

DeAnn T. Walker
Chairman

Arthur C. D'Andrea
Commissioner

Shelly Botkin
Commissioner

John Paul Urban
Executive Director



Greg Abbott
Governor

Public Utility Commission of Texas

October 1, 2019

Dear Subscriber:

Enclosed is a telecommunications rule as recently adopted:

Chapter 26 — Telecommunications.

<u>Project</u>	<u>Title</u>	<u>Action</u>	<u>Effective Date</u>
	Table of Contents	Amended	
47668	§26.409. Review of Texas Universal service Fund Support Received by Competitive Eligible Telecommunications Providers	New	10/3/19

Please place the rules in your rulebooks in the appropriate order. The Order adopting the rule can be found on the Commission's Interchange System, and the Project Webpage: <http://www.puc.texas.gov/industry/projects/communication/47668/47668.aspx>

If you have any questions, please call. Thank you.


A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Andrea Gonzalez".

Andrea Gonzalez
Rules Coordinator
Commission Advising and Docket Management
(512) 936-7244
Email: andrea.gonzalez@puc.texas.gov



Printed on recycled paper

An Equal Opportunity Employer



- (b) Application.
- (c) Establishment of AFA need.
- (d) Reporting requirements.

§26.409. Review of Texas Universal Service Fund Received by Competitive Eligible Telecommunications Providers.

- (a) Purpose.
- (b) Application.
- (c) Commission review.
- (d) Identification of exchanges for review.
- (e) Initiation of proceeding.
- (f) Competitive ETP's response to commission staff's application.
- (g) Commission staff's recommendation.
- (h) Competitive ETP's response to commission staff's recommendation.
- (i) Commission determination.
- (j) Further review.

§26.410. Universal Service Fund Reimbursement for Certain IntraLATA Service.

- (a) Purpose.
- (b) Applicability.
- (c) Reimbursement.
- (d) Reporting requirements.

§26.412. Lifeline Service Program.

- (a) Scope and Purpose.
- (b) Applicability.
- (c) Definitions.
- (d) Customer Eligibility Requirements.
- (e) Lifeline Service Program.
- (f) Lifeline support and recovery of support amounts.
- (g) Obligations of the customer and the Lifeline provider.

§26.413. Link Up for Tribal Lands.

- (a) Scope and Purpose.
- (b) Applicability.
- (c) Definitions.
- (d) Link Up for Tribal Lands.
- (e) Obligations of the customer.
- (f) Obligations of the participating telecommunications carrier.

- §26.414. Telecommunications Relay Service (TRS).**
- (a) Purpose.
 - (b) Provision of TRS.
 - (c) Contract for the TRS carrier.
 - (d) Special features for TRS.
 - (e) Advisory Committee.
- §26.415. Specialized Telecommunications Assistance Program (STAP).**
- (a) Purpose.
 - (b) Program responsibilities.
 - (c) Program administration.
- §26.417. Designation as Eligible Telecommunications Providers to Receive Texas Universal Service Funds (TUSF).**
- (a) Purpose.
 - (b) Requirements for establishing ETP service areas.
 - (c) Criteria for designation of ETPs.
 - (d) Designation of more than one ETP.
 - (e) Proceedings to designate telecommunications providers as ETPs.
 - (f) Requirements for application for ETP designation and commission processing of application.
 - (g) Relinquishment of ETP designation.
 - (h) Auction procedure for replacing the sole ETP in an area.
 - (i) Requirements for annual affidavit of compliance to receive TUSF support.
- §26.418. Designation of Common Carriers as Eligible Telecommunications Carriers to Receive Federal Universal Service Funds.**
- (a) Purpose.
 - (b) Applicability.
 - (c) Service areas.
 - (d) Criteria for determination of ETCs.
 - (e) Criteria for determination of receipt of federal universal service support.
 - (f) Designation of more than one ETC.
 - (g) Proceedings to designate ETCs.
 - (h) Application requirements and commission processing of applications.
 - (i) Designation of ETC for unserved areas.
 - (j) Relinquishment of ETC designation.
 - (k) Rural and non-rural carriers' requirements for annual certification to receive FUSF support.

- (l) Disaggregation of rural carriers' FUSF support.

§26.419. Telecommunication Resale Providers Designation as Eligible Telecommunications Providers to Receive Texas Universal Service Funds (TUSF) for Lifeline Service.

- (a) Scope and Purpose.
- (b) Requirements for establishing ETP service areas.
- (c) Criteria for designation of ETPs.
- (d) Requirements for application for Resale ETP designation and commission processing of application.
- (e) Relinquishment of ETP designation.
- (f) Relinquishment for non-compliance.
- (g) Requirements for annual affidavit of compliance to receive TUSF support.

§26.420. Administration of Texas Universal Service Fund (TUSF).

