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The index of Texas business activity rose to a new record high in March. At $175.1 \%$ of its average value during the $1957-59$ base period, the March value of the index was a third consecutive monthly high and was $4 \%$ above its February value.
The average value of the index of Texas business activity for the first quarter of this year was $9 \%$ higher than the average for the first quarter of 1965 . It was a strong $5 \%$ higher than the index average for the last quarter of 1965, suggesting that the pace of business activity in the state is accelerating. This suggestion is supported by a number of other indicators of the current rate of Texas business and industrial growth.
Total nonfarm employment in Texas, adjusted for seasonal variation, rose to a new high in March. This new record, however, was only the latest of a series of eight consecutive new record highs for total nonfarm employment set since June of last year. There were
nearly 115,000 more Texans employed in March than during the same month a year ago. Manufacturing employment in March, after seasonal adjustment, was at the same level as in February, but the Februasy figure was the fifth consecutive new record for the category. Average manufacturing employment during the first quarter of 1966 was $6 \%$ higher than a year ago. As late as last December the U. S. Department of Commerce forecasted a 1963-66 increase in Texas manufacturing employment of $13 \%$. The actual increase thus far this year is $18 \%$, or a $40 \%$ greater gain than was anticipated. The Department of Commerce forecast included projections of 1966 employment in the 15 largest Texas manufacturing industries. Actual employment in 10 of those industries already exceeds the projections. The outstanding instances where the growth of Texas industry is far outstripping estimates made late last year include the manufacture of electrical and electronic equipment, trans-

## TEXAS BUSINESS ACTIVITY

INDEX-ADJUSTED FOR SEȦSONAL VARIATION-1957-1959 = 100


NOTE: Shaded areas indicate periods of decline of total business activity in the United States. SOURCE: Based on bank debits reported by the Federal Reserve Bank of Dallas and adjusted for seasonal variation and changes in the price level by the Bureau of Business Research.

SELECTED BAROMETERS OF TEXAS BUSINESS
(Indexes-Adjusted for seasonal variation-1957-59-100)

| Index | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mar } \\ & 1966 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \\ \text { Feb } \\ 1966 \end{gathered}$ | Year-todate average 1966 | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Mar <br> 1966 <br> from <br> Feb <br> 1966 | Year-todate average 1966 from 1965 |
| Texas business activity. | 175.1 | 169.0 | 170.7 | $+4$ | + 9 |
| Crude oil production | 99.7* | 98.4* | * 99.5 | + 1 | + 5 |
| Crude oil runs to stills. | 113.7 | 113.1 | 114.7 | $+1$ | + 2 |
| Total electric power use | 182.0* | 186.7* | - 188.5 | 8 | $+12$ |
| Industrial electric power use. | 169.9* | 178.1* | - 173.2 | 5 | + 13 |
| Bank debits | 184.6 | 178.0 | 179.4 | $+4$ | + 13 |
| Miscellaneous freight carloadings in S.W. district | $83.4$ | 79.8 | 81.0 | $+5$ | $+6$ |
| Ordinary life insurance sales | 182.6 | 178.9 | 172.4 | + 2 | $+11$ |
| Total retail sales | $143.8{ }^{*}$ | 134.8 r |  | + 7 | + 6 |
| Durable-goods sales | 171.9* | $162.5 r$ |  | + 6 | + 6 |
| Nondurable-goods sales | 129.4* | 120.5 r |  | + 7 | $+5$ |
| Building construction authorized | . 150.8 | 157.1 | 146.1 | 4 | $+25$ |
| New residential | . 119.0 | 117.9 | 116.6 | $+1$ | $+16$ |
| New nonresidential | 199.3 | 214.9 | 192.3 | 7 | $+49$ |
| Total industrial production. | 142.5* | $143.0{ }^{\text {* }}$ | * 142.2 | ** | + 9 |
| Total nonfarm employment $\dagger$. | 121.3* | 121.2* | * 121.1 | \%* | $+4$ |
| Manufacturing employment $\dagger$ | 122.5* | $122.5{ }^{\text {* }}$ | + 122.3 | ** | + 6 |
| Total unemployment $\dagger$ | 73.1 | 79.0 | 77.7 | $-7$ | $-23$ |
| Insured unemployment $\dagger$ | 57.8 | 62.5 | 60.2 | 8 | - 29 |
| Average-weekly earningsmanufacturing $\dagger$ | 123.6* | 123.6 * | * 123.5 | ** | $+4$ |
| Average weekly hoursmanufacturing $\dagger$ | 102.7* | 102.7* | * 102.4 | ** | ** |
| *Preliminary, <br> rRevised. | * Chang <br> Wage | e is le <br> nd sal | ess than <br> lary wor | one-h <br> kers | f of $1 \%$. <br> ly. |

portation equipment, lumber and wood products, furniture and fixtures, chemicals and allied products, apparel and other finished textile products, and food and kindred products. In addition, employment in petroleum refining, although down from a year ago, has not declined by nearly the extent expected as a result of continued refinery automation. The only Texas manufacturing industries that so far this year are falling substantially short of their forecasted rates of growth are primary metals and paper and allied products. In both of these categories, however, there have been recent announcements of planned construction of large new plants which when completed will bring their industry groups up close to the Department of Commerce growth projections.

Unemployment in Texas in March, at $3.2 \%$ of the labor force, showed an $8 \%$ decline from even the exceptionally low February figure, and was not only lower than any previous March but, on a seasonally adjusted

TEXAS INDUSTRIAL PRODUCTION*

basis, was the lowest in Texas history. The reservoir of potential workers represented by the unemployed is so low in Texas now that it can no longer be depended on as a source of the additional employees, and especially the skilled employees, needed by expanding Texas industry. The total labor force this March, however, was $1.7 \%$ greater than a year ago, against an increase in the state's population during the year of between $1 \%$ and $1.5 \%$. The implication would seem to be that job opportunities and higher rates of compensation are attracting substantial additions to the labor force from those who would not otherwise be members of it. It is also probable that the age composition of the Texaxs population is such that the labor force is beginning to expand faster than the state's population as a whole, primarily as a result of the high birth rate immediately after World War II. The postwar generation is already beginning to enter the job market, and in the next few years their movement to the labor force will accelerate as they begin to finish their educations. The point is frequently made that the future of the Texas economy depends very largely on the success with which Texas industry provides job opportunities for the young who seek employment. At the moment Texas industry can scarcely be faulted on that score.

Total Texas industrial production in March, after seasonal adjustment, held at about its record February high, which had been the fourth consecutive monthly high. First-quarter industrial production in the state was $9 \%$ higher than during the same period a year agoa year-to-year gain nearly twice as great as that recorded in January-March 1965 from the same quarter a year earlier. For the fifth consecutive month, the manufacturing component of Texas industrial production rose to a seasonally adjusted new high in March, and for the first quarter of the year it showed a gain exceeding $10 \%$ from the same quarter in 1965.
Crude-oil production in Texas continues to improve and should show further gains in April and May. The May allowable rate of production represents the eighth consecutive increase in the allowable by the Texas Railroad Commission. Some concern has been expressed recently over the increasing number of wells which are failing to produce up to their permitted rates. These wells, however, are for the most part stripper wells, and their maximum contribution to total Texas crude production capacity is relatively small. Texas could easily increase total crude output half again almost at once merely by adjusting the allowable system to give greater

## TEXAS INDUSTRIAL PRODUCTION,

 TOTAL MANUFACTURES

CRUDE-OIL PRODUCTION IN TEXAS

production freedom to its larger wells. The Texas Railroad Commission in effect does this periodically by reallocating the unused portion of the allowable. For this reason it is unlikely that an increase in underproduction will be more than temporary.
The nation's output of goods and services continues to be powerfully stimulated by a high and rising level of consumer expenditures. Texas consumer spending is contributing similarly to the expansion of general business and economic activity in the state. March retail sales in Texas were far higher than in any previous March and were a strong $8 \%$ greater than in March 1965. Sales

## ESTIMATES OF TOTAL RETAIL SALES IN TEXAS (Millions of dollars)

| Type of store | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mar } \\ & 1966 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Jan-Mar } \\ 1966 \end{gathered}$ | Percent change |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mar } 1966 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Feb } 1966 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mar } 1966 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Mar } 1965 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Jan-Mar } \\ 1966 \\ \text { from } \\ \text { Jan-Mar } \\ 1965 \end{gathered}$ |
| TOTAL | \$1,278.6 | \$3,448.9 | +17 | $+8$ | $+6$ |
| Durable goods | 540.0 | 1,392.7 | $+17$ | $+5$ | $+6$ |
| Nondurable goods | 733.6 | 2,056.2 | $+18$ | $+9$ | $+5$ |

of both durable and nondurable goods were at record highs for the month. Nondurable-goods volume was especially strong, with sales by apparel stores and department stores leading the advance with exceptional gains of $17 \%$ and $16 \%$, respectively, from a year ago. March sales of nondurables, after seasonal adjustment, were higher than for any previous month. In the durables category, even automobile dealers, who were competing not only with a very high volume of automobile sales last spring but also with a March that was their best month during the first half of 1965, set a new record for March sales. Consumer spending in Texas, as in the nation, is evidence not only of higher consumer income but also of consumer confidence in the future-a confidence evidently also reflected in the plans of Texas businessmen to build new industrial plants, new office buildings, new stores, and other business structures in spite of the generally higher costs of construction. In fact, a general optimism concerning the basic health of the national and state economies and their capacity for sustained growth is one of the most dynamic factors supporting the present phase of the state's economic expansion.

Consumer prices in Texas in recent months have generally paralleled the upward movement of prices over the country as a whole. Although the average monthly increase in Texas last year was somewhat less than that
for the rest of the nation, most consumer prices in the state have advanced since $1957-59$ by percentages very close to those shown nationally. The two exceptions are the costs of housing and transportation, which in Texas have moved upward substantially less than in the rest of the United States. Of greater significance is the fact that though consumer prices in Texas have shown increases similar to those characterizing the rest of the country, Texas continues to be a relatively inexpensive place in which to live, with the costs of food, housing, apparel, transportation, and health services all substantially lower than the U. S. averages.
The other side of the coin is, of course, that wages and salaries in Texas tend to be lower than the U. S. averages for the major types of employment. The differences, however, are not nearly as great as is sometimes supposed. A striking example is the average hourly earnings of Texas retail trade employees, which in February of this year were only four cents an hour less than the U. S. average for the same type of employment -a difference of only slightly more than $2 \%$. Average hourly earnings in Texas manufacturing industries are about $6 \%$ less than the average for U.S. manufacturing -but in the past year the average hourly compensation of Texas manufacturing employees rose by $3.6 \%$, against an average U. S. gain of $3.1 \%$. Although the greater gain in Texas was primarily the result of a greater improvement in the composition of Texas manufacturing employment, i.e., of greater relative expansions of employment by those industries requiring higher degrees of training and skill and with appropriately higher rates of compensation, it is also true that since 1947 average wages in Texas manufacturing have moved strongly toward the average rates of pay in the comparable U. S. industries.

## BUSINESS ACTIVITY INDEXES FOR 20 SELECTED TEXAS CITIES

(Adjusted for seasonal variation-1957-59=100)

| Index | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mar } \\ & 1966 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Feb } \\ 1966 \end{gathered}$ | Year-todate average 1966 | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mar } 1966 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Feb } 1966 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Year-to- } \\ \text { date } \\ \text { average } \\ 1966 \\ \text { from } \\ 1965 \end{gathered}$ |
| Abilene | . 151.9 | 132.7 | 141.8 | $+14$ | + 5 |
| Amarillo | 173.8 | 183.7 | 175.7 | - 5 | $+10$ |
| Austin | 183.1 | 170.3 | 177.1 | + 8 | + 2 |
| Beaumont | . 176.8 | 166.4 | 170.8 | + 6 | + 11 |
| Corpus Christi | 138.8 | 134.8 | 133.0 | - 1 | + 6 |
| Corsicana .. | . 138.8 | 139.2 | 138.2 | ** | + 7 |
| Dallas | . 190.8 | 195.4 | 189.1 | - 2 | + 12 |
| El Paso | . 124.8 | 118.2 | 119.1 | + 6 | - 4 |
| Fort Worth | 136.9 | 129.8 | 181.2 | + 5 | + 5 |
| Galveston | . 108.0 | 109.4 | 111.1 | - 1 | + 4 |
| Houston | 184.0 | 175.9 | 180.5 | + 5 | $+7$ |
| Laredo | 169.3 | 165.4 | 167.7 | + 2 | $+11$ |
| Lubbock | . 156.3 | 167.9 | 170.9 | - 7 | + 7 |
| Port Arthur | .121.7 | 105.5 | 112.1 | + 15 | + 9 |
| San Angelo .. | . 141.8 | 136.5 | 142.5 | + 4 | + 11 |
| San Antonio | 170.0 | 159.7 | 162.9 | + 6 | $+11$ |
| Texarkana | 171.6 | 165.6 | 170.7 | + 4 | $+10$ |
| Tyler | . 142.2 | 151.3 | 144.9 | - 6 | + 2 |
| Wreo | 153.8 | $14 \% .0$ | 150.6 | + 5 | + 6 |
| Wichita Falls | 152.0 | 136.5 | 146.3 | + 11 | + 9 |

[^0]
# THE FUTURE TEXAS ECONOMY: AN OVERVIEW* 

Grady B. Bruce**

## I. Introduction

The economic future of Texas, or of any other area, is difficult to predict. Numerous factors-some economic, others not-combine to determine the course the Texas economy will follow. Problems arise first in the identification of the most important causal factors and, second, in the prediction of the behavior of these factors in the future. Both the analyst making an economic projection and the user of such a projection must recognize that identification and prediction problems make forecasting an inexact, though not impossible, endeavor.
The fact that decisions must be made in an environment characterized by uncertainty signals the need for economic forecasts. Businessmen, government officials, edu-cators-all are faced with the task of planning. Decisions on investment in new plant and equipment, on the size of a new school building, on the direction of a new highway require information which makes possible acting within an uncertain environment. Projections of economic activity provide an informational framework for planning for tomorrow. This article provides projections of some of the key sectors of the Texas economy until 1990; for purposes of comparison, projections are also made for the United States.

## II. Population

The projections of population, personal income, and the labor force serve as a point of departure and form the framework within which specific industry projections can be made.

The population of the United States is projected by the Bureau of the Census to grow $48 \%$ in the next quarter-century, or from 194.7 million in 1965 to 288.2 million in 1990. The projection assumes a moderate drop in the fertility rate which prevailed during the last decade.
The number of Texans is projected by the Texas Research League, on somewhat different assumptions felt warranted by the probable characteristics of Texas population growth, to increase $65 \%$, from 10.7 million to 17.6 million, in the same twenty-five-year period.

The implications of this expected change in the state's population are more important, however, than the projected population increase itself. Perhaps it is evident that the way the increase is viewed by people with the power to influence the future of the state's economy, and the actions they take in response to the interpretations they make, will greatly affect the validity of the projection.

[^1]The population increase will also profoundly affect virtually every industry and economic sector in the state. Manufäcturing, services, retail trade-all will grow to some extent as the population grows. The state's population provides a core market for the output of Texas industry, and it furnishes the impetus for growth in many areas of economic production. A growing population is therefore a favorable foundation for a healthy state economy-but only a favorable foundation, not a guarantee.
For example, an expanding population means an increasing labor supply and a larger number of people seeking productive employment. Those who find employment will reside in Texas and raise their children as Texans. Conversely, if jobs do not develop for the growing labor force, people will migrate elsewhere. Such outmigration could initiate a reverse process: population would not reach projected levels, and state economic growth would be decelerated. This study is based on the optimistic proposition that jobs will be available for the expanding Texas labor force.

