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This analysis contains estimated values and trends reported by informed observers of the Arizona, New Mexico, Oklahoma and Texas land markets. The summarized statistics indicate general conditions and do not represent values or trends for any particular farm or ranch. A table of averaged responses for each region appears in Appendix B for each region where panelists provided estimates.

The mean or average provides an estimate of the typical land price in a market. The median or the middle price in a ranked list of prices also provides an estimate of the typical situation. High prices per acre in one or two areas can cause high state-wide mean prices. Medians are less sensitive to the presence of extremely large or small prices. Therefore, a median may reflect typical prices more accurately than the mean. Hence, both the mean and median prices appear in the state-wide analyses. However, the land market area analysis relies solely on means.

Land markets reflected diversity in May 1988. Some respondents reported markets poised for price strengthening, while others projected declines. Projected increases occurred in all of Arizona, northern and western New Mexico, most of Oklahoma and in northern and eastern Texas. Panelists forecast falling prices in southern Arizona cropland, southeastern New Mexico cropland, central, south central and northeastern Oklahoma and central to south Texas. These estimated trends emphasize the highly localized nature of current land markets.

## Arizona

Statewide, panelists estimated Arizona irrigated cropland averaged $\$ 11,556$ per acre with a median at $\$ 6,000$. These high values reflect the strong development potential enjoyed by much Arizona land. However, panelists projected an average 5 percent decline in cropland values by 1989. Estimated native rangeland values averaged $\$ 878$ per acre with a median of $\$ 400$ and an average projected increase of 5 percent by May 1989. Orchard or vineyard land averaged an estimated $\$ 28,900$ per acre. Orchard or vineyard land will likely fall 5 percent in value during the year according to panelists' forecasts. Timber values averaged an estimated $\$ 5,000$
per acre and probably will increase by 8 percent as high timber prices fuel demand. These high values reflect spirited bidding for control of the small stock of privately owned land in Arizona.

Investment and speculation led buyers to the market, according to 80 percent of the respondents. Financial stress, poor investment performance and retirement prompted sellers to sell, according to 60 percent of responding panelists. Fully 30 percent of respondents named financial stress as the primary seller motive in Arizona land markets. Eighty percent of returned surveys reported investors as predominant buyers in Arizona. The remainder of the market went to consumers
with virtually no panelists perceiving farmers or ranchers among buyers. Arizona panelists provided 14 responses.

## New Mexico

New Mexico panelists reported an average irrigated cropland value of $\$ 1,700$ per acre with a median of $\$ 1,450$ per acre. Respondents expect stability in irrigated cropland, leading to a negligible projection of 1 percent increase by May 1989. Non-irrigated cropland and improved pasture responses averaged $\$ 250$ and $\$ 240$ per acre respectively with medians of $\$ 250$ per acre. However, panelists forecast no change in non-irrigated cropland values while they foresee a 4 percent improvement in improved pasture values. At $\$ 65$ per acre with a median of $\$ 55$, native rangeland reflects the lowest estimated land values in New Mexico. Panelists anticipate 3 percent growth in rangeland values during the coming year. Orchard or vineyard land at an estimated average value of $\$ 6,725$ per acre and a median of $\$ 6,350$ represents the most highly valued New Mexico acreage. Respondents predict little change in orchard or vineyard values, projecting an average 1 percent increase.
More than 46 percent of the respondents indicated that the belief the market had bottomed led buyers to New Mexico land purchases. An added 8 percent reported reduced land values as the primary stimulus for buyers. Fully 54 percent of the responses indicated that buyers purchased because they considered current land prices to be a bargain. This heavy concentration of buyers that believe in stabilizing prices may presage à market turnaround. Twenty seven percent saw expansion of existing farms and ranches as the most common motive for purchase. An added 8 percent observed purchases for agricultural production. However, more than 73 percent of the New Mexico
panelists named financial stress as the primary motive for sellers of land. Not surprisingly, 92 percent reported sales primarily went to farmers and ranchers. The remaining 2 percent reported some investment buyers. New Mexico panelists contributed 27 observations to the April survey.

## Oklahoma

Estimated irrigated land values in Oklahoma averaged $\$ 825$ per acre with a median of $\$ 875$ per acre. Panelists expect an average increase of 6 percent in irrigated land values by May 1989. Nonirrigated cropland reported values averaged $\$ 494$ per acre with a median of $\$ 450$ per acre. Projected change in nonirrigatedcropland values averaged a 3 percent increase. Panelists estimated that improved pasture values averaged $\$ 319$ per acre with a median at $\$ 325$ per acre. They forecast growth of 3 percent. Respondents estimated native rangeland values averaging $\$ 248$ per acre with a $\$ 275$ median. Panelists foresee an average 4 percent increase in Oklahoma rangeland values during the coming year. Oklahoma timberland averaged a reported $\$ 150$ per acre with prospects of no change throughout 1988. This overall optimistic assessment contrasts sharply with past surveys which portrayed a gloomy outlook for Oklahoma land markets.
Buyers acquiring properties because of reduced land prices or because they believe that the market has bottomed accounted for more than 72 percent of the reported primary buyer motives. Twentyfour percent of the remaining panelists reported expansion of operations and investment as the rationale for Oklahoma land purchases. Ninety-two percent of responding panelists indicated that financial stress motivated sellers to dispose of Oklahoma rural land. Fully 88 percent of the buyers were thought to be producers.

Oklahoma panelists contributed 27 observations for this survey.

## Texas

Texas panelists estimated irrigated land values averaged $\$ 696$ per acre with a median of $\$ 625$ per acre. Overall, Texas respondents forecast a 1 percent decline in irrigated land values. However, this projection varied according to location. Most High Plains observers look for higher cropland values for the first time since 1981. Reported non-irrigated cropland values averaged $\$ 757$ per acre with a median of $\$ 600$ per acre. Non-irrigated values ranged above irrigated values because of location. Panelists foresee little change in non-irrigated cropland values. Improved pasture estimated values averaged $\$ 936$ per acre with a median at $\$ 700$ per acre. Texas respondents project a 1 percent improvement in these values. Texas native rangeland values averaged an estimated $\$ 749$ per acre with a median of $\$ 500$ per acre. Panelists projected a 1 percent increase in rangeland values by May 1989. Orchard or vineyard land reported values averaged $\$ 1,605$ per acre with a median at $\$ 1,300$ per acre. Respondents forecast a 1 percent increase in these values. Texas timberland estimates averaged $\$ 693$ with a median of $\$ 700$ per acre. Panelists foresee a 3 percent growth in these values by 1989 .
Nearly 41 percent of Texas responses indicated that reductions in land prices or belief that the market had bottomed led buyers to purchase land. More than 30 percent reported either recreation or rural homesites as primary motives for buying land. Observers reported purchases for agricultural production or expansion of existing operations accounted for an added 14 percent of the market. The remaining motives included 12 percent for investment or speculation. More than 77 percent of the reporting panel listed finan-
cial stress as the primary stimulus for sellers of Texas rural land. Poor investment performance, fear of further value erosion and estate settlements prompted sales, according to 14 percent of the panel. Remaining responses were scattered among a number of other motives. Thirty-eight percent of respondents reported farmers and ranchers (producers) as the predominant buyers in their local market. Panelists reported consumers as primary purchasers 31 percent of the time. Thirty-one percent of respondents also saw investors as the predominant buyers. Texas panelists contributed 186 observations on Texas markets for rural land.

## Comments

The following comments contributed by respondents impart an added dimension to the portrait of the rural land market. These written observations provide detail and illustrations only hinted at in reported values and trends.
"There is plenty of land for sale in Pinal county. Almost none is selling. The market is very flat." (Arizona appraiser)
"There are fewer parcels on the market than in 1987 and more sales activity. Buyers have focused on positive forces and hopes for the future." (New Mexico appraiser)
"We have seen a strong demand for ranchland develop with increases in livestock prices." (New Mexico appraiser)
"Prices are showing some increases on good cropland and grassland." (Oklahoma Broker)
"Activity in the market for small and medium acreage tracts is picking up. However, large properties are still moving slowly." (Central Texas appraiser)
"There are many properties over 100 acres being offered. Not many are selling." (Northeast Texas appraiser)
"The Hill Country real estate market is dead! The few inquiries that we get are only asking questions or looking for giveaway prices. The picture is not at all encouraging." (Texas Hill Country broker)
"Owners have offered more ranches than are being taken off the market. Banks and lending institutions are holding many properties from foreclosures. The decreased level of sales makes it difficult to analyze the market to estimate market value." (Texas Hill Country appraiser)
"Most of the large tracts are being sold by lenders who have big inventories of land. In essence, they have set the market." (Northeast Texas appraiser)
"Interest in buying is picking up. We probably have the fewest offerings on the market since 1981." (Texas Coastal Prairie area appraiser)
"The market is very flat with few sales. Lenders are the most active "buyers" of property. Many good buys are available, but money is scarce and there are no takers." (Dallas area broker)
"Aggricultural user purchase activity is still minimal. Consumer and speculative motivations still dominate the market." (Coastal Bend appraiser)
"Area real estate activity and transactions have increased over the past six months. This corresponds with a slight upswing in overall area economy." (Abilene appraiser)
"The land market seems to have stabilized. Some farmers made money from the 1987 crops, and so farm sales are the best they have been in five or six years."

