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THE SEASONALLY ADJUSTED INDEX OF TEXAS BUSINESS activity rose $8 \%$ in October to a value of $128.1 \%$ of the 1957-59 monthly average. At this value it was $8 \%$ above its October 1961 level. Increases in most of the barometers of Texas business pushed the index upward. A strong increase in the index at a time when there is still an element of uncertainty in the business outlook is very encouraging.

The index of Texas business activity has been above its year-ago level in every one of the first ten months of this year. Average value for the first ten months this year was $129.3 \%$. For the same period of 1961 , average value of the index was $116.8 \%$, ten percent lower.

Miscellaneous freight carloadings in the Southwestern district rose $3 \%$ in October after taking seasonal factors
into account. The movements of large tonnage of heavy equipment during the Cuban crisis was a potent reminder of the importance of our rail system. These carriers must be assisted to increase their earnings to the point that needed improvements can be made. The recent shortage of freight cars was a pointed reminder that the rails have not been making new investment in equipment at an optimal rate.

After seasonal adjustment, crude petroleum production in October remained at the September level. It was unchanged from a year ago. October 1960 production was also at virtually the same level. Average daily production of crude oil per well in October was 12.3 barrels. At an average price of $\$ 2.90$ a barrel this output would be worth $\$ 35.67$.

## TEXAS BUSINESS ACTIVITY

Index-Adjusted for seasonal variation-1957-1959=100


The Railroad Commission has set the December allowable at eight days. Total days' production allowed for the 1957-62 period has been:

| 1957 | 171 |
| ---: | ---: |
| 1958 | 122 |
| 1959 | 123 |
| 1960 | 101 |
| 1961 | 104 |
| 1962 | 97 |

There is no reason at present to assume that 1963 will show much improvement. Domestic production is expected to rise about $2 \%$. This can easily be absorbed by increases in output in other states which do not prorate as closely to market demand as Texas does.

Seasonally adjusted total electric power consumption declined $3 \%$. Industrial power consumption declined by the same percentage. Despite the reduction, both indexes are well above their October 1961 values.

Industrial production as recorded by the index compiled by the Dallas Federal Reserve Bank declined 3\%. At 112\% of its 1957-59 average, the index was 3\% above October 1961. Declining production was reflected in the indexes of average weekly earnings and average hours in manufacturing.

Insured unemployment in the state rose slightly in October to $2.5 \%$ of the average covered employment during the month. This compared favorably with a national average of $3.4 \%$. Total insured unemployment was 45,200 . A comparison of Texas with neighboring states follows:

## Percentage of insured unemployment

| Arkansas | 4.2 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Louisiana | 3.6 |
| New Mexico | 3.1 |
| Oklahoma | 3.6 |
| Texas | 2.5 |
| United States | 3.4 |

Nonagricultural employment reported by the Texas Employment Commission to the Bureau of Labor Statistics amounted to $2,574,200$ compared with $2,544,200$ in October 1961. This was a $1.2 \%$ increase. These data include only production and white-collar workers exclusive of higher management categories. Total employment amounted to 3.5 million of whom 379,200 were agricultural workers. This was a $1.1 \%$ increase in total employment over October 1961. Unemployment amounted to $4.2 \%$ of the labor force.

Recent announcement of a large contract granted to a Fort Worth aircraft manufacturer means that the decline in employment of aircraft workers will halt. In a year or so employment of this type should show an increase.

Texas has a rapidly growing population. A recent report of the Bureau of the Census shows that on July 1, 1962 , the state had a population of $10,116,000$, up $5.6 \%$ in just over two years. Continued efforts to attract new industry to provide jobs for new additions to the labor force are necessary. The state cannot rest on its laurels.

October retail sales in Texas rose briskly from their September values, sparked by a tremendous upsurge in automobile sales. The seasonally adjusted index of total sales of all commodities rose $8.6 \%$ to a value of $116.9 \%$
of the 1957-59 average. Total sales for October were estimated to be $\$ 1.048$ billion of which $\$ 396.6$ million was sales of durable goods, and $\$ 651.5$ million was sales of nondurables.

Seasonally adjusted sales of durable goods rose $27 \%$ in October to a value of $132.8 \%$ of the 1957-59 monthly average volume of sales. October sales of durables rose $\$ 95.8$ million. Cumulative sales were up $20 \%$ for the year.

The usual seasonal rise of automotive stores in October is $5 \%$. Sales rose $45 \%$ due to a $50 \%$ increase in sales of automobiles. New and used car sales were running $32 \%$ ahead of October 1961. The tremendous demand for the 1963 models is pushing the entire economy upward as

## RETAIL SALES TRENDS BY KINDS OF BUSINESS

Source: Bureau of Business Research in cooperation with the Bureau of the Census, U. S. Department of Commerce

| Kind of business | Number of reporting establishments | Percent change |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Normal seasonal ${ }^{\text {* }}$ | Actual |  |  |
|  |  | Oct Oct 1962 Oct 1962 Jan-Oct 1962 <br> from from from from <br> Sep Sep 1962 Oct 1961 Jan-Oct 1961 |  |  |  |
| DURABLE GOODS |  |  |  |  |  |
| Automotive storest | . 241 | $+5$ | +45 | +29 | +25 |
| Furniture \& household appliance storest | $\ldots 143$ | $+6$ | $+6$ | $+1$ | $+6$ |
| Lumber, building mate and hardware stores | rial, $\text { s.... } 282$ | $+4$ | +10 | - 1 | $+6$ |
| NONDURABLE GOODS |  |  |  |  |  |
| Apparel stores ...... | . 259 | $+7$ | $+1$ | $+2$ | $+2$ |
| Drug stores | . 159 | $+3$ | $+3$ | $+3$ | $+2$ |
| Eating and drinking places | $90$ | $+4$ | $+1$ | ** | +1 |
| Food stores ............ 328 +1 +2 + +3 <br> Gasoline and service |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gasoline and service stations | $59$ | $+1$ | ** | $+1$ | $+6$ |
| General merchandise stores $\dagger$ | $\text { . } 241$ | +14. | $+6$ | $+1$ | $+5$ |
| Other retail storest.. | . . . 270 | $+2$ | $+6$ | $+4$ | $+4$ |

${ }^{*}$ Average seasonal change from preceding month to current month.
$\%$ Change is less than one-half of 1 percent.
†Includes kinds of business other than classification listed.
orders are placed for more steel, glass, aluminum, tires, and other components. This one factor is playing a significant part in moderating fears of a recession in 1963.

Sales of furniture and household appliance stores in October experienced the usual seasonal increase of $6 \%$. They were $1 \%$ above October 1961 sales. Furniture sales were up 7\%.

Lumber, building material, and hardware stores experienced a $10 \%$ increase in October sales instead of the seasonally expected $4 \%$. Sales of new homes have held up well this year, supporting demand for furniture, appliances, and other home furnishings. Sales of hardware stores rose $7 \%$ to a level $9 \%$ above October 1961. Lumber and building material dealers enjoyed a $12 \%$ increase. Their sales were $2 \%$ below October 1961, largely because of purchases in that month made in order to repair the destruction caused by Hurricane Carla. Many homes were destroyed by the storm. Others required major repairs.

October sales of nondurable goods were at about the September level. At $108.7 \%$ of $1957-59$ monthly average
sales, the index was $0.5 \%$ below September, Estimated sales amounted to $\$ 651.5$ million, up $2 \%$ from October 1961. Cumulative sales of nondurables for the first ten months of the year were $3 \%$ above the like 1961 period.

Sales of apparel stores rose only $1 \%$ instead of the seasonally anticipated $7 \%$. They were $2 \%$ above October 1961. Cumulative sales of apparel for the first ten months of the year were $2 \%$ above the like 1961 period. Sales of family clothing stores rose $8 \%$ in October, the same percentage rise that men's and boys' clothing stores enjoyed. Shoe sales and women's ready-to-wear volume dropped.

Drugstores had the usual $3 \%$ increase in sales in October. Cumulative drugstore sales for the first ten months were $2 \%$ above the like 1961 period.
Food store sales rose slightly more than seasonally to a level $2 \%$ above October 1961. Cumulative sales of food stores were $3 \%$ above the first ten months of 1961.
Sales of gasoline and service stations remained at the September level, instead of rising the usual $1 \%$. They were $1 \%$ above October 1961. Cumulative sales for the first ten months were $6 \%$ above the like period last year. Sales of automobiles, accessories, and gasoline make up a very substantial part of total retail sales. The 1958 Census of Business showed that of a total volume of retail sales in Texas of $\$ 10.8$ billion, sales of automotive dealers amounted to $\$ 2.1$ billion, or $19 \%$. Sales of gasoline and service stations totaled $\$ 879$ million, or $8 \%$. Sales of both categories amounted to $\$ 2.96$ billion, or $27 \%$ of total retail sales in that year.
Other retail stores, a category that includes florists, nurseries, jewelry stores, and office supply dealers, had a $6 \%$ rise in sales instead of the usual $2 \%$ in October. Cumulative sales for this group for the first ten months were $4 \%$ above the same period last year.

Among those cities enjoying greater-than-seasonal increases in retail sales in October were Austin, with an $11 \%$ increase caused largely by improved automobile sales, and Beaumont, with a $16 \%$ increase. Dallas had a $20 \%$ increase. El Paso sales rose $17 \%$. Fort Worth sales were up $12 \%$ due to rises in several categories. Houston sales were up $10 \%$, the same percentage increase as San Antonio sales. Sales in the Lower Rio Grande Valley were up $12 \%$.

## Building Construction

Total construction authorized in Texas in October recouped its September losses, rising $19 \%$ to a value of $124.9 \%$ of its 1957-59 average. At this level the seasonally adjusted index of total urban building permits issued was $10 \%$ above its October 1961 value. Increase in the value of both residential and nonresidential permits contributed to the rebound in total value.

Seasonally adjusted residential permits increased $8 \%$ to $118.4 \%$ of the 1957-59 average monthly value. The October value of the index for residential permits was 3 $\%$ above October of last year. The entire increase was occasioned by a rise in permits for one-family dwellings. Permits for multiple-family dwellings, both duplexes and apartment houses, declined.

The cumulative value of residential permits for the first ten months of the year was $\$ 694.5$ million, up $16 \%$ from the like 1961 period. Permits for this kind of construction amounted to $60 \%$ of the ten months' total of $\$ 1.158$ billion
for residential and nonresidential construction, excluding repairs. One-family dwellings accounted for $\$ 530$ million of residential construction. Multiple-family dwellings made up the remainder. Cumulative totals for single- and mul-tiple-family dwellings were up $2 \%$ and $115 \%$, respectively, over the first ten months of 1961.

Seasonally adjusted nonresidential permits rose $39 \%$ to a value of $133.3 \%$ of the 1957-59 monthly average. This placed the index $14 \%$ above October 1961. Increases in permits issued for churches, industrial buildings, private and commercial garages, hospitals, office-bank buildings, schools, and mercantile buildings boosted the index strongly.

Cumulative nonresidential permits for the January-October period amounted to $\$ 463.6$ million, up $6 \%$ over the first ten months of 1961. The largest single category was office-bank building permits, which totaled $\$ 129.2$ million,

## SELECTED BAROMETERS OF TEXAS BUSINESS

(1957-59-100)

| Index | $\begin{gathered} \text { Sep } \\ 1962 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Oct } \\ 1961 \end{array}$ | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Oct } 1962 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Sep } 1962 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Oet } 1962 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Oct } 1961 \end{aligned}$ |
| Texas business activity . . . . . . . 128.1 | 119.1 | 119.0 | $+8$ | + 8 |
| Miscellaneous freight carloadings in S.W. district........... 77.8 | 75.6 | 98.5 |  |  |
| Crude petroleum production...... 91.0* | 91.1 r | 90.7 | + ${ }_{\text {* }}$ | - ${ }_{*}$ |
| Crude oil runs to stills. . . . . . . . 1111.7 | 108.1 | 108.5 | + 3 | + 3 |
| Total electric power consumption. 141.0* | 145.9 | 116.4 | - 3 | + 21 |
| Industrial power consumption... 127.7* | 131.7 | 108.7 | - 3 | + 17 |
| Bank debits . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 129.0 | 120.5 | 119.0 | + 7 | + 8 |
| Ordinary life insurance sales..... 129.5 | 111.9 | 120.5 | $+16$ | + 7 |
| Total retail sales............... 116.9* | 107.6r | 108.2 r | $+9$ | + 8 |
| Durable-good sales . . . . . . . 132.8* | 104.6r | 110.7 r | + 27 | + 20 |
| Nondurable-goods sales . .....108.7* | 109.2 r | 106.6 r | ** | + 2 |
| Urban building permits issued...124.9 | 104.9 | 113.9 | + 19 | + 10 |
| Residential $118.4$ | 109.8 | 114.5 | + 8 | + 8 |
| Nonresidential . . . . . . . . . . 133.3 | 95.6 | 116.8 | + 39 | + 14 |
| Total industrial production...... 112 | 115 | 109 | - 3 | + 3 |
| Average weekly earningsmanufacturing . .................110.7* | 111.4 | 112.6 | - 1 |  |
| Average weekly hoursmanufacturing . ................. 99.5 ${ }^{\text { }}$ | 100.7 | 101.2 | - 1 |  |
| Adjusted for seasonal variation. *Preliminary. |  |  |  |  |
| rRevised. |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{* *}$ Change is less than one-half of 1 per | reent. |  |  |  |

up $89 \%$ from the $\$ 68.2$ million figure for the first ten months of 1961. Educational buildings contributed $\$ 74.4$ million to the total of nonresidential permits with mercantile buildings adding another $\$ 76.5$ million.

Additions, alterations, and repairs for October rose $29 \%$ on a nonadjusted basis. Cumulative permits for this category added $\$ 132.7$ million to the overall level of construction and repair activity. This $\$ 132.7$ million is in addition to the $\$ 1.158$ billion of new construction. Additions, alterations, and repairs rose $5 \%$ above the first ten months of 1961.

Of the total value of construction permits during the January-October period, $85 \%$ was for metropolitan areas. Within metropolitan areas $\$ 887.3$ million, or $81 \%$, was in the central city.

Nationally, total value of new construction in October amounted to $\$ 62.6$ billion at a seasonally adjusted annual rate, about the same as the all-time peak rate reached in August and up 6\% from October of last year.

# Oil Conservation 

## and the

# Texas Railroad Commission 

by A. CAMERON MITCHELL

## Lecturer in Business Statistics, College of Business Administration


#### Abstract

Ebitor's note: This is the first of two articles by this author concerning the problem of conservation of oil and of the activities of the Texas Railroad Commission. In this article, the author has drawn heavily on the work of Professor Erich W. Zimmerman and his book, Conservation in the Production of Petroleum. In the second article, the author will report on his recent investigation of the actual techniques employed in setting the allowables.


the rallroad commission of texas has frequentiy made state and national headlines in its role as a regulatory body and, more recently, as an enforcer in the slanted hole investigations of the petroleum industry. The present importance of the Commission's role arose out of the need for control over waste resulting from activities attending the early Texas oil gushers. To exercise some measure of control over the loss of this natural resource, the Texas legislature passed conservation laws in 1905, 1910, and 1917. The 1917 act of the legislature assigned the Railroad Commission the task of enforcing these conservation laws. During the forty-five years that the Commission has been responsible for the enforcement of conservation, its power has varied widely. The Commission has been granted considerable power several times. The legislature has removed this power nearly as many times. As a result, the history of the petroleum conservation activities of the Railroad

Commission has been chaotic and often contradictory.
It is impossible to understand the conflicts of Texas petroleum regulation without first considering the national attitude and activities concerning conservation. Texas activities played a major role in influencing these attitudes.
When the last frontier in the West had been reached in the early twentieth century, the American people, realizing that the tremendous natural wealth of the United States was not unbounded, became interested in preserving resources for future generations. Theodore Roosevelt, during his administration, strongly advocated conservation. During this period, the nation's natural resources had been used by private enterprise in a free-for-all to obtain as large a profit as possible out of rapid exploitation. The resources were extremely plentiful and very inexpensive; there seemed to be no reason for restriction in reaping profit or in curtailing large-scale waste.
During Theodore Roosevelt's time, conservation had an entirely different meaning from that which it has today. To the early conservationists, conservation was closely associated with preservation, hoarding, and nonuse. Conservation was the retaining of nonrenewable resources for future use, somewhat like a dog burying a bone. It was the restraining force to protect the public interest from devastation by some businesses. Efficiency and prevention
of waste were not necessarily part of the early concern for conservation. Efficiency would undoubtedly have been welcomed, because prevention of waste would lessen the need for production by that amount. It is doubtiul, bowever, whether efficiency would have been readily connected with conservation because increased efficiency world ultimately lead to lower costs, lower prices, and finally increased demand, which is contrary to the purposes of conservation. In this respect, conservation could not only lead to increased demand, causing accelerated production, but, if the efficiency were confined to production and did not extend to more efficient uses, the advantages of efficiency gained in production could be offset by wasteful uses.

