+13$ | + 16 |
| Texarkana | 163.4 | 136.2 | 124.5 | + 20 | + 81 |
| Tyler | . 127.7 | 117.8 | 116.5 | + 8 | +10 |
| Waco | . 126.7 | 122.0 | 116.6 | + 4 | + 8 |
| Wichita Falls | 118.0 - | 106.9 | 115.1 | $+10$ | $+3$ |

Adjusted for seasonal variation,
** Change is less than one-half of $1 \%$.
Although the barometers of Texas business appear to be keeping pace with the measures of business for the country as a whole, one comprehensive measure of economic activity indicates that Texas showed less advance during 1962 than was shown by the other states. The data on per capita personal income from each state, compiled by the United States Department of Commerce, give comparable data for the economy of each state. In 1962 per capita personal income in Texas increased $2.9 \%$ over 1961, but for the United States as a whole 1962 per capita personal income was $4.1 \%$ higher than in 1961. Texas per capita income was $86.1 \%$ of the per capita personal income for the United States, the lowest this ratio has been since 1948. This ratio increased substantially between 1935, when it was $67.4 \%$, and 1949 , when it was $92.8 \%$, but since that date, it has fluctuated at a lower level, and the trend has been slightly downward. This is disturbing evidence that the growth of the economy of Texas is falling behind the growth rate of the nation.

# NEW INDEXES OF BUSINESS ACTIVITY 

# FOR TWENTY TEXAS CITIES 

by Francis B. May



FOR A NUMBER OF YEARS THE BUREAU OF BUSINESS REsearch has published an index of business activity based upon bank debits in twenty cities selected from among the largest communities in the state. The index is adjusted for seasonal variations and price fluctuations. Removal of seasonal fluctuations makes it easier to follow the course of the business cycle. Adjustment for price variation removes the effects of inflation, showing fluctuations in sales of goods and services in constant dollar values. Removal of price effects gives a more accurate measurement of changes in the volume of real goods and services. The adjusted index is a valuable indicator of monthly variations in the level of business activity in the state.

Beginning in March of this year the Bureau has computed an index similar to the state index for each of the twenty cities included in that index. These indexes have been needed for a long while in order to make some comparisons of rates of growth in different areas of the state. Until the Bureau secured ready access to an electronic digital computer, computation of the indexes was too slow and costly to be attempted on a tight monthly schedizle of publication.

The twenty cities and their popalations are shown in Table 1 below.

The combined poptulation of these cities amounted to $36.81 \%$ of total population in. the state in 1950. It was $44.10 \%$ of total Texas population in 1960 , a substantial increase. The growing proportion of the state's population
residing in these twenty cities is an indication of their increasing importance.

Intercity comparisons indicate that, while their combined population increased $48.84 \%$ between 1950 and 1960 , some of the cities grew faster than this rate. Notable among these was El Paso with a $112.05 \%$ increase which put it in first place in intercensal growth rate. Next was Abilene, which grew $98.31 \%$ to achieve second rank in terms of growth rate. Amarillo, Lubbock, and Houston were third, fourth, and fifth highest. These rates include population growth resulting from all factors: annexations, immigration, and excess of births over deaths.

Of the four largest cities in the group-Dallas, Fort Worth, Houston, and San Antonio-only two had intercensal growth rates above the combined rate for all twenty cities. San Antonio, with a $43.97 \%$ increase, was not far below. Fort Worth, with a $27.96 \%$ increase, had a popu Iation growth rate that was substantial although below the average.

It is notable that the four fastest growing cities in the group, Abilene, Amarillo, El Paso, and Lubbock, are in the western and northwestern parts of the state. It seems likely that pressure of a growing population will continue to cause many industries which are not directly tied to resources to move to western cities that offer plenty of space and amenities. Many space age industries are of this type. The electronics industry is a notable example.

Total population of the state roge from $7,711,194$ in 1950 to $9,579,677$ in 1960 , a $24.23 \%$ increase. This was almost exactly half the rate of increase for the twenty cities. The long-term trend toward concentration of population into urban areas obviously continued during the decade. Thirteen of the cities had rates of increase above the state average. Of those below, Texarkana, with a $22.08 \%$ increase, was rather near the average. None of the cities experienced a decrease, although Galveston showed a very slight increase.

The average monthly values of the indexes of certain selected years are shown in Table 2. Certain national and state trends have an important bearing on the economic activity of the cities and should be considered in relation to the indexes of business activity.

The period $1953-57$ was one of rapidly rising business
activity fueled by a post-World War II boom in construction and in manufacture of consumers' durables. These forces are generally considered to have spent themselves by the end of 1957. During the second period, 1957-1962, economic growth depended on replacement demand and continued population growth, Indexes of Texas and United States business activity, shown at the bottom of the table, reveal that the Texas volume grew $70.86 \%$ between 1953 and 1962, compared with $80.18 \%$ for the United States. Both of these indexes are based on bank debits adjusted for price changes. Both have an upward bias in that they show a higher growth rate than actually occurred in Gross National Product. This is because bank debits measure dollar volume of business

Table 1
RANK BY PERCENT INCREASE IN POPULATION OF TWENTY TEXAS CITIES, 1950-1960

| City* | 1950 | 1960 | Fercent increase | Rank by percent increase |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Abilene | 45,570 | 90.368 | 98.31 | 2 |
| Amarillo | 74,216 | 137,969 | 85.88 | 3 |
| Austin | 132,469 | 186,545 | 40.83 | 10 |
| Beaumont | 84,014 | 119,175 | 26.76 | 13 |
| Corpur Christi | 108,287 | 167,690 | 54.86 | 7 |
| Carsicana | 19,211 | 20,344 | 5.90 | 19 |
| Dallas | 434.462 | 679,684 | 56.44 | 6. |
| El Prso | 130,485 | 276,687 | 112.05 | 1 |
| Fort Worth | 278,778 | 358.729 | 27.96 | 12 |
| Galveston | 66,568 | 67,175 | . 91 | 20 |
| Houston | 596,163 | 938,219 | 57.88 | 5 |
| Laredo | 51,910 | 60,67s | 16.89 | 15 |
| Lubbock | 71,747 | 128,691 | 79.37 | 4 |
| Port Arthur | 57,680 | 66,676 | 15.90 | 16 |
| San Angelo | 52,093 | 58,815 | 12.90 | 18 |
| San Antonio | 408,442 | 588,042 | 43.97 | 9 |
| Texarkana | 24,763 | 30,218 | 22.08 | 14 |
| Tyler | 38,968 | 51,352 | 31.78 | 11 |
| Waco | 84,706 | 97,808 | 15.47 | 17 |
| Wichita Falls | 68,042 | 101,724 | 49.50 | 8 |

*Urban place by Census definition.
Source: 1050 and 1960 V. S. Census of Population.
transactions effected by check payments. This figure includes kinds of payments which are not included in Gross National Product. Gross National Product reflects the market value of goods and services produced and sold to ultimate consumers. During the course of production and distribution, these goods may be bought and sold several times with a check payment made each time. Hence the upward bias in bank debits as a measure of business activity. Despite this bias, bank debits are a useful indicator of the course of business activity. An index of this kind is one of the few available on a state and local basis monthly. Annual data are not useful to show monthly changes in the business cycle. Changes in the business cycle are of great interest to businessmen. The National Bureau of Economic Research classifies bank debits as an indicator whose changes generally coincide with changes in the general business cycle.

In addition, correlation analysis reveals that the index of Texas business activity is closely related to total personal income in the state. This is further evidence of the usefulness of the index as an economic indicator, since personal income is a measure of the annual earnings of the state's citizens. A $1 \%$ increase in the average monthly value of the index for a given year is associated with an
increase of approximately $\$ 161$ million in annual personal income for the state.

An examination of Table 2 shows that during the two five-year intervals, $1953-57$ and 1957-62, some cities experienced more rapid growth in the first period, and others forged ahead faster during the second. Business activity in Abilene in 1957 rose $48.34 \%$ over 1953; however, in 1962 it had advanced by only $32.24 \%$ over 1957. Austin, on the other hand, experienced more rapid increase during the second period, $62.27 \%$ compared with $39.85 \%$. The

Table 2
AVERAGE MONTHLY VALUES, PERCENT INCREASES, AND RANK BY PERCENT INCREASE OF INDEXES OF BUSINESS ACTIVITY FOR TWENTY TEXAS CITIES 1953, 1957, AND 1962

| City* | Index of business activity $(1957-59=100)$ |  |  | Percent increase |  |  | Rank of 1958-62 increase |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1953 | 1957 | 1962 | 1953-57 | 1957-62 | 1959-62 |  |
| Abitene | 68.48 | 94.09 | 124.12 | 48.81 | 82,24 | 96.15 | 2 |
| Amarillo | 71,49 | 90.37 | 119.71 | 26.41 | 32.47 | 67.45 | 6 |
| Austin | 64.06 | 89.59 | 145.38 | 39.85 | 62.27 | 126.94 | 1 |
| Beaumont | 91.73 | 101.88 | 115.88 | 11.07 | 19.25 | 25.78 | 18 |
| Corpus Christi | 84.63 | 101.57 | 108.35 | 20.02 | 6.68 | 28.03 | 16 |
| Corsicana | 88.46 | 97.82 | 106.70 | 10.58 | 9.08 | 20.62 | 19 |
| Dallas | 74.19 | 94.68 | 142.65 | 27.55 | 50.75 | 92.28 | 3 |
| E1 Pato | 71.33 | 90.78 | 11.2 .87 | 27.27 | 24,38 | 68.24 | 8 |
| Fort Worth | 76.52 | 96.03 | 113.71 | 25.50 | 18.41 | 48.60 | 11 |
| Graveston | 89.40 | 107.16 | 104.75 | 19.87 | -2.25 | 17.17 | 20 |
| Houston | 75.43 | 98.26 | 128.54 | 30.27 | 80.82 | 70.41 | 5 |
| Laredo | 84.89 | 95.58 | 182.63 | 12.59 | 38.76 | 56.24 | 9 |
| Lubbock | 67.36 | 87.16 | 129.04 | 29.39 | 18.05 | 91.57 | 4 |
| Port Arthur | 77.47 | 1.02,26 | 98.43 | 32.00 | -3.75 | 27.06 | 17 |
| San Angelo | 78.89 | 95.60 | 110.93 | 21.18 | 16.10 | 10.69 | 13 |
| San Antonio | 74.97 | 98.72 | 124.44 | 25.01 | 32.78 | 65.99 | 7 |
| Texarkana | 99.85 | 93.39 | 180.73 | -6.47 | 89.98 | 30.98 | 15 |
| Tyler | 74.29 | 98.76 | 115.10 | 32.94 | 16.55 | 54.93 | 10 |
| Waco | 81.82 | 94.10 | 120.28 | 15.01 | 27.82 | 4.7 .01 | 12 |
| Wichita Falls | 82.22 | 98.45 | . 110.49 | 13.66 | 18.23 | 34.38 | 14 |
| Texas | 75.5 | - 96.0 | 129.0 | 27.15 | 34.38 | 70.86 | - |
| United Stateb | 76.2 | 35.6 | 187.3 | 25.46 | 43.62 | 80.18 | $\cdots$ |

*Urban place by Census definition.
Adjusted for seazonal variation.
Source: Dallas Fcderal Reserve Bank and Bureau of Bubiness Research, The University of Texas.
experience of El Paso paralleled that of Abilene. Amarillo had its more rapid growth in the second period, as did Lubbock.

Among the state's large cities, Dallas grew almost twice as fast in the second period as during the first, $50.75 \%$ compared with $27.55 \%$. Growth of Fort Worth slowed during the 1957-62 period. Houston grew at about the same rate during both periods. San Antonio grew slightly faster during the second period:

If we compared 1962 values of the index with 1953, nearly all cities experienced substantial growth. A glance at the extreme right-hand column of Table 2 shows the cities ranked by 1953-62 percentage increase in the value of the index. Austin ranks at the top, followed by Abilene, Dallas, Lubbock, Houston, Amarillo and San Antonio, in that order.

What factors account for high growth rates in some instances and lower ones in others? There is no general answer to this question, but it is well known that economic activity in an area is associated with the amount of basic industrial employment. A basic industry is one which exports goods or services beyond the confines of
the local area. Exports bring in income essential to growth and expansion. Most manufacturing is basic industry since few factories manufacture purely for local consumption. A look at increases in manufacturing employment, which reflects growth in manufacturing, will be most revealing. Table 3 shows changes in manufacturing employment in the twenty cities.

Total manufacturing employment in the state in 1950 was 372,909 and in 1960 was 540,161, according to United States Census of Population data. The intercensal

Table 3
manufacturing employment in twenty texas cities AND PERCENT INCREASE, 1950-1960

| Gity* | Number employed in mandiacturing |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Percent } \\ & \text { increase } \\ & 1950-1960 \end{aligned}$ | Rank by percent incrense |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1950 | 1960 |  |  |
| Abilene | 1,955 | 3,285 | 68.03 | 6 |
| Amarillo | 3,394 | 5,889 | 78.51 | 5 |
| Austin | 3,253 | 5,249 | 61.36 | 8 |
| Bealumont | 7,869 | 8.726 | 10.89 | 18 |
| Corpus Christi | 4.419 | 7,688 | 73.98 | 4 |
| Corsicana | 1,300 | 1,679 | 29.15 | 15 |
| Dallas | 35,698 | 58,568 | 64.07 | 7 |
| El Paso | 5,282 | 13,629 | 158.08 | 1 |
| Fort Worth | 28,347 | 32,585 | 14.95 | 16 |
| Galveston | 3,582 | 2,584 | -26.84 | 20 |
| Houston | 51,487 | 71,365 | 88.61 | 11 |
| Laredo | 889 | 1,004 | 12.94 | 17 |
| Lubbock | 2,329 | 5,166 | 121.81 | 2 |
| Port Arthur | 9,169 | 8,494 | -7.86 | 19 |
| San Angelo | 1,473 | 2,093 | 42.09 - | 10 |
| San Antonio | 16,210 | 20,972 | 29.38 | 14 |
| Texarkana | 1,181 | 1,621 | 34.48 | 12 |
| Tyler | 1,994 | 3,592 | 80.14 | 3 |
| Waco | 4,656 | 6.199 | 23.14 | 13 |
| Wichita Falls | 2,109 | 3,320 | 57.42 | 9 |
| Total | 86,496 | 263,609 | 41.35 | - |

[^1]increase was 167,252 , or $44.85 \%$. The twenty selected cities had $50.01 \%$ of the manufacturing employment in 1950 and $48.80 \%$ in 1960. A look at the third column of Table 3 reveals that two cities, Galveston and Port Arthur, lost manufacturing employment between censuses. Nine of the cities had larger percentage increases in employment than the state average.

Of the four largest cities, Dallas had a percentage increase in manufacturing employment greater than the state average; Fort Worth fell below; Houston was a little below; and San Antonio was considerably below.

The extreme right-hand column of Table 8 ranks the cities by size of intercensal percentage increases in manufacturing employment. El Paso with an increase of $158.03 \%$ was number one. Lubbock was next with an increase of $121.81 \%$. Tyler, Corpus Christi, and Amarillo, in that order, had the next largest increases.

