


# 2020–21 Legislative Appropriations Request for the Biennium Beginning September 1, 2019

Submitted to the Governor's Office of Budget, Planning and Policy And the Legislative Budget Board

By

Texas State Soil and Water Conservation Board

August 3, 2018






# CERTIFICATE

# Agency Name Texas State Soil and Water Conservation Board

This is to certify that the information contained in the agency Legislative Appropriations Request filed with the Legislative Budget Board (LBB) and the Governor's Office Budget Division (Governor's Office) is accurate to the best of my knowledge and that the electronic submission to the LBB via the Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST) and the PDF file submitted via the LBB Document Submission application are identical.

Additionally, should it become likely at any time that unexpended balances will accrue for any account, the LBB and the Governor's Office will be notified in writing in accordance with Article IX, Section 7.01 (2018-19 GAA).

Chief Excentive Officer or Presiding Judge	Board or Commission Chair
Signature	Signature
Rex Isom Printed Name	José Dodier, Jr. Printed Name
Executive Director Title	Chairman Title
08/03/18 Date	08/03/18 Date
Chief Financial Officer  Signature	
Kenny Zajicek. Printed Name	
Chief Financial Officer Title	
08/03/18 Date	



86th Regular Session, Agency Submission, Version 1 Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

#### 592 Soil and Water Conservation Board

#### ADMINISTRATOR'S STATEMENT

#### MISSION

It is the mission of the Texas State Soil and Water Conservation Board (TSSWCB), working in conjunction with local Soil and Water Conservation Districts (SWCDs), to encourage the wise use and productive use of natural resources for future generations so that all Texans' present and future needs can be met in a manner that promotes a clean, healthy environment and strong economic growth.

#### BACKGROUND

The TSSWCB was created in 1939 to assist agricultural landowners in the formation of local SWCDs and the coordination of a statewide soil and water conservation program. In addition, the TSSWCB is designated by the Legislature as the planning and management agency for the state with regard to agricultural and silvicultural nonpoint source pollution including a cost-share assistance program through SWCDs for implementing soil and water conservation land improvement measures. The TSSWCB is also authorized by the Legislature to conduct a Water Supply Enhancement Program through local conservation districts that includes cost-share assistance for the "selective control, removal, or reduction of noxious brush such as mesquite, salt cedar, and other brush species that consume water to a degree that is detrimental to water conservation."

The TSSWCB is governed by a seven-member State Board, which is composed of two members appointed by the Governor and five members elected from across Texas by more than 1,000 local SWCD directors through state district conventions; SWCD directors are elected to their positions by agricultural producers and rural landowners within the geographic boundaries of each SWCD.

The TSSWCB also works cooperatively with the United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) as the statewide planning agency when implementing NRCS federal responsibilities under the Soil and Water Resources Conservation Act.

The TSSWCB operates as a liaison between the districts and the state, its legislature, the Governor, other state agencies, and the federal government.

#### AGENCY OVERVIEW

SWCDs are political subdivisions of state government, responsible for carrying out soil and water conservation programs within their boundaries. SWCDs work directly with owners and operators of agricultural land to develop and implement soil and water conservation plans which involve land treatment measures for erosion control, water conservation, and water quality purposes.

In 1969, the 61st Texas Legislative Session resulted in a program through which funds are appropriated to the TSSWCB for allocation to SWCDs on a matching basis. To receive money under this Conservation Assistance Program, a SWCD must raise funds from sources other than the State or earnings from State funds. Also, Since 1984, the Legislature has appropriated funds annually to the TSSWCB for conservation implementation assistance. The funding is appropriated to employ soil conservation technicians at local offices throughout the State. These technicians work with owners and operators of agricultural or other lands to install and maintain various conservation practices. This work includes gathering supplementary planning data and information on the physical features of farms, performing survey and layout work, explaining and/or demonstrating methods of applying conservation practices such as contour cultivation, terracing, tree planting, woodland improvement, seasonal or

86th Regular Session, Agency Submission, Version 1 Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

#### 592 Soil and Water Conservation Board

other irrigation practices, range practices, fertilizing, seeding, and land preparation operations. These technicians are also responsible for follow-up on the application and maintenance of planned conservation practices associated with programs funded through the TSSWCB.

Beginning in 2006 the TSSWCB has received annual grants from the United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) to deliver conservation technical assistance and help implement conservation cost-share programs of mutual interest. Through this program the TSSWCB and NRCS jointly provide funding to local SWCDs to assist with the design, installation, and checkout of conservation practices across the State. The TSSWCB was successful in leveraging existing appropriations for conservation implementation assistance as the State's contribution to this agreement.

The 81st Legislature appropriated funding to the TSSWCB to administer grant programs to SWCDs for conducting operation, maintenance, and repair activities on the State's approximately 2,000 flood control dams. Local SWCDs, county governments, municipalities, water control and improvement districts, and other special districts are all party to sponsorship agreements across the state whereby they have agreed to perform needed maintenance and repairs on federally designed and constructed flood control dams on private property.

The TSSWCB is also responsible for numerous natural resource conservation efforts, serving as the lead state agency for the prevention, management, and abatement of nonpoint source pollution resulting from agricultural and silvicultural, or forestry related activities. The TSSWCB is also responsible for water conservation and supply enhancement, or water quantity. Other responsibilities include prevention of soil erosion, control of floods, maintaining the navigability of waterways, the preservation of wildlife, protection of public lands, and providing information to landowners regarding the jurisdictions of the TSSWCB and the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality (TCEQ) related to nonpoint source pollution. The TSSWCB has no regulatory functions; all of the agency's programs and services are voluntary in nature.

A conservation planning program the TSSWCB administers, which results from the nonpoint source mandate, is the Water Quality Management Plan Program. This program, and the mandate in general, comes from Senate Bill 503 of the 73rd Legislative Session in 1993. This program is administered through a partnership between the 216 soil and water conservation districts in Texas and the TSSWCB. It is a voluntary program that emphasizes implementation of the management practices contained within the United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service's (NRCS) Field Office Technical Guide. Landowners may apply for cost-share assistance through this program which is available through annual appropriations from the Texas Legislature. By voluntarily participating in this program, landowners demonstrate their concern for natural resource conservation and intent to be protective of water quality standards.

Another program the TSSWCB administers is the Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL) Program. The TMDL effort in Texas is primarily administered by the TCEQ because it usually results in regulatory limits being placed on the amount of a particular pollutant that can safely be assimilated into a waterbody. We work very closely with the TCEQ, and actually take a lead role in cases where the primary pollutant of concern results from an agricultural nonpoint source. Many of the TMDLs being developed and implemented involve nonpoint sources from agricultural and forestry related activities, therefore the TSSWCB works to make sure those interests are represented and are given a voice during this process. The TSSWCB's goal is to ensure TMDLs are fair and equitable and that implementation plans are reasonable and achievable.

The TSSWCB receives half of the dollars annually provided to Texas through the United States Environmental Protection Agency's (EPA) Clean Water Act, Section 319(h) grant program. These funds are used for a variety of projects and programs to educate, implement, demonstrate, and assess technologies and practices that protect Texas water quality from nonpoint sources of pollution. The TCEQ receives the other half of the funding and uses it to address urban nonpoint sources. We currently manage special projects across the State, and through this program we have established partnerships with entities such as state and federal agencies, departments and institutes within Texas Universities, river authorities, municipalities, water districts, private entities such as the Texas Farm Bureau, and many soil and water conservation districts.

86th Regular Session, Agency Submission, Version 1 Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

#### 592 Soil and Water Conservation Board

The Watershed Protection Plan Program provides guidance and technical assistance to local stakeholder groups in developing and implementing Watershed Protection Plans. These projects are designed to protect unimpaired surface waters from nonpoint source water pollution threats and restore impaired surface waters polluted by nonpoint source water pollution. These locally-driven projects serve as a mechanism for addressing complex water quality problems that cross multiple jurisdictions. Watershed Protection Planning serves as a tool to better leverage the resources of local governments, state and federal agencies, and non-governmental organizations. The planning process integrates activities and prioritizes implementation projects based upon technical merit and benefits to the community, promotes a unified approach to seeking funding for implementation, and creates a coordinated public communication and education program.

A goal of the TSSWCB is to protect and enhance water supplies in Texas by ensuring that a quantity conservation program is available and that funds are being used effectively to increase water conservation and enhance water yields in targeted areas. It is the objective of this goal to conserve and enhance water supplies for the State by managing and directing water conservation and water yield programs in targeted areas. Under our water supply enhancement responsibilities, we administer a program designed to enhance water availability and water conservation through effective land stewardship by removing water-depleting brush and trees, such as juniper, mesquite, and salt cedar, which have invaded many areas of the state and created critical water shortages.

In order to help meet the Governor's border security priorities, the 84th Texas Legislature, in 2015, directed the TSSWCB, through Senate Bill 1734, to develop and implement a program to eradicate carrizo cane along the Rio Grande. The program establishes long-term management of invasive carrizo cane at a landscape scale along the entire Rio Grande, an international border with great ecological and cultural significance. Comprehensively addressing the impacts of carrizo cane on border security are paramount to the program, while also accruing benefits to the ecosystem health of the Rio Grande and water user groups in south Texas.

#### **GOVERNING BOARD**

José Dodier, Jr., Chairman May 5, 2015 - May 7, 2019 Zapata Barry Mahler, Vice-Chairman May 5, 2015 - May 7, 2019 Iowa Park David Basinger, Member May 1, 2018 - May 5, 2020 Deport Scott Buckles, Member May 5, 2015 - May 7, 2019 Stratford Marty H. Graham, Member May 6, 2016 - May 5, 2020 Rocksprings Carl Ray Polk, Jr., Member May 21, 2017- February 01, 2019 Lufkin Tina Y. Buford, Member May 21, 2017- February 01, 2020 Harlingen

## 2020-21 LEGISLATIVE APPROPRIATION REQUEST

# **Exceptional Funding Requests:**

The Texas State Soil and Water Conservation Board is respectfully requesting consideration of two exceptional funding items. The first request is for funding in the amount of \$4,115,000.00 for TSSWCB priority water supply enhancement projects. TSSWCB has received requests from multiple agricultural interest groups including

86th Regular Session, Agency Submission, Version 1
Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

#### 592 Soil and Water Conservation Board

Texas Farm Bureau, Association of Texas Soil and Water Conservation Districts, Texas Conservation Association for Water and Soil, and Texas and Southwestern Cattle Raisers Association to request an exceptional item to continue the Water Supply Enhancement Program. The second request is for the Flood Control Program (FCP). The \$3 million requested for the FCP will allow TSSWCB to address critical flood control structure repairs. TSSWCB has received support from the Texas Association of Watershed Sponsors to request this exceptional item.

Budgetary challenges in Flood Control Program:

The Texas State Soil and Water Conservation Board uses available general revenue as match for federal FCP rehabilitation projects. Federal rehabilitation projects range from three to five years for completion. Given that the appropriation life of general revenue is three years, budgetary challenges exist in providing state match for federal rehabilitation projects needing five years to complete.

Ten Percent General Revenue Funds and General Revenue-Dedicated Funds base reduction option:

The Texas State Soil and Water Conservation Board's operating budget is approximately 85% grant and pass through expenditures. The Board's reduction option is an across the board reduction to grants and pass - throughs with a corresponding reduction in estimated support costs.

Organizational Chart:

The Texas State Soil and Water Conservation Board has an interactive organizational chart located on the Board's website https://www.tsswcb.texas.gov/about/agency-organization.

Request from governing board to increase compensation cap for Executive Director:

The governing board respectfully requests an increase in compensation cap for the agency's exempt position of Executive Director to \$150,000. Under direction of the Executive Director, the agency implements numerous statewide flood control, water supply, and water quality projects through 216 local soil and water conservation districts. The increase would make the salary range competitive with other entities hiring positions of similar responsibility and is for authorization only.

# **Budget Overview - Biennial Amounts**

# 86th Regular Session, Agency Submission, Version 1 Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

			592 S	oil and Water Co	onservation Board							
	GENERAL REVI	Appropriation Years: 2020-21  AL REVENUE FUNDS GR DEDICATED FEDER						L FUNDS OTHER FUNDS		ALL FUNDS		EXCEPTIONAL ITEM FUNDS
	2018-19	2020-21	2018-19	2020-21	2018-19	2020-21	2018-19	2020-21	2018-19	2020-21	2020-21	
Goal: 1. Soil and Water Conservation Assistance												
1.1.1. Program Management & Assistance	11,265,043	11,239,268			794,023	800,400			12,059,066	12,039,668		
1.2.1. Flood Control Dams	16,833,110	17,661,966			15,029,802	20,573,336			31,862,912	38,235,302		
Total, Goal	28,098,153	28,901,234			15,823,825	21,373,736			43,921,978	50,274,970		
Goal: 2. Administer a Program for Abatement of Agricl Nonpoint Source Pollution	1,932,000	4.022.000			0.400.500							
2.1.1. Statewide Management Plan 2.1.2. Pollution Abatement Plan	7,423,568	1,932,000 8,255,426			9,199,599	9,199,600			11,131,599	11,131,600		
Z. 1.2. Foliution Abatement Plan  Total. Goal	9,355,568	10,187,426			440,788				7,864,356	8,255,426		
Total, Goal	3,333,300	10,107,420			9,640,387	9,199,600			18,995,955	19,387,026		
Goal: 3. Protect and Enhance Water Supplies												
3.1.1. Water Conservation And Enhancement	2,495,575								2,495,575		4,115,000	
3.1.2. Carrizo Cane Eradication	2,952,000	3,783,860					481,365		3,433,365	3,783,860		
Total, Goal	5,447,575	3,783,860					481,365		5,928,940	3,783,860	4,115,000	
Goal: 4. Indirect Administration												
4.1.1. Indirect Administration	1,517,881	1,546,656							1,517,881	1,546,656		
Total, Goal	1,517,881	1,546,656							1,517,881	1,546,656		
Total, Agency	44,419,177	44,419,176			25,464,212	30,573,336	481,365		70,364,754	74,992,512	7,115,000	
Total FTEs									71.1	74.1	0.0	

86th Regular Session, Agency Submission, Version 1

Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

Goal / Objective / STRATEGY	Exp 2017	Est 2018	Bud 2019	Req 2020	Req 2021
1 Soil and Water Conservation Assistance					
1 Provide Prog Expertise, Finan Asst. & Tech Guide to All SWC Districts					
1 PROGRAM MANAGEMENT & ASSISTANCE	6,124,885	6,039,232	6,019,834	6,019,834	6,019,834
2 Flood Control Dam Maintenance & Structural Repair					
1 FLOOD CONTROL DAMS	19,706,723	15,013,014	16,849,898	19,117,651	19,117,651
TOTAL, GOAL 1	\$25,831,608	\$21,052,246	\$22,869,732	\$25,137,485	\$25,137,485
2 Administer a Program for Abatement of Agricl Nonpoint Source Pollution					
1 Reduce Agricultural/Silvicultural NPS Pollution w/Prevention Program					
1 STATEWIDE MANAGEMENT PLAN	6,933,331	5,522,947	5,608,652	5,565,800	5,565,800
2 POLLUTION ABATEMENT PLAN	4,420,727	3,795,224	4,069,132	4,127,713	4,127,713
TOTAL, GOAL 2	\$11,354,058	\$9,318,171	\$9,677,784	\$9,693,513	\$9,693,513

<sup>3</sup> Protect and Enhance Water Supplies

<sup>1</sup> Conserve and Enhance Water Supplies for the State of Texas

# 2.A. Summary of Base Request by Strategy

86th Regular Session, Agency Submission, Version 1

Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

Goal / Objective / STRATEGY	Exp 2017	Est 2018	Bud 2019	Req 2020	Req 2021
1 WATER CONSERVATION AND ENHANCEMENT	3,273,340	2,495,575	0	0	0
2 CARRIZO CANE ERADICATION	0	1,957,365	1,476,000	1,891,930	1,891,930
TOTAL, GOAL 3	\$3,273,340	\$4,452,940	\$1,476,000	\$1,891,930	\$1,891,930
Indirect Administration  1 Indirect Administration					
1 INDIRECT ADMINISTRATION	689,589	747,553	770,328	773,328	773,328
TOTAL, GOAL 4	\$689,589	\$747,553	\$770,328	\$773,328	\$773,328
TOTAL, AGENCY STRATEGY REQUEST	\$41,148,595	\$35,570,910	\$34,793,844	\$37,496,256	\$37,496,256
TOTAL, AGENCY RIDER APPROPRIATIONS REQUEST*				\$0	\$0
GRAND TOTAL, AGENCY REQUEST	\$41,148,595	\$35,570,910	\$34,793,844	\$37,496,256	\$37,496,256

# 2.A. Summary of Base Request by Strategy

86th Regular Session, Agency Submission, Version 1

Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

Goal / Objective / STRATEGY	Exp 2017	Est 2018	Bud 2019	Req 2020	Req 2021
METHOD OF FINANCING:					
General Revenue Funds:					
1 General Revenue Fund	25,457,819	24,912,001	19,507,176	22,209,588	22,209,588
SUBTOTAL	\$25,457,819	\$24,912,001	\$19,507,176	\$22,209,588	\$22,209,588
Federal Funds:					
555 Federal Funds	15,690,776	10,177,544	15,286,668	15,286,668	15,286,668
SUBTOTAL	\$15,690,776	\$10,177,544	\$15,286,668	\$15,286,668	\$15,286,668
Other Funds:					
444 Interagency Contracts - CJG	0	481,365	0	0	0
SUBTOTAL	\$0	\$481,365	\$0	\$0	\$0
TOTAL, METHOD OF FINANCING	\$41,148,595	\$35,570,910	\$34,793,844	\$37,496,256	\$37,496,256

<sup>\*</sup>Rider appropriations for the historical years are included in the strategy amounts.