Of great economic significance, too, is the forecast that

the number of Texans under 20 will grow $72 \%$ from 1965 to 1990, while growth in this age bracket for the nation will be considerably lower at $50 \%$. The increase in youths reaching college age will place heavy demands on the state's resources. For it can be anticipated that a great many of the employment opportunities which develop as a result of economic expansion in the state will require college training. And this need for college training will create another need for increased faculties and facilities. Furthermore, the willingness of new industries to locate in Texas will depend greatly on the number of adequately educated and trained potential employees the state has to offer.

## III. Personal Income

Per capita personal income and population combine to determine total personal income available for spending, saving, and taxes. The fact that Texas' population is expected to grow faster than the nation's provides a starting point, then, for a total personal income projection for the state to 1990.

The National Planning Association's projected average annual rates of growth in real per capita personal income in Texas and the United States are adopted here. For the United States, the rate is $2.3 \%$ per year; Texas is projected at a slightly higher rate of $2.4 \%$. On the basis of these estimates, Texas personal income will triple from the $\$ 23.6$ billion estimated for 1965 and will rise to $\$ 70.5$ billion in 1990. During this same period, the nation's personal income will grow approximately 2.6 times from $\$ 500.9$ billion to $\$ 1.3$ trillion. The $2.4 \%$ growth rate for Texas will mean a doubling of the average Texan's standard of living by 1990 , in terms of the present purchasing power of the dollar.

## IV. Employment

One of the dominant characteristics of the American economy over the last decade has been the increased demand for services of all types. The projection here aggregates several important economic pursuits affected by this trend: transportation, communication, and utilities; finance, insurance, and real estate; as well as what are usually known as services (for example, dry cleaners, hotels, travel agencies, and the professions). Using National Planning Association expectations for the state and nation, service employment is projected to grow $121 \%$ in Texas from 1965 to 1990. This growth compares favorably with the projected increase of $94 \%$ for the United States. Relatively fast growth in services employment also means that a larger share of the 1990 Texas labor force will be employed in the services sector than is currently the case.

Texas compares even more favorably with the United States in expected growth in manufacturing employment. From 1964 to 1990 the projection is that Texas manufacturing employment will grow $116 \%$, while the nation's manufacturing employment is expected to grow $51 \%$. On the other hand, other sectors-agriculture, mining, and forestry-are expected to continue to decline in employment in both Texas and the nation. The projections of value added which follow clearly indicate the degree and the direction of the growth in employment.

PERsONAL INCOME IN THE U. S. AND TEXAS, 1940-1964, WITH PROJECTIONS TO 1990
(In 1954 dollars)


## V. Value added by manufacture

Value added by manufacture is projected to multiply 6.89 times in Texas (from $\$ 6,763$ million in 1962 to $\$ 46,600$ million in 1990 ). This growth for Texas compares impressively with the 4.45 times projected for the United States (from $\$ 189,072$ million to $\$ 840,760$ million). The higher growth in Texas is a result of two forces which combine to determine the projected figure. First, the growth in manufacturing productivity in Texas from 1950 to 1963 was greater than that in the United States. In fact, not only was the growth rate greater, but the

MANUFACTURING EMPLOYMENT, UNITED STATES AND TEXAS, 1939-1964, WITH PROJECTIONS TO 1990
( $I_{n}$ thousands of persons)

| Year | United States | Texas |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1939 | 10,278 | 179 |
| 1947 | . 15,545 | 297 |
| 1955 | . 16,882 | 446 |
| 1960 | . 16,796 | 490 |
| 1964 | 17,272 | 538 |
| 1970 | . 18,999 | 642 |
| 1975 | ... 20,571 | 745 |
| 1980 | . .. . 22,281 | 868 |
| 1985 | . . 24,112 | 1,001 |
| 1990 | . 286,107 | 1,160 |

[^2]Value added by Mandfacture, U. S. and TEXAs, 1950-1963, WITH PROJECTIONS TO 1990
(In 1957-59 dollars)

absolute level of productivity in Texas in 1963 was $21 \%$ higher than that of the United States. The second force is the level of manufacturiing employment. The expectation here is that manufacturing employment in Texas will grow at an annual rate almost double that of the United States ( $3.1 \%$ versus $1.6 \%$ ). The combination of these underlying forces yields the favorable 1990 manufacturing picture.

## A. Chemical manufacture

The chemical industry is first among all Texas industries in value added by manufacture, and it is expected that the industry will continue to grow at a rate enabling it to continue to maintain this position in 1990. In terms of constant 1957-59 dollars, the Texas valueadded figure for chemical and allied products should reach $\$ 14,260$ million by 1990 , as compared with a 1962 figure of $\$ 1,474$ million, for an almost tenfold expansion.

Texas leads the nation in the manufacture of petrochemicals. Continuous processing is the rule, and highly skilled workers are essential. Investment per production worker in the chemical industry is high, compared to (for example) the manufacture of transportation equipment and apparel.

Research and development are the keys to the future growth of the chemical industry. Indeed, competition is so intense in the industry that manufacturers must invest large amounts in research facilities just to keep abreast of competitors. New end-products are being synthesized
constantiy. The rapid obsolescence of chemical plant equipment means on the one hand that replacement costs are high and, on the other, that plants are modern and take advantage of continuing technological improvements. This is particularly true in Texas, where the industry is relatively new and plants are highly automated. The fact that chemical industry productivity per worker in Texas in 1962 was 1.5 times that of the nation reflects this clearly.

## B. Petroleum refining

Petroleum refining should retain its second-place position in constant-dollar value added by manufacture in 1990. Unless there are significant discoveries of oil elsewhere in the United States, Texas and Louisiana will continue to play an important role in supplying petroleum needs. Expansion of drilling activity in the Texas tidelands is expected to result in sizeable additions to Texas crude-oil production. Inland, technological improvements to permit deeper drilling and in methods of secondary recovery should contribute significantly to the projected Texas upturn in production.

Crude-oil production in Texas is projected to increase $50 \%$ from 1963 to 1990 . Resources for the Future, Inc., estimates that 1990 petroleum demand in the nation will be 2.25 times that in 1960. Assuming no change in the importance of imports in satisfying this demand, national crude-oil production should be about 5,788 million barrels in 1990.

Refinery runs within Texas are projected to increase to $98 \%$ of the crude production within the state by 1990. For the nation, no change in the 1963 relationship between refinery runs and production is expected. Value added by petroleum refining in Texas was derived by computing the projected ratio of Texas refinery runs to the total for the nation and applying this ratio to the projected national value added by petroleum refining.

## C. The manufacture of transportation equipment

Another industry that is expected to continue to be of substantial importance in Texas in 1990 is the manufacture of transportation equipment. Included in this category are establishments engaged in manufacturing vehicles and parts for the transportation of passengers and cargo by land, air, and water. Recent developments in Texas are highlighted by large national defense contracts which have been awarded to the Convair Division

CRUDE PETROLEUM PRODUCTION IN THE UNITED STATES AND TEXAS, 1950-1963, WITE PROJECTIONS TO 1990
(In millions of barrels)

| Year | United States | Texas |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1950 | . 1,973.6 | 829.9 |
| 1955 | . 2,848.4 | 1,053.3 |
| 1958 | ${ }^{-} 2,449.0$ | 840.2 |
| 1960 | . 2,574.9 | 927.5 |
| 1968 | . 2.752 .7 | 978.1 |
| 1965 | . . 2,890.0 | 988.7 |
| 1970 | . 3.380.0 | 1,068.5 |
| 1975 | . 3,825.0 | 1,155.1 |
| 1980 | . $4,403.0$ | 1.249 .5 |
| 1985 | . $5,086.0$ | 1,350.7 |
| 1990 | . 5,788.0 | 1,459.6 |

Source: 1950-1963, U. S. Bureau of Mines.
of General Dynamics, to Bell Helicopter, and to Ling-Temco-Vought as well as to other Texas firms. The typically long-term nature of these contracts helps to stabilize employment in this segment of the industry, which is often characterized by an unstable employment situation. Heavy expenditures in research and development are necessary, particularly in the aerospace segment. Technological progress that comes as a result of these research expenditures on government contracts is often transferable to other production activities of air-craft-manufacturing firms. Texas is already an important center for modifying and rebuilding commercial and military aircraft, and the transferability of research knowledge should provide further impetus for the development of this segment of the industry.

The essence of the state's favorable relative position for transportation equipment manufacture is revealed by comparing industry productivity in Texas with the national performance: Texas productivity was $50 \%$ higher than national productivity in 1963. Much of the advantage is a result of the newness of Texas facilities and the consequent high degree of automation.

## D. Primary metals

Value added by primary metals production in Texas is projected in 1990 at over 13 times the 1962 figure, and this will make it Texas' fastest-growing industry in terms of value added. Approximately 106,000 production workers should be employed in Texas in the industry by 1990 -over four times as many as in 1962. Most of the development in the industry has occurred within the last two decades, for it is just since 1940 that the most important contributors to the industry's value added have appeared in Texas: the smetting and refining of steel, magnesium, tin, and aluminum. United States Steel Corp.'s planned new steel mill near Baytown, on which construction is expected to begin next year, will be an important addition to the state's primary metals industry. Copper, antimony, zinc, and titanium are also smelted in Texas; and the nation's only major manufacturer of magnesium is located in Texas. In fact, few states have such a diversified primary metals industry. Access to the Gulf of Mexico means that the state's manufacturers have good access to the world's ores and to the world's markets the year around.

Most of the Texas metals plants are relatively new and are highly efficient. Annual productivity increases in the industry averaged $3.8 \%$ from 1950 to 1962 , as compared with $2.4 \%$ in the nation. Texas' productivity in primary metals was lower than the United States' in 1950, but Texas' higher rate of growth in productivity has put it above the United States today.

## E. Food and kindred products manufacture

The close parallel between the growth of the population and the need for more food and beverages indicates a bright future for the manufacture of food and kindred products; both in Texas and in the nation. Growth in the industry should be greater than the growth of population, due largely to the increasing demand for convenience foods. The appearance of more and more frozen foods is one reflection of this trend. Increased demand for food and kindred products offers opportunities in
several areas, and, according to current trends, meat packing within the state is one. Employment in the meat packing industry should increase substantially, partly because Texas grain sorghums are increasingly used to finish Texas cattle; the state's cattle industry is becoming less dependent on shipment to the Midwest for finishing and packing. Because it is more convenient to package close to the source of supply, the food-processing industry is becoming more decentralized, An example of decentralization is Campbell Soup Company's large new (and already expanded) plant in Paris, Texas. Another outstanding example of the trend is the fact that a number of national brewers have located in Texas recently.

## F. Machinery manufacture

The combined value added by machinery manufacture (electrical and nonelectrical) and by the manufacture of fabricated metals is projected to grow in Texas faster than in the nation. The rapid growth of these industries, particularly electrical machinery manufacture, throughout the United States is expected to continue as industry becomes more automated and as the standard of living increases. One illustration of such potential is the expanding automation-based market for electronic devices which sort, control, test, measure, and count. Greater participation of Texas in satisfying demand in these expanding markets is anticipated. New and improved methods for the extraction and processing of mineral resources; particularly oil and gas, means that Texas manufacturers of specialized equipment for the petroleum and gas industries should contribute substantially to growth in the electrical and nonelectrical machinery categories. Texas already has two-thirds of the nation's oilfield-equipment manufacturing employees.

Value added by the manufacture of electrical machinery in the nation has approximately tripled in the fifteen years from 1950. End products of this industry are generally low in bulk and high in value, so that transportation costs to markets are not a major problem. Further, electrical-machinery manufacturing productivity is higher in Texas than in the United States. Texas manufacturers of such products can consequently compete in the national and international markets.

## VI. Agriculture

A continued decline in agricultural employment, as farming continues to become more mechanized, is expected in both the state and nation. This will be offset by more scientific agricultural methods and by an increase in the capital portion of the land-labor-capital mix.

## VII. Summary

The Texas economy is expected to grow faster than the national economy. In interpreting the projections, however, it should be recalled that they are based on the optimistic assumption that jobs will be available for a projected expanding labor force. The availability of jobs, in turn, rests ultimately on the comparative advantages Texas offers for the pursuit of economic and other productive endeavors. The comparing is done by businessi men, government leaders, and others whose decisions will be instrumental in the development of the Texas economy of tomorrow.

# THE TEXAS MARKET FOR HOUSEHOLD APPLIANCES 

by Robert B. Williamson

Texas consumers currently spend about $\$ 50$ million a month for household appliances, including related items such as radios, television sets, and phonographs. If the purchase of household goods in the furniture and home furnishings category is included, total consumer expenditures for household goods in Texas are about $\$ 100 \mathrm{mil}$ lion per month. From around one-third to over one-half of the purchases, depending on the kinds of household goods included, are made at stores specializing in the sale of appliances and other household goods. Large shares of the remainder of such purchases are made at department stores and general merchandise discount stores.

The market for household appliances is of special importance to the Texas economy for several reasons. The flow of spending for appliances, and for consumer durable goods in general, has less cyclical stability than do most other types of consumer spending. The instability in this sector of the economy tends to increase the severity of fluctuations in general business. On the other hand, the market for household appliances has a greater potential for long-run growth to help maintain general business activity than do the markets for some other consumer goods, such as staple foods, that do not expand in proportion to the growth of incomes. The increase in ownership of gas and electric appliances is also especially important to the utilities industry and to the growth of energy sales by utility companies. From the standpoint of the consumer, increasing appliance ownership is an important and tangible manifestation of a rising standard of living. From air conditioners and automatic clothes washers to home food freezers and television sets, home appliances help eliminate the drudgery of household tasks, add to convenience and comfort, and provide entertainment and information.

Sales of household appliances slowed markedly in the state and the nation during the 1960-1961 business recession. However, since then these sales have generally risen along with the expansion of overall business and personal incomes. The precise pattern and extent of the growth of total household appliance sales in Texas cannot be specified with any high degree of reliability. Retail sales data with which to show the variations and growth of appliance sales are customarily available only by type of store rather than by type of product. Sales by appliance stores include sales of nonappliance items and, more important, omit appliance sales by general merchandise stores and other retailers. A further problem in Texas results from the practice of grouping appliance store sales with furniture store sales in the regularly published monthly retail sales estimates for the state.

The combined sales of Texas retail establishments in the furniture and appliance stores group in 1965 and the first quarter of 1966 showed gains of about one-fifth over both the recession year 1958 and the recession year 1961. Household appliance sales trends in Texas picked up fairly sharply in the second half of 1965 and continued to register grod growth rates into the first
quarter of this year, according to the indications from available national data and scattered trade reports for Texas. It appears that the annual growth rates of sales by specialized household appliance stores in Texas during 1965 and the first three months of 1966 were somewhat greater than the approximately $6 \%$ growth rate registered by total retail sales in Texas during the same periods.

Major factors associated with the growth and fluctations of the market for household appliances: include growth and variations in the volume of home building, the level of disposable personal income, the availability and use of consumex credit, and the introduction of new and improved types of appliances. The faster pace of appliance sales in the latter half of 1965 was nurtured by special increases in disposable income resulting from further income tax reductions at the beginning of the year and a large retroactive social security benefit payment in the autumn, a cut in federal excise taxes at mid year, and a large increase in consumer installment credit extensions. Even the depressed home building industry showed some seasonally adjusted expansion in the final quarter of the year and this may have contributed to the pickup in household appliance sales. Other contributing factors were certainly the boom in sales of color television sets and, possibly, "scare" buying prompted by fears that the Vietnam war might limit the supply of major appliances.