## (South Plains appraiser)

"Buyers' interest has increased while sellers don't feel the pressure to sell. This increase in demand and decline in supply appears to have caused upward price movement." (High Plains appraiser)
"The CRP program has stabilized markets for non-irrigated land. Good prices and good crops have led to stabilized values on irrigation land. Our last sale was strong with several prospects making offers. The local abstract office reports more movement in our area than in the past few months." (High Plains broker)
"The market in the area is very strong this year for several reasons. Reasons include: a good crop last year, some investors entering the market, larger farmers ex- panding to bring home family members, and farmers can plant more cotton this year than they could last year." (High Plains Plains appraiser)
"We do have some inquiries. However, prospects are looking for give-away prices. The appraisal districts are re-valuing properties 10 to 15 percent higher than the 1987 appraisals. The assessment staff cannot justify these changes that will distress land values further." (Northern Panhandle appraiser)

## Appendix A

## Summary by State

Arizona

|  | Average Price Per Acre (\$) |  |  | $\underset{\substack{\text { (acres) }}}{\substack{\text { Typical Size }}}$ | Change in Value 12-Month Projection (\%) | AnnualChange in Number (\%) |  | Annual Cash Rent <br> Per Acre (\$) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Low | Average | High |  |  | For Sale | Sold |  |
| Irrigated cropland | 5,122 | 11,556 | 18,944 | 381 | -5 | 1 | -7 | 115 |
| Non-irrigated cropland | . |  |  | - | - | - | - | - |
| Improved pasture | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Native rangeland | 598 | 878 | 1,630 | 1,805 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 1 |
| Orchard or vineyard | 21,800 | 28,900 | 33,000 | 173 | -5 | 6 | 15 |  |
| Timberland | 2,667 | 5,000 | 6,667 | 180 | - | . | . |  |

Sales with minerals transferred: $65.0 \%$
Percentage of minerals transferred: $68.9 \%$

## New Mexico

|  | Average Price Per Acre (\$) |  |  | Typical Size (acres) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Change in Value } \\ & \text { 12-Month } \\ & \text { Projection (\%) } \end{aligned}$ | AnnualChange in Number (\%) |  | Annual <br> Cash Rent <br> Per Acre (\$) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Low | Average | High |  |  | For Sale | Sold |  |
| Irrigated cropland | 912 | 1,700 | 2,748 | 159 | 1 | 10 | 26 | Per |
| Non-irrigated cropland | 179 | 250 | 342 | 345 | 0 | 8 | 25 | - |
| Improved pasture | 155 | 240 | 325 | 320 | 4 | 0 | 0 | - |
| Native rangeland | 40 | 65 | 104 | 9,973 | 3 | 5 | 23 | - |
| Orchard or vineyard | 4,050 | 6,725 | 9,500 | 77 | 1 | 33 | 33 |  |
| Timberland | - |  |  | - | . | - | - |  |

Sales with minerals transferred: $\mathbf{6 1 . 9 \%}$
Percentage of minerals transferred: $38.3 \%$
Oklahoma

|  | Average Price <br> Per Acre (\$) |  | Typical Size <br> (acres) | Change in Value <br> 12-Month <br> Projection (\%) | Annual <br> Change in Number (\%) <br> Fow <br> For Sale | Annual <br> Cash Rent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Per Acre (\$) |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Sales with minerals transferred: $37.5 \%$
Percentage of minerals transferred: $39.5 \%$

Texas

|  | Average Price Per Acre (\$) |  |  | Typical Size(acres) | Change in Value 12-Month Projection (\%) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Annual } \\ \text { Change in Number (\%) } \end{gathered}$ |  | Annual Cash Rent Per Acre (\$) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Low | Average | High |  |  | For Sale | Sold |  |
| Irrigated cropland | 522 | 696 | 1,067 | 260 | -1 | 13 | 12 | 48 |
| Non-irrigated cropland | 484 | 757 | 1,770 | 288 | 0 | 9 | 6 | 22 |
| Improved pasture | 588 | 936 | 2,106 | 279 | 1 | 7 | -2 | 12 |
| Native rangeland | 447 | 749 | 1,861 | 1,060 | 1 | 9 | 1 | 9 |
| Orchard or vineyard | 1,175 | 1,605 | 3,112 | 89 | 1 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Timberland | 442 | 693 | 966 | 121 | 3 | 5 | 9 |  |

Sales with minerals transferred: $64.4 \%$
Percentage of minerals transferred: $41.0 \%$
Source: Real Estate Center at Texas A\&M University

## Appendix B

Summary by Land Market Area
Arizona Land Market Areas


New Mexico Land Market Areas


Source: Real Estate Center at Texas A\&M University


Source: Real Estate Center at Texas A\&M University

| Arizona Counties by Land Market Areas |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Land Market Area 1 | Land Market Area 3 |
| Apache | Maricopa |
| Coconino | Yuma |
| Navajo | Land Market Area 4 |
| Land Market Area 2 | Cochise |
| Gila | Graham |
| Mohave | Greenlee |
| Yavapai | Pima |
|  | ${ }_{\text {Sinala }}$ Cruz |
| New Mexico Counties by Land Market Areas |  |
| Land Market Area 1-Navajo Plateau | Land Market Area 6-Sacramento |
| Cibola | Range Plateau |
| McKinley | Lincoln |
| Sandoval | Otero |
| San Juan | Torrance |
| Land Market Area 2-Rocky | Land Market Ȧrea 7-Pecos Valley |
| Mountains | Chaves |
| Rio Arriba | De Baca |
| Sante Fe | Eddy |
| Taos | Land Market Area 8-High Plains |
| Land Market Area 3-Raton- | Curry |
| Great Plains | Lea |
| Colfax | Roosevelt |
| Guadalupe | Land Market Area 9-Mexican |
| Harding | Highlands |
| Mora | Dona Ana |
| Quay <br> San Miguel | Grant |
| San M | Hidalgo |
| Land Market Area 4-Albuquerque- | Sierra |
| Belen |  |
| Bernalillo |  |
| Valencia |  |
| Land Market Area 5-Datil-Plateau Catron |  |
|  |  |
| Socorro |  |

# Oklahoma Counties by Land Market Areas 

## Land Market Area 1

Beaver
Cimarron
Ellis
Harper
Roger Mills
Texas
Land Market Area 2
Beckham
Blaine
Caddo
Custer
Dewey
Greer
Harmon
Washita
Woodward
Land Market Area 3
Comanche
Kiowa
Land Market Area 4
Cotton
Jackson
Jefferson
Stephens
Tillman
Land Market Area 5
Alfalfa
Grant
Kay
Major
Noble
Payne
Woods
Land Market Area-6
Garfield
Land Market Area 7
Kingfisher
Logan
Land Market Area 8-Oklahoma
City
Canadian
Cleveland

Oklahoma
Pottawatomie
Land Market Area 9
Grady
McClain
Land Market Area 10
Garvin
Johnston
Murray
Pontotoc
Land Market Area 11
Carter
Land Market Area 12
Atoka
Bryan
Choctaw
Love
Marshall
Land Market Area 13
Osage
Pawnee
Land Market Area 14
Coal
Creek
Hughes
Lincoln
Okfuskee
Okmulgee
Pittsburg
Seminole
Land Market Area 15
Craig
Haskell
McIntosh
Muskogee
Nowata
Rogers
Sequoyah
Wagoner
Washington
Land Market Area 16 Land Market Area 17

