## TEXAS BUSINESS REVIEW

## A Monthly Summary of the Business and the Economic Conditions in Texas

 BUREAU OF BUSINESS RESEARCH: THE UNIVERSITY OF TEXASbusiness aircraft in texas by Tyree Hardy / the business situation in texas by Francis B. May / october retail trade in texas by Robert M. Lockwood / local business conditions

## TEXAS BUSINESS REVIEW vol. xxxvit, no. 12 december 1963

Editor: Stanley A. Arbingast / Associate Editor: Francis B. May / Managing Editor: James J. Kelly Editorial Board: Stanley A. Arbingast, Chairman, John R. Stockton, Francis B. May, Robert H. Ryan, James J. Kelly

CONTENTS
277: the business situation in texas by Francis B May
279: business aircraft in texas by Tyree Hardy
284: OCTOBER RETAIL TRADE IN TEXAS by Robert M. Lockwood
285: OCTOBER CONStruction in texas by James J. Kelly texas business review, index for vol. xxxvil, 1963 LOCAL BUSINESS CONDITIONS

## business research council

John Arch White, Dean of the College of Business Administration (ex officio) ; John R. Stockton; Jim G. Ashburne, Jessamon Dawe, James R. Kay, Stephen L. McDonald, E. W. Mumma, and W. T. Tucker

## COOPERATING FACULTY

Charles T. Clark: Associate Professor of Business Statistics
Robert H. Ryan: Special Instructor in Business Communications
L. L. Schkade: Assistant Professor of Business Statistics

The Bureau of Business Research is a member of the Associated University Bureaus of Business and Economic Research.
bureau of business research
Director: John R. Stockton
Associate Director and Resources Specialist: Stanley A. Arbingast
Assistant to the Director: Florence Escott
Statistician: Francis B. May
Administrative Assistant: Juanita Hammons
Research Associate: Charles O. Bettinger, Marie Fletcher, Louise K. Iscoe, James J. Kelly, Ida M. Lambeth, Robert M. Lockwood, Margaret Paulissen, Elizabeth R. Turpin, Robert B. Williamson
Research Assistant: Bobby G. Bizzell, Rick P. Fisher, Kenneth Goodrum, William W. White
Administrative Secretary: Margaret F. Smith
Senior Secretary: Diana Rausch
Senior Clerk Typist: Pauline M. Casey, Claire Howard, Carollin Mayes, Janet Redding
Cartographer: Judy Buerkle, Jo Ann Turk
Library Assistant: Merle Danz
Statistical Assistant: Mildred Anderson, Sara GarzaGongora
Statistical Technician: Elnora Mixson
Clerical Assistant: Elaine Brady, Robert Zani
Offset Press Operator: Robert Dorsett, Daniel P. Rosas


THE SEASONALLY ADJUSTED INDEX OF TEXAS BUSINESS activity rose $4 \%$ in October after faltering in September. At $139.9 \%$ of its $1957-59$ monthly average value, the index was $9 \%$ above October 1962. It was $2 \%$ below its alltime high of $142.7 \%$ reached in May of this year.

At the beginning of the current cyclical upswing in February 1961, the index of Texas business activity began a strong rise which lasted until May 1962, reaching a peak of $137.1 \%$. After May the rise seemed to lose vigor. Activity remained on a plateau during the remaining months of 1962 . The index began another rise in January of this year, reaching a peak again in May. Since that time it has appeared to be on a plateau, fluctuating in a range of values just below the peak. It may break out of this range of values before the year is over. In any event, the index is currently well above its 1962 levels.

Miscellaneous freight carloadings rose $11 \%$ in October after seasonal factors were taken into account. At $80.6 \%$ of 1957-59 average monthly carloadings, the index was $4 \%$ above October 1962. The October value of the index was the highest since November 1962.

A jam-up of railroad cars resulted in a November embargo on grain shipments to some Gulf and Eastern ports. This will inhibit the November rise in total carloadings in the United States. Miscellaneous carloadings are made up largely of manufactured goods. Automobile and other shipments of manufactured goods continued at a high level in November. Railroads ordered 8,533 new freight cars in October, almost twice as many as in October 1962.

Domestic oil production data collected by World Oil show that total United States crude output for the first three quarters amounted to 2.06 billion barrels, up $3.3 \%$ over the first nine months of 1962. Texas production for the first three quarters amounted to 726.6 million barrels, up $3.3 \%$ over the comparable 1962 period. Louisiana production was 392.3 million barrels for the first three quarters of this year, up $10.7 \%$ over the comparable 1962 period. Louisiana is now the second largest producing state.

The Railroad Commission has set the allowable for December at $28 \%$ of maximum permissible production, up slightly from the $27.5 \%$ rate for November. Texas

## TEXAS BUSINESS ACTIVITY

Index-Adjusted for seasonal variation-1957-1959=100

production for all of 1963 is estimated to be 918.5 million barrels of crude, up about $3 \%$ over 1962. This is a welcome increase.

Crude oil runs to stills rose $8 \%$ in October after seasonal adjustment. At $115.8 \%$ of average monthly runs during the 1957-59 base period, the index was $4 \%$ above October of last year. The October value of the index was an all-time high.

Gasoline demand for the first ten months of the year was up $4.9 \%$ over the comparable 1962 period, according to data compiled by the petroleum department of the Chase Manhattan Bank. Kerosine demand was up $6.2 \%$. Distillate fuel oil demand was up $3.6 \%$. Total demand at 10.6 million barrels a day was up $3.9 \%$ for the first ten months of the year.

SELECTED BAROMETERS OF TEXAS BUSINESS
( $1957-59=100$ )


On the supply side, crude oil production was up $3.3 \%$, as mentioned above. Natural-gas liquids production for the first ten months was up $8.3 \%$, averaging $1,090,000$ barrels a day. Crude imports were down $0.3 \%$ to an average of $1,137,000$ barrels a day. Refined products imports were up $5.4 \%$ to an average of 968,000 barrels a day. This means that total imports of crude and refined products averaged $2,105,000$ barrels a day, or $19.6 \%$ of total new supply for the first 10 months of 1963 . This was a $2.3 \%$ increase in total imports for the first 10 months of 1963 over the comparable 1962 period.

Production of crude oil in 1964 is expected to rise $1.5 \%$ according to a forecast by the Independent Petroleum Association of America. Demand for refined products is expected to rise $2.6 \%$.

The seasonally adjusted index of total electric power consumption fell $7 \%$ in October. At $147.9 \%$ of average monthly consumption in 1957-59, the index was $5 \%$ above October 1962. The index of industrial electric power consumption fell only $2 \%$, indicating that a sharp drop in
domestic and commercial power consumed caused the $7 \%$ drop in total power consumed. Industrial power consumption in October was $8 \%$ above October 1962.

For the first ten months of 1963, total electric power consumption averaged $9.3 \%$ above the comparable 1962 period. Industrial power consumption averaged $7.7 \%$ above the first 10 months of last year. The electric power industry is one of our most vital growth industries.

Seasonally adjusted sales of ordinary life insurance rose $20 \%$ in October to a value of $165.0 \%$ of the 1957-59 average. At this level sales were $27 \%$ above October 1962. The October value was an all-time high for the index. At the end of 1962 there was a total of $\$ 389.2$ billion of ordinary life insurance in force in the United States. Of this total $\$ 19.5$ billion, or $5 \%$, was in force in

## BUSINESS ACTIVITY INDEX

( $1957-59=100$ )

| City | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Oct } \\ & 1963 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sep } \\ & 1963 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Oct } \\ 1962 \end{gathered}$ | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Oct } 1963 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Sep } 1963 \end{aligned}$ | Oct 1963 from Oct 1962 |
| Abilcne | 133.4 | 118.4 | 125.2 | $+13$ |  |
| Amarillo | 135.4 | 124.6 | 124.0 | + 9 | + 9 |
| Austin | 152.1 | 149.3 | 143.5 | + 2 | + 6 |
| Beaumont | 182.3 | 134.2 | 118.3 | -1 | $+12$ |
| Corpus Christi | . 122.7 | 109.6 | 106.0 | $+12$ | $+16$ |
| Corsicana | 108.3 | 114.8 | 100.1 | 6 | + 8 |
| Dallas | .154.7 | 145.2 | 139.5 | + 7 | + 11 |
| El Paso | 114.1 | 116.8 | 113.6 | - 2 | ** |
| Fort Worth | . 124.9 | 112.5 | 116.2 | $+11$ | + 7 |
| Galveston | 126.0 | 108.3 | 101.2 | $+16$ | + 25 |
| Houston | . 148.7 | 137.6 | 137.1 | + 8 | + 8 |
| Laredo | . 150.2 | 186.1 | 187.3 | $+10$ | + 9 |
| Lubbock | .137.5 | 184.8 | 116.2 | + 2 | +18 |
| Port Arthur | 95.9 | 96.8 | 94.3 | $-1$ | + 2 |
| San Angelo | 122.1 | 111.4 | 113.2 | $+10$ | + 8 |
| San Antonio | . 141.4 | 131.9 | 131.6 | + 7 | + 7 |
| Texarkana | . 158.0 | 151.0 | 141.8 | + 5 | + 11 |
| Tyler | . 128.9 | 118.6 | 120.1 |  |  |
| Waco | 119.6 | 120.8 | 117.0 | -1 | + 2 |
| Wichita Falls | . 120.7 | 115.7 | 114.5 |  | + 5 |

Ajusted for seasonal variation.
${ }^{* *}$ Change is less than one-half of $1 \%$.
*Preliminary.

Texas. More than $\$ 342$ million in benefits of all kinds were paid in the state in 1962.

After a disappointing performance in September, total retail sales in Texas rose $14 \%$ in October. The rise was occasioned by a $43 \%$ rise in sales of durable goods powered by a big increase in automobile sales.

Average weekly earnings in manufacturing in Texas in October were $1 \%$ above September after seasonal adjustment and $3 \%$ above October 1962. At $114.4 \%$ of its 1957-59 monthly average, the index was at an all-time high.

Total nonagricultural employment in the state rose to $2,726,100$ in October, up $2.8 \%$ from October 1962. Gains were registered in both manufacturing and nonmanufacturing employment.

Seasonally adjusted indexes of business activity in twenty Texas cities showed gains in October for fifteen cities. Dallas, Houston, Fort Worth, and San Antonio all showed gains. These are the state's largest cities. All except El Paso showed gains over October 1962. El Paso showed no change.

# BUSINESS AIRCRAFT IN TEXAS 

by TYREE HARDY

of Corpus Christi, Former Graduate Student<br>The University of Texas

TEXAS RANKS HIGH ON THE LIST OF STATES WHEN A census of corporate aircraft is taken. California, which has a total of 11,203 aircraft owned by individuals and businesses, ranks first. Texas is second with 6,533 planes -more than twice as many as Florida, which ranks third with $3,052 .{ }^{1}$ This ranking is a consequence of many factors. Texas has many facets of its economic and geographic makeup that tend to encourage the use of personalized air transportation, but there are problems facing the industry which act as deterrents to the prospectively air-minded businessman.

Texas weather has long been a strong attraction for the aircraft industry and for military airbases, rivaled to some degree by California and Florida-both of which have active aircraft industries. There are relatively few days when the weather in Texas is so bad that only instrument flights are conducted. Certainly, the noninstru-ment-rated owner-pilot will seldom find two days in a row when he cannot travel under visual flight rules in his own plane.

Scheduled air service affects the use of business aircraft within a state. In Texas, scheduled air service leaves much to be desired, as any traveler knows. This is particularly true if a traveler lives in or has need to travel to such towns as Del Rio, Lufkin, Alpine-Marfa, or Pampa. Subsidized local service airlines, such as TransTexas, have yet to show a profit-even on their present routes. At one time Trans-Texas served such towns as Alpine-Marfa, Kerrville, and Brady, but traffic generated was inadequate to continue the service. Sparse, awkwardly scheduled air service has undoubtedly been a factor in sales of aircraft to progressive businessmen who have neither the time nor the patience to wait for such schedules.
The very size of Texas contributes to the difficulties of the airlines in scheduling and at the same time makes car travel too slow, despite a continuing highway improvement program. The ability to leave Corpus Christi, spend two hours in Terrell, move on to Fort Stockton, spend three hours, and be back in Corpus Christi by dark is feasible only with the use of aircraft.
The resources of Texas are widely scattered, often an inconvenience to businessmen with far-flung interests. Industry has developed near these resources, and it is as scattered as it is diversified. Some of the largest Texas plants are located in areas that probably will never enjoy scheduled air service. Company airports and company planes are the rule rather than the exception in these communities.

Facilities available to the flying public are an important constituent of quick and convenient travel. Almost

[^0]every community of any importance has an airport of some description. Aircraft utilization begins with the availability of airports from which to operate, and Texas is fortunate in having an active program of airport development and improvement.

In 1945, there were 375 airports in the state. According to the Texas Aeronautics Commission, by December 1962 , this number had reached 1,150 -of which 808 were open to the public. There were 438 fixed-base operators doing business on these airports. Landowners with private strips accounted for 342 of the total number of airstrips in the state.

During the past three years the Aeronautics Commission has received requests for aid from 215 cities and towns. Investigations have been made of 198 of these requests; 154 have received assistance in accomplishing improvements, and 38 new airport sites have been selected. This assistance from the Aeronautics Commission consisted of aid in site selection, appraisal of runway length and strength requirements, airport planning, and advice on organizing the program to accomplish these goals.

Seventy new airports were completed during the past two years, and 53 of the 70 have runways considered to be all-weather. The Federal Aviation Agency contributes to the construction of about 15 to 17 airports a year in Texas. Rigid requirements must be satisfied if the government participates in the cost of airport construction. Costs are often in excess of what the community can afford or needs in many instances. In cases such as these, the Texas Aeronautics Commission has been able to help the community organize its overall airport program and thus make more communities accessible to modern business aircraft. The Highland Lakes Area west of Austin is a case in point. Five years ago there were two airports near these lakes; there are now fourteen strung along the shores from Austin northwest to Lake Buchanan.

Texas is well on the way to developing an airport system of which the state can be proud. This progress is a significant factor in encouraging the purchase of planes by many businessmen.

Alongside many of the airstrips in the state, private enterprise has provided accommodations for planes and pilots. The new $\$ 350,000$ aircraft and airport services hangar at Greater Southwest Airport near Arlington, between Fort Worth and Dallas, is regarded as one of the nation's most outstanding and luxurious private aviation facilities. When the center is completed, it will even contain a swimming pool in which corporate and private pilots can relax between flights. This new installation is not typical, but it caycherregarded asdereporunner of things to come.

DALLAAS T TEKAS

Special purpose aircraft are those built to do a special task but not to serve as transportation. The business of aerial application of insecticides, seeds, and fluids in agriculture requires such specialized aircraft, and agriculture is one of the dynamic areas of demand for private planes. For years, executives of this segment of the aviation industry were forced to buy old military trainers and convert them for use in the application of chemical dusts or fluids. Eventually, Piper, one of the major manufacturers, modified one of the company's models to fit the needs of agriculturists. Now Piper has a special purpose aircraft designed for aerial application; sales of these planes account for over $25 \%$ of Piper's business in Texas.

Aerial application is common over the vast expanse of the state of Texas. Specialized aircraft are used for poisoning insects, defoliating cotton, fertilizing fields, and seeding certain crops. The large dollar volume involved in this business is emphasized by the fact that a skillful pilot may spray as many as 1,500 acres a day, and the airplane may gross $\$ 3.00$ an acre if the owner of the land buys chemicals from the aerial applicator. This segment of the business aviation industry may be expected to continue its phenomenal growth as refinements in aircraft, chemicals, and techniques of application are developed. Significant is the fact that the risk involved is considerable-both from a financial viewpoint and from a personal and business liability viewpoint.

Of interest to Texas is the fact that the Snow Aeronautical Corporation of Olney, one of two manufacturers of business aircraft in Texas, is a producer of planes specially designed for the agricultural market. Leland Snow designed the Snow agricultural airplane while at The University of Texas working on his Master's degree in aeronautical engineering; manufacture began at Olney in 1958. Although a small producer compared to the "big three," Beech, Cessna and Piper, Snow has delivered 164 aircraft, 36 of which were for export to other countries and 51 of which have been delivered to aerial applicators operating in Texas. A new addition to the plant, when completed early in 1964, will almost double Snow's production facilities.

Mooney Aircraft of Kerrville is another Texas aircraft manufacturer. This company has marketed 124 airplanes within the state during the past five years. Mooney's plane was originally designed as a wooden-wing airplane, but this type of construction received little acceptance in Texas. As sales declined in Texas in 1960, the Mooney was redesigned and introduced in all-metal construction in 1961. The decision to change was a shrewd one; sales increased. Recently Mooney announced the expansion of its production facilities at Kerrville to care for the production of the complete line of aircraft which the company plans to market. Since its organization, Mooney Aircraft has produced only one model of plane at a time. This year, for the first time, a second model has been placed on the market, and three models will be offered in 1964. The success of this new and growing Texas company will depend, to a large part, on the acquisition of adequate retail outlets over the nation.

Howard Aero of San Antonio has been remanufacturing a Lockheed military airframe for executive use. This modification has been expanded to a pressurized 350 -mile-
an-hour executive transport costing in excess of $\$ 500,000$. A number of these planes, designated as Howard 500, have been sold to some of the nation's leading corporations.