Price trends over the past few years have provided additional encouragement to growth in the physical volume of appliance purchases. The national consumer price index for household durable goods in 1965 was about $3 \%$ below the 1957-1959 average and was down $1.5 \%$ from 1964. By the end of 1965, about all types of house-

## U. S. WHOLESALE PRICES OF HOUSEHOLD APPLIANCES AND OTEER HOUSEHOLD DURABLES, DECEMBER, 1965

(Indexes-1957-1959 $=100$ )

| Classiffeation | Price index |
| :---: | :---: |
| Household appliances | 88.8 |
| Cooking ranges | 100.4 |
| Laundry equipment | 91.6 |
| Sewing machines | 89.3 |
| Vacuum cleaners | 82.5 |
| Refrigeration equipment | 77.4 |
| Small electric appliances | 87.7 |
| Electric lamps | . 117.3 |
| Television, radio receivers, ${ }^{\text {and }}$ phonographs | 84.5 |
| Household furniture | 106.7 |
| Floor coverings | 97.5 |
| Other household durable goods | . 106.2 |

Source: U. S. Bureau of Labor Statisticg.
hold appliances were selling at lower wholesale prices than in the 1957-1959 period, according to the available national wholesale price indexes. The only major exceptions reported were electric lamps and some types of ranges. Also, prices in the related household furniture category and for some other household durable goods showed increases. Price declines from the 1957-1959 period to the end of 1965 ranged around $25 \%$ for home freezers and room air conditioners, between $10 \%$ and $15 \%$ for radios and television sets, and $5 \%$ or more for electric built-in ranges and clothes washers and dryers.

Estimated levels of electric household appliance sales
in Texas during 1965 show that the major appliances with the largest physical volumes of sales were television sets, refrigerators, automatic clothes washers, and room air conditioners. Sales volumes, relative to the numbers of homes, were significantly higher in Texas than in the nation as a whole for air conditioners, food waste disposers, food freezers, and dishwashers.

ELECTRICAPPLIANCE RETAIL SALES, BY TYPE OF APPKIANCE, TEXAS, 1965

| Household appliance | Units per 1,000 wired homes |
| :---: | :---: |
| Air conditioners, room | 65 |
| Clothes dryers | 21 |
| Clothes washers, automatic | 72 |
| Dishwashers | 26 |
| Food waste disposers | 31 |
| Freezers | . 26 |
| Ranges | 81 |
| Refrigerators | 73 |
| Television, monochrome | . 110 |
| Television, color | 40 |

Source: Estimated based on data compiled from trade sources:

The general outlook for household appliance sales in Texas for 1966 and future years appears to be good. The strength of underlying economic trends plus intelligent analysis and development of potential markets by the appliance industry should keep appliance sales growing. It does seem likely, however, that sales during the remainder of the year will not grow quite as rapidly as during late 1965 and early 1966. The present national policy of credit restraint and its dampening influence on home buying and consumer credit extensions will be an important factor tending to slow the growth of appliance sales in the short run. On the other hand, consumer interest in purchasing appliances is especially high. The U. S. Bureau of the Census survey of consumers' purchase plans as of the start of 1966 showed that the percentages of households planning to purchase television sets, refrigerators, air conditioners, radios and phonographs, and dishwashers during the first half of the year were the highest recorded in the past five years. The proportion planning to buy washing machines was down only fractionally from a year earlier. Other developments which will tend to support the growth of appliance sales during the remainder of 1966 are the projected population increase in the 25 - to 44 -year age bracket (the principal appliance buyers group) and the continued rapid expansion of color television production faclities to meet the booming demand for color sets.

Rational market analysis and market development by appliance manufacturers, distributors, dealers, and utility companies can provide the means by which sellers can consciously influence the stability and expansion of appliance sales over the long run. Helpful in this connection are the identification of consumer and product characteristics associated with demands for particular kinds of appliances, the location of prospective buyers, and the planning and execution of the best product designs and sales promotions based upon this information.

Consumer budget studies conducted by the U. S. Bureau of Labor Statistics in 1960 and 1961 indicate that expenditures for household appliances and other house-
furnishings and equipment are proportionately the highest for high-income families, medium-to-large families, households with the head of the household between 25 and 44 years of age, families with young children, homeowners as opposed to renters, and families in small and medium-size towns outside urbanized areas. For all families in the national sample, the largest expenditures for appliance purchases during the survey period were for television sets, refrigerators, washing machines, radios, and ranges.

Estimates of the degree of "saturation" of all Texas homes with each of the major types of appliances provide one indication of the extent of the market for initial sales of the appliances. As of January 1966, saturation levels were significantly higher in the state than in the nation for only a few major appliances, notably air conditioners, food freezers, and gas water heaters. The estimated percentages of Texas homes with one or more units of the various major appliances reveal that there is room to increase saturation levels for several items as consumer incomes follow their long-run upward trend. One particularly outstanding market potential is the large number of Texas homes with automatic clothes washers that do not have either electric or gas clothes driers. A growing market not reflected in the saturation estimates in the accompanying table is the market for multiunit ownership of some appliances, such as room air conditioners, refrigerators, radios, television sets, and phonographs. In 1960, the proportions of Texas homes with two or more of a particular appliance were $11 \%$ in the case of room air conditioners, $28 \%$ in the case of radios, and $8 \%$ in the case of television receivers. Although precise current estimates are not available for the state on multiunit ownership of appliances, it undoubtedly is increasing and will increase further. Nationally, the proportion of homes with two or more television sets had already reached $17 \%$ by 1964.

HOUSEHOLD APPLIANCE OWNERSHIP, TEXAS
(Percentages of housing units having one or more of the appliance)

|  | April 1960 | January 1966 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Household appliance | (Percent of occupied housing units) | (Percent of wired homes) |
| Air conditioning: |  |  |
| central | 5 | n.a. |
| roorn units | 25 | 88 |
| Clothes dryers |  |  |
| electric | 6 | 18 |
| gas | 3 | 9 |
| Clothes washers, automatic | . 44 | 68 |
| Freezers | - 22 | 31 |
| Ranges: |  |  |
| electric | 14 | 28 |
| gas | . 81 | 68 |
| Radios | .. 88 | n.e. |
| Refrigerators | . n.a. | 98 |
| Television: |  |  |
| monochrome | ... 83 | 98 |
| calor | . nua | 5 |
| Water heaters: |  |  |
| electric | . 4 | 7 |
| gas | . 81 | 82 |

n.a.-Not available.

Sources: U. S. Bureall of the Census for 1960; estimated for 1966 based on published reports by Texas utility companies and trade sources.

Appliance saturation data for counties and other small areas within the state are available from the reports of the 1960 Census of Housing. In addition, estimates for later periods usually are available from local utilities. One especially useful and convenient source of utility and trade data on appliance markets in the nation and by states and smaller areas is the annual statistical issue of the Merchandising Week magazine.

The wide use in industry of the concept of appliance market "saturation" as it is presented here is unfortunate in several respects. The concept leaves out of consideration not only the market for multiunit ownership but also the very large replacement market for many appliances. For example, refrigerator and television sales in Texas last year were among the highest for major appliances and yet nearly all of the homes in the state have had some type of each of these two appliances for several years now. The potential replacement market for refrigerators continues to be large. It is estimated that over $40 \%$ of all homes in the nation have refrigerators over 10 years old. These old units are small, have inadequate freezer space, and lack modern features and styling. Furthermore, almost as many homes have ranges over 10 years old.

Accelerating the growth of replacement demands is the increasing consumer preference for larger and fancier versions in the case of several kinds of appliances. Over one-half of industry shipments of refrigerators are now of 14 -cubic-foot and larger units. Also growing rapidly in popularity is the automatic icemaker feature on new refrigerators. In the case of cooking ranges, the more luxurious "eye-level" gas range has been a recent sales leader and the new self-cleaning electric ovens are expected to be very popular.

Continuing technological advances and the resulting new kinds of appliances and improvements on existing kinds of appliances is another, independent source of potential markets to be developed. Of course, the improved, lower-priced color television receiver is the prime example of this kind of new market creation at present. Other technological improvements are also contributing to the expansion of markets for black-and-white television sets. Thinner cabinets with attractive synthetic wood-grain finishes are encouraging the growth of replacement demands and so is the continuing development of smaller, lower-priced portable sets. In other lines, improved portable dishwashers are very popular, and showing high growth rates are unit sales of FM radios, including the newer stereophonic types, and tape recorders.

Sales promotion of the various appliances undoubtedly will continue to take advantage of the traditional seasonal buying patterns in the state. Major appliances that have a strong seasotial demand during the summer months in Texas are air conditioners, refrigerators, and food freezers. Especially popular during the fall and the Christmas shopping season are television sets, radios, phonographs, music store items, clothes dryers, and dishwashers. Retail demands for clothes washers, ranges, and water heaters, on the other hand, are fairly steady throughout the year with no strong patterns of seasonal variation.

Overall, the strength of basic economic trends, continuing technological advances, and the availability of modern tools of market research and development present Texas retailers with an opportunity for reasonably steady long-run growth in household appliance sales.

# TEXAS BUILDING CONSTRUCTION AUTHORIZED IN MARCH 

by Francis B. May

The seasonally adjusted index of total construction authorized in Texas declined $4 \%$ in March from its unusually high February value of $157.1 \%$. At $150.8 \%$ of the average monthly value of building permits issued during the 1957-59 base period, the index was $27 \%$ above March 1965. A $1 \%$ rise in residential building permits issued was offset by a $7 \%$ decline in nonresidential permits.

First-quarter data show that the index of total permits issued averaged $146.1 \%$ of the $1957-59$ base value, up $25 \%$ from the corresponding 1965 period. The increase resulted from increases in both the residential category (up $16 \%$ ) and nonresidential (up $49 \%$ ). It is apparent that the high level of construction which has been an integral part of the post-1960 cyclical upswing is still a dominant feature of current prosperity.


First-quarter data show that the value of permits issued for both one-family and multiple-family dwellings rose from the same period a year ago. Value of permits for one-family homes was up $13 \%$. Total value of permits issued for construction of multiple-family dwellings was up $28 \%$ over the first quarter of 1965.

Nonresidential construction authorized during the first quarter averaged $49 \%$ higher than during the like 1965 period. This strong rise was spread over a substantial number of categories of nonresidential buildings whose growth more than offset a few scattered minor declines. First-quarter value of hotels, motels, and tourist courts authorized was $2 \%$ below the first quarter of 1965 . The value of amusement buildings authorized in the first quarter rose $366 \%$ from the like 1965 period, due to issuance of $\$ 10,455,900$ in permits for the buildings at the Hemisfair to be held in San Antonio in 1968. The Convention Center will be a permanent structure. Many of the exhibit buildings will not be permanent. The Convention Center is already under construction. Construction of exhibit buildings will be started at various times from now until early 1968.

Church construction authorized during the first quarter rose $1 \%$ over the like 1965 period. Permits authorizing construction of industrial buildings rose $81 \%$ above the first quarter of 1965 . This strength in industrial construction is a result of the sustained cyclical upswing
in construction of new plant and equipment during the present general recovery from the 1960 recession, Business expenditure for new plant and equipment is classified by the National Bureau of Economic Research as a lagging indicator. This means that it turns upward after a recession later than the upturn of the general business activity indicators. In the current cycle business in general began its rise in February 1961. New plant and equipment expenditures turned upward in the third quarter of 1961. Total expenditures for new plant and equipment for the nation are expected to rise $16 \%$ to $\$ 60.2$ billion this year.

Construction of commercial garages authorized in the first quarter rose $201 \%$ above the first quarter of 1.965. The high volume of sales of automobiles in recent years has created a greatly enlarged demand for garages and service stations. Construction permits for service stations during the first quarter were $34 \%$ above the comparable 1965 period, The effect of a large volume of automobile sales in enhancing the overall rate of economic growth of a country is so marked that recently the Russians have become interested in enlarging their output of automobiles.

Permits for the construction of hospitals and institutional buildings were up $10 \%$ in the first quarter over the like 1965 period. Office-bank buildings were up $31 \%$. The Shell Oil Company plans the tallest and biggest building in Houston. Towering 47 stories above ground level, it will be the tallest building west of the Mississippi and the tallest reinforced concrete structure in the world.
Construction of public works and utilities authorized during the first quarter rose $23 \%$ above the same period of 1965. Expanding population has forced steady growth in this type of construction. Increased use of air conditioning and of electrical appliances and equipment of all kinds has made it necessary for electric utilities to expand their plants. Concern about water pollution has induced cities to increase their investment in sewage treatment plants.

Permits for the construction of educational buildings issued during the first quarter were $70 \%$ above the like 1965 period. The expanding school age population has required very heavy investment in this kind of facility during the entire post-World War II period. A. recent report of the Bureau of the Census estimates Texas population to be between $13,482,000$ and $14,759,000$ in 1985. The difference between these estimates is due to varying assumptions relating to birth, death, and migration rates. Under the higher estimate, the Texas population aged $5-17$ years will be $57.8 \%$ larger in 1985 than it was on April 1, 1960. The lower estimate places this figure at $30.5 \%$. Either means that the need for educational plant and equipment will continue to increase steadily. The college-age group ( $18-24$ years) will increase in size $91.6 \%$ over April 1, 1960, provided that the higher estimate is realized. Under the lower estimate, this group will increase $82.1 \%$. Either extimate means that the demand for college classrooms will almost double in the next twenty years.

A recent report by the U. S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare estimates that, for the United States, total enrollment at all levels from elementary school through college will increase from $53,067,000$ in 1964 to $63,289,000$ in 1974, a $19.3 \%$ increase. Enrollment in institutions of higher education will increase from
$4,951,000$ in 1964 to $8,689,000$ in 1974, a $75.5 \%$ increase. The number of students in public institutions of higher learning will increase from $3,180,000$ in 1964 to $5,906,000$ in 1974 , an $85.7 \%$ increase. The proportion of students in state-supported colleges will increase faster than the increase in total college enrollment. That is, an increasing proportion of college students will be in state-supported colleges and universities. Trends in Texas educational enrollments will largely parallel these national trends.

A comparison of the value of permits issued in metropolitan and nonmetropolitan areas during the first quartershows that metropolitan permits rose $29 \%$ over the first quarter of 1965 . Nonmetropolitan permits rose $7 \%$. In both areas, there was a strong tendency for the percentage rise in value of permits to increase with increasing size of the urban unit. Permits rose $30 \%$ in central cities, compared with $23 \%$ for areas outside central cities in the metropolitan areas. They rose $9 \%$ in cities of 10,000 to 50,000 in the nonmetropolitan areas, compared with $4 \%$ for cities of less than 10,000 population.