An examination of the other tables indicates that, in general, those cities with strong gains in manufacturing employment had good gains in their economic indexes and also in population growth. As a further verification of this general fact, numerical measures of relationship were computed. These measures compare relative standing of cities by rank according to a given characteristic. The measure of relationship is called the Spearman rank coefficient of correlation.

Correlation of rank by percentage increase in manufacturing employment with rank by percentage increase in the economic index yields a high positive coefficient of correlation. This shows that strong increases in manufacturing employment are closely related with high increases in economic activity. Manufacturing is not the. only basic industry, but it is a very important one. Tourism, Government, wholesaling, and financial institutions can also be basic in that they draw income from beyond the confines of the local area.

Correlation of rank by percentage increase in population with rank by percentage increase in manufacturing employment also shows a strong positive relationship. The high positive coefficient of correlation indicates that those cities with rapid increase in manufacturing employment opportunities have rapid population growth.

In summary, it is clear that growth in manufacturing employment has been a key factor in the growth of these twenty cities. Those with no growth or slow growth have generally lagged in economic progress. Future efforts to promote manufacturing should be intensified. In addition, where circumstances favor it, other basic industries such as tourism should be promoted as actively as possible. Coastal cities have a natural advantage in promotion of tourism. Cities in mountainous areas of West Texas also have scenic beauty to aid them in promoting tourism.
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# SALT PRODUCTION IN TEXAS 

by Rick P. Fisher


according to the bureau of mines' latest national figures, Texas was second only to Louisiana in quantity of salt produced in 1960 , producing $19 \%$ of the United States total; but the state was fafth in total value of salt recovered (behind Michigan, New York, Ohio, and Louisiana). The reason for this seeming discrepancy is that the greater portion of the salt recovered in Texas was in brine, while the other four states produced larger proportions of rock salt, which brings a substantially higher price per pound than salt in brine.

To the consumer, salt is a white, granular substance, which is packaged in small cardboard cylinders. Each American consumes about 11 pounds of salt per year; yet consumption as food accounts for but $3 \%$ of the nation's total salk use. The various chemical industries use about $67 \%$ of the salt produced, most of which goes into chlorine-caustic soda and soda ash manufacture, and an increasing amount is being used each winter by state highway departments for snow and ice removal and road stabilization.

Salt is recovered in Texas by all of the major recovery methods-solar evaporation, mining, and tapping of natural and artificial brine wells. Although solar evaporation produces most of the world's salt supply, the prevailing production method employed in the United States and in Texas is recovery from natural and artificial brine wells, accounting for better than $90 \%$ of the total salt produced. The natural well operates in the same manner as any water well-a hole is drilled to the deposit, and the brine is pumped to the surface. In recovery from an artificial well, a shaft is sunk into a salt bed or dome, two concentric pipes are inserted, and hot water is pumped into the stratum. The resulting well contains a highly concentrated salt solution; the brine is then pumped out as in the case of the natural well, ready for purification, crystallization, or sale as is.

Solar evaporation of sea water and water from salt lakes, in its simplest form, consists of trapping the water in shallow ponds and allowing the sun to evaporate it until nothing remains but salt and a few impurities. This method has been in use since long before recorded history began, but it has gone through many refinements since its unannounced beginning. Today, the salt water is trapped in ponds as before, but the process does not end there; the brine is transferred from pond to pond as its salt concentration increases, and various impurities are precipitated. When the last of the water is evaporated, extremely pure solar salt remains, which is haryested mechanically and stored in open piles.

Gathering salt in rock form from deposits is by far the most primitive of recovery methods; its modern counterpart is surface mining of the same deposits. Salt deposits, which occur underground in beds and dome strata,

Table 1
SALT SOLD OR USED IN TEXAS, 1961, BY PRODUCTION METHOD

*Evaporated and roek salt combined to avoid disclosing individual company data.
Source: U. S. Bureau of Mines, Bartlesville, Oklahoma.
are also tapped by room and pillar mining, in which the salt face is reached by a shaft, and the rock salt is removed by driving gangways and panels or rooms, leaving pillars for roof support. Undercutting and blasting break up the salt, which is then mechanically loaded and hauled to the surface for processing. An excellent example of such an installation is the Kleer mine at Grand Saline, in Van Zandt County. Rock salt needs no further purification; so processing amounts to crushing, screening for size, and packaging for sale.

Brine, on the other hand, if not sold or used as taken from the ground, must be purified for sale as brine or purified and evaporated to be sold as crystallized salt. The salt which the average American sprinkies on his food is refined from brine by the grainer (or vacuum) process; the purified brine is pumped into a vacuum tank and boiled, precipitating tiny, cubic salt crystals, which are removed during the process, dried and packaged. Salt for such uses as meat and fish preservation and hide tanning is produced by the open pan evaporation method, in use much longer than the grainer method,

Table 2
GALT SOLD OR USED IN THE UNITED STATES, EY CONSUMERS OR USES, 1961

| Consumer or use | Quantity (short tons) | Percent of total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Chlorine | .3,798,520 | 80.9 |
| Soda ash | 520,550 | 6.7 |
| Rubluer manufacture | 73.190 | 1.6 |
| State and foderal governmenls | 68,620 | 1.5 |
| Caustic boda | 66,900 | 1.4 |
| Oil | . 37,160 | 0.8 |
| Pulp and paper | . 37,450 | 0.8 |
| Grocery stores | - 32,920 | 0.7 |
| Meat packers | - 27,330 | 0.6 |
| Miscellaneous* | - 232,560 | 5.0 |
| Total . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | . $4,695,200$ | 100.0 |

FIncludes, among others, soap manufacture, eeramics, ice production, metals production, and textiles.

Source: U. S. Burena of Mines, Bartlesville, Oklahoma,
whereby salt is precipitated by boiling the brine at atmospheric pressure; the resulting salt is flaky rather than in small cubes and is not quite as pourable as grainer salt, even when crushed, for grainer's pourability is due to its cubic shape, unattainable with the older method. Since the open pan method is more economical than the vacuum process, and many industries do not require freepouring salt, producers have made few moves to phase out the older process.

Very little salt, other than solar, is maintained in stockpiles because of the as yet unmeasured vastness of the resource. Even solar salt is not stored as a reserve, but merely stocked as harvested during the production season to be used during the nonproducing months. Nearly all of the salt recovered in Texas is used by consumers within the state, with many industrial users simply taking the salt in brine from the ground as they need it. Texas' principal salt producing counties are Brazoria, Chambers, and Duval; although salt is recovered in a total of ten counties in the state. In the past decade, the total value

Table 3
SALT SOLD OR USED IN THE UNTTED STATES,

| Year | Quantity <br> (thousand <br> short tons) | Value (thousand dollars) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1956 | 24,806 | \$136,138 |
| 1957 | . 23,844 | 1.18,186 |
| 1958 | . 21,911 | 141,486 |
| 1959 | . 25,160 | 155,839 |
| 1960 | . 25,479 | 161,140 |

Source: United States Burean of Mines, Minerals Yearboolk, Vol. I.
of salt produced in Texas has quadrupled, because of the demands made by the rapidly expanding chemical industry in the state. A recent study has indicated that the trend of salt production correlates closely with the Gross National Product. On this basis, the Bureau of Mines predicts that salt production in Texas will be at least double its present state by 1975.

Salt is far more important to Texas' economy than is shown by production and valuation statistics, for, along with petroleum and natural gas, sulphur, water and the various forms of lime, it is a basic ingredient to many of

## SALT RECOVERY IN TEXAS


the nation's chemical industries. For example, salt in original or converted form (elemental chlorine and sodium, caustic soda, soda ash, ete.) is essential to pulp and paper production, manufacture of glass containers, petroleum and natural gas refining, synthetic rubber production, and soap manufacture, to list but a few. It is obvious that the state which can offer large quantities of the basic ingredients to many and varied industries stands in a more favorable position than less fortunate locations in the competition for industrial development. Texas, with its abundant chemical resources, is such a favored state.

# AN ANALYSIS OF THE TEEN-AGE MARKET <br> by Philip R. Cateora <br> Assistant Professor of Marketing <br> University of Southern Califormia <br> Los Angeles 

This study presents an investigation of several aspects of the teenager as a consumer. Emphasis is given to social as well as economic forces in the existing consumer market, and the teenager is projected in the role of the future adult consumer. . . . . . . $\$ 2.00$

ORDER YOUR COPY FROM THE BUREAU OF BUSINESS RESEARCH
(Texas residents add $2 \%$ sales tax)

# APRIL RETAIL SALES IN TEXAS 

by Robert M. Lockwood



AFTER THE PRE-EASTER BUYING PEAK IN LATE MARCH AND early April, Texas retail sales declined slightly last month, depressing the seasonally adjusted index to $113.2 \%$ of the 1957-1959 average. The April average was 2.3 points off the $115.5 \%$ posted in March, when fairly brisk early spring buying rounded off a fairly good first quarter. The $\$ 1,013.4$ million in estimated total retail sales for the state in April was $\$ 5.4$ million below estimated total sales for the previous month.

The seasonally adjusted index of durable goods sales, which ranged between $126.2 \%$ and $127.5 \%$ during December, January, and March, dropped to the approximately $119.0 \%$ of the 1957-1959 average recorded last February. Sliding in consistent increases from $102.2 \%$ last December to $112.3 \%$ in February, the index of nondurables buying rose from the March low of $109.6 \%$ to $110.2 \%$ last month.

ESTIMATES OF TOTAL RETAIL SALES

| Cassification | $\underset{1963}{\text { April }}$ | $\underset{1963}{\operatorname{Jan}^{2} \mathrm{Apr}}$ | Percent change |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Apr } 1968 \text { Apr } 1968 \text { Jan-Apr } 1963 \\ & \text { from from } \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { far } 1963 \text { Apr } 1962 \text { Jan-Apr } 1962 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
|  | (raillions of dollars) |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL | \$1,018.4 | \$3,871,7 | -1 | $+6$ | $+3$ |
| Durable gools** | 374.4 | 1,467.8 | -1 | $+13$ | +6 |
| Nondurable goods | -689.0 | 2,403.9 | ** | $+3$ | + 2 |

*Contains automotive stores, furniture stores, and lumber, building material, and hardware stores.
owhange is less than one-halif of $1 \%$.
Although the estimated retail sales for April were down about $1 \%$ in the durable and up less than $1 \%$ in the nondurable goods category last month, both categories showed strong advances in April over the same month last year. Estimated durable goods sales in April advanced $13 \%$ over those in April a year ago, and nondurables were $3 \%$ ahead of April 1962. Total retail sales were an estimated 6\% better this April than last April.

Buying trends in durable goods categories exhibited their most striking departure from normal seasonal expectations in the automotive stores category, in which
sales declined $4 \%$ compared to a normal seasonal increase of $7 \%$. The falling off in this category, which consists largely of motor vehicle dealers, may reflect only a temporary slowdown in the new car buying rush which began with such unexpected vigor last fall and continued into the spring.

Although furniture and household appliances normally move in April about as they did in March, this durables category registered a $4 \%$ increase last month. Lumber building materials, and hardware, a category which includes farm implements, bettered its usual $3 \%$ increase by two percentage points. Both farm implements and hardware led this category, advancing $14 \%$ and $9 \%$, respectively, over their March sales figures.

All durables were considerably ahead of their April 1962 pace, with increases over the previous year ranging from $3 \%$ in lumber and building materials through $35 \%$ for farm implements. With the single exception of furniture and household appliance sales, which held their own during the first four months of the year compared to January-April 1962, all categories of durable goods advanced over their showing in the first four months of last year. Automotive sales gained $6 \%$ and lumber, building materials, and hardware $1 \%$ over the first third of 1962.

RETAIL SALES TRENDS BY KINDS OF BUSENESS
Source: Bureau of Business Research in cooperation with the Bureaid of the Censug, U. S. Department of Commerce

| Kind of business |  | Percent change |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Noxmal seasonal* | Actual |  |  |
|  | Number of reporting establishments | April from March | Apr 1968 <br> from <br> Mar 196 | Apr 1963 <br> from <br> Apr 1962 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Jan-Apr } \\ 1968 \\ \text { from } \\ \text { Jan-Apr } \\ 1962 \end{gathered}$ |
| DURARLE GOODS |  |  |  |  |  |
| Axtomotive stores $\dagger$ | 289 | $+7$ | - 4 | $+14$ | $\pm 6$ |
| Furniture \& househol appliance storest | $.175$ | ** | $+4$ | $+8$ | ** |
| Lumber, building material, and hardware stores | $\text { . . . } 264$ | $+3$ | +5 | $+6$ | +1 |
| NONDITRABLE GOODS |  |  |  |  |  |
| Apparel stores . . . . | . . 2294 | +4 | +18 | $+1$ | - 1 |
| Drug stores .... | . . 177 | -6 | $-6$ | $+3$ | $+2$ |
| Eating and drinking' <br> places ................... 99 - 1 - 2 +3. * |  |  |  |  |  |
| Frood stores .. | . 333 | $-4$ | $-6$ | +1 | $+2$ |
| Gasoline and service stations | . . .236 | $-1$ | $+1$ | $+5$ | 年* |
| General merchandise |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other retail storest | . . 266 | + 3 | -2 | + 5 | +3 |

Average seasonal change from preceding month to current month.
**Change is less than one-half of $1 \%$.
tincludes kinds of business other than clasalfication listed.


The departure from normal in nondurables buying which was both most noteworthy and most significant last month came in apparel, which advanced $18 \%$ over the previous month, compared to an anticipated $4 \%$. The men's and boys' clothing and family clothing subcategoxies led the apparel group last month, increasing by $35 \%$ and $22 \%$, respectively, over their March showings.

Instead of a normal seasonal improvement of $2 \%$ over March, general merchandise gained $8 \%$ in April. The $6 \%$ increase in the department stoxes subcategory of general merchandise more than offset a $3 \%$ decline in country general store sales.

Drugs performed as expected, falling off $6 \%$ from the previous month. Food store sales, normally down $4 \%$ in April, declined by $6 \%$, largely as a result of the performance of the groceries-without-meat subcategory. Eating and drinking places performed a percentage point worse than the $1 \%$ decline normally anticipated for that group of retail outlets.