86th Regular Session, Agency Submission, Version 1 Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

Agency code: 592 Agency name: Soil and Water Conservation Board								
ETHOD OF FINANCING Exp 2017	Est 2018	Bud 2019	Req 2020	Req 2021				
GENERAL REVENUE								
1 General Revenue Fund								
REGULAR APPROPRIATIONS								
Regular Appropriations from MOF Table (2016-17 GAA)								
\$21,369,807	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0				
Regular Appropriations from MOF Table (2018-19 GAA)								
\$0	\$24,912,001	\$22,002,751	\$0	\$0				
Regular Appropriations (2020-21)								
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$22,209,588	\$22,209,588				
TRANSFERS								
Art IX, Sec 18.02, Salary Increase for General State Employees (2016-17)								
\$75,758	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0				
SUPPLEMENTAL, SPECIAL OR EMERGENCY APPROPRIATIONS								
Strategy C.1.1. Water Conservation and Enhancement								
\$0	\$0	\$(2,495,575)	\$0	\$0				
Comments: See Governor's Veto Proclamation								

LAPSED APPROPRIATIONS

86th Regular Session, Agency Submission, Version 1

Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

Agency code: 592 Agency	cy name: Soil and Wa	ter Conservation Board	ı		
METHOD OF FINANCING	Exp 2017	Est 2018	Bud 2019	Req 2020	Req 2021
GENERAL REVENUE					
Savings due to Hiring Freeze	•				
Savings due to Thing Preeze	\$(177,675)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Comments: Did not fill 5 program vacancies due to hiri	ng freeze during FY2017				
Regular Appropriation from MOF Table (2016-17 GAA)					
	\$(17,578)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
UNEXPENDED BALANCES AUTHORITY					
Art IX, Sec 14.03(i), Capital Budget UB (2016-17 GAA)					
	\$11,258	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Art IX, Sec 14.05, UB Authority within the Same Biennium	(2016-17 GAA)				
	\$4,196,249	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
ΓΟΤΑL, General Revenue Fund					
	\$25,457,819	\$24,912,001	\$19,507,176	\$22,209,588	\$22,209,588
TOTAL, ALL GENERAL REVENUE	\$25,457,819	\$24,912,001	\$19,507,176	\$22,209,588	\$22,209,588

# FEDERAL FUNDS

555 Federal Funds

86th Regular Session, Agency Submission, Version 1 Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

Agency code: 592 Agency name: Soil and Water Conservation Board Exp 2017 METHOD OF FINANCING Est 2018 **Bud 2019** Req 2020 Req 2021 **FEDERAL FUNDS** REGULAR APPROPRIATIONS Regular Appropriations from MOF Table (2016-17 GAA) \$8,523,725 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 Regular Appropriations from MOF Table (2018-19 GAA) \$0 \$15,320,878 \$15,286,668 \$0 \$0 Regular Appropriations (2020-21) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$15,286,668 \$15,286,668 RIDER APPROPRIATION Art IX, Sec 13.01, Federal Funds/Block Grants (2016-17 GAA) \$7,190,573 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 TRANSFERS Art IX, Sec 18.02, Salary Increase for General State Employees (2016-17) \$12,190 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 LAPSED APPROPRIATIONS Savings due to Hiring Freeze

86th Regular Session, Agency Submission, Version 1

Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

Agency code: 592 Agency r	name: Soil and Wat	er Conservation Board	1		
METHOD OF FINANCING	Exp 2017	Est 2018	Bud 2019	Req 2020	Req 202
FEDERAL FUNDS					
	\$(35,712)	- \$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Comments: Did not fill 1 program vacancy due to hiring fr	reeze during FY2017				
Parella Aggregation from MOF Table (2018 10 GAA)					
Regular Appropriations from MOF Table (2018-19 GAA)	\$0	\$(5,143,334)	\$0	\$0	\$0
Comments: There were fewer than anticipated new federal ready for implementation through first nine months of Fiscathese projects to be ready and funded in future fiscal years, August of this year. Lapse amount for FY2018 is an estimate	al Year 2018. Expect possibly beginning				
FOTAL, Federal Funds	\$15,690,776	\$10,177,544	\$15,286,668	\$15,286,668	\$15,286,668
FOTAL, ALL FEDERAL FUNDS	\$15,690,776	\$10,177,544	\$15,286,668	\$15,286,668	\$15,286,668
OTHER FUNDS					
444 Interagency Contracts - Criminal Justice Grants					
RIDER APPROPRIATION					
Art IX, Sec 8.02, Reimbursements and Payments (2018-19 GAA					
	\$0	\$481,365	\$0	\$0	\$0
Comments: CFDA 16.738 Justice Assistance Grant reimbur of Carrizo Cane	rsement for treatment				

86th Regular Session, Agency Submission, Version 1 Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

Agency name: Soil and Wa	ter Conservation Boar	·d		
Exp 2017	Est 2018	Bud 2019	Req 2020	Req 2021
\$0	\$481,365	. \$0	\$0	\$0
\$0	\$481,365	\$0	\$0	\$0
\$41,148,595	\$35,570,910	\$34,793,844	\$37,496,256	\$37,496,256
	Exp 2017 \$0	Exp 2017 Est 2018  \$0 \$481,365	Exp 2017 Est 2018 Bud 2019  \$0 \$481,365 \$0  \$0 \$481,365 \$0	Exp 2017 Est 2018 Bud 2019 Req 2020  \$0 \$481,365 \$0 \$0  \$0 \$481,365 \$0 \$0

# 2.B. Summary of Base Request by Method of Finance 86th Regular Session, Agency Submission, Version 1

Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

Agency code: 592	gency name: Soil and Wate	r Conservation Board			
METHOD OF FINANCING	Exp 2017	Est 2018	Bud 2019	Req 2020	Req 2021
FULL-TIME-EQUIVALENT POSITIONS					
REGULAR APPROPRIATIONS					
Regular Appropriations from MOF Table (2016-17 GAA)	72.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Regular Appropriations from MOF Table (2018-19 GAA)	0.0	74.1	74.1	0.0	0.0
Regular Appropriations from MOF Table (2020-21)	0.0	0.0	0.0	74.1	74.1
LAPSED APPROPRIATIONS					
Savings due to hiring freeze	(3.7)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Comments: Did not fill 6 vacancies for programs due to hiring FY2017.	freeze during				
UNAUTHORIZED NUMBER OVER (BELOW) CAP					
Unauthorized number above (below)cap	0.0	(3.0)	(3.0)	0.0	0.0
TOTAL, ADJUSTED FTES	68.4	71.1	71.1	74.1	74.1

NUMBER OF 100% FEDERALLY FUNDED FTEs

# **2.C. Summary of Base Request by Object of Expense** 86th Regular Session, Agency Submission, Version 1

Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

OBJECT OF EXPENSE	Exp 2017	Est 2018	Bud 2019	BL 2020	BL 2021
1001 SALARIES AND WAGES	\$3,937,929	\$3,991,474	\$4,255,910	\$4,414,828	\$4,414,828
1002 OTHER PERSONNEL COSTS	\$138,241	\$135,210	\$136,000	\$138,500	\$138,500
2001 PROFESSIONAL FEES AND SERVICES	\$1,294,017	\$1,257,430	\$1,242,250	\$1,242,250	\$1,242,250
2002 FUELS AND LUBRICANTS	\$40,269	\$47,666	\$50,500	\$50,500	\$50,500
2003 CONSUMABLE SUPPLIES	\$18,414	\$33,150	\$22,400	\$23,000	\$23,000
2004 UTILITIES	\$89,958	\$82,280	\$86,000	\$86,500	\$86,500
005 TRAVEL	\$380,134	\$365,138	\$383,638	\$384,500	\$384,500
006 RENT - BUILDING	\$279,663	\$313,480	\$316,500	\$319,500	\$319,500
007 RENT - MACHINE AND OTHER	\$40,790	\$43,153	\$43,773	\$46,050	\$46,050
009 OTHER OPERATING EXPENSE	\$4,752,382	\$2,717,080	\$1,428,660	\$1,866,594	\$1,866,594
000 GRANTS	\$30,154,960	\$26,584,849	\$26,828,213	\$28,924,034	\$28,924,034
000 CAPITAL EXPENDITURES	\$21,838	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
OOE Total (Excluding Riders)	\$41,148,595	\$35,570,910	\$34,793,844	\$37,496,256	\$37,496,256
OOE Total (Riders) Grand Total	\$41,148,595	\$35,570,910	\$34,793,844	\$37,496,256	\$37,496,256
		400,010,010	40 1,770,011	Φ37,470,230	\$37,5

# 2.D. Summary of Base Request Objective Outcomes

86th Regular Session, Agency Submission, Version 1 Automated Budget and Evaluation system of Texas (ABEST)

Goal/ Objective / Outcome	Exp 2017	Est 2018	Bud 2019	BL 2020	BL 2021
1 Soil and Water Conservation Assistance					
1 Provide Prog Expertise, Finan Asst. & Tech Guide to All SN	VC Districts				
KEY 1 % of District Financial Needs Met by Conserva	ation Board Grants				
	63.50%	61.00%	61.00%	61.00%	61.00%
2 Flood Control Dam Maintenance & Structural Repair					
1 % of Flood Control Dams Identified as in Need	l of Repair				
以2000年中国的特别。 1900年中国的特别的特别的	10.70%	7.89%	7.89%	7.89%	7.89%
2 Administer a Program for Abatement of Agricl Nonpoint Source F 1 Reduce Agricultural/Silvicultural NPS Pollution w/Prevention					
1 Percent of Projects Addressing 303(D) List Imp	paired Water Bodies				
	86.00%	70.00%	70.00%	70.00%	70.00%
KEY 2 % Problem Areas with Certified Plans					
	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
3 Protect and Enhance Water Supplies					
1 Conserve and Enhance Water Supplies for the State of Texas	5				
1 Percent Eligible Acres in Brush Control Areas	Treated and Cleared				
	8.40%	8.50%	1.50%	0.00%	0.00%
KEY 2 Predicted Number of Gallons of Water Yielded					
	3,105,011,531.10	707,955,971.72	87,500,176.28	0.00	0.00

# 2.E. Summary of Exceptional Items Request

86th Regular Session, Agency Submission, Version 1 Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST) DATE: 8/6/2018 TIME: 1:03:25PM

Agency code: 592

Agency name: Soil and Water Conservation Board

		2020			2021		Bier	nnium
Priority Item	GR and GR/GR Dedicated	All Funds	FTEs	GR and GR Dedicated	All Funds	FTEs	GR and GR Dedicated	All Funds
1 Water Supply Enhancement Projects	\$2,057,500	\$2,057,500	0.0	\$2,057,500	\$2,057,500	0.0	\$4,115,000	\$4,115,000
2 Flood Control Dams	\$1,500,000	\$1,500,000	0.0	\$1,500,000	\$1,500,000	0.0	\$3,000,000	\$3,000,000
Total, Exceptional Items Request	\$3,557,500	\$3,557,500	0.0	\$3,557,500	\$3,557,500	0.0	\$7,115,000	\$7,115,000
Method of Financing								
General Revenue	\$3,557,500	\$3,557,500		\$3,557,500	\$3,557,500		\$7,115,000	\$7,115,000
General Revenue - Dedicated								
Federal Funds Other Funds								
	\$3,557,500	\$3,557,500		\$3,557,500	\$3,557,500		\$7,115,000	\$7,115,000
Full Time Equivalent Positions			0.0			0.0		

Number of 100% Federally Funded FTEs

# 2.F. Summary of Total Request by Strategy

86th Regular Session, Agency Submission, Version 1 Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST) DATE:

8/6/2018

TIME: 1:03:25PM

Goal/Objective/STRATEGY	Base 2020	Base 2021	Exceptional 2020	Exceptional 2021	Total Request 2020	Total Request 2021
1 Soil and Water Conservation Assistance						
1 Provide Prog Expertise, Finan Asst. & Tech Guide to All SWC Distric						
1 PROGRAM MANAGEMENT & ASSISTANCE	\$6,019,834	\$6,019,834	\$0	\$0	\$6,019,834	\$6,019,834
2 Flood Control Dam Maintenance & Structural Repair						
1 FLOOD CONTROL DAMS	19,117,651	19,117,651	1,500,000	1,500,000	20,617,651	20,617,651
TOTAL, GOAL 1	\$25,137,485	\$25,137,485	\$1,500,000	\$1,500,000	\$26,637,485	\$26,637,485
2 Administer a Program for Abatement of Agricl Nonpoint Source Pollut						
1 Reduce Agricultural/Silvicultural NPS Pollution w/Prevention Prog						
1 STATEWIDE MANAGEMENT PLAN	5,565,800	5,565,800	0	0	5,565,800	5,565,800
2 POLLUTION ABATEMENT PLAN	4,127,713	4,127,713	0	0	4,127,713	4,127,713
TOTAL, GOAL 2	\$9,693,513	\$9,693,513	\$0	\$0	\$9,693,513	\$9,693,513
Protect and Enhance Water Supplies						
1 Conserve and Enhance Water Supplies for the State of Texas						
1 WATER CONSERVATION AND ENHANCEMENT	0	0	2,057,500	2,057,500	2,057,500	2,057,500
2 CARRIZO CANE ERADICATION	1,891,930	1,891,930	0	0	1,891,930	1,891,930
TOTAL, GOAL 3	\$1,891,930	\$1,891,930	\$2,057,500	\$2,057,500	\$3,949,430	\$3,949,430

# 2.F. Summary of Total Request by Strategy

86th Regular Session, Agency Submission, Version 1 Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST) DATE:

8/6/2018

TIME: 1:03:25PM

Agency code: 592	Agency name:	Soil and Water Conservation Boa	ird				
Goal/Objective/STRATEGY		Base 2020	Base 2021	Exceptional 2020	Exceptional 2021	Total Request 2020	Total Request 2021
4 Indirect Administration					and the second		
1 Indirect Administration							
1 INDIRECT ADMINISTRATION		\$773,328	\$773,328	\$0	\$0	\$773,328	\$773,328
TOTAL, GOAL 4		\$773,328	\$773,328	\$0	\$0	\$773,328	\$773,328
TOTAL, AGENCY STRATEGY REQUEST		\$37,496,256	\$37,496,256	\$3,557,500	\$3,557,500	\$41,053,756	\$41,053,756
TOTAL, AGENCY RIDER APPROPRIATIONS REQUEST							
GRAND TOTAL, AGENCY REQUEST		\$37,496,256	\$37,496,256	\$3,557,500	\$3,557,500	\$41,053,756	\$41,053,756

