

Government Documents

P.O. BOX 12456 * AUSTIN, TX 78711 512/472-3127 FAX 512/472-4816

BULLET Depository Datia Puto ic Litorary.

On Texas State Finance

Bulletins are published by the League staff solely for the information of League supporters and others interested in Texas state finance. No position by the League on any pending legislation is intended or implied. - Gary E. Wood, President

Bulletin No 1-1994

March 14, 1994

1993 PROPERTY VALUES UP 2.3%; RATIOS RELEASED

Statewide, the preliminary market value of taxable property in 1993-94 was up slightly as compared to the previous year. Preliminary values were down in 366 school districts with reductions of 10% or more in 49 school districts. On the other hand, increases in the property tax base occurred in 674 school districts, including gains of more than 10% in 136 districts.

At the extremes, preliminary estimates of market value were up by more than 100% in Industrial ISD(Jackson County), but down -44.1% in Kenedy County Wide ISD. Among the largest school districts, values were down in Ector County ISD (-6.3%), Fort Worth (-3.3%), Amarillo (-2.1%), and Dallas (-1.4%). Increases of more than 10% were recorded in Socorro, Round Rock, Killeen, Conroe, Laredo, and Austin ISDs. Some other districts with increases were Beaumont and El Paso (9.7%), Ysleta (9.5%), Corpus Christi (9.2%), Lubbock (6.9%), San Antonio (3.1%), and Houston (0.7%).

Higher values were reported in 18 of the 20 regions in the state with the Austin Region up 9.9% and the El Paso region up 9.8%. The two regions with declines in value were Midland (-4.4%) and Lubbock (-4.6%).

Market Value of Taxable Property in Texas (Billions of Dollars)

Resident	Category cialSingle-Family Multi-Family	1992 \$263.7 25.0	1993 \$277.4 26.0	<pre>% Change 5.2% 3.7%</pre>	
Agricultural Land & Improvements		37.9	37.8	-0.1%	
Business	Commercial RealCommercial PersonaltyIndustrial RealIndustrial PersonaltyMineralsUtilities	93.0 53.2 50.4 32.4 44.3 46.2	91.3 55.5 51.7 32.6 41.3 47.8	-1.9% 4.4% 2.5% 0.7% -6.9% 3.5%	
Mixed	Vacant Lots Other Personalty	20.9 1.7	20.6 1.6	-1.6% -2.4%	
S t a t * Total	e Total* includes uncertified values, not shown separ	\$672.5 ately.	\$688.1	2.3%	

The statewide value of single-family houses was up 5.2%, while multi-family housing value grew 3.7%; commercial personalty values grew 4.4%. The value of rural land and improvements was down by 0.1%; mineral values dropped by 6.9%.

AVERAGE RATIO 97.9%

In 1993 property in Texas was appraised an average of 97.9% of its market value, as determined by the Property Tax Division of the Comptroller's Office. The median average was 99% (shown by county on page 3) which means that one-half of the properties in the state were appraised higher and one-half lower than 99%. The median 1993 ratio was between 95% and 105% in all but 25 of the 254 counties (see Page 3). Those 25 counties compare with 20 counties last year and 29 counties outside that range in 1991.

INDIVIDUAL APPRAISALS VARY FROM AVERAGE

While median ratios are useful, many taxpayers find their property valued at another level. The preliminary data indicates that the average property varied from the median ratio by 15.4%. For single-family houses, 63 out of each 100 were appraised within plus or minus 10% of the average; the other 37 were over- or under-valued by 10% or more.

Intracounty variations among property categories are the more critical concern of an individual taxpayer. Variations simply mean that some taxpayers paid more or less than their fair share of local government costs. The table on page 4 shows the average ratio for each category of property for the 50 largest school districts. The category "Ag Use Land" includes farm improvements as well as acreage qualifying for productivity valuation.

APPEALS BY TAXPAYERS

Property owners can appeal unequal property appraisals to the appraisal review board in each county. Further appeal can be taken to a district court; the court is empowered to change the complainant's valuation if it varies 10% or more from the median level of appraisal.

FINAL FIGURES JULY 1st

School superintendents may appeal the Comptrollers preliminary values. In addition, property owners whose tax liability is \$100,000 or more on a property included in the Comptroller's study also may appeal. Final ratios and a detailed report will be released by July 1st. Taxpayers may have to rely upon preliminary figures when appealing to local appraisal review boards.

Further information can be obtained from the report 1993 Preliminary Report School & Appraisal Districts' Property Value Study, by contacting the Comptroller of Public Accounts, Property Tax Division, Austin, Texas 78746-6565.

