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Business activity in Texas during August continued the rise that was 42 months old in August. In spite of a few signs that the boom is losing some of its strength, the business barometers remain at record levels. The index of business activity, computed by the Bureau of Business Research from bank debits in 20 cities, declined $1 \%$ from July. However, the previous month had shown an unusually strong rise, which probably was somewhat erratic, and the August level of the index was still above any month prior to July. After adjustment for seasonal variation and for fluctuations in the price level, this index was $49.8 \%$ above the average of 1957-59. The first eight months of 1964 averaged $8.6 \%$ higher than the same period of 1963.

Consumer spending in Texas, as measured from the sample of retail stores reported to the Bureau of Business Research, followed a pattern similar to the index of business activity. August registered a $3 \%$ decline after adjustment for seasonal variation, but this decline followed an unusually high volume in July. In general,
retail sales are holding well above the levels of a year ago, and retail trends in Texas have paralleled very closely those for the nation. The first eight months in Texas were $5.1 \%$ higher than for the same period last year, while for the United States the comparison was $5.5 \%$.

The index of industrial production in Texas compiled by the Federal Reserve Bank of Dallas declined $2 \%$ in August from the record high of July, but the level for the first eight months of 1964 was $7 \%$ above that of 1963. There is no evidence that the decline in August represented anything other than a random fluctuation. The index of industrial production for the United States increased slightly, with a rise of less than one-half of one percent. The gain for the two indexes for the first eight months of the year was approximately the same.

Confirming the decline in the index of industrial production in Texas was a drop in average weekly hours worked in manufacturing from 42.1 in July to 41.8 in August, while total manufacturing employment declined from 534,000 to 531,400 . On the other hand, industrial

## TEXAS BUSINESS ACTIVITY
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texas industrial production*

electric power consumption increased $2 \%$. Taking into consideration all of the information on industrial activity in the state in August it seems that little significant change from July took place.

Oil production from regulated wells in Texas during October will be cut to $27.9 \%$ of maximum from $28 \%$ in September. This reduction is expected to cut production from regulated wells to about $2,519,436$ barrels per day in October, from an estimated production of 2,524,450 barrels per day in September. This reduction in the allowable is the smallest reduction to be made, but it is the fifth reduction in the last six months. The September rate was raised to $28 \%$ from $26.5 \%$ in August.

The seasonally adjusted index of construction authorized in Texas declined $13 \%$ in August to a level $1 \%$ below that of last August. For the first eight months of 1964 construction authorized was only $1 \%$ above the same period of 1963. Of all the major barometers of Texas business the construction authorized series shows
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${ }^{A 2}$ Change is less than one-half of $1 \%$.
the greatest weakness. Although both residential and nonresidential construction declined in August, residential has shown much the greater weakness over the first eight months of 1964. The total for the year to date is $4 \%$ below a year ago, and it is beginning to become rather certain that residential building is at the present time fairly well caught up with demand. Although there may still be segments of the building industry where the need for construction is strong, it appears that the boom in business cannot rely on this industry for any vigorous support in the immediate future.
Since there seems to be very little evidence that any fundamental change has taken place in the high level of business in Texas, it seems important to explore the national scene for any indication that forces are developing outside the state that may eventually affect Texas business. There appears to be an almost unanimous opinion

among economists that business will continue to expand through the remainder of 1964, and many are expressing the opinion that there will be no decline in 1965. These optimistic forecasts put a great deal of emphasis on the rising outlays for new plant and equipment and expect these expenditures to continue at a high level. Also, the high level of consumer income offers support for a continued high level of spending for durable goods. The automobile industry is confidently expecting 1965 to show an increase in volume for the fourth consecutive year. Even construction is not expected to decline in the coming year, in spite of an anticipated decline in apartments, hotels, and office buildings. Increased government and industrial construction are expected to offset any decline in the other categories.
One of the most important of the national factors in evaluating the prospects for Texas business is the revised estimate of expenditures for new plant and equipment in the United States for the remainder of 1964. The latest estimate by the Securities and Exchange Commission and the Department of Commerce, made in August, revised upward the estimate of anticipated expenditures made in May. The second, third, and fourth quarters of 1964 were increased by $0.3 \%, 0.6 \%$, and $1.7 \%$, respectively. It is now estimated that spending for new plant and equipment during 1964 will be $12.7 \%$ greater than in 1963. This will be the largest annual increase in capital spending since the $22.2 \%$ increase in 1956 .
Since the expanding industrial plant has become one of the most important factors in the business situation in Texas, the increase in the level of expected expenditures
should offer strong support to the economy of the state in the remaining months of 1964 and on into 1965. The expenditures for new plant and equipment in Texas by national concerns have become an extremely important factor in the growth of the economy of the state. Unfortunately there is no specific information available on the anticipated expenditures of Texas business concerns, but industrial expansion in Texas has in the past reflected the national trends, so conclusions must be drawn from the data for the country as a whole.

August estimates of capital expenditures exceeded those made in May for every category except nondurables manufacturing. Estimates of capital expenditures by the transportation industry, other than railroads, were increased $4.5 \%$ over those made in May, and mining ranked next with an increase of $2.8 \%$. It is to be expected that some of these increases will be spent in Texas.

One result of the continued high level of business is a revival of the fears of inflation, which have not been much in evidence in recent years. An examination of the price structure gives some indication of what is happening. The index of wholesale prices has risen only $0.2 \%$ between August 1963 and August 1964. This seems to indicate that there are no signs of inflation, but an examination of the components of the index reveals some significant facts. Industrial raw materials increased in price $4.6 \%$ in the past year. Commodities in this group are particularly sensitive to changes in demand, and their rise gives a warning of inflationary pressures.

In the consumer price index, services (not including rent) increased $2.1 \%$ in the last twelve months. This reflects the steady increase in wage rates, and explains why retail prices have been increasing more than prices at wholesale. The more processing a commodity requires the more its price has risen in recent years. This is
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BUSINESS ACTIVITY INDEXES IN 20 TEXAS CITIES (1957-59-100)

| City | $\underset{1964}{\text { Aug }}$ | $\underset{1964}{\mathrm{Jul}}$ | $\underset{1963}{\mathrm{Aug}_{3}}$ | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Aug } 1964 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Jul } 1964 \end{aligned}$ | Aug 1964 from Aug 1963 |
| Abilene | 134.9 | 133.8 | 117.6 | + 1 | $+15$ |
| Amarillo | .145.4r | 148.3 r | 112.4 r | - 8 | + 29 |
| Austin ... | . 168.5 | 162.3 | 171.1 | + 4 | 2 |
| Beaumont | . 136.5 | 140.5 | 182.9 | $-3$ | + 3 |
| Corpus Christi | . 113.2 | 122.7 | 116.7 | - 8 | 3 |
| Corsicana | .117.7r | 135.6 r | 127.0r | $-13$ | $-7$ |
| Dallas | . 167.7 | 162.3 | 154.2 | + 3 | + 9 |
| El Paso | .115.5 | 129.6 | 115.9 | - 11 | ** |
| Fort Worth | .117.8 | 124.7 | 114.2 | - 6 | + 3 |
| Galveston | . 122.9 | 122.5 | 114.0 | ** | + 8 |
| Houston | . 154.6 | 160.4 | 142.3 | - 4 | + 9 |
| Laredo | . 152.4 | 149.3 | 144.4 | + 2 | + 6 |
| Lubbock | . 150.4 | 162.9 | 143.7 | - 8 | + 5 |
| Port Arthur | 98.8 | 106.8 | 100.0 | $-7$ | - 1 |
| San Angelo .. | . 122.5 | 141.6 | 118.8 | $-13$ | + 3 |
| Sun Antonio | . 132.6 | 150.1 | 130.2 | $-12$ | + 2 |
| Texarkana ... | . 151.3 | 164.5 | 157.6 | 8 | - 4 |
| Tyler | . 128.1 | 136.3 | 124.3 | 6 | + 3 |
| Waco | . 130.4 | 187.2 | 126.3 | 5 | + 3 |
| Wichita Falls | . 120.8 | 125.0 | 114.1 | $-3$ | + 6 |

Adjusted for seasonal variation.
${ }^{*}$ Change is less than one-half of $1 \%$.
rRevised.
enough to explain why businessmen have been watching the wage negotiations in the automobile industry with so much concern; anything that increases the rate at which wages have been rising will eventually have an effect on prices. Hourly wages in manufacturing and retailing have increased approximately $40 \%$ in the past 10 years. To the extent that these increases have been greater than the gain in labor productivity, they inevitably exert an upward pressure on prices, although price increases may frequently be delayed.

A high level of inventories has traditionally been one of the signs that a boom is getting tired, but there is no evidence of such a situation at the present time. The high level of sales would, according to accepted ratios of inventory to sales, support a larger stock of goods than held at present. It is possible that the increased use of computers and the generally better control of inventory have made it possible to carry on a larger volume of business per dollar of inventory than was previously the case. Whatever the reason, it appears that increased production could be absorbed into inventory without inflationary effects. This is certainly one of the strong features of the present business situation.

TOTAL UNEMPLOYMENT IN TEXAS


# The Texas Beer Industry 

by Charles T. Clark and Richard M. Duvall*

The typical American beer drinker has been described as 'a male, in the 21-40 age group, who is of Eastern European descent, either Catholic or Lutheran, an industrial worker living in a city with more than 10,000 population. He drinks an average of two bottles of beer daily." ${ }^{1}$ The same study also observes that the "typical beer drinkers account for only $14 \%$ of the total population, but they consume more than $65 \%$ of the beer."

The applicability of the above description to the "typical" Texas consumer of beer is perhaps questionable, but there is no doubt that a very large number of Texans drink beer regularly. The Texas Liquor Control Board collected taxes on $5,048,870$ barrels of beer in 1963. This was an increase of $4.1 \%$ over the previous year-and amounted to an average consumption of almost 15 gallons for each man, woman, and child in the state.

The importance to the economy of Texas of the brewing, distributing, and retailing of beer in Texas is much greater than is usually realized. The industry directly employs thousands, and in 1963 state revenue from beer licenses and beer excise taxes exceeded $\$ 31$ million.

The economic impact of the brewing industry on a city such as San Antonio, where two large breweries are located, is easy to appreciate. The San Antonio breweries of Pearl Brewing Co. and Lone Star Prewing Co. have a combined annual capacity of 2.9 million barrels of beer, about $2.1 \%$ of the total national annual capacity of 135 million barrels. The two breweries employ a total of more than 1,100 and have a combined annual payroll of $\$ 8.1$ million. One-third of every dollar of brewery revenue is paid in taxes to local, state, and federal governments.

But the contribution of the two breweries to San Antonio does not end with the local taxes they pay and the wages and salaries they pay their employees. It does not end even with the well-known multiplier effect on the city's general economic activity of the spending of those wages and salaries. Both breweries play an important role in the civic life of the community by supplying civic leadership, contributions to major fund drives, and such familiar tourist attractions as the Buckhorn Bar and the Hall of Horns on the Lone Star grounds-which drew 368,000 visitors last year.

The effect of the location and operation of a brewery on the economic life of a city smaller than San Antonio is, of course, proportionately greater and even more easily observed. Shortly after the Joseph Schlitz Brewing Co. of Milwauke announced earlier this year that it would begin construction this fall on a $\$ 15$-million brewery in Longview, Robert A. Uihlein, Jr., president of the

[^0]company, revealed that several industries which would serve the new brewery were considcring locating new plants in Longview. As possible "satellite" operations he listed the manufacture of cans, cartons, paper cups, and bottles, among others. Mr. Uihlein also pointed out that Schlitz was first to complete a plant in 1959 in a new industrial district in Tampa, Florida, and that today all space in the district has been taken by other industries. "When one or more big industries come into a locality," he concluded, "others are sure to follow."

With the recent beginning of full-scale production by Carling Brewing Co.'s new $\$ 10$-million Fort Worth plant, there are now seven breweries operating in Texas, employing approximately 2,000 . The other six are located in El Paşo and Galveston (Falstaff Rrewing Corp.), Houston (Theo Hamm Co.-which recently leased the Grand Prize brewery and has spent more than $\$ 1$ million modifying it and doubling its work force), San Antonio (Lone Star Rrewing Co, and Pearl Brewing Co.), and Shiner (Spoetzl Brewery). Construction is well under way on a new $\$ 21$-million Houston brewery, to employ approximately 300 and to have a production capacity of about one million barrels annually, for Anheuser-Busch, Inc. Completion is expected early in 1966. Joseph Schlitz Brewing Co. plans to complete its new Longview brewery, which will also have an estimated annual capacity of one million barrels and employ about 300 , in the spring of 1966 .
In short, the brewing of beer is another instance of the familiar phenomenon of an already major Texas industry rapidly expanding in order to meet the increasing demand for its product. Executives of both the Carling Brewing Co. and Joseph Schlitz Brewing Co. pointed to the outstanding growth and economic development of Texas and its adjoining states as the justification for their decisions to locate their major new breweries in Texas.

The brewing of beer is technically classified as a beverage industry by the Standard Industrial Classification Manual, under the broad category of "Food and Kindred Products" manufacturing. The manufacture of alcoholic liquors by distillation is similarly classified.

Texans who drank beer during 1963 were able to choose from 112 different brands in several different sizes and kinds of containers from a variety of types of retail outlets. At least this was true if they lived in the "wet" areas of the state.
Prohibition is one of the oldest political issues in Texas; the subject was involved in the first General Election held in 1854.

The national prohibition amendment was ratified by the Texas Legislature in 1918. After the repeal of this amendment, the sale of 3.2 beer was approved in 1933 , and the sale of all liquors was legalized in 1935 but made dependent upon "local option" election in each of the state's precincts.

As of December 31, 1963, the sale of distilled spirits was legal (in whole or in part) in 115 counties; 16 counties permitted the sale of $4 \%$ beer only; and 121 counties were wholly dry. As can be seen from the accompanying map, approximately half of the area of the state is dry. This has traditionally been the northern half of the state. What the map does not disclose is that nearly $80 \%$ of the state's population is concentrated in the wet areas. For example, while much of northern Texas is dry, much of Tarrant and Dallas counties are wet. Heavily industrialized and urbanized, these two counties possess a much higher population density than does the region as a whole.

In 1955 the total number of dry counties was 141, indicating the shift from dry to wet during the last few years. The occurrence of a few small wet precincts in wide expanses of dry territory have been of special interest because they have greatly reduced the distances that purchasers have to travel to buy beer if they do not live in an area in which it can be sold.

There is an important exception to the general pattern of "local option" determination of the legality of beer and other alcoholic beverage sales and consumption in an area. Beer is sold to servicemen in the service clubs and post exchanges of the federal military posts in the state for consumption on the particular military reservation,

## LOCAL OPTION: STATUS OF TEXAS PRECINCTS


and sales to this market constitute a significant portion of the brewing industry's total sales.

## The Market for Beer

There are several significant changes taking place in the market for beer. Twenty years ago only about $30 \%$ of the sales of beer was for home consumption. By far the greatest market for beer was the tavern. Today in Texas about $80 \%$ of the beer sold is for home consumption; the importance of the tavern is decreasing. Of all beer consumers in Texas, about a third drink only at home, $94 \%$ drink at least part of their beer at home, and only $6 \%$ drink only away from home. The pattern is not a constant one throughout the state, however. In the higher-income communities there is a smaller proportion of those who drink their beer in taverns than there is in towns with a lower average income.

Another shift has been in the importance of the various types of retail outlets. Of the total beer sold for home consumption in Texas in 1963, $34 \%$ was sold in supermarkets and $33 \%$ in other food stores. Package stores accounted for $15 \%$ and taverns and restaurants accounted for $10 \%$ of take-home beer sales. Retail sales by brewers, distributors, and other outlets accounted for the remaining $8 \%$ of the carry-out sales. The big shift has been to the food store, where the housewife buys beer to take home with the groceries for the week.

## Retail Outlets

It is easy to count the retail beer dealers in the state since they are licensed by the State of Texas through the Texas Liquor Control Board. On December 31, 1963, there were 13,097 retail dealer on-premise licenses active in the state of Texas. These were held mostly by taverns and restaurants. There were also 6,405 wine and beer retailer permits active. These were held for the most part by lounges, restaurants, and other eating places whose customers could drink wine as well as beer on the premises. Also, there were 7,575 active retail dealer offpremise licenses, which were held mostly by grocery and package stores. The only type of establishment which can hold two licenses or permits simultaneously is a hotel that has a package store located in the hotel. In this instance the package store would have an off-premise license and the dining room might have an on-premise license or a wine and beer retailer permit. The total number of licenses and permits active was 32,562 . This number less the number of hotels which held two licenses represents the number of retail establishments selling beer in Texas. About one-third of these establishments are located in Harris County.