Gasoline and service stations, instead of their seasonal expectation of an April showing $1 \%$ worse than March, rose $1 \%$ last month. Other retail outiets, a category which includes florjsts, nurseries, jewelry stores, liquor stores,

CREDIT RATIOS IN DEPARTMENT AND APPAREL STORES

| Classification $\begin{gathered}\text { Nuinher of } \\ \text { reporting } \\ \text { storcs }\end{gathered}$ | Ratio of credit-sales to net sales* |  | Ratio of collections to out.standingst $\dagger$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Aprilil } \\ & 1968 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { April } \\ & 1962 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { April } \\ & 1963 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { April } \\ & { }_{1962} \end{aligned}$ |
| ATLL STORES ............... 47 | 77.0 | 73.6 | 38.2 | 36.7 |
| BY CJTIES |  |  |  |  |
| Austin .................... 8 | 67.8 | 66.9 | 41.9 | 41.5 |
| Cleburne ................... \% | 50.3 | 47.0 | 41.0 | 37.1 |
| Dallas ..................... 5 | 89.0 | 80.6 | 85.7 | 85.2 |
| Houston | 79.2 | 77.7 | 40.7 | 38.9 |
| Waco ..................... 4 | 59.1 | 58.5 | 35.3 | 33.5 |
| BY TYPE OF STORE |  |  |  |  |
| Department stores (over $\$ 1$ milion) .......... 18 | 81.9 | 76.9 | 38.2 | 36.8 |
| Department stores (under $\$ 1$ million) ........ 10 | 59.5 | 58.6 | 34.1 | 85.4 |
| Dry goods and apparel stores.. ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 74.1 | 73.8 | 61.3 | 52.7 |
| Women's specialty shops ..... 11 | 61.9 | 63.6 | 37.1 | 34.8 |
| Men's clothing stores ....... 8 | 72.4 | 72.0 | 36.7 | 36.8 |
| BY VOLUME OF NET SALES |  |  |  |  |
| \$ $\$ 1,500,000$ and over ......... 16 | 78.7 | 75.1 | 38.3 | 36.8 |
| \$500,000 to $\$ 1,500,000 \ldots . . . .18$ | 64.1 | 63.5 | 87.1 | 37.0 |
| \$250,000 to \$500,000 ........ 6 | 61.5 | 61.9 | 36.4 | 88.5 |
| Less than $\$ 250,000$......... 12 | 57.1 | 54.5 | 30.0 | 80.1 |

*Oredit sales divided by net sales.
fCollections during the month ass a percent of accounts unpaid on the first of the month.
and office, store, and school supply outlets, normally improves its March showing by about 3\% in April. Last month, however, this miscellaneous group sold about $2 \%$ less than in the previous month.
Every nondurables group improved in April over the same month a year ago, with increases ranging from the $1 \%$ recorded in apparel and food to the $5 \%$ improvement registered in gasoline and service station and other retail outlet sales.
Although the apparel, eating and drinking places, and gasoline and service station categories failed to gain or declined up to $1 \%$ in the first third of the year compared to Jandary-April 1962, the remaining categories increased their sales from $1 \%$ to $3 \%$.

The approximately $1 \%$ decrease in total United States retail sales, after adjustment for seasonal variations and trading day differences, paralleled the decrease in the seasonally adjusted index of estimated total retail sales in Texas. Against the national decline of about $1 \%$ in both durable and nondurable categories, the seasonally adjusted nondurable goods buying index in Texas declined; about 6\% in April and the nondurables index increased slightly. On the national level, however, the only category which actually increased was automobile sales. All others either declined or maintained their approximate March levels. These figures suggest, though not conclusively, of course, that national retail sales performance may be depending somewhat more heavily on automobile sales than is retail trade in Texas.

## POSTAL RECEIPTS

| City | $\begin{aligned} & \text { March 80- } \\ & \text { April 26, } \\ & 1963 \end{aligned}$ | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { March 30- } \\ \text { April } 26 \\ 1968 \\ \text { from } \\ \text { March } 2- \\ \text { March } 29 \\ 1968 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { March } 30- \\ \text { April } 26 \\ \text { 1968 } \\ \text { from } \\ \text { March } 81- \\ \text { April } 27 \\ 1962 \end{gathered}$ |
| Alice | \$17,408 | + 2 | $+7$ |
| Angleton | 7,859 | + 8 | $-17$ |
| Bellaire | 39,744 | $+6$ | $+40$ |
| Brownficld | 10,644 | - I | + 18 |
| Childress | 5,521 | ** | + 3 |
| Coleman | 6.912 | - 10 | $+40$ |
| Cuero | 6,966 | - 22 | +25 |
| Fagle :Pass | 7,556 | - 2 | $+15$ |
| El Campe | 10,622 | - 1 | + 19 |
| Electra | 4,279 | - 4 | + 11 |
| Freeport | 17,270 | + 4 | + 22 |
| Gainesville | 14,213 | - 26 | + 29 |
| Galena Park | 6,022 | $-18$ | +45 |
| Gilmer | 5,084 | + 8 | +22 |
| Gonzales | 5,102 | - 24 | $-27$ |
| Groves | 6,262 | - 3 | $+25$ |
| Hillsbora | 7,814 | - 6 | + 24 |
| Huntsville | 11,385 | - 28 | $+16$ |
| Hurst | 8,714 | ** | + 41 |
| Kenedy | 3,878. | - 11 | + 13 |
| Kerrville | 14,976 | 千 11 | $+25$ |
| La Grange | 4,790 | - 5 | + 19 |
| Lake Jackson | 5,291 | - 26 | + 8 |
| Marlin | 7,777 | - 1 | +14 |
| Navasota | 6,601 | $+21$ | + 8 |
| Pitteburg | 4,406 | $+10$ | + 15 |
| Port Lavaca | 9,975 | $-2$ | $+24$ |
| Richardson | . $\mathbf{3 8 , 1 7 9}$ | $-10$ | + 41 |
| Taft | . 2,859 | + 9 | + 31 |
| Yoakum | .. 16,275 | + 36 | $+87$ |

**Change is less than one-half of $1 \%$.

# APRIL CONSTRUCTION AUTHORIZED IN TEXAS 

by James J. Kelly



AFTER MAINTAINING A RECORD PERFORMANCE IN THE FLRST quarter of 1963, total building authorizations in Texas declined sharply in April. The index of total construction authorized in the state dropped to $123.9 \%$ of the 1957-59 base period from the high levels of 139.5 and 137.1 it had reached in February and March of this year. Even at the level of 123.9, the index in April closely approximated the level it had averaged for the year 1962.

Estimated dollar value of building permits issued in Texas declined $14 \%$ from the estimated value for the previous month. Dollar estimates are not adjusted for seasonal variation. The index, after seasonal factors are taken into account, showed a decline of $10 \%$ from March. The April value of authorizations was slightly above $\$ 133$ million. The total dollar estimate for the first four months of 1963 was almost $\$ 550$ million, a $7 \%$ increase over the cumulative value for the first four months of 1962.
The index and the dollar estimates constitute a report of the intentions of the construction industry in the state. There are intangible factors which must be considered in dealing with building permit data. A permit is not an expenditure; it is merely an authorization to build issued by a municipality, For any of a number of reasons, the construction authorized may never be started, although this usually accounts for a very small percentage of total authoxizations. As is more likely, construction may be delayed for any period of time because of bad weather or because the permit holder changes his plans. It must also be remembered that the dollar amount of building reported as authorized may vary significantly from the ultimate amount actually expended on the building. Another consideration is that many structures are built outside the jurisdiction of any permit-issuing governmental authority, and amounts expended for these structures are never reflected in the building permit data. For these reasons, reports of building permits issued can be considered as fairly conservative understatement of actual building dollars spent.

Given these limitations, the data on building permits can be used as an indication of the trend of building
construction. The current figures indicate that building in Texas in 1963 can be expected to continue at a high level.

The index of residential construction authorized in Texas declined $5 \%$ in April to $116.7 \%$ of the $1957-59$ base period. The average of the index for the first three months of 1963 was 116.3 , which was less than the 1962 average of the index of 117.8. Permits for residential structures are being granted in Texas at a fairly high level, and this rate of permits has been maintained fairly consistently.

Dollar amount of authorizations for construction of residences and apartments fell $11 \%$ in April to an estimated $\$ 74.3$ million. In the month-to-month comparison, decreases were shown for onefamily and multiple- family

ESTIMATEB VALUE OF BUKLDING AUTHORIZED
Source: Bureat of Business Research in cooperation with
the Bureau of the Census, U.S. Department of Commerce

| Classification |  | $\underset{\mathrm{I} 963}{\mathrm{Jan}-A p r}$ | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1963 |  | Apr 1963 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Jan-Apr } 1968 \\ \text { from } \\ \text { Jan-Apr } 1969 \end{gathered}$ |
|  | (thousands of dollars) |  | from <br> Mar 1963 |  |
| ALL PERMIT'S | \$133,121 | \$549,651 | - 14 | $+7$ |
| New construction | 117,983 | 489,224 | - 13 | + 5 |
| Residential |  |  |  |  |
| (housekeeping') | 74,327 | 291,006 | $-11$ | + 2 |
| Ote-family dwellings. | 52,885 | 207.344 | -18 | - 10 |
| Multipje-family |  |  |  |  |
| dwellings | 21,442 | 83,662 | $-8$ | $+60$ |
| . Nonresidential |  |  |  |  |
| baildings | 43,656 | 198,218 | $-17$ | $+9$ |
| Nonhousckeeping |  |  |  |  |
| (residential) | 1,139 | 7,095 | - 4 | $+34$ |
| Amusement buildings | - 1,221 | 22.096 | $-94$ | $+641$ |
| Ohurches | 2,695 | 9,781 | $+\overline{15}$ | -21 |
| Induatrial buildings. | 2,250 | 16,928 | - ¢5 | $+34$ |
| Garages (commercial |  |  |  |  |
| Serviee stations | 966 | 4,116 | -32 | -12 |
| Hospitals and |  |  |  |  |
| Offce-bank buildings. | 8,104 | 37.177 | +167 | $-16$ |
| Works and utilitieg. | 8,789 | 8,137 | $+1,184$ | -25 |
| Educational |  |  |  |  |
| huildings | 10,555 | 45,616 | $+29^{\circ}$ | $+44$ |
| Stores and mercantile |  |  |  |  |
| kuildings | 5,877 | 24,962 | $-11$ | $-15$ |
| Other buildinge and stractures | 941 | 6,463 | - 63 | - 21 |
| Additions, alterations, and repairs | 16,138 | 60,427 | - 22 | $+80$ |
| METROPOLITAN จs, |  |  |  |  |
| NONMETROPOLITAN $\dagger$ |  |  |  |  |
| Total metropolitan, . . . . | 112,164 | 480,314 | $-18$ | $+11$ |
| Central cities | 89,040 | 885,120 | - 22 | $+10$ |
| Outside central cities | 23,124 | 95,194 | + 2 | $+16$ |
| Total nonmetropoliten . | 20.957 | 69.387 | + 12 | - 18 |
| 10,000 to 50,000 population | 12,984 | 39,491 | +34 | $-20$ |
| Lees than 10,000 |  |  |  |  |
| population . . . . . . . . | 7,973 | 29,846 | $-12$ | - 3 |

$\dagger$ As defined in 1960 Census.
dwellings of $11 \%$ and $8 \%$, respectively. Permits to build apartment buildings declined $3 \%$ in April from March. Permits authorized for residential construction in the first four months of 1963 showed a $2 \%$ increase over what they had been in the first four months of 1962. The cumulative dollar estimates for the four-month period showed increases in multiple-family dwellings ( $+60 \%$ ) and apartment building ( $+58 \%$ ), but permits to butild one-family houses are showing a $10 \%$ decline thus far this year when compared with the first four months of 1962.

The index of nonresidential building authorized in Texas dropped $13 \%$ in April to $132.1 \%$ of the 1957-59 base period, and at this level it was $32 \%$ above what it had been in April 1962. Permits to build nonresidential structures in the state reached an extremely high level in February and remained high in March. The volume of permits issued in the first quartex of 1963 pushed the three-month average of the index to 155.4. This was a much higher level than the 1962 average of the index which was 135.7. In comparison with previous months, the April index indicates a continued high level of nonresidential construction.

The dollar value of nonresidential building permits was estimated at $\$ 43.7$ million in Texas in April. This was a $17 \%$ decline from the March estimate. Increases in April were recorded in the estimated dollar value of permits authorized for the construction of churches, schools, hospitals, office-bank buildings, garages, and works and utilities structures. Declines were recorded in the month
of April for those categories including amusement buildings, service stations, and stores and mercantile buildings.

The largest portion of the residential construction industry consists in home building. The construction of onefamily homes entailed an estimated $\$ 207.3$ million in building permits for the first third of 1963 . Permits were issued for the construction of apartment buildings valued at $\$ 75.5$ million. The balance of the permits issued in the residential category was for two-family and threefamily or four-family dwellings which together totaled $\$ 8.1$ million.

The construction of educational buildings in the first four months of 1963 required the issuance of $\$ 45.6 \mathrm{mil}-$ lion of permits. This was $23 \%$ of the total value of nonresidential permits issued in that period. The next largest portion of nonresidential permits was for office-bank buildings which was estimated at $\$ 37.2$ million, or $18.8 \%$. Permits were issued for stores and mercantile buildings in the amount of $\$ 25.0$ million and for amusement buildings of $\$ 22.1$ million, representing shares of $12.6 \%$ and $11.2 \%$, respectively, for these two types of structures.

Of the total nonresidential permits, $8.5 \%$, or $\$ 16.9$. million, was issued for the construction of industrial buildings. The construction of hospitals drew $\$ 13.3$ million in permits or $6.7 \%$ of the total nonresidential. Churches were issued $\$ 9.8$ million in permits or $4.9 \%$ of the total. Permits amounting to $\$ 28.3$ million covered all other types of nonresidential authorizations issued, and this miscellaneous category, including hotels, motels, garages, service stations, and utilities made up $14.3 \%$ of the total.