RATIO APPRAISED VALUE TO STATE-DETERMINED MARKET VALUE 1993

County	Median	County	Median	County	Median	County M	edian
Anderson	99%	Donley	99%	Kaufman	100%	Real	100%
Andrews	97%	Duval	99%	Kendall	101%	Red River	99%
Angelina	97%	Eastland	100%	Kenedy	105%	Reeves	109%
Aransas	100%	Ector	100%	Kent	95%	Refugio	100%
Archer	99%	Edwards	103%	Kerr	97%	Roberts	94%
Armstrong	98%	Ellis	100%	Kimble	100%	Robertson	100%
Atascosa	100%	El Paso	94%		103%		
	99%			King		Rockwall	99%
Austin		Erath	96%	Kinney	99%	Runnels	99%
Bailey	100%	Falls	100%	Kleberg	103%	Rusk	100%
Bandera	100%	Fannin	98%	Knox	94%	Sabine	100%
Bastrop	95%	Fayette	98%	Lamar	100%	San Augustine	96%
Baylor	102%	Fisher	91%	Lamb	100%	San Jacinto	98%
Bee	100%	Floyd	95%	Lampasas	100%	San Patricio	98%
Bell	96%	Foard	97%	LaSalle	97%	San Saba	98%
Bexar	100%	Fort Bend	99%	Lavaca	100%	Schleicher	102%
Blanco	100%	Franklin	95%	Lee	97%	Scurry	101%
Borden	103%	Freestone	101%	Leon	100%	Shackelford	104%
Bosque	99%	Frio	99%	Liberty	97%	Shelby	95%
	99%						
Bowie		Gaines	95%	Limestone	100%	Sherman	103%
Brazoria	92%	Galveston	96%	Lipscomb	97%	Smith	98%
Brazos	99%	Garza	102%	Live Oak	97%	Somervell	102%
Brewster	98%	Gillespie	99%	Llano	96%	Starr	93%
Briscoe	98%	Glasscock	102%	Loving	104%	Stephens	100%
Brooks	105%	Goliad	102%	Lubbock	98%	Sterling	99%
Brown	100%	Gonzales	103%	Lynn	98%	Stonewall	96%
Burleson	99%	Gray	100%	Madison	96%	Sutton	106%
Burnet	99%	Grayson	99%	Marion	100%	Swisher	100%
Caldwell	96%	Gregg	102%	Martin	103%	Tarrant	100%
Calhoun	97%		96%				
		Grimes		Mason	95%	Taylor	100%
Callahan	99%	Guadalupe	100%	Matagorda	100%	Terrell	100%
Cameron	99%	Hale	98%	Maverick	95%	Terry	102%
Camp	100%	Hall	103%	McCulloch	100%	Throckmorton	99%
Carson	98%	Hamilton	100%	McLennan	97%	Titus	99%
Cass	100%	Hansford	102%	McMullen	93%	Tom Green	99%
Castro	97%	Hardeman	99%	Medina	100%	Travis	96%
Chambers	95%	Hardin	97%	Menard	99%	Trinity	102%
Cherokee	99%	Harris	97%	Midland	99%	Tyler	93%
Childress	79%	Harrison	100%	Milam	104%	Upshur	102%
Clay	99%	Hartley	96%	Mills	95%	Upton	102%
Cochran	102%	Haskell	99%	Mitchell	102%	Uvalde	100%
Coke	99%		97%				
		Hays		Montague	97%	Val Verde	99%
Coleman	98%	Hemphill	103%	Montgomery	96%	Van Zandt	100%
Collin	100%	Henderson	99%	Moore	102%	Victoria	98%
Collingswort		Hidalgo	96%	Morris	101%	Walker	101%
Colorado	99%	Hill	100%	Motley	98%	Waller	98%
Comal	98%	Hockley	99%	Nacogdoches	99%	Ward	108%
Comanche	99%	Hood	97%	Navarro	100%	Washington	94%
Concho	100%	Hopkins	101%	Newton	99%	Webb	96%
Cooke	98%		102%	Nolan	100%		100%
Coryell	96%	Houston	99%		100%	Wharton	
		Howard		Nueces		Wheeler	102%
Cottle	97%	Hudspeth	107%	Ochiltree	98%	Wichita	100%
Crane	106%	Hunt	98%	Oldham	94%	Wilbarger	99%
Crockett	99%	Hutchinson	104%	Orange	98%	Willacy	98%
Crosby	98%	Irion	100%	Palo Pinto	99%	Williamson	99%
Culberson	93%	Jack	104%	Panola	100%	Wilson	98%
Dallam	98%	Jackson	90%	Parker	99%	Winkler	106%
Dallas	100%	Jasper	98%	Parmer	96%	Wise	102%
Dawson	103%	Jeff Davis	98%	Pecos	99%	Wood	99%
Deaf Smith	101%	Jefferson	98%	Polk	100%		105%
	100%					Yoakum	
Delta		Jim Hogg	101%	Potter	100%	Young	95%
Denton	100%	Jim Wells	99%	Presido	96%	Zapata	89%
Dewitt	101%	Johnson	99%	Rains	97%	Zavala	98%
Dickens	86%	Jones	98%	Randall	0%		
Dimmit	99%	Karnes	99%	Reagan	100%	Total	99%