## The Wholesale Distributor

The Texas Liquor Control Board also licenses beer distributors in Texas. These are the wholesalers of the beer industry. At the end of 1963 there were 192 local distributors with active licenses. The local distributor is entitled to sell in only one county. He may handle only one brand of beer, such as Pearl or Lone Star, or he may distribute several. If he handles "Texas" beer, he probably has only one such brand, but he may supplement his sales with one or more out-of-state beers. While
the distributor likes to spread his overhead over more than the one brand, the brewer usually prefers that he concentrate on only one-the brewer's.
In addition to local distributors, there are 311 general distributors. These distributors are licensed to sell beer in all wet areas of the state and are not confined to one county. If, however, the general distributor decides to set up a warehouse in some county outside his home county and if he intends to sell beer from that warehouse, he must also obtain a license as a branch distributor. There are 105 of these licenses in Texas.

## Advertising and Promotion

Both the distributor and the brewer engage in efforts to promote the sale of the product. The role that each plays and the proportion that each pays for promotion will vary from brand to brand.

POSTAL RECEIPTS

| City | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Jul 18, } 1964 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Aug 14, } 1964 \end{aligned}$ | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Jul 18, 1964- <br> Aug 14, 1964 from <br> Jun 20, 1964- <br> Jul 17, 1964 | Jul 18, 1964 <br> Aug 14, 1964 from <br> Jul 20, 1963- <br> Aug 16, 1963 |
| Alvin | \$ 9,068 | $-21$ | $+25$ |
| Angleton | . 7.943 | - 25 | $+10$ |
| Ballinger | . 4,326 | $-35$ | + 26 |
| Bellaire | 41,468 | - 10 | $+17$ |
| Belton | 9,608 | $-23$ | + 16 |
| Breckenridge | 7,268 | $-22$ | - 1 |
| Carrizo Springs | 3,186 | $-14$ | + 12 |
| Carthage . ..... | . 6,605 | $-13$ | + 13 |
| Childress .... | ... 5,304 | $-25$ | -14 |
| Cleveland .... | ... 5,799 | - 8 | + 8 |
| Coleman | ... 6,526 | - 28 | + 1 |
| Columbus | . 4,611 | $-30$ | + 4 |
| Commerce | . 5,691 | + 20 | $-20$ |
| Crockett | . 7,221 | - 18 | + 53 |
| Cuero | . 5,726 | $-20$ | -31 |
| Dalhart | . 4,951 | - 35 | $-17$ |
| El Campo | . . 10,159 | - 27 | $-7$ |
| Electra | . 3,972 | - 18 | + 11 |
| Falfurrias | . 4,217 | - 32 | - 3 |
| Freeport | . 20,954 | + 11 | + 32 |
| Galena Park | - 6,957 | - 2 | + 29 |
| Georgetown | .. 5,068 | - 18 | + 12 |
| Gilmer | . 6,146 | $-17$ | - 4 |
| Gonzales ..... | ... 5,509 | $-27$ | $-18$ |
| Groves | ... 7,102 | - 9 | - 1 |
| Hearne | ... 4,290 | $-30$ | + 27 |
| Hillsboro | - 7,645 | - 3 | + 5 |
| Hurst | . 9,766 | - 11 | $+17$ |
| Kenedy | - 3,871 | $-29$ | - 5 |
| Kerrville | . 16,199 | + 3 | + 6 |
| La Grange | 4,889 | - 19 | 5 |
| Lake Jackson | .. 6,255 | - 20 | - 6 |
| Levelland | .. 9,820 | $-17$ | + 6 |
| Marlin | ... 7,732 | - 11 | + 7 |
| Mathis | ... 2,651 | $-38$ | + 2 |
| Navasota | .. 5,376 | - 7 | + 1 |
| Perryton .... | ... 8,319 | $-12$ | + 10 |
| Pittsburg | .. 8,720 | - 12 | + 7 |
| Port Lavaca . . | . 10,312 | - 19 | + 7 |
| Refugio | . 4,139 | - 32 | - 6 |
| Rusk | . 5,785 | - 14 | - 6 |
| Seminole | ... 4,244 | - 29 | - 21 |
| Stephenville ... | ... 9,212 | - 8 | + 25 |
| Taft | ... 3,396 | $-18$ | $+24$ |
| Wharton .... | ... 8,764 | - 8 | + 5 |
| Winnsboro | .. 5,080 | $+1$ | $+18$ |
| Yoakum .... | . 17.001 | $+11$ | $+13$ |

The sales manager for a distributorship is the distributor's chief aid. Nut only does he try to get new accounts and favorable displays and to put up signs, price tags, and other point-of-purchase material, but he may also check inventories and routes, supervise drivers, and occasionally drive a truck. The major function of the brewery salesman is to call upon established accounts in order to maintain good will for the brand among the retailers and to open up new accounts. He may also distribute point-of-purchase material. In some cases, the salesman will call on established accounts just to say "hello" and become better acquainted with the retailer. Then, when he wants a special favor he is not a stranger asking for one.

The breweries do a great deal of advertising on their own. However, for advertisements in the local papers and over local radio and television stations the cost is often shared by the brewer and the distributor. In this type of situation the part paid by the brewer may vary from $60 \%$ to $75 \%$. The advertising policy, as well as many other policies, is dictated by the brewer. For example, one large Texas brewer requires distributors to contribute 4 cents a case for advertising.

In today's market, few products will reach the consumer without advertising. Although it is necessary to have a good product and good management, advertising is an essential factor in a brewer's success.

Trends in the importance of various types of beer advertising are shown in the table below:

ESTIMATED EXPENDITURES FOR ADVERTISING AS A PROPORTION OF THE BEER ADVERTISING DOLLAR

| Media | 1952 | 1956 | 1962 |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| Radio-TV | 22.5 | 40.0 | 70.0 |
| Outdoor | 37.6 | 33.6 | 17.5 |
| Magazine | 13.8 | 9.1 | 5.0 |
| Newspaper | 25.7 | 16.6 | 7.5 |
| Other | 0.4 | 0.7 | - |
| TOTALS | 100.0 | 100.0 | $\overline{100.0}$ |

The brewer handles all advertising but the distributor is often expected to "pick up the tab" on as much as $50 \%$ of local newspaper advertising.

## Special Markets

There is an effort made by both the brewer and the distributor to promote sales among Negroes and Latin Americans. There are Negro and Latin-American point-of-purchase materials in appropriate taverns. Negro and Latin-American salesmen are hired to work these districts, and the truck drivers in these districts are Negro and Latin. Often spot advertisements are made over radio stations which focus on the Negro market and in Spanish over those stations whose programs are designed for the Latin community. Also, advertisements are placed in Spanish-language newspapers. Sometimes the advertising theme which goes over well with the Anglo population must be discarded for successful promotion to the Latin population.


TEXAS INDUSTRIAL PRODUCTION, NONDURABLE MANUFACTURES


TEXAS INDUSTRIAL PRODUCTION, DURABLE MANUFACTURES


## The Future for Beer in Texas

The future for the beer industry looks bright Population projections for the state of Texas for theonext several decades foretell an explosive growth at, or greater than, the rate of national growth. This will automatically mean more potential customers for the industry.

The brewers, distributors, and their association executives are counting on more than this. They are making heavy expenditures of advertising dollars to win new beer drinkers and to increase their consumption per capita. They have found that the most effective advertisements are built around the appeals of family and friends; informal groups; refreshment after work or exercise; sports gatherings; and beer with pienics and meals. They picture hearty, active men and women in informal clothing "having fun." The settings are casual, informal, and believable but modest. This formula sells beer.

There are, however, some dark clouds on the horizon for brewers. Even while sales have been booming, an ominous prediction was made recently by Mr. Roy E. Kumm, president of G. Heilman Brewing Co. of LaCrosse, Wisconsin, who estimated that "less than half of the 200 breweries in the U. S. will survive the next decade because of cut-throat competition." He went on to score "the giant breweries' price-cutting tactics," which he said "are bankrupting their competition." Mr. Kumm pointed out that this is part of a long-term trend in which " 600 breweries have closed their doors since the end of prohibition."

A technological development in the beer industry which many brewers fear will bring even greater problems for the industry is popularly but incorrectly called "instant beer." Brewing companies have developed a freezing process by which beer can be put into a concentrated form by removing $75 \%$ of the water. The packaging of beer concentrate would save shipping costs and would give even greater advantages to large brewers in expanding into new markets. The industry is sharply divided on the long-range implications of the concentrates question, but there is general agreement that the new development could alter the competitive picture considerably in the next decade.

The problems posed by technological change and competition are neither new to nor peculiar to the brewing industry, however, and when such problems are treated as challenges they often lead to changes in the industry concerned that spell the reverse of the industry's concentration or decline. In any case, the evidence is that the brewing industry is presently in a strong growth cycle that in the foreseeable future will continue to strengthen its place in and contribution to the economy of Texas.

TOTAL NONAGRICULTURAL EMPLOYMENT IN TEXAS
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ESTIMATES OF NONAGRICULTURAL EMPLOYMENT
Source: Texas Employment Commission in cooperation with the Bureau of Labor Statistics, U. S. Department of Labor

| Industry | Employment <br> (thousands) <br> Aug <br> 1964 | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Aug 1964 from July 1964 | Aug 1964 from Aug 1963 |
| TOTAL NONAGRICULTURAL | 2,769.4 | ** | + 2 |
| MANUFACTURING | 531.4 | ** | + 2 |
| Durable goods | 262.4 | - 1 | + 4 |
| Ordnance | 5.3 | 2 | 7 |
| Wood products | 18.8 | + 1 | 1 |
| Furniture and fixtures | 11.7 | + 1 | + 1 |
| Stone, clay, \& glass | .. 25.1 | 1 | 中号 |
| Primary metal ............... | .. 27.7 | 1 | + 5 |
| Fabricated metal ............. | .. 36.3 | ** | + 6 |
| Machinery (except electrical).. | . 44.9 | 2 | + 5 |
| Electrical equipment and machinery | $\ldots \quad 28.5$ |  | + 4 |
| Transportation equipment | 53.1 | 5 | + 9 |
| Other durable goods . .......... | ... 11.0 | $+1$ | + 1 |
| Nondurable goods | . 269.0 | ** | ${ }^{*}$ |
| Food | 79.9 | ** | 6) |
| Textile mill products | 7.0 | \%* | + 4 |
| Apparel | 44.0 |  | + 4 |
| Paper products | 11.4 | + 1 | $+$ |
| Printing, publishing | 32.0 | ** | + 3 |
| Chemical and allied products... | . . 49.0 | ** | - 1 |
| Petroleum products | 34.8 | 2 | 5 |
| Leather products | 3.1 | + 3 | + 8 |
| Other nondurable goods ....... | 7.8 | \% | + 8 |
| NONMANUFACTURING | 2,238.0 | ** | + 2 |
| Mining | 116.3 | + | + 3 |
| Petroleum and natural gas | 109.7 | ** | + 3 |
| Metal, coal, and other mining. | ... 6.6 |  | * ${ }^{\text {\% }}$ |
| Contract construction .......... | ... 185.0 | ** | - 1 |
| Transportation and utilities ..... | ... 218.0 | * | 1 |
| Interstate railroad | 35.1 | - 1 | 2 |
| Other transportation | 101.8 | * 0 | - 1 |
| Communication | 40.6 | ** | + 1 |
| Public utilities ............... | . . 40.5 | ** | \%\% |
| Trade | .. 686.4 |  | + 2 |
| Wholesale trade | 199.2 |  | + 3 |
| Retail trade . . . . . . . . . . . . . | ... 487.2 | + 1 | + 1 |
| Building materials-hardware. | . 35.1 | + 1 | - 1 |
| General merchandise ....... | . . 92.7 | + 2 |  |
| Food | 75.8 | ** |  |
| Automotive stores ........... | ... 83.2 | ** | + 2 |
| Apparel stores | 28.5 |  | 7 |
| Other retail trade | . 171.9 | *) | + 2 |
| Finance, insurance, and real estat | ate 150.4 | 20 |  |
| Service and misc. ........... | ... 402.0 |  | + 4 |
| Hotels and lodging places ... | . 33.7 | n* |  |
| Laundries and cleaners ..... | ... 36.3 | ** |  |
| Other service .............. | ... 332.0 |  |  |
| Government . . . . | . 479.9 | $8 \%$ |  |
| Federal government . ...... | ... 136.0 | * ${ }^{\text {\% }}$ |  |

Change is less than one-half of $1 \%$.

# TEXAS RETAIL SALES IN AUGUST 

by Robert H. Drenner

Total retail sales in Texas in August amounted to an estimated $\$ 1.172$ billion, a $3 \%$ decline from the preceding month but $4 \%$ above total dollar sales in the state in August 1963. Total retail volume in Texas for the first eight months of this year was up about $5 \%$ from the same period last year. The $3 \%$ July-to-August decline in Texas roughly paralleled the $2.6 \%$ decrease in retail trade over the nation from the one month to the other, as indicated by advance data of the U. S. Department of Commerce. For the country as a whole, August retail volume was $2.7 \%$ above sales in August 1963, and total sales through the first eight months of this year were estimated at approximately $5.5 \%$ above sales during the comparable period a year ago.

ESTIMATES OF TOTAL RETAIL SALES

| Classification | $\begin{gathered} \text { Aug } \\ 1964 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{1964}{\text { Jan-Aug }}$ | Percent change |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Aug 1964 from Jul 1964 | Aug 1964fromAug 1963 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Jan-Aug } \\ 1964 \\ \text { from } \\ \text { Jan-Aug } \\ 1963 \end{gathered}$ |
|  | (millions | of dollars) |  |  |  |
| TOTAL ......... | \$1,171.9 | \$9,007.9 | $-3$ | $+4$ | + 5 |
| Durable goods* .. | 433.0 | 3,571.1 | -11 | $+3$ | $+6$ |
| Nondurable goods | 738.9 | 5,436.8 | $+2$ | $+4$ | $+4$ |

*Contains automotive stores, furniture stores, and lumber, building material, and hardware stores.
August retail sales in Texas were about $5.4 \%$ of national retail volume for the month; for the first eight months of the year the proportion was $6.1 \%$. The U. S. Department of Commerce recently estimated the population of Texas, as of July 1 of this year, as slightly above $5.4 \%$ of the population of the United States. It follows that thus far this year the average Texan has been spending more at retail than the average U.S. citizen-a fact which might seem to suggest that Texas per capita disposable income has been somewhat higher over the period than average U. S. per capita disposable income. The suggestion, however, is not borne out by the latest available data on state and national personal income, which indicate that average per capita income in Texas is still several hundred dollars a year below the national average. The proper inference, therefore, is that, on the average, Texans, for the year to date, have been spending a slightly greater proportion of their incomes at retail than has been the case for the nation at large.


Normally, retail sales in Texas in August show a slight gain from July, and consequently the seasonally adjusted retail sales index fell from $139.7 \%$ of the 1957-59 average in July to $134.8 \%$. The usual seasonal gain between the two months is normally the result of a substantial rise in sales of nondurable goods. Total dollar sales of nondurables in Texas this August, at $\$ 738.9$ million, in fact rose $2 \%$ from the preceding month-an increase, however, considerably smaller than the expected seasonal gain by the category, and as a result the seasonally adjusted index of sales of nondurables fell from July's $134 \%$ of the 1957-59 average to $129 \%$. Nevertheless, sales of nondurables this August in Texas were $4 \%$ above the level of the same month a year ago, and the category also shows a $4 \%$ gain for the first eight months of this year over the equivalent 1963 period.

With the exception of sales by food stores and by gasoline and service stations, which normally show little change between the two months, every major category of nondurable goods usually posts a substantial increase in sales volume from July to August. The largest seasonal gain $(+14 \%)$ is ordinarily recorded in sales by apparel and department stores-August is the month when students preparing for a new school year replenish their wardrobes, and it is also the month when most apparel stores have their end-of-summer "sales." Since roughly twenty cents of every dollar spent at retail on nondurable goods in Texas is spent for apparel, the showing of the entire nondurables category is considerably influenced by the record made by sales of apparel stores (including apparel volume of department stores). Apparel sales this August, however, did not meet expectations: the actual dollar increase from July was $7 \%$, and this less-thanexpected improvement was largely responsible for the August decline in the seasonally adjusted index of nondurable goods sales. That decline, however, was also contributed to by every major nondurables category (with the single exception of sales by food stores, which were closely in line with their normal seasonal movement)even when dollar volume rose from July, the increase was less than was seasonally indicated.