ONE-FAMILY, TWO-FAMILY, AND APARTMENT BUILDING DWELLINGS UNYTS IN METROPOLITAN AREAS, APRIL, I963 (value in thousands of dollars)

| Metropolitan area | One-family dwellings |  |  |  |  |  | Two-family dwellings |  |  |  |  |  | Apartment buitdings |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | April 1963 |  | $\underset{1968}{\text { Jan-April }^{2}}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Percent change } \\ \hline \text { Jan-Apr } 1963 \\ \text { from } \\ \text { Jan-Apr } 1962 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | April 1963 |  | $\underset{1963}{\underset{193}{ }}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Percent change } \\ \hline \begin{array}{l} \text { Jan-Apr } 1968 \\ \text { from } \\ \text { Jan Apr } 1962 \end{array} \end{gathered}$ |  | April 1963 |  | $\underset{1963}{\text { Jan-April }^{2}}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{\text { Percent change }}{\text { Jan-Apr } 1968} \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Jan-Apr } 1962 \end{aligned}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Value | No. of units | of Value | No. of units | Value | No. of units | Value | $\begin{gathered} \text { No, of } \\ \text { units } \end{gathered}$ | Value | No. O units | Value | No. of units | Value. | No. of prits | Value | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No. of } \\ & \text { units } \end{aligned}$ | Value | No. of units |
| Abilene | 925 | 52 | 3,673 | 218 | $-13$ | -81 | 0 | 0 | 65 | 8 | - 6 | + 83 | 865 | 85 | 875 | 99 | +182 | $+106$ |
| Amarillo | 2,094 | 144 | 7,881 | 501 | -21 | - 28 | 68 | 8 | 489 | 48 | $+470$ | $+300$ | 360 | 40 | 2,228 | 186 | +402 | +200 |
| Austin | 2,671 | 142 | 9,639 | 582 | $+7$ | - 5 | 542 | 48 | 1.354 | 120 | $+97$ | + 88 | 968 | 148 | 7,147 | 816 | +88 | +55 |
| Beaumontm Port Arthur. | 1,180 | 101 | 8,781 | 355 | $-18$ | - 21 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 2 | - 50 | -50 . | 89 | 16 | 89 | 16 | +"49 |  |
| Brownsville. Harlingen |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  | - | 8 | 16 | 89 | 16 | $\leftharpoondown 49$ | - 20 |
| San Benito | 158 | 27 | 693 | 88 | $+6$ | - 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - |  |
| Corpus Christi... | 766 | 71 | 8.181 | 299 | + 6 | + 8 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 2 | - 99 | - 97 | 363 | 64 | 1,280 | 140 | +117 | $+46$ |
| Dallas | 12,627 | 918 | 46,221 | 3,435 | $-17$ | - 21 | 97 | 12 | 788 | 90 | $+98$ | $+187$ | 6,315 | 1,849 | 25,760 | 4,778 | $+112$ | + 79 |
| El Paso | 1,107 | 68 | 5,021 | 823 | - 37 | - 46 | 14 | 2 | 50 | 8 | $+67$ | - 56 | 0 | 0 | 570 | 96 | - 5 | -7 |
| Fort Worth | 4,459 | 364 | 17,116 | 1,803 | - 9 | $-21$ | 118 | 14 | 203 | 30 | +25 | ** | 368 | 78 | 1,855 | 450 | +229 | +278 |
| Galveston | 575 | 47 | 2,006 | 157 | + 4 | - 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - | 115 | 26 | 350 | 69 |  |  |
| Houston | 8,597 | 610 | 41,094 | 2,078 | - 4 | + 2 | 816 | 64 | 1,095 | 254 | +153 | $\pm 243$ | 8,463 | 1,185 | 27,905 | 4,461 | + 29 | $+18$ |
| Laredo | 48 | 15 | 230 | 44 | + 29 | -6 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| Labbock | 1,994 | 125 | 6,964 | 451 | $-17$ | $-22$ | 0 | 0 | 236 | 36 | $+490$ | $+800$ | 360 | 86 | 388 | 95 | --66 | -81 |
| Midiand | 554 | 28 | 2,880 | 142 | $+5$ | $+1$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | + | + | 0 | 0 | 250 | 40 | ** | ** |
| Odessia | 248 | 14 | 1,085 | 53 | $-47$ | - 56 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - |
| San Angelo | 305 | 29 | 1,270 | 110 | +18 | $+6$ | 0 | 0 | 12 | 4 | , - | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - |  |
| San Antonio | 2,420 | 262 | 9,155 | 992 | $-13$ | -14 | 83 | 12 | 188 | 30 | +185 | +114 | 0 | 0 | 2,429 | 488 | $+24$ | $+11$ |
| Texarkana | 193 | 20 | 600 | 69 | $+21$ | $+15$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | 75 | 24 | + 24 |  |
| Tyler | 461 | 29 | 2,870 | 125 | - 32 | $-36$ | 4 | 2 | 4 | 2 | $\cdots$ | - | 250 | 40 | 1,430 | 275 | - |  |
| Waco ........ | 819 | 64 | 2,624 | 206 | $+30$ | + 27 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 2 | $-88$ |  | 68 | 18 | 1,787 | 268 | $+297$ | +209 |
| Wichita Falls... | 514 | 42 | 2,661 | 199 | $\sim 1$ | $-16$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | $\cdots$ | 0 | 0 | 945 | 152 |  |  |

[^2]

As a reader's guide to better utility of retail sales data, an average percent change from the preceding month has been computed for each month of the year. This percent change is marked with a dagger ( $\dagger$ ) following that figure. The next percent change represents the actual change from the preceding month. A large variation in the normal sea* sonal from the actual figure represents an abnormal month. The third percent change shows the change from the identical period the preceding year, Postal receipt information which is marked by an asterisk (*) indicates cash receipts received during the four-week postal accounting period ended April 26, 1963, and the percent changes from the preceding period and the comparable period in
the previous year. Annual postal data are for 18 four-week periods falling closest within 1961 and 1962 calendar years. Changes less than one-half of 1 percent are marked with a double asterisk ( ${ }^{* *}$ ). Waco retail sales information is reported in cooperation with the Baylor Bureau of Business Research. End-of-month deposits as reported represent money on deposit in individual demand deposit accounts on the last day of the month and are indicated by the symbol ( $\ddagger$ ). All population figures are final 1960 census data, with the exceptions of those marked ( r ) which are official revisions. Figures under Texarkana with the following symbol (§) are for Texarkana, Texas, only.

| City and item | Percent change |  |  | City and item | $\underset{1963}{\mathrm{Apr}}$ | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\underset{1963}{\text { Apr }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Apr } 1983 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Mar } 1963 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Apr } 1963 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Abr } 1962 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Apr 1963 } \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Mar } 1963 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Apr } 1968 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Apr } 1962 \end{aligned}$ |
| ABILENE (pop. 90,368) |  |  |  | ARANSAS PASS (pop. 6,956) |  |  |  |
| Retail sales ........................ | - 2¢ | + 2 | - a | Poblal receipts* ..................... ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | \$ 5,084 | + 2 | $+25$ |
| Apparel stores | $\dagger{ }^{+}$ | $+4$ | -- 28 | Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ 42,800 | +171 | +118 |
| Automotive stores | + $7 \dagger$ | $-2$ | ** | Bank debits (thousands) | 4,480 | $+2$ | + 4 |
| Drug stores | -6† | 9 | 2 | End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | \$ 6,006 | - | $+21$ |
| General merchandise stores, | + $2 \dagger$ | + 8 | -6 | Annual rate of deposit turnover..... | 8.7 |  | $-15$ |
| Lumber, building material, and hardware stores. | + 31 | + 51 | $+16$ | ARLINGTON (pop. 44,775) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipta* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . $\$$ | 124,911 | + 2 | + 23 | Retail sales | $2 \dagger$ | - 7 | - 8 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 1,992,497 | $+19$ | $+34$ | Apparel stores | + 4t | $+16$ | $+10$ |
| Bank debitss (thousands) ............. \$ | 110,277 | $+10$ | $+1$ | Lumber, building material, |  |  |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $4 .$. \$ | 78,744 | + 2 | + 1 | and hardware stores. | $+37$ | - 1 | $+9$ |
| Annual rate of deposit furnover. | 18.1 | +88 | $+1$ | Postal receids** | \% 58,451 | - 11 | $+44$ |
| Employment (area) | 36.750 | ** | 1 | Buaiding permits, less federal contracts | \$ 1,128,604 | - 12 | $+21$ |
| Manufacturing employment (area). | 4,830 | 2. | - 11 | Brnk debits (thousands) | \$ 40,849 |  | + 22 |
| Percent unemployed (area) .......... | 6.5 | 2 | $+30$ | End-of-month deposits (thousands) i. .\$ | \$ 27.648 |  | + 19 |
| AIPINE (pop. 4,740) |  |  |  | Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 17.3 |  | + 1 |
|  | 5,329 | - 2 | + 17 | Employment (area) <br> Manufacturing employment (area) | 222,200 52,875 | +1 $+\quad 2$ | $+\quad 2$ $+\quad 5$ |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 22,500 | $-79$ |  | Percent unemployed (area) | 4.0 | - 13 | $-13$ |
| Bank debits (thousands)............. 8 | 2,825 | -3 | + 4 |  |  |  |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . . \$$ | 3,744 | 3 | $\begin{aligned} & -4 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | ATHENS (pop. 7,086) |  |  |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 8.9 |  | + 3 | Postal receipts* ${ }^{*}$ | 9,078 | - 6 | $+12$ |
| AMARILLO (pop. 137,969) |  |  |  | Building permits, less federal contracts | 106,650 9,215 | +130 | + 19 |
| Retail males ....................... | $\begin{array}{ll}- & 2 \dagger \\ + & 4 \dagger\end{array}$ | +10 $+\quad 5$ | +6 +9 | Bank debits (thousands) ............. | \$ 9,671 |  | + 16 +16 |
| Apparel storea | $+4 \dagger$ | + 5 | -9 |  |  |  |  |
| Autornotive stores | $+7 \dagger$ | + 33 | $+80$ | AUSTIN (pop. 186,545) |  |  |  |
| Eating and drinking places. | - 1 $\dagger$ | $-7$ |  | Retail silea .. | - $2 \dagger$ | + 4 | + 12 |
| Furniture and household appliance stores | ** | $+3$ | $+17$ | Retail Apparel stores ..................... | $+\quad 4 \dagger$ $+\quad 7 \dagger$ | + 12 | + $\quad 3$ $+\quad 12$ |
| General merchandise stores. . . . . . . | + $2 \dagger$ | + 9 | - 3 | Automotive Drug stores | $\begin{aligned} + & 7 \dagger \\ - & 6 \dagger \end{aligned}$ |  | + 12 $+\quad 1$ |
| Lumber, building material, and hardware stores. | $+3 \dagger$ | + 28 | $+20$ | Drug stores Eating and drinking places......... | $\begin{array}{ll} = & 17 \\ - & 44 \end{array}$ |  | +** |
| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ${ }^{\text {* }}$ | 242,408 | + 8 | + 17 | Food store | - 4 9 |  |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 3,879,250 | $-15$ | +15 | appliance stores | ** $\dagger$ | + 1 | + 18 |
| Bank debits (thousands)............. | 265,104 | +12 | $\pm 6$ | Gasoline and service stations. | - It | +10 +10 | +18 +18 |
| End-of-month deposits (thonsands) $\ddagger .8$ | 120,242 | -1 | $+1$ | General merchandise stores | $+2 \dagger$ | $+20$ | +21 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover..... | 25.3 | $+38$ | $+5$ | Lumber, building materlal, |  |  |  |
| Employment (area) ................ | 53, 000 | + 1 | +3 $+\quad 9$ | and hardware stores.... | 4 37 | $+8$ | $+10$ |
| Manufacturing employment (area). | 6,020 | ** | +9 $+\quad 18$ | Postal receiptst . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | \$ 473,077 | - 1 | + 16 |
| Percent unemployed (area).. | 8.4 | + 8 | -18 | Building permits, less federal contracts | \$5,801,386 | $-14$ | $+26$ |
| ANDREWS (pop. 11,135) |  |  |  | Bank debits (thoasands) ............. | \$ 277,046 | 43 | $+10$ |
| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . $\$$ | 9,350 | $+21$ | $+85$ | Whd-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger .$. | \$ 175,728 |  | +22 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 50,650 | - 39 | - 56 | Annual rate of deposit turnover..... | 19.6 | + 2 | - 1 |
| Bank debits (thousands) . . . . . . . . . . . \$ | 6,510 | + 16 | $+13$ | Employment (area) . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 86,600 | ** | + 4 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousends) $\ddagger$, \$ | 7,064 | - 3 | -12 | Mantafacturing employment (area). | 5,900 | $+1$ | + 2 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover..... | 10.9 | + 20 | $+80$ | Percent pnemployed (area) | 2.9 | - 12 | $+16$ |


| Local Business Conditions |  | Percent change <br>  <br> City and item |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |

BAY CLTY (pop. 11,656)

| Posta] receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . $\$$ | 10,538 | $-23$ | $-17$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............. ${ }^{\text {\% }}$ | 15,074 | $+5$ | $+12$ |
| End-oi-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . \$$ | 22,127. | ** | * |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | B. 2 | $+6$ | $+14$ |
| Nonagricultural placements | 96 |  | ** |

## BAYTOWN (pop. 28,1.59)

| Retail sales |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Food stores | $4{ }^{\dagger}$ | 2 | 1 |
| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . \$ | 31,005 | - 5 | + 23 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 455,803 | $+2$ | 67 |
| Bank debits (thousands)............. \% | 29,578. | + 16 | + 15 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. ${ }^{\text {S }}$ | 26,612 | $-3$ | $+5$ |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 13.1 | $+17$ |  |
| Employment (area) | 552,000 | $+1$ |  |
| Manufacturing employment (area). | 92,100 | + 1 | 3 |
| Percent unemployed (area) | 3.8 | - 16 |  |

## BEAUMONT (pop. 119,175)

| Retail sales | - $2 \boldsymbol{4}$ | - 5 | - 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Apparel stores | $+4 \dagger$ | + 22 |  |
| Automotive stores | + 7\% | $-14$ | 8 |
| Eating and drinking places | - 1t | $+10$ | 2 |
| Furniture and household appliance stores | ** $\dagger$ | $-10$ |  |
| Gasoline and service stations. | - 1\% | $+19$ | -13 |
| General merchandise stores. | $+2 \dagger$ | +8 | 4 |
| Lumber, building material, and hardware stores. | + $8 \dagger$ | $+11$ | - 2 |
| Postal receipts ${ }^{\text {a }}$..................... \$ | 144,690 | + 2 | $+17$ |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 1,908,587 | + 58 | + 48 |
| Bank debits (thoasands) ............. ${ }^{\text {\% }}$ | 190,691 | + 5 | + 9 |
| End*of-month deposits (thousandg) $\$ .$. \$ | 106,859 | ** | + 2 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover..... | 21.4 | $+5$ | $+7$ |
| Employmext (area) . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 106,800 | ** |  |
| Manufacturing employment (area) | 84,850 | ** |  |
| Percent unemployed (area). | 7.5 | $\sim$ | $+15$ |

## BEEVILLE (pop. 13,811)

| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . $\%$ | 13,154 | - 5 | + 19 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building yermits, less federal contracts \$ | 84,585 | +58 | -12 |
| Bank debitg (thousands) . . . . . . . . . . . \$ | 10,239 | ** | + 13 |
| End-pf-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . . \$$ | 15,046 | + 5 | $+11$ |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 8.8 | - 1 | $+5$ |
| Nonagricultural placements | 124 | $+32$ | $-18$ |

## BIG SPRING (pop. 31,230)

| Retail sales | - $2 \dagger$ |  | - 15 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Automotive stores | + 7\% | - 6 | - 18 |
| Drug stores | - 6t | $-12$ | $-17$ |
| Lumber, building material. and hardware stores. | + $\mathbf{9} \dagger$ | + 3 | $-12$ |
| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . $\$$ | 30,022. | -19 | $+13$ |
| Building dermits, less federal contracts 8 | 697,122 | ${ }^{*}+36$ | + 27 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............. \$ | 43,219 |  | $+6$ |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . . \$$ | 26,724 | 3 | $-10$ |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 19.1 | $+17$ | $+18$ |
| Nonagricultural placements | 188 | $+27$ | - 25 |

## BISHOP (pop. 3,722)

| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . \$ | 2,799 | $-27$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bank debits (thorssands) ............. . | 1.220 | - 3 |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thonsands) \&. \$ | 2,370 | - 6 | - |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 9.4 | $+$ |  |



## CALDWELL (pop. 2,204)

Building permits, less federal contracts \$ Bank debits (thousands)...............
End-of-month deposits (thousandst
Annal rate of deposit turnover...... $\quad 7.9+20+8$

## CAMERON (pop. 5,640)

| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . \$ | 8,044 | + 8 | $+15$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 10,085 | - 36 | $+36$ |
| Bank debita (thousands) ............. \$ | 4,691 | + 9 | $+11$ |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. ${ }^{\text {S }}$ | 4,813 | * | $+3$ |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 11.7 | + 18 |  |

CANYON (pop. 5,864)

| Building permits, less federal contracte | 156,885 | +1501 | +92 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............ | 7,708 | + | +12 |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands)t..8 | 6,872 | + | +8 |  |
| Annual rate of doposit turnover..... | 13.0 | + | 2 | +10 |

