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## Business Review and Prospect

An article in the September 6, 1943, issue of Barron's titled, "Should We Fear Inflation-Or Deflation," by Julius Hirsch, relates to a subject which is certain to command increasing popular thought and attention. As the ultimate outcome of the military phases of the war comes more clearly into view during the months immediately ahead, the all-engrossing problem will become that of converting the materials, factors, and forces which the war has brought into clear perspective into channels most likely to attain the objectives for which the war is being fought and for which such enormous sacrifices are being made.

Mr. Hirsch concludes: "In the writer's opinion, the danger of undesirably low prices a couple of years after the war is greater than the danger of skyrocketing prices." A few excerpts from the article will suffice to show the basis for his conclusions:
"Although the threat of post-war inflation in this country is widely accepted as a probability, the unexpected, surprising fact is that growing supplies of various raw and manufactured commodities now promise to work in the direction of deflation.
"For some two years economic students generally have stressed the 'inflationary gap' as threatening higher prices. This is the gap between the amounts of money being poured into the pockets of citizens by the Government's expenditures and the quantity of goods or services available for them to spend the money on. Since a decrease in production of civilian goods was expected, there appeared to be only two ways to close the gap: heavy taxation plus heavy sales of government bonds to everybody except the commercial banks.
"What has happened, however, is that the gap has been closed by two unexpected developments:
"In the first place, production of civilian goods has been far higher than anyone thought possible; and in the second place, private savings are growing at the highest rate in history, and they have the same effect as the payment of taxes and the purchase of government bonds.
"In 1942 the savings totaled some $\$ 40$ billion, a sum equivalent to the whole national income in J932. It is a good bet that the sum will be even higher this year, at the end of which, according to an estimate in the Department of Commerce monthly Survey of Current Business individuals and corporations in this country will own cash, bank deposits, and government bonds amounting to $\$ 100$ billion. . . .
"Now many students argue that these savings, so to speak, represent a kind of deferred inflation. They expect that this accumulation of cash or its equivalent will burst into the market like a torrent the day after the war ends. Since, according to this view the market will be depleted of goods, the price level will skyrocket. Adding to the force behind the explosion will be expenditures by corporations for reconversion and a heavy demand from abroad for American products.
"I doubt both underlying assumptions; neither will
the public spend its savings like a drunken sailor, nor will supplies be so short as many now believe. . . .
"When the first plans for an all-out war economy were drafted after Pearl Harbor, everyone was convinced that civilian consumption would have to be reduced drastically. That was when we were promised a standard of living no better than in the worst depression times, and we all accepted this forecast as inevitably correct. ${ }^{\text {a }}$. .
"What has happened is that while production of durable civilian goods-goods like automobiles-has shrunk very sharply; the loss had been more than made up by an enormous expansion of non-durable goods production and consumption. Inventories accumulated prior to the war could not possibly have been sufficient to permit expenditures on the present scale.
"Thus the available supply, both during and after the war, has been hitherto greatly underestimated. . . .
"Reports of department stores may be considered as representative of what will happen to things other than food. Already, in June before the Army wool deferment, they reported their suppliers much more willing and able to fill orders than two months earlier. Based on this fact and their available inventories, they flatly denied the probability of a twenty per cent reduction in civilian supply, saying that the most they expected was a decline of one or two per cent.
"As for food, the Department of Agriculture estimates total production to be five per cent higher in 1943 than in the bumper crop year of 1942. After deducting needs of the armed forces and lend lease, the per capita consumption in 1942 was reported to have been three or four per cent higher than in the pre-war normal year of 1939. It is now officially estimated that in 1943-44 consumption may fall by the same percentage below 1939."

Only in durable civilian goods such as automobiles, furniture, and materials for residential building construction is there a real shortage and one which is likely to continue for a considerable period of time according to the writer quoted above. But even with respect to these types of products, he believes it will not be long after the war is over until America can produce all that Americans are likely to want to buy, when several million men from the armed services become available and diversion is completed from the production of war material to the production of civilian goods.
While recognizing fully the anti-inflationary force of our enormous productive power, it may still be well to keep in mind the tremendous inflationary potentialities which have been developed in the past two years. It may be well to recall the unanimous declaration of the Federal Reserve Board and Advisory Council in January, 1941, that control (or regulation) of the general price level could be effected not by one or two measures, but only by a combination of non-inflationary borrowing, severe taxation of all the pcople, restriction of credit uses, reform of certain phases of monetary Laws, and "prevention of industrial and labor bottlenecks."