# 2.F. Summary of Total Request by Strategy

86th Regular Session, Agency Submission, Version 1 Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST) DATE:

8/6/2018

TIME: 1:03:25PM

Agency code: 592	Agency name:	Soil and Water Conservation	Board				
Goal/Objective/STRATEGY		Base 2020	Base 2021	Exceptional 2020	Exceptional 2021	Total Request 2020	Total Request 2021
General Revenue Funds:							
1 General Revenue Fund		\$22,209,588	\$22,209,588	\$3,557,500	\$3,557,500	\$25,767,088	\$25,767,088
Federal Funds:		\$22,209,588	\$22,209,588	\$3,557,500	\$3,557,500	\$25,767,088	\$25,767,088
555 Federal Funds		15,286,668	15,286,668	0	0	15,286,668	15,286,668
Other Funds:		\$15,286,668	\$15,286,668	\$0	\$0	\$15,286,668	\$15,286,668
444 Interagency Contracts - CJG		0	0	0	0	0	0
		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
TOTAL, METHOD OF FINANCING		\$37,496,256	\$37,496,256	\$3,557,500	\$3,557,500	\$41,053,756	\$41,053,756
FULL TIME EQUIVALENT POSITIONS		74.1	74.1	0.0	0.0	74.1	74.1

# 2.G. Summary of Total Request Objective Outcomes

Date: 8/6/2018 Time: 1:03:26PM

86th Regular Session, Agency Submission, Version 1 Automated Budget and Evaluation system of Texas (ABEST)

Agency co	de: 592 Agency	name: Soil and Water Co	onservation Board			
Goal/ Obje	ective / Outcome					Total
	BL 2020	BL 2021	Excp 2020	Excp 2021	Total Request 2020	Request 2021
1	Soil and Water Conservation Assistance					
1	Provide Prog Expertise, Finan Asst. &	Tech Guide to All SWC Dis	stricts			
KEY	1 % of District Financial Needs M	et by Conservation Board	Grants			
	61.00%	61.00%			61.00%	61.00%
2	Flood Control Dam Maintenance & Str	ructural Repair				
	1 % of Flood Control Dams Identi	fied as in Need of Repair				
	7.89%	7.89%	8.00%	8.00%	8.00%	8.00%
2 1	Administer a Program for Abatement of Reduce Agricultural/Silvicultural NPS					
	1 Percent of Projects Addressing 3	03(D) List Impaired Wate	er Bodies			
	70.00%	70.00%			70.00%	70.00%
KEY	2 % Problem Areas with Certified	Plans				
	100.00%	100.00%			100.00%	100.00%
3	Protect and Enhance Water Supplies					
1	Conserve and Enhance Water Supplies	for the State of Texas				
	1 Percent Eligible Acres in Brush (	Control Areas Treated and	l Cleared			
	0.00%	0.00%	7.00%	7.00%	7.00%	7.00%
KEY	2 Predicted Number of Gallons of	Water Yielded				
	0.00	0.00	545,083,552.80	545,083,552.80	545,083,552.80	545,083,552.80

# 86th Regular Session, Agency Submission, Version 1 Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

# 592 Soil and Water Conservation Board

GOAL:

1 Soil and Water Conservation Assistance

**OBJECTIVE:** 

Provide Prog Expertise, Finan Asst. & Tech Guide to All SWC Districts

Service Categories:

STRATEGY:

1 Program Expertise, Financial & Conservation Implementation Assistance

Service: 37

Income: A.2

Age: B.3

CODE DESCRIPTION	Exp 2017	Est 2018	Bud 2019	BL 2020	BL 2021
Output Measures:					
1 Number of Grants-related Claims Processed	2,723.00	2,700.00	1,850.00	1,850.00	1,850.00
KEY 2 # of Contacts w/Districts to provide Conservation Education Assistance	17,253.00	18,625.00	18,625.00	18,625.00	18,625.00
Efficiency Measures:					
1 Average Number of Days to Process a Grants-Related Claim	0.20	0.30	5.80	5.80	5.80
Explanatory/Input Measures:					
1 Percent of Districts Receiving Technical Assistance Funds	100.00%	100.00 %	100.00 %	100.00 %	100.00 %
Objects of Expense:					
1001 SALARIES AND WAGES	\$1,001,459	\$1,028,082	\$1,028,082	\$1,038,000	\$1,038,000
1002 OTHER PERSONNEL COSTS	\$29,319	\$30,000	\$30,000	\$30,000	\$30,000
2001 PROFESSIONAL FEES AND SERVICES	\$12,925	\$3,500	\$3,500	\$3,500	\$3,500
2002 FUELS AND LUBRICANTS	\$166	\$2,500	\$2,500	\$2,500	\$2,500
2003 CONSUMABLE SUPPLIES	\$2,579	\$3,000	\$3,000	\$3,000	\$3,000
2004 UTILITIES	\$24,203	\$22,500	\$22,500	\$23,000	\$23,000
2005 TRAVEL	\$217,310	\$210,138	\$210,138	\$210,000	\$210,000
2006 RENT - BUILDING	\$32,120	\$37,500	\$37,500	\$37,500	\$37,500
2007 RENT - MACHINE AND OTHER	\$2,739	\$2,750	\$2,750	\$3,000	\$3,000

86th Regular Session, Agency Submission, Version 1 Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

# 592 Soil and Water Conservation Board

GOAL:

1 Soil and Water Conservation Assistance

OBJECTIVE:

Provide Prog Expertise, Finan Asst. & Tech Guide to All SWC Districts

Service Categories:

STRATEGY:

1 Program Expertise, Financial & Conservation Implementation Assistance

Service: 37

Income: A.2

Age: B.3

CODE	DESCRIPTION	Exp 2017	Est 2018	Bud 2019	BL 2020	BL 2021
2009	OTHER OPERATING EXPENSE	\$134,590	\$112,660	\$112,660	\$102,130	\$102,130
4000	GRANTS	\$4,667,475	\$4,586,602	\$4,567,204	\$4,567,204	\$4,567,204
5000	CAPITAL EXPENDITURES	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
TOTAL,	OBJECT OF EXPENSE	\$6,124,885	\$6,039,232	\$6,019,834	\$6,019,834	\$6,019,834
Method	of Financing:					
1	General Revenue Fund	\$5,658,105	\$5,645,409	\$5,619,634	\$5,619,634	\$5,619,634
SUBTO	TAL, MOF (GENERAL REVENUE FUNDS)	\$5,658,105	\$5,645,409	\$5,619,634	\$5,619,634	\$5,619,634
Method o	of Financing:					
555	Federal Funds					
	10.912.000 ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY INC	\$466,780	\$393,823	\$400,200	\$400,200	\$400,200
CFDA Su	btotal, Fund 555	\$466,780	\$393,823	\$400,200	\$400,200	\$400,200
SUBTO	TAL, MOF (FEDERAL FUNDS)	\$466,780	\$393,823	\$400,200	\$400,200	\$400,200

86th Regular Session, Agency Submission, Version 1 Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

#### 592 Soil and Water Conservation Board

GOAL:

1 Soil and Water Conservation Assistance

**OBJECTIVE:** 

Provide Prog Expertise, Finan Asst. & Tech Guide to All SWC Districts

Service Categories:

STRATEGY:

Program Expertise, Financial & Conservation Implementation Assistance

Service: 37

Income: A.2

Age: B.3

CODE DESCRIPTION	Exp 2017	Est 2018	Bud 2019	BL 2020	BL 2021
TOTAL, METHOD OF FINANCE (INCLUDING RIDERS)				\$6,019,834	\$6,019,834
TOTAL, METHOD OF FINANCE (EXCLUDING RIDERS)	\$6,124,885	\$6,039,232	\$6,019,834	\$6,019,834	\$6,019,834
FULL TIME EQUIVALENT POSITIONS:	14.0	14.0	14.0	14.0	14.0

#### STRATEGY DESCRIPTION AND JUSTIFICATION:

The Texas State Soil and Water Conservation Board (TSSWCB) is charged with overall responsibility for administering and coordinating the state's soil and water conservation program with the state's Soil and Water Conservation Districts (SWCDs). (Title 7, Chapters 201 and 203 of the Agriculture Code of Texas) The objective of this goal is to provide a level of financial assistance, technical guidance, and administrative support to all districts allowing them to identify 100% of their soil and water resource needs through the development and management of conservation plans and programs.

Since 1984, the Texas Legislature has appropriated funds annually to the TSSWCB for the purpose of assisting districts in their efforts to provide conservation implementation assistance to agricultural producers. This funding may be used to pay technical employees to work with owners and operators of agricultural or other lands on the installation and maintenance of conservation practices.

In 1969, the 61st Texas Legislative Session implemented a program through which funds are appropriated to the TSSWCB for allocation to SWCDs on a matching dollar for dollar basis. To receive money under this program, a district must raise funds from sources other than the State or earnings from State funds.

#### EXTERNAL/INTERNAL FACTORS IMPACTING STRATEGY:

86th Regular Session, Agency Submission, Version 1 Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

592 Soil and Water Conservation Board

GOAL:

1 Soil and Water Conservation Assistance

OBJECTIVE:

1 Provide Prog Expertise, Finan Asst. & Tech Guide to All SWC Districts

Service Categories:

STRATEGY:

1 Program Expertise, Financial & Conservation Implementation Assistance

Service: 37

Income: A.2

Age: B.3

CODE

DESCRIPTION

Exp 2017

Est 2018

Bud 2019

BL 2020

BL 2021

**EXPLANATION OF BIENNIAL CHANGE (includes Rider amounts):** 

STRATEGY BIENNIA	BIENNIAL	EXPLAN	NATION OF BIENNIAL CHANGE		
Base Spending (Est 2018 + Bud 2019)	Baseline Request (BL 2020 + BL 2021)	CHANGE	\$ Amount	Explanation(s) of Amount (must specify MOFs and FTEs)	
\$12,059,066	\$12,039,668	\$(19,398)	\$(19,398)	Anticipated difference in State/Federal Grant Award, CFDA 10.912, provided for conservation assistance grants to Soil and Water Conservation Districts.	
			\$(19,398)	Total of Explanation of Biennial Change	

# 86th Regular Session, Agency Submission, Version 1 Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

## 592 Soil and Water Conservation Board

GOAL:

1 Soil and Water Conservation Assistance

**OBJECTIVE:** 

Provide Prog Expertise, Finan Asst. & Tech Guide to All SWC Districts

Service Categories:

STRATEGY:

2 Rural and Urban Conservation Outreach

Service: 37

Income: A.2

Age: B.3

CODE	DESCRIPTION	Exp 2017	Est 2018	Bud 2019	BL 2020	BL 2021
Output Mea	sures: mber of District Meetings Attended	1,876.00	1,933.00	1,600.00	1,600.00	1,600.00

# TOTAL, METHOD OF FINANCE (INCLUDING RIDERS)

TOTAL, METHOD OF FINANCE (EXCLUDING RIDERS)

FULL TIME EQUIVALENT POSITIONS:

## STRATEGY DESCRIPTION AND JUSTIFICATION:

The Texas State Soil and Water Conservation Board has an unfunded strategy under the goal of Soil and Water Conservation Assistance. This strategy will design and implement outreach programs to effectively communicate and promote the proper stewardship of the state's natural resources. Through this program, the TSSWCB seeks to maintain an open and relevant relationship between districts, agricultural interest groups, and the general public by sponsoring and assisting with soil and water stewardship contests, conservation awards programs, supporting teacher workshops, and providing conservation education models for school children.

Because more and more of the issues that we address through our programs focus on the rural and urban interface, we intend to continue to focus our efforts on the general public so that we can better educate them on the benefits of soil and water conservation, and natural resource management and the critical nature of the work SWCDs perform to all Texans.

## EXTERNAL/INTERNAL FACTORS IMPACTING STRATEGY:

86th Regular Session, Agency Submission, Version 1 Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

F03	0-11		WW	C	n
394	Sou	and	water	Conservation	Board

GOAL:

1 Soil and Water Conservation Assistance

**OBJECTIVE:** 

Provide Prog Expertise, Finan Asst. & Tech Guide to All SWC Districts

Service Categories:

STRATEGY:

2 Rural and Urban Conservation Outreach

Service: 37

Income: A.2

Age: B.3

CODE DESCRIPTION

Exp 2017

Est 2018

**Bud 2019** 

BL 2020

BL 2021

The services and programs provided by the TSSWCB target rural Texas farmers and ranchers, but the results of these services benefit all Texans. For example, many of the flood control structures maintained by SWCDs serve to protect heavily populated areas from flood damage, and also prevent sediment from building up in suburban drinking water supplies. Another example is the use of best management practices, implemented through TSSWCB certified water quality management plans, to prevent pesticides, nutrients, and other contaminants from impairing Texas waters.

#### **EXPLANATION OF BIENNIAL CHANGE (includes Rider amounts):**

STRATEGY	<b>BIENNIAL</b>	TOTAL -	ALL FUNDS

**BIENNIAL** 

**EXPLANATION OF BIENNIAL CHANGE** 

Base Spending (+) Baseline Request (+)

CHANGE

\$ Amount Explanation(s) of Amount (must specify MOFs and FTEs)

Total of Explanation of Biennial Change

86th Regular Session, Agency Submission, Version 1 Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

# 592 Soil and Water Conservation Board

GOAL:

Soil and Water Conservation Assistance

OBJECTIVE:

2 Flood Control Dam Maintenance & Structural Repair

Service Categories:

STRATEGY:

1 Flood Control Dam Maintenance & Structural Repair

Service: 37

Income: A.2

Age: B.3

CODE DESCRIPTION	Exp 2017	Est 2018	Bud 2019	BL 2020	BL 2021
Output Measures:					
KEY 1 Number of Flood Control Dam Repair Grants Awarded	18.00	3.00	4.00	4.00	4.00
2 Number of Flood Control Dam Repairs Completed	10.00	7.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Objects of Expense:					
1001 SALARIES AND WAGES	\$271,307	\$262,600	\$395,000	\$395,000	\$395,000
1002 OTHER PERSONNEL COSTS	\$4,736	\$5,000	\$5,000	\$5,000	\$5,000
2001 PROFESSIONAL FEES AND SERVICES	\$1,266,603	\$1,218,430	\$1,200,000	\$1,200,000	\$1,200,000
2002 FUELS AND LUBRICANTS	\$6,682	\$8,500	\$8,500	\$8,500	\$8,500
2003 CONSUMABLE SUPPLIES	\$1,344	\$500	\$1,500	\$1,500	\$1,500
2004 UTILITIES	\$5,337	\$3,250	\$5,000	\$5,000	\$5,000
2005 TRAVEL	\$24,833	\$20,000	\$35,000	\$35,000	\$35,000
2006 RENT - BUILDING	\$11,016	\$10,000	\$12,000	\$12,000	\$12,000
2007 RENT - MACHINE AND OTHER	\$433	\$300	\$300	\$300	\$300
2009 OTHER OPERATING EXPENSE	\$4,275,714	\$500,000	\$41,000	\$38,000	\$38,000
4000 GRANTS	\$13,838,718	\$12,984,434	\$15,146,598	\$17,417,351	\$17,417,351
5000 CAPITAL EXPENDITURES	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
TOTAL, OBJECT OF EXPENSE	\$19,706,723	\$15,013,014	\$16,849,898	\$19,117,651	\$19,117,651

# 86th Regular Session, Agency Submission, Version 1 Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

# 592 Soil and Water Conservation Board

GOAL:

1 Soil and Water Conservation Assistance

OBJECTIVE:

2 Flood Control Dam Maintenance & Structural Repair

Service Categories:

STRATEGY:

1 Flood Control Dam Maintenance & Structural Repair

Service: 37

Income: A.2

Age: B.3

CODE DESCRIPTION	Exp 2017	Est 2018	Bud 2019	BL 2020	BL 2021
Method of Financing:					
1 General Revenue Fund	\$10,944,056	\$9,869,680	\$6,963,430	\$8,830,983	\$8,830,983
SUBTOTAL, MOF (GENERAL REVENUE FUNDS)	\$10,944,056	\$9,869,680	\$6,963,430	\$8,830,983	\$8,830,983
Method of Financing: 555 Federal Funds					
10.916.000 Watershed Rehabilitation Program	\$1,896,484	\$5,143,334	\$9,886,468	\$10,286,668	\$10,286,668
10.923.000 Emergency Watershed Protection	\$6,866,183	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
CFDA Subtotal, Fund 555	\$8,762,667	\$5,143,334	\$9,886,468	\$10,286,668	\$10,286,668
SUBTOTAL, MOF (FEDERAL FUNDS)	\$8,762,667	\$5,143,334	\$9,886,468	\$10,286,668	\$10,286,668
TOTAL, METHOD OF FINANCE (INCLUDING RIDERS)				\$19,117,651	\$19,117,651
TOTAL, METHOD OF FINANCE (EXCLUDING RIDERS)	\$19,706,723	\$15,013,014	\$16,849,898	\$19,117,651	\$19,117,651
FULL TIME EQUIVALENT POSITIONS:	5.0	5.5	5.5	5.5	5.5
STRATEGY DESCRIPTION AND JUSTIFICATION:					

86th Regular Session, Agency Submission, Version 1 Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

#### 592 Soil and Water Conservation Board

GOAL:

1 Soil and Water Conservation Assistance

**OBJECTIVE:** 

2 Flood Control Dam Maintenance & Structural Repair

Service Categories:

STRATEGY:

Flood Control Dam Maintenance & Structural Repair

Service: 37

Income: A.2

Age: B.3

CODE

DESCRIPTION

Exp 2017

Est 2018

Bud 2019

BL 2020

BL 2021

The Texas Legislature appropriates funds to the TSSWCB for the operation, maintenance, repair and rehabilitation of approximately 2,000 federally designed and constructed flood control dams in Texas. In order to deliver these dollars, the TSSWCB has developed one grant program to address operation and maintenance (O&M) needs, and another to address structural repair needs. The separation of the two activities is being done to increase efficiency and flexibility due to the difference in complexity of both the nature of O&M and repair activities, as well as differences in the complexity in the administrative needs. O&M activities are relatively routine and uncomplicated in nature, where structural repair activities are more complicated in that they involve extensive engineering design specifications and more elaborate concurrence requirements from regulatory agencies such as the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality (TCEQ) Dam Safety Program. Local soil and water conservation districts (SWCDs), in partnership with other local governments, are sponsors for all sponsors of the flood control dams, therefore the TSSWCB is developing both programs to provide pass through grants to SWCDs.