Total dollar sales of durable goods in Texas in August fell $11 \%$ from July, but nearly all the decline was season-al-the adjusted index of durables sales was down only $3 \%$ in August from its July level. An anticipated $10 \%$ drop in sales by motor vehicle dealers is responsible for most of the normal seasonal decline in durables sales from July to August-during August, dealers reduce prices to move inventories in order to make room for

WHOLESALE PRICES IN THE UNITED STATES

shipments of new models, and many of their customers who intend to purchase a new automobile decide to wait until the new models are available. The actual decline this August in sales by Texas motor vehicle dealers was somewhat greater ( $-13 \%$ ) than was seasonally indicated and was also responsible for most of the $11 \%$ drop in durables sales from the preceding month. August sales by furniture and household appliance stores were also disappointing: an $8 \%$ fall in dollar sales from July compares with an expected $3 \%$ increase by the category. Sales by lumber, building material, and hardware stores, though down 5\% in August from July, were expected to fall by approximately that amount.

Compared with the gains of previous years, the $5 \%$ increase over 1963 that retail sales in Texas have shown thus far this year suggests that per capita disposable income in Texas has been moving up sharply-as a result of a strongly expanding economy, increased employment, and the reduction early this year in federal withholding taxes. But in spite of the record level of economic prosperity currently being enjoyed by the average Texan, it should be noted that the improvement so far this year in Texas retail trade is slightly below that shown for the country as a whole. It is relevant that the population of Texas is expanding at a rate about one-fourth greater than is the U. S. population. This means that the economy of Texas must expand at a proportionately faster rate than the national economy if the growth of Texas per capita income is to match the increase in national per capita income. If this does not happen, the average Texan is not going to be able to purchase as great a volume of goods and services, nor save as much, as the average U. S. citizen, nor will he receive his proper share of the other benefits of "the affluent society." The challenge to the Texas economy is obvious.

## RETAIL SALES TRENDS BY KINDS OF BUSINESS

Source: Bureau of Business Research in cooperation with the Bureau of the Census, U. S. Department of Commerce

| Kind of business | Number of reporting establishments | Percent change |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Normal seasonal ${ }^{\text {* }}$ | Actual |  |  |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Aug } \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Jul } \end{aligned}$ | Aug 1964 from <br> Jul 1964 | Aug 1964 from Aug 1963 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Jan-Aug } \\ 1964 \\ \text { from } \\ \text { Jan-Aug } \\ 1963 \end{gathered}$ |
| DURABLE GOODS |  |  |  |  |  |
| Automotive stores $\dagger$ | . 414 | -10 | -12 | $+2$ | $+9$ |
| Furniture \& household appliance stores ${ }^{\text {T }}$ | $198$ | $+8$ | -8 | ** | $+5$ |
| Lumber, building material, and hardware stores | $\cdots 251$ | -4 | - 5 | * ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | +1 |
| NONDURABLE GOODS |  |  |  |  |  |
| Apparel stores .... | . 841 | +15 | $+7$ | + 6 | + 6 |
| Drugstores ... | . 212 | $+3$ | $+2$ | $+4$ | $+4$ |
| Eating and drinking places | $84$ | $+5$ | -2 | - 1 | $+3$ |
| Food stores <br> Gasoline and service stations | . 364 | ** | ** | $-5$ | $+2$ |
|  | $.716$ | ** | $-3$ | $+3$ | $+3$ |
| General merchandise stores* | $.330$ | +17 | +10 | + 2 | $+6$ |
| Other retail storest.. | . . 317 | +9 | -1 | $+1$ | $+2$ |

[^1]
## BUILDING CONSTRUCTION IN AUGUST

by Robert M. Lockwood

Despite advances from July in permits issued for new hospital and store construction, and in some smaller categories of nonresidential building, the total value of building construction authorized in Texas in August fell $19 \%$ from the preceding month. About a third of the decline was seasonal, however, so that the adjusted index of total construction authorized in the state in August fell $13 \%$ to $131.8 \%$ of the 1957-59 average. At this level the index still maintained a higher level than that of any month this year except April and July.


Both major categories of building construction declined from their July levels. Permits for new nonresidential building showed both the largest absolute and adjusted declines-permits were issued for nonresidential construction valued at $32 \%$ less than in July; after seasonal adjustment, the decline was $19 \%$. The August adjusted index of nonresidential building authorized, at $161 \%$ of the 1957-59 average, was nevertheless higher than in any of the first seven months of the year except April and July. For January-August 1964, permits were issued for nonresidential building valued at $8 \%$ above the same period last year.

Primarily as a result of an $11 \%$ decrease from July in the estimated value of multiple-family dwellings authorized, the seasonally adjusted index of new residential building authorized in Texas in August dropped $16 \%$ to $113.7 \%$ of the 1957-59 average, a level so far exceeded by every other month this year except May. The unadjusted dollar decline from July was $7 \%$, and for the January-August period permits were issued for new residential construction with a value $4 \%$ under that authorized in the first eight months of 1963. August provided more evidence that the pace of residential building, particularly in the multiple-family category, has weakened this year in Texas, as it has also slowed over the nation as a whole.

The central cities of the 21 metropolitan areas of the state bore the greatest share of the August decline in estimated value of building permits issued. The $20 \%$ decrease experienced in the central cities amounted to some $\$ 21.2$ million. The suburban metropolitan areas authorized an estimated $\$ 4.2$ million less than in July, a regression equivalent to about $16 \%$.

Outside the metropolitan areas, the smaller cities (those with a population of less than 10,000 ) issued building permits in August aggregating $\$ 1.2$ million less than in July, an amount representing some $55 \%$ of the total decline of $\$ 2.2$ million in estimated nonmetropolitan areapermit value. The remaining million or so of the dollar decline was borne by nonmetropolitan-area cities of 10,000 to 50,000 population, where the authorized values fell off $4 \%$ in August.

Well over half of the dollar decline in residential authorizations was attributable to one-family dwellings. Of the 103 fewer one-family homes authorized in the state in August than in July, only about half (53) was attributable to the metropolitan areas. But these 53 repre-

sented more than $88 \%$ of the decline in the value of onefamily homes authorized, which amounted for the state as a whole to more than $\$ 2.4$ million.

The net decline from July to August in the number and value of apartments authorized throughout the state was attributable solely to the metropolitan areas, where the number of apartment units decreased by 975 ( $29 \%$ ) and the value by some $\$ 1.9$ million ( $11 \%$ ). The figures reflect again what has been observed so often in Texas building data during the earlier months of this year: the decline in the growth of apartment construction, especially in the larger metropolitan areas, and the relative increase in the unit values of apartments. These larger declines offset gains in the nonmetropolitan areas of $31 \%$ (58) in number of units and $39 \%$ (about $\$ 399,000$ ) in the estimated value of apartments authorized. The August experience in apartment authorizations outside the metropolitan areas reduced the decline for the entire state to 917 units ( $26 \%$ ) and $\$ 1.5$ million ( $8 \%$ ).

With two fairly significant and three minor exceptions, nonresidential authorizations in August told a story of almost uniform declines from July. Representing about $18 \%$ of the estimated value of nonresidential construction authorized in August, stores and mercantile buildings gained $24 \%$ ( $\$ 1.7$ million). In cumulative value authorized through the first eight months of the year, this category ranks second only to educational buildings, with $\$ 80.4$ million $(20 \%)$ of the $\$ 402.7$ million total. Gaining $70 \%$ on July values, the category of "hospitals and other institutional buildings" appeared misleadingly favorable in the August summary. Some $\$ 7.2$ million of the estimated $\$ 8.7$ million total in this category represented one permit issued for a nursing home in Houston. For the
year the category has aggregated $\$ 42.7$ million, almost $11 \%$ of the total and $9 \%$ ahead of the comparable figure for 1963.

Major gains also were recorded in the relatively minor categories of residential nonhousekeeping buildings (almost wholly the result of a hotel authorization in Austin), commercial garages, and structures other than buildings (the latter category includes stadiums, swimming pools, drive-in theaters, and similar structures). Although these three categories together accounted for almost $14 \%$ of estimated August nonresidential values, their share of the January-August 1964 total amounts to less than $8 \%$.

All other nonresidential categories exhibited declines from July ranging from $1 \%$ to $77 \%$. The most significant absolute declines were in the categories of office-bank buildings ( $\$ 14.5$ million), industrial buildings ( $\$ 10.3$ million), and educational buildings ( $\$ 4.6$ million). The total gros decline in these three categories exceeded considerably the net decline for the entire nonresidential sector of $\$ 22.2$ million.

ESTIMATED VALUE OF BUILDING AUTHORIZED
Source: Bureau of Business Research in cooperation with the Bureau of the Census, U. S. Department of Commerce

$\dagger$ As defined in 1960 Census.
${ }^{* * *}$ Change is less than one-half of $1 \%$.


Indicators of business conditions in Texas cities published in this table include retail trade, postal receipts, building permits, banking, and employment. City information is published when a minimum of three indicators is available.

The cities have been grouped according to Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas. In Texas all 21 SMSA's are defined by county lines and, for this reason, the counties are listed under the major heading for the area. ${ }^{2}$ The populations shown for the SMSA's are estimates for April 1, 1963, ${ }^{1}$ prepared by the Population Research Center, Department of Sociology, The University of Texas. The cities within the counties are listed with the appropriate SMSA; all other cities are listed alphabetically. The population shown after the city name is the 1960 Census figure with the exceptions of those marked (r), which are estimates officially recognized by the Texas Highway Department, and that given for Pleasanton, which is a combination of the 1960 Census figures for Pleasanton and North Pleasanton.

Retail sales data are reported in this tabulation only when three or more stores report for the category. The
first column contains an average percent change from the preceding month marked by a dagger ( $\dagger$ ). This is the normal seasonal change in sales by that kind of business. The second column shows the percent change in actual sales reported for the month. The third column shows the change in sales from the same month of the preceding year. A large variation between the normal seasonal change and the reported change indicates an abnormal month. Waco retail sales information is reported in cooperation with the Baylor Bureau of Business Research.

Postal receipts information which is marked by an asterisk (*) indicates cash received during the four-week postal accounting period ended August 14, 1964.

End-of-month deposits as reported represent money on deposit in individual demand deposit accounts on the last day of the month and are indicated by the symbol ( $\ddagger$ ).

Figures under Texarkana with the following symbol (§) are for Texarkana, Texas, only.

Changes of less than one-half of $1 \%$ are marked with a double asterisk ( ${ }^{* *}$ ).

| City and item | Percent change |  |  | City and item | $\begin{gathered} \text { Aug } \\ 1964 \end{gathered}$ | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $. \mathrm{Aux}_{1964}$ | Aug 1964 from July 1964 | Aug 1964 from Aug 1968 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Aug } 1964 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { July } 1964 \end{aligned}$ | Aug 1964 from Aug 1963 |
| ABILENE |  |  |  | AMARILLO |  |  |  |
| Standard Metropolitan Statistical Area (pop. 126,9901; Jones and Taylor ${ }^{2}$ ) |  |  |  | Standard Metropolitan Statistical Area (pop. $166,616^{1}$; Potter and Randal1 ${ }^{2}$ ) |  |  |  |
| Nonfarm employment (area) | 36,350 | ** | - 1 | Manufactuting employment (area) | 6,470 | + 1 | + 6 |
| Manufacturing employment (area) | 4,350 | * | + 5 | Fercent unemployed (area) | 3.2 | $-18$ | $-14$ |
| Percent unemployed (area) | 5.0 | ** | -12 | AMARILLO (pop. 155,205r) |  |  |  |
| ABILENE (pop. 90,368) |  |  |  | Retail sales | $+\quad 4 \dagger$ |  |  |
| Retail sales ................. | $+4 \dagger$ | $+$ | + 2 | Apparel stores ... | $+16 \dagger$ $-10 \dagger$ | 14 $+\quad 8$ | a $+\quad 6$ $-\quad 6$ |
| Apparel stores | $+15 \dagger$ | + 11 | + +16 | Drug stores .... | -19 $+\quad 3$ |  | -13 -13 |
| Automotive stores | - $10 \ddagger$ | - 2 | - 3 | Florists |  | + 4 | - 18 |
| Drug stores | + 3t | - 5 | + 8 | Furniture and household |  |  |  |
| Food stores | * | - 6 | - -16 | appliance stores | $+3 \dagger$ | - 12 |  |
| Furniture and household |  |  |  | Gasoline and service stations | ** | $-4$ |  |
| appliance stores ....... | + 3 $\dagger$ | - 15 | + 12 | General merchandise stores | +17t | $+17$ |  |
| General merchandise stores. ......... | - $+17 \dagger$ | 4 | +15 | Lumber, building material, |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* ..................... | - 118,726 | - 8 | + 2 | and hardware stores... | - ${ }^{\dagger} \dagger$ | - 34 | $-34$ |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | \$ 1,722,924 | + 46 | + 60 | Postal receipts* ..... . ....... | \$ 239,062 | - 6 | + 8 |
| Bank debits (thousands)............ \$ | \$ 115,468 | 1 | + 15 | Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ 3,377,720 | - 5 | $-10$ |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) 4 . \$ | - 68,746 | + 2 | - 1 | Bank debits (thousands) ............ | \$ 285,378 | -11 | + 22 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 20.4 | -3 | $+18$ | Eind-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | \$ 118,586 | - 8 | - 2 |
| ALICE (pop. 20,861) |  |  |  | Annual rate of deposit turnover | 27.6 | - 8 | + 18 |
| Retail sales ............. |  |  |  | CANYON (pop. 5,864) |  |  |  |
| Lumber, building material. |  |  |  | Postal receipts* ${ }^{*}$..... | \$ 8,253 | $-30$ | $+56$ |
| and bardware stores ... | - $4 \dagger$ | - 17 | - 5 | Building permits, less federal contracts | - 161,370 | $+92$ | $+164$ |
| Postal receipts**........... | \$ 20,475 | - 20 | + 19 | Bank debits (thousands) ........... | \$ 6.687 |  |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | - 132,154 | - 44 | -52 | End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. Annual rate of deposit turnover | $\begin{aligned} & \$ \quad 6,677 \\ & \\ & \\ & 1.2 .3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & +4 \\ & -11 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & +11 \\ & -11 \end{aligned}$ |
| ALPINE (pop. 4,740) |  |  |  | ANDREWS (pop. 11,135) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* ..................... | - 5,250 | $-33$ | + 22 | Postal receipts* .............. | \$ 6,606 | - 38 | - 1 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 8 8,550 | +272 |  | Building permits, less federal contracts | - 52,450 | + 21 | +572 |
| Brnk debits (thousands)............. \$ | \$ 3,005 | - 5 |  | Bank debits (thousands) ............ | \$ 5,617 | $-14$ |  |
| Fnd-of-month deposits (thousands) $4 . . \$$ | - 4,021 | + 3 |  | End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | \$ 6,512 | $+1$ |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 9.1 | - 4 | $-9$ | Annual rate of deposit turnover | 10.4 |  |  |


| Local Business Conditions. | ${ }_{1964}$ | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Aug 1964 from July 1964 | Aug 1964 from Aug 1963 |
| ARANSAS PASS (pop. 6,956) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* ${ }^{*}$................... \& | 5,236 | $-20$ |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | 131,200 |  | +587 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............ \& | 5,489, | ${ }_{\text {¢ }}^{*}$ | - 16 |
| Fnd-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | 5,481 |  |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 12.5 | - |  |