During World War 1, interest in conservation of scarce natural resources was renewed, especially in conservation of resources upon which the national security partly rested. The petroleum industry was mobilized to divert all of a scarce resource to war needs. When the war ended, however, interest in conservation was again lost. The nation wanted to return to prewar conditions of "normalcy," and social control was taboo. As an accommodation to business, conservation took on a new meaning. Instead of curtailment of production, "wise use" was emphasized. Elimination of waste became the credo of the Coolidge-era conservationists. It was desirable to help promote stable prices and returns to keep American business healthy. "Wise use" meant that a resource should be used only at the proper time and for a proper purpose. No Ionger was preservation to be expressly encouraged, but, rather, whatever was produced was not to be wasted.

During the Great Depression, the notion of conservation reverted to that of Theodore Roosevelt's time. It was realized, however, that, if social control of resources were to work, conservation would have to pay. During this period the first rumblings of development of resources under government direction were heard.

As might be expected, the traditional concept of conservation was maintained throughout the World War II years. Natural resources were deemed essential to the national security and were preserved as much as possible while waste in production and in use was discouraged.

The Republicans, returning to power in 1952, brought with them a revival of faith in private enterprise. Several factors fostered a lack of respect for conservation. For one thing, scientists seemed unbounded in their ability to compensate for lack of conservation; for example, the amount of crude petroleum required to produce a given amount of gasoline was greatly reduced. Also, prospects for apparently unlimited sources of cheap petroleum from abroad were very encouraging, so that there seemed to be little reason to be concerned about the future supply at home. Finally, it was generally conceded that any sort of organized conservation would bring on government controls, and this thought was an unpopular one. Hence, until fairiy recently, conservation has taken on several meanings. The middle 'fifties saw conservation take on its present-day meaning.

Because of the singular nature of crude oil, conservation has taken on a connotation which is not at all obvious. The word preservation is no longer comnected with conservation properly. The very act of preservation discourages the realization of petroleum on a long-term basis. If
all the reserves of crude were known and there were no chance of increasing proved reserves by further exploration, then perhaps preservation would be in order. Within recent years, however, the amount of increase in reserves has outstripped production. There is an apparent paradox in that production creates reserves, or, perhaps more precisely, production creates proved reserves. The oil is underground, but, until it is discovered, it is not properly a resource. Before anything can be a natural resource, it must be needed and its existence known. Through the profits of producing and marketing the products of crude, new crude is found. The only way petroleum reserves may be found is for the producers to explore, and the incentive to explore, under a private-enterprise system, must be derived from profits provided by producing oil. If conservation meant preservation, then exploration would be curtailed. Consequently, reserves would be depleted since no new reserves would be discovered.

In addition, today's concept of conservation calls for emphasis on increasing ultimate recovery of petroleum. The reason for this is hidden in the complexities of petroleum engineering, but it might be described simply. Petroleum and water are the only liquid natural resources. Petroleum is different from water as fax as conservation and equity of ownership are concerned. Petroleum is unique in the fact that the ultimate amount which may be recovered from a given field depends on the rate of production, the method of production, and certain natural aspects of the reservoir.

It has been learned through trial and a great deal of error that rapid production of a reservoir may reduce the ultimate recovery to a fraction of what it might have been had proper conservation practices been employed. From an economic standpoint, it is imperative that as mach as possible of the potential production of a reservoir be realized, because exploration is the most expensive aspect of the development of oil production. The only way in which costs of exploration may be recovered is through producing the petroleum; and when the potential amount which may be recovered is reduced by practices which could have been avoided, then a pure and simple loss is incurred. For this reason, if no other, ultimate recovery of a reservoir must'be maximized.

Because oil is a fluid, the rate and method of production significantly affect the ultimate amount recovered from a pool. The properties of ail from different reservoirs often vary widely. Gas is usually dissolved in the oil, affecting its viscosity, specific gravity, and surface tension, In general, the more gas dissolved, the more readily does the oil flow in the reservoir. Oil and gas exist under pressure in a. reservoir. When this pressure is reduced, the gas often forces the oil in the direction of lower pressure by expanding. As the pressure is reduced, the gas often leaves the oil and forms droplets. This phenomenon is known as "dissolved-gas drive," and it is the least efficient of the three major types of drives. This type of drive is a depletion drive in that, when the amount of gas which has escaped from the oil reaches a certain level, the gas itself flows out of the well and may scriously reduce the ultimate recovery of a well. In this situation, the amount of ultimate recovery depends chiefly on how rapid the flow takes place.

The other two types of drive are gas-cap and water
drive. These two methods of forcing the oil to the well are potentially far more efficient than dissolved-gas drive since their pressure may be maintained by either artificial or natural means. Gas-cap drive occurs when a gas-cap exists above an oil reservoir in such a way that the well pipe passes through the cap and into the reservoir. Downward pressure from the cap forces oil into the well. Pressure in a gas-cap may often be maintained on a long-term basis by forcing gas back into the cap, so that the reservoir pressure may be maintained. Ultimate recovery using this type of drive may be several times that of dissolved gas drive.

Water drive works in roughly the same fashion, the pressure, of course, being from below. Water may be replaced by insertion, although in some cases the pressure may be maintained naturally. The East Texas field is an example of the successful use of water drive. In certain areas, water is being injected into wells in an attempt to maintain and possibly to increase reservoir pressure which was seriously depleted during the free-for-all of the 1930 'e in Texas.

All of these methods require careful and intelligent appraisal of the nature of the reservoir as well as the exercise of extreme care in maintaining the proper rate of production so that the ultimate production will be as great as possible.

Closely allied with the fluid nature of petroleum is the problem of correlative rights. Since petroleum is fluid, it has no regard for property lines, and petroleum undex one person's land may be pulled away to anothex's property. This possibility creates another problem of oil conscrvation. Property owners must be protected against having an inequitable amount of oil taken away from their well through flow to neighbors' wells. In early petroleum history, the use of offset wells was rampant. This practice, which enabled producers to drain oil from a reservoir as fast as possible before someone else got it, resulted in rapid depletion of many fields. In many cases, pressure was lowered to such an extent that it is now impossible to produce profitably even with present methods. Hence, many state regulatory agencies have established proration with a view to protecting these correlative rights, allowing each producer his proportionate share in the production.

The Corsicana field, discovered in 1895, was the first important oil field in Texas. When this field was first developed, rapid drilling and open-flow production were common, so that a person could drain off as much of his neighbor's on as possible. It soon became evident that something would have to be done to prevent some of the worst types of waste. Consequently, in 1899, the Texas legislature passed' an act decreeing that water be cased off, that abandoned wells be plugged, that gas not be burned off, and that gas from gas wells not be allowed to escape.

When the Lucas well at Spindletop came in 1901 to precede many new gushers, it became necessary to employ stricter measures against waste. Laws were passed in 1913 and in 1917 to insure that the waste would be prevented. The Railroad Commission was assigned the task of administering the oil-and-gas conservation laws. Also in 1917, the Texas constitution was amended so that development of natural resources would be guided by the state.

Two years later, in 1919, the legislature passed an act prohibiting the waste of oil and gas and giving considerable regulative and enforcement powers to the Railroad Commission, The Railroad Commission conducted several hearings and set up 38 rules designed to minimize waste. The 1919 act was amended in 1929 to the effect that prohibitable waste should not be taken to mean economic waste, that is, loss due to market price fluctuations. This provision proved to be a burden when the Railroad Commission began regulating the amount of production.

During the 1920 's, the situation was such that demand, prices, and profits were all high, and everyone concerned, including the members of the Railroad Commission, was content to leave well enough alone.

When the market crashed in 1929, howcyer, there was a. rapid renewal of interest in conservation. Although prices did not drop immediately, it became apparent to many that only state regulation of production could prevent pell-mell waste, conflicts over property rights, and the possible collapse in the prices of oil. The Common Purchaser Act was passed in 1930 providing that a producer who was either a common carrier or connected with a common carrier must proxate his purchases among various property owners. This regulation was not enforced. It was soon realized that regulation of transportation was not effective; therefore, after a series of hearings, the Railroad Commission issued a curtailment order reducing the amount of oil to a little more than $6 \%$ of the previous year's production. Much was said about waste and property rights at this hearing, but no effort was made to conceal the hope for stable prices. This order was challenged immediately by an injunction suit under the 1929 amendment to the 1919 act on the ground that the order was in the nature of price fixing. The injunction was not allowed by the court, which held that the proration was designed only to prevent waste and that any effect which it had on prices was incidental.

The Joiner well, the discovery well in the East Texas field brought in on October 3, 1930, brought about almost complete chaos a year later. The Railroad Commission failed to act quickly on the East Texas field, probably not realizing its significance until the spring of 1931: By that time the producers had a good start. The wells werc relatively inexpensive and close to transportation so that they were drilled as quickly as possible and allowed to flow wide open. The Railroad Commission undertook its first attempt to rectify the situation in May 1931, setting allowables at over 1,000 barrels a day for most wells. But even this measure was met with considerable resistance. Injunction suits were brought against the Railroad Commission under the 1929 amendment. When the suits were filed, the producers acted on the assumption that no action could be taken against them until the suit was tested; hence, they continued with full-fiow operations.

Meanwhile, the legislature had been called into special session by Governor Ross S. Sterling to revise the 1929 conservation act to give more regulatory powers to the Commission and to delete the economic-waste provision. During the session, the court, acting on the injunction suit, held that the Railroad Commission had exceeded its. anthority by issuing an order on the basis of economic waste instead of physical waste. To make matters worse, the governor stated that he would veto any law which
allowed the Commission to regulate on the basis of market demand. Thus, the legislature, with these things in mind, passed the Anti-Market Demand Act, which annulled the orders of the Commission and provided that no new orders could be issued without a hearing.

This act amounted to granting a license to the producers in East Texas to do whatever they desired. Matters grew continually worse. Before long the field-was producing over a million barrels a day, or about one-third of the total national requirements. Prices dropped to less than 10 cents a barrel. The purchasing companies began to withdraw from the field.

On August 17, 1931, Governor Sterling declared martial law and ordered that all the East Texas wells be shut down. This action gave the Railroad Commission a chance to hold hearings under the new law, and the result was that the allowable was set at 400,000 barrels a day. These orders, however, were enforced by the militia, so that before long the Railroad Commission became the agent of the militia rather than vice versa. By the end of 1931 the Railroad Commission withdrew from the East Texas controversy.

The regulation of the East Texas feld by the governor was enjoined by a federal court in February 1982. The Railroad Commission again took over regulation of the field and immediately set the allowable at 325,000 barrels a day. The reservoir pressure gained back some of its loss, although it never returned to its earlier 1930 pressure. The price of oil recovered substantially.

The course of oil conservation history was radically altered on March 25, 1932. On this date the Texas Court of Civil Appeals decided that restriction to market demand was necessary to prevent physical waste and therefore not necessarily involved with economic waste. Also, on the same day, an Oklahoma case was presented in the United States Supreme Court testing the validity of conservation based on market demand. On May 16, 1932 the court decided that limitation to market demand was a necessary part of prevention of physical waste and that any effect which such restriction had on price was incidental.

Unfortunately, when the Commission was restored to power in February 1932, the members decided to set al. lowables on the basis of a well-by-well allowable. That is, the allowable was set at a certain number of barrels per day per well, regardless of the size of the tract or the location of the well with respect to the reservoir. This method of prorating was declared discriminatory and was voided in late 1932. The governor called a special session. of the legislature to alleviate this situation. On November 12, 1932, the legislature passed the act under which the Commission still operates today, the Market Demand Act. The bill called for the holding of hearings to determine reasonable market demand and defined any excess of production over reasonable market demand as waste.

After the passing of the Market Demand Act, the Railroad Commission continued on its course of setting allowables on a per-well basis. After hearings the Commission seriously curtailed production in the East Texas field to 290,000 barrels, apparently without consideration of the testimony of the hearings. The Commission was enjoined both for the method of setting the allowables and for the
amount of allowables. The Commission raised the allowable to an all-time high of 750,000 barrels and made in significant changes in the method of allocation within the field. The price of oil dropped to about 10 cents a barrel in the summer of 1933, and the reservoir pressures had dropped substantially. The Commission reduced the allowable to 400,000 barrels in November 1933. Since that time the allowable has been Iowered to around 300,000 barrels. The constitutionality of the Market Demand Act was upheld in 1934 by the same federal court which earlier decided that economic waste had nothing to do with physical waste.

One of the most poweriul instruments of conservation policy is the use of voluntary agreements to cooperate in the development of a field. The Texas legislature has never passed a law enabling the Commission to compel producers to pool units. However, a 1949 act allowed the Railroad Commission to approve of such voluntary agreements. There was a great deal of opposition to this act because of the fear that this was a step in the direction of compulsory unitization.

The Commission has done much to encourage unitization and in at least one case has indirectly forced unitization. As the result of a fight over wasteful flaring of gas in the Spraberry Trend Area in the Permian Basin, the Commission shat down 2,400 wells in that area until some use could be made of the gas being flared. The courts overthrew the order because wells were shat down which had reason to flare gas. The Railroad Commission then adjusted the allowables so that some use of the gas had to: be made. Later it was ordered that a well must shut in until some use was made of the gas. Unitization was the only method which would solve the problem. The Railroad Commission has adjusted its proration policy in some instances to encourage unitization. Some unitized fields are permitted to count all wells, including those used for water injection, in arriving at the allowable.

Two other ways in which the Commission is using the inducement of higher allowables to promote conservation are in the case of some fields which are below normal pressure and a few fields which have reached the top allowable. In the first case, a bonus allowable of two-tenths of a barrel is given for each barrel of water injected. In the second case, fields which have reached their top allowable are occasionally allowed to operate on a lease basis.

For many years the Commission has operated under the rule of substantial evidence when a Commission order or other ruling was challenged. That is, if a Commission action is brought before a court, all that is necessary to uphold the Commission's ruling is to show that the Commission's action was based upon the presentation of evidence substantial enough to justify that action. This system, in effect, left conservation up to the experts instead of the courts.

The state of Texas normally has nearly $38 \%$ of total United States production of petroletrm. Hence, many decisions made by the Railroad Commission have national repercussions. The Commission has been notably cognizant of this and has been generally very fair to other states and has tended to fit Texas production in with national demand needs, while maintaining conservation and correlative rights as well as can be expected.

# Interstate Natural Gas 

by ROBERT M. LOCKWOOD<br>and<br>THOMAS V. GREER



THAT LATE-BLOOMING GIANT, THE NATURAL-GAS TRANSmission industry operates on a scale which daily grows bigger. The huge operation of El Paso Natural Gas, based in the West Texas city, leads nationally in delivered volume and Houston-headquartered Tennessee Gas ranks first in length of transmission facilities with an impressive 10,732 miles of pipeline in operation in 1960. As these facts suggest a significant portion of the industry's plant and management is located in Texas and Texas gas reserves supply a sizable segment of the interstate market-almost $40 \%$ in 1961. The very magnitude of the Texas industry today tends to obscure the foreshortened history of interstate transmission of Texas natural gas.