# EXTERNAL/INTERNAL FACTORS IMPACTING STRATEGY:

State funds are utilized as needed match for federally funded rehabilitation projects. With the state match available through this program, the Board has been able to secure additional federal funds turned back from other states. Federal rehabilitation projects range from three to five years for completion. Being that the appropriation life of state match is three years, there are budget challenges for matching federal rehabilitation projects needing five years to complete.

86th Regular Session, Agency Submission, Version 1 Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

592	Soil and	Water	Conservation	Board	

GOAL:

1 Soil and Water Conservation Assistance

OBJECTIVE:

2 Flood Control Dam Maintenance & Structural Repair

Service Categories:

STRATEGY:

1 Flood Control Dam Maintenance & Structural Repair

Service: 37

Income: A.2

Total of Explanation of Biennial Change

Age: B.3

CODE

DESCRIPTION

Exp 2017

Est 2018

\$6,372,390

**Bud 2019** 

BL 2020

BL 2021

**EXPLANATION OF BIENNIAL CHANGE (includes Rider amounts):** 

	L TOTAL - ALL FUNDS  Baseline Request (BL 2020 + BL 2021)	BIENNIAL CHANGE		NATION OF BIENNIAL CHANGE  Explanation(s) of Amount (must specify MOFs and FTEs)
\$31,862,912	\$38,235,302	\$6,372,390	\$6,372,390	Combination of anticpated 19.5 Million in federal grants for AY18 delayed until AY19 and the repurpose state funds to provide state match for anticipated Federal funding in AY20 and AY21.

86th Regular Session, Agency Submission, Version 1 Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

# 592 Soil and Water Conservation Board

GOAL:

2 Administer a Program for Abatement of Agricl Nonpoint Source Pollution

OBJECTIVE:

1 Reduce Agricultural/Silvicultural NPS Pollution w/Prevention Program

Service Categories:

STRATEGY:

1 Implement a Statewide Management Plan for Controlling NPS Pollution

Service: 36

Income: A.2

Age: B.3

CODE DESCRIPTION	Exp 2017	Est 2018	Bud 2019	BL 2020	BL 2021
Output Measures:					
KEY 1 # of Proposals for Federal Grant Funding Evaluated	0.00	34.00	25.00	25.00	25.00
Objects of Expense:					25.00
1001 SALARIES AND WAGES	\$559,930	\$561,000	\$575,000	\$575,000	\$575,000
1002 OTHER PERSONNEL COSTS	\$14,646	\$15,000	\$15,000	\$15,000	\$15,000
2001 PROFESSIONAL FEES AND SERVICES	\$294	\$3,750	\$3,750	\$3,750	\$3,750
2002 FUELS AND LUBRICANTS	\$4,870	\$6,000	\$6,000	\$6,000	\$6,000
2003 CONSUMABLE SUPPLIES	\$2,283	\$17,000	\$5,000	\$5,000	\$5,000
2004 UTILITIES	\$11,796	\$9,500	\$9,500	\$9,500	\$9,500
2005 TRAVEL	\$29,427	\$30,500	\$31,000	\$31,000	\$31,000
2006 RENT - BUILDING	\$26,794	\$26,000	\$26,000	\$26,000	\$26,000
2007 RENT - MACHINE AND OTHER	\$13,716	\$15,500	\$16,000	\$16,000	\$16,000
2009 OTHER OPERATING EXPENSE	\$154,908	\$155,000	\$155,000	\$155,000	\$155,000
4000 GRANTS	\$6,114,667	\$4,683,697	\$4,766,402	\$4,723,550	\$4,723,550
5000 CAPITAL EXPENDITURES	. \$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
TOTAL, OBJECT OF EXPENSE	\$6,933,331	\$5,522,947	\$5,608,652	\$5,565,800	\$5,565,800

Method of Financing:

86th Regular Session, Agency Submission, Version 1 Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

#### 592 Soil and Water Conservation Board

GOAL: 2 Administer a Program for Abatement of Agricl Nonpoint Source Pollution OBJECTIVE: Reduce Agricultural/Silvicultural NPS Pollution w/Prevention Program Service Categories: STRATEGY: Implement a Statewide Management Plan for Controlling NPS Pollution Service: 36 Income: A.2 Age: B.3 CODE DESCRIPTION Exp 2017 Est 2018 **Bud 2019** BL 2020 BL 2021 General Revenue Fund \$1,050,000 \$966,000 \$966,000 \$966,000 \$966,000 SUBTOTAL, MOF (GENERAL REVENUE FUNDS) \$966,000 \$1,050,000 \$966,000 \$966,000 \$966,000 Method of Financing: 555 Federal Funds 15.625.000 WILDLIFE CONSERVATION & RESTORATIO \$62,367 \$94,041 \$94,040 \$0 \$0 66.460.000 Nonpoint Source Implement \$5,820,964 \$4,462,906 \$4,548,612 \$4,599,800 \$4,599,800 CFDA Subtotal, Fund \$4,556,947 \$5,883,331 \$4,642,652 \$4,599,800 \$4,599,800 \$4,556,947 SUBTOTAL, MOF (FEDERAL FUNDS) \$5,883,331 \$4,642,652 \$4,599,800 \$4,599,800 TOTAL, METHOD OF FINANCE (INCLUDING RIDERS) \$5,565,800 \$5,565,800 TOTAL, METHOD OF FINANCE (EXCLUDING RIDERS) \$5,522,947 \$6,933,331 \$5,608,652 \$5,565,800 \$5,565,800 FULL TIME EQUIVALENT POSITIONS: 8.5 8.5 8.5 8.5 8.5

STRATEGY DESCRIPTION AND JUSTIFICATION:

86th Regular Session, Agency Submission, Version 1
Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

#### 592 Soil and Water Conservation Board

GOAL:

2 Administer a Program for Abatement of Agricl Nonpoint Source Pollution

**OBJECTIVE:** 

Reduce Agricultural/Silvicultural NPS Pollution w/Prevention Program

Service Categories:

STRATEGY:

Implement a Statewide Management Plan for Controlling NPS Pollution

Service: 36

Income: A.2

Age: B.3

CODE

DESCRIPTION

Exp 2017

Est 2018

**Bud 2019** 

BL 2020

BL 2021

Section 201.026 of the Agriculture Code of Texas gives the TSSWCB responsibility for planning, implementing and managing programs and practices for abating agricultural and silvicultural nonpoint source pollution. The TSSWCB is the lead agency in Texas for agricultural and silvicultural nonpoint source pollution abatement programs. The Federal Clean Water Act requires the development and implementation of nonpoint source pollution management programs by states. The TSSWCB is currently meeting requirements of the Clean Water Act through its ongoing, voluntary programs to identify and abate agricultural and silvicultural nonpoint source pollution.

The TSSWCB receives half of the approximately 10 million dollars annually provided to Texas through the United States Environmental Protection Agency's (EPA) Clean Water Act, Section 319(h) grant program. These funds are used for a variety of projects and programs to implement, demonstrate, and assess technologies and practices that protect Texas water quality from nonpoint sources of pollution. The Texas Commission on Environmental Quality receives the other half of the funding and uses it to address urban nonpoint sources.

#### EXTERNAL/INTERNAL FACTORS IMPACTING STRATEGY:

Federal statutes impact soil and water conservation programs in Texas. In the forefront of these is the Clean Water Act, which requires the development and implementation of nonpoint source pollution management programs, of which agriculture and silviculture are the responsibility of the TSSWCB. Currently, the TSSWCB receives federal funds through the Clean Water Act. The greatest impediment to securing federal funds is the requirement in most programs that they be matched by varying percentages of non-federal funds. The Clean Water Act Section 319(h)federal grant has a 40% non-federal match requirement, the TSSWCB utilizes general revenue appropriations in the Statewide Management Plan Strategy and the Pollution Abatement Plan Strategy toward satisfying the match requirement.

# 86th Regular Session, Agency Submission, Version 1 Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

			592 Soil a	nd Water Conservatio	n Board			
GOAL:	2	Administer a Progr	ram for Abatement of Agricl Nonpoint Sou	arce Pollution				
OBJECTIVE:	1	Reduce Agricultura	al/Silvicultural NPS Pollution w/Prevention	on Program		Service Categor	ies:	
STRATEGY:	1	Implement a States	vide Management Plan for Controlling NI	PS Pollution		Service: 36	Income: A.2	Age: B.3
CODE	DESCR	IPTION		Exp 2017	Est 2018	Bud 2019	BL 2020	BL 202
XPLANATION	OF BIE	NNIAL CHANGE	(includes Rider amounts):					
	STR	ATEGY BIENNIA	L TOTAL - ALL FUNDS	BIENNIAL	EXPLA	NATION OF BIENN	IAL CHANGE	
Base Spend	ing (Est	2018 + Bud 2019)	Baseline Request (BL 2020 + BL 2021)	CHANGE	\$ Amount	Explanation(s) of A	mount (must specify M	OFs and FTEs)
	\$11,131	,599	\$11,131,600	\$1	\$1	fed funds pass thru	ı difference	
					\$1	Total of Explanat	ion of Biennial Change	9

86th Regular Session, Agency Submission, Version 1 Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

#### 592 Soil and Water Conservation Board

GOAL:

2 Administer a Program for Abatement of Agricl Nonpoint Source Pollution

**OBJECTIVE:** 

1 Reduce Agricultural/Silvicultural NPS Pollution w/Prevention Program

Service Categories:

STRATEGY:

2 Pollution Abatement Plans for Problem Agricultural Areas

Service: 36

Income: A.2

Age: B.3

CODE DESCRIPTION	Exp 2017	Est 2018	Bud 2019	BL 2020	BL 2021
Output Measures:					
KEY 1 Number of Pollution Abatement Plans Certified	184.00	200.00	137.00	163.00	163.00
2 Number of Water Quality Treatment Grants Made	169.00	154.00	154.00	180.00	180.00
Efficiency Measures:					
1 Average Number of Days to Certify Pollution Abatement	6.30	7.50	20.00	20.00	20.00
Plans					
Objects of Expense:					
1001 SALARIES AND WAGES	\$1,318,481	\$1,343,765	\$1,344,000	\$1,490,000	\$1,490,000
1002 OTHER PERSONNEL COSTS	\$57,036	\$57,500	\$57,500	\$60,000	\$60,000
2001 PROFESSIONAL FEES AND SERVICES	\$13,624	\$26,750	\$25,000	\$25,000	\$25,000
2002 FUELS AND LUBRICANTS	\$23,166	\$24,166	\$25,000	\$25,000	\$25,000
2003 CONSUMABLE SUPPLIES	\$8,022	\$8,400	\$8,400	\$9,000	\$9,000
2004 UTILITIES	\$32,927	\$33,000	\$35,000	\$35,000	\$35,000
2005 TRAVEL	\$26,858	\$27,000	\$27,500	\$28,500	\$28,500
2006 RENT - BUILDING	\$160,342	\$176,445	\$177,000	\$180,000	\$180,000
2007 RENT - MACHINE AND OTHER	\$22,477	\$21,650	\$21,723	\$23,750	\$23,750
2009 OTHER OPERATING EXPENSE	\$101,291	\$102,447	\$100,000	\$135,534	\$135,534
4000 GRANTS	\$2,656,503	\$1,974,101	\$2,248,009	\$2,115,929	\$2,115,929

86th Regular Session, Agency Submission, Version 1 Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

#### 592 Soil and Water Conservation Board

GOAL: 2 Administer a Program for Abatement of Agricl Nonpoint Source Pollution **OBJECTIVE:** Reduce Agricultural/Silvicultural NPS Pollution w/Prevention Program Service Categories: STRATEGY: 2 Pollution Abatement Plans for Problem Agricultural Areas Service: 36 Income: A.2 Age: B.3 CODE DESCRIPTION Exp 2017 Est 2018 **Bud 2019** BL 2020 BL 2021 **CAPITAL EXPENDITURES** \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$3,795,224 TOTAL, OBJECT OF EXPENSE \$4,420,727 \$4,069,132 \$4,127,713 \$4,127,713 Method of Financing: 1 General Revenue Fund \$3,842,729 \$3,711,784 \$3,711,784 \$4,127,713 \$4,127,713 SUBTOTAL, MOF (GENERAL REVENUE FUNDS) \$3,711,784 \$3,842,729 \$3,711,784 \$4,127,713 \$4,127,713 Method of Financing: 555 Federal Funds 10.912.000 ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY INC \$577,998 \$83,440 \$357,348 \$0 \$0 \$83,440 CFDA Subtotal, Fund 555 \$577,998 \$357,348 \$0 \$0 SUBTOTAL, MOF (FEDERAL FUNDS) \$83,440 \$577,998 \$357,348 \$0 \$0 TOTAL, METHOD OF FINANCE (INCLUDING RIDERS) \$4,127,713 \$4,127,713 TOTAL, METHOD OF FINANCE (EXCLUDING RIDERS) \$3,795,224 \$4,420,727 \$4,069,132 \$4,127,713 \$4,127,713 **FULL TIME EQUIVALENT POSITIONS:** 29.4

31.1

31.1

33.1

33.1

# 86th Regular Session, Agency Submission, Version 1 Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

#### 592 Soil and Water Conservation Board

GOAL:

2 Administer a Program for Abatement of Agricl Nonpoint Source Pollution

**OBJECTIVE:** 

Reduce Agricultural/Silvicultural NPS Pollution w/Prevention Program

Service Categories:

STRATEGY:

2 Pollution Abatement Plans for Problem Agricultural Areas

Service: 36

Income: A.2

Age: B.3

CODE

DESCRIPTION

Exp 2017

Est 2018

Bud 2019

BL 2020

BL 2021

# STRATEGY DESCRIPTION AND JUSTIFICATION:

This strategy includes a conservation planning program called the Water Quality Management Plan Program. This program, in addition to a nonpoint source mandate, comes from Senate Bill 503 of the 73rd Legislative Session in 1993. This program is administered through a partnership between the 216 SWCDs in Texas and the TSSWCB. It is a voluntary program that emphasizes implementation of the management practices contained within the United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service's (NRCS)Field Office Technical Guide. Landowners may apply for cost-share assistance through this program.