ESTIMATED VALUES OF BUILDING AUTHORIZED IN TEXAS

| Classification (') | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mar } \\ & 1966 \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{1966}{\underset{1}{\text { Jan-Mar }}}$ | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mar } 1966 \\ \text { from } \\ \text { Feb } 1966 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Jan-Mar } \\ 1966 \\ \text { from } \\ \text { Jan-Mar } \\ 1965 \end{gathered}$ |
|  | (Thousands of dollars) |  |  |  |
| ALL PERMITS | \$169,422 | \$456,945 | $+10$ | + 25 |
| New construction | 149.886 | 408,297 | $+10$ | $+30$ |
| Residential |  |  |  |  |
| (housekeeping) | 81,376 | 216,849 | $+18$ | $+16$ |
| One-family dwellings | 65,465 | 170.069 | $+26$ | $+13$ |
| Multiple-family dwellings | Multiple-family |  |  | + 28 |
| Nonresidential buildings | 8 68,510 | 191.448 | +1 | $+50$ |
| Nonhousekeeping buildings (residential) | $3,440$ | 6،310 | +62 | 2 |
| Amusement buildings | $11,633$ | 12;633 | 2,004 | +366 |
| Churches | 5,224 | 9.622 | $+116$ | $+1$ |
| Industrial butildings. | . 7,081 | 24,847 | $+73$ | $+81$ |
| Garages (commercial and private) ..... | . 568 | 2,581 | $-24$ | + 60 |
| Service stations | 1,849 | 4,788 | + 14 | + 34 |
| Hospitals and |  |  |  |  |
| Office-bank buildings. | . 3,896 | 33,831 | -85 | + 31 |
| Works and ntilities.. | . 776 | 3.755 | + 80 | + 23 |
| Educational baildings | 20,264 | 47,454 | + 56 | $+70$ |
| Stores and mercantile buildings | $10,294$ | 81,385 | - 12 | $+37$ |
| Other buildings and structares | 1,690 | 6,640 | $+46$ | $+99$ |
| Additions, alterations, and repairs | 19,586 | 48,648 | + 12 | - 6 |
| NONMETROPOLITAN $\uparrow$ |  |  |  |  |
| Total metropolitan ...... | . 185,484 | 385,810 | ** | $+29$ |
| Central cities | 102,569 | 298,033 | - 9 | $+30$ |
| Outside central cities.. | - 32,915 | 87,277 | +44 | $+23$ |
| Total nonmetropolitan... | - 33,988 | 71,685 | + 86 | $+7$ |
| 10.000 to 50,000 |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 10,000 popolation ....... | . 13,558 | 31,566 | $+94$ | + 4 |

$\dagger$ As defined in the 1960 Census.
**Change is less than one-half of $1 \%$.
Source: Bureau of Business Research in cooperation with the Bureau of the Census, U. S. Department of Commerce.


Indicators of business conditions in Texas cities published in this table include statistics on banking, building permits, employment, postal receipts, and retail trade. An individual city is listed when a minimum of three indicators is available.

The cities have been grouped according to Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas. In Texas all 21 SMSA's are defined by county lines; the counties included are listed under each SMSA. The populations shown for the SMSA's are estimates for April 1, 1965, prepared by the Population Research Center, Department of Sociology, The University of Texas-the fact designated by footnote (1). Cities are listed under their appropriate SMSA's; all other cities are listed alphabetically. The population shown after the city name is the 1960 Census figure, with the exceptions of those marked (r), which are estimates officially recognized by the Texas Highway Department, and that given for Pleasanton, which is a combination of the 1960 Census figures for Pleasanton and North Pleasanton. Since the SMSA and city population estimates have different sources, it is not surprising that they are sometimes inconsistent, as is the case here with the Odessa SMSA (Ector County) and Odessa.

Retail sales data are reported here only when a minimum of five stores report in the given retail area sales category. The first column shows an average percent change from the preceding month, indicated by ( $\dagger$ ). This is the normal statewide seasonal change in sales by that kind of business-except in the cases of Dallas, Fort Worth, Houston, and San Antonio, where the dagger is omitted because the normal seasonal changes given are for each of these cities individually. The second column shows the percent change in actual sales reported for the month, and the third column shows the percent change in actual sales from the same month a year ago. A large variation between the normal seasonal change and the reported change indicates an abnormal sales month.

Additional symbols used in this table include:
(*) Indicates cash received during the four-week postal accounting period ended March 25, 1966.
( $\ddagger$ ) Money on deposit in individual demand deposit accounts on the last day of the month.
(§) Data for Texarkana, Texas, only.
(**) Change is less than one-half of $1 \%$.
(||) Annual rate basis.

| City and item | $\underset{1966}{\mathrm{Mar}}$ |  | Percent change |  | City and item | $\underset{1966}{\mathrm{Mar}^{2}}$ | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mar } 1966 \\ \text { from } \\ \text { Feb } 1966 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mar 1968 } \\ \text { frome } \\ \text { Mar } 1965 \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mar } 1966 \\ \text { From } \\ \text { Feb } 1966 \end{gathered}$ | $\operatorname{Mar}_{\mathrm{fram}} 1966$ $\begin{gathered} \text { Harom } 1865 \end{gathered}$ |
| ABILENE SMSA <br> (Jones and Taylor; pop. 124,3571) |  |  |  |  |  | 8 8,124 |  | - 12 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts |  | ,408,132 | +109 | + 50 | Building pernits, leas federal contracts | - 5,122 | -92 | -91 |
| Bnnk debits (thousands) $\mid$. . . . . . . |  | 1,954,848 | + 12 | + 16 | Bank debits (thousands)........... | 7,039 | $+13$ |  |
| Nonfarm employment (area) |  | 36,500 | ** | + 3 | End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | \$ 6,776 | 2 |  |
| Menufacturing employment (erea) |  | 4,070 | ** | + | Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 12. | + 24 |  |
| Percent unemployed (area). |  | 3.4 | - 17 | - 26 |  |  |  |  |
| ABILENE (pop. 110,049r) |  |  |  |  | AMARILLO SMSA |  |  |  |
| Retail sales |  | $+10 \dagger$ | + ${ }^{15}$ | +11 | (Potter and Randall; pop. 169,942 ${ }^{\text { }}$ ) |  |  |  |
| Automotive stores |  | $+10 \dagger$ | + 8 | + 8 |  |  |  |  |
| General merchandise stores |  | + 12¢ | + 25 | + 16 |  |  |  | + 22 |
| Postal receipts* | * | 145,663 | $+11$ | + 7 | Bank debits (thousands) \\|.......... <br> Nonfarm employment (area) | $\begin{array}{r} 8,491,828 \\ 56,300 \end{array}$ | - ${ }_{+}$ | + + +8 |
| Building permits, less federel cantracts |  | ${ }^{1,397,632}$ | +116 +24 | +51 +14 | Nonfarm employment (area) . . . . . . . Manufacturing employment (area). | 56,300 7.080 | + | + 78 |
| Eank debits (thousands) .......... |  | 146,955 70,405 | + 24 +5 | + 14 $+\quad 5$ | Manufacturing employment (area). Percent unemployed (areat) | 7.030 3.3 | - ${ }^{2}$ | + 28 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ Annual rate of deposit turnover. |  | $\begin{array}{r} 70.405 \\ 24.4 \end{array}$ | - $+\quad 26$ | $\begin{array}{r} +5 \\ +\quad 9 \end{array}$ | Percent unemployed (area). |  |  |  |
| ALICE (pop. 20,861) |  |  |  |  | AMARILLO (pop. 155,205r) |  |  |  |
| Retail sales .............. |  | $+10 \dagger$ | + 21 | ** | Retail sales | $10 \dagger$ | + 18 |  |
| Postal receipts* ${ }^{*}$ | \$ | 18,016 | - 5 | + 13 | Apparel stores | ${ }^{28+}$ | +18 | + 10 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ | 157,850 | - 1 | -87 | Antomotive stores ......... Eating and drinking places | $10 \dagger$ | +12 | + ${ }^{2}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | $16 \dagger$ | +15 | + 25 |
| ALPINE (pop. 4,740) |  |  |  |  | Furniture and household <br> appliance stores $\qquad$ | $-1 \dagger$ |  | +21 |
| Postal receipts* | \% | 6,578 | + 11 | $+15$ | Postal receipts* | ( 277,475 | + 1 | + 1 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | 3 | 5,500 | + 31 | +400 | Building permits, less federal contrncts | \$2,167,715 | + 56 | +24 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | 1 | 4,022 | + 14 | + 35 | Bank debits (thousands) | 362,910 |  | + 11 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | \$ | 4,823 | -7 | - 3 | End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | 130,892 |  |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover |  | 8.7 | $+21$ | + 33 | Annual rate of deposit turnover | 33.6 |  |  |


| Local Business Conditions |  | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| City and item | $\underset{19664}{\text { Mar }}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mar 1966 } \\ \text { from } \\ \text { Feb } 1966 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mar } 1966 \\ \text { from } \\ \text { Mar } 1965 \end{gathered}$ |
| Canyon (pop. 6,755r) |  |  |  |
|  | 7,791 | -12 |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | 404,043 | $-49$ | + 11 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............ | 10,211 | + 26 | + 27 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | 7,927 | + |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 15.8 | $+24$ | + 22 |

## ANGLETON: see HOUSTON SMSA

| ARANSAS PASS: see CORPUS CHRISTI SMSA |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| ARLINGTON: see FORT WORTH SMSA |  |


|  | AUSTIN SMSA |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| (Trais; pop. |  |

## BAYTOWN: see HOUSTON SMSA

## BEAUMONT-PORT ARTHUR-ORANGE SMSA

(Jefferson and Orange; pop. 312,7991)

| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ 3,232,531 | +101 | $+68$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bank debits (thousands) \\| | \$ 5,040,012 |  | + 18 |
| Nonfarm employment (area) | 112,000 |  |  |
| Manufacturing employment (area) | 33,600 |  |  |
| Percent unemployed (area) | 4.1 |  |  |


| BEAUMONT (pop. 127,500r) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Retail sales | $+10 \dagger$ | + 22 | + 16 |
| Apparel stores | + 289 | + 43 | +18 |
| Automotive stores | $+10 \dagger$ | + 19 | + 21 |
| Eating and drinking places | + 16才 | +15 |  |
| General merchandise stores | + 12\% | + 41 |  |
| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . , | 152,737 | - 1 | $+10$ |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | \$ 1,772,583. | $+105$ | + 46 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | 294,502 | + 16 | + 11 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | . 113,000 |  |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 30.8 | $+20$ | $+11$ |

[^3]| Local Business Conditions |  | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| City and item | ${ }_{\text {Mar }}^{\text {Mar }}$ | Mar 1966 $\underset{\text { Feb 1966. }}{\text { from }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mar } 1966 \\ & \text { Mar } 1965 \end{aligned}$ |
| Groves (pop. 17,304) |  |  |  |
| PostaI receipts* . ................. ${ }^{\text {\% }}$ | 8,978 | - | + 18 |
| Building permits, Iess federal contracte \$ | 226,850 | - 18 | +12 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ........... .s | 7,824 | + 30 | + 18 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) f. . $\%$ | 4,143 | + 5 | -17 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 21.5 | + 66 | + 28 |

## Nederland (pop. 15,274r)

| Postal receipts* ................... | 10,852 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............ . | 6,345 | ** | - 11 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . . \$$ | 4,904 |  |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 15.4 |  | $-16$ |
| Orange (pop. 25,605) |  |  |  |
| Retail sales | $+10+$ | + 14 | ** |
| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . $\%$ | 26,466 | - 10 |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 227,138 | + 59 | +202 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | 38,254 | + 11 | +29 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | 26,616 | $-10$ | +. 5 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 16.3 | $+12$ | +13 |
| Nonfarm placements | 158 | $-21$ |  |

## Port Arthur (pop. 66,676)

## Retail sales

Lumber. building material,

| and hardware stores. |  | + 19 $\dagger$ | + 25 | + |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| tal receipts** ................. | \$ | 59,888 | 16 |  |
| ilding permilts, less federal contracta | 8 | 828,279 | +267 | -828 |
| nk debits (thousands) | \$ | 86,086 | + 26 |  |
| d-ot-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | \$ | 40,828 |  |  |
| nual rate of deposit tura |  | 24.5 | $+31$ |  |

## Port Neches (pop. 8,696)

| Pogtal receipts* | 8 | 9,644 | $+30$ | + 14 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits, less federal contracta | \$ | 75,936 | - 14 | + 23 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \% | 11,951 | +1. | 28 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | \% | 7,037 | , |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. |  | 20.3 |  | - 24 |
| BEEVILLE (pop. 13,811) |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipta* | \$ | 14,280 |  |  |
| Building permits, less federaj contracte | 8 | 78.915 |  | -65 |
| Bank debits (thousands) |  | 12,029 | + 14 |  |
| End-of-month depasits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | \$ | 15,408 | - 2 |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover |  | 9.8 | + 16 |  |
| Nonfarm placements |  | 135 | + 53 | +25 |

## BELTON (pop. 8,163)

| Postal recelpts* | \$ | 19,693 | $\pm 19$ | $-4$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ | 197,665 | $+165$ | $+154$ |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | \$ | 9,285 | + 4 | + 4 |

## BIG SPRING (pop. 31,230)

| Retail sales | $+109$ |  | + 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . \$ | 35,132 | $-4$ | + 21 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts'\$ | 125,966 | $+385$ | 49 |
| Bank deblts (thousands)............. | 43,828 | + 2 | + 17 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger \ldots$ | 27,846 | 8 | $+14$ |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 18.6 | + 4 |  |
| Nonfarm placements | 197 | 1 | $+20$ |

## BISHOP: see CORPUS CHRISTI SMSA

## BONHAM (pop. 7,357 )



| Local Business Conditions | $\underset{1966}{\text { Mar }}$ | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mar } 1966 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Feb } 1966 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mar } 1966 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Mar } 1965 \end{aligned}$ |
| BRADY (pop. 5,338) |  |  |  |
| Postal receiptg* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 5,564 | + 11 | $+14$ |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 100,043 | +209 | +879 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............. $\$$ | 7,676 | + 13 | +26 |
| End-ot-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. S $^{\text {d }}$ | 7,643 | + 4 | + 7 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 12.3 | + 14 | + 18 |
| BRENHAM (pop. 7,740) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipte**.................... \$ | 10,810 | - 12 | + 3 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 247,237 | +109 | - 58 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............. ${ }^{\text {s }}$ | 13,815 | + 12 | $+10$ |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. \$ | 15,319 | + 4 | $+6$ |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 11.0 |  |  |
| BROWNFIELD (pop. 10,286) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . $\%$ | 11.582 | + 5 | + 8 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \% | 113.425 | +140 | + 12 |
| Bank debits (thousands)............. | 19,216 | - 14 | - 28 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. $\$$ | 15,945 | -1 | ** |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 14.4 | -8 | - 29 |


| Local Business Conditions |  | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| City and item | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Mar} r \\ & 1966 \end{aligned}$ | Mar 1966 $\underset{\text { Feb } 1966}{\text { rom }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mar } 1966 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Mar } 1965 \end{aligned}$ |
| San Benito (pop. 16,422) |  |  |  |
| Postal reeeipts ${ }^{*}$. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 8 | 8,221 | - 7 | * |
| Building permits, less federal contructs \$ | 30,583 | - 50 | +53 |
| Bank debitz (thousands) . . . . . . . . . . \% | 6,432 |  | + 11 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | 6,277 | + |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 12.5 | $+10$ |  |
| BROWNWOOD (pop. 16,974) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* ${ }^{*}$. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . \& | 32,012 | $-10$ |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 224,978 | +201 | -78 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............. | 22,142 | + 16 |  |
| Fnd-ot-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | 14,769 | $+$ | + 12 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 18.3 | + 15 |  |
| Nonfarm placements | 126 | - |  |
| BRYAN (pop. 27,542) |  |  |  |
| Postal reeeipts* .................... \$ | 31,698 | $+$ | $+17$ |
| Suilding permits, less federal contracts \% | ,280,030 | +393 | +243 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............. 8 | 38,965 | $+$ | + 10 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | 23,24 |  |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 20.4 |  |  |
| Nonfarm placements | 316 | $+$ | + 32 |
| CALDWELL (pop. 2,202r) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 8,004 | - ${ }^{16}$ |  |
| Bank debits (thousands) | 3,089 | $+11$ | + 19 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousards) $\ddagger$. | 4,613 | + | + 14 |
| Annual rate of deposit tnrnover. | 8.1 | + 11 |  |
| CAMERON (pop. 5,640) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* .................... 8 | 8,122 | $+28$ | $+24$ |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 12,650 | +158 | - 52 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | 5.871 | + 3 | + 2.1 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. .8 | 5,500 | ${ }^{*}$ |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover... | 12.6 |  | + 12 |