## The Infant Gulliver

During the twenties the natural gas moving into and out of Texas amounted to little more than a breath, and the state remained a net importer of gas throughout most of this decade. Texas purchasers bought gas from Louisiana, Oklahoma, and, beginning in 1929, from New Mexico. Louisiana fields supplied part of the gas used in
the market in the Houston, Beaumont, and Dallas areas, as well as that in several smaller communities in East Texas. Producers in southern Oklahoma shipped gas to the Dallas-Fort Worth and Wichita Falls markets, and El Paso bought gas from southeastern New Mexico. Before 1929, when relatively large volumes of Texas gas began to move into Oklahoma and Colorado, small quantities of gas had been imported from Texas into Louisiana, Oklahoma, Arkansas, New Mexico, and Mexico.

For Texas as well as other producing states, the discovery of large southwestern reserves and the technologic advances in high-pressure transmission made commercially feasible the long-distance movement of gas to new, fuelhungry markets. In 1931, two years after becoming the leading gas-producing state, Texas became a net (interstate) exporter of natural gas, and, by 1933, Texas was the leading exporter. With the completion in 1930 of a 16 -inch, 165 -mile line from the Jennings field in Zapata County to Monterrey, Mexico, Texas exports to Mexico increased considerably. And as Natural Gas Pipeline Company of America, Panhandle Eastern Pipe Line Company, and Northern Gas \& Pipe Line Company inaugurated new services in 1931, they established the broad outline of the geographic market for the remainder of the decade. Transmission lines completed in that year took Texas gas into Kansas, Nebraska, Wyoming, South Dakota, Minnesota, Iowa, Missouri, Illinois and Indiana. In 1936 Panhandle Eastern extended its Indiana line to the Detroit area.

During the 1930's the volume of gas moved between states more than doubled and the share of that volume held by Texas gas increased from about $14 \%$ to $33 \%$. About half of the Texas exports went to only three states -Illinois, Kansas, and Colorado.

Perhaps the outstanding development of that period was the adoption of the Natural Gas Act in 1938. Passed

Table 1
LEADING EXPORTERS OF TEXAS NATURAL GAS
(Quantities in billions of cubic feet)

| Company1961 <br> shipments | Percent of state total | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1957-1961 } \\ & \text { shipments } \end{aligned}$ | Percent of state total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tennessee Gas Transmission |  |  |  |
| Company ${ }^{1}$........... 5856.9 | 18.0 | 2,776.6 | 19.5 |
| El Paso Netural Gas |  |  |  |
| Company ${ }^{2}$............ 427.3 | 14.3 | 1,825.8 | 12.8 |
| Natural Gas Pipeline Com- <br> pany of America....... $362.812 .21,014.5 \quad 7.1$ |  |  |  |
| Texas Fastern Tranomisslon |  |  |  |
| Corporation ${ }^{1}$......... 333.8 | 11.2 | 1,809.4 | 12.7 |
| Northern Natural Gas |  |  |  |
| Company ............. 261.2 | 8.7 | 1,241.5 | 8.7 |
| Penhandle Eastern Pipe |  |  |  |
| Line Company and |  |  |  |
| Trunkline Gas Company ${ }^{2}$ 228.5 | 7.7 | 1,122.6 | 7.9 |
| Subtotals . . . . . . . . . , 2,150,5 | 72.1 | 9,790.4 | 68.7 |
| 'Total exports . . . ....2,982.1 | 100.0 | 14.252.2 | 100.0 |

Source: The Railroad Comnission of Texas.
${ }^{1}$ These companies have their headquarters in Texas.
${ }^{2}$ Although its operations are separate, Trunkline Gas Company is a wholly owned subsidiary of Panhandle Eastern Pipe Line Company, and the two companies are, therefore, considered as a single entity.
by Congress after several investigations of alleged gas industry abuses of the consumer, this statute gave the Federal Power Commission authority to regulate the interstate gas business, except for the prices of the pipelines' direct sales to industrial users.

The Second World War brought steel shortages and regulation of construction by the War Production Board. Additional controls on operations were imposed by the Petroleum Administration for War. Although Texas gas entered the Arkansas, Mississippi, Alabama, and Georgia markets on a modest scale in 1942, the major wartime project was the $1,265-m i l e$ Tennessee Gas line from Corpus Christi to Cornwell, West Virginia, to augment insufficient Appalachian production. Texas gas also reached consumers in Tennessee, Kentucky, and Pennsylvania. Small quantities of Texas gas were marketed in Ohio in the early forties, but by the end of the war increasing demand com-

Table 2
INTERSTATE SHIPMENTS OF TEXAS NATURAL GAS, 1947-1961 (Quantities in bilions of cubic feet)

| Year | Marketed production | Interstate shipments | Interstate㙏 percent of marketer |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1947 | . . . . 1, 898.4 | 548.9 | 28.9 |
| 1948 | . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 2,21.4,4 | 717.6 | 82.4 |
| 1948 | . .... . 2,434,5 | 982.9 | 40.4 |
| 1950 | . . . . . 2,822.7 | 1,341.6 | 47.5 |
| 1951 | . . 3,488.4 | 1,884,0 | 49.0 |
| 1952 | . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . .8,839.9 | 2,006.5 | 52.3 |
| 1953 | . . . . . . 4,052.1 | 2,216.8 | 54.7 |
| 1954 | . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 4,268.6 | 2,365.1 | 55.4 |
| 1956 | . . 4,454. 1 | 2,416.6 | 54.3 |
| 1965 | . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 4 ,725,4 | 2,576.7 | 54.5 |
| 1957 | . . . . . . . 4,827.3 | 2,610.1 | 54.1 |
| 1958 | . . . 4,943.7 | 2,761.1 | 55.9 |
| 1969 | .... 5,227.1 | 2,922.4 | 55.9 |
| 1960 | . . . . . . . . . .406.6 | 2,976.5 | 55.1 |
| 1961 | . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 5,493.2 | 2,982.1 | 54.3 |

[^1]bined with sagging Appalachian production made Ohio the second largest (after Illinois) consumer of Texas gas.

## Postwar Maturity

The postwar period witnessed the beginning of the explosive growth of the gas transmission industry. Facilitating this growth was the ready financial backing of institutional investors, particularly life insurance companies. With the evolution of pipeline operating practices which assured firm supplies for specified periods and firm sale contracts, institutional investors gained increased confidence in gas transmission companies.

Fuel shortages in the Northeast brought about the conversion of the wartime emergency Big-Inch and Little-Biz-Inch pipelines to gas service in late 1946. As construction materials slowly became available in 1946-1948, EI Paso Natural Gas Company and Southern California Gas Company completed from West Texas to Los Angeles the first interstate gas pipeline into California, where rapid postwar demand growth had outstripped local supplies. Additional lines from Texas to the Middle West and numerous projects to increase capacity on existing lines also were completed. By the end of the 1940 's more than 30 other states were using Texas gas. During that decade

Table 3
SOME LEADING TEXAS GAS PRODYCERS, 1961
(Quantities in millions of cuble feet)

| Company | August 1961 production | Percent of state total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Humble Oil \& Refining Co. | 41.373 | 9.3 |
| Pan American Petroleum Corp | 36,509 | 8.2 |
| Philligs Petroleum Co.. | 35.673 | 8.0 |
| Mobil Oil Co. | 21,687 | 4.8 |
| Gulf Oil Corp. | 20,353 | 4.6 |
| Sun Oil Cor, | 18,410 | 4.1 |
| Texaco, Inc. | 17,781 | 4.0 |
| Atlantic Refining Co.. | 11,938 | 2.7 |
| Shell Oil Co. | 11,706 | 2.6 |
| Sinelair Oil Corp. | 8,411 | 1.9 |
| Subtotal 10 nompantes. | . 223,741 | 50.2 |
| Total state .... | . 445,279 | 100.0 |
| Total state for year 1961.. | . . $5,493,224$ | ... |

Sources: R. W. Byram \& Company; The Railroad Commigeion of Texas.
the volume of gas moved interstate almost tripled, and the share of the market held by Texas gas increased from about one-third to almost one-half.

During the fifties Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line Corporation completed its Texas-to-New York City pipeline, which opened North and South Carolina to Texas gas for the first time. El Paso Natural Gas and Pacific Gas \& Electric completed a system from West Texas to northern California, and still more lines were Iaid between Texas and the Middle West.

The volume of gas shipped interstate more than tripled again during the 1950 's. Although Texas exports also tripled, the relative share of Texas gas declined. The highest share of the interstate market attained by Texas was about $53 \%$ in 1953, followed by a decline to about $38 \%$ in 1961. The volume shipped from Texas increased only about $16 \%$ from 1956 through 1961, but total interstate shipments grew by about $40 \%$. As the offshore fields of Louisiana began to be developed, the proportion of inter-
state gas originating in that state rose significantly, from more than $18 \%$ in 1953 to about one-third in 1961, reversing the ratio prevailing between Texas and Louisiana interstate shipments during the thirties. Even Louisiana's increase, however, did not prevent the West South Central (Arkansas, Louisiana, Oklahoma, Texas) share from declining to less than $75 \%$ of the interstate market. The Appalachian states of West Virginia, Pennsylvania, and Kentucky also showed a small aggregate decline in their share of the market, but there were greater-than-average increases in shipments from Kansas, New Mexico, Colorado, Wyoming, and Utah.

As more states have been opened to interstate pipeline transmission of gas-the total is now 46-the proportion of United States marketed production moving interstate

Table 4
PLANT INVESTMENT AND CAPITALIZATION, SELECTED TEXAK-BASED COMPANIES EXPORTING TEXAS GAS, DECEMBER 31, 1961
(Milions of dollars)

| Company | Plant investment ${ }^{1}$ | Capitalization ${ }^{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tennesee Gas Transmission Company. | \$1,655,6 | \$1,715.7. |
| El Paso Natural Gas Company | 1,834.2 | 1,315.5 |
| Texas Elastern Transmission Company | . 889.8 | 843.3 |
| Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line Corporation | 621.3 | 567.9 |
| Lone Star Grs Company. | 313.8 | 316.7 |
| Texas Gra Transmibsion Company. | 243.4 | 222,0 |
| Transwestern Pipe Line Company.. | . 194.9 | 202.1 |

Source: Company annual reports.
${ }^{1}$ Less accumulated depreciation.
${ }^{2}$ Includes stock, long-term debt, and retained earnings.
has tended to grow. The proportion for 1961 was about $58 \%$, compared to about $40 \%$ in 1950 and $24 \%$ in 1940 .

## State and Industry Trends

During the middle forties the Texas Railroad Commission initiated a program to conserve casinghead gasgas produced from oil wells. Together with the prorationing of oil production, this conservation program has considerably altered the pattern of Texas gas production over the past fifteen years Had venting and flaring continued at the rate which prevailed during the ten years ending with 1945, an additional 8,263 billion cubic feet of gas-about one and a third times the state's 1961 marketed production--would have been lost during 19461961.

Roughly one-half of Texas marketed production leaves the state, in contrast to about three-fourths in neighboring Louisiana. Although Louisiana has always exported a considerably higher proportion of its marketed production than Texas, the ratio in Louisiana has risen rapidly in the past several years. Texas consumes, of course, a rather high proportion of its marketed production in general industrial applications, in field uses such as drilling and pumping, and as refinery fuel.

From about $29 \%$ in 1947, the proportion of Texas natural gas shipped interstate reached a peak of almost $56 \%$ in 1958-1959. Since that time this proportion has decreased slightly and may never again attain that level. The com-
bined influence of increasing federal control and rising intrastate demand probably will continue for some time to divert more and more Texas gas production from potential interstate to intrastate consumers.

With the movement toward more liberal use of imports, the share of the interstate market commanded by Texas producers will probably fall even more. Canadian gas is now flowing into the Pacific Northwest and will soon enter northern California on a large scale. The Middle West may receive larger quantities of Canadian gas in the near future. Gas from northeastern Mexico now flows through Texas Eastern's lines across Texas and on to the East. Moreover, hearings are now being held by the Federal Power Commission on an application to construct a pipeline from South Texas across northern Mexico to southern California, with some of the gas to be picked up in Mexico.

Whether the interstate gas transmission industry is regarded as the reprehensible proponent of precipitate consumption of a premium resource or as a valuable source of current and long-term revenue for Texas producers, production workers, and royalty owners-many of whose gas wells otherwise might be shut in-the fact remains that the industry has become ubiquitous. It links most of the United States with a complex, ever-changing network of services and facilities. And in providing these valuable services, the natural-gas transmission industry has operated increasingly with the federal government as its partner since the passage of the Natural Gas Act in 1938.

POSTAL RECE1PTS

| City |  | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sep 15- <br> Oct 12 <br> 1962 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Scp } 15-\text { Oct } 12 \\ 1962 \\ \text { from } \\ \text { Aug } 18-\text { Sep } 14 \\ 1962 \end{gathered}$ | Sep $15-$ Oct 12 1962 from Sep $16-0 c t 18$ 1961 |
| Angleton | \$6.768 | +10 | -29 |
| Bellaire | 32,208 | +10 | -5 |
| Brownfield | 11,899 | +33 | $+8$ |
| Childress | 5,425 | +5 | $+2$ |
| Coleman | 7,141 | +21 | -12 |
| Cuero | 6,615 | + 8 | -4 |
| Eagle Prab | 6,875 | +18 | -13 |
| Fl Campo | 9.993 | +25 | - 6 |
| Electra | 4,109 | +50 | -10 |
| Freeport | 17,614 | +85 | $+16$ |
| Gainesville | 13,378 | +20 | +10 |
| Galená Park | 5,621 | $+6$ | $+3$ |
| Gilmer | 5,794 | +22 | -22 |
| Conzales | 5,517 | +19 | -9 |
| Groves | 6.088 | +29 | +15 |
| Hillshoro | 7,276 | +14 | -29 |
| Huntaville | 11,516 | -21 | -18 |
| Hurst | 7,314 | +18 | +22 |
| Irving | 27,535 | +14 | - 8 |
| Kenedy | 3,977 | $+20$ | -21 |
| Kermit | 8,356 | $+27$ | -1 |
| Kerrville | 34,895 | +19 | $+9$ |
| La Grange | 4,986 | +13 | -15 |
| Lake Jackson | 5,617 | $+7$ | $+4$ |
| Marlin | 7,377 | $+59$ | -16 |
| Navasota | 5,142 | +26 | $-19$ |
| Pasadena | 37,927 | +19 | +5 |
| Pittsburg | 8,676 | + 7 | ** |
| Port Lavaca | 8,745 | +13 | - 5 |
| Richardson | 31,040 | +88 | +88 |
| Taft | 8,268 | $+14$ | $+6$ |
| Yoakum | . 14.016 | + 4 | $+8$ |

[^2]
## TEXAS BUSNESS REVIEW

## Index for Volume XXXVI, 1962

This index covers Volume XXXVI of the Texas Business Review for the year 1962. The index for this volume employs the same system of classification used for the recently completed index of the first 35 volumes of the Review. A detailed explanation of the structure and use of
the index is given in A Classified and Selective Index, The Texas Business Review, 1927-1962, copies of which are available upon request from the Bureau of Business Research, The University of Texas, Austin 12, Texas.