## ARLINGTON: see FORT WORTH SMSA

| ATHENS (pop. 7,086) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Postal receipts* ${ }^{\text {a }}$. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 12,531 | $+$ | $+45$ |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | 68,300 | - 80 | -31 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............ $\$$ | 12,502 | - 4 | $+10$ |
| End-of-month deposits (thousanda) \$. \$ | 9,335 | $+11$ | 9 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 16.9 |  | + 23 |
| AUSTIN <br> Standard Metropolitan Statistical Area (pop. 234,3911; Travis ${ }^{2}$ ) |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Nonfarm employment (area) Manufacturing employment (area) | $\begin{array}{r} 89,900 \\ 6,890 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & +1 \\ & +\quad 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & +6 \\ & +\quad 5 \end{aligned}$ |
| Percent unemployed (area) | 3.1 | ** | - 16 |
| AUSTIN (pop. 186,545) |  |  |  |
| Retail sales | $+4 \dagger$ | $+2$ |  |
| Apparel stores | + $15 \dagger$ | 5 |  |
| Automotive stores | - $10 \dagger$ | - 11 |  |
| Drus stores | +. $3 \dagger$ | + 1 | + 2 |
| Eating aind drinking places | + 54 | + 5 | - 10 |
| Food stores | ** | 5 |  |
| Furniture and household mppliance stores ................... $\quad$ + $3 \dagger$ |  |  |  |
| General merchandise stores | $+174$ | $+16$ | $-19$ |
| Iumber, huilding material, and hardware stores. | - $\mathbf{4}_{\text {- }}$ ( | + 34 |  |
| Pohlal receidts* | 480.719 | -- 4 |  |
| Building permits, less federal contrscts | \$10,546,967 | $+148$ |  |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............. $\$$ | \$ 309,705 |  |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousinds) $\ddagger$. $\$$ | - 170,029 |  |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 22.0 |  |  |

## BAY CITY (pop. 11,656)

| Ketail sales |  | - | $+10$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Automotive stores | - 10¢ | ** | - |
| General merchandise stores. | $+174$ | +12 | +12 |
| Fostal receipts ${ }^{\text { }}$. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . $\$$ | 15,841 | 7 | + |
| Bank debits (thousands)............. \$ | 21,204 | +28 | $-1$ |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) 4.1 | 26,453 | $+13$ | + 10 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 10.2 |  | - 10 |
| Nonfarm placements | 117 | + 7 | + 52 |

## BAYTOWN: see HOUSTON SMSA

| BEAUMONT-PORT ARTHUR-ORANGE Standard Metropolitan Statistical Area (pop. 318,054 ${ }^{1}$; Jefferson and Orange ${ }^{2}$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nonfarm employment (area) | 115,200 | + |  |  |  |
| Manufacturing employment (area) | 36,000 |  |  |  |  |
| Percent unemployed (area) | 6.0 | -. | 5 |  |  |
| BEAUMONT (pop. 119,175) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Retail sales | $\pm 4 \dagger$ |  | \% |  |  |
| Apparel stores | $+159$ | $+1$ |  |  |  |
| Automotive stores | $10 \dagger$ |  |  |  |  |
| Eating and drinking places | $+5 \dagger$ |  |  |  |  |
| Food stores | * |  |  |  |  |
| Gasoline and service stations | \% |  |  |  |  |
| General merchandise stores | $+17 \dagger$ |  |  |  |  |
| Lumber, building materíal, and hardware stores. | - $4 \dagger$ |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* ................... ${ }^{\text {. }}$ | 134.733 | - 1 |  |  |  |
| Building dermits, less federal contracts \$ | 934.712 |  |  |  |  |
| Bank debits (thousands).............. \% | 204,806 |  |  |  |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. \$ | 101,385 |  |  |  |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 24.1 |  |  |  |  |


| Local Business Conditions City and item |  | $\underset{1964}{\operatorname{Aug}_{4}}$ | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Aug 1964 from July 1964 |  |
| NEDERLAND (pop. 12,036) |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* ................... ${ }^{\text {s }}$ |  | 7,310 | - 29 | - |
| Building permits, less federal contraets |  | 174,482 | - 83 | - 59 |
| Bank debits (thousands) |  | 5,875 | - 30 |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ |  | 5.148 | + | + 24 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. |  | 13.8 | - 31 | -13 |

ORANGE (pop. 25,605)
Retail sales

| Automotive stores | $-10 \dagger$ | - | 4 | $+12$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Furniture and household appliance stores ... |  | $+$ | 3 |  |
| Postal reccipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . \$ | 26,068 | - | 6 | - 4 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 142,945 | $+$ | 33 | + 75 |
| Bank debits (thousands) . . . . . . . . . . . \$ | 28.808 |  | ** | - 2 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . . \$$ | 22,724 | - | 5 |  |
| Anriual rate of deposit turnover | 14.8 | $+$ | 3 | $+$ |
| Nonfarm placements | 178 |  | ** | + 13 |
| PORT ARTHUR (pop. 66,676) |  |  |  |  |
| Retail sales | + $4^{4}$ | + | 7 | $+10$ |
| Automotive stores | - $10 \ddagger$ | $+$ | 9 | $+22$ |
| Food atores | ** | - | 4 | $-17$ |
| Furniture and household <br> zppliance stores |  |  |  |  |
| General merchandise stores. | $+17 \dagger$ | $+$ |  | $-20$ |
| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . \$ | 51,044 |  |  | + 5 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 441,826 | $+$ | 11 | +101 |
| Rank debits (thousands) .............. § | 64,158 | - | 6 |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. \$ | 43,983 | $+$ | 8 |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 18.1 | - | 9 |  |

PORT NECHES (pop. 8,696)

| Postal receipts* | 8,429 | $+7$ | $+15$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 95,645 | - 77 | $-39$ |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............. | 8.615 | 2 | $+3$ |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . . \$$ | 6,087 | 8 | + 7 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 16.2 | ** | 7 |

## BEEVILLE (pop. 13,811)

| Retâil sales | + $4 \dagger$ |  | $+28$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Drug stores | + 87 | 3 | + 5 |
| Food stores | \% ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | - 7 | + 8 |
| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . \$ | 11,660 | $-20$ | 12 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 27,998 | - 44 | $-67$ |
| Bank debits (thousands) | 10,768 | $-13$ |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | 15,244 | $+$ |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 8.7 | $-14$ | 7 |
| Nonfarm placements | 134 | + 7 | $+21$ |
| BIG SPRING (pop. 31.230) |  |  |  |
| Retail sales | $+4 \dagger$ | $-15$ | ** |
| Apparel stores | + 15 $\dagger$ | $-1$ | $-15$ |
| Automotive stores | - 10¢ | $-20$ |  |
| Lumber, building material, and hardware stores. | - ${ }^{4} \dagger$ |  |  |
| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 36,331 |  | 6 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 201,405 |  |  |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............. \$ | 35,303 | 3 | 5 |
| End-af-month deposits (thousands) $4 .$. \$ | 23,702 |  |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 18.0 | - 4 | 9 |
| Nonfarm placements | 213 | + 11 | + 62 |

## BISHOP: see CORPUS CHRISTI SMSA

## BONHAM (роя. 7,357 )

Retail sales
Apparel storea ....................... $+15 \dagger$ Postal receipts

Bank debits (thousands)............... \$ . 7.503
End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. \$ 7,854
Annual rate of deposit turnover.
11.6

| Local Business Conditions City and item | $\begin{gathered} \text { Aux } \\ 1964 \end{gathered}$ | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Aug 1964 from July 1964 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Aug } 1964 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Aug } 1.963 \end{aligned}$ |
| BORGER (pop. 20,911) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts ${ }^{\text {a }}$. ${ }^{\text {a }}$. ............... \% | 17,437 | - 23 |  |
| Building permits, less federal contract \$ | 99,600 | -62 | - 17 |
| Nonfarm placements | 164 | + 12 | $+27$ |
| BRADY (pop. 5,338) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 8 | 7,596 | + 8 | + 56 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 493,100 | +376 |  |
| Bank debits (thousands) ........... \$ | 5,015 | - 12 | $-16$ |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) \$. \$ | 7.739 | + | $-1$ |
| Annual rate of dejosit turnover | 7.9 | -13 | 10 |
| BRENHAM (pop. 7,740) |  |  |  |
| Postal recelpts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . \$ | 8,375 | - 22 |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts 8 | 120,800 | +85 | +109 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............ | 12.102 | + 5 | - 11 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. \$ | 13,181 | + 1 | - 1 |
| Annual rate of dedosit turnover | 11.1 | + 8 | - 8 |
| Nonfarm placements | 67 | + 68 | + 22 |
| BROWNFIELD (pop. 10,286) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . \$ | 10,974 | - 22 | $+4$ |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 130.462 | - 56 | + 21 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............ | 18.834 | - ${ }^{48}$ | + 31 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | 12,344 | + 1 |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 18.4 | - 40 | + 37 |

## BROWNSVILLE-HARLINGEN-SAN BENITO

## Standard Metropolitan Statistical Area

 (pop. 146,2071; Cameron ${ }^{2}$ )| Nonfarm employment (area) ......... | $\mathbf{3 5 , 6 0 0}$ | -1 | +1 |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Manufactaring employment (area) | 4,720 | -16 | $-\quad 4$ |
| Percent unemployed (area) $\ldots \ldots .$. | 5.9 | $+\quad 2$ |  |

BROWNSVILLE (pop. 48,040)
Retail sales

| Automotive stores | - $10 \dagger$ | - 6 | + 80 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Postal recejpts* . .................... . | 29,520 | - 16 | + 3 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 821,020 | +58 | $-21$ |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............. \$ | 38,880 | $+10$ | - 19 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger .8$ | 19,993 | $+6$ | 8 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 24.0 | $+10$ | - 15 |
| Nonfarm placements | 525 |  | + 55 |

HARLINGEN (pop. 41,207)

| Retail sales | + $4 \dagger$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Automotive stores | $-109$ | + 2 | 4 |
| Gasoline and service stations. | ** | + 3 | - 14 |
| Postal receipts* .................. . \$ | 35,059 | $-10$ |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 48.000 | $-67$ | $-53$ |
| Bank debits (thousands)............. \$ | 86,090 | + 70 |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousends) $\ddagger$. . \$ | 30,829 | + 24 | +18 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 37.1 | + 43 | - 6 |
| Nonfarm placements | 342 | $-33$ | + 16 |
|  |  |  |  |
| LA FERIA (pop. 3,047) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ${ }_{\text {\$ }}$ | 2.633 | - 1 | + 81 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 24,260 |  | +246 |
| Bank debits (thousands) .............. | 2.769 | + 88 | 2 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. \$ | 2,004 | $+87$ | $+$ |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 19.2 | $+57$ | - B |
| LOS FRESNOS (pop. 1,289) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 1.281 | - 88 | -- 17 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............. | 4,638 | + 71 |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. \$ | 2,641 | + 63 | + 35 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 26.1 | + 29 | $-7$ |


| Local Business Conditions City and item |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Aug } \\ 1964 \end{gathered}$ | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Aug 1964 July 1964 | $\underset{\substack{\text { Aug } \\ \text { from }}}{1964}$ Aug 1963 |
| PORT ISABEL (pop. 3,575) |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* |  | 2,467 | - 32 |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts |  | 6,900 | -68 | - 30 |
| Bank debits (thousands) |  | 1,664 | $+$ | + 2 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | \$ | 1,109 | $+$ |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover |  | 18. | + 11 |  |

SAN BENITO (pop. 16,422)
Retail sales

| Automotive'stores | $-10 \dagger$ | - | + 19 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Postal receipts* .................... \$ | 8,346 | $-20$ | + 12 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 20,700 | - 51 | $+$ |
| Bank debits (thousands) .............. | 9,816 | +67 | + 6 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . . \$$ | 7.506 | $+30$ | $+14$ |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 17.8 |  | $-1$ |

## BROWNWOOD (pop. 16,974)

Retail sales

| Apparel stores | $+15 \dagger$ | $+4$ | $+10$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 32,088 | +1 | 3 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 5,350 | - 52 | - 35 |
| Bank debits (thoutsands) ............. . ${ }^{\text {S }}$ | 18,925 | ** | + 18 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. \$ | 18,828 | $-2$ | ** |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover...... | 16.2 | + 1 | + 14 |
| Nonfarm placements | 115 | 3 | + 28 |


| BRYAN (pop. 27,542) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Retail sales | + $4 \dagger$ | - | + 5 |
| Automotive stores | $-10 ¢$ | - 27 | +3 |
| Food stores | ** | ** | $+11$ |
| Lumber, building materials, and hardware stores . | - $4 \dagger$ | - 1 | $+16$ |
| Postal receipts* | 29.016 | - 16 | + 5 |
| Building dermits, less federal contracts \$ | [91,331 | +114 | $+83$ |
| Bank debits (thousands)............ \$ | 33,595 | $-18$ | + 3 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\dagger$. $\$$ | 20,267 | + ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $+7$ |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 20.2 | - 11 | $-4$ |
| Nonfarm placements | 304 |  | + 35 |
| CALDWELL (pop. 2,204) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* | 2.479 | - 38 | $-12$ |
| Bank debits (thousands)............. $\$$ | 2,378 |  | $-10$ |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . . \$$ | 4,008 | + 2 | $+4$ |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 7.2 | 8 | - 14 |
| CAMERON (pop. 5,640) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts ${ }^{4}$. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ${ }^{\text {\% }}$ | 4,854 | $-20$ |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 5,200 | $-73$ | - 39 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............. \$ | 5.454 | - 8 |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger .$. ( | 6,638 | + 3 |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 11.8 | $-10$ | 6 |

## CANYON: see AMARILLO SMSA

## CARROLLTON: see DALLAS SMSA

## CISCO (pop. 4,499)

| Postal receipts* | 4,059 | - 19 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bunk debits (thousands) | 3,781 | 1. |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. \$ | 8,348 | \% | 11 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 13.6 | 2 | + 23 |

## CLEBURNE: see FORT WORTH SMSA

## CLUTE (pop. 4,501)

Postal receipts* ........................ $\$$ 2,150 - 20 + 15

Building permits, less federal contracts $\begin{array}{llll}\$ & 22,575 & -41 & -40\end{array}$
Bank debits (thousands) ............. $\$ \quad 2,197 \quad-\quad 1 \quad+20$
$\begin{array}{lrrrr}\text { End-of-month deposits (thousands) } \ddagger .8 & 1.672 & - & 4 & +17 \\ \text { Annual rate of deposit turnover.... } & 15.4 & - & 3 & -1\end{array}$

| Local Business Conditions |  |  | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| City and item |  | $\underset{1964}{\mathrm{Aug}_{1}}$ | Aug 1964 July 1964 |  |
| COLLEGE STATION (pop. 11,396) |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* |  | 21,640 | + 26 | ** |
| Building permits, less federal contracts |  | 82,578 | - 58 | - 30 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ........... |  | 5.459 |  | + 20 |
| End-nf-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. |  | 3,654 |  |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. |  | 17.9 |  |  |
| COLORADO CITY (pop. 6,457) |  |  |  |  |
| Retail sales |  |  |  |  |
| Lumber, building material, and hardware stores. |  | - 49 | $+85$ | +107 |
| Postal reccipts* | \$ | 5,010 | - 32 |  |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 4,149 |  |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. |  | 5,830 |  |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. |  | 8.6 |  |  |
| COPPERAS COVE (pop. 4,567) |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* ................... |  | 4,130 | - | - |
| Building permits, less federal contracts |  | 202,480 | - 84 | + 41 |
| Bank debits (thousands) |  | 1,672 | + | + 21 |
| End-of-month deposits (theusands) $\ddagger$ |  | 1,736 | + | + 29 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover |  | 11.8 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| CORPUS CHRISTI <br> Metropolitan Statist |  |  |  |  |
| (pop. 223,0601; |  | Nueces ${ }^{\text {2 }}$ ) |  |  |
| Nonfarm employment (area) |  | 71,600 |  |  |
| Manufacturing employment (area). |  | 9.020 | $+$ | ** |
| Percent unemployed (area) |  | 3.5 | - 13 | 24 |
| BISHOP (pop. 3,722) |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* |  | 2,180 | - 44 | - |
| Bank debits (thousands) |  | 2,478 | - 22 | - 5 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$, , |  | 2,425 | $+$ | - |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover |  | 12.6 | - 28 | ** |
| CORPUS CHRISTI (pop. 184,163r) |  |  |  |  |
| Retail sales |  | $+4 \dagger$ | - 1 |  |
| Apparel stores |  | $+15 \dagger$ | + 12 | + 12 |
| Automotive stores |  | - $10 \dagger$ | - | $+$ |
| Drug stores |  | $+3 \dagger$ | $+$ | + 10 |
| General merchandise stores |  | $+17 \dagger$ | + 15 | ** |
| Postal receipts* | . 8 | 200,233 | - 8 |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts |  | 5,423,216 | +145 | + 87 |
| Bank debits (thousands)......... |  | 226,960 | - | - |
| End-of-month deposits (thousanda) $\ddagger$. |  | 120,379 |  |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover |  | 22.8 |  |  |
| ROBSTOWN (pop. 10,266) |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Automotive stores |  | $-10{ }^{+}$ |  |  |
| Postal receipts* | $.8$ | 7,470 | $-25$ | + 18 |
| Building permits, less federal contrsets |  | 16,740 | - 72 | $-43$ |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............ |  | 15,084 | $-10$ | - 10 |
| End-of-month dedosite (thousands) $\ddagger$. |  | 11,303 | + 15 | + 14 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover |  | 17.1 | - 25 | -18 |
| CORSICANA (pop. 20,344) |  |  |  |  |
| Retail sales |  |  | ** |  |
| Lumber, building material. and hardware stores... |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts**... | \$ | 20,073 | - ${ }_{\text {- }}$ | - 15 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts |  | 155.895 | - 30 | -84 |
| Bank debits (thousands) .......... | \$ | 19.140 | - 8 | - |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ |  | 21,393 | + |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover |  | 11.0 | $-9$. | - |
| Nonfarm placements |  | 281 | + 28. | + 41 |
| CRYSTAL CITY (pop. 9,101) |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* . ................. | . | 2,912 | - 40 |  |
| Buiding permits, less federal contracts |  | 12,534 | $-95$ | +186 |
| Bank debits (thousands).......... | . | 2,760 |  |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | \$ | 2,469 |  |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover |  | 13.2 |  |  |