## CANYON: See AMARILLO SMSA

CARROLLTON: see DALLAS SMSA

| Retail sales |  | $10 ¢$ | + 15 | $+23$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Postal receipts* | \$ | 39,286 | + 3 | + 6 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ | 136,800 | - 23 | +123 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 46,352 | + 13 | + 15 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | \$ | 22,713 | - 4 | $+11$ |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. |  | 24.0 | + 17 | + 2 |
| Nonfarm placements |  | 531 | $+32$ |  |

## La Feria (pop. 3,047)

| Postal receipts* | 2,652 | + 6 | +1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | 1,200 | $+20$ | -60 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | 1,997 | - 4 | +15 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | 1,636 | - 9 | + 11 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 13.9 | ** | - 1 |
| Los Fresnos (pop. 1,289) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* | 1,604 | $+46$ | $+23$ |
| Bank debits (thousands) | 1,298 | + 20 | $+24$ |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | 1,212 |  |  |
| Annual rate of deposit tarnover | 12,4 |  | $+19$ |


| Port Isabel (pop. 3,575) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Postal receipts* | \% | 3,765 | - 9 | $+1$ |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ | 1,200 | -92 | -94 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 1,798 | +12 | $+5$ |
| End-of-month deposits (thoustands) $\ddagger$ | \$ | 1,425 | - 8 | +19 |
| Annual rate of deposit furnovier |  | 14.8 | + 14 | D |

For an explanation of symbols, please see p. 140.

| Local Business Conditions | $\underset{1965}{\mathrm{Mar}}$ | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Mar 1966. from Feb 1966 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mar } 1966 \\ & \text { from } \end{aligned}$ $\text { Mar } 1965$ |
| COPPERAS COVE (pop. 4,567) |  |  |  |
|  | 4,769 | $-10$ | $-3$ |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1,578 | + 10 | $-17$ |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. . | 1,320 | - 5 | - 36 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 13.9 | + 14 |  |

## CORPUS CHRISTI SMSA

(Nueces and San Patricio; pop. 268,702 ${ }^{1}$ )

| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ 3,544,415 | - |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bank debits (thousands) \\| | \$3,644,172 | + | 8 |  |
| Nonfarm employment (area) | 81,900 |  | 1 |  |
| Manufacturing employment (area) | 10,400 |  | ** | - |
| Percent unemployed (area) | 3.7 | - | \% |  |


| Aransas Pass (pop. 6,956) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Postal receipts* . ...................s | 5,595 | - 1 | - 1 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 60,209 | +164 | $+26$ |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............ \$ | 4,871 | + 11 |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\dagger$. \$ | 5,483 |  |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 11.0 |  |  |


| Bishop (pop. 3,825r) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Postal receipts* | \% | 3,296 | 8. | - 13 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | 8 | 154,500 | +673 | +898 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | * | 2,006 | ** |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | \$ | 2,268 | - 8 | $+10$ |
| Annual rate of deposit tarnover |  | 10.4 | $+6$ |  |

## CORPUS CHRISTI (pop. 184,163r)

| Retail sales | $+10 \pm$ | $+22$ | + 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Apparel stores | + 281 | + 28 | + 32 |
| Automotive stores | +10 ¢ | + 17 | $-2$ |
| Drugstores | + $5 \dagger$ | + 3 |  |
| General merchandise stores | + 12\% | $+44$ | $+20$ |
| Postal receipts* | ( 222,780 | $-3$ | ** |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ 2,919,809 | -- 89 |  |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ 266.596 | + 8 |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | \$ 135,299 | -- 1 |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 23.6 | $+10$ |  |

## Robstown (pop. 10,266)

Building permits, Jess federal contracts $\$ 73.488-58-10$
Bank debits (thousands) .........
End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . \$ 9,436+5 *+5$
Annual rate of deposit turnover...... $12.6+2+0$

## Sinton (pop. 6,008)

| Postal receipts* | \$ | 8,189 | + 51 | + 25 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ | 71,726 | $+670$ | - 47 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 4,501 | ** | $+3$ |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | \$ | 4,946 | $-18$ | + 2 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. |  | 10.6 | $+18$ | - 8 |

CORSICANA (pop. 20,344)

| Retail sales | 10* | +32 | $+10$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 24,698 | $-20$ | $+11$ |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | 207,578 | $+45$ | +127 |
| Bank debite (thousands) ............. \$ | 23,165 | $\pm 8$ | $+13$ |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . .1$ | 22,445 | - 4 |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 12.1 | + 11 |  |
| Nonfarm placements | 210 |  |  |

For an explanation of symbols, please see p. 140 .

| Local Business Conditions |  | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Local Business Conditions City and item | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Mar} \\ 1966 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mar } 1966 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Feb } 1966 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mar } 1966 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Mar } 1965 \end{aligned}$ |
| CRYSTAL CITY (pop. 9,101) |  |  |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracte | 93,850 | +111 | $+47$ |
| Bank debits (thousands) | 4,849 | $+44$ | $+27$ |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | 3,328 | + 2 |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 17.6 | + 88 | $+18$ |

## DALLAS SMSA

(Collin, Dallas, Denton, and Ellis; pop. 1,261,7871)
Building permits, less federal contracts $\$ 26,849,305-34$. 7


| Carroliton (pop. 9,832r) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Postal receipts* | \$ | 10,854 | $-15$ | +14 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ | 501,260 | $-32$ | +118 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | - | 8.599 | + 15 | +25 |
| End-of-mionth deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | \$ | 3,7a5 | - 4 | $+10$ |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. |  | 26.8 | + 13 | +5 |
| DALLAS (pop. 679,684) |  |  |  |  |
| Retail sales |  | $+11$ | $+17$ | + 2 |
| Apparel stores |  | + 27 | + 20 | $+10$ |
| Automotive stores |  | $+12$ | + 8 | $-17$ |
| Drugstores |  | - 6 | + 3 | $\pm 6$ |
| Eating and drinking places. |  | $\pm 7$ | + 8 | + 5 |
| Florists |  | + 7 | - 3 | $+16$ |
| Furniture and housebold <br> appliance stores ............... $+10+25+14$ |  |  |  |  |
| Gasoline and service stations. |  | + 6 | + 20 | $+6$ |
| General merchandise stores |  | $+21$ | + 22 | + 12 |
| Lumber, building material, and hardware stores | Lumber, building material, |  |  | $+20$ |
| Postal recelpts* |  | 3,450,487 | $+3$ | + 6 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts |  | 1,466,362 | -63 | $-18$ |
| Bank debits (thousands) | * | 4,949,159 | +10 | ${ }^{* *}$ |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) \& . |  | 1,399,224 | * |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. |  | 42.5 | $+12$ |  |



## Garland (pop. 50,622r)

| Retail sales | + $10 \dagger$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Automotive stores | + $10 \dagger$ |  |  |
| Postal recelpts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 53,977 | - 5 | $-7$ |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | ,326,633 | $+130$ | + 10 |
| Bank debits (thousands)............ | 43,258 | + 11 | $+11$ |
| Fand-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. $\%$ | 19,783 | + 2 | $+10$ |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 25.5 | $+13$ | + |
| Grand Prairie (pop. 40,150r) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . .... ${ }^{\text {\% }}$ | 38,986 | - 6 | $+9$ |
| Bailding permits, less federal contracts \$ | 923,152 | $+$ | + 24 |
| Bank debits (thousands)............. $\$$ | 19,919 | + 8 | " |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. . | 12,648 | 3 | $+.14$ |
| Annual rate of deposit turnove | 18.6 |  |  |


| Local Business Conditions |  |  | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| City and item |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mar } \\ & 1966 \end{aligned}$ | $\text { Mar } 1968$ from $\text { Feb } 1966$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mar } 1966 \\ & \text { Prom } \\ & \text { Mar } 1965 \end{aligned}$ |
| Irving (pop. 60,136r) |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* |  | 61,517 | $+$ |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts |  | 2858,117 | - 11 | $-14$ |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 44,881 |  | + 10 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ |  | 23,546 |  | $+31$ |
| Annual rate of deponit turnover |  | 29.8 |  | 18 |
| Justin (pop. 622) |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipt6* | \% | 873 | $+13$ |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ | 3,000 |  | +67 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ........... |  | 1,337 | $+27$ | + 88 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. |  | 800 |  |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover |  | 19.1 | $+16$ | $+37$ |
| McKinney (pop. 13,763) |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* |  | 16,926 |  | + 20 |
| Building permits, less federel contracts |  | 307,250 | +143 | +475 |
| Bank debits (thousands) |  | 11,401 | - | - 2 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. |  | 9,758 |  | - 13 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover |  | 14.8 |  | + 12 |
| Nonfarm placements |  | 115 |  | -38 |
| Mesquite (pop. 27,526) |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* | \$ | 23,677 |  | + 32 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts |  | 440,279 | - 50 | - $\mathbf{3 1}_{1}$ |
| Bank debits (thousands) |  | 11,739 | +12 | + |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. |  | 7,474 |  | +13 |
| Antual rate of deposit turnover |  | 18.5 | $+16$ |  |
| Midlothian (pop. 1,521) |  |  |  |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracta |  | 38,450 | +285 | - 44 |
| Bank debits (thousands) |  | 1,178 | + 16 | + 15 |
| End-af-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. |  | 1,506. | - | $+$ |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover |  | 9.2 | + 18 | + 10 |
| Pilot Point (pop. 1,254) |  |  |  |  |
| Buidding dermits, less federal contracts |  | 22,000 | + 69 | +214 |
| Bank debits (thousands) |  | 1,644 | + 29 | + 46 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. |  | 1,859 | $-7$ | + 14 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover |  | 10.8 | + 82 | + 24 |
| Planc (pop. 10,102r) |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts ${ }^{4}$ | \% | 10,992 | + 7 | $+41$ |
| Building permits, less federal contracts |  | 680,577 | + 80 | $+30$ |
| Bank debits (thousands) |  | 4,475 | $-12$ |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) 1 . |  | 4,332 | +14 | $+55$ |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover |  | 24.8 | + 65 | + 86 |
| ' Richardson (pop. 34,390r) |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* | \% | 52,857 | + 13 | + 11 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts |  | ,579,132 | + 59 | $+35$ |
| Bank debits (thousands). |  | 27,079 | + 12 | $+$ |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. |  | 13,063 | - 2 |  |
| Annuel rate of deposit turnover |  | 24.6 | + 16 | * |
| Seagoville (pop. 3,745) |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* . .................. | s | 5,305 | - 20 | + 40 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts |  | 31,274 | +170 | +580 |
| Rank debits (thousands) | \% | 4,540 | + 44 | + 38 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ |  | 2,298 | + 9 | $+17$ |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover...... |  | 24.8 | + 33 | +12 |
| Waxahachie (pop. 12,749) |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts**.................. | \$ | 27,269 | + 56 |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts |  | 34,324 | - 77 | -61 |
| Bank debits (thousands) |  | 12,787 | + 10 |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. |  | 10,785 | - 2 |  |
| Innual rate of deposit turnover |  | 14.1 | + 11 |  |
| Nonfarm placements |  | 98 |  |  |

## DAYTON: see HOUSTON SMSA

For an explanation of symbols, please see $p, 140$.

| Loca | Buriness |  | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Mar | Mar 1966 from | Mar 1966 from |
|  | City and item | 1966 | Feb 1966 | Mar 1965 |

DEER PARK: see HOUSTON SMSA

| DEL RIO (pop. 18,612) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Postal receipts* . ..................... ${ }^{\text {\% }}$ | 18,260 | ** | +313 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 206,478 | -51 | +22 |
| Bank debits (thougands) ............. | 15,286 | + 11 | +6 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousends) $\ddagger$. | 17,311. | - 2 | + 12 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 10.5 | +12 |  |
| DENISON (pop. 25,766r) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . \$ | 25,894 | + 14 | + 14 |
| Bank debits (thousands) .............. | 19,441 | + 5 |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) \$. \$ | 16,962 | + 2 | +7 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover..... | 18.9 | $+7$ |  |
| Nonfarm dacements | 222 | + 35 | $+$ |

## DENTON: see DALLAS SMSA

## DONNA: see McALLEN-PHARR-EDINBURG SMSA

DUMAS (pop. 10,547r)

| Postal receipts* | 7,978 | - | + 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | 403,925 | + 46 | + 63 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | 11,814 | + | - 15 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) \$. | 12,540 | $+$ | + 20 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 11.4 | + 2 | 25 |
| EAGLE PASS (pop. 12,094) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipta* | 9,807 | $+3$ | $+6$ |
| Building permits, tess federal contracts | 227,472 | $+50$ | +513 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | 6,908 | $+$ | $+7$ |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | 5,156 | - | + 12 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 15.5 | $+11$ |  |

EDINBURG: see McALLEN-PHARR-EDINBURG SMSA
EDNA (pop. 5,038)

| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . \$ | 5,282 |  | 5 |  | 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bank debits (thousands) . . . . . . . . . . . . | 5,776 |  | ** | $+$ | 10 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | 7.045 | - | 4 | $+$ | 5 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 9.6 | + | 3 | + | 5 |

## EL PASO SMSA

(El Paso; pop. 339,9491)
Butilding permits, less federal contracts $\$ 6,837,724$
Bank debits (thousands)||............ 4, 4, 893,444
Nonfarm employment (area) ......... 99,10

| Manufacturing employment (ares) . | 18,050 | + | 2 | +8 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Percent unemployed (area) $\ldots \ldots \ldots$. | 4.5 | -6 | -22 |  |


| EL PASO (pop. 276,687) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Retail sales | $+10{ }^{+}$ | $+10$ | $-3$ |
| Apparel stores | + 28 + | + 24 | $+15$ |
| Antomotive stores | $+104$ | + 3 | 6 |
| Drugstores | + 5 中 | $+5$ | + 6 |
| Food stores | + 89 | $+10$ | 8 |
| Postal receipts* | \& 370,768 | ** | $\ddot{-1}$ |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ 6,817,074 | + 37 | +75 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............. | 8452,262 | + 22 | + 8 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | \$ 198,263 | -8 | ** |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 26.2 | $+24$ | + 3 |

## ENNIS: see DALLAS SMSA

## EULESS: see FORT WORTH SMSA

## FORT STOCKTON (pop. 6,373)

Fostal receipts* .........................
Building permits, less federal contracts \$
Bank debits (thousands)
End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . \$$
Annual rate of deposit turnover.

| 7,187 | -7 | +12 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 40,000 | -82 | -41 |
| 6,341 | +5 | +9 |
| 7,847 |  | +5 |
| 9.7 | +5 | 9 |