## SUBJECT INDEX

## ARTICLES

## 1. Agriculture and Agricultural Conditions

"Three States of Farming: Texas, Russia, and China" (The Typical Texas Farmer; The Representative Russian Farmer; The Characteristic Chinese Farmer; What Faces Farmers of the Future), XXXVI-4, Apr. 1962, pp. 84-87. (James D. Gordon)
"The Changing Role of the Texas Cow" (Breeding vs. Feeding; Texas Turns to Feeding; Within the State; A Forecast), XXXVI-6, June 1962, pp. 135-38. (James D. Gordon)
"The Texas Cotton Compress Industry: Geographical Trends," XXXVI-7, July 1962, pp. 156-59. (Tuffly Ellis)
"Texas Rosebush Industry: The Business Built on Beauty" (Many Growers, Few Packers; Varieties and Grades; Marketing; Packaging for Retail; Rose Promotion; The Outlook), XXXVI-9, Sept. 1962, pp. 214-17. (Andre Bouchard)

## 3. Finance

"The Common Market Challenge" (Keener Competition; Threat to Farm Markets; United States Investment Abroad; The Balance of Paymentr; The Free Trade Question; Root of the Controversy; Which Road for Texas; The Long Run), XXXVI-3, Mar: 1962, pp. 67-70. (George A. Christy)
"Automation of Banking Operations," XXXVI-10, Oct. 1962, pp. 235-38. (William F. Staats)
"Silver in Transition" (Silver's Monetary Heritage; The Case of "Frce" Silver; Recent Supply and Demand Con" ditions in the Silver Market; Silver's Changing Monetary Role), XXXVI-11, Nov. 1962, pp. 259-62. (Kornelis J. Walraven)

## 5. Industrial Summaries

"Texas Industrial Expansion" (The Big Ones in the State; Industry Revicw: Electronics, Apparel, Food and Kindred Products, Oil, Gas, and Petrochemicals, Other Chemical Plants, Pximary and Fabricated Metals, Other Industrial Expansions), XXXVI-2 Feb. 1962, pp. 28-32. (James J. Kelly, Year-End Summary)
6. Industrialization, Resources, and Economic

## Development

"Behind the NASA Move to Houston" (Transportation; Local Industrial Support and Good Labor Supply; Electric Power Facilities; Water Resources; Mild Climate; Community Amenities), XXXVI-4, Apr. 1962, pp. 90-94. (James Stafford)
"Industrial Energy Consumption in Texas" (Industrial Uses of Energy: Crude Petroleum, Natural Gas; Industrial Energy Consumption: 1939-1958; Future Industrial

Demand), XXXVI-6, June 1962, pp. 131-34. (Robert M. Lockwood)
7. Industries
g. Petroleum and Natural Gas
"Texas and Interstate Natural Gas" (The Infant Gulliver; Postwar Maturity; State and Industry Trends), XXXVI-12, Dec. 1962, pp. 284-86. (Robert M. Lockwood and Thomas V. Greer)
8. Law and Legislation
"Construction Liens in Texas. I," XXXVI-5, May 1962, pp. 111-14; "Governmental Construction Projects in Texas. II" (The McGregor Act; The Miller Act; Other Workmen's Lien Rights), XXXVI-6, June 1962, pp. 141-42. (Jack W. Ledbetter)
9. Management and Persomel
"Accounting Can Measure Executive Performance" (Organizational Basis for Divisionalization; Recent Management Cases; An Oil Well Service Business; Eastman Kodak Company; Weyerhaeuser Company; Westinghouse Electric Corporation; A Manufacturer in the Electronics Control Field; H, K. Porter Company), XXXVI-7, July 1962, pp. 163-65. (Robert R. Irish)

## 11. Natural Resources and Geography

"Oil Conservation and the Texas Railroad Commission" (Early Conservation Movements; Postwar Years; Pres-ent-Day Conservation), XXXVI-12, Dec. 1962, pp. 280-83. (A. Cameron MitchelI)

## 12. Population Studies

"A Primer of Urban Ecology," XXXVI-1, Jan. 1962, pp. 3-8. (Robert H. Ryan)
"Population Projections for Texas Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas, 1970" (Population Projections: Assumptions and Methods; Population Projections for Texas S.M.S.A.'s; Most Probable 1970 S.M.S.A. Populations), XXXVI-3, Mar. 1962, pp. 60-64. (Sanford Labovitz)
"Industries and Population Growth in Texas Counties, 1950-1960" (Industry Structure and Community Growth; Research Procedure; Analysis of the Results; Implications), XXXVI-11, Nov. 1962, pp. 256-58. (Rex Enoch and Wiley P. Mangum)

## REPORTS

## 1. Agriculture and Agricultural Conditions a. General

"LP Goes the Rural Route" (Linear Programming as a Mathematical Method of Budgeting; Identity of a Problem Susceptible to Linear Programming; Primary Application; LP in Practice: Example 1, Example 2, Example 3; Livestock, Crops; Increasing the Scope; Professional Applicators), XXXVI-1, Jan. 1962, pp. 11-14. (James D. Gordon)

## 3. Finance and Economics

"The Securities Boom in Texas," XXXVI-5, May 1962, pp. 108-10. (George A. Christy)

## 4. Foreign Trade

"The European Unification Movement," XXXVI-6, June 1962, pp. 139-40. (Andreas S. Gerakis)
"What Exports Mean to Texas" (Manufactured Exports; Agricultural Exports; Other Exports; Manufactured Exports; Chemical Exports; Chemicals; Petroleum and Coal Products; Food and Kindred Products; Primary Metals; Nonelectrical Machinery; Commodities and Minerals Exports), XXXVI-9, Sept. 1962, pp. 208-10. (George A. Chxisty)

## 7. Industries

## c. Construction

"Fallout Shelters: Construction Boon," XXXVI-1, Jan. 1962, pp. 9-10. (Charles O. Bettinger)
"Construction-Another Record Year," XXXVI-2, Feb. 1962, pp. 33-34. (Charles O. Bettinger, Year-End Summary)
"Homebuilding, 1962: A Trend toward Value," XXXVI-4, Apr, 1962, pp. 88-89. (Charles 0. Bettinger)
"Building Construction at New High" (Residential Construction in Texas; Developments in New Home Financing; Nonresidential Construction; Additions, Alterations, and Repairs), XXXVI-5, May 1962, pp. 115-16. (Charles O. Bettinger)
"Texas Builds to Meet Its Hospital Needs" (Area Planning; New Trends in Hospital Construction), XXXVI-7, July 1962, pp. 160-62. (Charles O. Bettinger)
"Texas Construction Leaps Forward in 1962" (Nonresidential Construction; Additions, Alterations, and Repairs), XXXVI-8, Aug. 1962, pp. 180-81. (Charles O. Bettinger, Semiannual Review)
"Interstate Highway System in Texas," XXXVI-9, Sept. 1962, pp. 211-13. (Fred Ingerson)
"School Construction and Population Waves" (School Construction as an Economic Factor; Demographic Influence), XXXVI-10, Oct. 1962, pp. 239-41. (Charles 0. Bettinger)
"A Third-Quarter Glance at Texas Construction" (Rosidential Construction; Nonresidential Construction), XXXVI-11, Nov. 1962, pp. 265-66. (Charles O. Bettinger)

## 12. Retail Trade and Marketing

"Retail Sales Show Upward Trend," XXXVI-2, Feb. 1962, pp. 35-36. (Ida M. Lambeth, Year-End Summary)
"Retail Sales' in First Quarter," XXXVI-5, May 1962, pp. 117-18. (Ida M. Lambeth)
"Retail Sales: First Half 1962," XXXVI-8, Aug. 1962, pp. 182-83. (Ida M. Lambeth, Semiannual Review)
"Texas Retail Trade in Three Quarters," XXXVI-11, Nov. 1962, pp. 263-64. (Ida M. Lambeth)

## 13. Business Education

"Education for Business" (Current Requirements for the B.B.A. Degree; Computer Teaching Program; Faculty Goes Back to School; Quest of Teaching Excellence; Honors Plan for Superior Students; Advisory Council; Business Administration Foundation; Bureau of Business Research), XXXVI-10, Oct. 1962, pp. 232-34, (John Arch White)

## COLUMNS

## 2. The Business Situation in Texas

Recovery from Mild Recession (Beginning in May 1960) Is Assessed; Good Record Cited despite Tight Proration for Oil Industry; Need for New Job Opportunities Cited; State's Economy Experiencing Declining Growth Rate, XXXVI-1, Jan. 1962, pp. 1-2. (Francis B. May, The Business Situation in Texas)
Recession of 1960 Ended in October 1960; Summary Comparison of Days' Production Allowed' by Railroad Commission Summarized; Extent of Railroad Commission's Powers Described; Slowdown in New Refincry Investment Attributed to Various Factors; General Summary of Year's Activities in State Economy Given; Overall Outlook for 1962 Assessed, XXXVI-2, Feb. 1962, pp. 25-27. (Francis B. May, The Business Situation in Texas, YearEnd Summary)

Shift in Base Period for Index of Texas Business Activity Noted; General Rise in Index (Based on Bank Check Transactions) Is Encouraging; Technical Factors Affecting Oil Production Cited; Petroleum and Chemical Industries Offer Top Weekly Wages in State; Factors Pushing Index Upward Summarized; Retail Sales Data Summaxized; National Decline in Retail Sales Possibly Reflects Latent Fear of Steel Strike; Negotiations on Steel Situation Encouraging, Especially in Light of 116-Day Strike in 1959; Construction Situation Cited, with Strong Increases in Office-Bank Buildings and Public Works; Residential Construction in 1961 Bright Spot in State's Economy with Forward Outlook Continuing in 1962, XXXVI-3, Mar. 1962, pp. 57-59. (Francis B. May, The Business Situation in Texas-Retail Sales, Construction)
Index of Texas Business Activity Reaches All-Time High in January, with February Second Highest; Railroad Industry Planning Capital Improvements; 1961 Oil Production in Texas Discussed in Compared with That of Louisiana; Gasoline Price Wars and Decline in Gasoline De* mand by Compacts Cited; Sluggishness in Retail Sales Has Worried Business Economists, Reasons Cited; Nosedive in Residential Construction Noted for February 1961, Compared with Rise in 1962, XXXVI-4, Apr. 1962, pp. 81-88. (Francis B. May, The Business Situation in Texas)
Declines in Texas Index a Reminder that National 19611962 Recovery Mildly Disappointing; Chairman of President's Council of Economic Advisors Predicts More Rapid Cyclical Upswing in Second Half of Year; Oil Production Pattern Cited and Discussed; National Demands for Oils Noted; Insurance Companies Pointed Out as Major Source of Investment Funds for the Economy; First Quarter Summary Encouraging Despite Weakness in Petroleum Sector, XXXVI-5, May 1962, pp. 105-107. (Francis B. May, The Business Situation in Texas)
Explanation of Factors Affecting Petroleum Production; Oil and Gas Journal Reports Next Ten Years to Show Nuclear Fuel as Substantial Competitor with Fossil Fuels as Electrical Energy Source; Effects of This Competition Cited for Coal Producers; Discussion of Personal Per

Capita Income; Growth of Chemical Industry Noted; State's Economy Appears to Be Closely Tied to National Trends, XXXVI-6, June 1962, pp. 129-30. (Francis B. May, The Business Situation in Texas)

Fluctuating Situation in Petroleum Industry Discussed; Texas Eeonomy Is Progressing, despite Depressed Oil Sector; Cumulative Data for Construction Summarized, Showing High Level of Construction Including Residential, XXXVI-7, July 1962, pp. 153-65. (Francis B. May, The Business Situation in Texas)

Quarters Summarized and Compared for First Half of 1962; Petroleum Decline Factors Cited; Summary of First Half Shows Business over the State Good, but Uneasiness about Economic Outlook for Nation due to Lack of Zip Nationally and to Speculation in Stock Market, XXXVI-8, Aug. 1962, pp. 1.77-79. (Frarcis B. May, The Business Situation in Texas, Semiannual Review)

Drops in Cyclical Upswing Noted; Crude Situation Discussed'; Overall Petroleum Industry Economic Factors Noted; Fluctuation of Texas Economy in Relation to National Economy in Terms of Current Recovery; Retail Sales Summarized, Including National Trend; Construction Strong Sustaining Factor in Both National and Texas Economies, XXXVI-9, Sept. 1962, pp. 205-207. (Francis B. May, The Business Situation in TexasRetail Sales, Building Construction)

Sharp Rise in Activity Noted; Texas Petroleum Production No. 1 in Nation; Forecasts of Demands for Refined Products in 1975 Project Increase; National Economic Barometers Show Mixed Performanee; No Certain Signs of Depression Induce Mild Optimism; Motor Vehicle Sales Discussed; Influence of Size and Age Composition of Total Population on Retail Sales, Including Effect of Teen-Age Market; Construction Data Reveals Increase in MultipleFamily Dwellings, Factors Cited; Boom in Motel Building in Texas Has Passed Peak, XXXVI-10, Oct. 1962, pp. 229-31. (Francis B. May, The Business Situation in Texas)

Sharp Drop in Index Raises Question of Recession; Severe Proration Affecting Overall Texas Economy; Sources of Personal Income and Effect on Economy Analyzed, with Significant Changes Listed; Third Quarter Comparisons Made, XXXVI-11, Nov. 1962, pp. 253-55. (Francis B. May, The Business Situation in Texas)

Increased Equipment Movement because of Cuban Situation Focused Attention on Poor Rail Situation, and Shortage of Freight Cars Reflects Decline in Capital Investment; Large Contract Awarded to Fort Worth Aircraft Manufacturer to Halt Decline in Aircraft Employment; Growing Texas Population Means Need for New Industry to Provide More Employment Possibilities; Sudden Sharp Upsurge ( $50 \%$ Increase) in Automobile Sales Sparks Entire Economy, Moderating Fears of 1963 Recession; Texas Exceeds National Average Value of Construction Contract Awards, 1957-1962, with Shift in Manufacturing to South and Southwest Helping to Create the Favorable Difference, XXXVI-12, Dec. 1962, pp. 277-79. (Francis B. May, The Business Situation in Texas)

## MISCELLANEOUS

"Texas Urbanization 1950-1960" (Percent changes in population of cities and Standard Metropolitan Areas [shaded]), XXXVI-1, Jan. 1962, p. 5. [Illustration, Map] "A Population Booklist" (Recent studies of population trends and regional science), XXXVI-1, Jan. 1962, p. 6. [Boxed Insert]
"Population Estimates for Texas Counties, April 1, 1961" (Prepared by the Population Research Center, Department of Sociology, The University of Texas), XXXVI-1, Jan. 1962, p. 8. [Notation]
Marketing Aspects of Capital-Equipment Leasing (by Peter D. Bennett), XXXVI-1, Jan. 1962, Back Cover. [Review, Boxed]
Merit Rating of Supervisors and Executives (by W. R. Spriegel and Edwin M. Mumma), XXXVI-2, Feb. 1962, Back Cover. [Review, Boxed]
1962 Directory of Texas Manufacturers (Plants Classified by Cities; Plants Classified by Products; Use of the Directory), XXXVI-3, Mar. 1962, pp. 65-66. (James J. Kelly, [Review, Explanation])
"Products Manufactured in Texas by Major Groups of Standard Industrial Classification," XXXVI-3, Mar. 1962, p. 66. [Listed Notation, Boxed]

1962 Directory of Texas Manufacturers (Marie Fletcher, Editor, and Ruth B. Asheroft, Associate Editor), XXXVI3, Mar. 1962, Back Cover. [Announcement, Boxed]
Mental Health in Industry (by W. R. Spriegel and Edwin M. Mumma), XXXVI-4, Apr. 1962, Back Cover. [Review, Boxed]
Fifty Years of Education for Business At The University of Texas (by C. Aubrey Smith), XXXVI-5, May 1962, Back Cover. [Review, Boxed]
"How Industry Uses Energy," XXXVI-6, June 1962, p. 132. [Notation, Boxed Insext]

Controllership: Functions and Training (by Clifford E. Hutton), XXXVI-6, June 1962, Back Cover. [Review, Boxed]
"Simplified Floor Plan, Scott and White Memorial Hospital, Temple, Texas," XXXVI-7, July 1962, p. 161. 1962 Publications, Bureau of Business Rescarch, The University of Texas, XXXVI-7, July 1962, Back Cover, [Review, Boxed]
"After 35 Years: New Index Sheds New Light on Old Pages," XXXVI-8, Aug. 1962, p. 184. (Elizabeth R. Turpin, [Review, Explanation])
The American Traveler: More Darkness Than Light? (by Robert E. Waugh), XXXVI-8, Aug. 1962, Back Cover. A Classified and Selective Index, The Texas Business Review, 1927-1961 XXXVI-9, Sept. 1962, Back Cover. [Review, Boxed]
"A Magnetic Tape System (Fig. 1); A Magnetic Ink Imprinted Check (Fig. 2)," XXXVI-10, Oct. 1962, pp. 236-37. [Illustrations]
Index of Publications of Bureaus of Business and Economic Research, Supplement, 1961 (Associated University Bureaus of Business and Economic Research), XXXVI10, Oct. 1962, Back Cover. [Review, Boxed]
What You Should Know About Erporting (by the U. S. Department of Commerce), XXXVI-11, Nov, 1962, Back Cover. [Review, Boxed]
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LOCAL BUSINESS GONDITIONS