## CARROLLTON (pop. 4,242)

Postal receipts* ..................... 6,528 + 13 + 86 $\begin{array}{llll}\text { Building permits, less federal contracts } 8 & 324,400 & +83 & -76\end{array}$
Bank debits (thausands)..............s 5,649 ** +
End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger .1 \quad 3,973+17+39$
Annual rate of deposit turnover. ..... 18.4 - 9 -- 22

CISCO (pop. 4,499)

| Postal receipts* $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$ | 4,584 | - | 7 | - | 9 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Bank debits (thousands) $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots .2$ | 3,576 | + | 4 | + | 2 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger \ldots \$$ | 3,848 | + | 4 | + | 1 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. ..... | 11.4 | + | 5 | + | 5 |

CLEBURNE (pop. 15,381)

| Retail sales |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| General merchandise stores. | + $2 \dagger$ | $+2$ | $+8$ |
| Postal recejpts . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . \$ | 17,107 |  | + 33 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 262,090 | +186 | +686 |
| Bank debits (thousands)............. | 11,472 | $-2$ |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) \$. \$ | 12,104 |  |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 11.4 | - 8 |  |
| Employment (area) | 222,200 |  |  |
| Manufacturing employment (area). | 52,875 |  |  |
| Percent unemployed (area) | 4.0 | $-18$ | - 18 |

## CLUTE (pop. 4,501)

Postal receipts*
Building permits, fegs federal contracts
Bank debits (thousands)

| 2,104 | +42 | +7 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 10,550 | -84 | -66 |
| 1,805 | -2 | -8 |
| 1,398 | -2 | -20 |
| 15.8 | $* *$ | +13 |

$\begin{array}{lrrr}\text { End-of-month deposits } \text { (thousands) } \ddagger . . & 1,398 & -2 & -20 \\ \text { Annual rate of deposit turnover. .... } & 15.8 & * * & +13\end{array}$

## COLLEGE STATION (pop. 11,396)

| Pos | 18,360 | - 27 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bulding permits, less federal contracts \$ | 131,620 | +812 | $+4782$ |
| Bank debits (thousands)............. | 8,823 | * | + 8 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) ; . \$ | 3,088 | 3 | $+12$ |
| Annual rate of dedosit turnover. | 14.9 |  | $-5$ |

Local Business Conditions

| City and item | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ADr } \\ & 1968 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Apr } 1968 \\ \text { from } \\ \text { Mar } 1968 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Apr } 1963 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Apy } 1962 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| COLORADO CITY (pop. 6,457) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* ${ }^{*}$. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . $\%$ | 5,523 | ** | 4 |
| Bank debits (thousands)............. \$ | 4,431 | - 1 | - 8 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger .$. \$ | 6,206 | - | - 10 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 8.3 | + | - 1 |


| COPPERAS COVE (pop. | 67) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Postal receipts* . ..................... | 4,567 | $+85$ | $+91$ |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 230,650 | - 9 | -81 |
| Bank debits (thousands)............. \$ | 1,487 | $+10$ | + 2 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . . \$$ | 1,546 | $+34$ | +53 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 13.2 | - 7 | - 24 |

CORPUS CHRISTI (pop. 184,163r)

| Retail galem | - $2 \uparrow$ |  | ** |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Apparel stores | + $4 \dagger$ | $+$ |  | + 3 |
| Automotive stores | $+7 \dagger$ |  | ** | + 12 |
| General merchandise stores. | + $2 \dagger$ | $+$ |  | $+4$ |
| Lumber, building material, and hardware stores... | + 87 |  | 1 | + 2 |
| Postal receipts* | 199,253 | $+$ |  | $+13$ |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | 2,168,063 |  | 22 | $+174$ |
| Bank debits (thousands) | 207,208 | $+$ | 8 | $+10$ |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | 118,871 | $+$ | 8 | * |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover...... | 21.4 | + | 2 |  |
| Employment (area) | 68,300 |  | ** |  |
| Manufacturing employment (area). | 8,780 | - | 1 |  |
| Percent unemployed (ared) | 4.9 | $\cdots$ | 8 | - 11 |

## CORSICANA (pop. 20,344)

Fostal receipts
Pal Bank debitsits, less federal contracts 175,1 End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . . \$$ 20,35
Annual rate of deposit turnover...... 10.
Nonagricultural placements .......... 191

## CRYSTAL CITY (pop. 9,101)

| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . * | 3,496 | + 1 | $+23$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 16,000 | -72 | $+33$ |
| Bank debite (thousands)............. \$ | 2,943 | + 8 | +13 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) 7 . . \$ | 2,889 | 3 | + 3 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 12,6 | $+$ |  |

## DALLAS (pop. 679,684)

| Retalt sales | $2 \uparrow$ | - |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Apparel stares | + $6 \ddagger$ | + 22 | $+3$ |
| Automotive stores | - 9 $\dagger$ |  | +14 |
| Eating and drinking places | $+2 \dagger$ |  | $+12$ |
| Florists | $+10 \dagger$ | $+9$ | 9 |
| Food stores |  | -11 |  |
| Furniture and bousehold appliance stores ...... |  | $+6$ |  |
| Gasoline and service stations. | - $3 \dagger$ | -1 | + 4 |
| General merchandise stores. | +67 |  |  |
| Lamber, building material, and hardware atores. | $-1 \dagger$ |  | +8 |
| Office, store, and school supply dealers ..... | - 12t | - 25 | - 23 |
| Postal receipta ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | \$ 2,789,268 | 1 | + 15 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$20,590,368 | $+55$ | $+20$ |
| Bank debita (thousends).... | \$ 3,613,646 | $+17$ | + 5 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | \$ 1,297,559 | + 1 |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 83.6 | $+16$ | $+$ |
| Employment (area) | 484,500 |  |  |
| Manufacturing employment (area) . | 106,125 | + 1 | $+3$ |
| Percent unemployed (area) | 3.6 | - 10 |  |



| Local Business Conditions |  | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| City and item | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{A}_{9} \mathrm{~F} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Apr } 1963 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Mar } 1963 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Apr 1963 } \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Apr } 1962 \end{aligned}$ |
| GARLAND (pop. 38,501) |  |  |  |
| Retail sales |  |  | $+34$ |
| Automotive stores | + 7 ${ }^{+}$ | $+$ | + 40 |
| General merchandise stores |  | $+13$ | +15 |
| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . \$ | 37,132 | -12 | + 11 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 2,099,461 | $+17$ | + 33 |
| Bank debits (thousands)............ ${ }^{\text {\% }}$ | 32,692 | $+10$ | + 14 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | 15,770 | $+$ | + |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 25.1 |  | $+18$ |
| Employment (area) | 484,500 |  |  |
| Manufacturing employment (area). | 106,125 | + |  |
| Percent unemployed (area) | 3.6 | - 10 |  |
| GATESVILLE (pop. 4,626) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 4,319 | - 36 |  |
| Bank debits (thousands) | 5.762 | + 14 | $+15$ |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | 6,019 | + 1 |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 11.5 | $+12$ |  |
| GIDDINGS (pop. 2,821) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* .................... \$ | 3,772 | + 14 | + 36 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | 16,600 |  | -54 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | 2,977 | - 9 | $+$ |
| End-of-month deposits (thousinds) $\ddagger$. \$ | 4,145 | - | + 10 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 8.5 | $-10$ |  |
| GLADEWATER (pop. 5,742) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . \$ | 7,832 | + 18 | $+17$ |
| Bank debits (thousands) . . . . . . . . . . \$ | 8,132 | - 4 | $-27$ |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger .1$ | 5,833 | - | - 2 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 6.9 | - 1 | - 26 |
| Employment (area) | 28,550 | ** |  |
| Manufacturing employment (area) | 6,580 |  |  |
| Percent unemployed (area) | 4.9 |  | + 48 |
| GOLDTHWAITE (pop. 1,383) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* .................... $\$$ | 1,682 | - 21 | 10 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............ | 8,664 | $-25$ | ** |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. \$ | 4,572 | - | $+$ |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 9.4 | $-27$ | - 21 |
| GRAHAM (pop. 8,505) |  |  |  |
| Postal recelpta**.................. 8 | 8,200 | - 8 |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 20,151 | - 47 |  |
| Bank debits (thotsands) ............\% | 9,033 | $+$ |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | 10,328 | - 1 | ** |
| Annual rate of deposit turnov | 10.4 |  |  |
| GRANBURY (pop. 2,227) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . \$ | 3,628 | - 26 |  |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............. $\%$ | 1,601 | - | $+17$ |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . . \$$ | 2,234 |  |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 8.8 |  | $+10$ |
| GRAND PRAIRIE (pop. 30,386) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* ................... 8 | 27,081 |  |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts ${ }^{\text {\% }}$ | 490,868 | -48 | -62 |
| Bank debits (thousands) .............s | 20,504 | +20 | $+22$ |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. . ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 10,768 | - 2 |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover...... | 22.7 | +18 | +22 |
| Employment (area) | 484,500 | $+1$ |  |
| Manufacturing employment (area). | 106,125 | $+1$ |  |
| Percent unemployed (area) | ${ }^{3.6}$ | - 10 |  |
| GRAPEVINE (pop. 2,821) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$ | $2,942$. | - 20 |  |
| Building permita, less federal contracts \$ | 12,500 | +210 |  |
| Eank debits (thousands) .............s | 3,470 | + 23 | $+25$ |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. . \$ | 3,051 | - 2 | $+12$ |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 13.5 | +18 | + 12 |


| Local Business Conditions City and item | ${ }_{\text {Apr }}^{\text {Apr }}$ | Percent chnnge |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Apr 1968 <br> from Apr 1982 |
| GREENVILLE (pop. 19,087) |  |  |  |
| Retail sales |  | - 10 | + 21 |
| Automotive stores |  | - | + 88 |
| Drug stores |  |  |  |
| Lumber, building material. |  |  |  |
| and hardware stores | + $3 \dagger$ | - 18 | + 23 |
| Postal receipts ${ }^{*}$ | 24,436 | - |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 246,085 | +102 | +285 |
| Bank debits (thousands)............s | 15,444 | + | + 22 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. $\%$ | 18,689 | - |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 13.5 | + 7 |  |
| Nonagricultural placements | 119 | +116 | + 32 |

## HALE CENTER (pop. 2,196)

| Postal | 1.886 | -40 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 31,250 | $+468$ | + 87 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............ . | 2,773 | 9 | +88 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) ¢. . \$ | 4,492 | $-13$ | 7 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 6.9 |  |  |

HARLINGEN (pop. 41,207)

| Retail sales . ......................... |
| :---: |
| Automotive stores |
| Food stores |
| Gasoline and service stations...... |
| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . \$ |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ |
| Dank debits (thousands)............. \$ |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $4 . .8$ |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. |
| Nonagrieultural placements |


| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . \$ | 5,078 | $+3$ | $+41$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bank debits (thousands)............ \$ | 1,783 | + 19 | + 89 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. . ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 2,159 | + 2 | $+8$ |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 9.7 | + 24 | +83 |

## HENDERSON (pop. 9,666)

| Retail sales | - 24 | - 12 | $+7$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Apparel stores | $+4{ }^{+}$ | + 27 | + 11 |
| Automotive stores | + 74 | - 22 |  |
| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . $\$$ | 11,853 | $-17$ |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 86,265 | $-16$ | + 50 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............. \$ | 7,973 | - 3 | - 5 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. ${ }^{\text {S }}$ | 16,547 | - 2 | + |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 5.7 |  | 10 |
| HEREPORD (pop. 7,652) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipta* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . $\$$ | 10,964 | $+10$ | + 55 |
| Buildine permits, less federal contracts \$ | 285,780 | -56 | +124 |
| Bank debits (thousands)............. \$ | 14,885 | $-13$ |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. . \$ | 13,206 | - 6 |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 18.0 |  |  |
| HUMBLE (pop. 1,711) |  |  |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 1,500 | $-85$ | - 99 |
| Bank debits (thousands)............. \$ | 2,751 | $+$ |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) 4. . \$ | 2,948 | - |  |
| Annual rate of deposit tarnover. | 11.1 |  | $+$ |
| IOWA PARK (10p. 3,295) |  |  |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts $\$$ | 128,850 | +352 | +74 |
| Bank debits (thousands) . . . . . . . . . . $\%$ | 3,852 |  | +25 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) ${ }_{\text {a }}$. \$ | 3,857 | - 2 | - 4 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 11.9 | + | + 23 |

## IRVING (pop. 45,985)

## Retail sales

Lumber, building material, and hardware stores.............. $+8 \dagger+22+21$
Postal receipts* $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots .3^{*} 34,291 \quad-42+41$
Building permits, less federal contracts $\$ 2,638,972 \quad-6 \quad+65$

| Local Rusiness Conditions |  | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| City and item | (1968 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Apr } 1968 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Mar } 1968 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Apr } 1968 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Apr } 1962 \end{aligned}$ |
| HOUSTON (pop. 938,219) |  |  |  |
| Retail sales | - ${ }^{\text {¢ }}$ + | ** |  |
| Apparel stores | $+{ }^{4} \dagger$ | + 17 | + |
| Automotive stores | - $10 \%$ | - 4 |  |
| Drut stores ..... | - 5t | - 11 |  |
| Eating and drinking places | - ${ }^{4 \dagger}$ | - 1 |  |
| Food stores | - $2 \dagger$ |  |  |
| Furniture and household |  |  |  |
| Gasoline and service statione | - $2 \dagger$ | $+$ | $+17$ |
| General merchandise stores | + $1 \dagger$ | $+$ |  |
| Liguor stores | - $3 \dagger$ | - 10 |  |
| Lumber, building materlal, |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts ${ }^{*}$ | 2,041,276 | ** | +19 |
| Building permits, less federal eontracts | 829, ए6¢,774 | - 49 | + 11 |
| Bank debits (thoubands) | 3,276,780 |  | + 12 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. \$ | 1,516,810 | $+$ | + |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover...... | 26.2 | $+$ |  |
| Employment (area) | 552,000 | $+$ |  |
| Manufacturing employment (area). | 92,100 | $+$ |  |
| Percent unemploged (area) | 8.8 | - 16 |  |
| JACKSONVILLE (pop. 9,590) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . \$ | 21,065 | $+17$ | $+18$ |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | - 117,500 | +158 | $+490$ |
| Eank debits (thousands)............. | 11,947 | + | + 11 |
| End-of-manth deposits (thousands)t.. \$ | 9,427 | + | $+$ |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 15.3 |  |  |
| JASPER (pop. 4,889) |  |  |  |
| Retail males |  |  | + 14 |
| riutomotive stores |  | - | + 28 |
| Postal receipts* ....................\% | 8,435 | $+17$ | + 26 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 35,822 | + 59 | -65 |
| Bank debits (thousands) . . . . . . . . . 8 | 9,664 | + 18 | + 11 |
| End-of-manth deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. ${ }^{\text {\% }}$ | 10.040 | +19 |  |
| Annual rate of deposit tarnover | 12.6 | + 20 | + 24 |
| JUSTIN (pop. 622) |  |  |  |
| Postal recelpts** | 805 |  | $+23$ |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 4,000 - |  | -89 |
| Bank debits (thouannds) ............s | 1,365 | $-21$ |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thoussads) $\ddagger$. . \$ | 775 | + 2 |  |
| Annual rate of deposit tarnover. | 21.3 | -18. | ** |
| KATY (pop. 1,569) |  |  |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | * 32,250 | $+1.5$ | +940 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............ \$ | 2,012 | + | $+40$ |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) \&. \$ | - 2,188 |  | + 25 |
| Annusl rate of deposit turnover..... | 10.8 |  | +14 |
| KERMIT (pop. 10,465) |  |  |  |
| Retail sales |  |  |  |
| Drug stores | - $6 \dagger$ |  |  |
| Postal receipts . ...................s | * 8,248 | + 3 | + 13 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | \$ 38,921 | -74 |  |
| KILGORE (pop. 10,092) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* .................... | 8 13,651 | $-12$ |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | \$ 69,800 | $-18$ | $+89$ |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............ \$ | - 11,901 | + |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. \$ | - 12,298 | - |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover...... | 11.4 | + 8 |  |
| Employment (area) ................ | 28,550 | ** |  |
| Manufacturing employment (area). | 5,580 |  | - |
| Percent unemployed (areas | 4.9 | - | + 48 |
| KILLEEN (pop, 23,377) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* ...................8 | \$ 38,862 | + 13 |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts $\$$ | \$ 2,124,326 | +173 | +862 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............. | \$ 14,255 |  | +18 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. ${ }^{\text {\$ }}$ | - 10,125 | ** |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnov | 16.9 |  |  |


| Local Business Conditions |  | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ${ }^{\text {Apr }}$ | Apr 1968 from | Apr 1963 from |
| City and item | 1963 |  | Apr 1962 |