Wren Aircraft Corporation of Fort Worth recently completed certification of a group of modifications to a Cessna airframe, giving this particular plane short takeoff and landing (STOL) characteristics. Production is anticipated because this group of changes will give this popular airplane the ability to operate from heliports. The airplane will then be known as the Wren 460 and will be sold for $\$ 29,950$ at Fort Worth.

There are two hindrances to the growth of business flying in Texas. Neither is enough to be a serious deterrent, but in combination these two factors could give business aviation problems for a few years.

The population shift to the cities presents one problem. As the population becomes more urban, the scheduled airlines can better serve the population centers. This shift centralizes industry around communities able to generate sufficient traffic to justify airline service. The extent of this shift in the future will be of great importance to both the economy of the state and to business aviation interests.

The second restrictive factor is compounded of elements of the agricultural outlook and the health of the oil industry. Both of these major industries have been stabilizing during the past few years and have not continued their historic contributions to the dynamics of Texas economy on the same scale as in the past. Although the long-range outlook for these two industries is not unfavorable, there may be further adjustments before a noticeable improvement becomes fact. The period of most rapid growth in the business aircraft industry occurred between 1955 and 1960, when agriculture and oil production were thriving. The fixed-base operators who participated in this growth period began an extensive building program which has touched virtually every airport of importance in Texas. The flying businessman stopping in Texas has been provided with the most modern and convenient of operations. The efficiency of these new operations, coupled with the new business that they invariably generate, may well offset the effect of the period of adjustment that agriculture and oil are experiencing at the present time.

The business aircraft industry in the United States is dominated, much like the automobile industry, by three large manufacturers-Beech, Cessna, and Piper. These three manufacturers are direct competitors for the civilian aircraft dollar, despite different market coverage at both price extremes.

Mooney Aircraft has been grouped with the "big three" although sales are considerably smaller. Mooney's sales has more than doubled during the period from 1958 to 1962. The company is adding to its product line and production facilities and, as a result of this expansion, will become more important in the business aircraft industry. Sales of these four manufacturers of business aircraft accounted for approximately $96.7 \%$ of the industry's total sales figure for 1962.

The types of business aircraft being produced are presented in Table 1. The first of the five categories is the two-place and special-purpose category. This includes the two-place trainer aircraft manufactured by Piper
and Cessna and the agricultural airplane that Piper builds. These airplanes are not intended as transportation vehicles for the businessman. The second category includes the light single-engine aircraft which have a cruising speed less than 155 miles an hour, seat four persons, and have a nonretractable landing gear. Single-engine aircraft cruising in excess of 155 miles an hour are classified as heavy single-engine airplanes. Light twins are those that are six place or less, and medium twins are those that can seat more than six.

Five years is a limited period for trend analysis, but business use of aircraft did not become a dominant factor in the market for smaller planes until the 1950's. Expanding product lines brought new competition to the market in 1958 and placed the four largest manufacturers

Table 1
TYPES OF BUSINEGS AIRCRAFT SOLD IN TEXAS BY SELECTED COMPANIES, 1958-1962

| Typer of aircraft | Beech | Cesana | Mooney | Piper |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Two-place and special puxpose |  | 150 |  | Super Cub Colt, Pawnee |
| Light singleengine |  | $\begin{aligned} & 172 \\ & 175 \end{aligned}$ |  | Tri-Pacer Cherokee |
| Heavy singleengine | Bonanza Debonair | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Skylane } \\ & 180 \\ & 185 \\ & 205 \\ & 210 \end{aligned}$ | Mark 21 | Comanche 180 <br> Comanche 250 |
| Light twinengine | Travel Air Baron | $\begin{aligned} & 810 \\ & \text { Skynight } \end{aligned}$ |  | Apache Aztee |
| Mediam twinengine | Twin Bonanza Super 18 <br> Queen Air |  |  |  |

Source: Beech, Cessna, Mooney and Piper.
in the heavy single-engine market for the first time. That same year, Beech's Travelair placed the big three in competition for the light twin-engine market. This degree of competition in the various markets has remained essentially the same throughout the period under study, with a new Beech introduction extending competition among the big three to a third market area beginning in 1963. Mooney's entry, the Master, brought to four the number of models available in this light single-engine category.

For many years Beech manufactured but one singleengine airplane (the most expensive in its class) and concentrated its efforts on a number of twin offerings, ranging in cost up to $\$ 200,000$ with equipment. A change in policy put Beech into the lower price markets beginning in 1960 with the Debonair. This airplane is in the same category as the more expensive and luxurious Bonanza. Further market coverage was the company's goal in 1963 with the introduction of the Musketeer into the light single-engine category. Beech continues to concentrate on the larger twin-engine aircraft, with a turboprop twin being readied for production in 1964.

Cessna manufactures the most extensive line of general and special purpose four-place, single-engine aircraft of the group under study. This manufacturer thoroughly covers the market, from a two-place trainer up to a $\$ 100,000$ high-altitude twin. Having a fully developed
group of single-engine airplanes, the company is expanding the twin line with the introduction of the radical push-pull Skymaster during the middle of the 1963 sales year. A medium twin is under development and will offer Beechcraft competition in this category from one of the major manufacturers for the first time.
Mooney began production with a single model (Mark 20) in 1956 and experienced a substantial increase in sales in 1961 after changing from wooden construction to an all-metal airplane. The metal version was designated the Mark 21. A second model was added to the Mooney line

Figure 1

## BUSINESS AIRCRAFT SALES BY SELECTED COMPANIES, 1958-1962



Source. Beech, Cessna, Moaney, Piper and Aircraft Owners and Pilots Association.
in 1963 and was named the Master. This airplane gives Mooney an entry in the light single-engine category to supplement its heavy single-engine offering, the Mark 21. Mooney has plans to increase the horsepower of its most expensive plane for 1964 in order to make it more competitive in the heavy single-engine category. A larger four-place pressurized single-engine plane is in the developmental stages and will later be joined by a light twin. Mooney recently announced completion of an agreement whereby it will distribute a turboprop executive airplane manufactured in Japan and selling in the $\$ 300,000$ bracket.

Piper, traditionally regarded as the most price-conscious of aircraft manufacturers, has grown from the Cub end of the market to a $\$ 60,000$ six-place twin. At the bottom of the price range, the Cub is still in production
for special uses. Piper is the only one of the major manufacturers producing an airplane exclusively for agricultural use. Basic research is being done on a fiber-glass airplane which will appear first in a two-place version known as the Papoose. A new twin-engine airplane, the Twin-Comanche, was introduced in 1963, and, although it differs in many respects, it will compete in the same market as the Skymaster. These two planes represent entirely different approaches to the same market.
Business aircraft sales by units are shown in Figure 1 with the national volume plotted against the volume of

Figure 2
BUSINESS AIRCRAFT SALES IN TEXAS, 1958-1962 (By market category)


Source. Beech, Cessno, Mooney, and Piper.
Texas sales. Texas figures showed an increase from 1958 to 1959 similar to the national increase. In the nation, as well as in Texas, 1959 and 1960 were very good sales years; although 1958 and 1962 had relatively normal sales, 1962 showed a modest growth over 1958 sales for the nation. Aircraft sales in Texas were depressed in 1961, but there was partial recovery in 1962 . The $71 \%$ decline in sales from 1960 to 1961 in the state is difficult to explain. No index of business activity in Texas could be found which indicated the reason for this drop. A possible explanation could be the change in administration in Washington; possibly there was uncertainty on the part of the small-to-medium-sized businesses which were potential purchasers of new airplanes. Regardless of the cause of the 1961 sales drop, 1962 was a year of brisk recovery, followed by a further upturn in the rate of sales during 1963. New introductions by each of the four manufacturers included in this study may be expected to carry the 1963 sales volume for Texas above the 1958 sales figure. These include the Beech Musketeer, Cessna Skymaster, Mooney Master, and Piper TwinComanche.

Figure 2 shows business aircraft sales in Texas by units in the five different market categories. The twoplace and special-purpose category is made up of two aircraft types. One type is the agricultural plane, and the other is the two-place plane which is usually limited to such special operations as flight instruction and pipeline patrol. This category is comprised of about half of each type. A slump in sales of trainer aircraft accounted for the decline in this category in 1962, while sales of agricultural planes were greater in 1962 than in 1961.

The trainer market is one of little profit for dealers and distributors, but it sets the pace for aviation activity in the future. Sags in sales in this category must be viewed with concern for aircraft sales in the other categories in coming years.

Sales of light single-engine planes dropped steadily until 1962. The reasons are diverse. Until 1960, Piper and Cessna were the only two manufacturers competing for this market. During 1959, Piper announced plans to discontinue its entry, the Tri-Pacer, and engineer a new plane, the Cherokee. This announcement encouraged prospects to wait for the new model. Piper quit making the Tri-Pacer at the end of 1960 , but the company did not get into mass production of the Cherokee until 1962. This left Cessna virtually alone in the light single-engine market duxing 1961. It may be argued that distances involved in flying over Texas encouraged the beginning pilot to purchase a faster plane instead of a light singleengine plane. Whatever the factor was that caused the slump in four out of the five categories in 1961, its effects were pronounced in the light single-engine market. The upturn in 1962 has continued at an increased rate in 1963, with Piper now in mass production of its Cherokee and two new entries, the Beech Musketeer and the Mooney Master.

Most businessmen who fly their own planes prefer one with a heavy single engine. This category contains fast and quiet aircraft that will fill any flying need except when weather conditions warrant the use of a craft with two engines. The majority of businessmen stay with this category-trading perhaps every third year for a new plane and tending to trade for still another plane within the same category. The remarkable growth in sales in these airplanes from 1958 through 1960 in Texas was offset by the drop in 1961. In addition to the "plague" that hit Texas aircraft sales in 1961, it should be pointed out that the steady decline of sales in the light single-engine category must sooner or later be felt in the heavy single-engine market,
In 1959, sales in the heavy single-engine category exceeded those in the light single-engine category. This is significant because the light single-engine market has always been regarded as the category with the greatest potential volume of the five categories. The opposite trends of the sales lines for these two single engine categories may reflect the reluctance of the aircraft purchaser to invest in the older designs found in this category prior to 1962 . While several new designs were introduced into the heavy single-engine category between 1958 and 1961, there was no mass production of new light singleengine craft until 1962. It is possible that a man making his first purchase of a plane was inclined either to buy from the more expensive heavy single-engine category or to wait for one of several new offerings promised in the
light single-engine category. In addition to the Piper and Cessna products that were sold in this market in 1962, Beech and Mooney will have a share of the sales in this category for 1963.

Of the five categories, the two twin-engine markets are the most stable: After the initial surge of buying that took place when the light twins were first mass produced in 1955, this market catered to expanding businesses whose growth had reached the point that night flying and instrument flying were necessary if satisfactory supervision of a dynamic business operation was to be accomplished. Night and instrument flights in a light, twin requires an investment of at least $\$ 10,000$ more than would be required to purchase one of the more expensive heavy single-engine aircraft with enough equipment to fly under these conditions. A new light twin represents an investment of at least $\$ 40,000$.

Table 2
SALES OF BUSINESS AIRCRAFT BY SELECTED COMPANIES, TEXAS AND UNITED STATES, 1958-1962

| Year | Units pald in Texas |  |  |  |  | United States total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Beech | Cesma | Mooney | Piper | Total |  |
| 1958 | . 68 | 338 | 18 | 212 | 686 | 6,940 |
| 1959 | . 59 | 857 | 15 | 270 | 701 | 7.193 |
| 1960 | . 73 | 810 | 8 | 279 | 670 | 7,168 |
| 1961 | . 64 | 209 | 32 | 175 | 470 | 6,506 |
| 1962 | . 69 | 206 | 51 | 212 | 528 | 6.480 |
| Total | . 313 | 1,420 | 124 | 1,148 | . 3.005 | 33,287 |

Source: The AOPA Pilot, March 81, 1969. Letters from Beech, Cessna, Mooney, and Piper.

Medium twins are another stable market, although the volume of airplanes in this category sold in Texas is but a fraction of the light-twin volume. Frequently equipped with deicing equipment and xadar, these medium twins range in price from $\$ 90,000$ to $\$ 200,000$ and are considered all-weather executive transports. Medium twins are generally fown by professional pilots, while this may or may not be the case for the light twin.

During the period between 1958 and 1962, the four manufacturers whose statistics were available for study delivered a total of 3,005 new aircraft in Texas and a total of 33,287 in the nation (see Table 2). Texas, therefore, accounted for an average of $9 \%$ of the sales of new business aircraft in the United States.

Manufacturers of planes are constantly in the process of strengthening their dealer and distributor organizations within Texas. As this is accomplished, their share of the Texas market varies. Mooney's share of the Texas market gained substantially in 1961 and 1962. Also, the stability of the Beech percentage is in direct contrast to those of Cessna and Piper, which are in constant competition for the bulk of the Texas business aircraft market. The relative concentration of sales of the four manufacturers in the Texas market during the past five years is stated in Table 2 as a percentage of each manufacturer's total production sold in this state.

During the second half of the 1963 sales year, the lighttwin market has become increasingly active as both Piper and Cessna introduced new airplanes. The increase from one manufacturer offering two models to four manufacturers offering a variety of models in the light single-engine category should create much new interest
in this class of airplane. One new model in the heavy single-engine category has been introduced (Cherokee "235" by Piper), and this new model will give Cessna's successful Skylane competition for the first time. The medium-twin market will probably absorb the same number of planes but show a decline as a percentage of the total sales figure. The two-place and special-purpose category will do well to hold 1962 volume and will probably be a smaller percentage of the whole. Most unpredictable sales category for 1963 and 1964 is the heavy single-engine market. This group of airplanes will suffer loss of sales to the new group of light single-engine airplanes and will undoubtedly feel the effects of competition from the new, low-cost light twins which Cessna and Piper will use to step up owners of heavy single-engine.

Growth of business aviation within Texas will be affected by the progress and development of the aviation industry on a national scale, as well as certain conditions peculiar to the state.

Expansion of the aircraft industry as such will be geared directly to further development and refinements in the aircraft themselves and in the equipment aboard. Just as the ease and safety of the tricycle landing gear and confidence in omninavigation brought hundreds of new users into the fold of plane owners, the relatively recent development of the light-weight, low-cost automatic pilot has furthered the lazy man's concept of safe business utilization of the private airplane. The heavy single-engine business aircraft of today has a cruising speed of 160 miles an hour to 200 miles an hour and a nonstop range up to 1,600 miles. These aircraft are offered at an initial cost ranging from $\$ 19,000$ to $\$ 31,000$ with complete equipment. If annual utilization is in the 50,000 -mile bracket, operational cost (inciuding depreciation) can be as low as $\$ .08$ per mile. Higher speed, longer range, greater comfort, and more efficient operation in the single-engine category can be expected in the near future. Three new twins are being introduced by different manufacturers into three separate market areas. These airplanes range from a radical push-pull twin through an extremely fast low-priced twin to a pressurized, ten-place pilot-flown medium twin. The coming years undoubtedly will see further refinements of the existing aircraft-with eventual movement to turboprop twins.

Agricultural aviation probably will become more sophisticated as the safety of the work improves. New chemicals with less drift potential and less hazard to the pilots and ground crews will contribute substantially to the safety record. Aircraft designed for this work have set new standards of efficiency and safety and have made obvious the need for improvement in other aspects of agricultural aviation. This part of the business aviation industry will continue to grow in Texas and in those sections of the nation where farming is a major component of the economy.

Use of the business aircraft will undoubtedly increase as the number and speed of commercial jet aircraft increase. Regional supersonic jet airports will be located farther from the hearts of the cities than airports are at present and will create a new need for business aircraft for firms that want to pick up and deliver personnel at the close-in executive and municipal fields as well as at the distant regional jet airports.

A further factor in speeding the growth of business aviation is the development of the economy itself. The economic decline experienced in late 1961 (particularly in Texas) found a number of firms moving from big, converted military twins, such as C-47's, Lodestars, and B-26's, to one or more light twins. Utilization went up with such a change, and the operational cost and breakeven load factor went down. The result was that junior executives began to use company planes in their travels. This trend accounted for a considerable number of lighttwin sales in the 1960-to-1962 period.

Government has aided the aircraft industry in a number of ways; that is, through airport subsidies, and so on. But, as the volume of air traffic has risen, the government has found it advisable to place certain restrictions on planes and pilots. The amount of equipment required for safe operation has increased. For example, it is now mandatory to have a functional two-way radio to land at tower-controlled airports. Heavier aircraft are being required to have radar transponders so that they may be identified instantaneously on the radarscopes. Distance measuring equipment, already found on many singleengine as well as twin-engine business aircraft, is likely to be required for instrument flight.

Flying proficiency and medical condition standards for pilots are upped frequently. This poses no particular deterrent at the present time, but, if the medical requirements are raised substantially, it might create a problem for owner-pilots past fifty. On the other hand, it is essential that the element of risk in flying be minimized for passengers.

Depreciation allowances established by the Internal Revenue Service could curtail sale of new aircraft to businesses if the useful life is extended for tax purposes or if the rate of depreciation is reduced, Many corporate users are presently depreciating their equipment on the declining-balance schedule; they are finding it advantageous to trade for a new airplane every third year rather than to put a new set of engines in their old planes.

Sales of business aircraft suffer when the business outlook is gloomy. Corporations which have been considering purchase of new or improved equipment postpone purchase and install new engines and upgrade their present planes instead. The first-time prospective owner disappears in this environment, and now plane sales are made more difficult to consummate because there is a number of aircraft on the used-plane market.