As a reader's guide to better utility of retail sales data, an average percent change from the preceding month has been computed for each month of the year. This percent change is marked with a dagger ( $\psi$ ) following that figure. The next percent change represents the actual change from the preceding month. A large variation in the normal seasonal from the actual figure represents an abnormal month. The third percent change shows the change from the identical period the preceding year. Postal receipt information which is marked by an asterisk (*) indicates cash receipts received during the four-week postal accounting period ended Oct. 12, 1962, and the percent changes from the preceding period and the comparable period in
the previous year. Annual postal data are for 13 four-week periods falling closest within 1960 and 1961 calendar years. Changes less than one-half of 1 percent are marked with a double asterisk (**). Waco retail sales information is reported in cooperation with the Baylor Bureau of Business Research. End-of-month deposits as reported represent money on deposit in individual demand deposit accounts on the last day of the month and are indicated by the symbol ( $\ddagger$ ). All population figures are final 1960 census data, with the exceptions of those marked (r) which are official revisions. Figures under Texarkana with the following symbol (§) are for Texarkana, Texas, only.

| City and item | $\begin{gathered} \text { Oct } \\ 1962 \end{gathered}$ | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Oct } 1962 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Sept } 1962 \end{aligned}$ | Oct 1962 from Oct 1961 |
| ABILENE (pop. 90,368) |  |  |  |
| Retail sales | $+3 \dagger$ | + 3 | + 2 |
| Apparel stores | + 7t | + 9 | -18 |
| Automotive stores | $+5 \dagger$ | +68 | $+25$ |
| Drug stores | $+3 \dagger$ | + 2 | + 9 |
| General merchandise stores. | $+14 \dagger$ | 3 | - |
| Lumber, building material, and hardware stores. | $+4 \dagger$ | $+18$ |  |
| Postal receipts* ................... \$ | 117,691 | $+22$ | + 6 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 1,825,930 | $+37$ | -15 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............. \$ | 116,726 | $+22$ |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger .8$ | 74,062 | $-1$ |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover...... | 18.8 | $+20$ | +1 |
| Employment (area) | 36,100 |  | ** |
| Manufacturing employment (area). | 4,260 | $-4$ |  |
| Percent unemployed (area) | 5.7 | $+21$ | + 8 |

## ALICE (pop. 20,861)

Retail sales

| Lumber, building material, and hardware stores.... | $+4 \dagger$ | $+17$ | $-27$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Postal receipts* | 16,713 | $+17$ | $+3$ |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | 108,908 | - 22 | -30 |


| ALPINE (pop. 4,740) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Postal receipts* .................... \% | 5,189 | $+49$ | 6 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 5,000 | -94 | +1011 |
| Bank debits (thousands)............. \$ | 3,700 | + 18 | + 17 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger .8$ | 4,462 | + 7 | + 8 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 10.3 | + 12 |  |

AMARILLO (pop. 137,969)

| Retail sales | $+3 \dagger$ |  | $+24$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Apparel stores | + 7 $\dagger$ | $+4$ | $+37$ |
| Automotive stores | + 5 \% | -11 | $+22$ |
| Eating and drinking places. |  | 9 |  |
| Furniture and household appliance stores |  |  | $+45$ |
| Postal receipts* .................... \% | 238,657 | $+34$ | $+16$ |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 2,381,260 | $+4$ | + 39 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............. \$ | 252,688 | $+21$ | + 2 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . \$$ | 126,366 | + 7 | $+6$ |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 24.8 |  | ** |
| Employment (area) | 55,600 |  |  |
| Manufacturing employment (area) | 5,500 | ** | $+14$ |
| Percent unemployed (area) | 3.6 | ** | - 16 |


|  |  | Percent change |  | Local Business Conditions City and Item | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Oct } \\ & 1962 \end{aligned}$ | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Local Business Conditions City and item | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Oct } \\ & 1962 \end{aligned}$ | Oct 1962 from Sept 1962 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Oct } 1962 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Oct } 1961 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Oct } 1962 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Sept } 1962 \end{aligned}$ | Oct 1962 from Oct 1961 |
| ATHENS (pop. 7,086) |  |  |  | BORGER (pop. 20,911) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* ................... | 8,493 | $+33$ | $-16$ | Postal receipts* .................. \% | 18,058 |  |  |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............. | 10,070 | + 4 | + 8 | Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | $\begin{aligned} & 182,942 \\ & \hline 225 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -58 \\ & \hline \quad 7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & +31 \\ & -28 \end{aligned}$ |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. \$ | 9,051 |  |  | Nonagricultural placer |  |  |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 13.6 |  |  | BRADY (pop. 5,338) |  |  |  |
| BAY CITY (pop. 11,656) |  |  |  | Postal receipts ${ }^{*}$................. | 4,799 | +16 +68 |  |
| Retail sales |  |  |  | Building permits, less federal contracts | 16,800 6,282 | - 17 | + |
| Automotive stores |  | + 49 | + 45 | End-of-month deposits (thousands) \&. . \& | 6,282 7,692 | a $+\quad 4$ +15 | ** |
| Postal receipta* . ................. \% | 13,167 | + 22 |  | Annual rate of deposit turnover...... | 10.0 | $+15$ | + 19 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............ \$ | 16,980 | 12 |  |  |  |  |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. \$ | 23,158 | + 4 | - 2 | BRENHAM (pop. 7,740) |  |  |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 9.0 | -13 | - 4 | Postal receipts* ${ }^{\text {a }}$ (................. $\%$ | 8,509 | $+11$ |  |
| Nonagricultural placements .... | 113 |  | 53 | Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 60,635 | + 58 | + 94 |
| BAYTOWN (pop. 28,159) |  |  |  | Bank debits (thousands). | 11,381 |  | - |
| Retail sales | + 3+ | +19 | $+15$ | Annual rate of deposit turnove | 10.2 | ** | - 10 |
| Automotive stores | $+{ }^{5 t}$ | $+36$ | $+10$ | Nonagricultural placements | 68 | -36 | - 23 |
| Food stores | ** $\dagger$ |  | + | BROWNSVILLE (pop. 48,040 ) |  |  |  |
|  | 27,459 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 375,775 | -19 |  | Retail sales | + $3 \uparrow$ | +19 | $+15$ |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............ $\$$ | 28,776 | 15 | 17 | Automotive stores |  | + 37 | 34 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . .8$ | 24,556 |  | $+$ | Lumber, building material, |  |  |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 13.9 | + 14 | + 12 | and hardware stores | $+4 \dagger$ | +15 |  |
| Employment (area) | 520,900 | ** | $+$ | Postal recelpta* | 33,211 | +23 |  |
| Manufacturing employment (area). | 92,050 |  | - 2 | Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 163,181 | +54 | 12 |
| Percent unemployed (area) ... | 3.9 | ** |  | Bank debits (thousands) | 42,386 |  | + 20 |
| BEAUMONT (pop. 119,175) |  |  |  | End-of-month deposits (thousands) Annual rate of deposit turnover.. | 23,361 22.2 |  |  |
| Retail sales | $+$ | 16 | + 12 | Nonagricultural placements | 499 | - 12 | $+$ |
| Apparel stores | + 7 ${ }^{+}$ | +1 | $\bigcirc 11$ | BROWNWOOD (pop. 16,974) |  |  |  |
|  |  | $+25$ | + 30 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Furniture and household |  |  |  | etail sales $+7+12+$ |  |  |  |
| appliance stores <br> Lumber, building material, |  |  |  | Postal receipts* ................... | 25,240 |  |  |
| and hardware stores | $+{ }^{4}$ | + 11 |  | Building permita, less federal contracts \$ | 22,867 | -81 | -38 |
| Postal receipts* ........ | 127,943 | +18 | - ${ }^{1}$ | Bank debits (thousands) ............ \$ | 18,074 | +22 |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ 1 | 1,352,129 | $+88$ | $-77$ | End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. . $\%$ | 13,466 |  |  |
| Bank debits (thousands) ........... \% | 183,300 | +11 | + 4 | Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 15.7 168 | +25 $+\quad 3$ |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ \% | 103,311 | + 1 |  | Nonagricultural placements |  |  |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 21.4 | + 9 | + | BRYAN (pop. 27,542) |  |  |  |
| Employment (area) | 107,500 |  | $\bigcirc$ | Retail sales |  | + 26 | + 25 |
| Manufacturing employment | 34,550 |  |  | Apparel stores | $+7 \dagger$ | $+13$ | + 3 |
| Percent unemployed (area) | 6.2 |  |  | Automotive stores |  | $+72$ | $+46$ |
| BEEVILLE (pop. 13,811) |  |  |  | Lumber, building material, and hardware stores. . |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts**................ 8 | 12,783 | +24 | $+6$ | and hardware stores ............. | ${ }_{21,241}{ }^{4 \dagger}$ |  |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ 1 | 1,388,510 | +2650 | +1368 +16 | Bank debits (thousands) ............. \& | 24,504 |  |  |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............ 8 | 11,181 | +15 |  | End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. \$ | 17,806 |  | ** |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger \ldots 8$ | 13,909 | + ${ }^{2}$ | +2 +11 | Nonagricultural placements ......... | 288 | -25 | $-12$ |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 9.7 | + 14 | +11 |  |  |  |  |
| Nonagricultural placements | 161 | -13 |  | CALDWELL (pop. |  |  |  |
| BIG SPRING (pop. 31,230) |  |  |  |  | 2,431 2,738 | +25 +13 | $-10$ |
| Retail sales | $+3 \dagger$ | $+31$ |  | End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . .8$ | 4,131 |  |  |
| Drug stores |  |  |  | Annual rate of deposit turno | 8.2 |  |  |
| Lumber, building material, and hardware stores. | + ${ }^{4}$ | $+4$ |  | CAMERON (pop. 5,640) + |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* ${ }^{*}$................... ${ }^{\text {\% }}$ | 37,416 | + 42 | + 40 |  | $\begin{array}{r}\text { 5,802 } \\ 51,978 \\ \hline\end{array}$ |  |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 219,576 | + 71 | -67 | Bank debits (thousands) | 5,851 |  |  |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............. | 42,763 | + 11 |  | End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. . \$ | 5,506 |  |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. . | 27,315 | + ${ }^{3}$ |  |  | 12.4 |  |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 19.1 | + 10 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nonagricultural placements | 263 |  | - | CANYON (pop. 5,864) |  |  |  |
| BISHOP (pop. 3,722) |  |  |  | Building permits, less federal contracts Bank debits (thousands)........... | 80,750 7,780 | -79 +34 | + 19 |
| Postal receipts* ................... 8 | 2,501 | $-25$ | - 81 | End-of-month deposits (thousands) \& . \% | 6,759 | + 14 |  |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............. 8 | 2,216 | $-10$ | - 18 | Annual rate of deposit turnover...... | 14.7 | $+25$ | $+$ |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . . \$$ | 3,025 |  | -12 | CARROLLTON (pop. 4,242) |  |  |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover...... | 8.9 | $-13$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| BONHAM (pop. 7,357) |  |  |  | Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 178,525 | $+35$ | +153 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............ | 9,819 | + 25 | + 12 | Bank debits (thousands) ............ 8 | 5,782 |  | + 47 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger .8$ | 8,724 | + 11 | + 5 | End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . .8$ | 3,089 |  | + 23 |
| nnual rate of deposit turno | 13.5 | $+15$ | $+5$ | Annual rate of deposit turnover | 22 | + | + 18 |