Local Business Conditions

| City and item | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Mar} \\ & 1966 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mgx } 1966 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Feb 1966 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mar } 196 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { mar } 196 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FORT WORTH SMSA <br> (Johnson and Tarrant; 611,2931) |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$12,533,048 |  | + 72 | $+30$ |
| Bank debits (thousands) \|| | \$13,789,980 | + 8 | + 9 |
| Nonfarm employment (area) | 245,300 | + 1 | + 5 |
| Manufacturing employment (area) | 68,550 | + 1 | +18 |
|  | 2.7 | - 10 | - 31 |



| Cleburne (pop. 15,381) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Postal receipts* | 18,691 | $+12$ | $+18$ |
| Building permits, less federal contraets | 420,344 | $-3$ | +400 |
| Bank deblts (thousands)............ | 15,380 | $+16$ | $+13$ |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | 12,483 | ** | ** |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 14.8 | + 18 | $+13$ |


| Euless (pop. 10,500r) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Postal receipts ${ }^{*}$ | 7,578 | $-12$ | $+10$ |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | 173,885 | -12 | $-68$ |
| Bank debits (thousands) | 9,119 | + 9 | + 48 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | $\$ 3.518$ | $-10$ | + 62 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 29.4 | $+10$ | 5 |
| FORT WORTH (pop. $\mathbf{3 5 6 , 2 6 8 )}$ |  |  |  |
| Retail sales | + 14 | $+25$ | $+7$ |
| Apparel stores | + 22 | $+27$ |  |
| Automotive stores | + 11 | $+16$ | - 3 |
| Eating and drinking places. | $+13$ | + 15 | $+14$ |
| Food stores | $+10$ | + 15 | +15 |
| Furniture and household <br> appliance stores............ . +10 - 28 |  |  |  |
| Gasoline and service stations. | + 3 | $+27$ | + 2 |
| Lumber, building material, and hardware stores... | $+17$ | $+38$ | + 19 |
| Postal receipts* | \$ 939,041 | - | + 2 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ 3,977,608 | + 44 | $+16$ |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ 1,067,154 | + 18 |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | 8 418,048 | $\cdots$ |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 30.2 | $+21$ |  |


| Grapevine (pop. 4,659r) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . \% | 6,128 | +16 | $+21$ |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 1,17.899 | + 23 | $-44$ |
| Bank debits (thousands)............. $\$$ | 5,359 | + 29 | + 28 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. \$ | 4,237 | + 4 | +22 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 15.5 | $+28$ | + 8 |
| North Richland Hills (pop. 8,662) |  |  |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 983,942 | +593 | +301 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............ ${ }^{\text {\$ }}$ | 11,294 | + 18 | + 53 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) f . \$ | 5.564 | + 4 | + 18 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 24.8 | $+12$ | $+24$ |

White Settlement (pop. 11,513)

| Building permits, less federal contracts $\$$ | 79,674 | -29 | -46 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Bank debits (thousands) ................. | 2,236 | +21 | +41 |
| End-of-mnnth deposits (thousands) $\ddagger \ldots$ | 1,518 | -2 | +33 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover...... | 17.5 | +18 | -1 |

FREDERICKSBURG (pop. 4,629)

| Postal receipts* | 6,391 | $-20$ | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits, less federal contracta | 311,200 |  | +169 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | 10,795 | $+.21$ | $+17$ |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | 9.257 | - 2 | $+10$ |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 13.9 | $+25$ |  |

For an explaration of symbols, please see p. 140.

Local Business Conditions

| City and item | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mar } \\ & 1966 \end{aligned}$ | Mar 1966 from Feb 1966 | Mar 1966 from Mar 196. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FRIONA (pop. 3,049r) |  |  |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 110,250 | +89 | + 77 |
| Bank debits (thousands)............ . 8 | 7,562 | - | + 27 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. \$ | 6.026 | - 1 | + 4 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 15.0 | - | $+23$ |

## GALVESTON-TEXAS CITY SMSA

(Galveston; pop. 153,9931)

| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ 1,036,489 | $-24$ | $+$ | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bank debits (thousands) $\\|$. | \$ 1,917,444 | ${ }_{6}$ | - | 1 |
| Nonfarm employment (area) | 53,800 | ** | - | 2 |
| Manufacturing employment (area) | 10,080 |  | - | 1 |
| Fercent unemployed (area) | 4,6 | $-10$ |  | 6 |

GALVESTON (pop. 67,175)

| Retail sales | $+10 \dagger$ | $+20$ | $+6$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Automotive stores | $+10 \dagger$ | + 26 | st 8 |
| Food stores | + $8 \dagger$ | + 9 | + 12 |
| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 128,201 | $+46$ | + 21 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 542,381 | $-16$ | + 18 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............ \$ | 106,500 | $+10$ | * |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. \$ | 58,940 | + 4 | 6 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 22.1 | $+10$ | + 6 |


| La Marque (pop. 13,969) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Postal receipts* | 8 | 12.460 | -11 | $\pm$ I1 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ | 92,793 | - 52 | - 61 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 10,112 | + 14 | --10 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | \$ | 6,986. | ** | $+84$ |
| Annual rate of deposit tarnover |  | 17.4 | + 16 | -24 |

Texas City (pop. $\mathbf{3 2 , 0 6 5 )}$


## GARLAND: see DALLAS SMSA

GATESVILLE (pop. 4,626)

| Postal receipts* | 6,158 | $-10$ | $+11$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............ | 5,968 | + | + 5 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. . \$ | 6,405 | - ${ }^{1}$ | - 8 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 11.1 | $+$ | + 5 |
| GEORGETOWN (pop. 5,218) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . \$ | 7,617 | $+9$ | + 22 |
| Building permits, less federal contraets \$ | 52,505 | - 19 | -78 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............. 8 | 5,770 | + 22 | + 18 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger .$. \$ | 6,416 | -6 | + |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 10.5 | + 28 | $+$ |
| GIDDINGS (pop. 2,821) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* : ..................... | 4,455 | - 4 | + 39 |
| Building permits, less federal contracte \$ | 122,695 |  | +844 |
| Bank debits (thousands) .............. \$ | 4.297 | +24 | +28 |
| End-of-month dedosits (thousands) $\ddagger$. $\$$ | 4,708 | - 1 | +10 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 10.9 | + 24 | $+15$ |

## GLADEWATER (pop. 5,742)

Building permits, less federal contracts \& 82,500 +406 +170

| Bank debits (thousands) ............. \$ | 4,908 | $+5$ | $+17$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. \$ | 5,043 | + 3 | $+10$ |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 11.9 | +. 3 | $+$ |
| Nonfarm employment (area) | 33,050 | $+$ | $\pm 7$ |
| Manufacturing employment (area) | 8,110 | + | +12 |
|  | 4.0 | + 3 | ** |


| Local Business Conditions |  |  | Percent change |  | Local Business Conditions City and item | $\underset{1965}{\mathrm{Mar}_{1}}$ |  | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Local Buslity and item |  | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Mar} \\ \hline 966 \end{gathered}$ | Mar 1966 from $\underset{\text { Feb } 1966}{ }$ Febiva | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mar } 1966 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Mar } 1965 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mar } 1966 \\ \text { from } \\ \text { Feb } 1966 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mar } 1966 \\ \text { from } \\ \text { Mar } 1965 \end{gathered}$ |
| GOLDTHWAITE (pop. 1,383) |  |  |  |  | Baytown (pop. 38,000r) |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* | \$ | 3,027 |  | $+37$ | Retail sales |  |  |  |  |
| Bank debits (thousands) |  | 4.210 | + 38 | +22 | Automotive stores |  | $+10 \dagger$ | + 11 |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$.. |  | 5,889 | + |  | Postal receipts* | \$ | 38,908 | + 17 | + 14 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. |  | 8.6 | + 32 | + 16 | Building permits, less federal contracts |  | 1,051,362 | +99 | +254 |
| GRAHAM (pop. 8,505) |  |  |  |  | Bank debits (thousands) |  | 33,013 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | End-of-manth deposits (thousends) $\ddagger$. . |  | 29,003 |  |  |
| Postal receipts* | \$ | 10,312 | +25 | + 12 | Annual rate of deposit turnover |  | 15.8 | + 12 |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts |  | ${ }^{39,125}$ | - 34 | $+88$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bank debits (thousands). | \$ | 10,958 | $+21$ | + 12 | Clute (pop. 4,501) |  |  |  |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | \$ | 10,504 | + 1 | $+7$ | Postal reecipts**...... | \% | 3.430 |  | + 34 |
| Annual rate of depnsit turnover |  | 12.6 | +18 |  | Building permits, less federal contracts | $8$ | 32,986 | + 59 | + 30 |
| GRANBURY (pop. 2,227 |  |  |  |  | Bank debits (thousands) |  | 2,129 | + 14 |  |
| Postal receipts** . ........... | \$ | 4,56.5 | + 28 | + 80 | End-of-month deposits (thousands)t. Annual rate of deposit turnover. |  | 1,628 15.6 | $\begin{array}{r}\text { + } \\ +15 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | - 9 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 1,925 | + 13 | + 10 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Endoof-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ |  | 2,453 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. |  | 9.5 | + 13 |  | Conroe (pop. 9,192) |  |  |  |  |
| GRAND PRAIRIE: see DALLAS SMSA |  |  |  |  | Building permits, less federal contracts |  | 74,500 | - | $-77$ |
| GRAPEVINE: see FORT WORTH SMSA |  |  |  |  | End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ |  | 13,679 |  | + 14 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Annual rate of deposit turnover |  | 14.8 | $+13$ |  |
| GREENVILLE (pop. 22,134r) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Retail sales |  | $+10 \dagger$ | + 17 | + 5 | Dayton (pop. 3,367) |  |  |  |  |
| Automotive stores |  | + 10t | + 14 | + 8 | Postal receipts* | \$ | 3,381 |  | + 18 |
| Postal receipts* | \$ | 37,324 | + 26 | + 11 | Building permits, less federal contracts |  | 31,900 | - | - 52 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts |  | 385,046 | + 1 | - 22 | Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 1,620 |  | + 27 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | * | 19,489 | + 7 | $+$ | End-of-month dedosits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | \$ | 3,516 |  | - 23 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | \$ | 15,887 | 2 | $+$ | Annual rate of deposit turnover |  | 15.6 | - 3 | + 59 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. |  | 15.1 | + 9 | - |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nonfarm placements |  | 143 | +30 | $+57$ | Deer Park '(pop |  |  |  |  |
| HARLINGEN: see BROWNSVILLE-HARLINGEN-SAN BENITO SMSA |  |  |  |  | Postal receipts* | 8 | 8,625 | $+13$ | $+61$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | Building permits, less federal contracta |  | 198,756 | - ${ }^{5}$ | - 14 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & +11 \\ & +21 \end{aligned}$ |
| HENDERSON (pop. 9,666) |  |  |  |  | Annual rate of deposit turnover. |  | $\begin{array}{r} 2,705 \\ 25.5 \end{array}$ |  | + 41 $+\quad 4$ |
| Postal recefpts**................. | \$ | 11,928 | $-18$ | - 8 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ | 105.000 | +28 | -28 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............ | , | 9,412 |  |  | HOUSTON (pop. 938,219) |  |  |  |  |
| End-uf-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ |  | 19,979 | + 1 | $+$ | Retail sales |  | + 13 | + 20 |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. |  | 5.7 |  | ** | Apparel stores |  | + 20 | + 11 | $+20$ |
| HEREFORD (pop. 9,584r) |  |  |  |  | Automotive store |  | + 17 <br> $+\quad 3$ | +24 $+\quad 4$ | +12 $+\quad 9$ |
| Postal receipts* | 8 | 14,837 | - 12 | + 15 | Eating and drinking places |  |  | + 16 |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ | 208,900 | - 17 | - 87 | Food stores |  | $+$ | $+27$ | + 10 |
| Bank debits (thousands) |  | 26.763 | $+16$ | + 32 | General merchandise stores |  | + 22 | $+$ |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. |  | 17,499 |  | + 11 | Liquor stores |  | $+10$ | $+10$ | + 12 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. |  | 18.8 |  | + 24 | Lumber, building material, |  |  |  |  |
| HOUSTON SMSA <br> (Brazoria, Fort Bend, Harris, Liberty and Montgomery; pop. $1,613,957^{1}$ ) |  |  |  |  | and hardware stores |  | + 2 | + 39 | +8 $+\quad 12$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | Building permits, Jess federal contracts |  | ,657,789 | $+10$ | 16 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Bank debits (thousands) .......... |  | 4,831,239 | + 20 | + 11 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$38,427,191 + $11+17$ |  |  |  |  | End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. |  | ,704,729 |  |  |
| Bank debits (thousands) \\|........ $\$ 58.652,172+1+12$ |  |  |  |  | Annual rate of deposit turnover. |  | 34.1 | +19 |  |
| Nonfarm employment (area) ........ |  | 670,500 |  | + 3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Manufacturing employment (ares) |  | 121,300 | 2* | + 4 | Humble (pop. 1,711) |  |  |  |  |
| Percent unemployed (area).......... |  | 2.3 | 12 | - 34 | Postal receiptz ${ }^{+}$................ | \$ | 4,886 |  | $+10$ |
| Angleton (pop. 9,131) |  |  |  |  | Building permits, less federal contracts |  | 25,000 | + 47 | -49 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Bank debits (thousands) | . | 4.433 | + 13 | + 17 |
| Postal receipts* | \% | 10,713 | $+45$ | + 12 | End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. |  | 3,883 | + 7 | + 3 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ | 110,000 |  | +111 | Annual rate of deposit turnover |  | 14.2 | + 10 | + 16 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 11,334 | -24 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. Annual rate of deposit turnover. |  | 11.495 | $-{ }^{6}$ | $\ldots$ | Katy (pop. 1,569) |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 11.5 | 18 |  | Building permits, less federal contracta | \$ | 74,369 | $+35$ | + 38 |
| Bellaire (pop. 21,182r) |  |  |  |  | Bank debits (thousands) ........... | $18$ | 3.112 | $+17$ | + 22 |
|  |  |  |  |  | End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger \ldots$ |  | 2,574 |  | $-13$ |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ | 51,225 | +88 | + 21 <br> -24 | Annual rate of deposit turnover. |  | 14.1 | + 26 | $+41$ |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 25,787 | + 15 | +21 |  |  |  |  |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | \$ | 16,050 |  | + 20 | La Porte (pop. 7,250r) |  |  |  |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover |  | 19.3 | + 15 |  | Pank debits (thousands) |  | 4,010 | -18 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ |  | 2,766 | --19 |  |
| bols, please see D. |  |  |  |  | Annual rate of deposit turnover |  | 15.5 |  | $-13$ |



## HUMBLE: see HOUSTON SMSA

HUNTSVILLE (pop. 11,999)

| Postal receipts* | 15,474 | 9 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 231.494 | +269 | +162 |
| Bank debits (thousands) . . . . . . . . . . . . | 10.354 |  | + 23 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | 11,171 | 7 | $+15$ |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 10.7 |  | $+$ |

## IOWA PARK: see WICHITA FALLS SMSA

## IRVING: see DALLAS SMSA

JACKSONVILLE (pop. 10,509r)

| Postal receipts* |  | 23,519 |  | 2 |  | 27 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ | 84,000 | - | 61 |  |  |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 14,659 | $+$ | 8 |  |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | \$ | 12,246 | $+$ | 2 |  | 12 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. |  | 14.5 | $+$ | 7 |  | 3 |
| JASPER (pop. 5,120r) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* | * | 9,158 | - | 9 |  | -12 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \% | 513,785 |  |  |  |  |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 11,107 | + | 7 |  |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | \$ | 8,357 |  | 4* |  | 3 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover |  | 16.0 | $+$ | 7 |  | ** |