- (a) Purpose.
- (b) Programs included in the TUSF.
- (c) Responsibilities of the commission.
- (d) TUSF administrator.
- (e) Determination of the amount needed to fund the TUSF.
- (f) Assessments for the TUSF.
- (g) Disbursements from the TUSF to ETPs, ILECs, other entities and agencies.
- (h) True-up.
- (i) Sale or transfer of exchanges.
- (j) Proprietary information.

§26.421. Designation of Eligible Telecommunications Providers to Provide Service to Uncertificated Areas.

- (a) Purpose.
- (b) Definitions.
- (c) Application.
- (d) Petition for service.
- (e) Completeness of petition.
- (f) Responding to notice of completeness.
- (g) Evidentiary hearing.
- (h) Commission decision.
- (i) Commission order.
- (j) Cost recovery.
- (k) Submission of actual costs.
- (l) Cap on TUSF reimbursements.

§26.422. Subsequent Petitions for Service in Uncertificated Areas.

- (a)
- (b)
- (c)

§26.423. High Cost Universal Service Plan for Uncertificated Areas where an Eligible Telecommunications Provider (ETP) Volunteers to Provide Basic Local Telecommunications Service.

- (a) Purpose.
- (b) Definitions.
- (c) Application.
- (d) Service to be supported by the High Cost Universal Service Plan for Uncertificated areas where an ETP volunteers to provide basic local telecommunications service.
- (e) Support for uncertificated areas where an ETP volunteers to provide service.
- (f) Reporting requirements.
- (g) Initial support provided pursuant to this section.

§26.424. Audio Newspaper Assistance Program.

- (a) Purpose.
- (b) Definitions.
- (c) Requirements Audio Newspaper Program (ANP) Provider Must Meet.
- (d) Reporting Requirements.
- (e) Eligibility and Registration.

SUBCHAPTER Q. 9-1-1 ISSUES.

§26.433. Roles and Responsibilities of 9-1-1 Service Providers.

- (a) Purpose.
- (b) Definitions.
- (c) 9-1-1 service provider certification requirements.
- (d) Requirement to prepare plan and reporting and notification requirements.
- (e) Network interoperability and service quality requirements.
- (f) Database integrity.
- (g) Cost recovery.
- (h) Unbundling.

§26.435. Cost Recovery Methods for 9-1-1 Dedicated Transport.

- (a) Purpose.
- (b) Application.
- (c) Definitions.
- (d) Reimbursable costs.
- (e) Reimbursement prerequisites.

**SUBCHAPTER R. PROVISIONS RELATING TO MUNICIPAL
REGULATION AND RIGHTS-OF-WAY MANAGEMENT.**

§26.461. Access Line Categories.

- (a) Purpose.
- (b) Application.
- (c) Definitions.
- (d) Access line categories.

§26.463. Calculation and Reporting of a Municipality's Base Amount.

- (a) Purpose.
- (b) Application.
- (c) Definitions.
- (d) Determination of a municipality's base amount.
- (e) Valuation of additional in-kind compensation.
- (f) Base amount for eligible municipalities.
- (g) Base amount for litigating municipality.
- (h) Books and records.
- (i) Reporting procedures and requirements.
- (j) Reporting for additional in-kind compensation.
- (k) Allocation of Base Amount.
- (l) Late, insufficient, or incorrect filing.
- (m) Report attestation.

**§26.465. Methodology for Counting Access Lines and Reporting Requirements for
Certificated Telecommunications Providers.**

- (a) Purpose.
- (b) Application.
- (c) Definitions.
- (d) Methodology for counting access lines.
- (e) Lines to be counted.
- (f) Lines not to be counted.
- (g) Reporting procedures and requirements.
- (h) Exemption.
- (i) Maintenance and location of records.
- (j) Proprietary or confidential information.
- (k) Report attestation.

- (l) Reporting of access lines that have been provided by means of resold services or unbundled facilities to another CTP.
- (m) Commission review of the definition of access line.

§26.467. Rates, Allocation, Compensation, Adjustments and Reporting.

- (a) Purpose.
- (b) Application.
- (c) Rate determination.
- (d) Estimating a 1998 access line count.
- (e) Default allocation.
- (f) Initial rates.
- (g) Updated rates.
- (h) Revised rates.
- (i) Resolution of municipal allocations.
- (j) Consumer price index (CPI) adjustment to commission-established rates.
- (k) CTP implementation of commission-established rates.
- (l) Alternate reporting and compensation arrangements.
- (m) Pass-through.
- (n) Compensation from customers of lifeline or other low-income assistance programs.

§26.468. Procedures for Standardized Access Line Reports and Enforcement Relating to Quarterly Reporting.

- (a) Purpose.
- (b) Application.
- (c) Definition.
- (d) Reporting procedures.
- (e) Exemption.
- (f) Failure to comply.
- (g) Factors to consider in imposing penalties.