| Local Business Conditions |  | Percent change |  | Local Business Conditions City and item | $\begin{gathered} \text { Oct } \\ 1962 \end{gathered}$ | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| City and item | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Oct } \\ & 1962 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Oct } 1962 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Sept } 1962 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Oct } 1962 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Oct } 1961 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Oct } 1962 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Sept } 1962 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Oct } 1968 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Oct } 196 \end{aligned}$ |
| CISCO (pop. 4,499) |  |  |  | DALLAS (pop. 679,684) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* | 5.006 | $+34$ |  | Retail sales |  | + 20 | + |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............ 8 | 3,816 | + 23 |  | Apparel stores | + 10 t | + 2 |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) 4 . | 3,748 |  |  | Antomotive storea | -1才 | $+67$ | + 89 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 12.0 | + 21 |  | Eating and drinking places | + $10 \%$ | + 17 |  |
| CLEBURNE (pop. 15,381) |  |  |  | Furniture and bousehold |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ${ }^{\text {\% }}$ | 13,577 | - 5 | - 9 | applinace stores .... |  |  |  |
| Building permits, lesa federal contracts | 197,460 | +148 | +369 | General merchandlse | $+11 \dagger$ | +15 |  |
| Bank debits (thousands) . . . . . . . . . . | 11,623 |  |  | Lumber, building materisi, |  |  |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thoussands) i. . | 12,187 |  |  | and hardware stores. |  |  |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover...... | 11.7 | + | + 3 | Office, store, and school |  |  |  |
| Employment (area) | 219,600 | ** |  | sapply dealers |  | + 15 |  |
| Manufacturing employment (ares). | 49,100 | $\rightarrow 1$ |  | Postal receints* | 99,160 |  |  |
| Percent unemployed (area) | 4.5 | - 2 | - 8 | Building permits, less federal contructs | 809,462 | + 39 | + 33 |
| CLUTE (pop. 4,501) |  |  |  | Bank debits (thousands) ........... $3,351,792$ |  | $+{ }_{+}^{15}$ |  |
| Postal receipta* | 1,889 | $+17$ | - 12 | Annual rate of depost turnover. | 31.0 | + 14 |  |
| Building permits, less federal contrsete \$ | 83,985 | +89 | 16 | Employment (area) | 662,300 | ** |  |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............. \$ | 1,902 |  |  | Manufacturing employntent (area) . | 103,850 |  |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. $\$$ | 1,644 | - 4 | ${ }^{3}$ | Percent unemployed (area) | 8.4 |  | - |
| Anrual rate of deposit turnover. | 14.5 | + 7 | - 8 | DEAR PARK (pop. 4,865) |  |  |  |
| COLORADO CITY (pop. 6,457) |  |  |  | Postal receiptsa ${ }^{\text {a }}$................. 8 | 5,936 | + 40 | $+10$ |
| Retall sales |  |  |  | Building perriits, less federal coutracts \$ | 68.000 |  | $-74$ |
| Lumber, building material, |  |  |  | Bank debite (thousends) ........... ${ }^{\text {S }}$ | 3,276 | $+24$ |  |
| and hardware stores. |  | + | -23 | End-of-month deposits (thoosands) \$ . \$ | 2,283 | +22 |  |
| Postal receipts**................. | 6,369 | $+$ | + 7 | Annual rate of deposit turno | 8.9 |  |  |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............ | 6,250 | + 42 | ** | DEL RIO (pop. 18,612) |  |  |  |
| End-oi-month deposits (thousandi) $\ddagger$. \% | 6,321 | $\dagger$ | + | Retail sales |  |  |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 12.4 | + 38 |  | Lumber, building material, |  |  |  |
| COLLEGE STATION (pop. 11,396) |  |  |  | and hardware stores.... | $+4 \dagger$ |  | $\bigcirc 12$ |
| Postal receipts* .................. | 26,167 | $+40$ |  | Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 494,540 | +586 | +782 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 46,327 | - 57 | + 22 | Bank debits (thourands) ............ | 12,206 | + 24 | +22 |
| Bank delits (thousands)............. ${ }^{\text {\% }}$ | ,228 | $+$ | + 7 | Eid-of-month deposits (thousands) : . | 14,888 | + 2 |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. . $\%$ | 2,959 | - 7 |  | Annual rate of deposit turnover | 9.9 | $+21$ | + 18 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 16.5 |  |  | DENISON (pop. 22,748) |  |  |  |
| COPPERAS COVE (pop. 4,567) |  |  |  | Retail sales (p) |  |  |  |
|  | 8,040 | - 1 | + | Drug stores | $+3 \dagger$ |  | $-16$ |
| Buiding permits, less federal contracts \$ | 127,800 | + 46 | $-56$ | Postal receipts ${ }^{\text {a }}$, .................. ${ }^{\text {s }}$ | 21,077 | $+11$ | + 10 |
| Bank debita (thousands) . . . . . . . . . \% | 1,504 | +13 | $+41$ | Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 288,680 | +194 | $+26$ |
| End-of-month deposits (thousends) t. . \$ | 1,126 | $+17$ | $+57$ | Bank debits (thousands) .......... | 16.938 |  |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnope | 17.3 |  |  | End-of-month deposits (thousands) ${ }^{\text {a }}$. $\$$ | 15,108 |  |  |
| CORPUS CHRISTI (pop. 167,690) |  |  |  | Nonagricultural placements | 221 |  |  |
| Retail sales | + ${ }^{\mathbf{7}}$ | + 48 | $+85$ | DENTON (pop. 26,844) |  |  |  |
| Apparel storea | + 7t | - 2 | $-7$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Automotive stores | $5{ }^{5}$ | $+66$ | + 47 | Bailding permits, tess federal contracts \$ | 386,000 |  | -13 |
| Lumber, building material, and hardware stores. |  |  |  | Bank depits (thousande) ............ ${ }^{\text {\% }}$ | 24,677 | +19 |  |
| Postal receipts* ${ }^{\text {and }}$ hardware stores................ | $\underset{172,730}{+}$ |  |  | End-of-month deposits (thousands) ${ }_{\text {a }}$. \$ | 24,568 |  |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | ,150,386 | + 53 | +187 | Annunl rate of deposit turnover. | 12.1 | + 13 |  |
| Bank debits (thousands)............. | 197,129 | + 1 | ** | Nonagricutural Dlacements |  |  | †- |
| End-of-month deposits (thousanda) $⿻$ (.. | 111,945 | + 2 |  | DONNA (pop. 7,522 |  |  |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 21.4 | \% |  | Postal recelpts**.............. 8 | 3,914 | + 35 |  |
| Employment (ares) | 64,600 |  | * | Building permits, less federal contracta \% | 15,800 | -83 |  |
| Manufacturing employment (area). | 8,720 | ** |  | Bank debits (thousprds) ........... | 2,547 | - 12 |  |
| Percent unemployed (area)... | 4.9 | $-6$ |  | End-of-month deposits (thousands) \$. | 3.948 | + 52 | + 34 |
| CORSICANA (pop. 20,344) |  |  |  | Annual rate of deposit turnove | 9.3 | - 27 | - 11 |
|  |  |  |  | EDINBURG (pop. 18,706) |  |  |  |
| Buxilding permita, lese federal contracts \$ | 143,685 | -21 | -16 +124 | Postal reeeipts* ...................... \$ <br> Building permits, less federal contracte \$ | 14,202 95,814 | +44 $+\quad 49$ |  |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............ $\%$ | 18,907 |  | -7 | Bank debits (thousends) . . . . . . . . . . | 95,814 <br> 14,703 | +249 ** | 16 +11 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) 4 . . | 21,084 | + 7 | $+3$ | End-of-month deposita (thousands) $\ddagger$. $\$$ | 9,502 |  |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turno Nonagricultural placementa | 1.2 | - | $-8$ | Annual rate of deposit turnover...... | 19.0 | - 2 |  |
| Nonagricultural placements | 226 | $-22$ | $+11$ | Nonagricultural placements | 248 | - $59^{\prime}$ | - 87 |
| CRYSTAL CITY (pop. 9,101) |  |  |  | EDNA (pop. 5,038) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* .................. ${ }^{\text {\% }}$ | 3,595- | + 39 | $+7$ | Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . \$ | 6,896 | $+47$ | + 19 |
| Building pernits, less federal contracte \$ | 22,990 | $-91$ | -69 | Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 396,050 | +748 | +249 |
| Bank debits (thousandi) . ............ | 3,410 | +14 | + 28 | Bank devits (thousands) ............. \$ | 7,695 |  | + 82 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands)t. * | 3,243 | + 5 |  | End-of-month deposits (thoussnds) 1. | 7,147 | + 8 |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnov | 12.9 | +15 |  | Annual rate of deposit turnov | 13.4 | + | $+$ |


| Local Business Conditions |  | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| City and item | Oct <br> 1962 | Oct 1962 from Sept 1962 | Oct 1962 from Oct 1961 |
| FIP PASO (pop. 276,687) |  |  |  |
| Retait sales | + 3才 | $+17$ | 12 |
| Apparel stores | + 74 | $+15$ | - 23 |
| Automotive stores | + $5 \dagger$ | + 33 |  |
| Postal recelpts* | 294,838 | + 7 | $+2$ |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | 1,682,419 | $+19$ | - 50 |
| Bank debits (thounands)............. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 359,162 | + 15 |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thoussands) \%. \$ | 182,653 | + 1 |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 23.6 | $+12$ | - 4 |
| imployment (area) | 94,200 | ** |  |
| Manufacturing employment (area): | 15,690 | ** | + 9 |
| Percent unemployed (area).......... | 4.7 | $+2$ | + 24 |
| ENNIS (pop, 9,347) |  |  |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 114,878 | - 90 | +882 |
| Bank debits (thousands) . . . . . . . . . . . \$ | 8,484 | + 18 |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | 7,256 | $+$ |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turbover | 14.1 | $+$ |  |
| EULESS (pop. 2,062) |  |  |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 407,022 | +241 |  |
| Bank dehits (thousands).............. ${ }^{\text {\% }}$ | 218 | + 22 |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . \$$ | 519 | +151 |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 7.2 | $+29$ |  |
| FORT STOCKTON (pop. 6,373) |  |  |  |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............. | 6,848 | + 14 | ** |
| End-of-month depogits (thousands) $\ddagger$. \$ | 5,470 | + 2 |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 14.0 | + 21 | -8 |
| FORT WORTH (pop. 356,268) |  |  |  |
| Retail вalea .......................... | + $5 \dagger$ | + 12 |  |
| Apparel stores | + 7 $\dagger$ | + 2 | - 8 |
| Automotive stores | *t $\dagger$ | $+63$ | $+36$ |
| Drug stores | $+3 \dagger$ | - 1 | + 2 |
| Esting and drinking places | + 2才 | - 3 | ** |
| Furniture and household <br> appliance storea ................. $+5 \dagger+10$ + |  |  |  |
| Gasoline and service stations | + $3 \dagger$ | + 3 |  |
| General merehandise stores | + 15t | + $\mathbf{3}$ | - 4 |
| Lumber, building material, and hardware stores. | $+44$ | + 1.6 | - 11 |
| Postal receipts* | 779,077 | + 8 | - 7 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 2,212,784 | $+6$ | + 12 |
| Bank debits (thousands).............. \$ | 848.714 | + 13 | + 2 |
| End-0i-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. \$ | 396,031 | + 2 | + 1 |
| Annual rate of deposit ternover..... | 25.8 | + 11 | + 2 |
| Employment (area) | 219,500 | ** |  |
| Manufacturing employment (area). | 49,100 | 1 |  |
| Percent unemployed (area) | 4.5 | - 2 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Retnil sales . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | + $9 \dagger$ |  |  |
| Drug stores | + 8t | + 6 | +3 |
| General merchandise stores | $+14 \dagger$ | $+3$ | + 11 |
| Postal receipts* . .................. | 5,816 | $+17$ | - 4 |
| Building permite, less federal contracts \$ | -70,980 | $+19$ | $+72$ |
| Bank debits (thousands) .............. \% | - 8,899 | + 8 |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) \$. \% | - 8,484 | + 3 |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turaover...... | 12.0 | $\pm 7$ |  |
| GALVESTON (pop. 67,175) |  |  |  |
| Retail sales | $+34$ | $+23$ | - 22 |
| Apparel stores | $+7 \dagger$ | + 12 | - |
| Automotive storea | + 5 t | + 52 | - 16 |
| Furniture and household appliance atores | $+69$ | - 23 | -65 |
| Lumber, building material, and hardware atores. | + $4{ }^{\text {¢ }}$ | $+15$ | - 42 |
| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ${ }^{\text {\% }}$ | -79,413 | + 9 | $-14$ |
| Building permita, less federal contracts \$ | \$ 1,262,079 | $+116$ | - 15 |
| Bank debits (thousends) .............. \% | \$ 97,121 | $+10$ |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thourands) $\ddagger . . \$$ | -62,004 | $+1$ |  |
| Annazal rate of deposit turnover...... | 18.8 | $+11$ |  |
| Employment (area) ................. | 52,200 | ** |  |
| Manufacturing employment (area). | 10,640 | - 1 | ** |
| Percent unemployed (area). | 8.5 | $-10$ | $+9$ |


| Local Business Conditions |  | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| City and item | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Oct } \\ & 1962 \end{aligned}$ | Oct 1962 from <br> Sept 1962 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Oct 1962 } \\ & \text { fromi } \\ & \text { Oct } 1961 \end{aligned}$ |
| GARIAND (pop. 38,501) |  |  |  |
| Retail sales |  |  |  |
| Antomotive storea | + $5 \ddagger$ | + 72 | + 53 |
| Postal receipta* | 39,596 | $+21$ | + 28 |
| Building perimits, less federal contracts \$ | 1,727,468 | + 63 | +105 |
| Bank debits (thousamds) ............\% | 28,985 |  |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. \% | 15,501 | - 5 |  |
| Anmual rate of dedosit turnover. | 21.8 | + | $-10$ |
| Employment (area) | 462,300 | ** |  |
| Manufacturing employment (area). | 103,350 |  | $+$ |
| Percent unemployed (area).......... | 3.4 | -8 | - |
| GATESVHLLE (pop. 4,626) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipta* ................... 8 | 6,388 | + 21 | $+30$ |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............s | 6,755 | + 16 | + 22 |
| End-of-month deposits. (thousands) $\ddagger$. \% | 6,270 | + |  |
| Annual rate of deposit tornover. | 13.0 | +15 | + 11 |
| GIDDINGS (100. 2,821) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* ................... 8 | 3,479 | + 15 | -1.6 |
| Bullding permits, less federal contracts \$ | 20,275 | - 27 |  |
| Bank debits (thousands) . . . . . . . . . \$ | 8,211 | $+10$ | $+$ |
| End-oi-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. \$ | 4,048 |  |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 9.6 | + |  |
| GLADEWATER (pop. 5,742) |  |  |  |
| Postal recelpts* . .................. ${ }^{\text {\% }}$ | 7,024 |  | - 11 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............ | 8,553 |  |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thoussads) \& . $\$$ | 6,484 |  |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 6.6 | - | - 12 |
| Employment (area) | 28,700 | *** |  |
| Manufacturing employment (area). | 5,560 | + |  |
| Percent unemployed (erea) | 4.4 |  | $+33$ |
| GOLDTHWATTE (pop. 1,383) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* ${ }^{\text {a }}$. ................. 8 | 2,091 | + 10 |  |
| Bank delits (thopsands) ............. | 3,395 | +12 |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) \%. \$ | 3,593 | $+8$ | - 8 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 11.8 | +10 | $+20$ |
| GRAHAM (pop. 8,505) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* ................... | 8,233 | + 11 | + 7 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 64.550 | - | +892 |
| Bank debits (thonsanda) ............ \$ | 8,973 |  | + |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\dagger$. ${ }^{\text {\$ }}$ | 10,868 |  | + |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 10.1 |  |  |
| GRANBURY (pop, 2,227) |  |  |  |
| Postal reeeipts* ..................) | 2,778 | - 12 | - 60 |
| Bank debits (thoussads) ............. | 1,554 | +15 | + |
| End-of-tronth deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. . | 2,032 | $+$ | $+$ |
| Annual rate of deposit tarnover. | 9.4 | +12 |  |
| GRAND PRAIRIE (pop. 30,886) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts ${ }^{\text {a }}$................... | 24.148. | + 11 | + |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 1:87,500 | -3 | + 18 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............s | 21,076 | + 24 | + 17 |
| End-of-month deposits (thoasands) $\ddagger$. $\%$ | 10,736 | ** | $-24$ |
| Annasal rate of depoedt turnover | 23.0 | + 24 | + 93 |
| Employment (area) | 462,300 | ** | + |
| Manufacturing employment (area). | 103,350 |  |  |
| Percent mamployed (area).. ....... | 8.4 |  | $-15$ |
| GRAPEVINR (pop. 2,821) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* ................... | 3,961 | + 34 | + 11 |
| Building Dermits, less federal contracts \$ | 51,783 | +210 | ** |
| Banls debits (thousands) . . . . . . . . . . | 3,862 | + 32 | $+31$ |
| End-of-month deposits (thourands) $\ddagger$. | 2,856 | $+$ | - |
| Anmual rate of deposit turnover. | 14.3 | $+29$ | $+28$ |
| HARLINGEN (pop. 41,207) |  |  |  |
| Retadi sales |  |  |  |
| Automotive storea | $+5{ }^{5}$ | $+24$ | - 10 |
| Postal recelpts* ................... 4 | 34,010 | + 14 | $-15$. |
| Bullding permits, less federal contracts \$ | 136,200 | - 34 | + 59 |
| Bank debits (thousunds) ............. \$ | 41,722 | - 26 | + 15 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | 30,446 | ** |  |
| Annual rate of deposit trrnover | 16.5 | - 19 |  |
| Nonagricultural placements | 55 |  |  |