## The Bases of Productive Capacity in Texas

A more fundamental approach to the question of whether there will be post-war inflation or deflation is an analysis of the capacity of the nation to produce; and an analysis of the total productive power of the nation must of necessity be based upon an analysis of the major natural regions which compose it, together with the natural resources of these regions and the economic developments built thereon.
The Bureau of Business Research of The University of Texas is a pioneer in the work of scientific regional analyses, in the analysis of the natural resources associated with these regions, and in the study of industry developments based upon the utilization of these resources. In manuscript form the Bureau now has a study on Industry Possibilities in Texas; and well advanced is another study dealing with natural regions and natural resources of Texas. During the past several years articles have appeared in the Review outlining some of the larger features of these fundamental research studies; and during the coming year a series of articles on the natural resources of Texas, based upon studies which are being carried on in the Bureau, will appear in the Review. These articles will be followed by monographs dealing in greater detail with these subjects. Thus, this Bureau is prepared to make significant contributions to a constructive program for the solution of post-war economic problems if and when such a program is undertaken.
Along with these basic studies of regions, natural resources, and industry possibilities, the Bureau has well advanced in manuscript form quantitative economic studies on income by counties, costs of living in typical Texas communities, and a wide range of basic statistical data for all counties of the state from 1900 to 1940.
It remains for the Ieadership of the state to develop a comprehensive policy for dealing with post-war problems, a policy which will include a concrete program of action based upon the natural resources of the state in conjunction with such factors as technologic advancement and industrial and financial organizing ability essential to the most advantageous utilization of Texas natural resources. To the extent that Texas succeeds in mobilizing its vast potential productive power, to that extent will it contribute in a practical way toward preventing inflation and toward promoting the living conditions of the people of the State. If similar action is taken in other states and in other regions of the nation, a powerful deterrent will have been created, guarding not only against the immediate menace of inflation, bat more basically against the depressing influence of a high public debt, the servicing of which must be counted upon to continue for decades to come. Only with a permanently much higher level of national income than that which prevailed during pre-war years can a standard of living be developed in keeping with the aspirations of our democracy. This national income must, of course, be represented not merely by a higher level of dollar income, but rather by a correspondingly higher level in the quantity of available goods.

## Current Business Activity in Texas

The trend of industry and trade in Texas is again sharply upward. Pay rolls rose sharply from July to August and are now approximately forty per cent above the high Ievel of a year ago. Employment gained also, but only moderately, indicating that wage rates, Ionger hours, and extra pay for overtime are still the dominant factors in the rise in pay rolls.

## AUGUST INDEXES OF BUSINESS ACTIVITY IN TEXAS

Average month of $1930=100 \%$

| August, 1943 | Auglst, 1943 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 127.4 | 149.3 |
|  | 187.1 | 244.1 |
| Miscellaneous Fireight Carloadings (Southwest District) 119.4 | 121.1 | 125.4 |
| Runs of Crude OiJ to Stills------.... 215.3 | 182.5* | 198.3* |
| Deprartment Store Sales ...-...-----...-- 210,8 | 156.8 | 207.7 |
| Electric Power Consumption .-.-. $-1 . . .235 .2$ | 186.9* | 237.2 |
|  | 155.7* | 189.7* |

## *Rcvised.

Other factors in the business index such as department store sales, electric power consumption, and miscellaneous freight carloadings showed comparatively little change from July to August after adjustment for seasonal influences. Rans of crude oil to stills, however, showed the first substantial gain in some months.

## Farm. Cash Income

Farm cash income in Texas during August totalled $\$ 127,000,000$ as computed by this Bureau, an increase over the $\$ 80,000,000$ during the corresponding month last year of more than seventy-one per cent. Aggregate cash income for the current year through August was $\$ 590,000,000$, an increase over the $\$ 416,000,000$ during the corresponding period last year of nearly forty-two per cent.
INDEXES OF AGRICULTURAL CASH INCOME IN TEXAS


[^0]The bulk of the huge increase in farm cash income over August last year is the result of the unusually large percentage of the year's cotton ginnings during August because of the rapid maturing of the crop-a condition brought about by the dry, hot weather throughout the month of August. Inasmuch as the hot, dry weather has continued during September, ginnings have continued high, and, therefore, a high level of farm cash income may be expected during the current month: As a consequence of the high rate of ginnings during the early part of the cotton marketing season this year, it follows that ginnings during the latter part of the season will fall off sharply compared with last year, since the estimated current crop is smaller than the crop harvested a year ago. Farm cash income from cotton during November and December will, therefore, be less than during these months last year, and it is doubtful if other sources of farm income will increase sufficiently to more than offset the decline in income from cotton. Farm prices for most products still show a substantial margin of gain over a year ago, however; anid this fact will contribute to the maintenance of a higher level of income over last year, even if marketings fall to the level of a year ago.

## Trend of Farm Casif Income in Texas

Farm cash income in Texas has shown marked variation during the years 1927 to 1942 inclusive, and the current year will show the greatest increase over the average in the history of the state.

In 1928 , the total computed income for the state was approximately $\$ 840,000,000$; in 1932 it had decreased to $\$ 296,000,000$; and in 1942 it had risen to $\$ 932,000,000$. Our estimate for the current year is $\$ 1,200,000,000$. These figures are all the result of computations based on actual marketings and reported farm prices. These computations represent an understatement of approxi-
mately six per cent, since complete records of truck transportation to local markets are not obtainable. The figures listed, moreover, include only the cash income from marketings and do not include the subsidies which have been paid by the Federal Government beginning in 1933.

The following table contains a resumé of the computed farm cash income for the state as a whole and for each of the State's crop reporting districts. While the general pattern of a sharp decrease in farm cash income during the late ' 20 's and early ' 30 's occurred in the State and in each of the districts, followed by a slow and erratic upward tendency through 1940, significant changes are to be noted in the size of the cash income between the beginning and the end of the fifteen-year period among the various districts.

For example, the cash income in districts $2,4,5$, and 8 was much greater during the late ' 20 's than in the early ' 40 's, while the reverse was true in varying degrees in the remaining districts. Decline in cash income occurred primarily in the older colton areas of the State; whereas, rise in income occurred in districts where livestock are dominant and where new types of crops, fruits and vegetables have been growing in importance. As the old cotton districts develop livestock and new crop enterprises such as peanuts, fruits, vegetables, and the like, the unfavorable showing they have made during the past decade will tend gradually to disappear. Some of the best work now being done in agricultural rehabilitation is to be found in these older agricultural districts of the State and the results are becoming apparent in a large way. Much remains to be done and doubtless much will be done along this line in the years to come as cancrete and practical educational programs are developed.