This strategy also includes a poultry initiative that involves assisting. Texas poultry producers with meeting the requirements of the 77th Legislative Session's Senate Bill 1339. This law requires all poultry producers in Texas to obtain a TSSWCB certified water quality management plan in accordance with a schedule provided in the legislation.

# EXTERNAL/INTERNAL FACTORS IMPACTING STRATEGY:

Duc to changes made by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to the federal regulations for concentrated animal feeding operations (CAFOs), the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality (TCEQ) has adopted a change to their agency rules that requires dry-litter poultry operations larger than 125,000 birds to operate under a water quality permit. This change was necessary to make the CAFO rules in Texas consistent with the federal regulations. Prior to this change in the federal regulations, dry-litter poultry operations were not required to have a permit. However, due to Senate Bill 1339 (77th Legislative Session, 2001), all poultry operations in Texas are required to operate in accordance with a TSSWCB certified Water Quality Management Plan. The TSSWCB is working cooperatively with the TCEQ to ensure that the technical work is carried out as a result of Senate Bill 1339.

86th Regular Session, Agency Submission, Version 1 Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

			592 Soil an	d Water Conservation	n Board			
GOAL:	2	Administer a Progra	m for Abatement of Agricl Nonpoint Sou	rce Pollution				
OBJECTIVE:	1	Reduce Agricultural	/Silvicultural NPS Pollution w/Prevention	n Program		Service Categor	ies:	
STRATEGY:	2	Pollution Abatemen	t Plans for Problem Agricultural Areas			Service: 36	Income: A.2	Age: B.3
CODE	DESCI	RIPTION		Exp 2017	Est 2018	Bud 2019	BL 2020	BL 2021
	STI	RATEGY BIENNIAL	(includes Rider amounts): . TOTAL - ALL FUNDS Baseline Request (BL 2020 + BL 2021)	BIENNIAL CHANGE	EXPLAN \$ Amount	NATION OF BIENN Explanation(s) of A	IAL CHANGE mount (must specify M	IOFs and FTEs)
	STI	RATEGY BIENNIAI 2018 + Bud 2019)	TOTAL - ALL FUNDS			Explanation(s) of A	mount (must specify M	

86th Regular Session, Agency Submission, Version 1 Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

# 592 Soil and Water Conservation Board

GOAL:

3 Protect and Enhance Water Supplies

OBJECTIVE:

Conserve and Enhance Water Supplies for the State of Texas

Service Categories:

STRATEGY:

Provide Financial/Technical Assistance for Water Quantity Enhancement

Service: 37

Income: A.2

Age: B.3

CODE DESCRIPTION	Exp 2017	Est 2018	Bud 2019	BL 2020	BL 2021
Output Measures:					
KEY 1 Number of Acres of Brush Treated	30,202.50	10,000.00	1,000.00	0.00	0.00
2 Number of Acres of Brush Under Resource Management Plan	70,842.00	23,300.00	2,250.00	0.00	0.00
Efficiency Measures:					
1 Average Cost Per Acre of Mechanical Brush Clearing	156.90	181.57	0.00	0.00	0.00
2 Average Cost Per Acre of Chemical Brush Clearing	17.92	50.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Objects of Expense:					
1001 SALARIES AND WAGES	\$233,742	\$101,205	\$0	\$0	\$0
1002 OTHER PERSONNEL COSTS	\$8,313	\$2,640	\$0	\$0	\$0
2001 PROFESSIONAL FEES AND SERVICES	\$107	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
2002 FUELS AND LUBRICANTS	\$5,290	\$4,000	\$0	\$0	\$0
2003 CONSUMABLE SUPPLIES	\$2,082	\$2,000	\$0	\$0	\$0
2004 UTILITIES	\$5,427	\$3,530	\$0	\$0	\$0
2005 TRAVEL	\$20,816	\$5,000	\$0	\$0	\$0
2006 RENT - BUILDING	\$28,461	\$28,800	. \$0	\$0	\$0
2007 RENT - MACHINE AND OTHER	\$631	\$635	\$0	\$0	\$0
2009 OTHER OPERATING EXPENSE	\$69,036	\$7,250	\$0	\$0	\$0

Age: B.3

#### 3.A. Strategy Request

86th Regular Session, Agency Submission, Version 1 Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

#### 592 Soil and Water Conservation Board

GOAL:

3 Protect and Enhance Water Supplies

**OBJECTIVE:** 

Conserve and Enhance Water Supplies for the State of Texas

Service Categories:

STRATEGY:

Provide Financial/Technical Assistance for Water Quantity Enhancement

Service: 37 Income: A.2

CODE DESCRIPTION	Exp 2017	Est 2018	Bud 2019	BL 2020	BL 2021
4000 GRANTS	\$2,877,597	\$2,340,515	\$0	\$0	\$0
5000 CAPITAL EXPENDITURES	\$21,838	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
TOTAL, OBJECT OF EXPENSE	\$3,273,340	\$2,495,575	\$0	\$0	\$0
Method of Financing:					
1 General Revenue Fund	\$3,273,340	\$2,495,575	\$0	\$0	\$0
SUBTOTAL, MOF (GENERAL REVENUE FUNDS)	\$3,273,340	\$2,495,575	\$0	\$0	\$0
TOTAL, METHOD OF FINANCE (INCLUDING RIDERS)				\$0	\$0
TOTAL, METHOD OF FINANCE (EXCLUDING RIDERS)	\$3,273,340	\$2,495,575	\$0	\$0	\$0
FULL TIME EQUIVALENT POSITIONS:	3.5	2.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

#### STRATEGY DESCRIPTION AND JUSTIFICATION:

A goal of the TSSWCB is to protect and enhance water supplies in Texas by ensuring that a quantity conservation program is available and that funds are being used effectively to increase water conservation and enhance water yields in targeted areas. It is the objective of this goal to conserve and enhance water supplies for the State by managing and directing water conservation and water yield programs in targeted areas. Under our water supply enhancement responsibilities, we administer a program designed to enhance water availability and water conservation through effective land stewardship by removing water-depleting brush and trees, such as juniper, mesquite, and salt cedar, which have invaded many areas of the state and created critical water shortages.

86th Regular Session, Agency Submission, Version 1 Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

592 Soil and Water Conservation Board

GOAL:

3 Protect and Enhance Water Supplies

**OBJECTIVE:** 

Conserve and Enhance Water Supplies for the State of Texas

Service Categories:

STRATEGY:

Provide Financial/Technical Assistance for Water Quantity Enhancement

Service: 37

Income: A.2

Age: B.3

CODE

DESCRIPTION

Exp 2017

Est 2018

Bud 2019

BL 2020

BL 2021

# EXTERNAL/INTERNAL FACTORS IMPACTING STRATEGY:

Water Supply Enhancement responsibilities include programs designed to enhance water availability by removing water-depleting brush and trees, such as juniper, mesquite, and salt cedar, which have invaded many areas of the state and created critical water shortages.

# **EXPLANATION OF BIENNIAL CHANGE (includes Rider amounts):**

STRATEGY BIENNIA	STRATEGY BIENNIAL TOTAL - ALL FUNDS			NATION OF BIENNIAL CHANGE
Base Spending (Est 2018 + Bud 2019)	Baseline Request (BL 2020 + BL 2021)	CHANGE	\$ Amount	Explanation(s) of Amount (must specify MOFs and FTEs)
\$2,495,575	\$0	\$(2,495,575)	\$(2,495,575)	Funding decrease by veto
		-	\$(2,495,575)	Total of Explanation of Biennial Change

# 86th Regular Session, Agency Submission, Version 1 Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

#### 592 Soil and Water Conservation Board

GOAL:

3 Protect and Enhance Water Supplies

OBJECTIVE:

1 Conserve and Enhance Water Supplies for the State of Texas

Service Categories:

STRATEGY:

2 Carrizo Cane Eradication

Service: 34

Income: A.2

Age: B.3

0.00				
0.00				
0.00				
	3,487.80	3,790.00	2,000.00	2,000.00
\$0	\$91,794	\$288,000	\$288,000	\$288,000
\$0	\$4,320	\$7,500	\$7,500	\$7,500
\$0	\$0	\$5,000	\$5,000	\$5,000
\$0	\$1,500	\$7,500	\$7,500	\$7,500
\$0	\$250	\$2,500	\$2,500	\$2,500
\$0	\$2,000	\$5,500	\$5,500	\$5,500
\$0	\$12,500	\$20,000	\$20,000	\$20,000
\$0	\$9,735	\$39,000	\$39,000	\$39,000
\$0	\$318	\$1,000	\$1,000	\$1,000
\$0	\$1,819,448	\$1,000,000	\$1,415,930	\$1,415,930
\$0	\$15,500	\$100,000	\$100,000	\$100,000
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
\$0	\$1,957,365	\$1,476,000	\$1,891,930	\$1,891,930
	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$91,794 \$0 \$4,320 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$1,500 \$0 \$250 \$0 \$2,000 \$0 \$12,500 \$0 \$9,735 \$0 \$318 \$0 \$1,819,448 \$0 \$15,500 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$91,794 \$288,000 \$0 \$4,320 \$7,500 \$0 \$0 \$5,000 \$0 \$1,500 \$7,500 \$0 \$250 \$2,500 \$0 \$2,000 \$5,500 \$0 \$12,500 \$20,000 \$0 \$9,735 \$39,000 \$0 \$318 \$1,000 \$0 \$1,819,448 \$1,000,000 \$0 \$15,500 \$100,000	\$0 \$91,794 \$288,000 \$288,000 \$0 \$4,320 \$7,500 \$7,500 \$0 \$0 \$5,000 \$5,000 \$0 \$1,500 \$7,500 \$7,500 \$0 \$250 \$2,500 \$2,500 \$0 \$2,000 \$5,500 \$5,500 \$0 \$12,500 \$20,000 \$20,000 \$0 \$9,735 \$39,000 \$39,000 \$0 \$318 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$0 \$1,819,448 \$1,000,000 \$1,415,930 \$0 \$15,500 \$100,000 \$100,000 \$0 \$0 \$1,819,448 \$1,000,000 \$100,000 \$0 \$0 \$1,819,448 \$1,000,000 \$1,415,930

Method of Financing:

86th Regular Session, Agency Submission, Version 1 Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

# 592 Soil and Water Conservation Board

GOAL:

3 Protect and Enhance Water Supplies

OBJECTIVE:

1 Conserve and Enhance Water Supplies for the State of Texas

Service Categories:

STRATEGY:

2 Carrizo Cane Eradication

Service: 34

Income: A.2

Age: B.3

CODE DESCRIPTION	Exp 2017	Est 2018	Bud 2019	BL 2020	BL 2021
1 General Revenue Fund	\$0	\$1,476,000	\$1,476,000	\$1,891,930	\$1,891,930
SUBTOTAL, MOF (GENERAL REVENUE FUNDS)	\$0	\$1,476,000	\$1,476,000	\$1,891,930	\$1,891,930
Method of Financing:					
444 Interagency Contracts - CJG	\$0	\$481,365	\$0	\$0	\$0
SUBTOTAL, MOF (OTHER FUNDS)	\$0	\$481,365	\$0	\$0	\$0
TOTAL, METHOD OF FINANCE (INCLUDING RIDERS)				\$1,891,930	\$1,891,930
TOTAL, METHOD OF FINANCE (EXCLUDING RIDERS)	\$0	\$1,957,365	\$1,476,000	\$1,891,930	\$1,891,930
FULL TIME EQUIVALENT POSITIONS:	0.0	1.0	3.0	4.0	4.0
STRATEGY DESCRIPTION AND JUSTIFICATION:					

# 86th Regular Session, Agency Submission, Version 1 Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

592 Soil and Water Conservation Board

GOAL:

3 Protect and Enhance Water Supplies

OBJECTIVE:

Conserve and Enhance Water Supplies for the State of Texas

Service Categories:

STRATEGY:

2 Carrizo Cane Eradication

Service: 34

Income: A.2

Age: B.3

CODE DESCRIPTION

Exp 2017

Est 2018

Bud 2019

BL 2020

BL 2021

In order to help meet the Governor's border security priorities, the 84th Texas Legislature, in 2015, directed the TSSWCB, through Senate Bill 1734, to develop and implement a program to eradicate carrizo cane along the Rio Grande.

The Rio Grande Carrizo Cane Eradication Program should:

- •Reduce arundo canopy, density, and biomass
- ·Improve border access for law enforcement officers
- •Improve visibility to allow better detection of illegal activities
- •Restore ecological function, degraded riparian habitats, and biodiversity of the Rio Grande
- •Improve river function, decrease in-channel sedimentation, and reduce potential for flooding
- •Enhance water savings by conserving water lost to evapotranspiration by arundo, even accounting for water use by regrowth of native riparian plants

Due to the diversity of biological, legal, and cultural issues associated with control of carrizo cane along the 1,255-mile Rio Grande international border, the TSSWCB envisions an ecosystem-based approach that integrates the use of biological, chemical, mechanical, and cultural controls, as appropriate, to manage carrizo cane along the Rio Grande. Such an approach will promote the re-establishment of beneficial native plants, and will necessitate a long-term maintenance program to ensure control is successful. Close coordination is necessary with many local, state, and federal governmental agencies. Participation in the program is voluntary for landowners.

# EXTERNAL/INTERNAL FACTORS IMPACTING STRATEGY:

86th Regular Session, Agency Submission, Version 1 Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

592 Soil and Water Conservation Board

GOAL:

3 Protect and Enhance Water Supplies

**OBJECTIVE:** 

Conserve and Enhance Water Supplies for the State of Texas

Service Categories:

STRATEGY:

2 Carrizo Cane Eradication

Service: 34

Income: A.2

Age: B.3

CODE

DESCRIPTION

Exp 2017

Est 2018

**Bud 2019** 

BL 2020

BL 2021

**EXPLANATION OF BIENNIAL CHANGE (includes Rider amounts):** 

STRATEGY BIENNIAL TOTAL - ALL FUNDS

BIENNIAL

**EXPLANATION OF BIENNIAL CHANGE** 

Base Spending (Est 2018 + Bud 2019) Baseline Request (BL 2020 + BL 2021)

CHANGE

Amount Explanation(s) of Amount (must specify MOFs and FTEs)

\$3,433,365

\$3,783,860

\$350,495

\$350,495 Funding for increased treatment efforts

\$350,495

Total of Explanation of Biennial Change

86th Regular Session, Agency Submission, Version 1 Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

# 592 Soil and Water Conservation Board

GOAL:

4 Indirect Administration

OBJECTIVE:

1 Indirect Administration

STRATEGY:

1 Indirect Administration

Service Categories:

Service: 09

Income: A.2

Age: B.3

					medile. 11.2	rige. D.S
CODE	DESCRIPTION	Exp 2017	Est 2018	Bud 2019	BL 2020	BL 2021
Objects of	of Expense:					
1001	SALARIES AND WAGES	\$553,010	\$603,028	\$625,828	\$628,828	\$628,828
1002	OTHER PERSONNEL COSTS	\$24,191	\$20,750	\$21,000	\$21,000	\$21,000
2001	PROFESSIONAL FEES AND SERVICES	\$464	\$5,000	\$5,000	\$5,000	\$5,000
2002	FUELS AND LUBRICANTS	\$95.	\$1,000	\$1,000	\$1,000	\$1,000
2003	CONSUMABLE SUPPLIES	\$2,104	\$2,000	\$2,000	\$2,000	\$2,000
2004	UTILITIES	\$10,268	\$8,500	\$8,500	\$8,500	\$8,500
2005	TRAVEL	\$60,890	\$60,000	\$60,000	\$60,000	\$60,000
2006	RENT - BUILDING	\$20,930	\$25,000	\$25,000	\$25,000	\$25,000
2007	RENT - MACHINE AND OTHER	\$794	\$2,000	\$2,000	\$2,000	\$2,000
2009	OTHER OPERATING EXPENSE	\$16,843	\$20,275	\$20,000	\$20,000	\$20,000
TOTAL,	OBJECT OF EXPENSE	\$689,589	\$747,553	\$770,328	\$773,328	\$773,328
Method o	f Financing:					
1	General Revenue Fund	\$689,589	\$747,553	\$770,328	\$773,328	\$773,328
SUBTOT	AL, MOF (GENERAL REVENUE FUNDS)	\$689,589	\$747,553	\$770,328	\$773,328	\$773,328

86th Regular Session, Agency Submission, Version 1 Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

#### 592 Soil and Water Conservation Board

GOAL:

Indirect Administration

**OBJECTIVE:** 

Indirect Administration

Service Categories:

Service: 09

Income: A.2

9.0

Age: B.3

9.0

STRATEGY:

Indirect Administration

CODE DESCRIPTION	Exp 2017	Est 2018	Bud 2019	BL 2020	BL 2021
TOTAL, METHOD OF FINANCE (INCLUDING RIDERS)				\$773,328	\$773,328
TOTAL, METHOD OF FINANCE (EXCLUDING RIDERS)	\$689,589	\$747,553	\$770,328	\$773,328	\$773,328
FULL TIME EQUIVALENT POSITIONS:	8.0	9.0	9.0	9.0	0.0

8.0

9.0

# STRATEGY DESCRIPTION AND JUSTIFICATION:

The TSSWCB focuses on maintaining a low administrative overhead for program delivery. The agency's indirect administration for the 2020-21 biennium is anticipated to be approximately 3 percent of the annual operating budget. The Indirect Administration Strategy funds State Board Member travel, Executive Director, General Administration, Financial and Accounting, Information Technology, and Human Resources.