JUSTIN: see DALLAS SMSA

## KATY:- see HOUSTON SMSA

[^4]| Local Business Conditions |  | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| City and item | $\operatorname{Mar~}_{196 \mathrm{E}}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mar } 1966 \\ \text { from } \\ \text { Feb } 966 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mar } 1966 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Mar } 1965 \end{aligned}$ |
| KILGORE (pop. 10,092) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* | 14,953 | - 22 |  |
| Bank debits (thousands) | 13,767 | + 13 | + 13 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. \$ | 13,741 | - | $+$ |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 11.7 | $+15$ |  |
| Nonfarm employment (ares) | 83,050 |  |  |
| Manufacturing employment (area). | 8,110 |  | +12 |
| Percent unemployed (area) | 4,0 |  | * |
| KILLEEN (pop. 23,377) |  |  |  |
| Posta! receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . \% | 44,504 |  |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracta ${ }^{\text {s }}$ | 1,613,034 | +105 | $+60$ |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............ $\%$ | 17,995 | + | - 18 |
| End-of-month deposita (thousands) t. \% | 13,569 | ** | - 13 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 15.9 |  | 15 |
| KINGSLAND (pop. 150) |  |  |  |
| Postal reeeipts* | 1,195 |  | - 36 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............ 8 | 2,842 | + | +153 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. \$ | 1,119 | + 23 | + 65 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 33.6 | - 11 | +62 |
| KINGSVILLE (pop. 25,297) |  |  |  |
| Postal receidts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . $\%$ | 21,401 |  | $+13$ |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 573,384 | +918 | +142 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............ 8 | 15.590 | + 12 | + 21 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. \% | 15,889 | - | - |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 11.2 | $+18$ | + 14 |
| KIRBYVILLE (pop. 2,021r) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts ${ }^{*}$. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 4,471 | + 15 |  |
| Bank debits (thousands) .............s | 3,248 | + 65 | + 45 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. 8 | 4,314 | + 2 | + 23 |
| Anntal rate of deposit turnover | 9.1 | +65 | $+17$ |

## LA FERIA: see BROWNSVILLE-HARLINGEN-SAN BENITO SMSA

LA MARQUE: see GALVESTON-TEXAS CITY SMSA
LAMESA (pop. 12,438)

| Postal receipts* | 13,821 | + 28 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | 81,842 | +985 | $+30$ |
| Bank debits (thousands) | 16.275 | $-17$ | +6 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | 18,425 |  | + 13 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 10.5 |  | - ${ }^{\text {f }}$ |
| Nonfarm placements | 80 |  | + 81 |
| LAMPASAS (pop. 5,670r) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* | 5,554 | $+9$ |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | 54,375 | $-26$ |  |
| Bank debits (thousands)............. | 7,687 | $+19$ |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thoustuds) $\ddagger$. \$ | 6,904 | + 4 |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 13.6 | + 20 |  |

## LA PORTE: see HOUSTON SMSA

> LAREDO SMSA
> (Webb; pop. 71,7381)

Building permits, less federal contracts \$ 147,995 - 54 - 54
Bank debits (thousands) |(.......... $5658,552+21$

| Nonfarm employment (area) ......... | 21,650 | ** | 10 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Manufacturing employment (area). | 1,250 | $-\quad 5$ | -7 |

Percent unemployed (area) ........... 11.5 - 1 - 10

LAREDO (pop. 60,678)



LOS FRESNOS: see BROWNSVILLE-HARLINGENgan benito smsa

| LUBBOCK SMSA |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| (Lubbock; pop. | 177,1401) |  |  |

[^5]| Local |  | Conditions |  | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Mar | Mar 1966 from | $\text { Mar } 1966$ from |
|  | City and item |  | 1966 | Feb 1966. | Mar 1965 |


| McALLEN-PHARR-EDINBURG <br> (Hidalgo; pop. 178,343) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 772,659 | $-48$ |  |
| Nonfarm employment (area) ......... | 42,550 | * | + 4 |
| Manufacturing employment (area). | 2,940 | $+$ | - 20 |
| Percent unemployed (area) | 6.8 | - 5 | $\rightarrow 19$ |
| Donna (pop. 7,522) |  |  |  |
| Pogtal recelpts* ..................... ${ }^{\text {\% }}$ | 4,605 | - 2 | $+14$ |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 16,100 | $-44$ | + 65 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............. 8 | 3,140 | + 19 | + 29 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) 4. . | 4,166 | + 2 | $\pm 16$ |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 9.1 | + 17 | +14 |

## Edinburg (pop. 18,706)

| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . \% | 16,005 | $+1$ | $+18$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 170,536 | +16 | * +18 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............. | 19.858 |  | + 13 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger .8$ | 12,223 | - 4 | + 5 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 19.1 | - 1 | + 4 |
| Nonfarm placements | 238 | $-21$ | + 36 |
| McALLEN (pop. 35,411r) |  |  |  |
| Retail sales | $10 \dagger$ | $+14$ | $+17$ |
| Automotive stores | 10\% | $+$ | + |
| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . $\$$ | 89,382 | - 3 | $+$ |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 363.780 | $+51$ | + 82 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............. | 44,007 | + 14 | + 18 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. . $\$$ | 26,962 | $+1$ | + 20 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover...... | 19.7 | $+12$ | 6 |
| Nonfarm placements | 715 |  | +126 |


| Mercedes (pop. 10,943) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Postal receipts* | 6,546 | + 18 | $+7$ |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | 31,400 | +62 | +80 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | 6,667 | $+8$ | + 5 |
| End-of-month deposits (thoussads) $\ddagger$ | 4,416 | - 4 | + 20 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 17.7 | + 7 | - 14 |


| Mission (pop. 14,081) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Postal receipts* | 10,128 | + 11 | + 1 |
| Building permits, less federai contracts \$ | 59,100 | + 5 | $-40$ |
| Bank debits (thousands)............. \$ | 13,749 | 2 | $+18$ |
| Ead-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. \$ | 9,870 |  | +14 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover..... | 17.1 | - 1 | - 1 |
| Pharr (pop. 15,279r) |  |  |  |
| Postal recelpts ${ }^{4}$..................... . ${ }^{\text {s }}$ | 8,705 | + 14 | + 26 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 32,780 | -97 | - 58 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | 5,446 | $+22$ | $+16$ |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . .8$ | 5,819 | + 33 | + 28 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 12.8 | + 0 | + 2 |
| San Juan (pop. 4,371) |  |  |  |
| Rostal receipts* ${ }^{\text {* }}$. ${ }^{\text {a }}$.................. | 8,115 | $+15$ | $+9$ |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 36,839 | $+260$ |  |
| Bank debits (thousands).............. | 2,726 | - 12 | + 15 |
| Erd-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | 2,426 |  | + 8 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 13.1 | - 6 | + 7 |
| Weslaco (pop. 15,649) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* .................... ${ }^{\text {\% }}$ | 12,006 | - 3 | + 8 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | 57.230 | +269 | + 14 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............. \$ | 10,309 | + 12 | + 15 |
| Ent-of-month deposits ( (housands) $\ddagger$. . | 9,063 | - 4 | + 12 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover ..... | 18.4 | + 13 | 1 |

MISSION:' see McALLEN-PHARR-EDINBURG SMSA


| Local Business Conditions |  |  | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| City and item |  | $\underset{1986}{\mathrm{Mar}_{2}}$ | Mar 1966 from Feb 196 | $\text { Mar } 1966$ $\begin{gathered} \text { froma } \\ \text { Mar } 196 \end{gathered}$ $\text { Mar } 19$ |
| MOUNT PLEASANT (pop. 8,027) |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* | \% | 10,189 | - |  |
| Building dermits, less federal contracts | \$ | 126,450 | +872 | + 94 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | s | 11,760 | +12 |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousanis) $\ddagger$ | \$ | 9,079 | + 3 | $+$ |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. |  | 15.8 | +11 | - |
| MUENSTER (pop. 1,190) |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* | \% | 2,906 | + 16 | + 56 |
| Bailding permits, less federal contracts | \$ | 75.100 |  | +200 |
| Bank debits (thousands)........... | 8 | 2,556 | + |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | \$ | 2,109 | + |  |
| Annuat rate of deposit turnover. |  | 14.9 | $+10$ |  |
| NACOGDOCHES (pop. 15,450r) |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* | \$ | 30,563 | + 43 | +25 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ 4 | 849,802 |  |  |
| Bank debits (thousands) , ........ | 3 | 23,645 | + | +12 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | * | 20,630 | - | $+$ |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. |  | 13.5 | + 13 | + 7 |
| Nonfarm placements |  | 126 |  | + 34 |
| NEDERLAND: see BEAUMONT-PORT ARTHURORANGE SMSA |  |  |  |  |
| NEW BRAUNFELS (pop. 15,631) |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* |  | 20,886 | + 12 | + 14 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts |  | 160;633 | - 28 | - 63 |
| Bank debits (thousands) . . . . . . . . . | \$ | 15,900 | + 14 | + 21 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | \$ | 14,598 | ** | + 16 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover |  | 13.1 | +17 | + |
| NORTH RICHLAND HILLS: see FORT WORTH SMSA |  |  |  |  |
| ODESSA SMSA (Ector; pop. 85,7271) |  |  |  |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | 1 1, | 407,958 | - 11 | - 13 |
| Bank debits (thousands) (1......... |  | ,447,332 | + 19 | + 29 |
| Nonfarm employment (ares) |  | 57.000 | ** | $+$ |
| Manufacturing employment (area) . |  | 4,830 | + | + 16 |
| Pereent unemployed (area). |  | 2.9 | - | - 29 |
| ODESSA (pop. 86,937r) |  |  |  |  |
| Retail sales |  | $+10 \dagger$ | $+18$ |  |
| Postal receipts* | \% | 96,134 | + | $+$ |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$1, | ,407,958 | - 11 | $-13$ |
| Bank debits (thousands) ........... | + | 127,451 | +57 | + 32 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | \$ | 92,690 | + 30 | + 49 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. |  | 18.7 | + 34 | + |
| Nonfarm placements |  | 402 | - 12 |  |
| ORANGE: see BEAUMONT-PORT ARTHURORANGE SMSA |  |  |  |  |
| PALESTINE (pop. 13,974) |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* | \$ | 16,424 | - б | + 1 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \% | 194,910 | + 41 | +185 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............ | 8 | 14,391 | + 16 |  |
| End-of-manth deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | \% | 16,928 | - 2 |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover...... |  | 10.1 | $+17$ |  |


| Local Business Conditions | $\underset{1966}{\operatorname{Mar}}$ | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mar } 1966 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Feb } 1966 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mar } 1966 \\ & \text { Mrom } 1965 \end{aligned}$ |
| PAMPA (pop. 24,664) |  |  |  |
| Retail sales | $+10 \dagger$ | $+10$ | - 17 |
| Postal receipts* | 29.002 | + | +, 5 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 182,110 | + 14 | - 37 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | 30.141 | $+10$ |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) ¢ . $\$$ | 19,706 | - | - |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 18.0 | + 13 | + 11 |
| Nonfarm placements | 185 | $+10$ | + 25 |
| PARIS (pop. 20,977) |  |  |  |
| Retail sales | + $10 \dagger$ | + 10 | + 19 |
| Postal receipts* | 26,391 | -9 |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 244,247 | - 11 | - 17 |
| Nonfarm placements | 110 | - | ** |

## PASADENA: see HOUSTON SMSA

| PECOS (pop. 12,728) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Postal receipts* | \$ | 11,338 | $+20$ | + 21 |
| Building permits, leas federal contracts | \$ | 236,250 | $+381$ |  |
| Bank debits (thousands) | 8 | 16,905 | $+4$ | $+4$ |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | \$ | 10,826 | - 2 | 2 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover |  | 18.6 | $+\mathrm{B}$ | $+6$ |
| Nonfarm placements |  | 63 | -- 56 | - 11 |

PHARR: see McALLEN-PHARR-EDINBURG SMSA

## PILOT POINT: see DALLAS SMSA

| PLAINVIEW (pop. 18,731r) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Postal receipts* | \$ | 82.449 | $-9$ | + |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ |  | 832,800 | $-43$ | - 4 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............s | 8 | 49,793 | $+$ | $+11$ |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger .8$ |  | 34,613 | $+$ | 2 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. |  | 17.9 | + 9 | + 15 |
| Nonfarm placements |  | 268 | $+50$ | + 11 |

## PLANO: see DALLAS SMSA

## PLEASANTON (pop. 5,053r)



PORT ARTHUR: see BEAUMONT-PORT ARTHURORANGE SMSA

PORT ISABEL: see BROWNSVILLE-HARLINGEN. SAN BENITO SMSA

## PORT NECHES: see BEAUMONT-PORT ARTHURORANGE SMSA

## QUANAH (pop. 4,564)

| Postal receipts* | \$ | 4,454 | - 6 | 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ | 25,000 | + 9 | +800 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 5,567 | + 11 | $+17$ |
| Fnd-of-month deposite (thousands) $\ddagger$. | \$ | 5,416 | 5 | + 5 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover |  | 12.0 | + 11 | + 11 |

For an explanation of symbols, please see p. 140.

| Local Business Conditions |  | $\underset{1966}{\mathrm{Mar}_{19}}$ | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mar } 1966 \\ \text { from } \\ \text { Feb } 1966 \end{gathered}$ | Mar 1966 Mar 1965 |
| RAYMONDVILLE (pop. 9,385) |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* |  |  | 7,388 | - | - |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ | 19,350 | $+409$ | - 54 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | 8 | 6,437 | $+$ |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | \$ | 7,190 | $-4$ |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover |  | 10.5 | $+$ |  |
| Nonfarm placernents |  | 39 | - 38 | - ${ }^{2}$ |
| RICHARDSON: see DALLAS SMSA |  |  |  |  |
| RICHMOND : see HOUSTON SMSA |  |  |  |  |
| ROBSTOWN: see CORPUS CHRISTI SMSA |  |  |  |  |
| ROCKDALE (pop. 4,481) |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* | \% | 6,179 |  | + 19 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | 8 | 10,000 | $-83_{4}$ | - 27 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \% | 4,688 | + 1 |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) ; | \$ | 7,262 | + |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover |  | 7.9 | $+$ |  |

## ROSENBERG: see HOUSTON SMSA

| SAN ANGELO SMSA (Tom Green; pop. 70,8761) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building nermits, less federal contracts \$ | (638,589 | $+48$ | + 26 |
| Bank debits (thousands) \\|.......... \$ | 941,640 | + 8 | + 14 |
| Nonfarm employment (area)......... | 21,750 | + 1 | + 7 |
| Manufacturing employment (area). | 3,420 | + 1 | $+18$ |
| Percent unemployed (area) | 3.0 | - 21 | - 35 |
| SAN ANGELO (pop. 58,815) |  |  |  |
| Retail sales | $+10 \dagger$ | + 17 | $+17$ |
| Postal receipts* ${ }^{*}$. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . $\$$ | - 93,477 | $-14$ | $+15$ |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | ( 638.589 | + 48 | + 26 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............. | - 75,112 | + 14 | $+14$ |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) f. | - 54,110 | - 2 | $+$ |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 16.6 | $+16$ | $+$ |
| SAN ANTONIO SMSA |  |  |  |
| (Bexar and Guadalupe; pop. $800,968{ }^{1}$ ) |  |  |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | \$19,609,811 | +151 | +225 |
| Bank debits (thousands) \\|........... \$ | \$12,018,884 | + 6 | $+18$ |
| Nonfarm employment (area) . . . . . . . | 237,300 | ** | + 3 |
| Manufacturing employment (area). | 27,725 | ** | ** |
| Percent unemployed (area) | 4.2 | -5 | - 26 |
| SAN ANTONIO ${ }_{\text {i }}$ (pop. 655,006r) |  |  |  |
| Retail sales | + 15 | + 18 | + 10 |
| Apparel stores | + 38 | + 43 | +15 |
| Automotive stores | + 14 | + 11 | - |
| Eating and drinking places | + 10 | + 15 | + 14 |
| Florists |  | $-10$ | $+$ |
| Furniture and household <br> appliance stores |  |  |  |
| Gasoline and service stations | $+13$ | $+17$ | - 8 |
| General merchandise stores. | + 31 | + 3 | + 16 |
| Lumber, building material, |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts ${ }^{*}$. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | * 980,894 | ** | + 8 |
| Building Dermits, less federal contracts | \$18,568,089 | +160 | +288 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ 1,013,405 | + 19 | +16 |
| Eind-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. \$ | \$ 479.579 | + 2 | + 7 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 25.6 | + 20 | + 9 |
| Schertz (pop. 2,281) |  |  |  |
| Postal recejpts* | \$ 1,793 | -- 28 | $-37$ |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ 674 | + 20 |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ \$ | \$ 1,085 | - 4 |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 7.3 | + 20 |  |


| Local Business Conditions |  | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| city and item | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mar } \\ 1966 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mar } 1966 \\ \text { from } 1966 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mar } 1966 \\ \text { from } \\ \text { Mar } 1965 \end{gathered}$ |
| Segain (pop, 14,299) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . \$ | 13,411 | - 7 | + 5 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 76,100 | -65 | - 25 |
| Bank debits (thousands) . . . . . . . . . . \$ | 16,127 | $+10$ |  |
| Erid-at-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. 8 | 16,385 | + 3 | + 5 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover..... | 12.0 | + 11 | $-10$ |