F. A. Buechel.

## TREND OF FARM CASH INCOME IN TEXAS, 1927-1942

| Distriet | 1927 | 1928 | 1929 | 1930 | 1931 | 1932 | 1933. | 1934 | 1935 | 1936 | 1937 | 1938 | 1939 | 1940 | 1941 | 1942 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1-N | 53,504 | 63,236 | 65,594 | 50,058 | 30,785 | 20,668 | 21,515 | 26,337 | 19,164 | 27,194 | 52,222 | 38,878 | 36,822 | 39,485 | 44,586 | 85,968 |
| 1-S | 42,861 | 36,501 | 47,515 | 28,119 | 22,703 | 22,132 | 25,616 | 16,436 | 25,209 | 37,304 | 57,331 | 37,109 | 35,421 | 38,712 | 71,890 | 96,377 |
| 2 | 139,701 | 109,287 | 95,588 | 45,34:3 | 37,509 | 46,710 | 60,652 | 34,978. | 55,965 | 47,345 | 63,107 | 50,212 | 42,481 | 51,937 | 106,844 | 124,352 |
| 3 | 35,827 | 39,218 | 33,779 | 21,541 | 15,553 | 12,792 | 15,999 | 16,282 | 23,935 | 21,539 | 28,402 | 22,406 | 21,449 | 23,360 | 29,300 | 51,361 |
| 4 | 181,320 | 195,058 | 173,571 | 104,797 | 83,338 | 64,617 | 81,149 | 84,849 | 85,883 | 115,088 | 114,677 | 86,209 | 81,888 | 86,497 | 117,05! | 162,702 |
| 5 | 111,992 | J.16,545 | 89,245 | 53,177 | 37,340 | 30,118 | 37,398 | 41,799 | 44,236 | 48,520 | 47,874 | 35,787 | 37,742 | 39,299 | 36,700 | 61,405 |
| 6 | 25,115 | 24,758 | 27,977 | 22,224. | 12,033 | 7,687 | 10,480 | 15,004 | 12,219 | 17,293 | 21,383 | 23,666 | 26,903 | 26,663 | 34,997 | 49,033 |
| 7 | 52,063 | 59,954 | 51,541 | 33,625 | 25,332 | 17,135 | 28,147 | 2'7,720 | 28,556 | 36,032 | 49,128 | 32,404 | 35,459 | 44,665 | 53,710 | 70,267 |
| 8 | 90,196 | 104,596 | 71,906 | 76,472 | 40,646 | 33,767 | 43,573 | 49,630 | 43,312 | 40,913 | 59,716 | 46,076 | 41,304 | 38,629 | 55,003 | 87,265 |
| 9 | 43,227 | 52,385 | 31,239 | 38,957 | 26,777 | 18,311 | 23,088 | 25,528 | 25,278 | 26,974 | 34,586 | 28,704 | 31,081 | 38,750 | 43,140 | 68,613 |
| 10 | 18,690 | 25,279 | 25,492 | 16,801 | 11,321 | 8,483 | 9,188 | 10,605 | 12,229 | 12,517 | 16,244 | 13,077 | 13,665 | 11,078 | 14,452 | 24,373 |
| 10-A | 13,500 | 22,729 | 24,028 | 23,662 | 13,513 | 13,096 | 10,038 | 13,709 | 12,557 | 19,152 | 34,564 | 27,776 | 27,929 | 23,317 | 26,955 | 49,995 |
| STATE | 807,996 | 849,546 | 737,475 | 514,776 | 356,855 | 295,516 | 366,843 | 362,877 | 388,539 | 449,871 | 579,235 | 442,30 | 432,144 | 462,392 | 634,628 | 931,711 |

## Cotton Under Post-War Influence

Post-war factors and forces are rapidly becoming major causes of price movements in the cotton market. The discovery and interpretation of these forces in terms of prices are of major concern to those vitally affected by cotton price movements.

The pattern of cotton price movements during the closing months of the first World War and the period that followed were briefly as follows. The high of cotton prices prior to the Armigtice on November 11, 1918, came in September, 1918, 'when the monthly average of New Orleans spot cotton prices for middling 7/8 inch was 33.22 cents. From November to April the trend of cotton prices was downward and reached an average for April, 1919, of 26.70 cents. From April, 1919, to April, 1920, the trend of cotton prices was rather sharply upward and reached a high in April, 1920, when New Orleans spot cotton averaged 41.41 cents. Finally from April, 1920, to June, 1921, there was a long precipitous decline in the price of cotton to an average for the latter month of 11.03 cents per pound. These data show that the pre-Armistice high price for cotton was reached two months before fighting ceased, and that the Armistice was followed by a continuous decline in the price of cotton in an adjustment period of five months, or through April, 1919. Beginning in May, 1919, the postwar boom carried the price of cotton upward for a year, or through April, 1920. The boom year was followed by a precipitous price decline in cotton which began in May, 1920, and ended in June, 1921, or in the middle of the first post-war depression year.
Is it probable that cotton prices just preceding and following the close of this war will take the same general pattern as outlined above? At least there will be many somewhat similar conditions and forces tending in that direction. (1) There will be great pent-up buying power in this country and in most neutral countries. (2) There is accumulating a correspondingly great shortage in civilian cotton goods the world over. (3) Even though government borrowings have been much greater than in the first World War, inflation has not reached anything like the heights attained during and following that wár. (4) So far, duxing this war cotton prices have advanced more than the general level of wholesale prices but not at all in proportion to the advance over the general price level during and after the first World War. (5) World production of cotton has de-
clined about sixteen per cent as a result of this war; but during the first World War, the decline amounted to about twenty-five per cent. (6) The information to date is that comparatively little of the world's capacity to manufacture cotton has been destroyed.