For the aviation operator who has fuel sales, maintenance work, and hangar rentals to supplement his aircraft sales income, a period of economic decline may force him to the break-even point if he is a good businessman. If his business depends entirely upon new aircraft sales for its proft, one year with a dim economic climate will probably bankrupt the business. This sensitive condition of the aviation operator will become less serious as knowledge of the economy in relation to aviation is broadened and as long-range financial planning receives more attention.

Growth in an industry as young as business aviation is difficult to forecast. However, as the national economy expands and population increases, substantial growth appears inevitable.

## OCTOBER RETAIL TRADE IN TEXAS

by Robert M. Lockwood

ENJOYING A GIDDY RIDE ON THE 1964 AUTOMOBILES, THE October index of durable goods sales in Texas attained a year's high of $163.4 \%$, after adjustment for seasonal variation, pushing the index of total retail sales to a 1963 peak of $133.7 \%$ of the $1957-59$ average. Following steady but unspectacular buying of apparel, food, and general merchandise, the index of nondurables, after adjustment for seasonal influences, maintained a midoling $118.4 \%$ of the base-period average.

At $163.4 \%$ of the 1957-59 average, the October durable goods index exceeded the previous high for the year by about $5 \%$ ( 7.3 index points), returning to the levels of

ESTIMATES OF TOTAL RETAIL SALES

| Classification | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Oct } \\ & 1063 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{1963}{\mathrm{Jan}^{2} \mathrm{Ct}}$ | Percent change |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Oct } 1963 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Sep } 1963 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Oct } 1963 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Oct } 1962 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Jan-Oct } \\ 1963 \\ \text { from } \\ \text { Jnn-Oct } \\ 1962 \end{gathered}$ |
|  | (millions | of dollars) |  |  |  |
| TOTAL | \$1,172.6 | \$10,760.6 | $+15$ | $+7$ | +8 |
| Durable goods* | 462.0 | 4,165.9 | $+36$ | +12 | $+6$ |
| Nondurable soods | 716.6 | 6,594.7 | +5 | + 4 | +1 |

*Contains automotive stores, furniture stores, and lumber, building material, and hardware stores.
May and June, a period also dominated by new automobile sales.
Instead of a seasonally anticipated decline of $5 \%$ from September, automotive store sales in October increased by $47 \%$, far exceeding any difference attributable to the one-eighth increase over September in the number of trading days. A significant circumstance affecting both of these months was that the 1964 automobiles were introduced over a few weeks spanning late September and early October. Automotive store sales in Texas were up $7 \%$ over October a year ago, and the year so far has recorded an increase of $5 \%$ above the comparable 1962 figure.

Up 2\% from October 1962, furniture and appliance sales in Texas increased $13 \%$ from September, compared to a seasonal normal of $3 \%$. Appliance sales activity, which appears generally to have been brisk for some time, is the more remarkable because retail prices for this merchandise went into a long decline 15 years ago, skidding to a 17 -year low a few months ago.

Among lumber, building material, and hardware sales, farm implements posted the most striking upward trend from September, with increased sales of $22 \%$ over September and $6 \%$ from last October. The category as a whole bettered its September level by $15 \%$, although seasonal experience indicated a $5 \%$ decline. Lumber, building material, and hardware sales in October improved by $5 \%$ over October 1962.

The $118.4 \%$ of the $1957-59$ average attained by the index of nondurable goods for October was higher than six and lower than three of the levels recorded for the first 9 months of 1963, which ranged between $111.8 \%$ and $125.6 \%$ of the base-period average. Among the major subgroups of the nondurables category, general merchandise improved the most over September; although the $10 \%$ increase was only about half of the
$19 \%$ usually experienced at this time of year.
The "other retail stores" group rose $6 \%$ from September. Apparel and food sales increased $5 \%$ over the previous month, and drugstores and eating and drinking places sold at a level about $3 \%$ above that of September.

All of these categories equaled or neariy equaled seasonal expectations, and apparel and food did better than expected. Sales at service stations were down $1 \%$ from September, confirming the seasonal experience for those outlets. Only eating and drinking places and the miscellaneous group showed an improvement over October a year ago; although only gasoline and service station sales failed to hold their own in the 12-month comparison.

- Estimated total retail sales, which are not adjusted for seasonal or other factors, uniformly increased from September 1963 and October 1962. Estimated total sales in October were $15 \%$ ahead of September and $7 \%$ above those for last October. Durable goods sales rose $36 \%$ over the previous month and bettered October 1962 by $12 \%$. Estimated sales of nondurables in October 1963 increased $5 \%$ from September and $4 \%$ from October a year ago.


## ESTIMATED VALU'E OF BULLDING AUTHORIZED

Source: Bureau of Business Research in cooperation with the Bureau of the Census, U. S. Department of Commerce

| Classification | $\begin{gathered} \text { Oct } \\ 1963 \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{1968}{\mathrm{Jan}_{2} \mathrm{O} e \mathrm{t}}$ | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Oct 1968 | Jan-Oct 1963 |
|  | (thousands of doliars) |  | Sep 1963 | Jan-Oct 1962 |
| ALL PERMITS | . \$113,808 | \$1,813,584 | $+1$ | $+2$ |
| New construction | 98,974 | 1,168,080 | 4* | + 1 |
| Residential |  |  |  |  |
| (housekeeping) | 68.776 | 780,489 | $+0$ | +5 |
| One-family dwellings | 30,874 | 506,767 | $+7$ | - 4 |
| Multiple-family dwelling | 17,902 | 223,672 | $+13$ | + 36 |
| Noniresidential |  |  |  |  |
| Nonhousekeeping buildings (residential) | 892 | 15,136 | $-10$ | $\pm 7$ |
| Amusement |  |  |  |  |
| Charches | 2,868 | 25.824 | $+89$ | -22 |
| Industrial buildings. | 3,229 | 39,031 | $+672$ | + 18 |
| Garages (commercial and private) | 674 | 8,609 | + 37 | +31 |
| Service stations | 1,863 | 10,947 | $+67$ | - 4 |
| Hospitals and |  |  |  |  |
| Office-bank baiIdings | 3,400 | 78,898 | - 66 | - 39 |
| Works and utilities. | 250 | 20,442 | - 67 | 2 |
| Educational balidinge | 5,971 | 91,164 | + 21 | $+23$ |
| Stores and mercantile |  |  |  |  |
| Other buildings and structures | 874 | 14,577 | - 42 | - 30 |
| Additions, alterations, and repairs | 14,884 | 145,504 | $+8$ | $+10$ |
| METROPOLITAN vs. |  |  |  |  |
| Total metropolitan | 96,634 | 1,120,540 | $+2$ | $+2$ |
| Central cities | 71.479 | 873,854 | ** | - 2 |
| Outside central cities. | 25,155. | 246,686 | $+9$ | $+16$ |
| Total nonmetropolitan | 17,174 | 193,044 | - 7 | $+1$ |
| 10,000 to 50,000 population | 9,404 | 112,781 |  | - 1 |
| Less than 10,000 population ... | 7,770 | 80,263 | $-12$ | + 4 |

$\dagger$ As defined in 1960 Census.
**Change is less than one-half of $1 \%$.

## OCTOBER CONSTRUCTION IN TEXAS

by James J. Kelly

the seasonaliy adjusted index of total construction authorized in Texas increased in October to $116.9 \%$ of the monthly average for the $1957-59$ base period. This was a $6 \%$ rise above the level of the index of total building permits issued in the state in September. The improvement in October authorizations was the result of an increase in residential authorizations, with permits issued for one-family dwellings and apartments each showing increased activity. The rise in residential building permits issued was enough to offset the weak performance of nonresidential authorizations.

In only two months of 1963 was the index of total construction authorized at a lower level than in October. The construction industry in Texas is, nonetheless, enjoying at least as good a year as last. In 1962 the index finished the year with an average of 124.2. The average for the first 10 months of 1962 was 123.8; in the 10 months of 1963, the index averaged 126.7, an increase of $2.3 \%$. The construction industry, like many other segments of the Texas economy, is maintaining the level it had reached last year.

The total value of building permits issued in Texas in October was estimated at $\$ 113.8$ million, an increase of $1 \%$ over the estimate for September. The dollar estimate of total building authorized for the 10 months in 1963 showed an increase of only $2 \%$ above the total value estimated for the first 10 months of 1962 . This $2 \%$ increase included figures based on new construction, which showed a $1 \%$ increase, and additions, alterations, and repairs, which increased $10 \%$. Estimates indicate that the rise in construction costs has been about $1.9 \%$ above 1962, and this demonstrates that construction in Texas in 1963 is at 1962 levels.

The index of residential construction authorized in Texas rose in October to $129.9 \%$ of the 1957-59 base period average after adjustment for seasonal variation. This was an increase of $15 \%$ over September of 1963 and $10 \%$ above the level recorded for October 1962. A resurgence in the volume of permits issued for construction of one-family homes and apartment buildings accounted for the rise.

In the 10 months of 1963, permits issued to build apartment buildings in Texas reached an estimated total of $\$ 206.4$ million, an increase of $35 \%$ over the permits issued for apartments in the first 10 months of 1962 . This was a dollar-value improvement of $\$ 53.2$ million in 1963. The substantial gain in the volume of apartment permits offset losses in other building categories to pash the total permits for new construction in the 10-month 1963 period to a slight gain above those issued in the same 1962 period. Permits issued to build all other types of residential structures have declined by $\$ 17.2$ million thus far in 1963 when compared with 10 months of 1962 . There was also a decline of $\$ 26.0$ million in permits for construction of nonresidential structures in Texas in the same period comparison. Declines in these two categories amounted to $\$ 43.2$ million. Thus the increase of $\$ 53.2$ million in apartment permits resulted in a $\$ 10$ million net gain in total building permits. This gain in the total estimate for the first 10 months of 1963 was only $1 \%$ above the total estimated for the first 10 months of 1962 .

# TEXAS BUSINESS REVIEW Index for Volume XXXVII, 1963 

This index covers Volume XXXVII of the Texas Business Review for the year 1963. A detailed explanation of the structure and use of the index is given in A Classified and Selective Index, The Texas Business Review, 1927. 1961, copies of which are available upon request from the Bureau of Business Research, The University of Texas, Austin, Texas, 78712.
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"Texas Building Construction in February", XXXVII-4, Apr. 1963, p. 90 (Robert M. Lockwood)
"Building Construction in Texas: First Quarter 1963,"
XXXVII-5, May 1963, pp. 109-10. (James J. Kelly)
"April Construction Authorized in Texas," XXXVII-6, June 1963, pp. 136-37. (James J. Kelly)
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## COLUMNS

## 2. The Business Situation in Texas

Index at Third Highest Level in History; Railroads' Improvement Still Less than Prior Heavy Losses, and Capital Improvement Has Declined Sharply; Crude Allowable Now in Longest Period of Tight Restrictions since World War II; Texas Gulf Coast Refinery Area Draws Business Nationally; Investment in Chemical Plants Continued; 1963 Projected as Year of Sound Business, but No Boom; Effects of Cuban Crisis in Retailing Noted, Especially on Durables; Residential Permit Index Highest since 1958's All-Time High; NASA to Swell Houston Area; Overall High Rate of Population Growth in Texas to Be Reflected in Demand for Homes, XXXVII-1, Jan. 1963, pp. 1-3. (Francis B. May, The Business Situation in Texas-Retail Sales, Building Construction)
1962 Generally Better Year than 1961; NASA and Manned Fighter Aircraft Contract Award to Help Several Factors in Texas' Economy; Rails Losing ShortDistance Haulage to Other Media because of Efficiency and Price Levels; Louisiana Petroleum Production Increases while Texas' Static; Reproposals for Pipeline from West Texas to California Needed to Aid Market Expansion for Texas Oil; Natural Gas Industry Showing Market Share Increase vs. Crude Decrease; Effect of Shifts in Oil Industry on Employment and Personal Income Related; Oil Industry Situation in Texas Analyzed; Tax Credits May Encourage Capital Expenditures among Many Industries, Including High-Growth ResearchOriented Electronics and Chemical Industries; Recession Expected in Second Half of 1962 Failed to Materialize, XXXVII-2, Feb. 1963, pp. 21-23. (Francis B. May, The Business Situation in Texas, Year-End Summary)

Index Reaches All-Time High and Suggests Favorable Year in Store, Offsetting Predictions of Mild Recession; Railroads Show Efforts to Regain Lost Business and Embark on Heavier Capital-Investment Program; Railroad Commission Tests New Allowables; Effects of Colder Weather on Industry Production Cited; Recovery from Downswing of 1960-1961 Under Way for Two Years; To Break High-Level Stagnation, Economy Needs Factor such as Improvement in Corporate Profits after Taxes, XXXVII-3, Mar. 1963, pp. 53-54. (Francis B. May, The Business Situation in Texas)
In 1962, Index Values Each Month Were above Corresponding Ones in 1961; 1963 Beginning Resembles 1962, and Good Year Forecast; Since Standards of Comparison Are for Period 1946-1957, Reflecting Heavy Postwar Consumer Satisfaction, Current Stable Period of Growth Shows Less, despite Increases; Use of Computer Cited in Preparation of Indexes; Best Use of Index Data Is in Showing Long-Term Growth of Individual Cities; Outlook in State and Nation for High-Level Prosperity and Modest Growth, XXXVII-4, Apr. 1963, pp. 77-78. (Francis B. May, The Business Situation in Texas)
Rails Still Show Some Recovery Despite Heavy Competition; Seasonal Effects Felt in Petroleum Industry; High Personal Incomes Nationally Fuel Rise in Retail Sales; Construction Remains a Mainstay of the State's Economy; Comparison of Texas Insured Unemployment with That of Surrounding States; General Economic Position Good
but Weak Areas Should Be Reminders against Complacency in Efforts to Strengthen State's Economy, XXXVII5, May 1963, pp. 101-103. (Francis B. May, The Business Situation in Texas)

March's All-Time High for Index Tops January's; State Rise Parallels Rising Trend Nationally and Follows Feeling of Optimism among Business Analysts Generally; Durables, Especially Automobiles, Have Been Strong Supporting Factor; Industry Expansion Favorable, with Prospects for Substantial Capital Investment during 1963; Evidence of Lower State-to-National Ratio for Per Capita Personal Income Indicates Growth of Texas Economy Falling behind Growth Rate of Nation, XXXVII-6, June 1963, pp. 125-26. (John R. Stockton, The Business Situation in Texas)

Another New High Established for Index of Business Activity; Rail Carriers Still Are Pushing to Increase Haulage; Livelihood of 113,100 Persons Depends on Texas Maintaining Its Share of Petroleum Domestic Market; Analysis of Factors in Current Texas Petroleum Situation Outlined; Continued Rapid Growth of Electric Utilities Industries Cited as One of Outstanding Characteristics of This Economy, and Factors in Growth Cited; Construction Continues to Support Economy; Significance of Indexes of Individual Cities Discussed; Prediction of Secretary of Commerce for Good Business for Rest of 1963 and into 1964 for Nation Should Apply Also to Texas' Economic Progress, XXXVII-7, July 1963, pp. 149-51. (Francis B. May, The Business Situation in Texas)
Steady but Unspectacular Advance Predicted for Remainder of 1962; Increase in Discount Rate Cited as Technical Adjustment in Slowing Gold Outflow; Rails' Miscellaneous Carloadings Show Improvement, but Competition in This Remains Severe; Table Included to Show Effect of Slow Growth of Domestic Petroleum Industry on Texas and Nation and on Wage and Salary Disbursements; On Basis of Sizeable Increase in Electric Power Consumption, Projection Made that It Would Double Every Eight Years; Automobiles Continue as Source of Strength in Retail Sales, Locally and Nationally; Business in Texas during First Six Months of 1963 Generally above That for 1962 Period; Improvement in Areas of Crude Oil, Industrial Production, and Nonagricultural Employment Cited as Good Sign, XXXVII-8, Aug. 1963, pp. 177-78. (Francis B. May, The Business Situation in Texas, Semiannual Review)
Continuation of General Improvement Noted for First Three Quarters of 1963 May Be Dependent on Acceptance of 1964 Automobile Models; This Factor Helped Prevent Recession Feared for This Year; Continued Strength in Automobiles Could Have Same Result Next Four Quarters; Business Upswing, Now in Thirtieth Month, Has Reached Range of Other Post-World War II Downswings; Pessimism by Economists Also Expressed because of Gold Outflow, but Tax Cut May Prove Possible Factor in Sustaining Buying Power, Contributing to a Favorable Outlook, XXXVII-9, Sept. 1963, pp. 209-10. (Francis B. May, The Business Situation in Texas)
Some Evidence of Leveling Off Noted but No Downswing in Sight Yet; Course of Present Upswing Analyzed; Other Post-World War II Peak Periods Cited; By Comparison, Recession in Next Few Months Would Not Be Unusual, but Continued Upswing Would Be; Individual Components of Texas Economic Activity Analyzed for Evidence of Momentum Loss; High Level Expected for Capital Spending by Business Cited as Basis for Continued Business Expansion in Texas, although Evidence of Leveling Off in Activity Suggested by Diffusion Noted in City Indexes, XXXVII-10, Oct. 1963, pp. 233-35. (John R. Stockton, The Business Situation in Texas)

Although Upswing Is Hesitating, No Definite Turning Point in Cycle Reached as Yet; Texas Business Appears to Have Registered Same Improvement as Has Nation; Most Significant Feature in Texas Business for September Was Decline, despite Seasonal Variation Allowance, in Retail Trade, which Also Appeared as National Trend;