KINGSVILLE (pop. 25,297)
Retail sales

| Automotive atores | + 7 $\dagger$ | $+14$ | - 20 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . s | 18,907 | - 27 | + 11 |
| Buldalng permits less federal contracts \$ | 183,060 | + 16 | - 85 |
| Bank debits (thousande) ............. $\$$ | 12,399 | + 3 | +23 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousends) $\ddagger .$. | 13,288 | +23 | + 9 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 12.3 | + 7 | + 27 |

## KIRBYVLLLE (pop. 1,660)

| Postal recelpta* | 3,588 |  | 5 | $+3$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bank debits (thousands)............. | 2,177 | - | 1 | 9 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . . \$$ | 3,268 | - | 2 | $+11$ |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 7.9 | - | 5 |  |

## LA FERIA (pop. 3,047)

| Postal recelpts* | 2,488 | + 8 | $+16$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 10,700 | +485 | 6 |
| Bank debita (thorsands) ............ \& | 1,641 | $+15$ | $+20$ |
| End-of-month deporits (thousands) ¢. \$ | 1,308 | - 3 | 2 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 14.9 | + 20 | $+23$ |

LA MARQUE (pop. 13,969)

| Postal receipts* | 9,871 | - 11 | $+12$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Butlding permits, leas federal contracts \$ | 226,750 | $+81$ | $+97$ |
| Bank debits (thousands) .............. \$ | 10,070 | + 22 | + 22 |
| End-of-month deposite (thousands) + . $\$$ | 5,895 | $+1$ | -8 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 20.6 | +21 | $+33$ |
| Employment (area) | 53,500 | + 1 | + 1 |
| Manufacturing employment (area) , | 10,510 |  | 5 |
| Percent unemployed (area) | 6.4 | - 9 | - 11 |

LAMESA (pop. 12,438)
Retail sales

| Automotive stores | $+7 \dagger$ | - 11 | $-17$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Drug stores | - 6\% | $-17$ | 8 |
| Postal receipts* . .................... ${ }^{\text {\% }}$ | 11,688 | 8 | 5 |
| Brnk debits (thousands) ............. \$ | 17,578 |  | + 3 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$, \$ | 17,555 | $-9$ | - 11 |
| Annual rate of deposit tarnover. | 11.4 | $+10$ | +15 |
| Nonagricaltural placements | 72 | $+81$ | $-58$ |
| LAMPASAS (pop. 5,061) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* ..................... $\$$ | 5,442 | - 8 | $+17$ |
| Building permits, less federsl contracts \$ | 45,500 | - 80 |  |
| Eank debita (thonsands).............. \$ | 7,416 | + 5 | + 6 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) \$..\$ | 6,482 | $-1$ | - 5 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 18.6 | $+4$ | $+10$ |

LA PORTE (pop. 4,512)

| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 43,050 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bank debits (thousands)............. $\$$ | 4,334 | $+13$ | + 39 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. $\$$ | 3,843 | $+1$ | +22 |
| Annual rate of deposit tarnover | 13.6 | $+12$ | +18 |

LAREDO (pop. 60,678)

| Postal receipts* ..................... ${ }^{\text {\% }}$ | 43,651 | $+16$ | + 19 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 142,929 | +135 | +332 |
| Bank debits (thousands)............. \$ | 87,216 | + | + 9 |
| End-ci-month deposits (thoussnds) $\%$. $\%$ | 26,469 | $+$ | + 1 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 17.4 | $+$ | $\pm 7$ |
| Employment (area) | 18,650 | ** |  |
| Manufacturing employment (area). | 1,260 | 的 |  |
| Percent unemployed (area) | 10.1 | - 19 |  |
| Nonagricultural placements | 433 | +58 | - 89 |


| Local Business Conditions |  | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Local Buskess City and item | $\underset{1963}{\mathrm{ADr}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Apr } 1963 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Mar } 1963 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Abr } 1968 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Agr } 1962 \end{aligned}$ |
| LEVELLAND (pop. 10,153) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . $\%$ | 7,936 | - 22 | $+28$ |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 146,650 | - 22 | - 69 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ........... \$ | 12,080 | - | ** |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) i. .s | 12,035 | - 10 |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 11.4 | $+$ | - 5 |
| L'ITLEFIELD (pop. 7,236) |  |  |  |
| Retail sates |  |  |  |
| Automotive stores | + $7 \dagger$ | - 12 |  |
| General merchandise stores | + 21 | + 15 |  |
| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . \$ | 7,046 | - 7 | - 20 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 90,300 | -46 | - 55 |
| LLANO (pop. 2,656) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* . ................... \$ | 2,416 | - 12 | $+27$ |
| Building permits, less federal contraets \$ | 1,200 | - 70 |  |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............ | 2,938 | $3 *$ | $+10$ |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) . . \$ | 3,737 | - | + |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 9.3 | + |  |
| LOCKHART (pop. 6,084) |  |  |  |
| Retail gales |  |  |  |
| Food atores | - ${ }^{4}$ |  |  |
| Postal reeeipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . \$ | 4,262 | + 1 | - 1 |
| Building pertrits, less federal contracts \$ | 7,350 | - 49 | -41 |
| Bank debita (thousands) ............. \$ | 5,006 | $-15$ | + 19 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . .8$ | 5,314 | + 8 | - |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 11.4 | - 16 | $+28$ |
| LONGVIEW (pop. 40,050) |  |  |  |
| Retail sales |  |  |  |
| Lumber, building material, and hardware stores. | $+84$ | + 19 | $\pm 15$ |
|  | 60.469 | + 6 | + 28 |
| Building permits, lesg federal contracts \$ | \$54,100 | - 74 | - 39 |
| Bank debits (thousands) .............\$ | 50,519 | + |  |
| End-of-month depozits (thousands) \$. \$ | 38,278 | + | ** |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover...... | 15.9 | + 1 | $+$ |
| Employment (area) . ............... | 28,550 | ** |  |
| Manufacturing employment (area). | 5,680 |  | - 3 |
| Percent unemployed (area).. | 4.9 | - 2 | $+48$ |

## LOS FRESNOS (pop. 1,289)

| Postal recelpts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 1,099 | $+8$ | +29 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bank debits (thousands)............ | 1,034 | $-10$ | $+10$ |
| End+oi-month deposits (thousands) \% $^{\text {a }}$ \$ | 1,236 | + 8 | + 4 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover...... | 10.2 | $-12$ | $+6$ |
| LJBBOCK (pop. 128,691) |  |  |  |
| Retail seles | - 2 + | ** |  |
| Apparel gtores | + 4\% | + 44 | - 1 |
| Automotive stores | $\pm 7 *$ | ** | +18 |
| Furniture and household appliance stores ..... | ** $\dagger$ |  |  |
| General merchandise stores. | + $2 \dagger$ | $+$ | - |
| Postal receipts* . ..................... ${ }^{\text {\% }}$ | 195,977 | $-3$ |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 3,762,622 |  |  |
| Bank deblts (theusands)............. \$ | 216,941 | $-10$ | $+9$ |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | 127,474 | 2 |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 20.8 | - 7 |  |
| Employment (area) ................ | 54,500 | +1 |  |
| Manufacturing employment (area). | 6,050 | ** | $+6$ |
| Percent unemployed (area) | 3.8 | - 5 | $-10$ |


| Local Business Conditions |  | Percent change <br>  <br> City and item |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |

## LUFKIN (pop. 17,641)

Retail sales

| Automotive stores | $+7 \dagger$ | $+17$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Posted receiptig* . .................... \% | 27,006 | + 11 | $+38$ |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 886,880 | $+86$ | $+58$ |
| Bank debits (thousands)............. 8 | 26,963 | $-10$ | + 8 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) i. . \$ | 28,221 | $+7$ |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 11.9 | $-12$ | +11 |
| Nonagriewtiaral placements | 98 | +145 | - 18 |

## McALLEN (pop. 32,728)

| Retail sales | - $2 \dagger$ | $+$ | ** |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Apparel stores | + 44 | $+20$ | +11 |
| Automotive stores | + 7t | ** |  |
| Food stores |  | $-7$ |  |
| Furniture and household appliance stores | ** $\dagger$ | + 24 |  |
| Gasoline and service stations. | - 1 | +1 |  |
| Postal recelpts ${ }^{\text {¢ }}$. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . \% | 31,297 | -8 | + 18 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 168,200 | - 23 | $-47$ |
| Bank debits (thousands) .............. \$ | 31,561 | + 2 | $+14$ |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) ${ }^{\text {S. }}$ | 26,374 | + 3 | + 7 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 14.6 | + 2 | + 8 |
| Nonagricultural placementa | 258 | + 11 | $-56$ |
| McCAMEY (pop. 3,375) |  |  |  |
|  | 2,797 | 8 | $-10$ |
| Bank deblts (thousands)............. \$ | 1,666 |  | $-18$ |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) \$. \$ | 1,818 |  | 7 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 11.2 | - 4 | 2 |
| McGREGOR (pop. 4,642) |  |  |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 1,000 | ** | -95 |
| Bank debits (thousands)............. \$ | 4,067 | $+15$ | $+31$ |
| End-of-month depositg (thousands) $\ddagger$. $\$$ | 5.465 | - 3 | + 7 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 8.8 | $+16$ | $+17$ |
| MeKINNEY (pop. 13,76s) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* .....................\$ | 18,349 | -6 | $+38$ |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 68,335 |  | + 40 |
| Bank deblts (thousands).............. ${ }^{\text {S }}$ | 10,467 | + 1 | $+12$ |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. . $\$$ | 9,362 | - 1 | + 2 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 18.3 | $+2$ | + 11 |
| Nonagricultural placements | 181 | + 42 | 2 |

## MARSHALL (pop. 23,846)

| Retail sales | - 2\% | + | - 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Apparel stores | + $\mathbf{4}^{\text {\% }}$ | $+29$ | 5 |
| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . \% | 30,449 | + 7 |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts ${ }^{\text {为 }}$ | 132,539 | +189 | $+17$ |
| Bank detits (thousands) . . . . . . . . . . \$ | 17,727 | $\pm 6$ | $-20$ |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . . \$$ | 22,354 |  |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 9.6 | $+5$ | - 21 |
| Nonagrieultural placements | 214 | + 45 |  |

## MESQUITE (pop. 27,526)

| Retail sales |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Eating and drinking places. | $1 \dagger$ | $+1$ | $+6$ |
| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ${ }^{\text {\% }}$ | 15,015 | $-3$ | + 28 |
| Building dermits, less federal contracts \$ | 911,882 | +27 | + 19 |
| Bank debits (thousands) . . . . . . . . . . \$ | 9,971 | $+49$ | + 42 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) ${ }_{\text {\% }}$. \$ | 6,881 | +12 | ** |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 19.8 | $+42$ | $+48$ |
| Eimployment (area) | 484,500 | $+1$ |  |
| Manufactaring employment (area) | 106,125 | $+1$ |  |
| Percent unemployed (area) | 3.6 | $-10$ |  |


| Local Business Conditions |  | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| City mad item | ${ }_{1988}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ADr } 1963 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Mar } 1968 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Apr } 1963 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { fpr } 1962 \end{aligned}$ |
| MERCEDES (pop. 10,940) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . \$ | 8,122 | +25 | + 71 |
| Buiding permits, less federal contracts \$ | 27,084 | - 11 | +62 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............ \& | 5,627 | - 12 |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$.. $\%$ | 3,754 | $+$ |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 18.1 | -13 | + 12 |
| MEXIA (pop. 6,121) |  |  |  |
| Postal recetpts** ................... 8 | 5,997 | - | + ${ }^{5}$ |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............ ${ }^{\text {\% }}$ | 4,184 | + 10 | + 18 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . . \$$ | 4,585 | $-3$ | $+7$ |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 10.8 | +13 | $+10$ |
| MIDLAND (pop. 62,625) |  |  |  |
| Retail sales |  |  |  |
| Drug stores | - ${ }^{6 \dagger}$ | ** | + 12 |
| Furniture and hovesehold appliance stores | ** $\dagger$ | $+23$ |  |
| Postal receipts | 102,890 | $+$ | $+17$ |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | 1,057,737, | -47 | + 58 |
| Bank debite (thousands) ............ ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 146,202 | + | + 12 |
| Ěnd-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger .$. | 100,688 | - | + |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover...... | 17.4 | + | + |
| Employment (area) | 57,000 | - | + |
| Manafecturing employment (area) , | 4,140 | ** | + 51 |
| Percent unemployed (area) | 3.3 | -18 | ** |
| Nonagricultural placements | 775 | +26 |  |
| MDDIOTHIAN (pop. 1,521) |  |  |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 10,690 | - 56 | $+76$ |
| Bank debits (thousands) | 1,105 | - | $+17$ |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger .$. \$ | 1,754 |  | $+20$ |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 7.5 |  |  |
| MINERAL WELLS (pop. 11,053) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts**.................8 | 19,243 | $+39$ | + 36 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 35,480 | -68 | - 65 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............ ${ }^{\text {S }}$ | 12,829 | + 6 | $+30$ |
| End-of-month deposits (thonsands) $\ddagger .$. \$ | 12,328 | $+3$ | $+14$ |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 12.7 | +18 | + 13 |
| Nonagriculturai placements | 129 | + 54 | +24 |
| MISSION (pop. 14,081) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* . .................. | 8,974 | - 4 | + 22 |
| Eailding Dermits, less federal contracts \$ | 48,345 | + 17 | + 35 |
| Eank debits (thousands) ............. | 11,207 | $+$ | + 27 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. ${ }_{\text {\% }}$ | 8,245 |  | - 1 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 15.8 |  | +22 |
| MONAHANS (pop. 8,567) |  |  |  |
|  | 10.785 | + 20 | + 32 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 58,950 |  | + 15 |
| Bank debits (thousands) . . . . . . . . . . | 10,258. |  | * |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | 7,536 | + | - 13 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 16.5 |  | +19 |
| MUENGTER (pop. 1,190) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* . ................... ${ }^{\text {\% }}$ | 1,185 | - 21 | + 9 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 1,000 |  | -91 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............ \$ | 2,249 |  | + 12 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger .$. | 2,169 | ** | + 10 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 12.4 |  |  |
| NACOGDOCHES (pop. 12,674) |  |  |  |
| Retail sales |  |  |  |
| Adparel stores | $+4 \dagger$ | + 3 |  |
| Postal receipts* . ................... 8 | 17,203 | + 9 | + 80 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 43,480 | - 67 | - 38. |
| Bank debits (thousands) . . . . . . . . . . | 19,552 |  | + 25 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger .$. | 18,177 |  | $+18$ |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 12.8 | - 8 | + 7 |
| Nonagricultural placements | 94 | $-15$ |  |


| Local Business Conditions City and item | $\underset{1963}{\mathrm{Apr}_{2}}$ | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Apr 1963 <br> from Mar 1968 | Apr 1968 from Apr 1062 |
| NEDERLAND (pop. 12,036) |  |  |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 140,201 | $-27$ | - 85 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............. \$ | 6,162 | + 25 | $+18$ |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger .$. \$ | 4,194 | $+$ | $+$ |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 17.8 | $+21$ | + 12 |
| NEW BRAUNFELS (pop. 15,631) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . $\$$ | 21,758 | $+10$ |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 133,825 | - ${ }^{4}$ | +183 |
| Bank debits (thousands) .............\$ | 12,765 | - | +18 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | 12,297 |  |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 12.4 |  | 16 |