In spite of the above more or less parallel conditions, the whole situation in relation to cotton and post-war cotton prices is vitally different from what it was at the close of the first World War. (1) Prices of United States grown cotton are now relatively much higher than foreign growths of cotton. (2) The policy of the Federal Government to support farm commodity prices such as cotton with loans is of tremendous importance. (3) Ceiling prices will undoubtedly be used to prevent important advances in cotton prices. (4) Foreign countries now produce about fifty-eight per cent of the world's supply of cotton whereas prior to the first World War they produced only about thirty per cent of it. (5) There is a relatively large carryover of world cotton predominantly low grade, short staple which will be urgently seeking markets. (6) The great improvement in the quality and world capacity to produce large quantities of synthetic fibers cheaply is a factor of major importance. (7) The business structure of the world has been much more greatly disturbed during this war than the first World War, and private trading will be much more difficult to develop on a world basis. (8) The use to be made of "lend-lease" or some other United States Government device to enable United States cotton to flow into foreign manufacturing countries will weigh heavily. (9) Price controls and rationing will probably be continued for some time after fighting ceases. (10) Finally, the sort of peace established will be especially important in determining the price movements of cotton for the long pull.

All of these facts and forces add up to a few sound conclusions: First, the future of cotton price movements is highly unpredictable; second, cotton now has relatively much higher debt paying ability than commodity buying power, thus an item bought on credit after 1929 can be paid for now with less than half the cotton required when it was bought. It is good business to pay as many debts as possible and buy as few commodities as possible.

COTTON BALANCE SHEET FOR THE UNITED STATES AS OF SEPTEMBER 1
(In Thousands of Running Bales Except as Noted)


## EMPLOYMENT AND PAY ROLLS IN TEXAS

|  |  | August | 943 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Estimated <br> Workers | Number af Employed* |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Change } \\ \text { from } \end{gathered}$ | Ealimated A Weetly $\mathbf{P}$ | Amount of Pay Roll |  | e Chynge |
| ${ }_{1}^{\text {July }}$ (194 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ ) |  | $\underset{\substack{\text { July, } \\ 1943}}{ }$ |  |  |  | ${ }_{\substack { \text { che } \\ \begin{subarray}{c}{\text { Junly, } \\ 1943{ \text { che } \\ \begin{subarray} { c } { \text { Junly, } \\ 1 9 4 3 } }\end{subarray}}$ |  |
| MANUFACTURING |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All Manufacturing Industries _-...165,322 | 165,673 | + 0.9 | + 2.8 | \$5,159,220 \$ | \$5,218,437 | $+5.0$ | +27.9 |
| Food Products |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 7,865 | - 1.0 | $+3.3$ | 243,847 | 241,142 | $-1.1$ | $+26.2$ |
| Carbonated Beverages ...-------- 4,026 | 4,191 | + 4.1 | +44.1 | 114,044 | 120,669 | 1.8 +5.8 | +43.1 |
|  | 1,196 | $+16.0$ | $+19.1$ | 11,777. | 14,582 | +23.8 | +40.9 |
| Flour Milling ------------------------2,--258 | 2,299 | +1.8 | +19.1 | 63,307\% | \%. 63,871 | +0.9 +0.9 | +66.3 |
| Ice Cream ----------------------1,520 | 1,551 | + 2.0 $+\quad 3$ | + 2.0 | 36,872 ${ }^{\text {\% }}$ | : 40,406 | + 9.6 | +25.2 |
|  | 6,100 | $+3.3$ | $-4.5$ | 193,873 | 212,863 | + 9.8 | +18.1 |
| Textiles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cotton Textile Mills .-...---------6, 6081 | 5,923 | $-2.6$ | $-15.3$ | 127,216 | 125,951 | $-1.0$ | $-8.2$ |
| Men's Work Clothing -.------........ 4,777 | 4,332 | - 9.3 | - 14.8 | 79,754 | 67,458 | $-15.4$ | $-6.2$ |
| Forest Products |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1,692 | $+7.4$ | $-15.3$ | 36,105 | 38,024 | + 5.3 | +18.9 |
| Planing Mills .--------------------3, 2,103 | 2,086 | - 0.8 | -20.0 | 52,280 | 57,416 | + 9.8 | - 22.1 |
| Sas Mills ---------------15,437 | 15,600 | $+1.1$ | -7.5 | 263,317 | 291,415 | $+10.7$ | +18.9 |
| Paper Boxes ------------------10 | 969 | + 6.4 | +63.3 | 18,330 | 21,207 | +15.7 | $+96.2$ |
| Printing and Publishing |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2,344 | $-1.9$ | $-4.7$ | 73,918 | 73,718 | -0.3 | +19.5 |
| Newspaper Publishing .------------1,156 | 4,044 | $-2.7$ | $-6.6$ | 116,168 | 114,741 | $\bigcirc 1.2$ | + 5.6 |
| Chemical Products |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cotion Oil Mills .-..................- 2,128 | 2,585 | $+21.5$ | $-1.2$ | 29,002 | 38,157 | $+31.5$ | +27.7 |
| Petroleum Refining .--------...-.... 22,543 | 22,524 | - 0.1 | - 0.4 | 1,236,287 | 1,334,939 | + 8.0 | + 48.9 |
| Stone and Clay Products |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Brick and Tile ...---.------------1,629 | 1,562 | - 4.2 | $-24.8$ | 26,836 | 26,279 | $-2.1$ | $-18.8$ |
| Cement -----------------------1,156 | 1,146 | - 0.9 | -14.9 | 43,339 | 40,206 | $-7.2$ | -19.0 |
| Iron and Steel Products |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Structural and Omamental Iron--- 2,853 | 2,865 | $+0.4$ | $+2.2$ | 80,200 | 85,729 | $+6.9$ | +25.2 |
| NONMANUFACTURING |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crude Petroleum Production---- 25,559 | 25,761 | $+0.8$ | $-3.3$ | 1,277,079 | 1,296,368 | $+1.5$ | +29.5 |
| Quarrying --.-..----------------- (3) | (3) | $-0.7$ | -18.5 | (3) | (3) | + 1.6 | -0.1 |
| Public Utilities ------------------13) | (3) | + 2.2 | +8.3 | (3) | (3) | +13.7 | +28.5 |
| Retail Trade | 213,556 | - 0.1 | +15.2 | 4,486,840 | 4,572,188 | + 1.9 | +22.2 |
| Wholesale Trade --...----------61,934 | 60,877 | $-1.7$ | -8.9 | 2,184,709 | 2,237,556 | + 2.4 | + 6.4 |
| Dyeing and Cleaning ---------------18,-30 | 2,951 | + 0.7 | +9.6 | 61,404 | 61,752 | + 0.5 | +39.6 |
| Hotels | 19,411 | + 5.0 | +21.6 | 296,242 | 309,613 | + 4.5 | + 52.8 |
| Power Laundries .------------------14,440 | 14,805 | + 2.5 | + 0.6 | 234,333. | 228,987 | $-2.3$ | +11.4 |