| Local Business Conditions |  | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| City and item | ${ }_{1964}^{\text {Aug }}$ | Aug 1964 July 1964 | Aug 1964 from <br> Aug 1963 |
| DALLAS |  |  |  |
| Standard Metropolitan Statistical Area |  |  |  |
| Nonfarm employment (area) ......... Manufacturing employment (area) | $\begin{aligned} & 510,800 \\ & 114,225 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r}\text { * } \\ \hline\end{array}$ |  |
| Percent unemployed (area) | 3.8 |  |  |
| CARROLLTON (pop. 4,242) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* | 8,045 | + 13 | + 42 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ 308,195 | - 20 | - 40 |
| Sank debits (thousands) ............ 8 | 8 6,124 | - | + 11 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) f. \$ | \$ 3,500 | $+10$ | + |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 22.0 |  |  |
| DALLAS (pop. 679,684) |  |  |  |
| Retail sales |  |  |  |
| Apparel stores | $+20 \dagger$ | $+$ |  |
| Automotive stores | \#* | - 21 |  |
| Drug stores | + 1才 | - 2 |  |
| Florists | + 7i | - | + |
| Food stores |  |  |  |
| Furniture and houtsehold appliance stores |  |  |  |
| 'Grsoline and service stations. |  |  |  |
| General merchandise stores | $+7 \dagger$ |  | - |
| Lumber, building material. and hardware stores. |  | $+10$ |  |
| Office, store, and school supply dealers |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* | \$ 2,981,866 | + 5 | + 7 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$12,046,684 | $-16$ | -46 |
| Bank debits (thousands). | . $3.844,856$ | ** |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. . | . 1,359,698 | $+$ |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 34.1 |  |  |
| DENTON (pop. 26,844) |  |  |  |
| Retail sales | + $4 \dagger$ |  | $+11$ |
| Drug stores | $+3 \dagger$ | 2 | $+14$ |
| Postal receipts* | 42,888 | - | ** |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ 87a.070 | + 19 | + 82 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | 25,485 | $-20$ |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | 30,202 | + 35 | + 14 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 11,6 | - 19 |  |
| Nonfarm placements | 177 | + 12 |  |
| ENNIS (pop. 9,347) |  |  |  |
| Retail sales |  |  |  |
| Apparel stores | + $15 \dagger$ | $+24$ |  |
| Postal receipts* | 11,031 | - 18 |  |
| Building permits, less federsl contracts | \$ 83,500 | - 63 | - 50 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | . 6,473 | - 14 | - 12 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\dagger$. | . 7 7,326 | + 3 | + 1 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 10.8 | $-15$ | - 14 |
| GARLAND (pop. 38,501) |  |  |  |
| Retail sales | $+{ }^{4 \dagger}$ | - 15 |  |
| Apparel stores | + $15 \dagger$ | + | - |
| Automotive stores | $-10 \dagger$ | - 18 | $+$ |
| Furniture and hougehcld |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts" | . 83,902 | + 12 | + 26 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ 1,276.440 | + 32 | - 38 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | . ${ }^{\text {35,917 }}$ | $+$ | +20 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | \$ 19,475 | $+$ | + 19 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover.. | 22.6 | - |  |
| GRAND PRAIRIE (pop. 30,386) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* | . 30,805 | * | + 17 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | 651,694 | + 16 |  |
| Brak debits (thousands) | 20,925 |  |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | '12,514 |  |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 20.9 | $-12$ |  |


| Local Business Conditions <br> City and item | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Aug } \\ & 1964 \end{aligned}$ | Percent change |  | Local Business Conditions <br> City and item | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Aug } \\ & 1964 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Aug 1964 from July 1964 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Auk } 1964 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Aug } 1963 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | Aug 1964 from July 1961 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Aug } 1964 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { tug } 1963 \end{aligned}$ |
| IRVING (pop. 45,985) |  |  |  | DEER PARK: see HOUSTON SMSA |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ${ }^{\text {\% }}$ | - 39.326 | + 3 | $+10$ | DEL RIO (pop. 18,612) |  |  |  |
| Buiding permits, less federal contracts |  | -48 | -44 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bank dehits (thousands) . . . . . . . . . . \$ | \$ 36,488 | + 3 | + 26 |  |  |  |  |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) 本.. \$ | - 17.883 | $+10$ | $+15$ | Retail sales |  |  |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover...... | 25.7 | - 8 | + 17 | Automotive stores Lumber, building ma | $-10 \%$ | $+17$ | + 49 |
| JUSTIN (pop. 622) |  |  |  | and hardware stores............ | $4^{4}$ | 5 |  |
|  |  |  |  | Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . $\$$ | 13.905 | $-23$ |  |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............. \$ | \$ 1,228 | - 18 | $-20$ | Building permits, less fede | 11.292 | 10 | +415 $+\quad 6$ |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . \$$ | \$ 848 | - ${ }^{6}$ | + 7 | Bank debits (thousands).......... | 11,292 14,797 | +10 $+\quad 3$ |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover...... | 16.8 | 10 | - 26 | End-of-month deposits (thousands) Armual rate of deposit turnover. | $\begin{array}{r} 14,797 \\ 9.3 \end{array}$ |  |  |
| McKINNEY (pop. 13,763) |  |  |  | DENISON (pop. 22,748) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts ${ }^{\text {c }}$..................... ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | \$ 11,742 | - 17 | "* |  |  |  |  |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | \$ 80,640 | - 59 | - 36 | Retail sales |  |  |  |
| Bank debits (thousands).... ........ | * 12,572 | $+1$ | + 6 | Apdarel stores | $+15 \dagger$ | + 9 | ** |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. \$ | \& 10,925 |  | + 14 | Automotive stores | $-10 \dagger$ | - 20 | - 10 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 14.1 | 5 | 5 | Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ${ }^{\text {S }}$ | 25,562 | + 8 | $+13$ |
| Nonfarm placements | 161 | $+59$ | $+137$ | Bank debits (thousands) ............. 8 | 18,680 | $-11$ | $\underline{+11}$ |
| MESQUITE (pop. 27,526)Retail sales |  |  |  | End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . . \$$ | 16,449 | + 2 | + 7 |
|  |  |  |  | Annual rate of deposit turnover | 13.8 | $-10$ | + 6 |
|  |  |  |  | Nonfarm nacements $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$................. $194+17$ |  |  |  |
| Eating and drinking places. | + $\mathrm{\sigma}^{\dagger}$ | $+10$ | + 19 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* <br> Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ 16.405 | $-13$ | + 13 | DENTON: see DALLAS SMSA |  |  |  |
|  | ¢ 3,098,826 | +176 | +216 | DENT |  |  |  |
| Bank debits (thousands) .............. \$ | \$ 11.150 | + 23 | +27 | DONNA (pop. 7,522) |  |  |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) \$ Annual rate of deposit turnover | \$ 6,883 | + +91 | - 8 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 18.6 | + 21 | $+37$ | Postal receipts* <br> Building permits, less federpl contracts $\$$ | 3,141 13,500 | -31 -83 | $\begin{gathered} +12 \\ -93 \end{gathered}$ |
| MIDLOTHIAN (pop. 1,521) |  |  |  | Bank debits (thousands) . . . . . . . . . . . | 2,857 | $+20$ | \% ${ }_{\text {\% }}$ |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | \$ 16,050 | + 2 | $-24$ | End-of-month deposits (thousands) | 78 |  |  |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............. \$ | \$ 1.209 | + 2 | $-16$ | Annual rate of deposit turnover...... | 9.7 |  |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. (\$ | \& 1,537 | + 8 | $-6$ | DUMAS: (pop. 8,477) |  |  |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 9.8 | 1 | $-8$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| PILOT POINT (pop. 1,254) |  |  |  | Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 129.500 | - 50 | $-23$ |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | \$ 5.800 | +480 | - 54 | Bank debits (thousands)................ End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. . $\$$ | 9,250 | - 20 | + 11 |
| Bank delits (thousands) ............. | \$ 1,079 | -9 | $-16$ |  | 10,325 | 5 $+\quad 5$ | $+\quad 9$ $+\quad 11$ |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) + . $\$$ | \$ 1,484 |  | $-16$ | Annual rate of deposit turnover...... | 11.0 | - 25 | + 11 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 8.6 | 4 | - 2 | EAGLE PASS (pop. 12,094) |  |  |  |
| PLANO (pop. 3,695) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . \$ | \$ 5,866 | - 1 | $+7$ | Gasoline and service stations..... | Retail sales |  |  |
| Building permits, less ferleral contracts \$ | \$ 889,500 | + 6.5 | $-24$ | Postal receipts* ..................... \$ | 7.482 | - 20 | + 9 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............. $\$$ | \$ 3,546 | + 2 |  | Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 26,661 | $-88$ | -40 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) \& . $\quad 3,005 \quad+10$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | EDINBURG (pop. 18,706) |  |  |  |
| RICHARDSON (pop. 16,810) |  |  |  | Postal recejpts* | 15,656 | $+20$ | $+43$ |
|  |  |  |  | Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 146,090 | + 68 | + 63 |
| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . \$ | \$ 34,943 | $-20$ | + 1 | Bank debits (thousands)............... $\%$ | 14,078 | - 2 | $-1$ |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | \$ 765,317 | - 22 | $-30$ | End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. . $\$$ | 9,059 | + 11 | ** |
| Bank debits (thousanda) . . . . . . . . . . . 8 | \$ 24,758 |  | + 26 | Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 19.6 | - ${ }^{1}$ | 2 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . . \$$ | \$ 11,230 | * ${ }_{\text {\% }}$ | + 31 | Nonfarm placements | 135 | - 18 |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 26.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SEAGOVHLLE (pop. 3,745) |  |  |  | EDNA (pop. 5,038) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts ${ }^{\text {a }}$. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . \$ | \$ 3,921 |  | +27 | Building permita, less federal contracts \$ | 3.945 | -98 | -86 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | \$ 45,454 | +98 | $+581$ | Bank debits (thousands) .............. \& | 7.265 | + 19 | $+16$ |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............ \$ | \$ 3,000 | - 10 | + 24 | End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. \$ | 6,561 | + 9 | 7 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. $\$$ | \$ 1,781 |  | +13 | Annual rate of deposit turnover..... | 13.8 | + 14 | + 20 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 20.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| WAXAHACHIE (pop. 12,749) |  |  |  | ENNIS: see DALLAS SMSA |  |  |  |
| Retail sales Lumber, building material. |  |  |  | EULESS: see FORT WORTH SMSA |  |  |  |
| Lumber, building material, hardware stores | -. 40 | - 27 | + 12 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . \& | \$ 13,051 | - s | - 7 | FORT STOCKTON (pop. 6,373) |  |  |  |
| Building Dermits, less federal contraets \$ | \$ 369,205 | +375 | + 57 | Postal recejpts* ..................... | 6,467 | -- 29 | + 11 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............. \$ | \$ 11,143 | - 14 | $-18$ | Building permits, less federal contricti \$ | 34,300 | - 48 | - 51 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger \ldots$. | \$ 9,913 | 2 |  | Bank debits (thousands) ............. | 6,441 | - 11 |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 13.4 | - 12 | -88 | End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. \$ | 5,423 | - 1 |  |
| Nonfarm placements | 18 | -18 | $-65$ | Annual rate of deposit turnover | 14.2 | --12 | + 3 |


| Local Business Conditions |  | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| City and item | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Aug } \\ & 1964 \end{aligned}$ | Aug 1964 July 1964 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Aug } 1964 \\ & \text { fiom } \\ & \text { Aug } 1963 \end{aligned}$ |


| City and item | 1964 | July 1964 | Aug 1963 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EL PASO |  |  |  |
| Standard Metropolitan Statistical Area (pop. 337,650 ${ }^{1}$; El Paso ${ }^{2}$ ) |  |  |  |
| Nonfarm employment (area). <br> Manufacturing employment (area) <br> Percent unemployed (arca) .......... 4.5 |  |  |  |
| EL PASO (pop. 276,687) |  |  |  |
| Retail sales | $+4 \dagger$ | - 1 | $+$ |
| Apparel stores | $+15 \dagger$ | $+13$ | 3 |
| Automotive stores | - $10 \dagger$ | - 10 | $+12$ |
| Food stores | ** | - 5 | + 6 |
| Furniture and household |  |  |  |
| General merchandise stores | $+17 *$ | $+17$ | $+4$ |
| Lumber, building material, |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* ... | ( 317,257 | 5 | $+5$ |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ 3,462,248 | - | $+28$ |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............. | \$ 345,357 | - 10 | * |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. . | \$ 177,528 | - 2 |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover...... | 23.2 | - 3 |  |

## FORT WORTH

## Standard Metropolitan Statistical Area

 (pop. 592,341 ${ }^{1}$; Johnson and Tarrant ${ }^{2}$ )

## CLEBURNE (pop. 15,381)

| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 16,225 | - 18 | - | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 170,018 | + 22 | $+$ | 2 |
| Bank debits (thousands) .............. S | 13,502 | $-10$ | + | 5 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . . \$$ | 12,289 | * ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | - | 2 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 13.2 | $-10$ | $+$ | 8 |

EULESS (pop. 2,062)

| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 5,362 | $-18$ | 6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 239,433 | -46 | 67 |
| Bank debits (thousands) .............. \$ | 5,454 | - 9 | + 37 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger .$. S | 2,273 | + 12 | $+15$ |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 30.4 | $-10$ | $+39$ |

FORT WORTH (pop. 356,268)

| Retail sales | $+4 \dagger$ | - 5 | + 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Apparel stores | + $5 \dagger$ | $-11$ | $+4$ |
| Automotive stores | + $3 \dagger$ | - 19 | $+10$ |
| Drug stores | $+3 \dagger$ | 3 | + 3 |
| Eating and drinking places | $+3 \dagger$ | + 2 | - 9 |
| Florists |  | $+13$ | $+$ |
| Food stores |  | $-11$ | + 2 |
| Furniture and household appliance stores | $+18 \dagger$ | 8 | - 3 |
| Gasoline and service stations. | $+3 \dagger$ | 1 | + 13 |
| General merchandise stores | $+21 \dagger$ | $+12$ | $+$ |
| Lumber, building material, and hardware stores. | + 5 \% |  | $+20$ |
| Postal receipts* ................... . | 862,394 | 6 | + |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 3,152,867 |  | + 28 |
| Bank debits (thousands) .............. \& | 840,222 |  | $+$ |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . . \$$ | 419,578 | - 1 | $+$ |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 23.9 |  | $-2$ |


| Local Business Conditions | ${ }_{1964}$ | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Aug } 1964 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { July } 1964 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Aug } 1964 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Aug } 1963 \end{aligned}$ |
| GRAPEVINE (pop. 2,821) |  |  |  |
| Postal reoeints* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . \& | 3,572 | - 31 | - 5 |
| Buildmgermits, less federal contracts \$ | 44,980 | -31 | $+328$ |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............. \$ | 3,805 | - 8 | + 19 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . \$$ | 3,503 | + 1 | $+16$ |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 13.1 |  | + 6 |
| NORTH RICHLAND HILLS (pop. 8,662) |  |  |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 154,624 | $-13$ | $-54$ |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............ \$ | 5,825 | - 9 | + 79 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . .8$ | 3,361 | - 2 | $+35$ |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 20.6 | - 4 | + 11 |
| WHITE SETTLEMENT (pop. 11,513) |  |  |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 71,256 | $-38$ | $-21$ |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............ \$ | 1,354 | +14 |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . . \$$ | 1,526 | +114 |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 14.5 | $-22$ |  |