NORTH RICHLAND HILLS (pop. 8,662)

| Building permits, less federal contracts $\$ 8$ | 850,960 | +89 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Benk debits (thousands)........... | 2,921 | +20 |
| End-of-ranth deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . \ldots$ | 1,610 | +8 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. .... | 22.6 | +10 |

ODESSA (pop. 80,338)

| -Retail sales |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Furniture and household appliance stores | ** $\dagger$ | $+3$ | 9 |
| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . \$ | 75,458 | -21 | +6 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 427;312 | --39 | - 48 |
| Bank debits (thousands).............. $\$$ | 78,296 | $+4$ | $+3$ |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. \$ | 75,951 | + 3 | $+13$ |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 12.5 |  | 7 |
| - Employment (area) | 57,000 | - 1 | $+4$ |
| Manufacturing employment (area). | 4,140 | ** | $+51$ |
| Percent unemployed (area) | 3.8 | - 18 |  |
| Nonagricultural placementa | 539 | + 5 | --23 |

## ORANGE (pop. 25,605)

| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . \% | 26,627 | 3 | + 14 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 415,345 | $+491$ | + 26 |
| Bank debita (thousands) . . . . . . . . . . . \% | 28,519 | + 5 | $+$ |
| Eind-of-month deposits (thousands) \%. \$ | 26,058 | $+3$ | + 15 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 18.4 | + 2 | - 6 |
| Employment (area) | 106.800 | ** | ** |
| Manufacturing employment (area). | 31,850 | ** | + 2 |
| Percent unemployed (area) | 7.5 | - 1 | $+15$ |
| Nonagricultural placements | 201 | + 31 |  |

## PALESTINE (pop. 13,974)

Postal receipts* ............................ 13,476 Building permits, less federal contracts \$ 142,300 Bank debits (thousands) . . . . . . . . . . . . . $\$ 10,830$ End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. . \$ 15,427 Annual rate of deposit turnover.

| +6 | +11 |
| :--- | :--- |
| +31 | -1 |
| +8 | +3 |
| +8 | +7 |
| + | -5 |

## PAMPA (pop. 24,664)

| Retail sales | 24 | - 6 | $+17$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Automotive stores | $+7 \dagger$ | $-15$ | + 45 |
| Eating and drinking places. | - $1+$ | + 4 | $+2$ |
| Food stores |  | 6 | -12 |
| Lumber, building material, and hardware stores.... | $+3 \dagger$ | $+22$ | $+21$ |
| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . \% | 24,811 | 14 |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts' \$ | 105,750 |  |  |
| Bank debits (thousands)............. ${ }^{8}$ | 26.296 | + 6 |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . . \$$ | 22,271 | - 4 |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 13.9 | $+12$ | ** |
| Nonagricaltural placements | 190 | + 88 | -12 |



| Local Business Conditions |  | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| City and item | ${ }_{1863}^{\mathrm{A} p}$ | Apr 1968 <br> Mar 1968 | Apr 1968 Apr 1962 |
| SAN ANTONIO (pop. 587,718) |  |  |  |
| Retail sales ......................... |  | - |  |
| Adparel stores | ** | +20 |  |
| Automotive stores | - 17\% |  | $+19$ |
| Drag stores | - ${ }^{\text {4 }}$ | - | - |
| Eating and drinking places | - $1 \dagger$ | - 3 |  |
| Food stares | - $2 \dagger$ | $\cdots$ |  |
| Furniture and household |  |  |  |
| Gasoline and service stations | - ${ }^{5}$ | + 11 | $+20$ |
| Gentral merchandise stores. | - $8^{\text {\% }}$ | $+$ | +2 |
| Jewelry stores |  |  |  |
| Lumber, building material, and hardware stores... | - $4 \uparrow$ |  |  |
| Nurseries |  | - 28 | $-15$ |
| Stationery stores |  | - |  |
| Postal receipta* | 842,574 | - | + |
| Building permita, less federal contracts | 3,469,948 | -39 | -82 |
| Bank debits (thousands). | 782,655 | $+10$ | $+15$ |
| $\checkmark$ End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. . | 423,597 | $+$ |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 22.3 | $+$ | $+10$ |
| Employment (area) | 210,500 | $+$ | $+$ |
| Manufacturing employment (area). | 25,025 | ** |  |
| Percent unemployed (area) | б. 0 |  | + 11 |
| SEAGOVILLE (pop. 3,745)* |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* ....................\$ | 3,775 |  | + 85 |
| Building permits, fess federal contracts \$ | 28,767 | $-35$ | + |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............ $\%$ | 2,760 | $+16$ | + 42 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. \$ | 1.625 | $+$ | + 10 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 20.8 |  | $+26$ |
| SEGUIN (pop. 14,299) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* ${ }^{\text {a }}$. . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 10,362 | - 14 |  |
| Building dermits, less federal contracta \$ | 98,267 | + 14 | $+69$ |
| Bank debits (thousands)............s | 10,872 | - |  |
| End-ot-month deposits (thousands) $\%$. . | 15,063 | ** |  |
| Annual rate of deposit tarnover | 8.7 |  |  |
| SHERMAN (pop. 24,988) |  |  |  |
| Retait sales |  |  |  |
| Apparel stores | $+{ }^{\dagger} \dagger$ | + 44 | - 3 |
| Automotive stores | + $7 \uparrow$ | + 3 | - 12 |
| Furnitare and bousehold appliance stores |  |  |  |
| General merchandise stores. |  | $+14$ | +17 |
| General merchandise stores Lumber, building materiel. | + $2 \dagger$ | + 11 |  |
| and hardware stores. | + ${ }^{\text {¢ }}$ | ** |  |
| Postal receipts* ................... \$ | 42,860 | +24 | + 47 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \% | 452,980 | + 68 | + 64 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............ | 27,963 |  | +10 |
| End-ot-month deposita (thousands) $\ddagger$. \$ | 19,396 | ** |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 17.3 | - 6 | $+$ |
| Nonagricultural plavements | 204 | $+47$ | +28 |
| SILSBEE (pop. 6,277) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* .................... | 8,185 | + 1 |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 67,540 | $+31$ |  |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............ | 4.596 | + 8 | $+16$ |
| End-of-month deposits (thoussinds) $\ddagger$. \$ | 5,587 | - 1 |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turno | 9.8 |  | + 11 |
| SINTON (pop. 6,008) |  |  |  |
|  | 6,772 | $+27$ |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracta \$ | 7,050 | -93 | - 56 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............ ${ }^{\text {8 }}$ | 4,173 | + 11 |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger .$. \$ | 4.671 |  |  |
| Annuial rate of deposit turnover...... | 10.5 | + 17 | ** |
| SMITHVILLE (pop. 2,933) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts** .................. \% | 2,381 |  | + 80 |
| Building permits, less federat contracts \$ | 1,500 | -96 | -92 |
| Bank debits (thousands) .............s | 1,218 |  | +14 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) \% \$ | 2,994 |  |  |
| Annual tate of deposit turnover | 6.2 |  | + 11 |


| Local Business Conditions <br> City and item | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{A}_{96} \mathrm{r} \end{aligned}$ | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Apr 1963 <br> from <br>  Mar 1963 | Apr 1968 Apr 1962 |
| SLATON (pop. 6,568) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ${ }^{\text {s }}$ | 4,242 | $+$ | - |
| Building permits, less federal contracta \$ | 74,600 | +546 | + 68 |
| Bank debits (thousands)............ \$ | 4,023 |  | $+17$ |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | 4,781 |  | + 11 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover... | 10.1 | $+$ | $+$ |
| Employment (area) .......... | 54,500 | $+$ | $+$ |
| Manufacturing emplayment (area). | 6,050 | ** |  |
| Percent unemployed (area)....... | 3.8 | - 6 | $+10$ |

## SNYDER (pop. 13,850)

| Postal receipts | 12,911 | - 10 | $+17$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 19,700 | - 78 | -62 |
| Bank debits (thousande) | 11,611 | - 20 | -21 |
| End-of-month deposits (thourands) \%. . \$ | 17,474 | 2 | $+3$ |
| Annual rate of deposit turnove | 7.9 | -. 18 | - 23 |


| SOUTH HOUSTON (pop. 7,253) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 142,572 | $+81$ | $+158$ |
| Bank debits (thousands)............. | 6.117 | + 8 | +22 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . . \$$ | 4,429 | $+10$ | + 38 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 14.5 | ** | 4 |
| SULPHUR SPRINGS (pop. 9,160) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipta* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . \% | 11,300 | - 17 | + 41 |
| Building permits, leas federal contracts \$ | 145,950 | -48 | $+84$ |
| Bank debita (thousands)............. ${ }^{\text {S }}$ | 12,997 | + 5 | + 22 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . . \$$ | 12,684 |  | + 1 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 12.3 |  | + 23 |
| SWEETW ATPR (pop. 13,914) |  |  |  |
| Postal recetpts* . .................... $\$$ | 11,709 | - 14 | - 5 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 90,900 | -47 | $-27$ |
| Bank debits (thousands)............. \$ | 11,080 | ** |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. . | 10,023 | - 2 |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 18.1 | $+2$ | + 4 |
| Nenagricultaral placements | 93 | $+11$ | - 38 |

TAYLOR (pop. 9,434)

| Retall satea |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Automotive stores | + 7i | + 44 | $+22$ |
| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . $\$$ | 9,407 | + 6 | + 26 |
| Building permits, Iess federal contracts \$ | 28.695 | - 30 | -46 |
| Bank debits (thousands)............. | 7,919 | $+9$ | +13 |
| Enc-ol-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. $\$$ | 13,483 | - 3 | + 7 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 6.9 | + 10 | + 3 |
| Nonagricultaral placements | 24 | -38 | -64 |

## TEMPLE (pop. 30,419)

| Retail sales | - 27 | $+7$ | $+10$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Apparel stores | + $4 \dagger$ | +25 | 2 |
| Furniture and household appliance stores | ** ${ }_{\text {d }}$ | + 25 | $+40$ |
| Lumber, building material, and hardware stores. | + 87 | $+19$ |  |
| Postal receipta* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 46,278 | + 13 | $+23$ |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 417,130 | $-25$ | + 24 |
| Bank debits (thousands)............. \$ | 27,679 | - 5 | $+9$ |
| Nonagricultaraj placementa | 266 | $+61$ | ** |


| TERRELL (pop. 13,803) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . $\$$ | 12,050 | $+40$ | $+76$ |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 112,644 | + 2 | - 65 |
| Bank debits (thousands)............. | 8,597 | + 3 | $+20$ |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) \& . \% | 8,502 | + 1 | $+15$ |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 12.2 | + 3 | + 5 |
| Nonagriculturaf placementa | 76 | + 74 | - 18 |

## TOMBALL (pop. 1,713)

Bullding permits, \{ess federal contracts \$ 11,000 $-89 \quad-65$
Bank debits (thousands)...............
$\begin{array}{llrrr}\text { End-of-month deposits }(\text { thousands }) \ddagger . \$ & 5,298 & -15 & -10 \\ \text { Annual rate of deposit turmover. . . . } & 18.6 & +48 & +27\end{array}$

| Local Business Conditions |  | Percent change <br>  <br> Clty and item |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |

TEXARKANA, TEX. (pop. 30,218)

|  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Automotive s | + 7 $\dagger$ |  | 36 |
| Furniture and housebold |  |  |  |
| appliance stores | **才 |  | $+12$ |
| Postal receipts*! . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ${ }^{\text {\% }}$ | 59,681 |  | $+15$ |
| Building permita, less federal |  |  |  |
| Bank debits (thousands) . . . . . . . . . . . | 68,385 | $+17$ | + 31 |
|  | 18,169 |  |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnoverg.... | 19.3 |  |  |
| Employment (area) | 31,700 | ** |  |
| Manufacturing employment (area). | 6,520 | ** |  |
| Percent unemployed (area) | 5.9 | - 5 |  |

## TEXAS CITY (pep. 32,065)

| Postal receipts* | 24,225 | + 6 | $+19$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \% | 282,836 | -70 | 8 |
| Bank debits (thousands)............. \$ | 26,060 | $+18$ | + 6 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . . \$$ | 15,154 | $+7$ | $-11$ |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 21.3 | + 16 | +21 |
| Employment (area) | 58,500 | + 1 | + 1 |
| Manufacturing employment (area). | 10,510 | $+$ |  |
| Percent unemployed (area). | 6.4 | - 9 |  |

## TYLER (pop. 51,230)

| Retail males | $-2 \dagger$ | + 14 | $+13$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Apparel stores | + 47 | + 6 | 6 |
| Automotive stores | + $7 \dagger$ | $+12$ | $+80$ |
| Postal receipts . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . $\%$ | 116,422 | + 2 | $+11$ |
| Building permits, leas federal contracts \$ | 957,120 | $+14$ | -82 |
| Bank debits (thousands)............ \$ | -104,569 | +6 | $+9$ |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $7 .$. \$ | 67,262 | - 3 |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 18.4 | $+8$ | +2 |
| Employment (ares) | 31,600 | $+$ |  |
| Manafacturing employment (area). | 7.650 | $+2$ |  |
| Percent unemployed (area) | 4.2 | - 11 |  |
| Nonagricultural placements | 812 |  |  |
| UVALDE (pop. 10,293) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipta* .................... ${ }^{\text {* }}$ | 9,381 | $+13$ | $+20$ |
| Building permita, less federal contracts \$ | 65,658 | -69 | $+137$ |
| Bank debits (thousands)............. ${ }^{\text {s }}$ | 15,080 | + 34 | +26 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousanda) $\ddagger$. | 9,143 | $+8$ | $+8$ |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover...... | 20.1 | $+31$ | $+19$ |