| Local Business Conditions |  | Pereent change |  | Local Business Conditions <br> City and item | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Oct } \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| city and item | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Oct } \\ & 1962 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Oct } 1962 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Sept } 1962 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Oct } 1962 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Oet } 1961 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Oct } 1962 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Sept } 1962 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { oct } 1962 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Oct } 1961 \end{aligned}$ |
| GREENVILLE (pop. 19,087) |  |  |  | JACKSONVILL (pop. 9,590) |  |  |  |
| Retail salea | + 8¢ | +44 | $+27$ | Postal receipta* ................ | 20,192 | + 34 |  |
| Apparel stores | + $7 \dagger$ | - 19 | - 14 | Bnilding percuits, less federal contracts | 55,500 | - 7 | + 49 |
| Drug stores |  | - 12 |  | Bank debits (thouspnds). | 11,445 |  |  |
| Lumber, bullding |  |  |  | End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | 8,947 |  |  |
| and hardware stor | + ${ }^{4}$ | $+69$ | $+48$ | Annual rate of deposit tarnover. | 15.5 |  |  |
| Postal reeeipts* ................... | 30,463 | + 46 | + 18 |  |  |  |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracta \$ | 139,050 | - 48 | - 23 | JASPER (pop. 4,889) |  |  |  |
| Bank depits (thousaxds) ............ | 17,605 | + 21 |  | Retail sales |  | +19 | $+10$ |
| End-of-month deposits (thousenda) $\ddagger$. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 14,821 |  | - 10 | Antomotive store | $+5 \dagger$ | +68 | +24 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnov | 14.4 | + 18 | + 18 | Drug atore | $+3{ }^{+}$ |  | + 14 |
| Nonagritultural placements | 110 | $+15$ | +18 | Postal receipts* | 7,281 | $+12$ | 21 |
| HALE CENTER (pop. 2,196) |  |  |  | Bank debits (thouspands) | 9,465 | + 24 | +17 |
| Postal receipts* ................... | 2,227 | + 82 | + 14 | End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | 7,553 |  | 12 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 25,000 | +8025 | +94 | Annual rate of deposit turnover | 15.4 | +23 | + 38 |
| Bank debits (thouzands)............ 8 | 4.464 | + 57 | $+15$ | JUSTIN (pop. 622) |  |  |  |
| End-of-month deporits (thousands) $\ddagger$. $\$$ | 4,224 | + 27 | $+6$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Annnal rate of deposit turnover. | 14.2 | + 42 | + 18 |  | $\begin{array}{r} 888 \\ 1,575 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} +62 \\ +\quad 45 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & +5 \\ & +\quad 19 \end{aligned}$ |
| HEMPSTEAD (pop. 1,505) |  |  |  | End-of-month deposita (thoukands) | 886 | +11 |  |
| Postal receipts* ...................\% | 7,986 | +117 | $+70$ | Annual tate of depoeit turn | 22.5 | + 32 | $+15$ |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............s | 1,730 | + 44 | + 46 | KATY (pop. 1,569) |  |  |  |
| End-ot-month deposits (thousands) \$. | 2,364 | $+14$ | +25 | Building permits, less federal contrecta | 19,200 | +140 | +102 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 9.8 | + 38 | + 22 | Bank debits (thousands)............ | 2,237 | + 46 +46 | +102 |
| HENDERSON (pop. 9,666) |  |  |  | End-af-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | 2,403 +129 | +86 +82 | $+10$ |
| Retail sales |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Apparel stores | + 71 | - 13 | $-10$ | KILGORE (pop. 10,092) |  |  |  |
| Postal recelpts* .................... | 11,736 | + 21 | + | Postal recelpts* ............... | 14,673 | + 17 | ** |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 83.650 | 43 | ${ }^{57}$ | Building permits, less federal contracts | 69,721 | $+35$ | - 34 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............ | 6.672 | 16 | 18 | Bank debits (thoussands) .......... | 11,492 |  | $-14$ |
| End-ot-month deposits (thonsands) \% \% | 16,457 | ** | + 4 | End-of-month deposits (thousands) | 13,217 |  |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnove | 4.9 | - 16 | 22 | Annual rate of deposit turnove | 10.5 |  |  |
| HEREFORD (pop. 7,652) |  |  |  | Employment (area) | 700 | ${ }^{\text {+ }}$ |  |
| Postal reoeipta* .................. | 10,432 | -3 | + 3 | Manufacturing employment | $\begin{gathered} 560 \\ 4.4 \end{gathered}$ |  |  |
| Butiding permits, less federal contracte \$ | 814,560 | +206 | +956 | Perent unmployed (area) |  |  |  |
| Bank debits (thousands)........... \$ | 17,858 | + 81 | $+25$ | KHLLEEN (pop. 23,377) |  |  |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. $\$$ | 13,963 |  | + | Postal receipts ${ }^{\text {a }}$................... | 34,194 |  | + |
| Annual rate of depoit turnover | 16.7 | + 26 | +15 | Building permits, less federal contract | 886.197 | +95 | $+197$ |
| HOUSTON (pop. 938,219) |  |  |  | Bank debits (thousands) ............ | 14,046 | + ${ }^{2}$ | +30 |
| Retail sales ........................ | + 41 | $+10$ | + 8 | End-af-month deposits (thonsands) $\ddagger$ Annual rate of deposit tornover | 9,113 |  | $\begin{aligned} & +1 \\ & +27 \end{aligned}$ |
| Apparel stores | $+7 \dagger$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Automotive stores | + 9t | + 45 | + ${ }_{*}^{27}$ | KINGSVLLLE (pop. 25,297) |  |  |  |
| Drug stores | + 61 | ** |  | Postat receipts* ................... | 16,673 |  |  |
| Eating and drinking plaves | + $4 \dagger$ | - | - | Building permita, less federal contracts | 127,685 | +141 | + 83 |
| Food stores ...... | + $2 \dagger$ | + 2 |  | Bank debits (thousands) ............ | 12,824 | + 7 | +14 |
| Furniture and household appliance atores .... | + $6 \dagger$ |  |  | Fnd-of-month deposits (thourands) $\ddagger$ | $18,849$ |  | + $+\quad 8$ $+\quad 9$ |
| General merchiandise stores. | + $9+$ | - 3 | $+$ | Annual rate of deposit tor |  |  |  |
| Lumber, building material, |  |  |  | KIRBYVILLE (pop. 1,660) |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Postal recelpts* ................... | 4,822 |  | +30 |
| Postal receipts* .................. 8 | 1,741,745 | + 7 | +1 | Bank debits (thousands). | 2,874 3,039 |  | +7 +18 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts ${ }^{\text {d }} 28$ | ,723,265 | + 27 | +14 | Annual rate of depoalt turnover..... | $\begin{gathered} 3,039 \\ 10.4 \end{gathered}$ |  |  |
| Bank debits (thousands) . . . . . . . . . . . 3,390,287 |  | + 17 | $+17$ | Anmal rate |  |  |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) \% . ${ }^{\text {a }}$ 1,422,124 |  | - 2 | + 4 | LA FERIA (pop. 3,047) |  |  |  |
| Annual rate of deposit tornover. | 27.8 | + 15 | + 10 | Posta) recelpts* .................. |  |  |  |
| Employment (area) ......... | 520,900 |  | + 1 | Building permits, less federal contracts | 4,700 |  | - 68 |
| Manufacturing erayloyment (area). Percent unemployed (area)......... | 92,050 3.9 | $-{ }_{* *}^{1}$ | - | Bank debita (thousands)......... | 1,850 | 33 |  |
| Percent unemployed (area) | 3.9 | * |  | End-of-month deposita (thousends) $\ddagger$. | 1,553 | 14 |  |
| HUMBLE (pop. 1,711) |  |  |  | Annual rate of deposit turnov | 9.8 | 16 |  |
| Bank debits (thousands)............ 8 | 2.854 | + 27 | $+21$ | LA MARQUE (pop. 13,969) |  |  |  |
| End-of-month deposita (thousanda) \% . | 2,829 | + 2 | + 14 | Postal recejpts* ................... | 8,155 |  | $-16$ |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 12.2 | +26 |  | Building permits, less federal contracta | 96,188 | $+109$ | + 42 |
| IOWA PARK (pop. 3,295) |  |  |  | Bank debits (thousands) ............ | 8,696 6,377 |  |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 99,600 | +948 | + 18 | Annual rate of deposit turnover...... | 19.9 | + 19 | +13 |
| Eank debits (thousands) ............s | 3,507 | + 19 | + 11 | Employment (area) | [62,200 | ** |  |
| End-of-month deposita (thousands) $\ddagger$. $\$$ | 3,911 |  |  | Manufacturing employment (area). | 10.640 |  | ** |
| Annual rate of deposit turnove | 10.6 | + 22 |  | Percent unemployed (area) | 8.6 | $-20$ |  |



| Local Business Conditio |  | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| City ard item | Oct | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Oct } 1962 \\ & \text { frome } \\ & \text { fept } 1962 \end{aligned}$ | Oct 1962 Oct 196 |
| MERCEDES (pop. 10,940) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* . .................. | 4,762 | - 14 | - 20 |
| Building permits, leas federal contracte | 44,766 | - 5 | +64 |
| Bank deblts (thousands) ............ | 5.576. | - 11 |  |
| End-of-month deporits (thourands) $\ddagger$ | 4,521 |  | $+$ |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 14.1 | - 3 |  |
| MEXIA (pop. 6,121) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipta* | 6,26 | $+27$ |  |
| Building Dermitg, less federal contracts | 27,00 | $+80$ |  |
| Bank debits (thousands) | 4,362 |  |  |
| End-at-month depoeits (thousands) : . 8 | 4,809 |  |  |
| Annual rate of depoalt turnover | 11.0 |  |  |
| MESQUITE (pop. 27,526) |  |  |  |
| Retail sales |  |  |  |
| Eating and drinking D | + 4* | - 6 |  |
| Postal recelpts* | 12,955 | $+34$ | + 28 |
| Butlding permits, less federal contracts | 1,108,526 | $+283$ | + 42 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | 7,117 |  | $+17$ |
| End-of-month deposita (thousands) $\ddagger$. . $\$$ | 5,666 |  | + 18 |
| Annual rate of deposit tarnover. | 15.7 | $-7$ | -- |
| Employment (area) | 462,300 | ** |  |
| Manufacturing employment (erea) | 103,350 |  |  |
| Percent unemployed (area) | 3.4 | - 3 | -15 |
|  |  |  |  |
| Retail andee |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$. \% | 87,946 | + |  |
| Bulding perxnits, less federal contracts \$ | 558,690 | - 59 | - 54 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............. | 132,267 | + 19 | + 12 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. ${ }^{\text {s }}$ | 99,188 | ** | + 1 |
| Annual rate of depoalt tarnover. | 16.0 | + 19 |  |
| Employment (ares) ......... | 54,600 | ** | * |
| Manufacturing employment (area). | 2,680 | - 1 | $+17$ |
| Percent unemployed (sren) | 3.1 | - 11 | $+15$ |
| Nonagricultural placements | 772 | - 4 |  |
| MIDLOTHIAN (pop. 1,521) |  |  |  |
| Suilding permits, less federal contrsets | 10,000 | +41 | -76 |
| Bank debits (thossands) | 1,494 | ** |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thoussnds) $\ddagger$. \% | 1,856 |  |  |
| Annurl rate of deposit turnover | 9.6 | - 9 |  |
| MINERAL WELLS (pop. 11,053) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* .................... ${ }^{\text {\% }}$ | 14,048 | + 12 | + 52 |
| Bullding permits, less federal contracts \$ | 425,150 | +180 | +2211 |
| Bank deblta (thousands)............ \$ | 12,173 | +17 | + 29 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. $\%$ | 18,379 | $+$ | + 22 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnov | 11.0 | + |  |
| Nonagricultural placements | 11. | - 23 | $+$ |
| MISSION (pop. 14,081) |  |  |  |
| Postal reeeipts* .................. | 7,982 | + 15 | - 21 |
| Building permits, less federal contracta 8 | 41,765 | + 67 | $-47$ |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............. \$ | 10,476 | - |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. ${ }^{\text {\$ }}$ | 0,345 |  |  |
| Annusl rate of deposit tarnov | 13.3 | ** |  |
| MONAHANS (pop. 8,567) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* ................... ${ }^{\text {s }}$ | 9,716 | + 37 |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \% | 177,087 | +1774 | + 45 |
| Bank debits (thoustands) ............ 8 | 10,333 | + 24 |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. $\mathrm{\$}$ | 8,365 | +881 |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | IE. 4 | + 19 |  |
| MUENSTER (pop. 1,190) |  |  |  |
| Portal receipts* , (1............... | 1,879 | $+15$ |  |
| Buiding permita, less federal contraots \% | 61,000 | $+388$ | - 13 |
| Bank debita (thousands) ............. | 2.632 | + 27 | + 26 |
| End-ot-month deposits (thousands) f . \% | 2,081 | - 5 | $+15$ |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 14.8 | $+26$ |  |


| Loca! Business Conditions |  | Percent chanse |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| City and item | $\begin{aligned} & 19 \mathrm{ct} \\ & 19.62 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Oet } 1962 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Sept } 1962 \end{aligned}$ | Oct 1962 from Oct 1961 |
| NACOGDOCHES (pop. 12,674) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* .................. ${ }^{\text {\% }}$ | 15,727 | + 27 |  |
| Building permaits, less federal contracts \& | 1,127,894 | +2850 | +1975 |
| Bank debits (thosesands). | 18,225 | + | + 20 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousande) | 19,236 |  | +25 |
| Annual rate of deposit turno | 11.8 |  |  |
| Nonagricultural placements | 114 | -12 | - 19 |
| NEDERLAND (pop. 12,036) |  |  |  |
| Building permite, less federal contracts \$ | 149,592 | -36 | 36 |
| Bank debite (thousends) $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$ | 5,568 | + 22 | + 11 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | 4,163 |  |  |
| Annual 'rate of deposit turnover | 18.4 | +15 | $+$ |
| NEW BRAUNFELS (pop. 15,631) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* . .................. | 16,842 | + 11 | - 22 |
| Building permaite, lesa federal contracts \% | 336,804 | +396 | +850 |
| Bank debits (thounands) | 12,172 |  | $+16$ |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | 12,556 |  | + 11 |
| Annoal rate of deposit turnover | 11.8 |  |  |
| NORTH RICHLAND HILLS (pop. 8,662) |  |  |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 156,340 | - |  |
| Bank debits (thousands) | 1,892 | +20 |  |
| End-of-month deposita (thousands) : . \% | 1,099 | -42. |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 15.1 | $+15$ |  |
| ODESSA (pop. 80,338) |  |  |  |
| Retail sales |  |  |  |
| Apparel stores |  |  | + 43 |
| Furniture and household |  |  |  |
| appliazce st |  | * | +13 |
| Postal receipts* | 74,660 |  |  |
| Building permite, lesss federal contracts | 2,018,649 | +450 | +89 |
| Bank debits (thoussands) | 75,722 | + 20 |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\dagger$ | 74,546 | $+10$ |  |
| Annugl rate of deposit turnover | 12.7 | + 8 | * |
| Employment (area) | 54,600 | ** |  |
| Manufacturing employment (area) | 2,680 | - 1 | + 17 |
| Percent unemployed (area) | 3.1 | - 11 | + 15 |
| Nonagricultural placements | 594 | +18 | -29 |
| ORANGE (pop. 25,605) |  |  |  |
| Poital recelptn* . ................. | 27,722 | + 26 | + 10 |
| Building vermits, less federal contracts 8 | 369,616 | + | + 64 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | 30,841 | + 10 | + 11 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousand | 24,976 | $+$ | + 13 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 15.4 | $+$ | $-2$ |
| Employment (area) | 107,500 | ** |  |
| Manufacturing employment (area). | 34,650 |  |  |
| Percent minemplosed (area) | 6.2 |  |  |
| Nonagricultural placements | 186 |  |  |
| PALESTINE (pop. 13,974) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* ..................8 | 13,869 |  |  |
| Bailding permits, less federal contracta \$ | 141,298 | + 11 |  |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............ ${ }^{\text {s }}$ | 11,981 | $+$ | $+16$ |
| Enci-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. S | 15,613 |  |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnove | 9.6 | ** | + 13 |
| PAMPA (pop. 24,664) |  |  |  |
| Postal recelpts* .................. 8 | 22,899 | + 4 | $-12$ |
| Buidining vermita, less federal contracts \$ | 86,034 | +24 | + 11 |
| Bank debits (thousands) .............8 | 25,126 | + 14 |  |
| End-ofmonth deposits (thousends) \& . | 21,859 | + 3 | $\cdots$ |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover..... | 14.0 | + 11 |  |
| Nonagricultural placements | 175 | + 12 | + 17 |
| PARIS (pop. 20,977) |  |  |  |
| Retail sales |  |  | + 20 |
| Apparel stores | + 7t | $\bigcirc 5$ |  |
| Automotive stores | + ${ }^{5 \dagger}$ |  | + 27 |
| Postal receipts* ................... | 21,271 | * |  |
| Bullding pertuits, less federal contracts \$ | 230,254 | $+208$ | + 19 |
| Barrk debits (thousands) ............ | 19,876 | +16 |  |
| End-ot-month deposits (thousande) f . $\%$ | 15,225 | + 7 |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 16.2 | $+10$ | $-10$ |
| Nonagricultural placements | 206 | 18 | + 22 |