Favorable Note Was Increase in Personal Income; Many Factors Suggest Rising Level of Business Reaching a Peak, although Sharp Break Does Not Appear Imminent; Construction a Mainstay in Current Upswing, and Thus Is Factor Suggesting Cycle Is Losing Momentum, XXXVII-11, Nov. 1963, pp. 257-58. (John R. Stockton, The Business Situation in Texas)
Though Well above 1962 Levels, Business Activity Index Still on Plateau Reached after May Peak; Miscellaneous Carloadings Highest since November 1962; Embargo on Grain Shipments to Gulf and Eastern Ports Result of Rail Car Jam-Up; Rails to Increase Capital Investment, with Twice as Many New Freight Cars Ordered as for October 1962; Petroleum Production in Texas and Louisiana above Comparable 1962 Periods; Louisiana Now Second-Largest Producing State; All-Time High in Index of Crude Oil Runs to Stills; Demand Up for Petroleum Products; Total Imports Up; 1964 Outlook Is for Rise in Production of Oil and Demand for Refined Products; Electric Power Consumption Down, but More than Comparable 1962 Period; Ordinary Life Insurance Sales at All-Time High; Retail Sales Bolstered by Good Reception of 1964 Car Models; All-Time High for Housing Starts Nationally; Index of Manufacturing Earnings in Texas at All-Time High; Index of Business Activity Shows Increase over 1962 for Cities in Index, XXXVII-12, Dec. 1963, pp. 257-58. (Francis B. May)
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As a reader's guide to better utility of retail sales data, an average percent change from the preceding month has been computed for each month of the year. This percent change is marked with a dagger ( $\dagger$ ) following that figure. The next percent change represents the actual change from the preceding month. A large variation in the actual figure from the normal seasonal represents an abnormal month. The third percent change shows the change from the identical period the preceding year. Postal receipt information which is marked by an asterisk (*) indicates cash receipts received during the four-week postal accounting period ended November 8, 1963, and the percent changes from the preceding period and the comparable period in the previous year. Postal data for the four-week period

| City and item | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Oct } \\ & 1963 \end{aligned}$ | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Oct 1968 from Sep 1963 | Oct 1963 from Oct 1962 |
| ABILENE (pop. 90,368) |  |  |  |
| Retail sales | $+4 \dagger$ | + 9 | -4 |
| Apparel stores | $+3 \dagger$ | +14 | $+6$ |
| Automotive stores | 5 $\dagger$ | + 62 | -5 |
| Drug stores | $+2 \dagger$ | + 8 | 8 |
| Food stores | + $2 \dagger$ | - 4 | 2 |
| General merchandise stores. | $+19 \%$ | $+2$ | +1 |
| Lumber, building material, and hardware stores. | - 5t | - 21 | - 14 |
| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 126,542 | - | + 23 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 880,217 | $-27$ | - 52 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............ ${ }^{\text {\% }}$ | 123,818 | + 20 | + 6 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . . \$$ | 66,979 | - 5 | $-10$ |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover...... | 21.6 | $+23$ | $+15$ |
| Employment (ares) | 36,500 | ** | + 1 |
| Manufacturing employment (area). | 4,270 | * | ** |
| Percent unemployed (ares) ........... | 5.3 | - 2 | $-7$ |

## ALICE (pop. 20,861)

| Retail sales | $+4 \dagger$ | ** | 7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Drug stores | $+2 \dagger$ | $-2$ | $-7$ |
| Eating and drinking places... | $+2 \dagger$ | + 1 | 5 |
| Food stores | $+2 \dagger$ | + 8 | + 6 |
| Postal receipts* ...................... \% | 15,713 | - 21 | + 8 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 70,416 |  | -35 |


| ALPINE (pop. 4,740) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Postal receipts ..................... \% | 5,857 | - 9 | $+43$ |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 23,475 | $-36$ | +370 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............. \$ | 3,412 | $+10$ | 8 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. \$ | 4,589 | + 12 | $+$ |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 9.4 | ** | 9 |
| ANDREWS (pop. 11,135) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . $\%$ | 7,902 | $-24$ | $+14$ |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 68,600 | $+45$ | $+66$ |
| Bank debits (thousands) .............. \$ | 6,204 | $+21$ | $+10$ |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger .8$ | 7,480 | $+20$ |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 10.9 | $+9$ |  |

ARANSAS PASS (pop. 6,956)

| Postal receipts* | \$ | 4,964 |  | 4 | + 32 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits, less federal contraets | \$ | 30,045 | - |  | +154 |
| Bank debits (thousands) |  | 5,487 | - | 4 | -12 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) : |  | 5,937 | - | 2 |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnove |  | 11.0 | - | 4 |  |

from September 14 through October 11 have not been published, but are available upon request to the Bureau of Business Research. Annual postal data are for 13 four-week periods falling closest within 1962 and 1963 calendar years. Changes of less than one-half of 1 percent are marked with a double asterisk (**). Waco retail sales information is reported in cooperation with the Baylor Bureau of Business Research. End-of-month deposits as reported represent money on deposit in individual demand deposit accounts on the last day of the month and are indicated by the symbol ( $\ddagger$ ). All population figures are final 1960 census data with the exception of those marked ( r ), which are estimates of the Texas Highway Department. Figures under Texarkana with the following symbol (§) are for Texarkana, Texas, only.

Local Business Conditions

| City and item | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Oct } \\ & 1963 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Oct } 1963 \\ \text { from } \\ \text { Sed } 1963 \end{gathered}$ | Oct 1963 from Oct 1962 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ATHENS (pop. 7,086) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . * | 13,448 | + 29 | $+95$ |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 116,200 | +170 |  |
| Bank debits (thousands) . . . . . . . . . . \$ | 11,404 | + 5 | +13 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . .8$ | 11,035 | + 3 | + 22 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 12.6 |  | 7 |
| AUSTIN (pop. 186,545) |  |  |  |
| Retail sales | + $4 \dagger$ | $+20$ | + 4 |
| Apparel stores | + $3 \dagger$ | + 5 | + 5 |
| Automotive stores | $5 \dagger$ | + 69 | + 11 |
| Drug stores | $+2 \dagger$ | + 7 | + 1 |
| Eating and drinking places. | $+2 \dagger$ | 2 | - 4 |
| Furniture and household appliance stores | + $3 \dagger$ | + 3 | + 12 |
| Gasoline and service stations | - 17 | - 4 | + 5 |
| General merchandise stores | $+19 \dagger$ | $-2$ | - 4 |
| Lumber, building material, and hardware stores. |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* ..................... ${ }^{\text {\% }}$ | 500,810 | - 6 | $+15$ |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 4,810,622 | -46 | $+55$ |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............. \$ | 280,294 | + 5 | $+6$ |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. . \$ | 164,214 | $-1$ |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 20.4 | + 3 |  |
| Employment (area) | 88,200 | + 3 |  |
| Manufacturing employment (ares). | 5,980 | ** | + 2 |
| Percent unemployed (area) | 4.2 | $+17$ | $+17$ |

## BAY CITY (pop. 11,656)

Retail sales

| Automotive stores | - $5 \dagger$ | $+28$ | - 12 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| General merchandise stores | $+19 \dagger$ | + 4 |  |
| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . $\%$ | 12,189 | $-27$ | $+$ |
| Bank debits (thousands)............. \% | 16,229 | $-14$ | - 4 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . . \$$ | 24,752 | ** | + 7 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 7.9 | $-15$ | 12 |
| Nonagricultural placements ... | 112 | $+17$ | $-1$ |
| BEAUMONT (pop. 119,175) |  |  |  |
| Retail sales | $+4 \dagger$ | + 22 | $+$ |
| Apparel stores | $+3 \dagger$ | $+4$ | - 5 |
| Automotive stores | - $5 \dagger$ | $+27$ | * |
| Eating and drinking places | $+2 \dagger$ | $+5$ |  |
| Furniture and household appliance stores | $+3 \dagger$ | $+70$ |  |
| General merchandise stores | $+19 \dagger$ | $+14$ | + 3 |
| Lumber, building material, and hardware stores. | - $5 \dagger$ | $+25$ |  |
| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . \% | 151,462 | ** | $+23$ |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 1,112,674 | + 81 | -18 |
| Bank debits (thousands)............. \$ | 204,326 | + 2 |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . . \$$ | 112,483 |  |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 22.3 |  |  |
| Employment (area) | 108,600 | ** |  |
| Manufacturing employment (area). | 36,090 | - 1 |  |
| Percent unemployed (area) | 5.5 | - 8 | $-11$ |
| BEEVILLE (pop. 13,811) |  |  |  |
| Retail sales . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | $+4 \dagger$ | $+24$ |  |
| Drug stores | $+2 \dagger$ |  | +1 |
| Lumber, building material, and hardware stores. | - $5 \dagger$ | $+16$ | 3 |
| Postal receipts* ..................... \& | 11,871 | $-14$ | $+23$ |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 81,365 | $-82$ |  |
| Bank debits (thousands)............ \$ | 11,304 | +13 | $+$ |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . \$$ | 14,715 | + 2 |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 9.3 | $+12$ | - 4 |
| Nonagricultural placements | 107 | $-28$ | $-34$ |
| RISHOP (pop. 3,722) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* ..................... \% | 2,060 | $-37$ |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 6,000 |  |  |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............. \$ | 2,150 | + 4 |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thoussands) $\ddagger . . \$$ | 2,610 | - |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 9.5 | + 3 | $+$ |


| Local Business Conditions City and item | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Oct } \\ & 1963 \end{aligned}$ | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Oct } 1963 \\ \text { from } \\ \text { Sep } 1963 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Oct } 1963 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Oct } 1962 \end{aligned}$ |
| BIG SPRING (pop. 31,230) |  |  |  |
| Retail sales | + $4 \dagger$ | $+17$ | - 5 |
| Apparel stores | + $3 \dagger$ | -18 | - |
| Automotive stores | $5 \dagger$ | $+64$ |  |
| Lumber, building material, and hardware stores.. | - ${ }^{5}+$ |  |  |
| Postal receipts** . . . . . . . . . . . . . . \% | 46,881 | $+30$ | + 45 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 247,702 | -32 | +18 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............ 8 | 43,320 | + 15 |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. \$ | 24,979 | + 4 |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 21.2 |  | $+11$ |
| Nonagricultural placements | 226 |  | -14 |

## BONHAM (pop. 7,357)

| Postal receipts* | 7,188 |  | $+42$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 133,750 | 53 |  |
| Bank debits (thousands) | 10,085 | $+10$ | $+8$ |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | 9,320 | + 7 |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 13.4 | $+$ |  |

BORGER (pop. 20,911)

| Postal receipts* . ................... $\$$ | 18,006 | $-18$ | $+11$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 98,900 | $-22$ | -46 |
| Nonagricultural placements | 168 | $-28$ | $-25$ |
| BRADY (pop. 5,338) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . \% | 5,826 | -22 | + 60 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 52,120 | $+350$ | $+210$ |
| Bank debits (thousands)............. \$ | 5,890 | + 9 |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. . $\$$ | 7,590 | - 2 |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 9.2 | $+11$ | 8 |

## BRENHAM (pop. 7,740)

| Postal receipts* . .................... \$ | 8,596 | $-18$ | $+18$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 145,024 | +184 | +139 |
| Bank debits (thousands) . . . . . . . . . . \$ | 13,485 | + 2 | $+18$ |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . . \$$ | 13,857 | $-2$ | ** |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 11.6 | * | $+14$ |
| Nonagricultural placements | 66 | $+25$ | + 5 |

## BROWNFIELD (pop. 10,286)

| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . \$ | 10,691 | $-25$ | $+19$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bank debits (thousands)............. \$ | 23,066 | $+38$ | $+33$ |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. \$ | 13,747 | +9 | $+13$ |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 21.0 | $+32$ | $+17$ |

## BROWNSVILLE (pop. 48,040)

Retail sales

| Automotive stores |  | $+47$ | + | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lumber, building material, and hardware stores. |  | $+47$ |  | 2 |
| Postal receipts* .................... . ${ }^{\text {* }}$ | 30,804 | $-20$ | - | 7 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 154,870 | + 19 |  | 5 |
| Bank debits (thousands) .............. \% | 41,179 | $+8$ | - | 3 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . . \$$ | 21,021 |  |  |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 23.9 |  | $+$ | 8 |
| Employment (area) | 38,900 | + 1 | $+$ | 2 |
| Manufacturing employment (area). | 4,780 | + 4 | - | 1 |
| Percent unemployed (area) | 6.6 | + 5 |  |  |
| Nonagricultural placements | 289 | $-12$ | - | 42 |

## BROWNWOOD (pop. 16,974)

Retail sales

| Apparel stores | + $3 \dagger$ | $+3$ | - 6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . $\$$ | 29,250 | $+11$ | $+43$ |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 3,725 | $-54$ | 84 |
| Bank debits (thousands) . . . . . . . . . . . \$ | 17,949 | $+12$ | - 1 |
| End-of-month deposits '(thousands) $\ddagger . . \$$ | 13,819 | 4 | $+$ |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover...... | 15.3 | $+13$ |  |
| Nonagricultural placements | 111 | - 8 | - 34 |


| Local Business Conditions |  | Pereent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Oct 1963 <br> from | Oct 1963 <br> from <br> from <br> City and item | 1963 |

## BRYAN (pop. 27,542)

| Retail sales |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Automotive stores | $5 \dagger$ | $+54$ | $+27$ |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............. \$ | 32,734 | 6 | $+10$ |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) \$. \$ | 18,997 | - 8 |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 20.3 | 6 |  |
| Nonagricultural placementa | 309 | $-34$ |  |

## CALDWELL (pop. 2,204)

| Postal receipts* | 2,636 | 23 | $+20$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bank debits (thousands)............. \$ | 2,841 | $+9$ |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . . \$$ | 4,033 |  | - 2 |
| Annual rate of deposit | 8.7 | $+7$ |  |

CAMERON (pop. 5,640)

| Postal receipts** . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . \$ | 5,240 | $-17$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 3,300 | $-95$ | -94 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............. \$ | 5,776 | 8 | - 1 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . . \$$ | 5,774 | - 3 | $+5$ |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 11.8 | $-10$ | - 5 |

## CANYON (pop. 5,864)

| Postal receipts* ................ | 6,825 | -30 | -20 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | 94,800 | -15 | -48 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............... | 8,170 | +21 | +5 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . . \$$ | 7,319 | +15 | +8 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover...... | 14.3 | +9 | -3 |

## CARROLLTON (pop. 4,242)

| Postal receipts* | 6,686 | - | 5 | $+84$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 1,749,318 | $+$ | 12 | $+266$ |
| Bank debits (thousands).............. \$ | 5,163 | - | 8 | 11 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . . \%$ | 3,006 | - | 6 | 3 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 20.0 | - | 5 | $-10$ |

## CISCO (pop. 4,499)

| Postal receipts* | 5,689 | $+$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bank debits (thousands) .............s | 3,643 | + 5 | - |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. \$ | 3,780 | ** | $+$ |
| Annual rate of deposit turnove | 11.6 | + 5 |  |

## CLEBURNE (pop. 15,381)

| Retail sales |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| General merchandise stores. | + 19 ${ }^{\text {+ }}$ | ** | 2 |
| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . \$ | 17,840 | $+12$ | $+26$ |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 146,060 | $+15$ | $-26$ |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............. \$ | 13,239 | $+11$ | $+14$ |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. \$ | 12,655 | - 2 | $+$ |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 12.4 | $+10$ |  |
| Employment (area) | 225,100 | ** | $+3$ |
| Manufacturing employment (ares). | 54,875 |  | + 12 |
| Percent unemployed (area) | 4.1 | 7 | - 9 |
| CLUTE (pop. 4,501) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . \$ | 2,137 | $-14$ | $+31$ |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 39,855 | $+10$ | - 53 |
| Bank debits (thousands)............ $\$$ | 1,820 | + 18 | - |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger .8$ | 1,395 | $-4$ | $-10$ |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 15.3 | $+15$ | $+6$ |
| COLLEGE STATION (pop. 11,396) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . ........ \% | 24,757 | $-28$ | $+38$ |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 35,908 | $-72$ | -22 |
| Bank debits (thousands)............ \$ | 5,008 | ** |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thoussnds) \& . $\$$ | 8,585 |  | $+21$ |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 17.0 |  |  |


| Local Business Conditions |  | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Oct <br> Oct 1963 <br> from | Oct 1963 <br> from <br> Coty and item | 1963 |
| Sep 1963 | cet 1962 |  |  |

## COLORADO CITY (pop. 6,457)

| Retail sale |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lumber, building material, and hardware stores. |  | $+37$ | -33 |
| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . \$ | 5,923 | ** | + 2 |
| Bank debits (thousands)............. \$ | 6,361 | + 49 | + 2 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger .$. \$ | 6,495 | $+13$ | $+$ |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 12.5 | $+40$ | $+$ |

COPPERAS COVE (pop. 4,567)

| Postal receipts** . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . \$ | 4,821 | $+$ | 5 | $+39$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 231,571 | $+$ | 5 | $+81$ |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............. 8 | 1,495 | $+$ | 3 |  |
| End-oí-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. \$ | 1,542 | $+$ | 9 | $+37$ |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 12.1 |  | 4 | - 80 |