CHANGES IN EMPLOYMENT AND PAY ROLLS IN SELECTED CITIES


## ES'TIMATED NUMBER OF EMPLOYEES IN NONAGRICULTURAL BUSINESS AND GOVERNMENT ESTABLISHMENTS ${ }^{(*)}$

|  | 19411 ${ }^{(1)}$ | $1942{ }^{(1)}$ | 1943 |  | $1941{ }^{(1)}$ | 1942(1) | 1943 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| January | ---------- 1,094,000 | 1,170,000 | 1,360,000 ${ }^{(1)}$ | July | 1,156,000 | 1,317,000 |  |
| February | ---- 1,120,000 | 1,199,000 | 1,367,000 ${ }^{(1)}$ | August | 1,176,000 | 1,352,000 |  |
| March .- | -------1,120,000 | 1,226,000 | 1,384,000 ${ }^{(1)}$ | September | 1,203,000 | 1,373,000 |  |
| April | - 1,114,000 | 1,222,000 | 1,402,000 ${ }^{(4)}$ | October | 1,219,000 | 1,384,000 |  |
| May | 1,120,000 | 1,251,000 | 1,427,000 ${ }^{\text {(4) }}$ | November | 1,219,000 | 1,389,000 |  |
| June | . $1,134,000$ | 1,291,000 | 1,448,000 ${ }^{(2)}$ | December | 1,222,000 | 1,413.700 |  |

*Does not include proprietors, firm members, officers of corporations, or other principal executives. Fectory employment excluden aluo officen, zales, technical and (1) profersional personnel.
(2) Repined.
(2) Subject to revision.
(4) Nat arallable.
${ }^{(4)}$ No chatus.
(c) Baged on unweighted fgnres.

Not including aelf-employod persont, capual workers, or domeatic servante, and excluaive of millitary and maritime persongel,
Prepared from reports from representative Texas eatablishmente to the Bureau of Bualnesp Research cotiperating with tho Bureau of Labor Statiotica.
Due to the national emergency, publications of data for certain induatriee is belng withleld antil further notice.