# EXTERNAL/INTERNAL FACTORS IMPACTING STRATEGY:

The TSSWCB budget is grant driven with over 80 percent of the annual operating budget expended on conservation grants and pass - through. In addition to general administration, this strategy also funds staff for administering grant payments to soil and water conservation districts and end users of Board programs including landowners, operators, and local/state/federal partner entities.

86th Regular Session, Agency Submission, Version 1
Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

			592 Soil a	and Water Conservation	n Board			
GOAL:	4	Indirect Administra	ation					
OBJECTIVE:	1	Indirect Administra	ation			Service Categor	ies:	
STRATEGY:	1	Indirect Administra	ation			Service: 09	Income: A.2	Age: B.3
CODE	DESCE	RIPTION		Exp 2017	Est 2018	Bud 2019	BL 2020	BL 202
XPLANATION	OF BII	ENNIAL CHANGE	C (includes Rider amounts):					
	STI	RATEGY BIENNIA	L TOTAL - ALL FUNDS	BIENNIAL	EXPLA	NATION OF BIENN	IAL CHANGE	
		2018 + Bud 2019)	Baseline Request (BL 2020 + BL 2021)	CHANGE	\$ Amount		mount (must specify M	OFs and FTEs)
Base Spend	ling (Est							
Base Spend	\$1,517	7,881	\$1,546,656	\$28,775	\$28,775	Additional suppor	t for CAPPS deploymen	nt

86th Regular Session, Agency Submission, Version 1 Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

SUMMARY TOTALS:					
OBJECTS OF EXPENSE:	\$41,148,595	\$35,570,910	\$34,793,844	\$37,496,256	\$37,496,256
METHODS OF FINANCE (INCLUDING RIDERS):				\$37,496,256	\$37,496,256
METHODS OF FINANCE (EXCLUDING RIDERS):	\$41,148,595	\$35,570,910	\$34,793,844	\$37,496,256	\$37,496,256
FULL TIME EQUIVALENT POSITIONS:	68.4	71.1	71.1	74.1	74.1

#### 3.A.1. PROGRAM-LEVEL REQUEST SCHEDULE

85th Regular Session, Agency Submission, Version 1

Agency Code: 592	Agency:	Texas State Soil and Water Conservation	on Board	Prepared By:	Kenny Zajicek				
Date: August 3, 2018				18-19	Requested	Requested	Biennial Total	Biennial Diffe	rance
Goal Name	Strategy	Strategy Name	Program Program Name	Base	2020	2021	20-21	\$	%
1 Soil and Water Conservation	111	Program Management	Conservation Assistance (Matching Funds)	£2.250.000	44 444 000				
1 Soil and Water Conservation		Program Management	Farm Bill Assistance Grants (Federal)	\$2,268,000		\$1,134,000	\$2,268,000	\$0_	0.0
1 Soil and Water Conservation		Program Management	Conservation Implementation Assistance	\$800,400		\$400,200	\$800,400	\$0	0.0
1 Soil and Water Conservation		Program Management	Conservation Implementation Assistance Conservation Activity Program	\$4,386,788	\$2,193,394	\$2,193,394	\$4,386,788	\$0	0.0
1 Soil and Water Conservation		Program Management	Director Mileage & Per Diem	\$653,000	\$326,500	\$326,500	\$653,000	\$0	0.0
1 Soil and Water Conservation		Program Management	SWCD IT Reimbursements	\$869,020	\$434,510	\$434,510	\$869,020	\$0	0.0
1 Soil and Water Conservation		Program Management		\$84,500	\$42,250	\$42,250	\$84,500	\$0	0.0
1 Soil and Water Conservation		Flood Control Dams	Field Representative / PIE Function	\$2,977,960	\$1,488,980	\$1,488,980	\$2,977,960	\$0	0.0
2 Abatement of NPS Pollution		Statewide Management Plan	Flood Control Dam Grant Program	\$37,403,446	\$19,117,651	\$19,117,651	\$38,235,302	\$831,856	2.2
2 Abatement of NPS Pollution	100000000000000000000000000000000000000	Pollution Abatement Plan	Nonpoint Source Grant Program	\$11,131,600	\$5,565,800	\$5,565,800	\$11,131,600	\$0	0.0
2 Abatement of NPS Pollution		Pollution Abatement Plan	Water Quality Management Plan Grants	\$3,400,000	\$2,115,929	\$2,115,929	\$4,231,858	\$831,858	24.5
2 Abatement of NPS Pollution	(A)	Pollution Abatement Plan	Water Quality Management Planning	\$3,367,570	\$1,604,966	\$1,604,966	\$3,209,932	(\$157,638)	-4.7
	TO STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PA		Poultry Water Quality Mgmt Plan Program	\$813,636	\$406,818	\$406,818	\$813,636	\$0	0.0
		Water Conservation & Enhancement	Water Supply Enhancement Program	\$2,495,575	\$0	\$0	\$0	(\$2,495,575)	-100.0
	3.1.1.	Water Conservation & Enhancement	Rio Grande Carrizo Cane Eradication Program	\$2,952,000	\$1,891,930	\$1,891,930	\$3,783,860	\$831,860	28.2
4 Indirect Administration	4.1.1.	Indirect Administration	Indirect Administration	\$1,389,029	\$773,328	\$773,328	\$1,546,656	\$157,627	11.3
							\$0	\$0	
							\$0	\$0	
							\$0	\$0	
							\$0	\$0	
							\$0	\$0	
							\$0	\$0	
							\$0	\$0	
							\$0	\$0	
							\$0	\$0	
							\$0	\$0	
							\$0	\$0	
							\$0	\$0	
						Harris and Design	\$0	\$0	
							\$0	\$0	
							\$0	\$0	
							\$0	\$0	
							\$0	\$0	
							\$0	\$0	
							\$0	\$0	

86th Regular Session, Agency Submission, Version 1 Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST) DATE: TIME:

8/6/2018

1:03:28PM

Agency code:

CODE

592

DESCRIPTION

Agency name:

Soil and Water Conservation Board

Excp 2021

Item Name:

Water Supply Enhancement Projects

Item Priority:

IT Component: No

**Anticipated Out-year Costs:** No

Involve Contracts > \$50,000: Yes

Includes Funding for the Following Strategy or Strategies: 03-01-01

Provide Financial/Technical Assistance for Water Quantity Enhancement

**OBJECTS OF EXPENSE:** 

4000 **GRANTS** 

TOTAL, OBJECT OF EXPENSE

2,057,500

Excp 2020

2,057,500

\$2,057,500

\$2,057,500

METHOD OF FINANCING:

General Revenue Fund

TOTAL, METHOD OF FINANCING

2,057,500

2,057,500

\$2,057,500

\$2,057,500

#### **DESCRIPTION / JUSTIFICATION:**

This exceptional item request of \$4,115,000.00 is to fund the TSSWCB's Water Supply Enhancement Program (WSEP). FY2019 funding was vetoed by the Governor's Office, however the WSEP is significantly more targeted toward water supply bulk and retailers than the previous version, the Texas Brush Control Program. Because of the veto, the TSSWCB is phasing out the program. Created in 1985 by the 69th Legislature, but only funded since 1999, the program was extensively overhauled through the TSSWCB's last Sunset review, recreating it into a program that not only provided water conservation benefits to the private landowners, but also directed its efforts toward public water supplies. The TSSWCB believes the program is beneficial to the State's increasing water needs because it provides incentives to private landowners, but is only implemented in specific areas that have been verified through extensive feasibility studies (computer models) and newly developed geo-spatial analyses that target the program toward only the areas where it is scientifically determined to be the most advantageous to public water supplies. The accuracy of these efforts is dependent on average precipitation occurring. The requested amount would allow for the completion of 476.2 acres within existing program areas, expected to yield 243.5 acre-feet of water in receiving waters, and also initiate the treatment of water depleting brush species in 11 additional program areas that have been scientifically vetted expected to yield 3,102.1 acre-feet of water per year over a 10 year period. Additionally, the infrastructure, knowledge base, and experience of the WSEP is what is being used to implement the Carrizo Cane Eradication Program (CCEP). The TSSWCB repurposed base funds to finance the overhead for the CCEP. Both programs address the same: Conserve water, and enhance border security by improving the working environment for our law enforcement entities.

#### EXTERNAL/INTERNAL FACTORS:

The accuracy of these efforts is dependent on average precipitation occurring.

#### PCLS TRACKING KEY:

86th Regular Session, Agency Submission, Version 1
Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

DATE: TIME:

8/6/2018 1:03:28PM

Agency code:

592

Agency name:

Soil and Water Conservation Board

CODE DESCRIPTION

Excp 2020

Excp 2021

APPROXIMATE PERCENTAGE OF EXCEPTIONAL ITEM:

100.00%

CONTRACT DESCRIPTION:

Contracts for the mechanical and chemical treatment of targeted brush species. Duration of work may be needed through the life of the appropriation.

86th Regular Session, Agency Submission, Version 1 Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST) DATE:

Excp 2020

8/6/2018 1:03:28PM

Excp 2021

TIME:

Agency code:

592

Agency name:

Soil and Water Conservation Board

CODE DESCRIPTION

> Item Name: Flood Control Dam Operation, Maintenance, and Structural Repair

Item Priority:

No

IT Component:

**Anticipated Out-year Costs:** No

Involve Contracts > \$50,000: Yes

Includes Funding for the Following Strategy or Strategies: 01-02-01 Flood Control Dam Maintenance & Structural Repair

**OBJECTS OF EXPENSE:** 

4000 **GRANTS** 

TOTAL, OBJECT OF EXPENSE

1,500,000 1,500,000 \$1,500,000 \$1,500,000

METHOD OF FINANCING:

General Revenue Fund

TOTAL, METHOD OF FINANCING

1,500,000 1,500,000 \$1,500,000 \$1,500,000

#### **DESCRIPTION / JUSTIFICATION:**

This exceptional item continues the existing Flood Control Program (FCP), which was first authorized in 2010. These funds will be contracted with local FCP sponsors such as Soil & Water Conservation Districts, counties, and WCIDs for dam maintenance, repair, and rehabilitation to ensure public safety in downstream areas. Dam rehabilitation needs are \$1.4 Billion on 483 dams. TSSWCB expects to receive \$12.7 million federal funds for dam rehabilitation which will be spent in 2020. State matching requirement is \$6.5 million. Current maintenance needs are \$14 million backlog plus about \$2 million per year ongoing on 2,041 dams and consists mainly of brush removal, fence replacement, and vegetation on dams and spillways. To properly address the needs, \$3 million is needed in 2020. Current repair needs are \$67 million on 187 dams that do not qualify for federal funding and consists mainly of embankment stabilization and spillway repair. The most critical repairs can be addressed in 2020 with \$2 million. Meeting these obligations along with engineering services and personnel costs will result in shortfall of about \$3 million in 2020-21.

#### **EXTERNAL/INTERNAL FACTORS:**

Depending upon Congressional appropriation and National NRCS headquarters allocation to Texas, additional federal funding may available throughout the next biennium.

#### PCLS TRACKING KEY:

86th Regular Session, Agency Submission, Version 1 Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST) DATE: 8/6/2018 TIME:

1:03:28PM

Agency code:

592

Agency name:

Soil and Water Conservation Board

CODE DESCRIPTION

Excp 2020

Excp 2021

APPROXIMATE PERCENTAGE OF EXCEPTIONAL ITEM:

100.00%

CONTRACT DESCRIPTION:

Contracts for maintenance and repair work on priority flood control sites. Duration of work may be needed through the life of the appropriation.

# 4.B. Exceptional Items Strategy Allocation Schedule

86th Regular Session, Agency Submission, Version 1 Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST) DATE: 8/6/2018 TIME: 1:03:28PM

Agency code:

592

Agency name:

Soil and Water Conservation Board

Code Description	Excp 2020	Excp 2021
Item Name: Water Supply Enhancement Projects		
Allocation to Strategy: 3-1-1 Provide Financial/Technical As	ssistance for Water Quantity Enhancement	
STRATEGY IMPACT ON OUTCOME MEASURES:		
Percent Eligible Acres in Brush Control Areas Treated and Cleared	7.00%	7.00%
2 Predicted Number of Gallons of Water Yielded	545,083,552.80	545,083,552.80
OUTPUT MEASURES:		
1 Number of Acres of Brush Treated	9,797.60	9,797.60
Number of Acres of Brush Under Resource Management Plan	19,595.00	19,595.00
EFFICIENCY MEASURES:		
1 Average Cost Per Acre of Mechanical Brush Clearing	150.00	150.00
2 Average Cost Per Acre of Chemical Brush Clearing	35.00	35.00
OBJECTS OF EXPENSE:		
4000 GRANTS	2,057,500	2,057,500
TOTAL, OBJECT OF EXPENSE	\$2,057,500	\$2,057,500
METHOD OF FINANCING:		
1 General Revenue Fund	2,057,500	2,057,500
TOTAL, METHOD OF FINANCING	\$2,057,500	
	\$4,00 1,000	\$2,057,500
FULL-TIME EQUIVALENT POSITIONS (FTE):	0.0	0.0

# 4.B. Exceptional Items Strategy Allocation Schedule

86th Regular Session, Agency Submission, Version 1 Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST) DATE: 8/6/2018
TIME: 1:03:28PM

Agency code:

592

Agency name:

Soil and Water Conservation Board

Code Description		Excp 2020	Excp 2021
Item Name:	Flood Control Dam Operation, Maintenance, and	Structural Repair	
Allocation to Strategy:	1-2-1 Flood Control Dam Mainter	nance & Structural Repair	
STRATEGY IMPACT ON OUTCOM	ME MEASURES:		
1 % of Flood Co.	ntrol Dams Identified as in Need of Repair	8.00%	8.00%
OUTPUT MEASURES:			
	od Control Dam Repair Grants Awarded	1.00	1.00
2 Number of Flo	od Control Dam Repairs Completed	1.00	1.00
OBJECTS OF EXPENSE:			
4000 GRAN	TS	1,500,000	1,500,000
TOTAL, OBJECT OF EXPENSE		\$1,500,000	\$1,500,000
METHOD OF FINANCING:			
1 General F	Revenue Fund	1,500,000	1,500,000
TOTAL, METHOD OF FINANCING		\$1,500,000	\$1,500,000
FULL-TIME EQUIVALENT POSIT	TIONS (FTE):	0.0	0.0

### 4.C. Exceptional Items Strategy Request

86th Regular Session, Agency Submission, Version 1
Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