FREDERICKSBURG (pop. 4,629)

| Retail sales |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Drug stores | $+3 \dagger$ | - | 1 |
| General merchandise stores. | $+17 \dagger$ | + 5 | + 31 |
| Postal receipts* .................... \& | 7,223 | $-17$ | + 12 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 58,890 | + 15 | -61 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............ \$ | 9,784 | - 7 | *\% |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . \$$ | 9,300 | - 1 | - 3 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover...... | 12.6 | $-7$ | + 5 |
| FRIONA (pop. 2,048) |  |  |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 133,900 | $+26$ | $+31$ |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............. $\%$ | 6,244 | -34 | + 14 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . . \$$ | 5,732 | $-38$ | + 8 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 10.0 | $-34$ | - 9 |
| GAINESVILLE (pop. 13,083) |  |  |  |
| Retail sales | $+4 \dagger$ | $-17$ | - 4 |
| Apparel stores | $+15 \dagger$ |  | - 8 |
| Drug stores | $+3 \dagger$ | $-3$ | 4 |
| Furniture and household appliance stores | $+3 \dagger$ | $-16$ |  |
| Postal receipts* ....................... \$ | 17,160 |  | $+13$ |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 284,400 | +178 | +269 |

## GALVESTON-TEXAS CITY

 Standard Metropolitan Statistical Area (pop. 148,112 ${ }^{1}$; Galveston ${ }^{2}$ )| Nonfarm employment (area) | 55,600 | - | 1 |  | 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Manufacturing employment (area) | 10,680 |  | 1 |  | 1 |
| Percent unemployed (area) | 6.0 | - | 3 |  | 15 |
| GALVESTON (pop. 67,175) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Retail sales | + $4 \dagger$ | $+$ | 7 |  | 12 |
| Apparel stores | $+15 \dagger$ |  | 26 |  | 9 |
| Automotive stores | $-10 \dagger$ |  |  |  | 44 |
| Food stores | ** | $+$ | 7 | + | 7 |
| Furniture and household appliance stores | $+3 \dagger$ |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* ${ }^{*}$. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . \$ | 98,080 | - | 3 | - | 4 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts $\$$ | 472,940 | - | 14 |  |  |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............ \$ | 115,006 |  | ** | + | 8 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. S | 61,104 | - | 1 | $+$ | 6 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 22.4 | $+$ | 2 | $+$ | 3 |

LA MARQUE (pop. 13,969 )

| Postal receipts* |  | 9,789 | $-18$ | 7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ | 177,500 | 18 | 39 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 10,104 | - 5 | + |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ |  | 6,294 | ** | + 8 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnov |  | 19.2 | - 3 |  |


| Local Business Conditions |  | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| City and item | $\underset{1964}{\text { Aug }^{2}}$ | Aug 1964 $\xrightarrow{\text { from }}$ | Aug 1964 from <br> Aug 1968 |
| TEXAS CITY (pop. 32,065) |  |  |  |
| Retail sales ............. | $+{ }_{4} \dagger$ | - 3 | + |
| Apparel stores | $+15 \dagger$ | $+18$ |  |
| Automotive stores | - 10¢ |  |  |
| Postal receipts* ................... \& | 26,785 | - 5 | $+16$ |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 184,440 | - 64 | - 56 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............ \$ | 23,757 | - 9 | $+$ |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger .8$ | 13,417 |  | - |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 20.9 |  |  |
| GARLAND: see DALLAS SMSA |  |  |  |
| GATESVILLE (pop. 4,626) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* | 7,873 | + 11 | + |
| Bank debits (thousands) ........... 8 | 6,125 | + 1 | - 1 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. \$ | 6,330 | $+$ | ** |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 11.9 | ** |  |
| GIDDINGS (pop. 2,821) |  |  |  |
|  | 3,804 | - 31 | - 31 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | 3,304 | $-4$ | - 5 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger .8$ | 4,171 |  |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 9.6 |  |  |
| GLADEWATER (pop. 5,742) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* ................... 8 | 8,285 | - 26 | - 22 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............. 8 | 3,975 | - 9 |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger .8$ | 4,360 | + | + 8 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover..... | 11.0 | - 13 |  |
| Nonfarm employment (area). | 29,450 | ** | + 3 |
| Manufacturing employment (area) | 6,470 | $+$ | + 13 |
| Percent unemployed (area) | 3.8 |  | - 25 |
| GOLDTHWAITE (pop. 1,383) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* .................... \& | 2,063 | $-45$ | $-17$ |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............s | 2,968 | - 2 | $-28$ |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger .$. \$ | 5,593 |  | + 5 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 6.4 |  | - 34 |
| GRAHAM (pop. 8,505) |  |  |  |
| Retail sales |  |  |  |
| Apparel stores | $+15 \dagger$ | - 81 |  |
| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . \& | 8,136 | - 14 | - |
| Building permits, less federal contracts S | 25,050 | - 56 | + 21 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............ § | 9,628 | - |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . .8$ | 10,515 |  |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover...... | 11.0 |  |  |
| GRANBURY (pop. 2,227) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 8 | 3,919 | - 16 | + 24 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............s | 1,530 |  | $+$ |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger \ldots$. 8 | 2,076 |  |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover...... | 8.9 |  |  |

GRAND PRAIRIE: see DALLAS SMSA
GRAPEVINE: see FORT WORTH SMSA

## GREENVILLE (pop. 19,087)

| Retail sales | $+4 \dagger$ | - | 5 | $+4$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Automotive stores | - $10 \dagger$ |  |  | $+10$ |
| Drug stores | $+3 \dagger$ | $+$ | 7 | + 4 |
| Food stores | * 0 | - | 9 | - 16 |
| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . \$ | 27,061 | $+$ | 9 | + 34 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 130,200 | - | 64 | 30 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............ \& | 17,509 | $+$ | 2 | $+6$ |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . \$$ | 14,497 | - | 1 | $+12$ |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover...... | 14.4 |  | * | - 6 |
| Nonfarm placements | 145 | - | 1 | +113 |

[^2]| Local Business Conditions <br> City and item | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Aug } \\ & 1964 \end{aligned}$ | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Aug } 1964 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { July } 1964 \end{aligned}$ | Aug 1964 from Aug 1963 |
| HALE CENTER (pop. 2,196) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 2,404 | -35 | $+$ |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 4,200 | - 79 | - 25 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............. \$ | 2,745 | - 4 | 3 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . . \$$ | 3,334 | - 6 | $-15$ |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover..... | 9.6 |  | $+10$ |
| HEMPSTEAD (pop. 1,505) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . \$ | 5,066 | - 24 |  |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............ \$ | 1,392 | $-21$ | $-12$ |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. \& | 1,937 | + 5 | - 4 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 8.8 | - 21 | - 5 |
| HENDERSON (pop. 9,666) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts ${ }^{*}$. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . \$ | 13,846 | $+6$ | $+18$ |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 103,875 | $+207$ | $+513$ |
| Bank debits (thousands) .............. \$ | 7,349 | - 1 | - 10 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . . \$$ | 17,349 | 1 | + 1 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 5.1 | ** | - 11 |
| HEREFORD (pop. 9,584r) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . \$ | 14,643 | $-7$ | + 26 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 287,250 | + 12 | - 48 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............. \$ | 25,426 | $-13$ | + 22 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . \$$ | 15,655 | + 2 | $+19$ |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 19.7 | $-18$ | + 2 |

HOUSTON Standard Metropolitan Statistical Area (pop. 1,342,511 ${ }^{1}$; Harris ${ }^{2}$ )

| Nonfarm employment (area) | 583,200 | ** | $+3$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Manufacturing employment (area) | 99,900 | ** | + 4 |
| Percent unemployed (area) | 3.3 | $-15$ | 21 |
| BAYTOWN (pop. 28,159) |  |  |  |
| Retail sales | + $4 \dagger$ | $-15$ | $+10$ |
| Automotive stores | $-10{ }^{+}$ |  | + 73 |
| Food stores | *) | + 2 | 4 |
| Postal receipts ${ }^{*}$. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . \$ | 29,417 | $-20$ | 4 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 278,194 | + 31 | 53 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ........... . \$ | 31,525 | - 12 | + 12 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . \$$ | 26,430 |  | + 5 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 14.2 | $-10$ | $+$ |

DEER PARK (pop. 4,865)

| Postal receipts* | 8,231 | + 42 | + 52 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 289,200 | 43 | +141 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | 4,377 | $+$ | $+$ |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | 2,665 | + 2 | $+37$ |
| Annual rate of deposit turnove | 19.9 | - 5 | -12 |

HOUSTON (pop. 938,219)

| Retail sales |  |  | ** |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Apparel stores | $+18 \dagger$ | + 8 |  |
| Automotive stores |  | $-18$ | $+$ |
| Drug stores | $+1 \dagger$ | $-1$ | - 8 |
| Eating and drinking places. |  | 2 |  |
| Florists |  | $+21$ |  |
| Food stores | $+1+$ | +11 | $-7$ |
| Furniture and household appliance stores | ** | 3 |  |
| Gasoline and service stations | $+2 \dagger$ | $-1$ | $+$ |
| General merchandise stores. | $+10 \dagger$ | $+10$ | $+$ |
| Liquor stores | $-1 \dagger$ | - 5 | - 5 |
| Lumber, building material, and hardware stores | $+104$ | - 3 | - 16 |
| Postal receipts* | \$ 2,143,056 | ** | $+$ |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$27,187,624 |  | $+$ |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ 3,617,180 | - | + |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger .$. | \$ 1,511,984 | ** | $+$ |
| Annual rate of deposit turnov | 28.7 | - 3 |  |


| Local Business Conditions |  | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| City and item | $\underset{1964}{\text { Aug }}$ | Aug 1964 July 196 July 1964 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Aug } 1964 \\ \text { from } \end{gathered}$ $\text { Aug } 1968$ |
| HUMBLE (pop. 1,711) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 4,051 | - 18 | $+18$ |
| Ruilding dermits, less federal contracts | 52,700 |  | + 80 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............ | 3,266 |  |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousandis) $\ddagger . \$$ | 8,389 |  |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 11.9 | - 8 | ** |
| KATY (pop. 1,569) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . $\%$ | 2,427 | - 30 |  |
| Building permita, less federal contracts \$ | 83,800 | +948 | +179 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............ \$ | 2,253 | + 2 |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. $\$$ | 2,497 | $+$ | + 14 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 11.2 | - 8 | - 12 |
| LA PORTE (pop. 4,512) |  |  |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 82,000 | +217 |  |
| Bank debits (thousands) . . . . . . . . . . | 4,324 | - 21 |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. $\$$ | 2,742 | + |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 19.5 | - 20 |  |
| PASADENA (pop. 58,737) |  |  |  |
| Retail sales | + 49 |  |  |
| Adparel stores | $+15 \dagger$ | $+15$ | + 22 |
| Automotive stores | $-10 \dagger$ | -12 |  |
| General merchandise stores | $+17 \dagger$ | + 18 |  |
| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . \$ | 50,989 | + 4 | + 28 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 507,450 | - 28 | - ${ }^{48}$ |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............ 8 | 57,045 |  |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | 30,087 |  |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 22.9 |  |  |
| SOUTH HOUSTON (pop. 7,253) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 6,895 | - 22 |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 13,693 | -96 | $-78$ |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............ | 7,189 |  | + 23 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger .$. | 5.371 | $+$ | $+27$ |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 16.3 |  | ** |
| TOMBALL (pop. 1,713) |  |  |  |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............ | 7,214 |  |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. $\$^{\text {d }}$ | 5,687 | + 2 | - 12 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 15.4 | - 3 | + 15 |

## HUMBLE: see HOUSTON SMSA

HUNTSVILLE (pop. 11,999)

| Postal receipts* ${ }^{*}$. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . $\%$ | 11,219 | - 47 | - 11 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 14,300 | $-84$ | $-18$ |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............. . \$ | 8,144 | 8 | $-19$ |
| End+of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . .8$ | 8.424 | 2 | 6 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 11.5 | - 5 | $-16$ |

## IOWA PARK: see WICHITA FALLS SMSA

## IRVING: see DALLAS SMSA

| JACKSONVILLE (pop. 10,509r) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . § | 19.463 | $-11$ | $-11$ |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 149,850 | $-56$ | +123 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............. \$ | 13,904 | $-10$ | + 9 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger .$. \$ | 10,146 | 2 | + 5 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 16.8 |  |  |
| JASPER (pop. 4,889) |  |  |  |
| Retail sales | + 44 | - 14 | $-3$ |
| Automotive stores | $-10{ }^{+}$ | $-20$ | $+2$ |
| Postal receipts ${ }^{*}$. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 8,971 | + 1 | $+18$ |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | 22,450 | +969 | - 38 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............ . | 11,402 |  | $+20$ |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger .$. \$ | 8,226 |  | $+5$ |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 16.1 |  | $+11$ |

## JUSTIN: see DALLAS SMSA

KATY: see HOUSTON SMSA

| Local Business Conditions |  |  | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| City and item |  | ${ }_{1964}$ | Aug 1964 July 1964 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Aug } 1964 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Aug } 1963 \end{aligned}$ |
| KERMIT: (pop. 10,465) |  |  |  |  |
| Retail sajes |  |  |  |  |
| Drug stores |  | $+3{ }^{+}$ |  |  |
| Furniture and household |  |  |  |  |
| appliance stores |  | + ${ }^{+}$ | + 26 | + 13 |
| Postal receipts** |  | 7,557 | -22 | ** |
| Building permits, less federal contracts |  | 20,525 | -41 | 68 |
| KILGORE (pop. 10,092) |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* | 8 | 13,966 | - 28 | - 11 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ | 35,366 | - 46 | - 79 |
| Bank debits (thousands). | \$ | 12,189 |  |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ |  | 12,630 |  |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover |  | 11.7 | - 4 | + |
| Nonfarm employment (area). |  | 29,450 | * | + |
| Manufacturing employment (area). |  | 6,470 |  | + 13 |
| Percent unemployed (area).. |  | 3.8 |  | -25 |
| KILLEEN (pop. 23,377) |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts ${ }^{\text {a }}$. . . . . . . . . . . . . | \$ | 36,651 | - 14 |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts |  | 1,288,741 |  | $+65$ |
| Bank debits (thousands) |  | 19.395 |  | + 18 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) : |  | 12.595 |  | + 34 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover |  | 18.0 | - 11 | $-18$ |
| KINGSLAND (pop. 150) |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* | \% | 944 | $-46$ | $\rightarrow 20$ |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 902 | ** | + 37 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ |  | 650 |  | + $\mathbf{s z}^{2}$ |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover |  | 16.5 |  |  |
| KINGSVILLE (pop. 25,297) |  |  |  |  |
| Retail sales |  |  |  |  |
| Drug stores |  | + ${ }^{\text {¢ }}$ |  |  |
| Postal receipts* | \$ | 15,708 | - 28 | + 11 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | 8 | 104,286 | $-93$ | - 38 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 12,284 |  | * |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | \% | 14,757 |  | + 28 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover |  | 10 |  | -14 |
| KIRBYVILLE (pop. 1,660) |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* | 8 | 4,146 | - 8 | + |
| Bank debits (thousands) | $.8$ | 2,530 | - 4 |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. |  | 3,347 |  |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover |  | 9.0 | - | $+$ |

LA FERIA: see BROWNSVILLE-HARLINGEN-SAN BENITO SMSA

LA MARQUE: see GALVESTON-TEXAS CITY SMSA

| LAMESA (pop. 12,438) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Retail sales |  |  |  |
| Automotive stores | $-10 \dagger$ | $+21$ | - 11 |
| Drug stores | + $3 \dagger$ | 4 | - 2 |
| Lumber, building materials, and hardware stores. | - ${ }^{\text {i }} \dagger$ | - 9 | - 30 |
| Postal recesipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . $\$$ | 12,468 | $-18$ | $-11$ |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 74,000 | - 38 | + 20 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............. \$ | 12,864 | $-16$ | -. 20 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousends) $\ddagger$. $\%$ | 13.832 | - 3 | - 5 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 11.0 | $-12$ | $-16$ |
| Nonfarm placements | 58 | +18 | $-15$ |
| LAMPASAS (pop. 5,061) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* . .................... | 4,167 | - 42 | $-22$ |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 21,900 | - 79 | - 59 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............. s | 6,808 | $-18$ | $-17$ |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . . \$$ | 6,296 | + 5 | - 5 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 13.3 | $-17$ | - 11 |