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Producto and Year } \\ \text { CREAMERY BUTTER } \\ (1000 \mathrm{lb} .) \end{gathered}$Jan. | DAIRY PRODUCTS MANUFACTURED IN PLANTS IN TEXAS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Feb. | Maroh | $A_{\text {arzil }}$ | May | Jumo | Joly | Aus. | Sept. | Oot. | Nov. | Dea. | total |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3,001 | 2,724 | 3,446 | 4,740 | 4,275 | 4,057 | 3,452 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2,076 | 2,131 | 3,311 | 4,396 | 4,353 | 3,937 | 3,684 | 3,640 | 3,343 | 2,659 | 2,241 | 38,066 |
| 1930-39 average --.---....... 2,074 | 2,109 | 2,392 | 3,138 | 3,556 | 3,166 | 4,113 | 2,867 | 2,513 | 2,608 | 2,301 | 2,211 | 32,048 |
| ICE CREAM (1000 gal.) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1,218 | 1,408 | 1,823 ${ }^{\dagger}$ | 2,327 ${ }^{\text {¢ }}$ | 2,391 ${ }^{+}$ | 2,758 ${ }^{\dagger}$ | 2,763 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1942* --------------145 | 700 | 1,014 | 1,312. | 1,812 | -2,305 | 2,476 | 2,324 | 1,838 | 1,585 | 1,323 | 1,046 | 16,089 |
| 1930-39 average --------1.015 | 1,262 | 434 | 570 | 752 | 893 | 904 | 846 | 686 | 460 | 259 | 205 | 6,486 |
| AMERICAN CHEESE ( 1000 lb .) | \% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1,025 | 1,108 | 1,633 | 2,120 | 1,943 | 1,896 | 1,405 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1942* $\qquad$ 1,308 | 1,302 | 1,644. | 2,204 | 2,756 | 2,674 | 2,590 | 2,048 | 1,649 | 1,184 | 713 | 735 | 20,717 |
| 1930-39 average .-.----- 554 | 590 | 737 | 1,050 | 1,215 | 1,129 | 1,119 | 1,025 | 866 | 852 | 718 | 641 | 10,496 |
| MILK EQUIVALENT OF DAIRY PRODUCTS $\ddagger$ ( 1000 lb .) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1943* -----------7-------------98,377 | 90,422 | 88,540 | 115,788 | 154,491 | 142,700 | 143,120 | 124,558 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 77,913 57,139 | 83,621 67,456 | 105,047 89,641 | 148,707 104,323 | 145,064 | 145,868 97,075 | 131,841 89,185 | -119,279 | 104,273 73,444 | 83,502 60,119 | 72,806 55,872 | 1,237,136 |

-Estintates of production made by the Bureau of Buainesa Researoh.
-Milk equivalent of dairy producte was calculated from preduction data by the Burcau of Busincsa Redeareh.
tiveludes ice cream, sherberts, icoa, eto
Nort: 10 -Year Average production of creamory butter, ice crcam and Amerigan Cheese bssed on data frem the Division of Agricultural Statigtict, B.A.E.
AUGUST, 1943, CARLOAD MOVEMENTS OF POULTRY AND EGGS Shipments from Texas Stations


Carload Shipments of Poultry and Eggs to Out-of-State Points During the Month of August, 1941-43

| - | 1913 | 1942 | 1991 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Carloads Shipped |  |  |  |
| Chickens | 12 | 33 | 15 |
| Turkeys | 3 | 13 | 4 |
|  | 15 | 46 | 19 |
| Shell Eggs | 0 | 1 | 47 |
|  | 21 | 21 | 58 |
| Dried Egge | 96 | 91 | 64 |
| Shell Egg Equivalent | 810 | 771 | 675 |

*The deatination above in the firat deatination an shown by the original waybill, Changes in destination brousht about by diveraion factors are not ahown. tDried eurs and froxen egge are convertod to a athell-egt equivalent on the following thatis: 1 rail carload of dried dags $=8$ carioads of shell egg, and 1 earload of frozen ester $=2$ carlosidy of shell egg N .
 carload ohipments of poultry and oggs. The data are compiled by the Burean of Businger. Regearch.

| AUGUST SHIPMENTS OF LIVE STOCK CONVERTED TO A RAIL-CAR BASIS* |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1942 |  | 1942 | 1943 | 1948 | 1943 | ${ }_{1912}$ | 1945 | 1942 |
| Total Interstate Plus Fort Worth | 4,365 | 5,382 | 1,163 | 1,512 | 1,326 | 948 | 2,242 | 1,619 | 9,096 | 9,461 |
| Total Intrastate Omitting Fort Worth | 306 | 543 | 130 | 82 | 57 | 23 | 1.90 | 146 | 683 | 794 |
| TOTAL SIIPMENTS ........ | 4,671 | 5,925 | 1,293 | 1,594 | 1,383 | 971 | 2,432 | 1,765 | 9,779 | 10,255 |

TEXAS CAR-LOT* SHIPMENTS OF LIVE STOCK FOR YEAR 1943

|  | Catto |  | Caives |  | Hoga |  | Sheep |  | ${ }^{\text {Tatal }}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | ${ }^{1948}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Total Interstate Plus Fort Worth | 39,958 | 37,842 |  |  | 5,531 | 6,907 | 11,500 | 8,136 | 9,055 | 7,762 | 66,044 | 60,647 |
| Total Intrastate Omitting Fort Wo | 5,631 | 4,051 | 1,438 | 819. | 525 | 180 | 583 | 410 | 8,177 | 5,460 |
| TOTAL SHIPMENTS -.------- | 45,589 | 41,893 | 6,969 | 7,726 | 12,025 | 8,316 | 9,638 | 8,172 | 74,221 | 66,107 |

${ }^{*}$ Rail-gar Banio: Cattee, 30 head per car' calven, 60; hogs, 80; atad sheep, 250.
Fort Worth shipmentr are combined with interstate forwardinga in order that tho bulk of market dianpparance for the month may be chown.
Nort: Theno data are furnisbed tho United Statea Bureau of Agricultural Eeonomicr by railway officiale through moro than 1,500 station agents, represeatiug overy inve atook shipping point to the State. The data sre compiled by the Bureau of Buiness Reneerch.

## AUGUST RETAIL SALES OF INDEPENDENT STORES IN TEXAS


*Group iotal includes kinds of business other than the claselfication Iisted.
Propared from toports of independent retail stores to the Burcau of Buaiteas Regearch, codiperating with the U.S. Burond of the Census.