DATE: TIME: 8/6/2018 1:03:28PM

Agency Code: 592 Agency name: Soil and Water Conservation Board GOAL: 1 Soil and Water Conservation Assistance OBJECTIVE: 2 Flood Control Dam Maintenance & Structural Repair Service Categories: STRATEGY: 1 Flood Control Dam Maintenance & Structural Repair Service: 37 Income: A.2 Age: B.3 CODE DESCRIPTION Excp 2020 Excp 2021 STRATEGY IMPACT ON OUTCOME MEASURES: 1 % of Flood Control Dams Identified as in Need of Repair 8.00 % 8.00 % **OUTPUT MEASURES:** 1 Number of Flood Control Dam Repair Grants Awarded 1.00 1.00 2 Number of Flood Control Dam Repairs Completed 1.00 1.00 **OBJECTS OF EXPENSE:** 4000 GRANTS 1,500,000 1,500,000 Total, Objects of Expense \$1,500,000 \$1,500,000 METHOD OF FINANCING: 1 General Revenue Fund 1,500,000 1,500,000 Total, Method of Finance \$1,500,000 \$1,500,000

Flood Control Dam Operation, Maintenance, and Structural Repair

**EXCEPTIONAL ITEM(S) INCLUDED IN STRATEGY:** 

# 4.C. Exceptional Items Strategy Request

86th Regular Session, Agency Submission, Version 1 Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST) DATE: TIME:

\$2,057,500

8/6/2018 1:03:28PM

\$2,057,500

Agency Code: 592 Agency name: Soil and Water Conservation Board GOAL: 3 Protect and Enhance Water Supplies **OBJECTIVE:** 1 Conserve and Enhance Water Supplies for the State of Texas Service Categories: STRATEGY: 1 Provide Financial/Technical Assistance for Water Quantity Enhancement Service: 37 Income: A.2 Age: B.3 CODE DESCRIPTION Excp 2020 Excp 2021 STRATEGY IMPACT ON OUTCOME MEASURES: 1 Percent Eligible Acres in Brush Control Areas Treated and Cleared 7.00 % 7.00 % 2 Predicted Number of Gallons of Water Yielded 545,083,552.80 545,083,552.80 **OUTPUT MEASURES:** 1 Number of Acres of Brush Treated 9,797.60 9,797.60 2 Number of Acres of Brush Under Resource Management Plan 19,595.00 19,595.00 **EFFICIENCY MEASURES:** 1 Average Cost Per Acre of Mechanical Brush Clearing 150.00 150.00 2 Average Cost Per Acre of Chemical Brush Clearing 35.00 35.00 **OBJECTS OF EXPENSE:** 4000 GRANTS 2,057,500 2,057,500 Total, Objects of Expense \$2,057,500 \$2,057,500 **METHOD OF FINANCING:** 1 General Revenue Fund 2,057,500 2,057,500

**EXCEPTIONAL ITEM(S) INCLUDED IN STRATEGY:** 

Total, Method of Finance

Water Supply Enhancement Projects

# 6.A. Historically Underutilized Business Supporting Schedule

86th Regular Session, Agency Submission, Version 1 Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST) Date: 8/6/2018
Time: 1:03:28PM

Agency Code:

592

Agency:

Soil and Water Conservation Board

#### COMPARISON TO STATEWIDE HUB PROCUREMENT GOALS

# A. Fiscal Year 2016 - 2017 HUB Expenditure Information

Statewide	Procurement		Total <u>HUB Expenditures FY 2016</u> Expenditures						HUB Expenditures FY 2017			
HUB Goals	Category	% Goal	% Actual	Diff	Actual \$	FY 2016	% Goal	% Actual	Diff	Actual \$	Expenditures FY 2017	
11.2%	Heavy Construction	11.2 %	0.0%	-11.2%	\$0	\$0	11.2 %	0.0%	-11.2%	\$0	\$0	
21.1%	<b>Building Construction</b>	21.1 %	0.0%	-21.1%	\$0	\$26,969	21.1 %	0.0%	-21.1%	\$0	\$58	
32.9%	Special Trade	32.9 %	0.0%	-32.9%	\$0	\$5,824	32.9 %	0.0%	-32.9%	\$0	\$209	
23.7%	Professional Services	23.7 %	0.0%	-23.7%	\$0	\$26,250	23.7 %	0.0%	-23.7%	\$0	\$934,097	
26.0%	Other Services	26.0 %	0.1%	-25.9%	\$8,331	\$15,595,816	26.0 %	0.2%	-25.8%	\$14,654	\$8,786,312	
21.1%	Commodities	21.1 %	5.8%	-15.3%	\$9,708	\$166,683	21.1 %	19.2%	-1.9%	\$28,659	\$149,522	
	Total Expenditures		0.1%		\$18,039	\$15,821,542		0.4%	/•	\$43,313	\$9,870,198	

# B. Assessment of Fiscal Year 2016 - 2017 Efforts to Meet HUB Procurement Goals

#### Attainment:

TSSWCB expenditures fall into three categories which are Professional Services, Other Services, and Commodities. The Board did not attain or exceed in the categories of Heavy Construction, Building Construction, and Special Trade.

#### Applicability:

The following procurement categories not applicable to TSSWCB expenditures are Heavy Construction, Building Construction, and Special Trade.

#### **Factors Affecting Attainment:**

The TSSWCB is a small agency with eight regional (satelite) offices and two-thirds staff strategically stationed in predominantly rural areas of the state where vendor selection is limited.

#### "Good-Faith" Efforts:

The Board assists local vendors with obtaining a state HUB listing. The Board also works closely with oversight agency to maintain compliance with procurement requirements and utilizes them whenever possible within financial constraints. The agency continues to periodically meet with HUB vendors and participates in conferences and forums where HUB vendors are represented to make every effort to publicize the available procurement opportunities at the agency.

86th Regular Session, Agency Submission, Version 1 Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

592	Soil and Water Conservat	ion Board			
R/ STRATEGY	Exp 2017	Est 2018	Bud 2019	BL 2020	BL 202
ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY INC					
- 1 PROGRAM MANAGEMENT & ASSISTANCE	466,780	393,823	400,200	400,200	400,200
- 2 POLLUTION ABATEMENT PLAN	577,998	83,440	357,348	0	(
TOTAL, ALL STRATEGIES	\$1,044,778	\$477,263	\$757,548	\$400,200	\$400,200
ADDL FED FNDS FOR EMPL BENEFITS	0	0	0	0	(
TOTAL, FEDERAL FUNDS	\$1,044,778	\$477,263	\$757,548	\$400,200	\$400,200
ADDL GR FOR EMPL BENEFITS	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Watershed Rehabilitation Program					
- 1 FLOOD CONTROL DAMS	1,896,484	5,143,334	9,886,468	10,286,668	10,286,668
TOTAL, ALL STRATEGIES	\$1,896,484	\$5,143,334	\$9,886,468	\$10,286,668	\$10,286,668
ADDL FED FNDS FOR EMPL BENEFITS	0	0	0	0	
TOTAL, FEDERAL FUNDS	\$1,896,484	\$5,143,334	\$9,886,468	\$10,286,668	\$10,286,668
ADDL GR FOR EMPL BENEFITS	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	= = = = = = s
Emergency Watershed Protection					
- 1 FLOOD CONTROL DAMS	6,866,183	0	0	0	. (
TOTAL, ALL STRATEGIES	\$6,866,183	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$(
ADDL FED FNDS FOR EMPL BENEFITS	0	0	0	0	(
TOTAL, FEDERAL FUNDS	\$6,866,183	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
ADDL GR FOR EMPL BENEFITS	\$0	\$0	\$0	== == == == == == == == == == == == ==	= = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = =
WILDLIFF CONSERVATION & PESTOR ATION					
- 1 STATEWIDE MANAGEMENT PLAN	62,367	94,041	94,040	0	0
TOTAL, ALL STRATEGIES	\$62,367	\$94,041	\$94,040	\$0	\$0
ADDL FED FNDS FOR EMPL BENEFITS	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL, FEDERAL FUNDS	\$62,367	\$94,041	\$94,040	\$0	\$0
ADDL GR FOR EMPL BENEFITS					
	ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY INC  1 PROGRAM MANAGEMENT & ASSISTANCE  2 POLLUTION ABATEMENT PLAN  TOTAL, ALL STRATEGIES  ADDL FED FNDS FOR EMPL BENEFITS  TOTAL, FEDERAL FUNDS  ADDL GR FOR EMPL BENEFITS  Watershed Rehabilitation Program  1 FLOOD CONTROL DAMS  TOTAL, ALL STRATEGIES  ADDL FED FNDS FOR EMPL BENEFITS  TOTAL, FEDERAL FUNDS  ADDL GR FOR EMPL BENEFITS  Emergency Watershed Protection  1 FLOOD CONTROL DAMS  TOTAL, ALL STRATEGIES  ADDL FED FNDS FOR EMPL BENEFITS  TOTAL, ALL STRATEGIES  ADDL FED FNDS FOR EMPL BENEFITS  WILDLIFE CONSERVATION & RESTORATION  1 STATEWIDE MANAGEMENT PLAN  TOTAL, ALL STRATEGIES  ADDL FED FNDS FOR EMPL BENEFITS	EXP 2017	EXP 2017	Exp 2017	Expansion   Expa

86th Regular Session, Agency Submission, Version 1 Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

	592 Soil and Water Conservat	ion Board			
CFDA NUMBER/STRATEGY	Exp 2017	Est 2018	Bud 2019	BL 2020	BL 2021
2 - 1 - 1 STATEWIDE MANAGEMENT PLAN	5,820,964	4,462,906	4,548,612	4,599,800	4,599,800
TOTAL, ALL STRATEGIES	\$5,820,964	\$4,462,906	\$4,548,612	\$4,599,800	\$4,599,800
ADDL FED FNDS FOR EMPL BENEFITS	183,583	161,027	166,750	166,750	166,750
TOTAL, FEDERAL FUNDS	\$6,004,547	\$4,623,933	\$4,715,362	\$4,766,550	\$4,766,550
ADDL GR FOR EMPL BENEFITS	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

86th Regular Session, Agency Submission, Version 1

Automated	Rudget	and	Evaluation	Cuntom	of Town	(A DECT)
Automated	Budget	and	Evaluation	System	of Texas	(ABESI)

592 Soil and Water Conservation Board

CFDA NUME	BER/STRATEGY	Exp 2017	Est 2018	Bud 2019	BL 2020	BL 2021
UMMARY LI	ISTING OF FEDERAL PROGRAM AMOUNTS					
10.912.000	ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY INC	1,044,778	477,263	757,548	400,200	400,200
10.916.000	Watershed Rehabilitation Program	1,896,484	5,143,334	9,886,468	10,286,668	10,286,668
0.923.000	Emergency Watershed Protection	6,866,183	0	0	0	0
5.625.000	WILDLIFE CONSERVATION & RESTORATION	62,367	94,041	94,040	0	C
6.460.000	Nonpoint Source Implement	5,820,964	4,462,906	4,548,612	4,599,800	4,599,800
TOTAL, ALL S	STRATEGIES	\$15,690,776	\$10,177,544	\$15,286,668	\$15,286,668	\$15,286,668
TOTAL, ADDI	L FED FUNDS FOR EMPL BENEFITS	183,583	161,027	166,750	166,750	166,750
TOTAL,	FEDERAL FUNDS	\$15,874,359	\$10,338,571	\$15,453,418	\$15,453,418	\$15,453,418
TOTAL, ADDL	GR FOR EMPL BENEFITS	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

#### SUMMARY OF SPECIAL CONCERNS/ISSUES

#### Assumptions and Methodology:

Three primary sources of federal funds received by the TSSWCB are Clean Water Act Section 319(h) Grant Awards, Environmental Quality Incentive Program Grants, and Watershed Rehabilitation / Emergency Watershed Protection Grants. Projects funded from all sources have projected payments over a three to five year period. When state match is required, challenges exist in contracting with state general revenue given the three year appropriation life and the potential five year project period. The success or failure each project is aslo impacted by the climatic and economic conditions of the State.

8/6/2018 1:03:29PM

86th Regular Session, Agency Submission, Version 1 Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

592 Soil and Water Conservation Board

Exp 2017

Est 2018

**Bud 2019** 

BL 2020

BL 2021

**Potential Loss:** 

CFDA NUMBER/STRATEGY

All federal funding is dependant upon congressional appropriation and national allocation to Texas.

86th Regular Session, Agency Submission, Version 1 Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST) DATE: 8/6/2018 TIME: 1:03:29PM

Agency code: 592

Agency name: Soil and Water Conservation Board

Federal FY	Award Amount	Expended SFY 2015	Expended SFY 2016	Expended SFY 2017	Estimated SFY 2018	Budgeted SFY 2019	Requested SFY 2020	Requested SFY 2021	Total	Difference from Award
CFDA 10	0.912.000 ENV	VIRONMENTAL .	QUALITY INC							
2014	\$482,550	\$141,963	\$83,984	\$256,603	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$482,550	\$0
2015	\$1,089,284	\$0	\$70,498	\$577,998	\$83,440	\$357,348	\$0	\$0	\$1,089,284	\$0
2016	\$316,000	\$0	\$0	\$210,177	\$105,823	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$316,000	\$0
2017	\$288,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$288,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$288,000	\$0
Total	\$2,175,834	\$141,963	\$154,482	\$1,044,778	\$477,263	\$357,348	\$0	\$0	\$2,175,834	. \$0
Empl. Be	enefit									
Payment		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	

86th Regular Session, Agency Submission, Version 1 Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST) DATE: 8/6/2018 TIME: 1:03:29PM

Agency code: 592

Agency name: Soil and Water Conservation Board

Federal FY	Award Amount	Expended SFY 2015	Expended SFY 2016	Expended SFY 2017	Estimated SFY 2018	Budgeted SFY 2019	Requested SFY 2020	Requested SFY 2021	Total	Difference from Award
CFDA 10	0.916.000 Wate	ershed Rehabilitat	ion Program							
2014	\$20,213,715	\$1,083,800	\$14,540,508	\$4,589,407	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$20,213,715	\$0
2015	\$7,209,000	\$0	\$0	\$2,551,201	\$4,226,534	\$431,265	\$0	\$0	\$7,209,000	\$0
2016	\$916,800	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$916,800	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$916,800	\$0
2017	\$4,971,510	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$4,971,510	\$0	\$0	\$4,971,510	\$0
Total	\$33,311,025	\$1,083,800	\$14,540,508	\$7,140,608	\$5,143,334	\$5,402,775	\$0	\$0	\$33,311,025	\$0
Empl. Be										
Payment		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	

# TRACKING NOTES

Anticipate 19.5 Million in additional awards to be executed during fall of FFY19.