LA PORTE: see HOUSTON SMSA

| Local Business Conditions | Aug <br> City and item | Pugcent change <br> Aug 1964 Aug 1964 <br> July 1964 Aug 1963 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |


| LAREDO |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Standard Metropolitan Statistical Area (pop. 67,192 ${ }^{1}$; Webb ${ }^{2}$ ) |  |  |  |
| Nonfarm employment (area) | 19,300 | ** | + 8 |
| Manufacturing employment (area) | 1,390 | + 1 |  |
| Percent unemployed (area) | 8.3 | + 6 | $+$ |
| LAREDO (pop. 60,678) |  |  |  |
| Retail sales |  |  |  |
| Apparel stores | + $15 \dagger$ | + 11 | +10 |
| Postal receipts* | 38.631 | $-13$ | + 5 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 329,445 | +201 | $+365$ |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............. \$ | 85,822 | - |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) \$. . \$ | 26,088 | $+$ | $+2$ |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 16.6 | - | - 1 |
| Nonfarm placements | 612 | + 16 | + 87 |
| LIBERTY (pop. 6,127) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* . ..................... 8 | 7,171 | $-15$ |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 11,874 | - 77 |  |
| Bank debits (thousands) . . . . . . . . . . . \$ | 8,392 |  |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . . \$$ | 11.158 | - | + 16 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 8.9 |  |  |
| LLANO (pop. 2,656) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . \$ | 2,902 | - 44 | $-8$ |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 34,500 |  | $+108$ |
| Bank debits (thousands)............. \$ | 8,490 | $-12$ | -27 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger .8$ | 4,326 | $-2$ | $-2$ |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 9.6 | $-15$ | - 28 |
| LOCKHART (pop. 6,084) |  |  |  |
| Retail sales |  |  |  |
| Automotive stores | $-104$ | +32 | - 18 |
| Food stores | ** | + 16 |  |
| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . \$ | 4,653 | - 29 | + 6 |
| Building permits, less federal contracta \$ | 730 | $-97$ | -98 |
| Bank debits (thousands) . . . . . . . . . . . \$ | 5.868 | $+6$ |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | 5,795 |  |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover...... | 12.6 | + 1 |  |

LOS FRESNOS: see BROWNSVILLE-HARLINGENSAN BENITO SMSA

| LUBBOCK |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Standard Metropolitan Statistical Area (pop. 171,071 ${ }^{1}$; Lubbock ${ }^{2}$ ) |  |  |  |  |
| Nonfarm employment (area) | 56.800 | ** | $+$ | 5 |
| Manufacturing employment (area) | 6.270 | - 1 | $+$ | 6 |
| Percent unemployed (area) | 3.8 | * |  | 1 i |
| LUBBOCK (pop. 128,691) |  |  |  |  |
| Retail sales | $+49$ | - | - | 2 |
| Apparel stores | + $15 t$ | $+17$ |  |  |
| Automotive stores | $-10 \%$ | - |  | ** |
| Drug stores | + 3才 | $+4$ |  | 5 |
| Food stores | asi | ** | $+$ | 3 |
| Furniture and household appliance stores | + $3 \dagger$ |  |  |  |
| General merchandise stores. | $+17 \dagger$ | + 8 | - |  |
| Lumber, building material, and hardware stores | - $4 \ddagger$ | $-39$ |  | 25 |
| Postal receipts* | 219,851 | $+3$ | 4 | 12 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | 3,285,193 | $-46$ | - | 1 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | 216,672 | $-10$ | $+$ | 5 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | \$ 128.609 | $-1$ | $+$ | 9 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 20.2 | $-10$ |  |  |

Local Business Conditions

| City and item | Aug <br> 1964 | Aug 1964 <br> from <br> July 1964 | Aug 1964 <br> from |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| SLATOK 1963 |  |  |  |


| LONGVIEW (pop. 40,050) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Retail sales | + $4 \dagger$ | $-10$ | - 3 |
| Automotive stores | - 10¢ | $-13$ | - 3 |
| Drug stores | $+3 \dagger$ | $+4$ |  |
| Lumber, building materials, and hardware stores. | -- $4 \dagger$ | - 13 | - 5 |
| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . \$ | 52,326 | - 21 | $-2$ |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 559,700 | + 1 | + 21 |
| Bank debits (thousands) .............. \$ | 54,271 | $-3$ | + 14 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger .8$ | 41.824 | 4. | $+13$ |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 15.6 | - 3 |  |
| Nonfarm employment (area) | 29,450 | ** |  |
| Manufacturing employment (area) | 6,470 |  | + 13 |
| Percent unemployed (area) | 3.8 | $+3$ |  |

LUFKIN (pop. 17,641)

| Postal receipts* | 26,899 | - 6 | ** |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | 883,525 | $+540$ | + 56 |
| Nonfarm placements | 55 | - 29 | - 18 |

## McALLEN (pop. 32,728)

| Retail sales | + 41 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Apparel stores | $+15 \dagger$ | $+$ | + 14 |
| Automotive stores | $-10 \dagger$ | $-12$ | $+12$ |
| Furniture and household appliance stores |  | - 7 | - 12 |
| Gasoline and service stations | ** | - 6 | T |
| Postal receipts* .................... . \$ | 32,293 | - | + 3 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 482,978 | +120 | + 52 |
| Bank debits (thotusands) ............ . \$ | 29.321 | - 3 |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. . | 22,283 | + 8 |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 16.4 | - 3 |  |
| Nonfarm employment (area) | 40,700 | - 1 | -- 1 |
| Manufacturing employment (area) | 3,770 |  |  |
| Percent unemployed (area) | 6.0 | + 3 | 2 |
| Nonfarm placements | 271 | - 35 | $+28$ |
| McCAMEY (pop. 3,375) |  |  |  |
|  | 2,551 | $-39$ | $-28$ |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............ ${ }^{\text {\% }}$ | 1,679 | 7 | -11 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . . \$$ | 1,517 | 7 | - 14 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 12.8 | $-2$ |  |

## McGREGOR: see WACO SMSA

## McKINNEY: see DALLAS SMSA

MARSHALL (pop. 23,846)

| Retail sales | $+4{ }^{+}$ | + 8 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Apparel stores | $+159$ | + 20 | + 13 |
| Postal receipts* ${ }^{\text {* }}$................... $\$$ | 28,039 | - 13 | + 1 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 34,161 | $-93$ | - 61 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............ | 19,145 | $+3$ | $+8$ |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger .8$ | 23,120 |  | $+$ |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 9.7 | $+4$ | ** |
| Nonfarm placements | 197 | $+4$ | $+$ |
| MERCEDES (pop. 10,943) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . \$ | 4,887 | - 19 | + 21 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 18,603 | -42 | - 5 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............. \$ | 9,407. | + 74 | $+19$ |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. \$ | 5,006 | + 42 | $+15$ |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 26.4 | + 48 | $+10$ |

MESQUITE: see DALLAS SMSA

| Local Business Conditions |  | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ___.... City and iterm | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Aug } \\ & 1964 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Aug } 1964 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { July } 1964 \end{aligned}$ | Aug 1964 from Aug 1963 |
| MEXIA (pop. 6,121) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts" ${ }^{\prime \prime}$. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . $\%$ | 6,366 | + 2 | $+$ |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 26,000 | $+136$ | + 30 |
| Bank debits (thotasands) . . . . . . . . . . . \$ | 4,194 | $-18$ | $+1$ |
| End-or-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. \$ | 5,171 | + 3 |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 9.9 | - 13 | - 5 |
| MIDLAND |  |  |  |
| Standard Metropolitan Statistical Area |  |  |  |
| Nonfarm employment (area)........ | 57,000 | + 1 | - 1 |
| Manufacturing employment (area). | 4,170 | ** | -1 |
| Percent unemployed (area) | 3.1 | - 3 | $-18$ |
| MYDLAND (pop. 62,625) |  |  |  |
| Retail sales |  |  |  |
| Apparel stores | $+154$ | + 25 | $+6$ |
| Drug stores | + $3 \dagger$ | $+14$ | + 12 |
| Postal receipts* | 92,469 | $-20$ | $-7$ |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 913,685 | + 38 | $-13$ |
| Bank debits (thousands).............. \$ | 127,009 | - 1 | $-7$ |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $4 . . \$$ | 105,340 | + 1 |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 14.5 | ** |  |
| Nonfarm placements | 778 | $+15$ | + 35 |

MIDLOTHIAN: see DALLAS SMSA
MINERAL WELLS (pop. 11,053)

| Retail sales |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Automotive stores | - $10 \dagger$ | $-17$ | 7 |
| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . $\%$ | 14,079 | $+1$ | - 6 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 90,835 | -66 | 13 |
| Bank debits (thousands)............. \$ | 11,814 | $-10$ | - 9 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands)..\$ | 11.749 |  | 5 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 12.4 | - 9 | 2 |
| Nonfarm placements | 118 | $+15$ | + 12 |
| MISSION (pop. 14,081) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* . ..................... $\%$ | 7,710 | $-27$ | 1 |
| Building permits, less federal contracta \$ | 92,275. | -84 |  |
| Bank debits (thousands)............. \$ | 11,769 |  | 5 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. \$ | 8.567 |  |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 18.7 | 3 | 9 |
| MONAHANS (pop. 8,567) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . \$ | 12,472. |  | + 41 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 53,250 | -77 | -72 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............. \$ | 9,572 | 3 | 1 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . \$$ | 7.158 |  | * |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 16.4 | - 2 | + 3 |

MOUNT PLEASANT (pop. 8,027)
Retail sales

| Apparel stores | $+{ }^{15+}$ | - 23 | - 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . \$ | 11,431 | $-15$ |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 125,600 | - 51 | $-15$ |
| Bank debits (thousands) . . . . . . . . . . . | 10,868 |  | + 5 |
| Eind-of-month deposits (thousands) $4 . .8$ | 8,635 | $+3$ | $+21$ |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 15.8 | $-7$ | - 13 |
| MUENSTER (pop. 1,190) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 1,506 | - 29 | $-10$ |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 13,500 |  |  |
| Bank debits (thoasands) ............. ${ }^{\text {\% }}$ | 2,354 |  | - 7 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger .$. \$ | 2.805 | + 5 | - 2 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 12:6 | - 14 | + 1 |

NACOGDOCHES (pop. 12,674)

## Retail sales

| Apparel stores |  | $+15 \dagger$ |  | s* |  | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Postal receipts* | \$ | 19,408 |  |  |  | ** |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ 1,781,959 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \% | 23,050 | $+$ | 1 |  |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | \% | 20,005 | + | 2 |  | 2 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover |  | 13.9 |  | ** |  |  |
| Nonfarm placements |  | 118 | $+$ | 4 |  | 3 |


| Local Business Conditions City and item | ${ }_{\substack{\text { Aug } \\ 1964}}$ | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Aug } 1964 \\ \text { July } \\ \text { Jom } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Aug } 1964 \\ \text { from } \\ \text { Aug } 1963 \end{gathered}$ |
| PHARR (pop. 14,106) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* | 5.668 | - 25 |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracta \$ | 23.930 | - ${ }^{5}$ | -96 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............ ${ }^{\text {s }}$ | 4,478 | + 7 | ¢ |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$.. | 5,889 | + 34 | + |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 11.4 | - |  |

## PILOT POINT: see DALLAS SMSA

| PLAINVIEW (pop. 18,735) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Retail sales | $+4 \dagger$ | $-10$ | + 1 |
| Automotive stores | $-10 \dagger$ | - 2 | $-1$ |
| General merchandise stores. | $+17 \dagger$ | $+10$ | - 5 |
| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ${ }^{\text {S }}$ | 27,624 | + 3 | + 21 |
| Building permits, jess federal contracts \$ | 888,500 | +65 | -24 |
| Bank debits (thousands) .............. \$ | 39,151 | $-16$ | $+10$ |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. $\$$ | 25,554 | - | - 2 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 18.0 | $-18$ | $+10$ |
| Nonfarm placements | 308 | +16 | + 12 |
| PLANO: see DALLAS SMSA |  |  |  |
| PLEASANTON (pop. 4,485) |  |  |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 25,000 | $-60$ | -62 |
| Baṇk debits (thousands)............. \$ | 2,501 | - 9 |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. $\$^{\text {\% }}$ | 3.442 | - | -11 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover..... | 8.7 | - 6 |  |

## PORT ARTHUR: see BEAUMONT-PORT ARTHURORANGE SMSA

PORT ISABEL: see BROWNSVILLE-HARLINGENSAN BENITO SMSA

## PORT NECHES: see BEAUMONT-PORT ARTHUR-

 ORANGE SMSA| QUANAH (pop. 4,564) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . \$ | 4,619 | - 21 | 6 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 4,000 | -86 | - 82 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............. . 8 | 4,077 | - 15 | $-13$ |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) ¢. . $\%$ | 5,294 | + 3 | - |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 9.4 | - 15 | $-10$ |
| RAYMONDVILLE (pop. 9,385) |  |  |  |
| Postal receiptsp ${ }^{\text { }}$. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ${ }^{\text {\% }}$ | 8,443 | + 18 | + 38 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 35,100 | +119 | $-15$ |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............. \& | 18,020 | +67 | + 40 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . .8$ | 10.814 | + 30 | + 24 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 22.6 | + 36 | + 16 |
| Nonfarm placements | 18 | - 81 | -64 |

## RICHARDSON: see DALLAS SMSA

## ROBSTOWN: see CORPUS CHRISTI SMSA

## ROCKDALE (pop. 4,481)

| Postal receipts* | 4,248 | -28 | - |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | 20.100 | -48 |  | 1 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............ . | 4.497 | $-10$ | $+$ | 3 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) \$ . $\%$ | 6,340 | + 5 | + | 6 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 8.7 | - 11 | - | 3 |


| SAN JUAN (pop. 4,371) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Postal receipts* | \$ | 2,442 | $-40$ | + 17 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ | 425 | - 29 | +113 |
| Eank debits (thousands) | \$ | 2,349 | + 11 |  |
| End-of-mionth deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | \$ | 1,928 | + 14 |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover |  | 15.6 |  |  |


| Local Business Conditions | $\begin{gathered} \text { Aug } \\ \hline 194 \end{gathered}$ | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Ang 1964 $\xrightarrow{\text { from }} 1964$ | Aug 1964 from Aug 1963 |
| ROSENBERG (pop. 9,698) |  |  |  |
| Postal recelipts ${ }^{*}$ | 9,029 | $-20$ | - 6 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | 75,500 | - ${ }^{6}$ | + 66 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. \$ | 10,938 | + 25 |  |

## SAN ANGELO

Standard Metropolitan Statistical Area
(pop. 69,952 ${ }^{\text {; }}$ Tom Green ${ }^{2}$ )

| Nonfarm employment (area) | 20,350 | ** |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Manufacturing employment (area) | 3,590 |  | + 12 |
| Percent unemployed (area) | 4.6 | $-18$ | 13 |
| SAN ANGELO (pop. 58,815) |  |  |  |
| Retail sales | + ${ }^{4 \dagger}$ |  |  |
| Jewelry stores |  | + 34 |  |
|  | 86,107 | $-15$ | $+10$ |
| Building permits, fess federal contracta \$ | 391,875 |  | $-1$ |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............ | 60,719 | $-14$ |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) f.. 8 | 50,457 | $\stackrel{\text { \% }}{ }$ |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 14.4 | - 15 |  |