## AUGUST CREDIT RATIOS IN TEXAS DEPARTMENT AND APPAREL STORES

 (Expressed in Per Cent)|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { Stores } \\ & \text { Reporting } \end{aligned}$ | Ratio of Credit Sales to Net Sales |  | Retio of Collections to Outatabdinge |  | Ratio of Credit Salaries to Credit Sale |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1943 | 1942 | 1943 | 1942 | 1943 | 1942 |
| All Stores | 56 | 47.8 | 54.0 | 61.0 | 53.1 | 1.2 | 1.2 |
| Stores Grouped by Cities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Austin | 6 | 41.4 | 48.0 | 72.3 | 61.0 | 1.3 | 1.7 |
| Bryan | 3 | 36.0 | 46.5 | 77.2 | 49.8 | 1.6 | 5.9 |
| Dallas | 8 | 58.7 | 64.0 | 61.3 | 55.8 | 0.9 | 0.8 |
| El Paso | 3 | 38.2 | 41.4 | 63.6 | 50.3 | 1.7 | 1.6 |
| Fort Worth | 5 | 44.5 | 54,4 | 53.9 | 50.3 | 1.3 | 1.3 |
| Houston. | 8 | 45.3 | 58.4 | 56.7 | 48.3 | 1.7 | 1.6 |
| San Antonio | 4 | 35.9 | 38.8 | 64.7 | 57.0 | 1.7 | 2.1 |
| Waco | 5 | 49.8 | 51.0 | 58.7 | 46.5 | 1.2 | 1.1 |
| All Others | 14 | 44.4 | 48.9 | 70.4 | 56.3 | 1.1 | 1.5 |
| Stores Grouped According to Typer of Gtore: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 20 | 46.4 | 54.3 | 62.2 | 54.5 | 1.3 | 1.3 |
| Department Stores (Annual Volume under \$500,000) ......... .-.-....-- | 8 | 42.5 | 41.0 | 58.4 | 50.8 | 1.6 | 1.9 |
|  | 2 | 41.2 | 48.6 | 66.1 | 54.9 | 2.1 | 2.1 |
| Women's Specialty Shops. | 12 | 53,9 | 53.9 | 53.5 | 48.4 | 0.7 | 0.6 |
| Men's Clothing Stores--- | 14 | 47.5 | 57.2 | 63.8 | 53.6 | 1.7 | 1.6 |
| Stores Grouped According to Volume of Net Sáles During 19M2: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | J4 | 48.7 | 58.7 | 65.0 | 55.6 | 1.3 | 1.4 |
| \$2,500,000 down to \$1,000,000 ..--- | 11 | 48.8 | 53.9 | 60.9 | 55.1 | 1.3 | 1.1 |
| \$1,000,090 down to $\$ 500,000$--.----- | 6 | 38.4 | 47.3 | 70.9 | 60.1 | 1.9 | 1.5 |
|  | 25 | 36.2 | 41.7 | 66.0 | 55.0 | 3.2 | 3.4 |

[^1]
## AUGUST RETAIE SALES OF INDEPENDENT STORES IN TEXAS

| (By Districts) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number of Establiahments Reporting | Percentage Changes |  |  |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Aug., } 1943 \\ & \text { sug } \end{aligned}$ | Ana., 1943 | Year 1943 |
|  |  | Aug., 1942 | July, 1943 | Yeart 1942 |
| TOTAL TEXAS .-.---.-. | 959 | $+23$ | $+8$ | +3I |
| TEXAS STORES |  |  |  |  |
| GROUPED BY |  | $\mathrm{ESO}_{4}$ |  |  |
| PRODUCING AREAS: |  | 8 CB 8 |  |  |
| District 1-N | 66 | 78 | $+8$ | +31 |
| Amarillo ---.-......... | 23. | $-10$ | + 7 | $+40$ |
| Plainview | 13 | +23 | +9 | +26 |
| All Others | 30 | +21 | +9 | +24 |
| District l-S .....------- | 27 | $+32$ | $+10$ | $+43$ |
| Lubbock | 13 | +22 | + 4 | +42 |
| All Others | 14 | $+57$ | $+25$ | $+48$ |
| District 2 --.-------..... | --.-.. 78 | +11 | +3 | +26 |
| Abilene F-- | --- 13 | + 9 | $+$ | $+40$ |
| Wichita Falls .-.-. | --. 12 | $-1$ | + 3 | $+19$ |
| All Others .--- | 54 | +28 | $+6$ | +23 |
| District 3 --------------- | --- 35 | $+24$ | + 7 | +24 |
| District 4 ----------- | 223 | +29 | - 5 | +39 |
| Corsicana ---------- | $\cdots \quad 10$ | $+21$ | $+1$ | +21 |
| Dallas | 36 | $+37$ | +12 | +48 |
| Fort Worth .-.-.-...-- | --- 24 | $+20$ | $+6$ | $+34$ |
| Sherman | 11 | +88 | -4 | +13 |
| Temple | 15 | +24 | -3 | +32 |
| Waco | 21 | $+27$ | +8 | + 52 |
| All Others .--------- | ----- 106 | $+26$ | $+4$ | $+29$ |
| District 5 ...-------- | --. 100 | +24 | +7 | $+24$ |
| District 6 .-.-. | ----- 35 | $+21$ | + 5 | +26 |
| El Paso -........------ | --- 18 | $+2 \mathrm{~L}$ | + 5 | +25 |
| All Others | 17 | $+13$ | - 4 | +33 |
|  | 51 | +24 | + 7 | +21 |
| San Angelo .--------- | --- 12 | $+31$ | +14 | +29 |
| All Others --------- | ----- 39 | +15 | $-1$ | $+19$ |
| District 8 ------------ | ---- 159 | $+15$ | +14 | +26 |
| Austin ...----------- | 15 | -37 | $-5$ | +17 |
| San Antonio .-.--- | --- 49 | $+18$ | +3 | +31 |
| All Others .-.......--- | 95 | $+45$ | +28 | +18 |
| District 9 ...--...------- | ----. 113 | +32 | $+13$ | +27 |
| Beaumont ------------ | ----. 10 | $+37$ | $+11$ | +46 |
| Houston --...---------- | ---- 51 | + 34 | +15 | +26 |
| All Others ......-....... | .-.... 53 | +23 | $+9$ | + 26 |
|  | ------ 27 | $+39$ | $+5$ | $+35$ |
| District 10-A | 42 | $+12$ | -2 | +27 |
| Brownsville | 9 | $+24$ | - 2 | + 56 |
| All Others ............- | --.-. 33 | $+8$ | - | +24 |