| Local Business Conditions |  | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| City, and item | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Oct } \\ & 1962 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Oct } 1962 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Sept } 1962 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Oct } 1962 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Oct } 1861 \end{aligned}$ |
| PECOS (pop. 12,728) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts ${ }^{\circ}$ | 12,786 | $\ddagger 12$ | - 38 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | 44,805 | -24 | + 74 |
| Nonagricultural placements | 36 |  | 38 |
| PHARR (pop. 14,106) |  |  |  |
| Fostal receipta* | 5,543 | -- | - |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | 68.278 | + 40 | + 32 |
| Bank debita (thousands). | 3.694 | - 19 | ** |
| End-of-month deposita (thousands) $\ddagger$. | 3,467 | $-10$ |  |
| Annual rate of deposit tarnover. | 12.1 | $+10$ | - 6 |
| PILOT POINT (pop. 1,254) |  |  |  |
| Buildina permits, less federal contracts : | 4,300 | - 75 | - 81 |
| Bank debita (thousands). | 1,603 | + 36 | $+17$ |
| End-of-month depousts (thousands) $\ddagger$. | 1,855 | $+16$ |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 11.2 | + 20 | + 18 |
| PLAINVIEW (pop. 18,735) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* ...................8 | 22,127 | $+10$ | - 2 |
| Building permita, less federal contracts | 293,100 | + 63 | $-81$ |
| Bank debits (thousands) .............s | 40,418 | + 36 | + 11 |
| End-of-month dedosits (thousands) : \% | 25,861 | $+12$ | $+$ |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 19.8 | + 30 |  |
| Nonagricultural placementa | 278 | $-39$ | - |
| PLANO (pop. 3,695) |  |  |  |
| Postal recelpta ${ }^{\text {a }}$. ${ }^{\text {a }}$................ | 4,578 | $+25$ | -9 |
| Building permits, Jess federal contracts | 180,970 | 40 | - 93 |
| Bank dejits (thousands) ............. | 1,661 | - | $-27$ |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | 2,775 | + 24 | + ${ }^{3} 4$ |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 7.9 | - 12 | $-36$ |
| PORT ARTHUR (pop. 66,676) |  |  |  |
| Retail sales | $+3 \dagger$ | - | - |
| Apparet stores | + 7才 | + 4 | - 18 |
| Furniture and housebold appliance stores $\qquad$ | + 69 | $+21$ | $-10$ |
| Gasoline and servite stations | + ${ }_{1}{ }^{\dagger}$ | + 4 | $+$ |
| Lumber, building material, and hardware storea. . | $+4 \uparrow$ |  | - 38 |
| Postal receista* .................. | 54,805 | + 37 | - 7 |
| Building permits, leas federal contracts \$ | 331,838 | -22 | -45 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............. | 63,283 |  |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thoussnds) $\ddagger$. | 46,335 | + | ** |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover...... | 17.0 | + 1 | - 4 |
| Emplogment (area) ............... | 107,500 | ** |  |
| Manufacturing employment (area) | 34,550 | - |  |
| Percent unemployed (area) | 6.2 |  |  |
| PORT ISABEL (pop. 3,575) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* ................... | 2,276 | + 18 | $-13$ |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............ | 1,374 | -4 | + 21 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | 1,429 | + | + 55 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 11.7 | - 5 | -24 |
| PORT NECHES (pop. 8,696) |  |  |  |
| Postal recelpts* . ..................8 | 6,126 | - 3 | $+17$ |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 95,538 | - 71 | - 51 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............ | 8,063 | + 11 | - 2 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | 6,266 | $+4$ | + 13 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 15.8 | $+10$ |  |
| ROBSTOWN (pop. 10,266) |  |  |  |
| Pastal receipta* .................. | 7,280 | + 11 |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 36,760 | $-46$ | - 63 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............. ${ }^{\text {s }}$ | 11,101 | $-25$ | + 10 |
| End-of-month deposits (thoussuds) $\ddagger$. $\mathrm{\$}$ | 10,907 | $-7$ | $-5$ |
| Annuel rate of depost tramorer. | 11.7 | - 25 | $+11$ |



RAYMONDVILLE (pop. 9,385)
Retail salea
Lumber, building materia!,

| and | + $4 \dagger$ | $-22$ | $-13$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 6,780 | + 31 | + 28 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 20,560 | + 17 | +126 |
| Bank debits (thoumands) . . . . . . . . . . . . | 6,816 | - 34 | + 9 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousends) \% . \$ | 9,585 | 7 | + 11 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 8.4 | -16 |  |
| Nonagrisultural placements | 153 | $\cdots 3$ |  |

ROCKDALE (pop. 4,481)

| Postal receipts* ................... | 4,057 | + 8 | - 10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 34,332 | $+18$ | +568 |
| Banl debits (thousanda) ............. | 4,259 | 1 | $+13$ |
| End-of-month deponits (thousands) $\ddagger$. $\$$ | 5,870 | 2 | + 7 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 8.6 | ** |  |

## SAN ANGELO (pop. 58,815)

| Retall sales | $+8 \dagger$ | ** |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Apparel stores | $+7 \mathrm{~T}$ | 8 | - 3 |
| General merchandise stores. | $+14 \%$ | - 4 |  |
| Jewelry stores | ... | + 2 | 9 |
| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | - 81,041 | + 21 | + 2 |
| Building permita, less tederal contracts \$ | \$ 1,798,292 | $+431$ | $+213$ |
| Bank debits (thoosands) . . . . . . . . . . . | \$ 60,829 | $+12$ |  |
| Eind-of-month deposits (thousands) ${ }^{\text {a }}$. $\$$ | \$ 47,653 | * | 8 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 15.2 | $+12$ |  |
| Employment (area) | 20,050 | 1 | $+1$ |
| Manufactaring employment (area) | 3,130 | $-1$ | $+14$ |
| Percent unemployed (area).... | 4.6 | -6 | $+2$ |

## SAN ANTONIO (pop. 587,718)

| Retail sales . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | - $6 \dagger$ | $+10$ | $+7$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Apparel stores | + 6\% | - 2 | ** |
| Automotive stores | - 6\% | $+26$ | + 25 |
| Drug stores | + $4 \dagger$ | + 2 | 1 |
| Erting and drinking places....... | ** | $+9$ | + 9 |
| Food stores | + $4 \dagger$ | 3 | 1 |
| Furniture and hoosehold appliance stores .... | $+11$. | + 29 |  |
| Gasoline and service etations. | ** $\dagger$ | + 5 | + 12 |
| General merchandise etores. | + $24 \dagger$ | $+5$ | - 4 |
| Jewelry stores |  | $-10$ | $-18$ |
| Lumber, bailding material, and hardware etores. | +6t | +29 | +8 |
| Stationery etores | ... | $+4$ | $+5$ |
| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 757,976 | $+16$ | 46 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 3,561,283 | $-36$ | -22 |
| Bank debits (thousands) .............. | 723,220 | $+12$ | $+12$ |
| End-of-month deponits (thonsands) \% . ${ }_{\text {S }}$ | 408,292 | $+1$ | $+3$ |
| Annual rate of depoeit turnover. | 21.4 | $+12$ | $+8$ |
| Employment (area) | 207,900 | ** | $+1$ |
| Manufacturing emplosment (area). | 24,725 |  | +6 |
| Percent tunmployed (ares).......... | 4.5 | $-4$ | - 6 |

## SAN JUAN (pop. 4,371)

| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 3,063 | $+84$ | + 28 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 19,300 | $+127$ | -66 |
| Bank debits (thousands) . . . . . . . . . . . . | 2,184 | $-35$ | $+12$ |
| End-of-month deposits (thousants) $\ddagger$. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 1,929 | + 5 | -18 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 13.6 | - 21 | + 27 |

SAN MARCOS (pop. 12,713)

| Postal recelpts* | 12,179 | $+41$ | +.11 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits, lexs federal contracts \$ | 518,245 | +7048 | +5413 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............. 8 | 8,377 |  | + 8 |
| Frid-of-month deposits (thousands)f.. ${ }^{\text {S }}$ | 9,298 |  | $+16$ |
| Annual rate of deposit turnove | 10.8 |  |  |


| Local Business Conditions |  | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| City and item | $\begin{gathered} \text { Oot } \\ 1862 \end{gathered}$ | Oct 1062 <br> from <br> Sept 1962 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Oct } 1962 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Oct } 1961 \end{aligned}$ |
| SAN SABA (pop. 2,728) |  |  |  |
| Postal receepte* ................... | 8,212 | $+10$ |  |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............ | 5,219 | + 80 |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. $\%$ | 4,791 | - 2 | - |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 12.9 | + 29 | * |
| SEAGOVILLE (pop. 3,745) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipta* .................. | 4,665 | ** | + 48 |
| Building perrnits, less federal contrseta | 78,316 | +912 | + 80 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............ | 2,455 | $+25$ | + 22 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) t. \% | 1,490 | + | - 12 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 20.5 | + 21 | $+10$ |
| SEGUIN (pop. 14,299) |  |  |  |
| Postal receiptst ${ }^{\text {a }}$.................. | 12,020 | + 26 |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracta | 38,250 | -71 | - 27 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............ \$ | 11,544 | + 16 | $+13$ |
| End-of-month deposita (thousands) $\ddagger$. $\$$ | 14,840 | - | $+$ |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 9.8 | + 18 |  |
| SHERMAN (pop. 24,988) |  |  |  |
| Retail seles |  |  |  |
| Apparel stores | + 7t | $+$ |  |
| Automotive stores | $+5 \dagger$ | $+53$ |  |
| Furniture and housebold |  |  |  |
| appliance stores .... | + 6 ¢ | + 12 |  |
| Postal recelpts* . .................. | 30,286 | - |  |
| Bullding permits, less federal contracts \% | 173,663 | $-35$ | + 48 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............ | 31,481 | + 16 | +11 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\dagger$. | 21.119 | +7 | $+$ |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 18.5 | + 13 |  |
| Nonagricultural placextenta | 284 | $-7$ | + 57 |
| SILSBEE (pop. 6,277) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* .................. \$ | 8,226 | + 30 | + 9 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............. | 4,360 | $+17$ | + 15 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . \$$ | 5,423 | + 8 | + 2 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 9.8 | $+17$ | + 13 |
| SINTON (pop. 6,008) |  |  |  |
| Postal receiptsa . .................) | 5,027 | - 3 | - 11 |
| Building Dermits, less federal contracta \$ | 700 | - 99 | -97 |
| Bank debits (thousands) . ...........\$ | 4,966 | - 20 | + 18 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousends) t. ${ }_{\text {s }}$ | 4,689 | - 18 |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 11.5 |  | + 13 |
| SLATON (pop. 6,568) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipta* .................. | 4.594 | + 42 | + 21 |
| Building permits, less federal contraets \$ | 25,875 | $-24$ | - 42 |
| Eank debits (thousands) ............. | 4,223 | +20 | + 7 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousends) $\ddagger$. $\$$ | 3,696 | + 4 | - 7 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 14.0 | $+24$ | +10 |
| Employment (area) ............... | 52,300 | + 1 | +1 |
| Manufacturing employment (area). | 6,040 | * | + 12 |
| Percent unemployed (area). | 3.4 | - 13 | - 23 |
| SMITHVILLE (pop. 2,933) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* . ................. | 2,681 | $+69$ |  |
| Euilding permits, less federal contracts \% | 8.077 | $-10$ | - 71 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............ | 1,259 | $+$ | + 18 |
| End-of-month deposits (thouspnds) $\ddagger$. $\$$ | 2,273 | ** | $+$ |
| Annual rate of depoait turnover | 6.6 | $+$ |  |
| SNYDER (pop. 13,850) |  |  |  |
| Portal recelpts .................. 8 | 13,923 | $+53$ | + 11 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 89.065 | +9 | $-24$ |
| Eank debits (thoussnds) ............ | 12,944 | + 23 |  |
| End-of-month deposita (thousands) $\ddagger . .8$ | 17,801 | +13 |  |
| Annual rate of deposit tarnover... | 9.3 | +15 |  |


| Local Business Conditions |  | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| City and item | ${ }_{\text {Oct }}$ | $\underset{\substack{\text { Oct } 1962 \\ \text { from }}}{ }$ <br> Sept 1962 | Oct 1962 from Oct 1961 |
| SOUTH HOUSTON (pop. 7,253) |  |  |  |
| Building pernite, Sess federal contracts \$ | 98,050 | +407 | +95 |
| Eank debits (thousands) .............8 | 4,731 | + | +28 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. $\$$ | 3,394 | - | + 17 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover...... | 16.6 | $+4$ |  |

SULPHUR SPRINGS (pop. 9,160)

| Poatal receipte* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . \$ | 9,828 | $+31$ | $+14$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 148,160 | -31 | $+37$ |
| Brak debite (thousands) ............. \$ | 12,198 | $+5$ | $+9$ |
| End-ol-month deposita (thousands) \% . \$ | 13,197 |  | 3 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 11.4 |  | + 13 |

## SWEETWATER (pop. 13,914)

| Poutal receipts* . .................... | 17,374 | $+107$ | - 22 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building dermits, less federal contracts \$ | 69,260 | -11 | +188 |
| Bank debits (thousandg) . . . . . . . . . . . \$ | 14,531 | + 32 | + 8 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. . | 10,853 | + 8 | -- 2 |
| Annual rate of deposit trmover. | 17.5 | + 29 | + 4 |
| Nonagricultural placements | 130 | - 35 | $-15$ |

TAYLOR (pop. 9,434)

| Retall gales |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Autometive stores | + 5¢ | $+48$ | +14 |
| Postal recelpts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 8,255 | + 9 | -9 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 70,475 | + 26 | $+77$ |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............. . 8 | 9,860 | -20 | 8 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger .$. | 15,543 | $+3$ | $+10$ |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 7.3 | - 25 | --16 |
| Nonagricultural placements | 86 | $+15$ | +16 |

TEMPLE (pop. 30,419)

| Retail males | $+3 \dagger$ | +6 | $+12$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Apparel stores | + 7 7 | $-7$ | + 2 |
| Furniture and household appliance stores | $+6+$ | + 32 | + 20 |
| Lumber, bnilding material, and hardware stores. | + ${ }^{4}$ | - 9 | + 4 |
| Postal receipts* ................... | 40,356 | + 14 | + 4 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............. \% | 28,886 | 2 | $+10$ |
| Nonagricultural placementa | 277 | 9 | + 88 |

## TERRELL (pop. 13,803)

| Postat recelpts* .................... ${ }^{\text {\% }}$ | 10,961 | + 6 | + 83 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits, legs federal contracts \$ | 1,120,028 | +6005 | $+1.748$ |
| Bank debits (thousands)............. | 9,090 |  | +1.4 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousends) $\ddagger .$. | 8,604 |  |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 18.1 | - 3 |  |
| Nonagricultural placements | 101 | - 11 | $+2$ |

TEXARKANA, TEX. (pop. 30,218)

| Retail males |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Furniture and bousehold appliance stores | + 6t | + 4 | $+27$ |
|  | 68,605 | $+30$ | + 2 |
| Building permits, less federel contractss ` . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 114,095 | - 76 | 17 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............. ${ }^{\text {\% }}$ | 65,805 | $+19$ | $+20$ |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ 景 $\$$ | 17,544 | $-21$ | + 2 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnoverg. | 18.7 | +23 | $+13$ |
| Emplqyment (ares) | 31,150 | ** | + 5 |
| Manufacturing employment (area). | 5,580 | ** | $+38$ |
| Percent unemployed (grea)... | 6.0 | - | $-17$ |



## BAROMETERS OF TEXAS BUSINESS

All figures are for Texas unless otherwise indicated. All indexes are based on the average months for 1957-59, except where indicated; all are adjusted for seasonal variation, except annual indexes. Employment estimates are Texas Employment Commission data in cooperation with the Bureau of Labor Statistics of the U. S. Department of Labor. The index of Texas business activity is based on bank debits in 20 cities, adjusted for price level. An asterisk (*) indicates preliminary data subject to revision. Revised data are marked ( $\mathbf{r}$ ).

|  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |

Now Available

## A CLASSIFIED AND SELECTIVE INDEX THE TEXAS BUSINESS REVIEW, 1927-1961 and INDEX FOR VOLUME XXXVI, 1962

This issue contains an index of the Texas Business Review for Volume XXXVI, 1962. This annual index, to be published each year in the December issue, brings up-to-date the recently completed index of the first thirty-five years of publication of the Review. Copies of the indexes will be mailed upon request without charge.
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[^0]:    Published monthly by the Bureau of Business Research, College of Business Administration, The University of Texas, Austin 12. Second-class postage paid at Austin, Texas. Content of this publication is not copyrighted and may be reproduced freely. Acknowledgment of source will be appreciated. Subscription, $\$ 3.00$ a year; individual copies, 25 cents.

[^1]:    Sources: The Railroad Commission of Texas; U. S. Bureau of Mines.

[^2]:    **Change is less than one-half of 1 percent.