## CORPUS CHRISTI (pop. 184,163r)

| Retail sales | $+4 \dagger$ | $+15$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Apparel stores | $+3 \dagger$ | + 9 | 2 |
| Automotive stores | - $5 \dagger$ | $+17$ | $-20$ |
| General merchandise stores. | + 191 | + 18 | $+5$ |
| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . \% | 198,897 | - 3 | $+16$ |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 1,728,859 | $+60$ | $-58$ |
| Bank debits (thousands)............. $\%$ | 227,146 | $+12$ | $+15$ |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger .$. \% | 119,998 | +11 | + 7 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover...... | 22.8 | $+10$ | $+7$ |
| Employment (area) | 68,200 | ** |  |
| Manufacturing employment (area). | 8,780 | ** |  |
| Percent unemployed (area) | 4.2 | - |  |

CORSICANA (pop. 20,344)


CRYSTAL CITY (pop. 9,101)

| Postal receipts* .................... $\$ 8$ | 4,435 | +12 | +32 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Building permits, less federal contracts $\$$ | 56,850 | +775 | +147 |
| Bank debits (thousands)............ $\$ 8$ | 3,807 | -23 | -8 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . \$$ | 2,699 | +5 | -17 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover...... | 15.1 | -24 | +17 |

DALLAS (pop. 679,684)

| Retail sales | $+9 \dagger$ | $+22$ | $+$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Apparel stores | $+10 \dagger$ | + 6 | - 3 |
| Automotive stores | $+10 \dagger$ | $+72$ | $+20$ |
| Drug stores | - 1i | 1 | $+$ |
| Eating and drinking places. | $+11 \dagger$ | $+10$ | $+12$ |
| Florists | $+10 \dagger$ | $+11$ | $+$ |
| Food stores | $+6 \dagger$ | $+$ | - 4 |
| Furniture and household appliance stores | $+1 \%$ |  | * |
| Gasoline and service stations. | $+3 \dagger$ | 9 | - 2 |
| General merchandise stores. | $+13{ }^{\text {¢ }}$ | $+19$ | $+$ |
| Lumber, building material, and hardware stores. | $+4{ }^{\text {+ }}$ | $+9$ | $+15$ |
| Office, store, and school supply dealers ..... |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . \% | \$ 3,042,852 | $+3$ | $+21$ |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | \$13,733,874 |  | 39 |
| Bank debits (thousands)............ | \$ 3,704,106 |  | + 11 |
| End-of-month deposits (thaurands) $\ddagger .8$ | \$ 1,310,824 | - 1 | $+$ |
| Annual rate of deposit tringverd.. | 33.8 |  |  |
| Employment (area) .......atar..es, | 496.400 | ** | $+$ |
| Manufacturing employment (eriea) | 109,150 | ** | $+$ |
| Percent unemployed (area) | 3.2 | 6 | -6 |


| Local Business Conditions City and item | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Oct } \\ & 1963 \end{aligned}$ | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Oct } 1963 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Sep } 1963 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Oct } 196 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Oct } 196 \end{aligned}$ |
| DEER PARK (pop. 4,865) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . \% | 4,695 | - 36 | + 16 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 144,444 | - 57 | +112 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............ | 3,943 | $+27$ | +20 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. $\%$ | 2,220 | + |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 21.9 | + 18 | + 16 |

DEL RIO (pop. 18,612)
Retail sales

| Automotive stores |  | + 51 | $+10$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lumber, building material, and hardware stores. |  | $+29$ | $+10$ |
| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . \% | 13,519 | - 21 | + 11 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 170,039 | $+240$ | 66 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............. \$ | 18,834 | + 4 | $+$ |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger .8$ | 15.462 | ** | $+$ |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 10.4 | + 2 | $+$ |

## DENISON (pop. 22,748)

Retail sales

| Automotive stores |  | $+46$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . $\%$ | 19,358 | -18 | + 1 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 264,298 | -47 | + 11 |
| Bank debits (thousands)............. \$ | 18,000 | $+$ |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. \$ | 15,765 |  |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 13.8 |  |  |
| Nonagricultural placements | 188 | $+$ | $-17$ |

## DENTON (pop. 26,844)

Retail sales

| Drug stores | + $2 \dagger$ | - 3 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . * | 41,015 | - 6 | $+21$ |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 725,280 | 3 | $+88$ |
| Nonagricultural placementa | 139 | $-31$ |  |

DONNA (pop. 7,522)

| Postal receip | 4,092 | - 14 | $+56$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 2,300 | -85 | 85 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............. \$ | 2,197 | - 14 | - 14 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . . \$$ | 3,813 | ** |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 6.9 | - 12 | - 26 |

EAGLE PASS (pop. 12,094)
Retail sales

| Gasoline and service stations. | - 1才 | - 12 | $-7$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Postal receipts* .................... ${ }^{\text {\% }}$ | 6,995 | $-23$ | $+$ |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 242,931 | +382 | +1022 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............. \$ | 4,765 | $+11$ | + |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) \&.. \$ | 4,211 | $-2$ | $+$ |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 13.4 | + 11 | - 5 |
| EDINBURG (pop. 18,706) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . $\%$ | 11,916 | $-29$ | + 92 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 93,250 | $+42$ | - 3 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............ \$ | 12,727 | $+10$ | $-13$ |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ § \$ | 9,462 | + 5 | ** |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 16.5 | + 7 |  |
| Nonagricultural placements | 216 | + 62 | 13 |
| EDNA (pop. 5,038) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* ...................... \% | 5,661 |  | $+45$ |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 57,650 | $+197$ | -85 |
| Bank debits (thousands)............. \$ | 6,226 | + 4 |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger .$. \$ | 7,352 | $+7$ |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 10.5 | + 1 | $-22$ |
| ENNIS (pop. 9,347) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . \$ | 9,580 | $-26$ |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 105,075 | $+50$ | - 9 |
| Bank debits (thousands)............. \$ | 7,716 |  | - 9 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) \& . \$ | 7.752 | - 1 | + 7 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnov | 11.9 |  |  |


| Local Business Conditions City and item | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ott } \\ & 1963 \end{aligned}$ | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Oct } 1963 \\ \text { from } \\ \text { Sep } 1968 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Oct } 1963 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Oct } 1962 \end{aligned}$ |
| EL PASO (pop. 276,687) |  |  |  |
| Retail sales | $+4{ }^{4}$ |  |  |
| Apparel stores | $+3 \dagger$ | + 29 | - |
| Automotive stores | - ${ }^{\dagger} \dagger$ | + 13 | + 14 |
| General merchandise stores | + 19 ${ }^{\text {¢ }}$ |  |  |
| Postal receipts* . . . . . .............. 8 | 336,670 |  |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 2,529,871 |  | + 50 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ........... \$ | 359,056 |  | ** |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger .8$ | 175,112 | + 1 |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 24.7 | + 3 |  |
| Employment (area) | 93,800 | ** | ** |
| Manufacturing employment (area). | 16,540 |  |  |
| Percent unemployed (area) | 4.8 |  |  |


| EULESS (pop. 2,062) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Postal receipts* ................... | 7,804 | $+35$ | +154 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 703,850 | + 63 | $+73$ |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............. 8 | 4,814 | + 17 |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) \& . \$ | 2,226 | + 8 |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 24.1 | $+10$ |  |



FORT STOCKTON (pop. 6,373)

| Postal receipts* | 6,978 | 4 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits, less federal eontracts \$ | 40,200 | $-16$ |  |
| Bank debits (thousands)............. \$ | 6,205 | 5 |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. \$ | 5,705 |  | $+$ |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 13.3 | $-10$ |  |

## FORT WORTH (pop. 356,268)

| Retail sales | $+6 \dagger$ | + 5 | + 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Apparel stores | $+8 \dagger$ | - 2 | 7 |
| Automotive stores | + 9才 | + 54 | + 4 |
| Drug stores | $+1 \dagger$ | 1 | + 5 |
| Eating and drinking places. | $+1 \dagger$ |  | + 3 |
| Furniture and household appliance stores | $+4 \dagger$ |  | + 4 |
| Gasoline and service stations. | $+3 \dagger$ | + 3 | + 5 |
| General merchandise stores. | $+11 \dagger$ | $-20$ | + 1 |
| Lumber, building material, and hardware stores. | $+8{ }^{+}$ |  | $+22$ |
| Postal receipts* . .................... ${ }^{\text {\% }}$ | 909,019 | + 2 | + 7 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 3,424,898 | $-13$ | + 55 |
| Bank debits (thourands)............. \$ | 903,444 | $+13$ | + 7 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) \$ . \$ | 409,487 | ** | + 3 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 26.5 | + 11 | + 3 |
| Employment (area) | 225,100 | ** | + 3 |
| Manufacturing employment (area). | 54,875 | + 1 | $+12$ |
| Percent unemployed (area)... | 4.1 | -7 | - 9 |

## FREDERICKSBURG (pop. 4,629)

| Retail sales |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Drug stores | $+2 \dagger$ |  | $+$ |
| General merchandise stores | $+19 \dagger$ |  | $-13$ |
| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . \% | 6,179 | 1 | + 15 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 29,990 | +332 | 58 |
| Bank debits (thousands)............. \$ | 9,809 |  | $+17$ |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . . \$$ | 10,063 | $+2$ | $+19$ |
| Annual rate of dedosit turnover. | 11.8 | $+4$ | 2 |
| GAINESVILLE (pop. 13,083) |  |  |  |
| Retail sales |  |  |  |
| Drug stores | $+2 \dagger$ | + 11 | - 1 |
| Furniture and household appliance stores .... | $+3 \dagger$ | $+15$ | 8 |
| Postal receipts* ...................... $\$$ | 15,524 |  | + 27 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 54,110 | $+14$ | $+67$ |


| Local Business Conditions |  | Percent change <br>  <br> City and ftem |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |

GALVESTON (pop. 67,175)

| Hetail sales | + 4i | $+17$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Apparel stores | + $8 \dagger$ | + 21 | + 2 |
| Automotive stores | - 所 | + 20 | + 12 |
| Food stores | $+2 \uparrow$ | +.8 | + 12 |
| Furniture and houschold appliance stores | $+\mathrm{s} \dagger$ | + 28 | + 49 |
| Poatal recelpts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 108,840 | + 4 | $+28$ |
| Building permits, less federal contracte \$ | 463.826 | -- 36 | 63 |
| Bank debits (thousandis)............. | 120,491 | +24 | + 24 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $4 . .8$ | 57,978 | -9 |  |
| Annaal rate of deponit turnover. | 23.8 | + 28 | $+27$ |
| Employment (area) | 54,100 | ** | + 4 |
| Manufncturing employment (area). | 10,440 | ** |  |
| Percent nnemployed (area). | 6.1 | - 2 | - 28 |

## GARLAND (pop. 38,501)

| Retail matea | + 4i | $+37$ | - 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Apparel stores | $+3 \dagger$ | - 1 | 7 |
| Antomotive atores | - 5 ¢ | $+47$ | 6 |
| Pontal receipts* | 38,708 | $-15$ | $+15$ |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | 3,341,748 | $+286$ | $+98$ |
| Bank deblta (thousands) | 37,709 | $+25$ | $+30$ |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) ${ }^{\text {a }}$. 8 | 16,385 |  | $+6$ |
| Annual rate of deposit tarnover. | 26.8 | $+25$ | $+28$ |
| Employment (area) | 496,400 | * | $+7$ |
| Manufactaring employment (area). | 109,150 | ** | +6 |
| Percent unemployed (area) | 8.2 | - 6 | - 6 |

## GATESVILLE (pop. 4,626)

| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 6,014 | - |  |  | 21 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bank debits (thoueands) . . . . . . . . . . . . | 6,718 | $+$ | 8 | - | 1 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. $\$$ | 6,518 | - | 1 | $+$ | 4 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 12.3 | + | 6 | - | 5 |

## GIDDINGS (pop. 2,821)

| Postal recaipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ${ }^{\text {\% }}$ | 3,661 | $-27$ | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits, lesa federal contracts \$ | 37,856 | $+88$ | $+84$ |
| Brak deblts (thounasds).............. ${ }^{\text {\% }}$ | 3,595 | + 8 | +12 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) i. . \$ | 4,240 | $-1$ | + 5 |
| Annasi rate of deposit turnover. | 10.1 |  |  |

## GLADEWATER (pop. 5,742)

| Postal reacldta* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 6,646 | $-36$ | $+$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bank debits (thousands)............. | 8,661 | $+10$ | + 9 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousnads) \% . 8 | 4,910 | $+6$ | - 5 |
| Annuai rate of deposit turnover. | 9.2 | ** | +10 |
| Employment (area) | 28,850 | ** | $+$ |
| Manutacturing employment (area). | 5,770 | +1 | + |
| Percent unemployed (area) | 4.5 | $+15$ | $+$ |

## GOLDTHWATYE (pop. 1,383)

| Postal receldts* | 2,615 | $+10$ | $+65$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bank debfte (thousends) | 3,788 | $-17$ | + 12 |
| End-of-month deposita (thousands) \$. \$ | 3,221 | $+1$ | + 45 |
| Annurl rate of deposit turnover. | 8.8 | $-16$ |  |

## GRAHAM (pop. 8,505)

| Postal reocipte* | 8,182 | - 10 | $+$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits, leas federal contraots \$ | 21.418 | +828 | 67 |
| Bank debits (thousands)............. \$ | 9,032 |  | $+$ |
| End-of-month deposits (thoussmds) $\ddagger . . \$$ | 10,521 |  | - 8 |
| Annusl rate of deposit turnover. | 10.4 | $+$ |  |


| Local | Business | Conditions |  | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | ${ }_{196}{ }^{\text {Oct }}$ | Oet 1963 from | Oct 1968 trom |

GRANBURY (pop. 2,227)

| Postal receipts* | 2,860 | $-15$ | $+13$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BanIs dehits (thousands) . . . . . . . . . . . ${ }^{\text {\% }}$ | 1,532 | +19 | 1 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) \%. . | 2,065 | $+3$ | + 2 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 9.0 | $+15$ | 4 |
| GRAND PRAIRIE (10p. 30,386) |  |  |  |
| Postal receldta* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 26,352 | - 8 | $+24$ |
| Building permita, leas federal contracta \$ | 522,955 | $-47$ | -11 |
| Bank debite (thousandas)............. | 20,005 | $+$ | 5 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . . \$$ | 11,343 | ** |  |
| Annual rete of depondt turnover | 21,2 | $+9$ | $-10$ |
| Employment (area) ................. | 496,400 | 特 | + 7 |
| Manufacturing employment (area). | 109,150 | ** | $+6$ |
| Percunt unemployed (aren)..... | 3.2 | - 6 | - 6 |

GRAPEVINE (pop. 2,821)

| Postal receipts* | 3,884 | 2 | + 19 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 19,000 | $+4650$ | $-63$ |
| Bank debits (thousands)............ \% | 3,480 | + 11 |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thoussands) i. . ${ }^{\text {\% }}$ | 8,091 | - 2 |  |
| Annual rate of deporlt turnov | 13.4 | $+10$ |  |

GREENVILLE (pop. 19,087)

| Retail seles | $+4 \dagger$ | $+28$ | - 6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Automotive grores | - 5p | + 35 | 2 |
| Drug atorea | $+2 \dagger$ | $-13$ | $+2$ |
| Lumber, building material. and hardwars stores... | - 5 $\dagger$ | $+5$ | -24 |
| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . $\%$ | 25,172. | $-17$ | - 6 |
| Building verraits, loss federal contracti \$ | 81,600 | $-24$ | 41 |
| Bank debits (thousands) . . . . . . . . . . . $\%$ | 19,418 | + 15 | $+10$ |
| End-of-month deposita (thousands) $\ddagger$. . \% | 13,862 | $+1$ | 6. |
| Annual rate of deponit turnover. | 16.9 | $+11$ |  |
| Nonagricuitural placements | 72 | $-44$ |  |

HALE CENTER (pop. 2,196)

| Postal receidts* | 1,796 | -34 | $\pm 3$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits, less federal contracta \$ | 8,250 | $+47$ | -67 |
| Bank debits (thounands) ............. \$ | 4,808 | + 52 | $+8$ |
| End-of-month depoaits (thousands) $\ddagger .$. | 4,625 | $+12$ | + 9 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 18.2 | $+40$ | - 7 |

## HARLINGEN (pop. 41,207)

| Retail males | + 40 | $+23$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Automotive stores | $5 \dagger$ | $+45$ | - 10 |
| Food storea | $+2 \uparrow$ | - 5 | -- 12 |
| Gasoline and service stations | $1 \dagger$ |  | 4 |
| Postal receldts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . $\%$ | 35,865 | - | + 25 |
| Building permits, leas federal contracts \$ | 117,000 | +303 | -14 |
| Bank debits (thoumands) ............. | 46.782 |  | + 12 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. $\$$ | 23.264 |  | $-24$ |
| Annual rate of deposit turzover. | 24.6 |  | $+49$ |
| Employment (axea) | 38,900 | $+$ |  |
| Manufacturing employment (area) , | 4,780 |  |  |
| Percent unemployed (area) | 6.6 | $+5$ | -11 |
| Nonagrleuitural placements | 896 | + 45 |  |

HEMPSTEAD (pop. 1,505)

| Bank deblta (thousands) | 1,684 | $+20$ | 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| End-of-month deposits (thausande) \& . \$ | 2,117 | + 3 | 10 |
| Annusl rate of deposit turno | 9.1 | $+17$ |  |


| Local Business Conditions |  | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Oct <br> Oct 1963 <br> from | Oct 1963 <br> from <br> City and item |