## VERNON (pop. 12,141)

| Postal recelpts* . ................... | 14,922 | +82 | $+44$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permita, less federal contracts | 54,800 | -35 | - 50 |
| Bank debits (thousands).............. ${ }^{\text {\% }}$ | 14,749 | $+8$ | $+6$ |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) i. \$ | 18,797 |  | + 1 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 9.4 | +9 | + 7 |
| Nonagricultural placements | 90 | +137 | + 53 |

VICTORIA (pop. 33,047)

| Retail sales | $2 \dagger$ | - 4 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Automotive stores | $+7 \dagger$ | -12 |  |
| Food stores | $4{ }^{\text {¢ }}$ | 5 | - 3 |
| Furniture and household appliance stores | ** $\ddagger$ | $+19$ | $+28$ |
| Lamber, building material, and hardware stares. | $+3{ }^{4}$ | +26 | 7 |
| Postal receipts* .................... | 38,518 | 1 | $+11$ |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 549,251 | - 35 | +133 |
| Bank debits (thousands)............. | 62,984 | 2 | $+$ |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger .$. | 81,897 | $+$ |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 9.4 | 4 |  |
| Nonagricultural placements | 589 | $+31$ | -5 |
| WEATHERFORD (pop. 9,759) |  |  |  |
| Postal recelpts* ..................... ${ }^{\text {\% }}$ | 12,221 | $+12$ | +20 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 77,165 | +127 |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . . \$$ | 13,774 | +. 1 | -. 4 |


| Local Business Conditions |  | Percent change <br>  <br> City and item |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |

## WACO (pop. 103,462r)

| Retail sales | $-2 \dagger$ | + 2 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Apparel stores | + $\mathbf{4}^{\dagger}$ | + 22 |  |
| General merchandise stores | + $2 \uparrow$ |  |  |
| Postal recelpts* . ................... ${ }^{\text {\% }}$ | 197,860 | $+7$ | $+21$ |
| Suilding permits, less federal contracts \$ | 1,297.208 | $+51$ | - 21 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............. \% | 123.017 | 2 |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. $\$$ | 70,510 |  |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 20.8 |  |  |
| Employment (area) | 51,000 | $+1$ | +5 |
| Manufacturing employment (area). | 10,280 | ** | ** |
| Percent unemployed (area) | 4.8 |  |  |

## WAXAHACHIE (pep. 12,749)

|  | 33,602 | $+9$ | $+14$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits, less federal contracta \$ | 88,295 | +175 | $-10$ |
| Bank debits (thousands)............. \$ | 10,584 | + 7 | + 20 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . . \$$ | 9,887 | 4 | $+13$ |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 12.6 | $+6$ | $+13$ |
| Nonagricultural placements | 85 | + 9 | $-35$ |

## WESLACO (pop. 15;649)

| Postal recelpts* . .................. ${ }^{\text {\& }}$ | 10,600 | + | 7 | +19 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits, leas federal contracts \$ | 62,880 | - | 2. | $-75$ |
| Brnk debits (thousands)............. $\%$ | 7,696 | $+$ | 1 | $+5$ |
| End-ot-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. \$ | 7,383 | + | 1 | ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover...... | 12.6 | $+$ | 2 | $+6$ |

## WICIITA FALLS (pop. 101,724)

| Retail sales | - $2 \dagger$ | - 5 | $+10$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Apparel stores | + 4* | + 22 | +18 |
| Automotive stotes | + 7t | - 18 | + 9 |
| Furniture and household appliance stores ..... | ** $\dagger$ | 5 | +12 |
| General merchandise stores. | + 2才 | $+26$ | $+16$ |
| Lumber, building material, and hardware stores. | $+8{ }^{+}$ | + 36 | -10 |
| Postal receipts . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . \$ | 145,677 | +17 | + 23 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 722,029 | - 43 | $-18$ |
| Bank debite (thousands) . . . . . . . . . . . \$ | 125,868 |  | + 2 |
| End-of-month deposits (thoustands) $\uparrow$. . \$ | 100,773 |  | + 4 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover...... | 15.1 | $+6$ | $-2$ |
| Employment (ares) | 46,350 |  | * |
| Manufactaring employment (area). | 4,000 | + 2 | $+2$ |
| Percent unemployed (area) | 4.0 | - 17 |  |

## LOWER RIO GRANDE VALLEY (pop. 352,086)

## (Cameron, Willacy, and Hidalgo Counties)

| Retail sales | $-2 \dagger$ | + 2 | $+11$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Apparel stores | + $4 \dagger$ | $+15$ | + 4 |
| Automotive stores | + $7 \dagger$ | + 8 | + 19 |
| Drug stores | $8 \dagger$ |  | + 8 |
| Food stores | - $4 \dagger$ | 6. | * |
| Furniture and hoasehold appliance stores | *** | + 32 | $+17$ |
| Gasoline and service stations. | - $1 \uparrow$ | ** | 2 |
| General merchandise stores. | + $2 \dagger$ | $+12$ | $-20$ |
| Jewelry stores .................... | $\ldots$ | $+4$ | 2 |
| Lamber, building material, and hardware stores | + 9 ${ }^{\text {f }}$ |  | $+26$ |
| Office, store, and sehool supply dealers | $\ldots{ }^{*}$ | - 28 | - 11 |
| Postal recetpta* ..................... | $\cdots$ | - 5 | + 17 |
| Building permlts, less federal contracts | $\cdots$ | -14 | -28 |
| Bank debits (thousands).............. | $\ldots$ | ** | + 12 |
| End-os-month deposit ( (housands) $\ddagger$. | ... | $\pm 1$ |  |
| al rate of deposit turnov | 16,2 |  | $+14$ |

## BAROMETERS OF TEXAS BUSINESS

All figures are for Texas unless otherwise indicated. All indexes are based on the average months for 1957-59, except where indicated; all are adjusted for seasonal variation, except annual indexes. Employment estimates are Texas Employment Commission data in cooperation with the Bureau of Labor Statistics of the U.S. Department of Labor. The index of Texas business activity is based on bank debits in 20 cities, adjusted for price level. An asterisk ( ${ }^{\circ}$ ) indicates preliminary data subject to revision. Revised data are marked (r).

|  | $\underset{1963}{\text { Apr. }}$ |  | $\underset{1963}{\text { Mar }}$ |  | $\underset{1962}{\text { Apr. }}$ |  | Year-to-date average |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | 1963 |  |  |  | 1962 |
| GENERAL BUSINESS ACTIVITY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Texas business activity, index |  | 139.2 |  |  |  | 123.7 |  | 128.2 |  | 133.5 |  | 130.0 |
| Miscellaneous freight carloadings in SW District, index |  | 79.3 |  | 77.5 |  | 78.4 |  | 76.5 |  | 76.6 |
| Ordinary life insurance sales, index ................. |  | 129.6 |  | 118.0 |  | 103.8 |  | 124.1 |  | 104.9 |
| Wholesale prices in U. S., unadjusted index |  | 99.8 |  | 99.0 |  | 100.4 |  | 100.1 |  | 100.7 |
| Consumers' prices in U. S., unadjusted index |  | 106.2 |  | 106.2 |  | 105.2 |  | 106.1 |  | 104.9 |
| Income payments to individuals in U. S. (billions, at seasonally adjusted annual rate) | \$ | 455.8* | \$ | 453.2 r | \$ | 438.3 |  | 453.1 | \$ | 433.6 |
| Business failures (number) ......................................... |  | 41 |  | 51 |  | 44 |  | 50 |  | 36 |
| Newspaper linage, index... |  | 101.4 |  | 105.6 |  | 102.5 |  | 105.5 |  | 102.8 |
| TRADE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total retail sales, index, 1957-59=100 |  | 113.2* |  | 115.5 r |  | 106.8r |  |  |  |  |
| Durable-goods sales, index, $1957-59=100$ |  | 119.0** |  | 126.9r |  | 105.3r |  |  |  |  |
| Nondurable-goods sales, index, 1957-59=100 |  | 110.2* |  | 109.6 r |  | 107.0r |  |  |  |  |
| Ratio of credit sales to net sales in department and apparel stores.... |  | 77.0** |  | $76.0^{\circ}$ |  | 73.6 r |  | 71.3 |  | 70.6 |
| Ratio of collections to outstandings in department and apparel stores. . |  | 38.2* |  | $38.0{ }^{*}$ |  | 36.7 r |  | 39.1 |  | 39.6 |
| PRODUCTION |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total electric power consumption, index..... |  | 147.7* |  | 134.6 |  | 130.0 |  | 138.8 |  | 128.1 |
| Industrial electric power consumption, index |  | 137.6* |  | 125.7 |  | 128.1 |  | 129.5 |  | 120.7 |
| Crude oil production, index................ |  | 92.8 |  | 91.8 r |  | 90.9 r |  | 91.2 |  | 91.1 |
| Crude oil runs to stills, index |  | 110.1 |  | 108.5 |  | 107.7 |  | 110.8 |  | 106.1 |
| Industrial production in U. S., index |  | 122.4** |  | 120.6 r |  | 117.7 |  | 120.4 |  | 116.3 |
| Texas industrial production-total index |  | 115 |  | 113 |  | 112 r |  | 114 |  | 110 |
| Texas industrial production-manufacturing index |  | 130 |  | 129 |  | 124 |  | 129 |  | 122 |
| Texas industrial production-durable goods, index |  | 123 |  | 122 |  | 119 r |  | 122 |  | 116 |
| Texas industrial production-nondurable goods, index |  | 135 |  | 134 |  | 128 r |  | 134 |  | 126 |
| Texas mineral production, index. |  | 96 |  | 93 r |  | 95 |  | 95 |  | 95 |
| Average daily production per oil well |  | 12.5 |  | 12.5 |  | 12.6 |  | 12.5 |  | 12.8 |
| Construction authorized, index, 1957-59 $=100$ |  | 123.9 |  | 137.1 |  | 113.7 |  | 130.3 |  | 120.7 |
| Residential building, 1957-59 $=100 \ldots$ |  | 116.7 |  | 122.7 |  | 120.1 |  | 116.4 |  |  |
| Nonresidential building, 1957-59 $=100$ |  |  |  | 152.4 |  | 99.9 |  | 149.6 |  | 135.6 |
| AGRICULTURE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prices received by farmers, unadjusted index, 1910-14=100. |  | 265 |  | 266 |  | 260 |  | 265 |  | 259 |
| Prices paid by farmers in U. S., unadjusted index, 1910-14=100 ..... |  | 311 |  | 310 |  | 307 |  | 311 |  | 305 |
| Ratio of Texas farm prices received to U. S. prices paid by farmers.. |  | 85 |  | 86 |  | 85 |  | 85 |  | 85 |
| FINANCE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bank debits, index. | \$ | 138.9 |  | 123.6 |  | 128.7 |  | 133.7 |  | 130.8 |
| Bank debits, U. S., index | \$ | 148.5 | \$ | 146.2 r |  | 138.9 | \$ | 147.0 |  | 134.0 |
| Reporting member banks, Dallas Reserve District: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Loans (millions) | \$ | 3,578 |  | 3,557 |  | 3,298 |  | 3,539 |  | 3,276 |
| Loans and investments (millions) | \$ | 5,757 |  | 5,693 |  | 5,343 |  | 5,689 |  | 5,290 |
| Adjusted demand deposits ( millions) |  | 2,854 |  | 2,865 |  | 2,829 |  | 2,892 |  | 2,887 |
| Revenue receipts of the State Comptroller (thousands) |  | 152,624 |  | 121,477 |  | 143,659 |  | 129,874 |  | 125,131 |
| Federal internal revenue receipts (thousands) ......... |  | 426,168 |  | 211,970 |  | 389,286 |  | 369,261 |  | 348,795 |
| LABOR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total nonagricultural employment (thousands) |  | 2,686.7* |  | 2,656.4r |  | 2,623.6r |  | 2,652.5 |  | 2,592.1 |
| Total manufacturing employment (thousands) |  | 504.5* |  | 502.2 r |  | 501.3r |  | 501.3 |  | 498.0 |
| Durable-goods employment (thousands) . |  | 248.0* |  | 245.3 r |  | 241.6 r |  | 244.9 |  | 239.4 |
| Nondurable-goods employment (thousands) |  | 256.5 * |  | 256.9 r |  | 259.7 r |  | 256.4 |  | 258.6 |
| Total nonagricultural labor force in 18 labor market areas (thousands) |  | 2,422.0 |  | 2,411.0 |  | 2,325.0 |  | 2,411.2 |  | 2,323.7 |
| Employment in 18 labor market areas (thousands) Manufacturing employment in 18 labor market areas |  | 2,255.6 |  | 2,239.3 |  | 2,166.3 |  | 2,234.0 |  | 2,153.4 |
|  |  | 401.5 |  | 398.8 |  | 393.7 |  | 398.0 |  | 391.9 |
| Total unemployment in 18 labor market areas (thousands) ... . . |  | 104.1 |  | 115.4 |  | 96.8 |  | 119.2 |  | 110.1 |
| Percent of labor force unemployed in 18 labor market areas. . |  | 4.3 |  | 4.8 |  | 4.2 |  | 5.0 |  | 4.7 |
| Average weekly hours-manufacturing, index....................... |  | 101.3** |  | 100.7 |  | 102.0 |  | 100.5 |  | 100.3 |
| Average weekly earnings-manufacturing, index. |  | 112.8* |  | 111.1 |  | 112.7 |  | 111.1 |  | 110.7 |

## SIMULATION TRAINING FOR SMALL BUSINESS

## EXECUTIVES: COMPUTERIZED MODEL

During the past several years the business game (or business simulation) has become increasingly popular as a training device. The Bureau of Business Research has developed a business game based on the problems met in the operation of a small manufacturing concern. The players receive detailed information about a simulated firm and its economic environment and, as executives of the fictional company, make a series of business decisions. These decisions are fed into an electronic data processing computer which produces reports showing the effects of the decisions on the business. These reports are used in making further decisions, and the players are thus able to simulate several years of decision making and to see the results of their decisions.

This report was prepared by the Bureau of Business Research under the Small Business Management Research Grant Program of the SBA. Published in two volumes. Price $\$ 1.50$ each. Schools or organizations planning to adopt the game for instructional purposes should write for special pricing arrangements.

ORDER YOUR COPIES FROM

## BUREAU OF BUSINESS RESEARCH THE UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS

(Texas residents add $2 \%$ sales tax)



[^0]:    Published monthly by the Bureau of Business Research, College of Business Administration, The University of Texas, Austin 12. Second-class postage paid at Austin, Texas. Content of this publication is not copyrighted and may be reproduced freely. Acknowledgment of source will be appreciated. Subscription, $\$ 8.00$ a year; individual copies, 25 cents.

[^1]:    *Urban place by Census definition.
    Source: I950 and 1960 U. S. Census of Population.

[^2]:    **Change is less than one-half of $1 \%$.