Adair
Cherokee
Delaware
Mayes
Ottawa

Land Market Area 17
Latimer
Le Flore
McCurtain
Pushmataha

## Texas Counties by Land Market Areas

Land Market Area 1
Dallam
Hansford
Hartley
Moore
Ochiltree
Sherman
Land Market Area 2
Armstrong
Briscoe
Carson
Castro
Deaf Smith
Gray
Parmer
Randall
Swisher
Land Market Area 3
Borden
Crosby
Dawson
Floyd
Garza
Hale
Lubbock
Lynn
Land Market Area 4
Andrews
Bailey
Cochran
Ector
Gaines
Hockley
Howard
Lamb

Martin
Midland
Terry
Yoakum
Land Market Area 5
Hemphill
Hutchinson
Lipscomb
Oldham
Potter
Roberts
Land Market Area 6
Childress
Collingsworth
Cottle
Dickens
Donley
Hall
Kent
King
Motley
Stonewall
Wheeler
Land Market Area 7
Fisher
Jones
Mitchell
Nolan
Runnels
Scurry
Taylor
Land Market Area 8
Brewster
Crane
Culberson
Hudspeth
Jeff Davis

| Loving |
| :---: |
| Pecos |
| Presidio |
| Reeves |
| Terrell |
| Ward |
| Winkler |
| Land Market Area 9 |
| Coke |
| Concho |
| Crockett |
| Edwards |
| Glasscock |
| Irion |
| Kinney |
| Reagan |
| Schleicher |
| Sterling |
| Sutton |
| Tom Green |
| Upton |
| Val Verde |
| Land Market Area 10 |
| Frio |
| Maverick |
| Medina |
| Uvalde |
| Zavala |
| Land Market Area 11 |
| Brooks |
| Dimmit |
| Duval |
| Jim Hogg |
| Kenedy |
| LaSalle |
| McMullen |
| Starr |
| Webb |
| Zapata |
| Land Market Area 12 |
| Archer |
| Baylor |
| Clay |
| Foard |
| Hardeman |
| Haskell |

Pecos
Presidio
Reeves
Terrell
Ward
Winkler
Land Market Area 9
Coke
Concho
Crockett
Edwards
Glasscock
Irion
Kinney
Reagan
Schleicher
Sterling
Sutton
Tom Green
Upton
Val Verde
Land Market Area 10
Frio
Maverick
Medina
Uvalde
Zavala
Land Market Area 11
Brooks
Dimmit
Duval
Jim Hogg
Kenedy
LaSalle
McMullen
Starr
Webb
Zapata
Land Market Area 12
Archer
Baylor
Clay
Foard
Hardeman
Haskell

Jack
Knox
Shackelford
Stephens
Throckmorton
Wichita
Wilbarger
Young
Land Market Area 13
Brown
Callahan
Coleman
Comanche
Eastland
Erath
Land Market Area 14
Hamilton
McCulloch
Mills
Lampasas
San Saba
Land Market Area 15
Kimble
Menard
Real
Land Market Area 16
Burnet
Gillespie
Llano
Mason
Land Market Area 17
Bandera
Blanco
Kendall
Kerr
Land Market Area 18
Atascosa
Bexar
Comal
Guadelupe
Karnes
Wilson
Land Market Area 19
Colorado

Dewitt
Fayette
Gonzales
Lavaca
Land Market Area 20
Aransas
Bee
Goliad
Jim Wells
Kleberg
Live Oak
Nueces
Refugio
San Patricio
Land Market Area 21
Calhoun
Jackson
Matagorda
Victoria
Wharton
Land Market Area 22
Cooke
Fannin
Grayson
Montague
Land Market Area 23
Hood
Johnson
Palo Pinto
Parker
Somervell
Tarrant
Wise
Land Market Area 24
Collin
Dallas
Denton
Ellis
Hunt
Kaufman
Rains
Rockwall
Van Zandt
Land Market Area 25
Bell

Bosque
Coryell
Falls
Freestone
Hill
Limestone
McLennan
Navarro
Land Market Area 26
Bastrop
Caldwell
Hays
Lee
Milam
Travis
Williamson
Land Market Area 27
Brazos
Burleson
Grimes
Leon
Madison
Robertson
Washington
Land Market Area 28
Austin
Brazoria
Chambers
Fort Bend
Galveston
Hardin
Harris
Jefferson
Liberty
Montgomery
Orange
San Jacinto
Walker
Waller
Land Market Area 29
Bowie
Camp
Cass
Delta
Franklin
Hopkins

Lamar
Marion
Morris
Red River
Titus
Upshur
Wood
Land Market Area 30
Anderson
Cherokee
Gregg
Harrison
Henderson
Houston
Nacogdoches
Panola
Rusk
Shelby
Smith

## Land Market Area 31

Angelina
Jasper
Newton
Polk
Sabine
San Augustine
Trinity
Tyler
Land Market Area 32
Cameron
Hidalgo
Willacy
Land Market Area 33
El Paso

Arizona Land Market Area 1

|  | Average Price <br> Per Acre (\$) |  | Typical Size <br> (acres) | Change in Value <br> 12-Month <br> Projection (\%) | Annual <br> Change in Number (\%) | Annual <br> Cash Rent |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Low Average | High |  |  | For Sale | Sold | Per Acre (\$) |
| Irrigated cropland | 1,500 | 17,000 | 30,000 | - | - | 0 | 10 |
| Non-irrigated cropland | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Improved pasture | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Native rangeland | 1,350 | 2,050 | 3,500 | 160 | - | - | - |
| Orchard or vineyard | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Timberland | 2,500 | 5,000 | 6,500 | 260 | - | - | - |

Sales with minerals transferred: $91.7 \%$
Percentage of minerals transferred: $83.3 \%$

## Arizona Land Market Area 2

|  | Average Price <br> Per Acre (\$) |  | Typical Size <br> (acres) | Change in Value <br> 12-Month <br> Projection (\%) | Annual <br> Change in Number (\%) <br> Average | High |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | | Annual |
| :---: |
| Cash Rent |

Sales with minerals transferred: $66.7 \%$
Percentage of minerals transferred: 100\%
Arizona Land Market Area 3

|  | Average Price <br> Per Acre (\$) |  | Typical Size <br> (acres) | Change in Value <br> 2-Month <br> Projection (\%) | Annual <br> Change in Number (\%) | Annual <br> Cash Rent |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Low Average | High |  |  | For Sale | Sold | Per Acre (\$) |

Sales with minerals transferred: $53.0 \%$
Percentage of minerals transferred: $60.0 \%$
Source: Real Estate Center at Texas A\&M University

Arizona Land Market Area 4

|  | Average Price Per Acre (\$) |  |  | $\underset{\text { (acres) }}{\text { Typical Size }}$ | Change in Value12-MonthProjection (\%) | AnnualChange in Number (\%) |  | Annual Cash Rent Per Acre (\$) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Low | Average | High |  |  | For Sale | Sold |  |
| Irrigated cropland | 2,033 | 3,167 | 7,000 | 460 | -5 | 13 | 8 | 115 |
| Non-irrigated cropland | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Improved pasture | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Native rangeland | 96 | 241 | 520 | 1,000 | 6 | 13 | 8 | 1 |
| Orchard or vineyard | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Timberland | - | - |  | - | - | - | - |  |

Sales with minerals transferred: 56.7\%
Percentage of minerals transferred: $25.0 \%$
New Mexico Land Market Area 1

|  | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Average Price } \\ \text { Per Acre (\$) }\end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Typical Size } \\ \text { (acres) }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Change in Value } \\ \text { 12-Month } \\ \text { Projection (\%) }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Annual } \\ \text { Lhange in Number (\%) } \\ \text { For Sale }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Annual } \\ \text { Cold }\end{array}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cash Rent |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Per Acre (\$) |  |  |  |  |  |  |$)$

Sales with minerals transferred: 55.0\%
Percentage of minerals transferred: $50.0 \%$
New Mexico Land Market Area 2

|  | Average Price Per Acre (\$) |  |  | $\underset{\text { (acres) }}{\substack{\text { Typical Size }}}$ | Change in Value 12-Month Projection (\%) | $$ |  | Annual Cash Rent <br> Per Acre (\$) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Low | Average | High |  |  | For Sale | Sold |  |
| Irrigated cropland | 350 | 1,500 | 4,000 | - | 5 | 0 | 0 | - |
| Non-irrigated cropland | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Improved pasture | 150 | 250 | 350 | - | 5 | 0 | 0 | - |
| Native rangeland | 35 |  | 75 | - | 5 | -5 | -5 | - |
| Orchard or vineyard | . | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Timberland | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |

Sales with minerals transferred: $60.0 \%$
Percentage of minerals transferred: 50.0\%
Source: Real Estate Center at Texas A\&M University

New Mexico Land Market Area 3

|  | Average Price Per Acre (\$) |  |  | Typical Size (acres) | Change in Value 12-Month Projection (\%) | Annual Change in Number (\%) |  | Annual Cash Rent <br> Per Acre (\$) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Low | Average | High |  |  | For Sale | Sold |  |
| Irrigated cropland | 350 | 675 | 950 | 320 | - | 5 | 5 | - |
| Non-irrigated cropland | 200 | 250 | 300 | 320 | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Improved pasture | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Native rangeland | 40 | 65 | 75 | 10,000 | - | 15 | 0 | - |
| Orchard or vineyard | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Timberland | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |

Sales with minerals transferred: $90.0 \%$
Percentage of minerals transferred: $50.0 \%$
New Mexico Land Market Area 4

|  | Average Price Per Acre (\$) |  |  | Typical Size(acres) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Change in Value } \\ & \text { 12-Month } \\ & \text { Projection (\%) } \end{aligned}$ | Annual Change in Number (\%) |  | Annual Cash Rent <br> Per Acre (\$) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Low | Average | High |  |  | For Sale | Sold |  |
| Irrigated cropland | 350 | 1,500 | 4,000 | - | 5 | 0 | 0 | - |
| Non-irrigated cropland | - | - | - | - | . | - | - | - |
| Improved pasture | 150 | 250 | 350 | - | 5 | 0 | 0 | - |
| Native rangeland | 35 | 55 | 75 | - | 5 | -5 | -5 | - |
| Orchard or vineyard | - | - | - | - | - | . | . |  |
| Timberland | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |

Sales with minerals transferred: $60.0 \%$
Percentage of minerals transferred: $50.0 \%$
New Mexico Land Market Area 5

|  | Average Price Per Acre (\$) |  |  | Typical Size (acres) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Change in Value } \\ & \text { 12-Month } \\ & \text { Projection (\%) } \end{aligned}$ | Annual Change in Number (\%) |  | Annual <br> Cash Rent <br> Per Acre (\$) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Low | Average | High |  |  | For Sale | Sold |  |
| Irrigated cropland | 1,375 | 2,350 | 4,600 | 40 | 5 | -5 | 10 | - |
| Non-irrigated cropland | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Improved pasture | 150 | 250 | 350 | - | 5 | 0 | 0 | - |
| Native rangeland | 33 | 55 | 102 | 11,500 | 4 | -3 | 3 | - |
| Orchard or vineyard | - | - | - | . | . | . | . |  |
| Timberland | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |

Sales with minerals transferred: 46.7\%
Percentage of minerals transferred: $50.0 \%$
Source: Real Estate Center at Texas A\&M University

New Mexico Land Market Area 6

|  | Average Price <br> Per Acre (\$) |  | Typical Size <br> (acres) | Change in Value <br> 12-Month <br> Projection (\%) | Annual <br> Change in Number (\%) | Annual <br> Cash Rent |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | LowAverage High |  |  | For Sale | Sold | Per Acre (\$) |  |
| Irrigated cropland | 800 | 2,050 | 2,750 | 140 | -3 | 10 | 10 |
| Non-irrigated cropland | 175 | 250 | 350 | 370 | 0 | - | - |
| Improved pasture | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Native rangeland | 38 | 65 | 106 | 11,250 | 3 | - | - |
| Orchard or vineyard | 2,750 | 6,200 | 10,000 | 40 | 0 | 0 | - |
| Timberland | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |

Sales with minerals transferred: 71.2\%
Percentage of minerals transferred: $31.5 \%$
New Mexico Land Market Area 7

|  | Average Price <br> Per Acre (\$) |  |  | Typical Size (acres) | Change in Value 12-Month Projection (\%) | Annual Change in Number (\%) |  | Annual Cash Rent <br> Per Acre (\$) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Low | Average | High |  |  | For Sale | Sold |  |
| Irrigated cropland | 725 | 1,325 | 1,750 | 147 | -3 | 15 | 30 | Per |
| Non-irrigated cropland | 175 | 250 | 350 | 370 | 0 | - | - | - |
| Improved pasture | . | - | - | . | - . | - | - | - |
| Native rangeland | 30 | 51 | 77 | 9,100 | 0 | 20 | 30 | - |
| Orchard or vineyard | - | - | - | - | . | - | - |  |
| Timberland | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |

Sales with minerals transferred: 41.7\%
Percentage of minerals transferred: $8.0 \%$
New Mexico Land Market Area 8

|  | Average Price Per Acre (\$) |  |  | Typical Size(acres) | Change in Value 12-Month Projection (\%) | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \text { Annual } \\ \text { Change in Number (\%) } \end{array}$ |  | Annual Cash Rent Per Acre (\$) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Low | Average | High |  |  | For Sale | Sold |  |
| Irrigated cropland | 550 | 831 | 1,087 | 235 | -5 | 17 | 30 | - |
| Non-irrigated cropland | 175 | 250 | 350 | 337 | 0 | 13 | 38 | - |
| Improved pasture | 175 | 200 | 225 | 320 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - |
| Native rangeland | 43 | 65 | 94 | 8,750 | 0 | 12 | 10 | - |
| Orchard or vineyard | - | - | - | - | . | - | - |  |
| Timberland | - | $\cdot$ | - | - | - | - | - |  |

Sales with minerals transferred: $72.5 \%$
Percentage of minerals transferred: 30.3\%
Source: Real Estate Center at Texas A\&M University

New Mexico Land Market Area 9

|  | Average Price <br> Per Acre (\$) |  | Typical Size <br> (acres) | Change in Value <br> 12-Month <br> Projection (\%) | Annual <br> Change in Number (\%) | Annual <br> Cash Rent |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Low Average | High |  |  | For Sale | Sold | Per Acre (\$) |

Sales with minerals transferred: $65.0 \%$
Percentage of minerals transferred: $42.0 \%$

## Oklahoma Land Market Area 1

|  | Average Price Per Acre (\$) |  |  | Typical Size (acres) | Change in Value 12-Month Projection (\%) | Annual Change in Number (\%) |  | Annual Cash Rent <br> Per Acre (\$) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Low | Average | High |  |  | For Sale | Sold |  |
| Irrigated cropland | 900 | 950 | 1,000 | 160 | 4 | 10 | 10 | - |
| Non-irrigated cropland | 350 | 450 | 550 | 160 | 4 | -25 | -25 | 25 |
| Improved pasture | 200 | 250 | 350 | 40 | 3 | -25 | -25 | 15 |
| Native rangeland | 150 | 200 | 250 | 160 | 2 | -25 | -25 | . |
| Orchard or vineyard | - | . | - | . | . | . | . |  |
| Timberland | - | - | - |  | - | - | - |  |

Sales with minerals transferred: 25.0\%
Percentage of minerals transferred: $25.0 \%$
Oklahoma Land Market Area 2

|  | Average Price Per Acre (\$) |  |  | Typical Size (acres) | Change in Value 12-Month Projection (\%) | Annual Change in Number (\%) |  | Annual Cash Rent <br> Per Acre (\$) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Low | Average | High |  |  | For Sale | Sold |  |
| Irrigated cropland | 650 | 875 | 1,100 | 160 | 5 | 0 | 5 | Per ${ }^{\text {ack ( }}$ |
| Non-irrigated cropland | 225 | 350 | 600 | 160 | 5 | 0 | 10 | . |
| Improved pasture | - | - | - | - | . | - | - | . |
| Native rangeland | 80 | 150 | 250 | 160 | 5 | 0 | 5 | - |
| Orchard or vineyard | - | - | - | - | - | . | . |  |
| Timberland | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |

Sales with minerals transferred: 80.0\%
Percentage of minerals transferred: $75.0 \%$
Source: Real Estate Center at Texas A\&M University

Oklahoma Land Market Area 4

|  | Average Price <br> Per Acre (\$) |  |  | Typical Size (acres) | Change in Value 12-Month Projection (\%) | Annual Change in Number (\%) |  | Annual Cash Rent <br> Per Acre (\$) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Low | Average | High |  |  | For Sale | Sold |  |
| Irrigated cropland | 650 | 875 | 1,100 | 160 | 5 | 0 | 5 | - |
| Non-irrigated cropland | 225 | 350 | 600 | 160 | 5 | 0 | 10 | - |
| Improved pasture | - | - | - | . | . | . | - | - |
| Native rangeland | 140 | 225 | 300 | 155 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 6 |
| Orchard or vineyard | - | - | - | . | . | . | . |  |
| Timberland | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |

Sales with minerals transferred: $45.0 \%$
Percentage of minerals transferred: 75.0\%
Oklahoma Land Market Area 5

|  | Average Price <br> Per Acre (\$) |  |  | Typical Size (acres) | Change in Value 12-Month Projection (\%) | Annual Change in Number (\%) |  | Annual Cash Rent <br> Per Acre (\$) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Low | Average | High |  |  | For Sale | Sold |  |
| Irrigated cropland | 900 | 950 | 1,000 | 160 | 4 | 10 | 10 | - |
| Non-irrigated cropland | 400 | 575 | 808 | 160 | 5 | 14 | 32 | 25 |
| Improved pasture | 225 | 287 | 362 | 100 | 2 | 38 | 38 | 15 |
| Native rangeland | 175 | 267 | 358 | 187 | 4 | 36 | 33 | 17 |
| Orchard or vineyard | - | - | - | - | - | - | . |  |
| Timberland | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |

Sales with minerals transferred: 55.0\%
Percentage of minerals transferred: $41.7 \%$
Oklahoma Land Market Area 6

|  | Average Price Per Acre (\$) |  |  | Typical Size (acres) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Change in Value } \\ & 12-\text { Month } \\ & \text { Projection (\%) } \end{aligned}$ | Annual Change in Number (\%) |  | Annual Cash Rent <br> Per Acre (\$) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Low | Average | High |  |  | For Sale | Sold |  |
| Irrigated cropland | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Non-irrigated cropland | 425 | 637 | 938 | 160 | 5 | 33 | 60 | - |
| Improved pasture | 250 | 325 | 375 | 160 | 1 | 100 | 100 | - |
| Native rangeland | 188 | 300 | 412 | 200 | 5 | 66 | 63 | 17 |
| Orchard or vineyard | - | - | - | - | . | . | . |  |
| Timberland | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |

Sales with minerals transferred: 70.0\%
Percentage of minerals transferred: $50.0 \%$

## Source: Real Estate Center at Texas A\&M University

Oklahoma Land Market Area 7

|  | Average Price <br> Per Acre (\$) |  | Typical Size <br> (acres) | Change in Value <br> 12-Month <br> Projection (\%) | Annual <br> Change in Number (\%) | Annual <br> Cash Rent |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Low Average | High |  | - | - | - | - |
| For Sale | Sold |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Sales with minerals transferred: $50.0 \%$
Percentage of minerals transferred: $50.0 \%$
Oklahoma Land Market Area 8

|  | Average Price <br> Per Acre (\$) |  | Typical Size <br> (acres) | Change in Value <br> 12-Month <br> Projection (\%) | Annual <br> Change in Number (\%) <br> Average | High |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

Sales with minerals transferred: $90.0 \%$
Percentage of minerals transferred: $50.0 \%$

## Oklahoma Land Market Area 9

|  | Average Price <br> Per Acre (\$) |  | Typical Size <br> (acres) | Change in Value <br> 12-Month <br> Projection (\%) | Annual <br> Change in Number (\%) | Annual <br> Cash Rent |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Low Average | High |  |  | For Sale | Sold | Per Acre (\$) |
| Irrigated cropland | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Non-irrigated cropland | 500 | 600 | 700 | 160 | 2 | -2 | -5 |
| Improved pasture | 300 | 350 | 400 | - | 5 | -5 | 2 |
| Native rangeland | 200 | 275 | 313 | 150 | 5 | -5 | 2 |

Sales with minerals transferred: $10.0 \%$
Percentage of minerals transferred: . \%
Source: Real Estate Center at Texas A\&M University

Oklahoma Land Market Area 10

|  | Average Price <br> Per Acre (\$) |  | Typical Size <br> (acres) | Change in Value <br> 12-Month <br> Projection (\%) | Annual <br> Change in Number (\%) <br> Low | Annual <br> Cash Rent |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Lowerage | High |  |  | Sor Sale | Sold | Per Acre (\$) |
| Irrigated cropland | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Non-irrigated cropland | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Improved pasture | 250 | 400 | 600 | 200 | 0 | 10 | 5 |
| Native rangeland | 175 | 262 | 412 | 200 | 0 | - | -10 |
| Orchard or vineyard | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Timberland | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |

Sales with minerals transferred: 5.0\%
Percentage of minerals transferred: $0.0 \%$
Oklahoma Land Market Area 11

|  | Average Price <br> Per Acre (\$) |  | Typical Size <br> (acres) | Change in Value <br> 12-Month <br> Projection (\%) | Annual <br> Change in Number (\%) <br> Average | High |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | | Annual |
| :---: |
| Cash Rent |

Sales with minerals transferred: $5.0 \%$
Percentage of minerals transferred: $0.0 \%$
Oklahoma Land Market Area 12

|  | Average Price Per Acre (\$) |  |  | Typical Size (acres) | Change in Value 12-Month Projection (\%) | Annual <br> Change in Number (\%) |  | Annual Cash Rent <br> Per Acre (\$) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Low | Average | High |  |  | For Sale | Sold |  |
| Irrigated cropland | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Non-irrigated cropland | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | . |
| Improved pasture | 250 | 400 | 600 | 200 | 0 | 10 | 5 | - |
| Native rangeland | 175 | 262 | 412 | 200 | 0 | 20 | -10 | 6 |
| Orchard or vineyard | - | - | - | . | - | - | - |  |
| Timberland | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |

Sales with minerals transferred: $5.0 \%$
Percentage of minerals transferred: 0.0\%
Source: Real Estate Center at Texas A\&M University

Oklahoma Land Market Area 13

|  | Average Price <br> Per Acre (\$) |  | Typical Size <br> (acres) | Change in Value <br> 12-Month <br> Projection (\%) | Annual <br> Change in Number (\%) | Annual <br> Cash Rent |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Low Average | High |  |  | For Sale | Sold | Per Acre (\$) |
| Irrigated cropland | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Non-irrigated cropland | 220 | 275 | 400 | 160 | - | - | 25 |
| Improved pasture | 200 | 250 | 375 | 280 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| Native rangeland | 162 | 200 | 275 | 380 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| Orchard or vineyard | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Timberland | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |

Sales with minerals transferred: $50.0 \%$
Percentage of minerals transferred: $50.0 \%$
Oklahoma Land Market Area 14

|  | Average Price <br> Per Acre (\$) |  | Typical Size <br> (acres) | Change in Value <br> 12-Month <br> Projection (\%) | Annual <br> Change in Number (\%) | Annual <br> Cash Rent |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Low Average | High |  |  | For Sale | Sold | Per Acre (\$) |  |
| Irrigated cropland | 600 | 650 | $\mathbf{8 0 0}$ | 160 | 10 | 10 | 5 | - |
| Non-irrigated cropland | 350 | 400 | 500 | 240 | 0 | 20 | 10 | - |
| Improved pasture | 300 | 325 | 400 | 360 | 5 | 70 | 35 | 6 |
| Native rangeland | 150 | 150 | 200 | 320 | 5 | 35 | 45 | 7 |
| Orchard or vineyard | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Timberland | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |

Sales with minerals transferred: $20.0 \%$
Percentage of minerals transferred: $25.0 \%$
Oklahoma Land Market Area 15

|  | Average Price <br> Per Acre (\$) |  |  | Typical Size <br> (acres) | Change in Value <br> 12-Month <br> Projection (\%) | Annual <br> Change in Number (\%) | Annual <br> Cash Rent |  |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Low Average | High |  |  | For Sale | Sold | Per Acre (\$) |  |
| Irrigated cropland | 600 | 650 | 800 | 160 | 10 | 20 | 10 | - |
| Non-irrigated cropland | 325 | 400 | 500 | 160 | 0 | 30 | 13 | 25 |
| Improved pasture | 233 | 292 | 383 | 320 | 5 | 23 | 12 | 10 |
| Native rangeland | 167 | 217 | 283 | 440 | 5 | 30 | 25 | 10 |
| Orchard or vineyard | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Timberland | 100 | 150 | 200 | 300 | 0 | - | - |  |