HENDERSON (pop. 9,666)
Retail sales

| sales |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Apparel atores | $+3 \dagger$ | $+15$ | ** |
| Hay, grain and feed atores. |  | $+31$ | $+67$ |
| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . $\%$ | 11.038 | 7 | $+24$ |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \& | 40,312 | - 26 | $+20$ |
| Bank debits (thourands)............. | 8,262 | $-14$ | +24 |
| End-os-monts deposits (thousands) : . \% | 18,049 | $+4$ | $+10$ |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 5.6 | +88 | $+14$ |

## HEREFORD (pop. 7,652)

| Postal receipts* | 10,940 | $-10$ | + 27 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits, lese federal contracta | 196,600 | - 46 | -76 |
| Bank debits (thousands)............. \$ | 23,128 | $+15$ | + 30 |
| End-of-month deposits (thausands) $\ddagger . . \$$ | 14,811 | $+18$ | + 11 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnor | 20.3 |  | + 22 |

HOUSTON (pop. 938,219)

| Retail eales | + $4 \dagger$ | +18 | + 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Apparel 肘的s | + 3 $\ddagger$ | $+$ | $+$ |
| Automotive stores | $+12 \dagger$ | +60 | $+$ |
| Drug storea | + 3 $\ddagger$ |  | - 8 |
| Eating and drinking places. | $+4 \dagger$ |  | $+$ |
| Food stores | ** $\dagger$ |  | ** |
| Furniture and household adplianes stores | + 84 |  | 2 |
| General merchandise storea. | $+104$ | $+20$ | - 1 |
| Liquor atores |  | $+9$ | 4 |
| Lumber, building material, and hardware stores. | ** | + 31 |  |
| Postal receipta* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | ( 2,114,541 | - 8 | + 24 |
| Building permits, less federal contracta | \$25,626,427 | ** | 11 |
| Banls debits (thousands) ............. | \$ 3,594,266 | + 11 | + 8 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger .$. \$ | 1,474,713 |  | + 4 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover..... | 28.8 | $+11$ | $+4$ |
| Employment (area) | 570,300 | ** | + |
| Manufacturing exaployment (area) . | 94, 300 |  | + 2 |
| Percent nnemployed (ares) | 3.7 | - 3 | - 5 |

## HUMBLE (pop. 1,711)

| Postal recelpts* ..................... 8 | 3.725 | $-29$ | + 89 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 37,765 |  |  |
| Bank debits (thousends)............. \$ | 3,515 |  | $+23$ |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. $\$$ | 3,145 | -1 | + 11 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 13.4 | $+$ | + 10 |

## HUNTSVILLE (pop. 11,999)



## IRVING (pop. 45,985)

| Retail sales |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lumber, buitding material, and hardware stores. | + ${ }^{+}$ | - 21 | 4 |
| Postal receipts* .................... ${ }^{\text {\% }}$ | 37,802 | - 17 | $-19$ |
| Building permits, less federal contracta \$ | 2,274,992 | - 37 | $+40$ |
| Bank debits (thousands)............. \$ | 34,981 | $+15$ | $+30$ |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . . \$$ | 14,960 | - 14 |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 26.0 | + 26 | +19 |



IOWA PARK (pop. 5,000r)

| Building perraits, less federal contracte \$. | 118.450 | + 74 | $+20$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............. | 3,866 | +19 | $+10$ |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) \% . | 3,877 | 2 | 1 |
| Annuad rate of deposit turnover. | 11.9 | $+20$ | $+12$ |
| JACKSONVILLE (pop. 10,509r) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* .................... | 23,785 | $+10$ | + 23 |
| Building permits, leas federal contracts \$ | 217,100 | $+14$ | +291 |
| Bank debitm (thousands) ............. | 12,428 | + 4 | $+0$ |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. $\$$ | 9,981 | * | +12 |
| Andual rate of deposit turnover. | 1.5 .0 | +3 | - 3 |

## JASPER (pop. 4,883)

| Retall seles | + 4 ¢ | $+18$ | $+8$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Automotive stores | 5 $\dagger$ | ** | 81 |
| Hay, grain and feed stores. |  | $+34$ | $+35$ |
| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ${ }^{\text {\% }}$ | 7,282 | -8 | + 4 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 9.895 | - 71 | - 93 |
| Bank debits (thoukands).............. 8 | 9,091 | $+21$ | $+6$ |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . . \$$ | 8,284 | $\pm 6$ | $+10$ |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 14.9 | $+17$ | 8 |

## JUSTIN (рер. 622)

| Postal recelpts* | 564 | $\pm 6$ | $+23$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 27,000 | $+80$ |  |
| Bank debits (thousands) | 2,076 | $+86$ | $+32$ |
| End-of-month deposita (thousands) \$. | 913 | $+16$ | + 8 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 29.8 | $+20$ | + 80 |

## KATY (pop. 1,569)

| Postal receipts* .................... | 1,671 | - 44 | $+11$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits, less federal contracta | 11.500 | -60 | 40 |
| Bank debits (thoukands).............. \% | 2,111 | $+18$ | 6 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) i. . \$ | 2,563 | $+16$ | $+7$ |
| Annual rate of deposit ternover. | 10.6 |  | -18 |

KERMIT (pop. 10,465)

| Retail sales |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Drug stores | + 29 | $+7$ | + 2 |
| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 7,386 | - 19 | + 17 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \% | 9,700 | $-77$ |  |

## KILGORE (pop. 10,092)

|  | 18,114 | $-21$ | + 21 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permita, less federal contracta \% | 91,900 | $-53$ | + 54 |
| Bank debits (thousands)............. | 12,360 | $+8$ | + 8 |
| End-of-moonth degosita (thousands) $\ddagger .5$ | 12,910 | $+$ | 2 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 11.5 | $+6$ | $+10$ |
| Employment (area) | 28,850 | ** | + 1 |
| Manufacturing employment (area). | 6,770 | $+1$ |  |
| Percent unemployed (area). | 4.5 | + 15 |  |

## KINGSLAND (pop. 150)

| Postal recejpte* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 8 | 744 |  | * | +123 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bank debits (thoukands) ............. | 514 | - | 9 | ... |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. $\$$ | 502 | $+$ | 1 | $\ldots$ |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 12.4 | - | 9 |  |

## KINGSVILLE (pop. 25,297)

Retail salea

| Drug stores | + 2 | +10 | $+$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Postal recelpts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 15.767 | -13 | +81 |
| Building permits, lesa federal contracts \$ | 88,010 | - 15 | 31 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............. | 13,236 | +9 | $+$ |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . . \$$ | 13.612 | $+1$ | $+$ |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 11.7 | ** |  |


| Local Business Conditions Gity and item | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ott } \\ & 1963 \end{aligned}$ | Percent change |  | Local Business Conditions City and item | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Oct } \\ & 1963 \end{aligned}$ | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Oct } 1963 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Sep } 1963 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Oct } 1+563 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Oct } 1962 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Oct } 1963 \\ & \text { from } 1963 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Oet } 1963 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Oct } 1962 \end{aligned}$ |
| KIRBYVILLE (pop. 1,660) |  |  |  | LTMTLEFTELD (pop. 7,236) |  |  |  |
| Postat receipts* | 4,177 | $+10$ | $+73$ | Retail sales |  |  |  |
| Bank debits (thousands) | 2.445 | - | + 3 | General merchandise stores. | $+19 \dagger$ |  |  |
| End-of.month deposita (thousands) $\ddagger$ | 8 3,258 | $+37$ | + 7 | Postal receipts ${ }^{*}$. . . . . . . . . ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 7,679 | +19 | +8 +15 |
| Annual rate of deposit tarnover. | 10.4 |  | ** | Building permits, less federal contracts | 100,750 |  | - 88 |
| LA FERIA (pop. 3,047) |  |  |  | LLANO (pop. 2,656) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* | 2,620 |  | $+37$ | Postal receipts* | 8,096 | - 8 | + 21 |
| Bank debits (thowsands) | 1,218 | - 37 | $-10$ | Building permits, less federal contracts | 103,744 | +1274 |  |
| End-of-month denosits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | 1,243 | - 13 | -19 | Brank debits (thousands) . . . . . . . . | 4,414 | $-7$ | $-14$ |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 10.9 | -23 | +11 | End-of-month deposita (thoxsands) \#. | 4,235 |  | - |
|  |  |  |  | Annual rate of deposit turnov | 12.4 | $-6$ | $-10$ |
| LA MARQUE (pop. 13,969) |  |  |  | LOCKHART (pop. 6,084) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* | 9,886 | 10 | +25 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | 181,574 | -17 | + 89 | Retail sales |  |  |  |
| Bank debitt (thousands). | 9,453 | + 4 | $+$ | Automotive stores | - $5 \ddagger$ | + 60 |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | 5,170 |  | - 4 | Food stores | + $2 \dagger$ | $+1$ | - |
| Annual zate of deposit turnover | 20.9 | + 11 | $+10$ | Postal receipts* | 4,525 | $+12$ | + 30 |
| Employment (area) | 54,100 | ** |  | Building permits, less federal contracts | 81.705 | +151 | +1181 |
| Manufacturing employment (area) | 10,440 | ********** |  | Bank debits (thousands) ........... | 5,704 |  | +20 |
| Percent unemployed (area) | 6.1 |  | - 28 | End-of-manth deposity (thousands) ; | 5.640 | - 2 |  |
|  |  |  |  | Annual rate of deposit turnover | 12.0 |  | $+26$ |
| LAMESA (pop- 12,438) |  |  |  | LONGVIEW (pop. 40,050) |  |  |  |
| Retail males |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Automotive stores | $-5 \dagger$ | +160 | $+16$ |  |  |  |  |
| Drug stores |  |  | ** | Apparel stores | + ${ }^{\text {¢ }}$ |  | +17 |
| Lutaber, brilding material |  |  | \% | Automotive stores | - $5^{+}$ | + 80 | +14 |
| and hardware stores. | ${ }_{5} \dagger$ | $+15$ | - | Drug stores | + $2 \dagger$ | + 5 | $+16$ |
| Postal recelpts* | 14,037 | -13 | $+33$ | Eating and drinking pleces | + $2 \dagger$ | + 14 | $-1$ |
| Bank debits (thousamds) | 20,993 | + 34 | +11 | Lumber, building material, |  |  |  |
| End-of-month denosits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | 16,322 | +14 |  | and hardwars miores. | $-{ }^{5 \dagger}$ | $+15$ |  |
| Annual rete of dedosit turnover | 16.5 | +26 | + 15 | Postal receipts* . ................ | 56,321 | $-6$ | +17 |
| Nonagricultural placements | 88 | - 22 | + 9 | Building permits, less federal contracts | 417,300 | + 74 +13 | -44 |
|  |  |  |  | Bank debitt (thensands)............ | 50,816 | + 13 | ** |
| LAMPASAS (pop. 5,061) |  |  |  | End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ Annual rate of deposit turnover | 38.629 15.7 | +11 | - |
| Postai receipts* ................. | 6,593 | - 12 |  | Employment (area) ................. | 28,850 | + ${ }_{*}$ |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracta | 41,700 | - 54 | 31 | Manufacturing employment (area). | 5,770 | + 1 |  |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............ | 7,805 | + | . | Percent unemployed (area). | 4.5 | + 15 |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousanda) | 6,699 | - 2 | ** | LOS FRESNOS (pop. 1,289) |  |  |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover...... | 13.8 | + 2 | - ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| LA PORTE (pop. 4,512) |  |  |  | Postal recefpts**.................. | 1,467 |  | + 62 |
|  |  |  |  | Building permits, less federal contracta | 10,000 | +108 | +158 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............ | 4,495 | + 18 | +10 | Bank dehits (thousands)............ | 1,887 | -7 | + 30 |
| End-of-month deposits (thoutends) $\ddagger$. | 2,930 | + | +10 +3 | End-af-month deposits (thausinds) $\ddagger$. | 1,880 | - 11 | + 2 |
| Annual rate of depolit turnover...... | 18.4 | + 12 | + 6 | Annusl rate of deposit turnover. | 15.4 | $+11$ | $+20$ |
| LAREDO (pop. 60,678) |  |  |  | LUBBOCK (pop. 128,691) |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Retaill selea $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots .$.Apparel storea |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | + ${ }^{1+}$ | + +12 |  |
| Apparel stores | + $8 t$ | + 15 | + 14 | Automotive stores ................. | - $5^{9}$ | + | + ${ }^{15}$ |
| General merchandise stores. | + 197 | + 14 | +13 | General merchandige stores. | +19¢ | +5 |  |
| Postal receipts* | 40,491 | + 6 | + 13 | Postal receipts* | 216,686 | + 4 | +19 |
| Building Dermits, less federal contracts | 1,130,180 | $+637$ | $+278$ | Building permits, less federal contracts | 2,128,527 | + 20 | +11 |
| Bank debits (thousands)........... | 37,600 | +15 | + 9 | Bank debita (thourands)............ | 247,974 | + 24 | +18 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | 25,679 | - 1 | + 2 | Eind-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. . | 122,939 |  | +1 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 17.5 | $+15$ | + 7 | Annual rate of deposit turnover...... | 24,0 | +21 | +12 |
| Employment (area) ................ | 18,900 | ** | + 1 | Employment (area) .......... | 55,600 | + 1 | + 6 |
| Manufacturing employment (area) . Percent unemployed (area) ....... | 1,350 | $+4$ | + 5 | Manufactaring employment (area) | 5,940 | +1 |  |
| Percent unemployed (area). Nonagricultural placementa | 8.5 | $+{ }^{6}$ | $+2$ | Percent unemployed (area) | 3.4 | $-8$ | * |
| Nonagricultural placementa | 429 | 12 | $-15$ |  |  |  |  |
| LEVELLAND (pop. 10,153) |  |  |  | LUFKIN (pop. 17,641) |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Postal receipts* <br> Building permits less federal contrats | 29,722 788,802 |  | +18 +385 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | 591,694 | + 55 | +219 | Bank debits (thoussands) . . . . . . . . . . \$ | 788,802 31,540 | +214 $+\quad 2$ | +386 +16 |
| Bank debits (thoussndis) ............ | 15,677 | + 46 | + 3 | End-of-month deposits (thousanda) $\ddagger$. | 28,084 |  |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) \% . . | 11,986 | + 21 | + 9 | Annual rate of deposit turnover...... | 13.7 |  | +10 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 17.3 | +28 |  | Nonagricultaral placements | 70 | + 82 | - 26 |


| Local Business Conditions | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Oct } \\ & 1963 \end{aligned}$ | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Oct 1963 } \\ \text { from } \\ \text { Sep 1963 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Oct } 1963 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Oct } 1962 \end{aligned}$ |
| McALIEN (pop. 32,728) |  |  |  |
| Retail malen | + ${ }^{4}$ | + 29 | - |
| Appatel storea | + ${ }^{\dagger}$ | + 21 | - |
| Automotive stores | - . $5 \dagger$ | $+63$ |  |
| Food storea | + $2 \dagger$ | -15 |  |
| Furniture and household apdliance stores ..... | + ${ }^{\dagger}$ | - | -13 |
| Gasoline and service stations | - 1t | - 11 | - 20 |
| Building permits, lens federal contracts \$ | 161,765 | - 29 | -21 |
| Bank deblts (thouasnds) ............. | 27,160 | + | ** |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. . $\$$ | 19,827 | - | ** |
| Annual rate of deposit tarnover...... | 16.0 | $+$ | - |
| Employment (area) | 40,650 |  |  |
| Manufacturing employment (area). | 8,890 |  |  |
| Percent unemployed (area) | 6.2 |  | - 2 |
| Nonagrioultaral placements | 296 |  | 54 |
| McCAMEY (pop. 3,375) |  |  |  |
| Postal recelpts** ................... ${ }^{8}$ | 2,483. | - 38 |  |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............ | 2,194 | + 38 | - |
| End-ot-monts deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | 1,657 | $-16$ | - 16 |
| Annual rate of debosit tarnover | 14.5 | $+42$ |  |
| McGREGOR (pop. 4,642) |  |  |  |
| Huilding permits, less federal contracta | 37,600 | + 66 | +3033 |
| Bank debits (therasands) . . . . . . . . . . \% | 3,892 | - 10 |  |
| End-pt-month deposits (thousandi) $\ddagger$. . \$ | 6,73? | - |  |
| Annual rate of dedofit turnover...... | 8.0 |  |  |

## McKINNEY (pop. 13,763)

Retri1 sales

| Food etores | + $2 \dagger$ | + 15 | + 14 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Furniture and household sppliance stores | $+3 \dagger$ | + 24 | $+5$ |
| Postal receipts* . ..................... | 12,955 | $-15$ | + 29 |
| Building permites, less federal contracts | 95,520 | - 42 | $-44$ |
| Bank debits (thorasands)............. | 15,222 | $+21$ | $+3$ |
| Endroi-tmonth deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. ${ }^{\text {S }}$ | 11,071 | $+4$ |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 16.8 | + 12 | 2 |
| Nonagricultural placementa | 92 | - 30 | - 33 |

## MARSHALL (pop. 23,846)

Retail salest

|  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Apparel stores | $+39$ | $+$ | - 12 |
| Postal reeipta* | 26,023 | -16 | + 23 |
| Building permits, leas federal contracts \$ | 100,274 | - 65 | + 66 |
| Bank debits (thousands).............. | 19,211 | $+16$ | $+10$ |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) \%. \$ | 22,432 | + | $+2$ |
| Annual rate of deposit tarnover | 10.3 | $+14$ | + 12 |
| Nonagrieultaral placements | 168 | - | + 28 |