86th Regular Session, Agency Submission, Version 1 Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST) DATE: 8/6/2018 TIME: 1:03:29PM

Agency code: 592

Agency name: Soil and Water Conservation Board

Federal FY	Award Amount	Expended SFY 2015	Expended SFY 2016	Expended SFY 2017	Estimated SFY 2018	Budgeted SFY 2019	Requested SFY 2020	Requested SFY 2021	Total	Difference from Award
CFDA 10	0.923.000 Eme	rgency Watershed	Protection							
2016	\$8,022,443	\$0	\$6,866,183	\$1,156,250	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$8,022,433	\$10
2017	\$465,809	\$0	\$0	\$465,809	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$465,809	\$0
Total	\$8,488,252	\$0	\$6,866,183	\$1,622,059	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$8,488,242	\$10
Empl. Be	enefit									
Payment		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	

86th Regular Session, Agency Submission, Version 1 Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST) DATE: 8/6/2018 TIME: 1:03:29PM

Agency code: 592

Agency name: Soil and Water Conservation Board

Federal FY	Award Amount	Expended SFY 2015	Expended SFY 2016	Expended SFY 2017	Estimated SFY 2018	Budgeted SFY 2019	Requested SFY 2020	Requested SFY 2021	Total	Difference from Award
CFDA 1	5.625.000 WIL	DLIFE CONSER	VATION & REST	ORATION						
2014	\$350,000	\$15,790	\$83,762	\$62,367	\$94,041	\$94,040	\$0	\$0	\$350,000	\$(
Total	\$350,000	\$15,790	\$83,762	\$62,367	\$94,041	\$94,040	\$0	\$0	\$350,000	\$0
Empl. Be	enefit									
Payment		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	

### 6.D. Federal Funds Tracking Schedule

86th Regular Session, Agency Submission, Version 1 Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST) DATE: 8/6/2018

TIME: 1:03:29PM

Agency code: 592

Agency name: Soil and Water Conservation Board

Federal FY	Award Amount	Expended SFY 2015	Expended SFY 2016	Expended SFY 2017	Estimated SFY 2018	Budgeted SFY 2019	Requested SFY 2020	Requested SFY 2021	Total	Difference from Award
CFDA 6	6.460.000 Nonp	point Source Impl	ement							
2012	\$3,715,500	\$2,811,968	\$577,297	\$214,798	\$111,437	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$3,715,500	\$0
2013	\$3,522,000	\$1,050,192	\$1,138,642	\$1,121,229	\$211,937	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$3,522,000	\$0
2014	\$3,603,000	\$474,114	\$1,178,502	\$1,387,942	\$562,442	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$3,603,000	\$0
2015	\$3,565,900	\$0	\$1,257,671	\$1,638,737	\$669,492	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$3,565,900	\$0
2016	\$3,685,500	\$0	\$0	\$1,458,258	\$1,175,097	\$1,052,145	\$0	\$0	\$3,685,500	\$0
2017	\$3,812,500	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,732,501	\$1,026,998	\$1,053,001	\$0	\$3,812,500	\$0
Total	\$21,904,400	\$4,336,274	\$4,152,112	\$5,820,964	\$4,462,906	\$2,079,143	\$1,053,001	\$0	\$21,904,400	\$0
Empl. Be		\$164,905	\$157,835	\$183,583	\$161,027	\$166,750	\$166,750	\$166,750	\$1,167,600	

#### TRACKING NOTES

Anticipate additional \$3.8 Million award during 4th quarter of FFY18.

## 6.I. Percent Biennial Base Reduction Options

#### 10 % REDUCTION

86th Regular Session, Agency Submission, Version 1 Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST) Date: 8/6/2018 Time: 1:03:29PM

Agency code: 592 Agency name: Soil and Water Conservation Board

	REVENU	E LOSS		REDUC	TION AMOU	NT	PROGRAM	AMOUNT	TARGET
Item Priority and Name/			Biennial			Biennial			Biennial
Method of Financing	2020	2021	Total	2020	2021	Total	2020	2021	Total

### 1 Across the Board Reduction

Category: Programs - Grant/Loan/Pass-through Reductions

Item Comment: The Texas State Soil and Water Conservation Board operating budget is approximately 85% grant and pass through expenditures. The Board's reduction option is an across the board reduction to grants and pass - throughs with an estimated corresponding reduction in support costs.

Strategy: 1-1-1 Program Expertise, Financial & Conservation Implementation Assistance

General Revenue Funds

1 General Revenue Fund	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$46,500	\$46,500	\$93,000
General Revenue Funds Total	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$46,500	\$46,500	\$93,000
Strategy: 1-2-1 Flood Control Da	m Maintenance &	Structural Repair	r			

General Revenue Funds

1 General Revenue Fund	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,094,093	\$1,094,093	\$2,188,186
General Revenue Funds Total	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,094,093	\$1,094,093	\$2,188,186

Strategy: 2-1-1 Implement a Statewide Management Plan for Controlling NPS Pollution

# 6.I. Percent Biennial Base Reduction Options

### 10 % REDUCTION

86th Regular Session, Agency Submission, Version 1 Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST) Date: 8/6/2018 Time: 1:03:29PM

Agency code: 592 Agency name: Soil and Water Conservation Board

	REVENU	E LOSS		RED	UCTION AMO	UNT	PROGRAM AMOUNT		TARGET
Item Priority and Name/			Biennial			Biennial			Biennial
Method of Financing	2020	2021	Total	2020	2021	Total	2020	2021	Total
General Revenue Funds									
1 General Revenue Fund	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$407,244	\$407,244	\$814,488			
General Revenue Funds Total	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$407,244	\$407,244	\$814,488			
Strategy: 2-1-2 Pollution Abate	ment Plans for Pro	blem Agricultu	ral Areas						
General Revenue Funds									
1 General Revenue Fund	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$517,402	\$517,402	\$1,034,804			
General Revenue Funds Total	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$517,402	\$517,402	\$1,034,804			
Strategy: 4-1-1 Indirect Adminis	stration								
General Revenue Funds									
		<b>6</b> 0							
1 General Revenue Fund	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$5,720	\$5,720	\$11,440			
General Revenue Funds Total	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$5,720	\$5,720	\$11,440			
Item Total	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$2,070,959	\$2,070,959	\$4,141,918			
FTE Reductions (From FY 2020 and	FY 2021 Base Req	uest)			2.0	2.0			

# 6.I. Percent Biennial Base Reduction Options

## 10 % REDUCTION

86th Regular Session, Agency Submission, Version 1 Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST) Date: 8/6/2018 Time: 1:03:29PM

Agency code: 592 Agency name: Soil and Water Conservation Board

	REVENUE LOSS			REDUCTION AMOUNT			PROGRAM AMOUNT		TARGET
Item Priority and Name/ Method of Financing	2020	2021	Biennial Total	2020	2021	Biennial Total	2020	2021	Biennial Total
AGENCY TOTALS									
General Revenue Total Agency Grand Total Difference, Options Total Less Target	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$2,070,959 \$2,070,959	\$2,070,959 \$2,070,959	\$4,141,918 \$4,141,918			\$4,141,918 \$4,141,918
Agency FTE Reductions (From FY 202		21 Base Request)		2.0	2.0				
Article Total Statewide Total				\$2,070,959	\$2,070,959	\$4,141,918			
				\$2,070,959	\$2,070,959	\$4,141,918			

86th Regular Session, Agency Submission, Version 1 Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

#### 592 Soil and Water Conservation Board

Strateg	y	Exp 2017	Est 2018	Bud 2019	BL 2020	BL 2021
4-1-1	Indirect Administration					
OBJEC"	TS OF EXPENSE:					
1001	SALARIES AND WAGES	\$553,010	\$ 603,028	\$ 625,828	\$ 628,828	\$ 628,828
1002	OTHER PERSONNEL COSTS	24,191	20,750	21,000	21,000	21,000
2001	PROFESSIONAL FEES AND SERVICES	464	5,000	5,000	5,000	5,000
2002	FUELS AND LUBRICANTS	95	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000
2003	CONSUMABLE SUPPLIES	2,104	2,000	2,000	2,000	2,000
2004	UTILITIES	10,268	8,500	8,500	8,500	8,500
2005	TRAVEL	60,890	60,000	60,000	60,000	60,000
2006	RENT - BUILDING	20,930	25,000	25,000	25,000	25,000
2007	RENT - MACHINE AND OTHER	794	2,000	2,000	2,000	2,000
2009	OTHER OPERATING EXPENSE	16,843	20,275	20,000	20,000	20,000
	Total, Objects of Expense	\$689,589	\$747,553	\$770,328	\$773,328	\$773,328
<b>1ЕТНО</b>	DD OF FINANCING:					
1	General Revenue Fund	689,589	747,553	770,328	773,328	773,328
	Total, Method of Financing	\$689,589	\$747,553	\$770,328	\$773,328	\$773,328
ULLTI	IME EQUIVALENT POSITIONS	8.0	9.0	9.0	9.0	9.0

Method of Allocation

8/6/2018 1:03:30PM

86th Regular Session, Agency Submission, Version 1 Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

592 Soil and Water Conservation Board

Exp 2017

Est 2018

Bud 2019

BL 2020

BL 2021

Indirect Administration Strategy

86th Regular Session, Agency Submission, Version 1 Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

### 592 Soil and Water Conservation Board

		Exp 2017	Est 2018	Bud 2019	BL 2020	BL 2021
GRAND TOTA	LS					
Objects of Expe	nse					
1001	SALARIES AND WAGES	\$553,010	\$603,028	\$625,828	\$628,828	\$628,828
1002	OTHER PERSONNEL COSTS	\$24,191	\$20,750	\$21,000	\$21,000	\$21,000
2001	PROFESSIONAL FEES AND SERVICES	\$464	\$5,000	\$5,000	\$5,000	\$5,000
2002	FUELS AND LUBRICANTS	\$95	\$1,000	\$1,000	\$1,000	\$1,000
2003	CONSUMABLE SUPPLIES	\$2,104	\$2,000	\$2,000	\$2,000	\$2,000
2004	UTILITIES	\$10,268	\$8,500	\$8,500	\$8,500	\$8,500
2005	TRAVEL	\$60,890	\$60,000	\$60,000	\$60,000	\$60,000
2006	RENT - BUILDING	\$20,930	\$25,000	\$25,000	\$25,000	\$25,000
2007	RENT - MACHINE AND OTHER	\$794	\$2,000	\$2,000	\$2,000	\$2,000
2009	OTHER OPERATING EXPENSE	\$16,843	\$20,275	\$20,000	\$20,000	\$20,000
Т	otal, Objects of Expense	\$689,589	\$747,553	\$770,328	\$773,328	\$773,328
Aethod of Finan	cing					
1	General Revenue Fund	\$689,589	\$747,553	\$770,328	\$773,328	\$773,328
T	otal, Method of Financing	\$689,589	\$747,553	\$770,328	\$773,328	\$773,328
F	ull-Time-Equivalent Positions (FTE)	8.0	9.0	9.0	9.0	9.0

86th Regular Session, Agency Submission, Version 1 Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST) DATE: 8/6/2018 TIME: 1:03:30PM

0.5

0.5

Agency code: 592 Agency name: Soil and Water Conservation Board Strategy Exp 2017 Est 2018 **Bud 2019** BL 2020 BL 2021 1-1-1 Program Expertise, Financial & Conservation Implementation Assistance **OBJECTS OF EXPENSE:** \$31,954 SALARIES AND WAGES \$32,593 \$32,593 \$32,593 \$32,593 \$31,954 Total, Objects of Expense \$32,593 \$32,593 \$32,593 \$32,593 METHOD OF FINANCING: 1 General Revenue Fund 32,593 32,593 32,593 32,593 31,954 \$31,954 \$32,593 \$32,593 Total, Method of Financing \$32,593 \$32,593

0.5

0.5

0.5

#### DESCRIPTION

One part-time support position located in Temple Office.

FULL-TIME-EQUIVALENT POSITIONS (FTE):

86th Regular Session, Agency Submission, Version 1 Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST) DATE: 8/6/2018 TIME: 1:03:30PM

Agency code: 592 Ag	Agency name: Soil and Water Conservation Board						
Strategy	Exp 2017	Est 2018	Bud 2019	BL 2020	BL 2021		
1-2-1 Flood Control Dam Maintenance & Structural Repair							
OBJECTS OF EXPENSE:							
1001 SALARIES AND WAGES	\$33,747	\$40,000	\$40,000	\$40,000	\$40,000		
Total, Objects of Expense	\$33,747	\$40,000	\$40,000	\$40,000	\$40,000		
METHOD OF FINANCING:							
1 General Revenue Fund	33,747	40,000	40,000	40,000	40,000		
Total, Method of Financing	\$33,747	\$40,000	\$40,000	\$40,000	\$40,000		
FULL-TIME-EQUIVALENT POSITIONS (FTE):	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0		

DESCRIPTION

One full-time support position located in Temple Office.

86th Regular Session, Agency Submission, Version 1 Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST) DATE: 8/6/2018 TIME: 1:03:30PM

Agency code: 592 Agency name: Soil and Water Conservation Board Strategy Exp 2017 Est 2018 **Bud 2019** BL 2020 BL 2021 2-1-1 Implement a Statewide Management Plan for Controlling NPS Pollution **OBJECTS OF EXPENSE:** SALARIES AND WAGES \$59,920 \$59,920 \$59,920 \$61,717 \$61,717 Total, Objects of Expense \$59,920 \$59,920 \$59,920 \$61,717 \$61,717 METHOD OF FINANCING: General Revenue Fund 0 0 0 0 555 Federal Funds 66.460.000 Nonpoint Source Implement 59,920 59,920 59,920 61,717 61,717 Total, Method of Financing \$59,920 \$59,920 \$59,920 \$61,717 \$61,717

#### DESCRIPTION

One full-time support position located in Temple Office.

86th Regular Session, Agency Submission, Version 1 Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST) DATE: 8/6/2018 TIME: 1:03:30PM

Agency code: 592 Agency name: Soil and Water Conservation Board Exp 2017 Strategy Est 2018 **Bud 2019** BL 2020 BL 2021 2-1-2 Pollution Abatement Plans for Problem Agricultural Areas **OBJECTS OF EXPENSE:** \$240,903 SALARIES AND WAGES \$197,400 \$203,400 \$197,400 \$203,400 \$240,903 \$197,400 Total, Objects of Expense \$197,400 \$203,400 \$203,400 METHOD OF FINANCING: 1 General Revenue Fund 240,903 197,400 203,400 197,400 203,400 Total, Method of Financing \$240,903 \$197,400 \$197,400 \$203,400 \$203,400 **FULL-TIME-EQUIVALENT POSITIONS (FTE):** 7.0 6.0 6.0 6.0 6.0

#### DESCRIPTION

Six full-time support staff positions located in Dublin, Mount Pleasant, San Angelo, Harlingen, Nacogdoches, Wharton, and Hale Center Regional Offices.

86th Regular Session, Agency Submission, Version 1 Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST) DATE: 8/6/2018 TIME: 1:03:30PM

Agency code:

592

Agency name: Soil and Water Conservation Board

Strategy	Exp 2017	Est 2018	Bud 2019	BL 2020	BL 2021
3-1-1 Provide Financial/Technical Assistance for Wa	ter Quantity Enhancement				
OBJECTS OF EXPENSE:					
1001 SALARIES AND WAGES	\$47,174	\$48,118	\$0	\$0	\$0
Total, Objects of Expense	\$47,174	\$48,118	\$0	\$0	\$0
METHOD OF FINANCING:					
1 General Revenue Fund	47,174	48,118	0	0	0
Total, Method of Financing	\$47,174	\$48,118	\$0	\$0	\$0
FULL-TIME-EQUIVALENT POSITIONS (FTE):	1.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

#### DESCRIPTION

One full-time support staff position located in San Angelo Regional Office

86th Regular Session, Agency Submission, Version 1 Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST) DATE: 8/6/2018 TIME: 1:03:30PM

1.0

1.0

Agency code: 592 Agency name: Soil and Water Conservation Board Strategy Exp 2017 Est 2018 **Bud 2019** BL 2020 BL 2021 3-1-2 Carrizo Cane Eradication **OBJECTS OF EXPENSE:** SALARIES AND WAGES \$0 \$0 \$49,560 \$48,118 \$49,560 \$0 Total, Objects of Expense \$0 \$48,118 \$49,560 \$49,560 METHOD OF FINANCING: 1 General Revenue Fund 0 0 48,118 49,560 49,560 Total, Method of Financing \$0 \$0 \$48,118 \$49,560 \$49,560

0.0

0.0

1.0

#### DESCRIPTION

One full-time support staff position located in San Angelo Regional Office.

**FULL-TIME-EQUIVALENT POSITIONS (FTE):** 

86th Regular Session, Agency Submission, Version 1 Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST) DATE: 8/6/2018 TIME: 1:03:30PM

Agency code: 592 Agency name: Soil and Water Conservation Board Exp 2017 Est 2018 **Bud 2019** BL 2020 BL 2021 **GRAND TOTALS Objects of Expense** 1001 SALARIES AND WAGES \$413,698 \$378,031 \$378,031 \$387,270 \$387,270 Total, Objects of Expense \$413,698 \$378,031 \$378,031 \$387,270 \$387,270 Method of Financing 1 General Revenue Fund \$353,778 \$318,111 \$318,111 \$325,553 \$325,553 555 Federal Funds \$59,920 \$59,920 \$59,920 \$61,717 \$61,717 Total, Method of Financing \$413,698 \$378,031 \$378,031 \$387,270 \$387,270

9.5

8.5

8.5

8.5

8.5

Full-Time-Equivalent Positions (FTE)