## SAN ANTONIO

Standard Metropolitan Statistical Area (pop. 774,1751; Bexar and Guadalupe ${ }^{2}$ )

| Nonfarm employment (area) | 216,100 | 08 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Manufacturing employment (area) | 26,125 |  |  |
| Percent unemployed (area).. | 4.5 | 2 | $-10$ |
| SAN ANTONIO (pop. 587,718) |  |  |  |
| Retail sales | $+4 \dagger$ | $+3$ | $+3$ |
| Apparel stores | + 15才 | $+15$ | $+15$ |
| Automotive stores | $+3 \dagger$ | $-12$ | $-4$ |
| Drug stores |  | - | -1 |
| Eating and drinking places | + $\mathbf{4} \dagger$ | +* | $+8$ |
| Florists |  | * | + 24 |
| Food stores | $+3 \dagger$ | + 5 | + 2 |
| Furniture and household <br> appliance stores |  |  |  |
| Gasoline and service stations | $+3 \dagger$ | $-9$ | $-11$ |
| General merchandise stores | $+16 \dagger$ | + 28 | $+17$ |
| Liquor stores |  | - 2 | + 7 |
| Lumber, building material, and hardware stores | $4 \dagger$ | $-16$ | -15 |
| Nurseries |  | $-27$ | $-3$ |
| Postal recelpts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . $\%$ | 823.863 | ** | $+4$ |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 3,532,226 | - 41 | $-12$ |
| Bank debits (thousands) . . . . . . . . . . . ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 750.264 | $-10$ | + 2 |
| Fnd-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. \$ | 448,640 | + 3 |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 20.3 | $-13$ | - 5 |
| SCHERTZ (pop. 2,281) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . \$ | 1,321 | - 35 |  |
| Bank debits (thousends) .............. \$ | 547 | - 27 |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. $\$$ | 1.162 | + 1 |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 5.7 | $-24$ |  |
| SEGUIN (pop. 14,299) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . \$ | 12,619 | 3 | + 23 |
| Butilding permits, less federal contracts \$ | 18,952 | -63 | $-61$ |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............ \$ | 13,112 | 4 |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. ${ }^{\text {\% }}$ | 15,998 | $+$ |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover..... | 10.0 | $+$ | + |

SAN BENITO: see BROWNSVILLE-HARLINGEN-SAN BENITO SMSA

SAN MARCOS (pop. 12,713)
Postal receipts" .......................
Building permits, less federal contracts
Bank debits (thousands).
End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$..
Annual rate of deposit turnover.

| 10,198 | -31 | + | 5 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 111,090 | +21 | -3 |  |
| 9,555 | - | + | 1 |
| 11,240 | + | +16 |  |
| 10,4 | - | 6 | -12 |


| Local Business Conditions <br> City and item |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { A }{ }_{19 x} \end{aligned}$ | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Aug 1964 from July 1964 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Aug } 1964 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Aug } 1968 \end{aligned}$ |
| SAN SABA (pop. 2,728) |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts**........... |  |  | 3,348 | - |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts |  | 5,000 | - 68 | +400 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ........ |  | 3,682 | - | $-14$ |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ |  | 4,650 |  |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. |  | 9.5 |  | - 10 |
| SCHERTZ: see SAN ANTONIO SMSA |  |  |  |  |
| SEAGOVILLE: see DALLAS SMSA |  |  |  |  |
| SEGUIN: see SAN ANTONIO SMSA |  |  |  |  |
| SHERMAN (pop. 24,988) |  |  |  |  |
| Retail sales |  | + ${ }^{4} \dagger$ |  |  |
| Apparel stores |  | + 15 $\dagger$ | -12 | - 12 |
| Automotive stores |  | $-10 \dagger$ | $-23$ | $-11$ |
| Postal reccipts* | \% | 34,839 | $-15$ | + 1 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts |  | 1.141,629 | - 21 | + 61 |
| Bank debits (thousands) |  | 33,187 |  | + 11 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ |  | 21,1a5 | + 1 | +12 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. |  | 18.9 | + 2 | ${ }^{4}$ |
| Nonfarm placements |  | 154 | +23 | -18 |
| SILSBEE (pop. 6,277) |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts**....... |  | 7,458 | - 23 |  |
| Building permits, less federal contrsets |  | 24,950 | - 39 | - 31 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ........... |  | 5,096 | - 10 | + 13 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. |  | 5.669 | + |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. |  | 11.0 |  | + 18 |
| SINTON (pop. 6,008) |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | . | 10,512 | + 34 | + 12 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts |  | 16,400 | - 86 | + 18 |
| Bank debits (thousands) .......... | - | 6,611 | - ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | + 14 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ |  | 5,543 | + 19 |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. |  | 15.5 | $-17$ |  |


| SLATON: see LUBBOCK SMSA |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SMITHVILLE (pop. 2,933) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . \$ | 1,641 | -45 | - 22 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 16,775 | +646 | +177 |
| Brnk debits (thousands) ........... \$ | 1,374 |  | $+10$ |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ \$ | 2,320 |  | - 5 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 7.0 |  | + 11 |
| SNYDER (pop. 13,850) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* | 12,574 | $+27$ |  |
| Buildin permits, less federal contractes | 72,900 | +149 | + 76 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............ | 18,942 |  | + 25 |
| Frd-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger .$. | 16,095 |  |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 10.2 |  | + 21 |

## SOUTH HOUSTON: see HOUSTON SMSA

## SULPHUR SPRINGS (pop. 9,160)

| Retail sales <br> Automotive stores | - 104 | - 14 | + 22 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Postal receipts* | 15,408 | $-10$ | + 21 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 114,385 | - 9 | +95 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............. ${ }^{\text {\% }}$ | 12,307 | $-4$ | * |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\dagger . . \$$ | 12,598 |  |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 11.9 | - 3 |  |
| SWEETWATER (pop. 13,914) |  |  |  |
| Retail sales |  |  |  |
| Automotive atores | - $10 \dagger$ | $-29$ | $-26$ |
| General merchandise stores | $+1.7 \dagger$ | + 5 |  |
| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . \% | 16,480 | + 3 | + 22 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 98,600 | +101 | +209 |
| Bank dehits (thousands) . . . . . . . . . . \$ | 10,691 | - 9 | - 3 |
| Erd-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger .8$ | 9,718 |  |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 18.1 | $-7$ |  |
| Nonfarm placements | 96. | $-10$ |  |


| $\mathbf{L}$ |  | Percen | change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| City and item | $\underset{1964}{\text { Aug }}$ | $\text { Aug } 1964$ $\text { July } 1964$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Aug } 196 \\ & \text { from } \end{aligned}$ |
| TAYLOR (pop. 9,434) |  |  |  |
| Retail sales |  |  |  |
| Automotive stores | - $10 \dagger$ | - 20 | + 16 |
| Postal receipts* | 6,847 | - 37 | - 31 |
| Building permits, leas federal contracts \$ | \$ 145,280 | +254 | + 15 |
| Bank debits (thousands) $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$ | 11,656 | + 32 |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. $\$$ | 15,087 | + |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 9.5 | +28 |  |
| Nonfarm placements | 57 | +33 | + 46 |
| TEMPLE (pop. 30,419) |  |  |  |
| Retail sales | + ${ }^{\dagger} \dagger$ | - | + 6 |
| Apparel stores | + 15 \% | + 15 | + 1 |
| Automotive stores | $-104$ | - | + 23 |
| Eating and drinking places | + $5{ }^{+}$ | $+$ | $\checkmark 11$ |
| Food stores |  | - 4 | $-16$ |
| Furniture and household appliance stores .... | + ${ }^{\text {¢ }}$ |  |  |
| General merchandise stores | + $17 \dagger$ | $+$ |  |
| Lumber, building material, and hardware stores |  |  |  |
| Postal reeeipts* ................... \$ | 47,550 | - 20 | $+7$ |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 1.066,488 | +88 | +271 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............ | 38,875 | $+$ | +18 |
| Nonfarm placements | 385 | +82 | + 87 |
| TERRELL (pop. 13,803) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* ${ }^{\text {a }}$. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . $\$$ | 10.089 | $-15$ | 12 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 65,750 | $-81$ | - 17 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............ 8 | 9,917 |  |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger .$. \$ | 8,636 |  |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 13.6 | - | - 11 |

## TEXARKANA

## Standard Metropolitan Statistical Area

 (pop. 62,8691; Bowie, excluding Miller, Ark. ${ }^{2}$ )| Nonfarm employment (area) | 32,050 | * | ** |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Manufacturing employment (area). | 6.880 | * |  |
| Percent unemployed (area) | 6.1 |  |  |

TEXARKANA (pop. 30,218)
Retail sales

| Furniture and household appliance stores.. |  |  | - 24 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Postal receipts* | \$ | 78,529 | + 15 |  |  |
| Buidding permits, less federal contracts | 8 | 243,753 | - 51 |  |  |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 66,282 | - 11 |  |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) fos | \$ | 19,452 | ** |  | s |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. |  | 0 | $-12$ |  |  |

TEXAS CITY: see GALVESTON-TEXAS CITY SMSA
TOMBALL: see HOUSTON SMSA

## TYLER

Standard Metropolitan Statistical Area
(pop. 92,3351; Smith ${ }^{2}$ )


| Local Business Conditions |  | Percent change <br> City and item |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |

UVALDE (pop. 10,293)

| Postal receipts* | 9,622 | - | +18 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 22,100 | - | - 55 |
| Bank debits (thousands) .............. $\$$ | 12,148 | - | +11 |
| End-of-month deposits (thoussands) \$ . \$ | 8,814 | - | - 11 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 16.2 |  |  |

## VERNON (pop. 12,141)

| Retail sales |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Automotive stores | $-104$ | $-47$ | - 24 |
| Postal receipts* . .................... \% | 9,726 | -40 | - 34 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 23,394 | $-71$ | -83 |
| Bank debits (thousands)............ \$ | 14,384 | - | + 3 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . . \$$ | 20.247 |  |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 8.7 | $-3$ | + 1 |
| Nonfarm placements | 66 | $-32$ | - 25 |

VICTORIA (pop. 33,047)

| Retail sales | + $4 \dagger$ | $+3$ |  | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Apparel stores | + 157 | + 21 |  | 8 |
| Postal receipts* . .................... \$ | 41,055 | - 11 | $+$ | 2 |
| Building pertnits, less federal contracts \$ | 399,496 | - 40 |  | 80 |
| Bank debits (thousands).............. | 74,172 | - 1 | - | 4 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . \$$ | 83,870 | $+$ | - | 5 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 10.7 | - 8 | - | 1 |
| Nonfarm placements | 633 | $+$ | + | 4 |

## WACO

## Standard Metropolitan Statistical Area (pop. 153,109 ${ }^{1}$; McLennan ${ }^{2}$ )

| Nonfarm employment (area) Manufacturing employment (area). | $\begin{aligned} & 52,400 \\ & 11,100 \end{aligned}$ |  | 1 | + 8 $+\quad 2$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percent unemployed (area) | 4.7 |  | 8 | -10 |
| McGREGOR (pop. 4,642) |  |  |  |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 30,300 | $-4$ | 10 | - 60 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............. \% | 4,884 | $+1$ |  | $+27$ |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . . \$$ | 6.500 | $+1$ |  | +3 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 9.5 |  | 6 |  |
| WACO (pop. 103,462) |  |  |  |  |
| Retail sales | + $4 \dagger$ | $+$ | 7 |  |
| Apparel stores | $+15 \dagger$ |  | 5 | 3 |
| Automotive stores | - 10¢ |  | * | -8 |
| General merchandise stores. | $+17 \dagger$ | $+2$ |  | $+11$ |
| Postal receipts* . ...................... ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 188.985 |  | * | + 7 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \% | 1,555,257 |  |  | + 18 |
| Bank debits (thotsands).............. . ${ }^{\text {S }}$ | 128,246 | - | 6 | + 3 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. \% | 83,887 | $+$ | 1 | $+19$ |
| Annual rate of deposit iurpover. | 18.5 | - | 8 |  |

WAXAHACHIE: see DALLAS SMSA

## WEATHERFORD (pop. 9,759)

| Postal receipta* | 11,226 | - 2 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Buiding permits, less federal contracts \$ | 78.050 | - 24 |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $f .$. d | 14,274 | 1 | $+3$ |

Local Business Conditions
City and ftem

|  | Percent change |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Aug 1964 Aug 1964 |  |
| Aug | from <br> from <br> 1964 <br> July 1964 | Aug 1963 |

WESLACO (pop. 15,649)
Retail sales

| Food stores | ** |  | - 11 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Postal recelpts* .................... . ${ }^{\text {\% }}$ | 9,801 | $-10$ | 7 |
| Building permits. less federal contracts \$ | 102,200 | $-75$ | - 96 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | 11,228 | $+50$ | $+1$ |
| Entl-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | 8,526 | $+21$ | +8 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 17.8 |  | 3 |

## WHITE SETTLEMENT: see FORT WORTH SMSA

## WICHITA FALLS

Standard Metropolitan Statistical Area (pop. 140,8401; Archer and Wichita ${ }^{2}$ )

| Nonfarm employment (area) | 46,900 | ** | $+2$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Manufacturing employment (area) | 4,240 | ** | + 5 |
| Percent unemployed (area)..... | 3.7 | 5 | $-20$ |
| IOWA PARK (pop. 5,000r) |  |  |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracte \$ | 28,000 | $-88$ | $-74$ |
| Bank debits (thousands).............. | 8,865 | - 8 | $+7$ |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. $\$$ | 4,358 | ** | + 11 |
| Arnual rate of deposit turnover. | 10.6 | 8 |  |

WICHITA FALLS (pop. 101,724)

| Retail sales | + $4 \dagger$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Apparel stores | + 15t | + 1 | $-2$ |
| Automotive stores | - 10¢ | $-14$ |  |
| Furniture and household appliance stores | $+34$ | 1 | $+2$ |
| General merchandise stores. | + $87 \dagger$ |  | $+18$ |
| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . \$ | 121.589 | $-10$ | 2 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | 1,936,885 | $+146$ | +153 |
| Bank debits (thousands)............. \$ | 128,163 | - 5 | $+6$ |
| End-cr-month deposits (thousands) 4 . \$ | 101,725 | * | ** |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover..... | 15.1 | 6 | + 6 |

## LOWER RIO GRANDE VALLEY

(pop. 352,0861; Cameron, Willacy, and Hjdalgo ${ }^{2}$ )

| Retail sales | $+4 \dagger$ |  | + 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Apparel stores | $+15 \dagger$ | + 4 | $+11$ |
| Automotive stores | - 10才 | - 2 | + 12 |
| Drug stores | $+3 \dagger$ | + 5 | + 4 |
| Eating and drinking places | + $5 \dagger$ | + 4 | 3 |
| Florists | , | - 9 | + 26 |
| Food stores | 4 | + 6 | 4 |
| Furniture and household appliance stores | $+3 \dagger$ | + 6 | + ${ }^{3}$ |
| Gasoline and service stations. | ** | a* | 9 |
| General merchandise stores. | $+17 \dagger$ |  | $+18$ |
| Lumber, building material, and hardware stores | $-4 \dagger$ | + 5 | +17 |
| Office, store, and school supply dealers |  | + 5 | $+25$ |
| Postal receipts* |  | - 12 | + 8 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts |  | -- 14 | 72 |
| Bank debits (thousands) |  | + 32 | + 2 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) |  | $+17$ | + 8 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover... | 23.0 | + 21 | 2 |

## BAROMETERS OF TEXAS BUSINESS

All figures are for Texas unless otherwise indicated. All indexes are based on the average months for 1957-59, except where indicated; all are adjusted for seasonal variation, except annual indexes. Employment estimates are Texas Employment Commission data in cooperation with the Bureau of Labor Statistics of the U. S. Department of Labor. The index of Texas business activity is based on bank debits in 20 cities, adjusted for price level. An asterisk (*) indicates preliminary data subject to revision. Revised data are marked (r).

|  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |

# MANAGERIAL PLANNING AND CONTROL as viewed by lower levels of supervision 

By BURNARD H. SORD, Ph.D.

and GLENN A. WELSCH, PhD., C.P.A.
The lower-level supervisor is a vital member of the manages ment team. Serving as the direct liaison betoreen uppeislevel management and the employees who actually perform the work, he is in the difficult position of having to suppert control standards and planning policies set by top management while at the same time he must cope with day-to-day personnel and production problems.

This book is the first candid report on what the man behind the job has to say about how companies are actually operated -what happens when top-level policies reach the production line. The report reveals some important differences between the attitudes of top-level managers and those of lower-level supervisors concerning company policies and practices. Well documented and carefully presented, this book should provide top-level managers in all types of organizations with concrete information on the problems of managerial planning and control.
order your copy from
BUREAU OF BUSINESS RESEARCH
(Texas residents add $2 \%$ sales tax)




[^0]:    *Charles T. Clark is Associate Professor of Business Statistics at The University of Texas. Richard M. Duvall is Assistant Profegsor of Statistics in the Graduate School of Business Adminiatration at the University of Michigan.
    ${ }^{1} \mathrm{Ko}$ Ching Shih and C. Ying, Ameriean Brewing Industry and the Beer Market, Studies of American Industries, Series No, $1_{1}$ Milwankee. 1958 .

[^1]:    *Average seasonal change from preceding month to current month
    ${ }^{* *}$ Change is less than one-half of $1 \%$.
    $\dagger$ Includes kinds of business other than classification listed.

[^2]:    HARLINGEN: see BROWNSVILLE-HARLINGEN-SAN BENITO SMSA