## MESQUITE (pop. 27,526)

| Postal receipts* | *. 16,115 | $+$ | $+54$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building dermits, leas federal contracts | \$ 1,558.791 | $+46$ | $+41$ |
| Bank debita (thounands) | 7,735 | - 14 | + 9 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | 6,080 | 2 | $+6$ |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 15.2 | - 4 | 8 |
| Employment (area) | 496,400 | ** |  |
| Manuiacturing employment (area) | 109,150 | ** |  |
| Percent unemployed (area) | 8.2 | - 6 |  |

## MERCEDES (pop. 10,943)

| Postal receipts* ${ }^{*}$. ${ }^{\text {a }}$................. $\%$ | 5.191 |  | $+15$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building jermits, less federal contracts \$ | 16,666 | +12 | -63 |
| Bank debits (thousands) . . . . . . . . . . | 4,845 | -25 | 18 |
| End-si-month deposits (thourands) \% . \$ | 3,692 | 11 | 18 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 14.9 | $-19$ |  |



## MIDLAND (pop. 62,625)

| Retalt seles Drug atores | + $2 \dagger$ | - | - 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Postal reieipta . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 118,234 | $+$ | $+34$ |
| Building permits, less federal contractas | [93,125 |  | $+6$ |
| Bank debita (thousands)............. $\$$ | 142,911 | $+$ | $+8$ |
| End-of-month deposita (thousands) $\ddagger$. $\$$ | 104,488 |  | + 5 |
| Annuas rate of deposit turnover. | 16.4 | $+$ |  |
| Employment (area) | 58,100 |  |  |
| Manufactaring employment (area). | 4,180 | - |  |
| Percent momployed (area).. | 8.3 | - |  |
| Nonagricultural placementa | 675 | - |  |

## MIDLOTHIAN (pop. 1,521)

| Building permits, less federal contracts $\$$ | 48,200 | + | 48 | +382 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............. | 1,504 | + | 5 | +1 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . . \$$ | 1,674 | - | 6 | -10 |
| Annual rate of deposit tarnover. .... | 10.5 | + | 4 | +9 |

## MINERAL WELLS (pop. 11,053)

Retail sales
Genaral merchandise storea. ........ $+19 \dagger$ + 6 - 11
Postal receipt .................. 11,00
Building permits, less federal contracta
Bank debits (thorasands) $11,660-37+5$

Bank debits (thonsanda).......... 18,
End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. $\%$ 12,185 $-12+10$
Annual rate of deposit turnover...... $13.1+13+19$
Nonagrieultural placements
$+41+15$

MISSION (pop. 14,081)

| Postal receipta* | 9,569 | - ${ }^{6}$ | +18 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permita, leas federal contracts \$ | 23,860 | - 61 | -43 |
| Bank debits (thousands)............. | 10.051 | + 1 |  |
| End-of-month deposite (thomsands) $\ddagger$. \$ | 8,135 | 2 | -13 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 14.7 | + 1 | $\pm 1$ |

## MONAHANS (pop. 8,567)

| Postal receipts* . .................... ${ }^{\text {s }}$ | 8,868 | - 23 | + 19 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 57,000 | $-32$ | -68 |
| Bank deblts (thoasands).............. \$ | 11,025 | + 17 |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) \% . | 7.716 | + 8 | -8 |
| Annual rate of deponit turnover | 17.8 | $+13$ | $+16$ |

MOUNT PLEASANT (pop. 8,027)
Retail sales

| Apparel stores | + $8{ }^{\text {d }}$ | 4 | - 18 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . \$ | 10,382 | - 14 | + 7 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 144,800 | $+106$ | +100 |
| Bank debits (thousands).:.......... | 10.883 | + 12 |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. \$ | 7,741 |  | 16 |
|  | 17.0 | $+$ |  |


| Local Business Conditions |  | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Oct <br> Oct 1963 | Oct 1963 <br> from <br> from <br> Gity and item |
|  |  |  |  |

MUENSTER (pop. 1,190)
Retail sales

| Automotive stores | - ${ }^{\text {F }}$ | + 19 | - 80 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Postal recelpts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ${ }^{\text {S }}$ | 1,482 | $-13$ | $+47$ |
| Bank debita (thonsends) ............. ${ }^{\text {\% }}$ | 2,416 | - I | 8 |
| End-oi-month deposits (thousands) $\mathrm{F} . . \%$ | 2,191 | 3 |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 1.8 .0 |  |  |

## NACOGDOCHES (pop. 12,674)

| Retail sales |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Apparel stores | $+3{ }^{+}$ | ** | - 2 |
| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 25,098 | $+41$ | + 18 |
| Building permits, leas federal contracts \$ | 224,560 | $+53$ | - 84 |
| Bank debits (thourands) ............. . | 22,827 | $+15$ | + 25 |
| End-of-month deposita (thousands) \$. . \$ | 21,555 | + 4 | + 12 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 12.9 | $+9$ | $+9$ |
| Nonagricaltaral placements | 180 | + 13 | $+14$ |
| NEDERLAND (pop. 12,036) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ${ }^{\text {\% }}$ | 7,685 | $-17$ | $+11$ |
| Bank debita (thousands) .............. $\%$ | 5,188 | - 9 | -7 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. $\$$ | ¢,128 | +17 | + 23 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 18.1 | - 18 | - 20 |

NEW BRAUNFELS (pop. 15,631)

| Retail sales |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Automotive stores | $5 \dagger$ | $+10$ | + 84 |
| Postal receipts* . ..................... | 18,760 | 8 | - 4 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 266,960 | + 31 | - 21 |
| Bank deblta (thousands) ..............\$ | 13,919 | + 12 | + 14 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. \$ | 12,571 | - 3 | ** |
| Annual rate of deposit tarnover. | 13.1 | $+18$ | + 11 |
| NORTH RICHLAND HILLS (pop. 8,662) |  |  |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 246,320 | $+17$ | + 58 |
| Bank debits (thousands) . . . . . . . . . . . \$ | 4,944 | $+24$ | +161 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger+. \$$ | 2,694 |  | +145 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 21.7 | $+20$ | + 44 |

## ODESSA (pop. 80,338)

## Retail sales

| Furniture and bousehold appliance stores ..... | + $8 \dagger$ | 2. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Postal recelpts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 実 | 86,500 | - 4 | $+22$ |
| Building permits, Iesa federal contracts \% | 257,485 | -66 | $-87$ |
| Bank debits (thousands)............. | 80,593 | + 8 |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) \%. . \$ | 81,229 | $+10$ |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 12.5 | $+4$ |  |
| Employment (area) | 58,100 | ** | $+6$ |
| Manufacturing employment (ares). | 4,180 | - 1 | $+56$ |
| Percent unemployed (area) | 8.3 | - 3 |  |
| Nonagricultural placements | 511 | - 1 | - 14 |

## ORANGE (pop. 25,605)

| Retall sales | + 4i |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| General merchandise | $+196$ |  | 25 |
| Postal recelpts* | 24,008 | - 21 | $+17$ |
| Bultding permits, less federal contracts | 242,995 | + 28 | - 34 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | 32,991 | +18 |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\$$. . S | 25,497 | +9 |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 16.2 | $+11$ |  |
| Employment (area) | 108,600 | ** | $+$ |
| Manufacturing employment (axea) | 36,090 |  |  |
| Percent unmmployed (area) | 5.5 | 8 | 11 |
| Nonagricultural placements | 159 | - 23 |  |

PALESTINE (pop. 13,974)
Postal receipts* ..........................
Building permits, less federal contracts :
Bank debits (thousands)................
End-of-month deposits (thousands) \$. .
Annual rate of depasit turnover.

| 15,968 | -26 | +15 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 83,717 | -25 | +41 |
| 13,412 | +18 | +12 |
| 16,006 | +4 | +3 |
| 10.3 | +17 | + |


| Loca |  | Conditions |  | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Oct | Oct 1968 from | Oct 1963 from |

PAMPA (pop. 24,664)

| Retail sales | $+4 \dagger$ | ** | $\cdots$ | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lumber, building material, and hardware stores... | m 所 | +5 |  | * |
| Postal recefpta* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 24,543 | $-12$ | + | 8 |
| Building permits, less federsi contracts | 34,775 | -84 | $\cdots$ | 60 |
| Bank debita (thousands) . . . . . . . . . . . \% | 27,175 | $+24$ | $+$ | 8 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. $\$$ | 21,730 | $+3$ | - | 1 |
| Annual rate of deposit tarnover. | 15.2 | + 21 | $+$ | 9 |
| Nonagricultoral placements | 162 | - 2 | - | 7 |

PARIS (pop. 20,977)

| Retail sales | $\pm 4 \dagger$ | + 18 | $+15$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Apparel ntores | + 3 | $-9$ | + 4 |
| Avtomotive stores | ${ }^{5} \dagger$ | +41 | $+17$ |
| Building permits, less federal contracta \$ | 405,238 | $+60$ | + 76 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............. | 22,418 | $+15$ | $+13$ |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) t. \% | 16,411 | $+7$ | + 8 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 16.9 | $+8$ |  |
| Nonagricultural placementa | 121 | +88 | -41 |

PASADENA (pop. 58,737)

| Retail salem | + $4 \dagger$ | $+18$ | + 19 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Apparel storea | + 34 | + 1 | 2 |
| Autometive stores | - 5t | $+30$ | $+31$ |
| Postal receipts* . ..................... | 46,054 | +19 | + 90 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 1,124,350 | $+47$ | - 22 |
| Bank debita (thourands) ............. | 52,199 | $\pm 4$ | $+18$ |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) \% . | 28,441 |  |  |
| Annual rate of depoait turnover. | 22.2 | + 9 | $+7$ |

PECOS (pop. 12,728)

| Postal reoelpts* | 14,104 | - 7 | $+88$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | 269,831 | +1473 | +501 |
| Bank debits (thourands)............. | 21,166 | $+35$ | 5 |
| End-ot-month deposits (thousands) \$. . \$ | 10,991 | + 4 | + 8 |
| Annual rate of deporit turnover. | 28.6 | $+38$ | - 9 |
| Nonagricultural placements | 88 | $+13$ | 8 |

## PHARR (pop. 14,106)

| P | 5,945 | - 27 | + 17 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permita, less feieral contracte | 33,305 | + 33 | 47 |
| Bank debits (thousends) | 4,039 | + 8 |  |
| End-0i-month deposits (thoussads) $\ddagger$. ${ }^{\text {\% }}$ | 3,569 |  | $+3$ |
| Annual rate of deponlt turnover. | 13.8 | + 28 | +14 |

## PILOT POINT (pop. 1,254)

| Building permits, leas federal contracts | 56,000 | +143 | +1202 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............. | 1,876 | + 4 | $+17$ |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) \% . \$ | 1,994 | - 5 |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 11.0 | - 1 |  |

PLAINVIEW (pop. 18,735)

| Retaill salea | + ${ }^{4} \dagger$ | + 22 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Artomotive stores | $5 \dagger$ | + 28 | + |
| General merchandise stores | + 19+ | +12 | $+$ |
| Lumber, building material, and hardware stores.... | - $5 \dagger$ | +35 | $+55$ |
| Postal receipts* ..................... | 25,787 | - 7 | + 28 |
| Building permits, leas federal contracts | 169,500 | $-15$ | 42 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | 54,132 | ... | $+34$ |
| End-of-month deposits (thorsands) $\ddagger$. . | 29,901 |  |  |
| Nonagricultural placements | 324 | -22 |  |


| Local Business Conditions Clty and item | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Oct } \\ & 1968 \end{aligned}$ | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Oct 1962 } \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Sep 1963 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Oct } 1963 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Oct } 1962 \end{aligned}$ |
| PORT ARTHUR（pop．66，676） |  |  |  |
| Retail sales | $+4 i$ | ＋ 26 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Furniture and household appliance storen． | ＋89 | － 2 |  |
| Postal receipts＊．．．．．．．．．．．．．． 5 | 57，000 | － 21 | ＋ 30 |
| Building permits，less federal contracts \＄ | 308，792 | － 23 |  |
| Bank debits（thoussands）．．．．．．．．．．．．．t | 64，060 | $+6$ |  |
| End－of－month deposits（thousands） 5 ．$\%$ | 44，076 | $+$ |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover．．．．．． | 18.0 | $+$ |  |
| Employment（area）．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．． | 108，600 | ＊＊ |  |
| Manufacturing employment（area） | 36，090 |  |  |
| Percent unemployed（aren） | 5.5 |  |  |

## PORT ISABEL（pop．3，575）

| Postal receipts＊ | 2，196 | $-29$ | ＋ 49 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bank debits（thousands）．．．．．．．．．．．．．． | 1，426 | $+18$ |  |
| End－of－month deposits（thousands）$\ddagger . . \$$ | 1，355 | $+28$ | － 5 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 14.2 |  | $+21$ |

## PORT NECHES（pop．8，696）



| QUANAF（pop．4，564） |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 4，807 | － 23 | ＋19 |
| Building permits，less federal contracts \＆ | 62，200 | －77 | $+36$ |
| Bank debits（thonsands）．．．．．．．．．．．．．． | 5，984 | $+35$ | 2 |
| End－of－month deposits（thousands）\＄．\＄ | 5，627 | $+3$ | 9 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover． | 12.9 | ＋88 |  |

## RAYMONDVILLE（pop．9，385）

| Retail galen |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Automotive gtores |  | － 16 | － 24 |
| Lumber，buildiag material， and hardware stores． |  | ＋ 44 |  |
| Postal receipts＊．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．${ }^{\text {\＄}}$ | 7，564 | ＋27 |  |
| Building dermits，less federsl contracts \＄ | 14，400 | －75 | －． 30 |
| Bank debits（thousands）．．．．．．．．．．． | 7，016 | － 27 |  |
| End－or－month deposits（thousands）\％．\％ | 7，549 | － | 20 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 10.8 | －21 | ＋ |
| Nonagricultural placements | 34 | － 48 |  |

## ROBSTOWN（pop．10，266）

Building permitts，less federal contracta \＄ Bank debits（thousands）．．．．．．．．．．．．$\$$ End－of－month deposita（thousands）$\ddagger \ldots \$ 12$ Annual rate of deposit turnovea．．．．． $18.4-1+15$

| 74,560 | -14 | +103 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 10,790 | -3 | -3 |
| 9,582 | -2 | -12 |
| 18.4 | -1 | +15 |

## ROCKDALE（pop．4，481）

| Postal | 4，578 | $-13$ | $+19$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Buildieg permits，less federal contracts \＄ | 70，900 | ＋1166 | $+107$ |
| Bank debits（thousands）．．．．．．．．．．．．．\％ | 4，890 | ＋19 | $+10$ |
| End－of－month deposita（thousamds）$\%$ ． | 6，006 | $+$ |  |
| Annual rate of deporit turnover． | 9.4 | $+19$ |  |

## ROSENBERG（pop．9，698）

| Postal receipts＊．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．$\%$ | 9，311 | 8 | $+14$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits，less federal contracts \＄ | 104，550 | $+49$ |  |
| End－of－month deposits（thousands）$\ddagger$ ．$\$$ | 10，5 |  | $+13$ |


| I | Business | Conditions |  | Percen | change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Oct 1963 | Oct 1963 from |
|  | City and item |  | 1963 | Sep 1963 | Oct 1962 |

## SAN ANGELO（pop．58，815）

| Retail sales | $+4 \dagger$ |  | － |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| General merchandise stores． | $+19 \dagger$ | ＋1 | $-10$ |
| Jewelry stores |  | ＋ 24 | ＋23 |
|  | 95，957 | $+15$ | ＋ 48 |
| Building permits，less federal contracts \％ | 968，112 | ＋ 65 | $-46$ |
| Bank debits（thousands）．．．．．．．．．．．．． | 64，913 | ＋ 12 | $+$ |
| End－of－month deposits（thousands）：．\％ | 60，008 | 2 |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover． | 15.4 | $+13$ |  |
| Employment（area） | 19，850 | ＊＊ | － 1 |
| Manufacturing employment（area）． | 3，130 |  | ＊＊ |
| Percent unemployed（ares） | 5.0 | － 5 | $+$ |

## SAN ANTONIO（pop．587，718）

| Retall sates | $+5 \dagger$ | ＋ 9 | －5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Apparel storea | ＋ $4 \dagger$ | $+8$ | ＋ |
| Automotive stores | $+2 \dagger$ | $+25$ | － 1 |
| Drag stores | $+5 \dagger$ | ＋ 2 | － 1 |
| Eating and drinking places | ＋ 34 | ＊＊ | － 1 |
| Food stores |  |  | 10 |
| Furniture and household appliance storea | ＋ $10 \dagger$ | ＋ 33 | $+1$ |
| Gasoline and eervice etations | ＊＊$\dagger$ | $-8$ |  |
| General merchandise stores． | ＋16才 | $+4$ | 8 |
| Jewelry stores | ．．． | ＋18 | $+$ |
| Liquor stores |  | $+6$ | － 2 |
| Lumber，building material， and handware stores．．． | ＋ 4 中 |  | ＋ 18 |
| Nurseries | ．．． | ＋16 | － 39 |
| Postal recejpts＊．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．\＄ | 849，415 |  | ＋ 21 |
| Building permita，less federal contracts \＄ | 4，325，614 | $-22$ | ＋ 21 |
| Brak debits（thousands）．．．．．．．．．．．\＄ | 774，260 | ＋ 9 | $+7$ |
| End－of－month deposita（thourands） $4 . .5$ | 419，910 | ＊＊ | ＋ 3 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover． | 22.1 | ＋ 8 | ＋ $\mathbf{3}$ |
| Employment（area）．．．．．．．．．．．．． | 210.500 | － 1 | ＋ 1 |
| Manufacturing employment（area）． | 25，725 | ＊＊ | ＋ 4 |
| Percent unemployed（area）．．．． | 4.6 | － 4 | ＋ |