${ }^{(1)}$ Cliange of leas than $.5 \%$.
Note: Prepared from reports of independent tetail stores to the Hareate of Business Research, coöperating with the U.S. Bureatu of the Consus.

TEXAS COMMERCIAL FAILURES

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ausuat } \\ 1943 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { August } \\ 1942 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { July } \\ & 1943 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number | 0 | 8 | 0 |
| Liabilities* | 0 | 72 | 0 |
| Assets* | 0 | 52 | 0 |
| Average liabilities per failure* | 0 | 9 | 0 |

## PETROLEUM

Daily Average Production (In Barrels)

|  | August, <br> 1943 | August. <br> 1942 | July, |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Coastal Texas |  |  |  |

Gasoline sales as indicated by taxes collected by the State Comptroller were: July," $1943,113,474,525$ gallons; July, 1942, 123,529,000 gallons; June, 1943, 119,626,772 gallons.


## TEXAS CHARTERS

August, 1943 August, 1942 July, 1943
Domestic Corporations:

| Capitalization* | 81 | \$ 944 | \$1,671 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nutmber | 42 | 34 | 66 |
| Classification of new corporations |  |  |  |
| Banking-Finance | 2 | 0 | 9 |
| Manufacturing | 4 | 7 | 14 |
| Merchandising | 7 | 6 | 9 |
| Oil | 5 | 8 | 3 |
| Public Service | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Real Estate Building | 11 | 7 | 25 |
| Transportation | 2 | I | $\because 0$ |
| All Others | 11 | 5 | 6 |
| Number capitalized at less than $\$ 5,000$ |  |  |  |
| Number capitalized at $\$ 100,000$ or more $\qquad$ | 2 | 4 | 2 |
| Foreign Corporations (Number) | 9 | 9 |  |

[^2]Note: Compiled from recorde of the Secretary of State.

BUILDING PERMITS


Note: Compiled from reports from Texas chambers of commerce to the Bureau
of Business Research.

## CEMENT

(In Thousands of Barrels)

|  | July, 1943 | July, 1942 | June, 1943 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Texas Plants |  |  |  |
| Production | 832 | 1,146 | 866 |
| Shipments |  |  |  |
| Stocks | 778 | 1,324 | 865 |
| United States | 760 | 250 | 706 |
| Production |  |  |  |
| Shipments |  |  |  |
| Stocks | 11,880 | 16,833 | 11,895 |
| Capacity Operated | 12,411 | 20,501 | 12,702 |

[^3]
## COMMODITY PRICES

Aug., 1943 Aug., 1942 July, 1943
Wholesale Prices:
U.S. Bureau or Labor Statistics
$(1926=100 \%)$

Farm Prices:

| U.S. Department of Agriculture (1910-1914=100\%) | $\dagger$ | 163.0 | 188.0* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics (1926=100\%) | 123.5* | 106.1 | 125.0 |
| Retail Prices |  |  |  |
| Food (U.S. Bureau of Labor Sta tistics ( $1935-1939=100 \%$ ) | 137.2 | 126.1 | 139. |
| Dept. Stores (Fairchild's Pub- |  |  |  |
| lications January, $1931=100 \%$ ) | 113.1 | 113.1 | 113.0 |

*Preliminary.
$\dagger$ Not Available.
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[^0]:    *Revised.
    Nots: Farm cash income as computed by this Bureau underatates nctual farm cash income by from six to ten per ecat. This situation resulis from the fact that meang of gecuring complete local markotings, eqpecially by truck, have not yet been fully developed, tr addition, means have not yet been developed for computing cash income from all agricaltural apecialities of locai importance in scattered areas throughout the State. This situation, however, does not impait the acouracy of the indexes to any apprecisblo extent.

[^1]:    Norz: The ratios shown for each gear, in the order in whioh they appear from loft to right ere obtained by the following computations: (1) Credit Sales aivided by Net Sales (2) Collections during the month divided by the total accounts unpaid on the first of the month. (3) Salaries of the credit department divided by eredit nalea. The data are roported to the Bureau of Business Regearch by Texas rotall storen.

[^2]:    *In thonsands.

[^3]:    Note: From U.S. Department of Interior, Bureau of Mines.