SAN BENITO: see BROWNSVILLE-HARLINGEN-SAN BENITO SMSA

SAN JUAN: see McALLEN-PHARR-EDINBURG SMSA

| SAN MARCOS (pop. 12,713) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Postal receipts* | 15,791 | + 11 | $+24$ |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 730,043 | + 77 | + 4 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............. | 13,608 | + 19 | + 31 |
| End-oi-month deposite (thousands) $\ddagger$. \$ | 15,205 | ** | + 18 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 10.7 | +16 | +9 |
| SAN SABA (pop, 2,728) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts ${ }^{\text { }}$. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ${ }^{\text {\% }}$ | 3,939 | ** | -7 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 23,100 | + 65 | $+45$ |
| Bank debits (thousands)............. | 4,774 | + 31 | $+21$ |
| Find-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. ${ }^{\text {\% }}$ | 4.676 | - 1 | + 11 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 12.2 | + 37 | + 8 |

## SCHERTZ: see SAN ANTONIO SMSA

| SEAGOVILLE: see DALLAS SMSA |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SEGUIN: see SAN ANTONIO SMSA |  |  |  |
| SHERMAN (pop. 30,660r) |  |  |  |
| Retail sales | $+10 \%$ | $+10$ |  |
| Automotive stores | $+10 \dagger$ | + 6 | - 5 |
| Postal receipts ${ }^{4}$. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 38,241 | $-1$ | - 6 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 630,904 | $+20$ | $+76$ |
| Bank debits (thousands) . . . . . . . . . . . | 38,473 | + 14 | $+20$ |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . \$$ | 25,051 | ** | + 12 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 18.4 | $+18$ | + 5 |
| Nonfarm placements | 186 | $+14$ | + 22 |
| SILSBEE (pop. 6,277) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . $\$$ | 10,155 | ${ }^{* *}$ | + 15 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 101,250 | $-37$ | + 21 |
| Bank debits (thousands) .............. \$ | 5.491 | $+20$ | $+21$ |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger .8$ | 6,048 | $+1$ | $+7$ |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 10.9 | $+16$ | + 14 |

## SINTON: see CORPUS CHRISTI SMSA

## SLATON: see LUBBOCK SMSA

## SMITHVILLE (pop. 2,933)

| Postal receipts* | \% | 2,481 | -23 |  | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | 8 | 400 |  |  | 95 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 1,447 | + 19 | + | 13 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | \$ | 2,468 | ** | + | 3 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. |  | 7.0 | + 17 | + | 9 |

For an explanation of symbols, please see p. 140.

| Local Business Conditions |  | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| City and item | $\underset{1966}{\text { Mar }}$ | Mar 1966 $\underset{\text { Feb }}{\text { from }} 1966$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mar } 1966 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Mar } 1965 \end{aligned}$ |
| SNYDER (pop. 13,850) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts .................... \$ | 14,805 | $+25$ |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 62,000 | + 24 | $+120$ |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............ \$ | 15,434 | - 8 | $+16$ |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. \% | 19,553 | - 5 |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 9.2 |  | $+10$ |

## SOUTH HOUSTON: see HOUSTON SMSA

SULPHUR SPRINGS (pop. 9,160)

| Retail sales Automotive atores | + $10 \dagger$ | $+18$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Postal receipts* | 24,337 | $+42$ | + 41 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | 578,830 | +725 | + 78 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | 17,187 | + 15 | + 19 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | 14,418 | $-4$ | $+10$ |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 14.0 | + 17 |  |

STEPHENVILLE (pop. 7,359)

| Postal receipte* | \$ | 14,869 | + 31 | + 56 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits, less federal contracta | \$ | 516,500 | $+436$ | + 81 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | * | 9,606 | + 11 | $+16$ |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | e | 10,178 | + 2 | + 12 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover |  | 11.4 | + 11 | $+5$ |

## STRATFORD (pop. 1,380)

| Postal receipt ${ }^{*}$ |  | 2,611 |  | +32 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits, less fereral contracts | + | 15.700 | -64 | 48 |
| Bank debits (thrusands) | \$ | 10,770 | + 50 | + 68 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | \$ | 6,278 | $+10$ | + 12 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnove |  | 21.6 | $+46$ | $+51$ |

## SWEETWATER (pop. 13,914)

| Postal receipts ${ }^{*}$. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . $\$$ | 14,056 | - 9 | $+0$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 65,700 | - 25 | - 18 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............ \% | 13.973 | + 1 | +18 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. $\$$ | 10,833 | ${ }^{*}{ }^{*}$ | $+3$ |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 16.3 | $+$ | + 16 |
| Nonfarm placements | 168 | + 18 | $-28$ |
| TAYLOR (pop. 9,434) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts**................... . ${ }^{\text {\% }}$ | 10,862 | $+9$ | $+19$ |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 85,556 | +213 | - 81 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............. | 9.797 | + 14 | $+13$ |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. \$ | 15,940 | - 2 | $+$ |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 7.3 | $+18$ | $+7$ |
| Nonfarm placements | 61 | +168 | $+96$ |

TEMPLE (pop. 34,730r)

| Retail sales | $+10 \%$ | + 18 | $+$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Furniture and household anpliance stores | - 1 $\dagger$ | + 5 | 5 |
| Postal receipts* | 52,509 | + 14 | $+14$ |
| Building permits, less federal eontracts \$ | 323.790 | ** | $-23$ |
| Bank debits (thousands).............. \$ | 36,517 | $+11$ |  |
| Nonfarm placements | 212 | - 9 | $-12$ |

TERRELL (pop. 13,803)

| Postal receipts* |  | 8.762 | $-16$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ | 134,500 | + 48 | $+88$ |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 10,853 |  | $+17$ |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ |  | 9,759 | 6 | $+16$ |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover |  | 13.0 | + | - 1 |


|  | ${ }_{1966}^{\text {Mar }}$ | Perent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Local Business Conditions <br> City and item |  | Mar 1966 Feb: 1966 |  |
| TEXARKANA SMSA <br> (Bowie, excluding Miller, Ark.; pop. 66,7431) |  |  |  |
| Building permits, less federal | 129, | +178 |  |
| Bank debits (thousands) \|| | 1,074,024 |  | $+25$ |
| Nonfarm employment (area) | 33,950 | + |  |
| Manufacturing employment (area) | ${ }^{7.450}$ | + | $+{ }^{14}$ |
| Percent unemployed (area) | 1 |  |  |
| TEXARKANA (pop. 50,006r) |  |  |  |
| Retail sales | + $10 \dagger$ |  |  |
| Postal receipts** | 70,647 | - ${ }^{3}$ |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \% | 697.483 | +315 | 52 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | 77,618 | +16 | ${ }^{23}$ |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) 75.8 | 23,984 |  | +12 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 21.1 | + 16 | 11 |

## TEXAS CITY: see GALVESTON-TEXAS CITY SMSA

TOMBALL: see HOUSTON SMSA
$\left.\begin{array}{ccccc}\hline \text { TYLER SMSA } \\ \text { (Smith; pop. } 95,412^{1} \text { ) }\end{array}\right]$

UVALDE (pop. 10,293)

| Postal receipts* | \$ | 10,696 | $-34$ | + 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits, leas federal contracts | \$ | 101,614 | + 6 | -41 |
| Bank delits (thousands) | \$ | 15,989 | + 17 | $+22$ |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | \$ | 9,244 | 2 | + 6 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover |  | 20.6 | $+20$ | +14 |

VERNON (pop. 12,141)

| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 63.945 | $+95$ | - 16 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bank debits (thousauds).............. \$ | 17,279 | + 9 | $+16$ |
| Eind-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. $\$^{\text {S }}$ | 20,368 | ** | $+3$ |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 10.2 | $+10$ | $+13$ |
| Nonfarm placements | 99 | + 30 | +111 |

VICTORIA (pop. 33,047)

| Retail sules | $+104$ | + 25 | $+12$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Automotive stores | $+10{ }^{+}$ | + 29 | $+17$ |
| Postal receipts*. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . \% | 50,277 | + 6 | + 12 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............ \$ | 88.531 | + 26 | + 23 |
| End-of-month depositi (thousands) $\ddagger$ \$ | 94,618 | + 2 | $+6$ |
| Anrual rate of deposit turnover | 11.4 | +27 | $+18$ |
| Nonfarm placements | 594 | + | $+$ |


| Local Business Conditions |  | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| City and item | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mar } \\ & 1966 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mar } 1966 \\ \text { from } \\ \text { Feb } 1966 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{Mar} 1966 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \operatorname{Mar} 1965 \end{aligned}$ |
| WACO SMSA |  |  |  |
| (McLennan; pop. $152,630^{1}$ ) |  |  |  |
| Building perrnits, less federal contracts $\$$ | \$ 1,394,369 | $+93$ | --43 |
| Bank debits (thousands) \|l........... ${ }^{\text {S }}$ | 2,027,268 | ** | + 15 |
| Nonfarm employment (area)......... | 53,600 | ** | + 2 |
| Manufacturing employment (area) | 11,390 | - 1 | + 4 |
| Percent unemployed (area) | 4.5 | - 4 |  |
| McGregor (pop. 4,642) |  |  |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracta \$ | 26,250 |  | $+18$ |
| Bank debits (thousands) . . . . . . . . . . . \$ | \$ 5,372 | - 6 | - 9 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. \$ | \$ 6,848 | - | + 7 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 9.8 | - 8 | - 18 |
| WACO (pop. 103,462) |  |  |  |
| Retail salestt | $+10 \uparrow$ | ** | - 5 |
| Automotive stores $\dagger \dagger$ | $+10 t$ | -7 | - 10 |
| Furniture and household |  |  |  |
| General merchandise stores. | $+12 \dagger$ | $+21$ | $+4$ |
| Postal receipts ${ }^{\text { }}$.................... . | \$ 232,401 | + 11 | + 12 |
| Building perrnits, less federal contracts \$ | \$ 1,188,039 | + 72 | - 51 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............. \$ | \$ 166.608 | + 18 | $+14$ |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. ${ }^{\text {\% }}$ | \$ 92,275 | - | + 4 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover..... | 21.5 | + 17 | $+10$ |

## WAXAHACHIE: see DALJAS SMSA

| WEATHERFORD (pop. 9,759) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . \$ | 11,270 | - 14 | ** |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 192,650 | + 24 | +249 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . \$$ | 15,013 | - 2 | + 5 |

WESLACO: see McALLEN-PHARR-EDINBURG SMSA

WHITE SETTLEMENT: see FORT WORTH SMSA

| WICHITA FALLS SMSA <br> (Archer and Wichita; pop. 129,3531) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits, Jess federal contracts | \$ 957,637 | $-48$ | $-7$ |
| Bank debits (thousands) \\|.......... | 2,313,168 | $+10$ | $+15$ |
| Nonfarm employment (area) | 47,500 | +1 | + 3 |
| Manufacturing employment (area). | 4,130 | ** | 2 |
| Percent unemployed (area) | 2.7 | $-18$ | -34 |
| Iowa Park (pop. 5,152r) |  |  |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | 41,315 | - 84 | $+6$ |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ 3,781 | + 12 | +13 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | \% 4,139 | -3 | - 6 |
| Annual rate of deposit tarnover. | 10.8 | + 15 | +19 |
| WICHITA FALLS (pop. 101,724) |  |  |  |
| Retail sales | $+10 \dagger$ |  | + 10 |
| Automotive stores | + $10 \dagger$ | $+4$ | + 8 |
| Postal receipts* | \$ 129,867 | - 5 | + 9 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ 664,322 | - 58 | - 30 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............ | \$ 175,465 | + 25 | + 14 |
| End-ci-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | \$ 99,957 | * | $+3$ |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 21.1 | $\pm 27$ | $+10$ |

[^6]$\dagger \dagger$ Reported in cooperation with the Baylor Bureau of Business Research.

## BAROMETERS OF TEXAS BUSINESS

All figures are for Texas unless otherwisc indicated. All indexes are based on the average months for 1957-59, except where indicated; all are adjusted for seasonal variation, except annual indexes. Employment estimates are Texas Employment Commission data in cooperation with the Bureau of Labor Statistics of the U. S. Department of Labor. Employment data marked ( $\dagger$ ) cover wage and salary workers only. The index of Texas business activity is based on bank debits in 20 citics, adjusted for price level. An asterisk ( ${ }^{*}$ ) indicates preliminary data subject to revision. Revised data are marked (r). Data marked ( $\delta$ ) are dollar totals for the fiscal year to date.


## NEW PUBLICATIONS

## 1966 DIRECTORY OF TEXAS MANUFACTURERS

The most complete and authoritative source of information on manufacturing plants in Texas. Provides the following data for over 10,900 plants: name and complete address, name of executive officer, a description of products manufactured, and the name and main office address of parent company where applicable. Three complete sections: an alphabetical list by firm name, a list by city location, and a list of all products manufactured in Texas.
. . $\$ 15.00$

BUREAU OF BUSINESS RESEARCH THE UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS

AUSTIN, TEXAS 78712

$$
\text { (Texas residents add } 2 \% \text { sales tax.) }
$$


[^0]:    *Whange is less than one-half of $1 \%$.
    Source: Based on bank debits reported by the Federal Reserve Bank of Dallas and adjusted for seasonal variation and changes in the price level by the Bureau of Business Research.

[^1]:    *An abstract from a stady by Grady B. Bruce, John Rw Stockton, and Stanley A. Arbingast to be published early this summer by the Bureau of Business Research. The complete study will contain projections for a number of important industries omitted here, as well as additional textual material and a large number of charts and tables.
    **Assistant Professor of Marketing Administration, The University of Texas.

[^2]:    Source of data: 1939-1964, United States, Burean of Labor Statistics, U. S. Department of Labor; 1939-1947, Texas, U. S. Cenars of Manufactures; 1956-1964, Texas, Texas Employment Commission.

[^3]:    For an explanation of symbols, please see p. 140 .

[^4]:    For an explanation of symbols, please see p. 140 .

[^5]:    For an explanation of symbols, please see p. 140.

[^6]:    For an explanation of symbols, please see p. 140.