## SAN BENITO（pop．16，422）

Retail sales

| Atutomotive stores | －所 | ＋ 50 | $+10$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Postal receipts ．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．\＄ | 10，975 | $+27$ | $+47$ |
| Building permits，less federal contracts \＄ | 10，750 | － 72 | $+82$ |
| Bank debita（thousands）．．．．．．．．．．．． | 5，040 | － 14 | － 14 |
| End－of－month deposits（thousands）$\ddagger+.8$ | 5，578 | 3 | $-14$ |
| Anntal rate of deposit ternover． | 10.7 | 6 | $+3$ |
| Employment（area） | 83，400 | ＋ 1 |  |
| Manufacturing employment（area）． | 4，780 |  | －1 |
| Percent unemployed（area）． | 6.6 | ＋ 5 | － 11 |

## SAN JUAN（pop．4，371）

| Postal receipts＊ | 2，015 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bank debits（thoarands） | 2，234 | $+$ | 8 | $+$ | 5 |
| End－of－month deposita（thousands）$\$ . . \$$ | 2，008 | $+$ | 8 | $+$ | 4 |
| Annial rate of deposit tarnover | 13.9 | － | 1 | $+$ | 2 |

SAN MARCOS（pop．12，713）

| Postal receipts＊ | 12，833 | $-40$ | ＋82 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permita，less federal contracts＊ | 55，560 | $-81$ | －89 |
| Bank debits（thousands）．．．．．．．．．．．．． | 10，070 | ＋ 11 | $+20$ |
| End－of－month deposits（thousands）$\ddagger . . \$$ | 9，885 | $-6$ | $+6$ |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover． | 11.9 | $+10$ | $+10$ |
| SAN SABA（pop．2，728） |  |  |  |
| Building permits，less federal contracts \＄ | 7，000 | － 60 |  |
| Benk debits（thousands）．．．．．．．．．．${ }^{\text {\％}}$ | 5，446 | ＋ 22 |  |
| End－of－month deposits（thousands）$\ddagger$ ． | 6，183 | $+2$ |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turno | 12.8 | $+20$ |  |


| Local Business Conditions |  | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| City and item | Oct <br> Oct 1963 <br> from | Oct 1963 <br> from <br> 1963 | Sep 1963 |
| Oct 1962 |  |  |  |


| SEAGOVILLE (pop. 3,745) |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Postal receipts*................. | 4,662 | +14 | +74 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts $\$$ | 11,288 | +99 | -86 |
| Bank debits (thousands)......... | 2,439 | +5 | -1 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\& . .8$ | 1,620 | -2 | +9 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover...... | 17.9 | +3 | -13 |

## SEGUIN (pop. 14,299)

| Retail sales |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Automotive stores | - 5 ¢ | $-18$ | ** |
| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ${ }^{\text {\% }}$ | 10,485 | $-27$ | $+13$ |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 51,020 | - 53 | $+33$ |
| Bank deblts (thousands) ............. | 12,058 | $+4$ |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger .$. \% | 16,012 | $+2$ | + 8 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 9.1 | + 2 |  |

## SHERMAN (pop. 24,988)

| Retail sales | $+4 \dagger$ | $+14$ | - | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Apparel stores | $+3 \dagger$ | + 7 | - | 8 |
| Automotive stores | 5 | $+35$ | $+$ | 2 |
| Furniture and household appliance stores | $+3 i$ | 6 | - | 4 |
| General merchandise stores. | + $19 \dagger$ |  | $+$ | 7 |
| Postal receipts* ......................\% | 37,303 | $-3$ |  | 24 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 189,916 | -52 | $+$ | 9 |
| Nonagricultural placements | 165 | - | - | 42 |

## SILSBEE (pop. 6,277)

| Postal receipts* | 8,767 | $+$ | 3 | $+28$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 31,350 | - | 1 | 34 |
| Bank debits (thousands)............. | 4,806 | $+$ | 4 | $+10$ |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. $\$$ | 5,392 | - | 2 |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 10.6 | $+$ | 6 |  |

## SINTON (pop. 6,008)

| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 5,679 | - 3 | $+19$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bank debita (thousands)............. | 4,479 | $-16$ | 10 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. $\$$ | 4,657 | 2 | - 1 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 11.4 | - 12 |  |

## SLATON (pop. 6,568)

| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . \% | 4,899 | $+14$ | $+50$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 5,400 | $-95$ | $-79$ |
| Bank debits (thousands)............. ${ }^{\text {\% }}$ | 4,213 | $+22$ | ** |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\$ .$. \% | 3,782 | $+10$ | + 2 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 14.0 | $+20$ | ** |
| Employment (area) | 55,600 |  |  |
| Manufacturing employment (ares). | 5,940 |  |  |
| Percent unemployed (ares) | 3.4 |  | ** |

## SMITHVILLE (pop. 2,933)

| Postal receipts* | 2,183 | $-14$ | $+38$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permita, less federal contracts \$ | 900 | -40 | -89 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | 1,267 | $+8$ |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousand.) \$ . \$ | 2,467 |  |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 6.2 | + 7 |  |

## SNYDER (pop. 13,850)

| Retail sales |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Apparel stores | $+3 \dagger$ | $+11$ | $+4$ |
| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . \$ | 14,342 | + 12 | + 3 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 103,000 | +156 | $+16$ |
| Bank debits (thousands)............. \$ | 15,238 | $+45$ | $+18$ |
| End-ot-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. . \$ | 19,309 | + 14 |  |
| Annual rate of deposit tarnover. | 10.1 | $+31$ |  |



| Postal receipts* | 6,962 | $-9$ | $+19$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \& | 46,571 | 75 | 53 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............ ${ }^{\text {\% }}$ | 5,574 | + 14 | + 18 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . . \$$ | 4,102 | ** | $+21$ |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 16.3 | $+16$ | - 2 |

## SULPHUR SPRINGS (pop. 9,160)

| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 13,460 | 9 | + 69 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \% | 121,200 | + 2 | 15 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............. \$ | 13,722 | $+15$ | $+12$ |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . . \$$ | 13,883 | + 5 | + 5 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 12.1 | $+\quad 9$ | + 6 |

## SWEETWATER (pop. 13,914)

| Retail sales | $+4 \dagger$ | $+11$ | - 6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Apparel stores | $+3 \dagger$ | $+13$ | + 6 |
| Automotive stores | 5 $\dagger$ | $+14$ | 9 |
| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ${ }^{\text {\% }}$ | 11,263 | $-47$ | $+17$ |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 348,330 | $+86$ | $+488$ |
| Bank debits (thousands)............. | 14,334 | $+34$ | - 1 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) \$. \% | 10,772 | + 8 |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover..... | 16.6 | $+26$ | $-5$ |
| Nonagricultural placements | 112 | $+35$ | $-14$ |

TAYLOR (pop. 9,434)
Retail sales

| Automotive stores | - $5 \dagger$ | $+48$ | $+9$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . \% | 8,647 | 2 | $+18$ |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 91,355 | $-35$ | $+30$ |
| Bank debits (thousands).............. $\$$ | 11,080 | 3 | $+18$ |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . . \$$ | 17,178 | +1 | $+11$ |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 7.8 | 7 | + 7 |
| Nonagricultural placements | 22 | $-21$ | $-74$ |

## TEMPLE (pop. 30,419)

| Retail sales | $+4 \dagger$ | $+13$ | $+9$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Apparel stores | $+3 \dagger$ | + 5 | ** |
| Furniture and household sppliance stores | $+3 \dagger$ | $+38$ | $+18$ |
| Lumber, building material, and hardware stores... | - $5 \dagger$ | $+12$ | $+9$ |
| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 48,435 | $+1$ | + 49 |
| Nonagricultural placements | 184 | $-35$ | -34 |
| TERRELL (pop. 13,803) |  |  |  |
| Portal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . \% | 8,119 | $-22$ | $-7$ |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 89,713 | $+18$ | -92 |
| Bank debits (thousands)............. | 10,765 | +1 | $+18$ |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. \$ | 9,775 |  |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover...... | 13.8 | 7 | $+5$ |
| Nonagricultural placements | 56 |  | - 45 |

TEXARKANA, TEX. (pop. 30,218)
Retail sales

| Automotive stores | $5 \dagger$ | +124 | $+24$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Furniture and household appliance stores |  |  | + 1 |
| Postal receipts*§ . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . \% | 61,799 | $-23$ |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 206,890 | $+108$ | $+81$ |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............. | 73,489 | $+10$ | $+12$ |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger 8 . \$$ | 18,636 | ** | + 6 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnovers.... | 20.8 | $+12$ | $+11$ |
| Employment (area) | 32,200 | ** | $+$ |
| Manufacturing employment (area). | 6,950 |  | $+26$ |
| Percent unemployed (area) | 5.3 | + 2 | $-12$ |


| Local Business <br> City and item | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Oct } \\ & 1963 \end{aligned}$ | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Oct } 1963 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Sep } 1963 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Oct } 1963 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Oct } 1962 \end{aligned}$ |
| TEXAS CITY (pop. 32,065) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* .................... $\%$ | 24,005 |  | + 11 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \% | 427,106 | +137 | + 51 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............ \% | 24.519 | + | + 13 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. . | 13,744 | $+$ | - |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 21.7 | $+$ | $+$ |
| Employment (area) ................. | 54,100 | ** | + |
| Manufacturing employment (area). | 10,440 | * |  |
| Percent unemployed (area) | 6.1 |  | - 28 |
| TOMBALL (pop. 1,713) |  |  |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 19,000 | +280 | - |
| Bank debits (thousands) .............s | 7,654 | $+10$ | -8 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) \$. | 5,514 | - 4 |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover...... | 16.3 | +19 |  |

## TYLER (pop. 51,230)

| Retail sales | $+4 \dagger$ | $+9$ | ** |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Apparel stores | + 3† | * | $-4$ |
| Automotive stores | $5 \dagger$ | $+13$ | + 2 |
| Postal receipts* .................... $\%$ | 115,157 | $+10$ | + 24 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | 1,931,741 | +146 |  |
| Bank debits (thousands) .............. | 107,293 |  |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. \$ | 69,915 |  |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 18.5 | + 8 |  |
| Employment (area) | 31,750 | ** |  |
| Manufacturing employment (ares). | 7,970 | ** | +12 |
| Percent unemployed (area)......... | 3.7 | , |  |
| Nonagricultural placements | 616 |  | 33 |

## UVALDE (pop. 10,293)

Retall sales

| Lumber, building material, and hardware stores.... | - ${ }^{\text {¢ }}$ | + 34 | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Postal recelpts* ................... \% | 8,445 | -45 | + 19 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 196,030 | + 74 |  |
| Bank debits (thousands)............ \$ | 12,741 | -21 | 10 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. ${ }_{\text {\% }}$ | 9,206 | 5 |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 16.2 | -18 | - 8 |

## VERNON (pop. 12,141)

| Retail sales |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Automotive stores | ${ }^{5} \dagger$ | +13 +185 | -13 +56 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 112,521 | +186 | + 56 |
| Bank debits (thousands)............. | 16,861 | $+21$ | $+18$ |
| End-of-month deposits (thoussands) \$. \$ | 19,368 | + 1 | + 2 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover...... | 10.5 | + 19 | + 9 |
| Nonagricultural placements | 80 | $+29$ | $+38$ |

## VICTORIA (pop. 33,047)



## WAXAHACHIE (pop. 12,749)

| Postal receipts* ....................\% | 17,487 | $+17$ | $+38$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 145,750 | -61 | +193 |
| Bank debits (thousands)............. | 6,275 | + 6 | +18 |
| End-of-month deposits (thoussends) \$. \$ | 5,255 | 5 | + 8 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover...... | 14.0 | -18 | $+21$ |
| Nonagricultural placements | 86 | $-26$ | $+5$ |

## WACO (pop. 103,462r)

| Retail sales | $+4 \dagger$ | $+4$ | $+2$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Apparel stores | $+3 \dagger$ |  | $+17$ |
| General merchandise stores | $+19 \dagger$ | + 2 | $+1$ |
| Lumber, building material, and hardware stores. | $-5 \dagger$ | + 5 | $+7$ |
| Postal receipts* . .................... \$ | 195,914 | - 1 | $+18$ |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 1,192,209 | $+42$ | $+108$ |
| Bank debits (thousands)............. \$ | 124,850 | $+$ | + 2 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . \$$ | 77,047 | $+$ | $+6$ |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 20.0 | - | 2 |
| Employment (area) | 51,900 | ** | $+5$ |
| Manufacturing employment (area). | 10,830 | ** | $+6$ |
| Percent unemployed (area) | 4.6 | + 2 | $+5$ |

## WEATHERFORD (pop. 9,759)

| Postal receipts* $\ldots \ldots \ldots . . \ldots \ldots . . \$$ | 10,185 | -28 | +12 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Building permits, less federal contracts $\$$ | 126,400 | -10 | +6 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\$ \ldots \$$ | 13,992 | +8 | +9 |

WESLACO (pop. 15,649)

| Retail sales |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Automotive stores | - 5 ¢ | $+17$ | $+18$ |
| Food stores | $+2 \dagger$ | $+10$ | - 4 |
| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . \$ | 9,563 | 8 | $+17$ |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 20,538 | - 9 |  |
| Bank debits (thousands)............. | 7,514 | $-17$ |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger .$. \$ | 7,010 | - 5 |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 12.6 | - 11 | $+17$ |

WICHITA FALLS (pop. 101,724)

| Retail sales | + $4 \dagger$ | $+30$ | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Apparel stores | + 3i | $+4$ | $+$ |
| Automotive stores | $5 \dagger$ | $+48$ | - |
| Furniture and household appliance stores | $+3 \dagger$ | 5 | - |
| General merchandise stores. | + 19† | $+2$ | $+$ |
| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . $\%$ | 137,436 | $+17$ | $+$ |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 968,799 | $-54$ | $+$ |
| Bank debits (thousands)............. | 128,481 | $+$ | + |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . . \$$ | 101,184 | - 3 | + |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 15.0 | $+6$ |  |
| Employment (area) | 45,750 | ** | - |
| Manufacturing employment (area). | 4,080 | ** | $+$ |
| Percent unemployed (area) | 4.0 | ** | - |

## LOWER RIO GRANDE VALLEY (pop. 352,086) (Cameron, Willacy, and Hidalgo Counties)

| Retail sales | + $4 \dagger$ | $+21$ | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Apparel stores | $+3 \dagger$ | $+17$ | - 13 |
| Automotive stores | - $5 \dagger$ | $+36$ | 3 |
| Drug stores | $+2 \dagger$ | $+8$ | + 6 |
| Eating and drinking places. | $+2 \dagger$ | $+4$ | 9 |
| Florists | ... | $+12$ | $-13$ |
| Food stores | $+2 \dagger$ | ** | 8 |
| Furniture and household appliance stores ..... | $+3 \dagger$ | $+10$ |  |
| Gasoline and service stations....... | $-1 \dagger$ | $-3$ | - 12 |
| General merchandise stores. | $+19 \%$ | - 6 | 2 |
| Lumber, building material, and hardware stores. | - 5 + | $+34$ | 1 |
| Office, store, and school supply dealers | ... | $+7$ | + 42 |
| Postal receipts* .................... | $\cdots$ | $+13$ |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | ... | ** |  |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............ | ... | $-1$ |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. . | ... | ** |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover..... | 17.7 | ** | $+15$ |

## BAROMETERS OF TEXAS BUSINESS

All figures are for Texas unless otherwise indicated. All indexes are based on the average months for 1957-59, except where indicated; all are adjusted for seasonal variation, except annual indexes. Employment estimates are Texas Employment Commission data in cooperation with the Bureau of Labor Statistics of the U. S. Department of Labor. The index of Texas business activity is based on bank debits in 20 cities, adjusted for price level. An asterisk ( ${ }^{*}$ ) indicates preliminary data subject to revision. Revised data are marked ( r ).

|  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |

## ATLAS OF TEXAS

This new publication contains 78 maps in color illustrating Texas resources and industries. The six sections include locational maps, physical settings, population, agriculture, mining, and manufacturing. A special index contains population data for the 26 largest cities in Texas for 1900-1900, and population data for Texas counties for 1940,1950 , and 1960.

## 1963 CHARTBOOK OF TEXAS BUSINESS

The seventh edition of this publication contains data representing state totals for such series as general business, industrial production, construction, labor, and agriculture. A new section on city business indicators has been added. Another innovation is the inclusion of shaded areas on the charts that show business cycle fluctustions. The user can relate changes in the national business cycle with changes in state series.

Each volume - $\$ 3.00$

Order your copy from -

## BUREAU OF BUSINESS RESEARCH THE UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS




[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Letter from George F. Davis, business manager, Aircraft Owners and Pilots Association, Washington, D. C., October 16, 1962.