§26.469. Municipal Authorized Review of a Certificated Telecommunication Provider's Business Records.

- (a) Purpose.
- (b) Application.
- (c) Municipal Authorized Review Procedural Guidelines.
- (d) Commission Resolution of Disputed Issues.

- APPENDIX I** **CROSS REFERENCE: LOCATION OF RULE SECTION IN CHAPTER 23 TO NEW LOCATION IN CHAPTER 25 OR CHAPTER 26.**
- APPENDIX II** **COMMONLY USED ACRONYMS.**
- APPENDIX III** **RECORDS, REPORTS, AND OTHER INFORMATION THAT MAY BE REQUIRED.**

CHAPTER 26. SUBSTANTIVE RULES APPLICABLE TO TELECOMMUNICATIONS SERVICE PROVIDERS.

Subchapter P. TEXAS UNIVERSAL SERVICE FUND.

§26.409. Review of Texas Universal Service Fund Support Received by Competitive Eligible Telecommunications Providers.

- (a) **Purpose.** This section implements PURA §56.023(p) and (r) and establishes the criteria and process for determining whether Texas Universal Service Fund (TUSF) support under 16 TAC §26.403 to a competitive Eligible Telecommunications Provider (ETP) should be eliminated.
- (b) **Application.** This section applies to exchanges in which an incumbent local exchange company or cooperative is ineligible for support under PURA §56.021(1) and a competitive ETP receives TUSF support under 16 TAC §26.403.
- (c) **Commission review.**
 - (1) The commission must review the per-line TUSF support amount for each exchange identified by subparagraph (d)(1)(B) of this section to determine whether support should be eliminated. The first review of an exchange must be completed not later than the end of the year following the year in which the exchange was reported under subparagraph (d)(1)(B) of this section.
 - (2) The commission must base its decision on the following criteria:
 - (A) The total number of access lines in the exchange served by competitive ETPs receiving TUSF support;
 - (B) The number of competitors providing comparable service in the exchange; and
 - (C) Whether continuing the TUSF support is in the public interest.
- (d) **Identification of exchanges for review.**
 - (1) No later than April 30 of each year, commission staff must report:
 - (A) The exchanges in which the number of access lines served by competitive ETPs has decreased by at least 50% from the number of access lines that were served in that exchange by competitive ETPs on December 31, 2016; and
 - (B) The number of access lines served by those competitive ETPs identified in subparagraph (A) of this paragraph on December 31 of the previous year.
 - (2) Commission staff must file its report in central records under a control number designated for that purpose.
- (e) **Initiation of proceeding.** For each exchange identified under subparagraph (d)(1)(B) of this section, commission staff will file an application to initiate a proceeding to review the per-line TUSF support amount for that exchange.
 - (1) The application must be supported by an affidavit and describe commission staff's determination that the number of access lines served by competitive ETPs in the exchange decreased by at least 50% compared to the number of access lines served by competitive ETPs in that exchange on December 31, 2016.
 - (2) Commission staff must serve a copy of the application, at the time of filing, to the competitive ETPs receiving TUSF support in the exchange by email, regular mail, and certified mail.
- (f) **Competitive ETP's response to commission staff's application.**
 - (1) A competitive ETP serving access lines in an exchange identified under subparagraph (d)(1)(B) may respond to commission staff's application no later than 30 days after the application is filed.
 - (2) A competitive ETP's response must address the criteria listed in subsection (c) of this section.
 - (3) The response must be in writing, supported by affidavit, and filed with the commission as prescribed by 16 TAC §22.71.
- (g) **Commission staff's recommendation.** In accordance with the schedule established by the presiding officer, but no earlier than 40 days after filing the application described in subsection (e), commission staff will file a recommendation, supported by affidavit, on whether the commission should eliminate TUSF support in the

CHAPTER 26. SUBSTANTIVE RULES APPLICABLE TO TELECOMMUNICATIONS SERVICE PROVIDERS.

Subchapter P. TEXAS UNIVERSAL SERVICE FUND.

identified exchange. In its recommendation, commission staff must address the criteria listed in subsection (c).

- (h) **Competitive ETP's response to commission staff's recommendation.** No later than 20 days after commission staff files its recommendation, a competitive ETP may file a response to commission staff's recommendation. The response must state whether the competitive ETP agrees or disagrees with commission staff's recommendation and may include a request for a hearing.
- (i) **Commission determination.**
 - (1) If a competitive ETP does not request a hearing within the time prescribed by subsection (h), the commission will determine whether to eliminate TUSF support for the exchange based on the filings submitted by commission staff and the competitive ETPs.
 - (2) If a competitive ETP requests a hearing, the proceeding will be conducted as a contested case.
- (j) **Further review.** If the commission does not eliminate TUSF support for an exchange after a review conducted under subsections (c) – (i) of this section, the commission must repeat the review of the TUSF per-line support amount for that exchange at least every three years.