Sales with minerals transferred: $\mathbf{4 8 . 3 \%}$
Percentage of minerals transferred: $41.7 \%$
Source: Real Estate Center at Texas A\&M University

## Oklahoma Land Market Area 16

|  | Average Price <br> Per Acre (\$) |  | Typical Size <br> (acres) | Change in Value <br> 12-Month <br> Projection (\%) | Annual <br> Change in Number (\%) <br> Low | Annual <br> Cash Rent <br> Average |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | High |  |  |  | For Sale | Sold | Per Acre (\$)

Sales with minerals transferred: $5.0 \%$
Percentage of minerals transferred: $50.0 \%$
Texas Land Market Area 1

|  | Average Price <br> Per Acre (\$) |  | Typical Size <br> (acres) | Change in Value <br> 12-Month <br> Projection (\%) | Annual <br> Change in Number (\%) | Annual <br> Cash Rent |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Low Average | High |  |  | For Sale | Sold | Per Acre (\$) |  |
| Irrigated cropland | 287 | 383 | 544 | 360 | 0 | 5 | 35 | - |
| Non-irrigated cropland | 188 | 208 | 300 | 480 | 3 | 0 | 54 | - |
| Improved pasture | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Native rangeland | 92 | 123 | 158 | 1,307 | 5 | 8 | 55 | - |
| Orchard or vineyard | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Timberland | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |

Sales with minerals transferred: $68.3 \%$
Percentage of minerals transferred: $25.7 \%$
Texas Land Market Area 2

|  | Average Price Per Acre (\$) |  |  | Typical Size (acres) | Change in Value 12-Month Projection (\%) | Annual <br> Change in Number (\%) |  | Annual Cash Rent <br> Per Acre (\$) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Low | Average | High |  |  | For Sale | Sold |  |
| Irrigated cropland | 344 | 446 | 632 | 251 | 5 | 26 | 35 | 41 |
| Non-irrigated cropland | 211 | 258 | 325 | 320 | 4 | 13 | 37 | 15 |
| Improved pasture | 175 | 250 | 300 | 160 | 0 | - | - | - |
| Native rangeland | 100 | 133 | 172 | 400 | 1 | 7 | 37 | 7 |
| Orchard or vineyard | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Timberland | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |

Sales with minerals transferred: 55.7\%
Percentage of minerals transferred: $35.6 \%$
Source: Real Estate Center at Texas A\&M University

## Texas Land Market Area 3

|  | Average Price Per Acre (\$) |  |  | $\underset{\text { (acres) }}{\substack{\text { Typical Size }}}$ | Change in Value 12-Month Projection (\%) | AnnualChange in Number (\%) |  | Annual Cash Rent <br> Per Acre (\$) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Low | Average | High |  |  | For Sale | Sold |  |
| Irrigated cropland | 353 | 444 | 611 | 264 | 1 | 26 | 55 | 48 |
| Non-irrigated cropland | 197 | 258 | 339 | 231 | 1 | 17 | 52 | 28 |
| Improved pasture | 150 | 192 | 225 | 373 | -2 | 0 | 0 | - |
| Native rangeland | 77 | 118 | 177 | 1,108 | -2 | 3 | 33 | 23 |
| Orchard or vineyard | - | - | - | - | . | - | . |  |
| Timberland | - | - | - |  | - | - | - |  |

Sales with minerals transferred: $66.7 \%$
Percentage of minerals transferred: $34.7 \%$
Texas Land Market Area 4

|  | Average Price Per Acre (\$) |  |  | $\underset{\text { (acres) }}{\substack{\text { Typical Size }}}$ | Change in Value 12-Month Projection (\%) | $$ |  | Annual Cash Rent <br> Per Acre (\$) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Low | Average | High |  |  | For Sale | Sold |  |
| Irrigated cropland | 337 | 456 | 691 | 369 | 1 | 13 | 21 | 61 |
| Non-irrigated cropland | 185 | 256 | 331 | 341 | 1 | 15 | 22 | 29 |
| Improved pasture | 143 | 188 | 225 | 587 | 3 | 0 | 0 | - |
| Native rangeland | 55 | 95 | 139 | 7,290 | -4 | 0 | 0 | 12 |
| Orchard or vineyard | 900 | 1,050 | 1,200 | 160 | -10 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Timberland | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |

Sales with minerals transferred: 37.2\%
Percentage of minerals transferred: $16.7 \%$
Texas Land Market Area 5

|  | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Average Price } \\ \text { Per Acre (\$) }\end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Typical Size } \\ \text { (acres) }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Change in Value } \\ \text { 12-Month } \\ \text { Projection (\%) }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Annual } \\ \text { Change in Number (\%) } \\ \text { Low } \\ \text { Average }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c}\text { High }\end{array}$ |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Annual |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cash Rent |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Per Acre (\$) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |$)$

Sales with minerals transferred: 58.3\%
Percentage of minerals transferred: $25.0 \%$
Source: Real Estate Center at Texas A\&M University

Texas Land Market Area 7

|  | Average Price <br> Per Acre (\$) |  | Typical Size <br> (acres) | Change in Value <br> 12-Month <br> Projection (\%) | Annual <br> Change in Number (\%) | Annual <br> Cash Rent |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Low Average | High |  |  | For Sale | Sold | Per Acre (\$) |
| Irrigated cropland | - | - | - | - | - | 0 | 0 |
| Non-irrigated cropland | 325 | 500 | 617 | 253 | 1 | 25 | -3 |
| Improved pasture | 250 | 313 | 425 | 360 | 3 | 10 | 3 |
| Native rangeland | 165 | 227 | 303 | 1,067 | 1 | 7 | -8 |
| Orchard or vineyard | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Timberland | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |

Sales with minerals transferred: 70.0\%
Percentage of minerals transferred: $33.3 \%$
Texas Land Market Area 8

|  | Average Price <br> Per Acre (\$) |  |  | Typical Size <br> (acres) | Change in Value <br> 12-Month <br> Projection (\%) | Annual <br> Change in Number (\%) | Annual <br> Cash Rent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Low Average | High |  |  | For Sale | Sold | Per Acre (\$) |
| Irrigated cropland | 500 | 1,200 | 9,000 | 100 | 0 | 90 | 10 |
| Non-irrigated cropland | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Improved pasture | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Native rangeland | 58 | 120 | 213 | 6,800 | -1 | 14 | 11 |
| Orchard or vineyard | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Timberland | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |

Sales with minerals transferred: $\mathbf{6 2 . 0 \%}$
Percentage of minerals transferred: $45.7 \%$
Texas Land Market Area 9

|  | Average Price Per Acre (\$) |  |  | Typical Size (acres) | Change in Value 12-Month <br> Projection (\%) | Annual Change in Number (\%) |  | Annual Cash Rent <br> Per Acre (\$) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Low | Average | High |  |  | For Sale | Sold |  |
| Irrigated cropland | 500 | 850 | 1,000 | 200 | 0 | 10 | 3 | - |
| Non-irrigated cropland | 742 | 1,017 | 1,283 | 203 | 3 | 8 | 15 | 19 |
| Improved pasture | 387 | 516 | 645 | 585 | 1 | 9 | -8 | 10 |
| Native rangeland | 234 | 326 | 468 | 1,428 | -0 | 11 | -0 | 6 |
| Orchard or vineyard | - | - | - | - | - | - | . |  |
| Timberland | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |

Sales with minerals transferred: 75.0\%
Percentage of minerals transferred: $42.5 \%$
Source: Real Estate Center at Texas A\&M University

Texas Land Market Area 10

|  | Average Price <br> Per Acre (\$) |  | Typical Size <br> (acres) | Change in Value <br> 12-Month <br> Projection (\%) | Annual <br> Change in Number (\%) | Annual <br> Cash Rent |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Low Average | High |  |  | For Sale | Sold | Per Acre (\$) |  |
| Irrigated cropland | 750 | 900 | 1,060 | 320 | -2 | 5 | 7 | 35 |
| Non-irrigated cropland | 465 | 580 | 720 | 258 | -4 | 9 | 6 | 16 |
| Improved pasture | 596 | 779 | 1,025 | 310 | -2 | 13 | 8 | 9 |
| Native rangeland | 428 | 607 | 861 | 1,099 | -1 | 16 | 12 | 8 |
| Orchard or vineyard | 2,500 | 3,000 | 3,500 | 200 | -10 | - | - |  |
| Timberland | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |

Sales with minerals transferred: $80.0 \%$
Percentage of minerals transferred: $62.5 \%$
Texas Land Market Area 11

|  | Average Price <br> Per Acre (\$) |  |  | Typical Size <br> (acres) | Change in Value <br> 12-Month <br> Projection (\%) | Annual <br> Change in Number (\%) | Annual <br> Cash Rent |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Low Average | High |  |  | For Sale | Sold | Per Acre (\$) |  |
| Irrigated cropland | 630 | 880 | 823 | 240 | -2 | 10 | 1 | 31 |
| Non-irrigated cropland | 532 | 729 | 971 | 327 | -3 | 11 | 1 | 16 |
| Improved pasture | 444 | 534 | 653 | 444 | -2 | 15 | -7 | 10 |
| Native rangeland | 334 | 494 | 631 | 1,017 | -2 | 18 | -6 | 8 |
| Orchard or vineyard | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Timberland | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |

Sales with minerals transferred: 51.2\%
Percentage of minerals transferred: $41.0 \%$
Texas Land Market Area 12

|  | Average Price <br> Per Acre (\$) |  | Typical Size <br> (acres) | Change in Value <br> 12-Month <br> Projection (\%) | Annual <br> Change in Number (\%) | Annual <br> Cash Rent |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Low Average | High |  |  | For Sale | Sold | Per Acre (\$) |  |
| Irrigated cropland | 400 | 550 | 650 | 200 | -10 | 20 | 0 | 25 |
| Non-irrigated cropland | 328 | 483 | 2,133 | 340 | 0 | 5 | -3 | 23 |
| Improved pasture | 337 | 512 | 2,800 | 350 | 5 | -10 | -10 | 12 |
| Native rangeland | 253 | 400 | 1,933 | 900 | 5 | 0 | 8 |  |
| Orchard or vineyard | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Timberland | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |

Sales with minerals transferred: $\mathbf{2 5 . 0 \%}$
Percentage of minerals transferred: 29.3\%

## Source: Real Estate Center at Texas A\&M University

Texas Land Market Area 13

|  | Average Price Per Acre (\$) |  |  | Typical Size (acres) | Change in Value 12-Month Projection (\%) | Annual Change in Number (\%) |  | Annual Cash Rent <br> Per Acre (\$) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Low | Average | High |  |  | For Sale | Sold |  |
| Irrigated cropland | 600 | 650 | 700 | 205 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - |
| Non-irrigated cropland | 387 | 487 | 587 | 175 | 0 | 5 | 2 | - |
| Improved pasture | 442 | 520 | 600 | 142 | 1 | 10 | 6 | 9 |
| Native rangeland | 361 | 461 | 464 | 283 | 1 | 13 | 8 | 9 |
| Orchard or vineyard | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Timberland | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |

Sales with minerals transferred: $71.3 \%$
Percentage of minerals transferred: 39.7\%
Texas Land Market Area 14

|  | Average Price <br> Per Acre (\$) |  | Typical Size <br> (acres) | Change in Value <br> 12-Month <br> Projection (\%) | Annual <br> Change in Number (\%) <br> Low | Annual <br> Cash Rent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lerage Acre (\$) |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Sales with minerals transferred: $94.1 \%$
Percentage of minerals transferred: $45.7 \%$
Texas Land Market Area 15

|  | Average Price <br> Per Acre (\$) |  |  | Typical Size <br> (acres) | Change in Value <br> 12-Month <br> Projection (\%) | Annual <br> Change in Number (\%) <br> Low | Annual <br> Cash Rent <br> Rerage |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | High |  |  | For Sale | Sold | Per Acre (\$) |  |
| Irrigated cropland | 650 | 925 | 1,125 | 175 | -5 | 10 | 4 |
| Non-irrigated cropland | 662 | 862 | 1,081 | 212 | -3 | 11 | -11 |
| Improved pasture | 450 | 617 | 758 | 233 | -1 | 8 | -16 |
| Native rangeland | 325 | 408 | 541 | 914 | -4 | 11 | -9 |
| Orchard or vineyard | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Timberland | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |

Sales with minerals transferred: 84.3\%
Percentage of minerals transferred: $49.2 \%$
Source: Real Estate Center at Texas A\&M University

Texas Land Market Area 16

|  | Average Price Per Acre (\$) |  |  | $\underset{\text { (acres) }}{\substack{\text { Typical Size }}}$ | Change in Value 12-Month Projection (\%) | AnnualChange in Number (\%) |  | Annual Cash Rent <br> Per Acre (\$) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Low | Average | High |  |  | For Sale | Sold |  |
| Irrigated cropland | 800 | 1,000 | 1,250 | 150 | -10 | 10 | 5 | - |
| Non-irrigated cropland | 500 | 600 | 750 | 160 | -10 | 20 | 5 | - |
| Improved pasture | - | - | - | - | . | - | - | 14 |
| Native rangeland | 699 | 1,078 | 1,684 | 293 | -4 | 7 | -1 | 10 |
| Orchard or vineyard | - | . | . | . | . | . | . |  |
| Timberland | - | - |  |  | - |  | - |  |

Sales with minerals transferred: $88.3 \%$
Percentage of minerals transferred: $50.0 \%$

## Texas Land Market Area 17

|  | Average Price <br> Per Acre (\$) |  | Typical Size <br> (acres) <br> Low <br> Average | High | Change in Value <br> 12-Month <br> Projection (\%) | Annual <br> Change in Number (\%) <br> For Sale | Annual <br> Cold |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cash Rent |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Per Acre (\$) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Sales with minerals transferred: 91.0\%
Percentage of minerals :ransferred: $50.0 \%$
Texas Land Market Area 18

|  | Average Price Per Acre (\$) |  |  | $\underset{\text { (acres) }}{\substack{\text { Typical Size }}}$ | Change in Value 12-Month Projection (\%) | $$ |  | Annual Cash Rent <br> Per Acre (\$) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Low | Average | High |  |  | For Sale | Sold |  |
| Irrigated cropland | - | - | - | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Non-irrigated cropland | 850 | 1,200 | 1,750 | 350 | 0 | 10 | 0 | - |
| Improved pasture | 867 | 1,400 | 1,967 | 267 | -2 | 10 | 0 | 6 |
| Native rangeland | 1,100 | 1,670 | 2,220 | 250 | -1 | 7 | 2 | 7 |
| Orchard or vineyard | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |

Sales with minerals transferred: 86.2\%
Percentage of minerals transferred: $36.7 \%$
Source: Real Estate Center at Texas A\&M University

Texas Land Market Area 19

|  | Average Price Per Acre (\$) |  |  | Typical Size (acres) | Change in Value 12-Month Projection (\%) | Annual Change in Number (\%) |  | Annual Cash Rent <br> Per Acre (\$) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Low | Average | High |  |  | For Sale | Sold |  |
| Irrigated cropland | 800 | 967 | 1,200 | 167 | -4 | 0 | 10 | Per |
| Non-irrigated cropland | 725 | 950 | 1,237 | 275 | -3 | 3 | 8 | 25 |
| Improved pasture | 837 | 1,100 | 1,487 | 200 | -3 | 0 | 10 | 13 |
| Native rangeland | 813 | 1,062 | 1,375 | 212 | -3 | 5 | 8 | 11 |
| Orchard or vineyard | - | - | - | - | - | . | - |  |
| Timberland | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |

Sales with minerals transferred: 71.2\%
Percentage of minerals transferred: $48.0 \%$

## Texas Land Market Area 20

|  | Average Price <br> Per Acre (\$) |  |  | Typical Size <br> (acres) | Change in Value <br> 12-Month <br> Projection (\%) | Annual <br> Change in Number (\%) <br> Low | Average |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | High |  |  | Annual |  |  |  |
| Cash Rent |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Sales with minerals transferred: 54.2\%
Percentage of minerals transferred: $26.8 \%$

## Texas Land Market Area 21

|  | Average Price <br> Per Acre (\$) |  | Typical Size <br> (acres) | Change in Value <br> 12-Month <br> Projection (\%) | Annual <br> Change in Number (\%) | Annual <br> Cash Rent |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Low | Average | High |  |  | For Sale | Sold | Per Acre (\$) |
| Irrigated cropland | 450 | 575 | 725 | 250 | 0 | 5 | 5 | 52 |
| Non-irrigated cropland | 583 | 750 | 1,117 | 367 | 0 | 5 | 5 | - |
| Improved pasture | 700 | 1,067 | 1,700 | 233 | 3 | - | - | - |
| Native rangeland | 600 | 1,033 | 1,633 | 267 | 3 | - | 5 | - |
| Orchard or vineyard | 1,200 | 1,400 | 1,600 | 100 | 0 | - | - | - |
| Timberland | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |

Sales with minerals transferred: $60.0 \%$
Percentage of minerals transferred: 37.3\%
Source: Real Estate Center at Texas A\&M University

Texas Land Market Area 22

|  | Average Price <br> Per Acre (\$) |  | Typical Size <br> (acres) | Change in Value <br> 12-Month <br> Projection (\%) | Annual <br> Change in Number (\%) <br> (\%) | Annual <br> Cash Rent <br> Per Acre (\$) |  |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Low Average | High |  | - | - | - | - |
| For Sale | Sold |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Sales with minerals transferred: $60.0 \%$
Percentage of minerals transferred: $50.0 \%$
Texas Land Market Area 23

|  | Average Price <br> Per Acre (\$) |  | Typical Size <br> (acres) | Change in Value <br> 12-Month <br> Projection (\%) | Annual <br> Change in Number (\%) <br> (\%) | Annual <br> Cash Rent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Low |  | For Sale | Sold | Per Acre (\$) |  |

Sales with minerals transferred: $\mathbf{3 7 . 5 \%}$
Percentage of minerals transferred: $25.0 \%$

## Texas Land Market Area 24

|  | Average Price Per Acre (\$) |  |  | Typical Size(acres) | Change in Value 12-Month Projection (\%) | Annual Change in Number (\%) |  | Annual Cash Rent <br> Per Acre (\$) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Low | Average | High |  |  | For Sale | Sold |  |
| Irrigated cropland | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Non-irrigated cropland | 543 | 2,561 | 11,243 | 196 | 2 | 4 | 5 | 13 |
| Improved pasture | 550 | 2,917 | 13,058 | 409 | 4 | 8 | 14 | 8 |
| Native rangeland | 550 | 2,842 | 15,364 | 276 | 3 | 6 | -7 | 5 |
| Orchard or vineyard | - | - | - | - | - | . | - |  |
| Timberland | 500 | 700 | 850 | 160 | 10 | 5 | 10 |  |

Sales with minerals transferred: 76.4\%
Percentage of minerals transferred: 66.7\%

## Source: Real Estate Center at Texas A\&M University

Texas. Land Market Area 25

|  | Average Price Per Acre (\$) |  |  | Typical Size (acres) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Change in Value } \\ & \text { 12-Month } \\ & \text { Projection (\%) } \end{aligned}$ | AnnualChange in Number (\%) |  | Annual Cash Rent <br> Per Acre (\$) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Low | Average | High |  |  | For Sale | Sold |  |
| Irrigated cropland | 800 | 967 | 1,200 | 250 | 0 | 30 | -2 | 25 |
| Non-irrigated cropland | 564 | 678 | 793 | 169 | -1 | 8 | -5 | 16 |
| Improved pasture | 620 | 700 | 881 | 125 | 1 | 9 | -11 | 9 |
| Native rangeland | 485 | 622 | 782 | 300 | -1 | 8 | -8 | 12 |
| Orchard or vineyard | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Timberland | 500 | 612 | 725 | 180 | 5 | 20 | 10 |  |

Sales with minerals transferred: $90.6 \%$
Percentage of minerals transferred: $56.3 \%$
Texas Land Market Area 26

|  | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Average Price } \\ \text { Per Acre (\$) }\end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Typical Size } \\ \text { (acres) }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Change in Value } \\ \text { 12-Month } \\ \text { Projection (\%) }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Annual } \\ \text { Change in Number (\%) } \\ \text { Low }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Average } \\ \text { Cash Rent }\end{array}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| High |  |  |  |  |  |  |$]$

Sales with minerals transferred: 73.0\%
Percentage of minerals transferred: $54.2 \%$
Texas Land Market Area 27

|  | Average Price Per Acre (\$) |  |  | Typical Size (acres) | Change in Value 12-Month Projection (\%) | Annual Change in Number (\%) |  | Annual Cash Rent <br> Per Acre (\$) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Low | Average | High |  |  | For Sale | Sold |  |
| Irrigated cropland | 775 | 912 | 1,025 | 175 | 0 | -3 | 0 | - |
| Non-irrigated cropland | 650 | 762 | 862 | 162 | 0 | -3 | 1 | - |
| Improved pasture | 864 | 1,143 | 1,501 | 118 | 1 | -1 | 4 | 13 |
| Native rangeland | 743 | 986 | 1,264 | 115 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 12 |
| Orchard or vineyard | . | . | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Timberland | 550 | 900 | 1,250 | 137 | 3 | 0 | 13 |  |

Sales with minerals transferred: 72.1\%
Percentage of minerals transferred: $42.9 \%$
Source: Real Estate Center at Texas A\&M University

Texas Land Market Area 28

|  | Average Price <br> Per Acre (\$) |  | Typical Size <br> (acres) | Change in Value <br> 2-Month <br> Projection (\%) | Annual <br> Change in Number (\%) | Annual <br> Cash Rent |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Low Average | High |  |  | For Sale | Sold | Per Acre (\$) |  |
| Irrigated cropland | 470 | 669 | 1,136 | 248 | -1 | 0 | 5 | $\mathbf{3 5}$ |
| Non-irrigated cropland | 530 | 614 | 1,270 | 194 | -3 | 0 | 3 | 18 |
| Improved pasture | 714 | 1,047 | 1,521 | 127 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 13 |
| Native rangeland | 554 | 822 | 1,283 | 176 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 10 |
| Orchard or vineyard | 400 | 850 | 1,100 | 25 | 0 | - | 0 |  |
| Timberland | 379 | 659 | 1,000 | 99 | 1 | 3 | 9 |  |

Sales with minerals transferred: 44.2\%
Percentage of minerals transferred: $34.6 \%$
Texas Land Market Area 29

|  | Average Price Per Acre (\$) |  |  | Typical Size (acres) | Change in Value 12-Month Projection (\%) | Annual Change in Number (\%) |  | Annual Cash Rent <br> Per Acre (\$) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Low | Average | High |  |  | For Sale | Sold |  |
| Irrigated cropland | 325 | 285 | 500 | 401 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 81 |
| Non-irrigated cropland | 305 | 415 | 527 | 754 | 3 | 8 | 4 | 24 |
| Improved pasture | 316 | 468 | 580 | 404 | 4 | 9 | 5 | 18 |
| Native rangeland | 263 | 467 | 592 | 250 | -1 | 3 | 1 | 10 |
| Orchard or vineyard | 1,000 | 1,083 | 1,750 | 95 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
| Timberland | 333 | 525 | 725 | 109 | 3 | 5 | 2 |  |

Sales with minerals transferred: 41.4\%
Percentage of minerals transferred: 27.6\%
Texas Land Market Area 30

|  | Average Price <br> Per Acre (\$) |  |  | $\underset{\text { (acres) }}{\text { Typical Size }}$ | Change in Value 12-Month Projection (\%) | AnnualChange in Number (\%) |  | Annual Cash Rent <br> Per Acre (\$) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Low | Average | High |  |  | For Sale | Sold |  |
| Irrigated cropland | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | P |
| Non-irrigated cropland | - | 900 | - | - | - | - | - | . |
| Improved pasture | 712 | 972 | 1,294 | 86 | 1 | 9 | -6 | 13 |
| Native rangeland | 612 | 836 | 1,208 | 107 | 3 | 5 | -6 | 9 |
| Orchard or vineyard | - | 1,000 | - | - | . | - | . |  |
| Timberland | 481 | 737 | 1,037 | 114 | 1 | 3 | 11 |  |

Sales with minerals transferred: 44.4\%
Percentage of minerals transferred: $23.5 \%$
Source: Real Estate Center at Texas A\&M University

Texas Land Market Area 31

|  | Average Price Per Acre (\$) |  |  | Typical Size(acres) | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Change in Value } \\ \text { 12-Month } \\ \text { Projection (\%) } \end{array}$ | AnnualChange in Number (\%) |  | Annual Cash Rent <br> Per Acre (\$) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Low | Average | High |  |  | For Sale | Sold |  |
| Irrigated cropland | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Non-irrigated cropland | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Improved pasture | 1,000 | 1,250 | 1,500 | 125 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 12 |
| Native rangeland | 750 | 1,000 | 1,250 | 125 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 9 |
| Orchard or vineyard | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Timberland | 350 | 700 | 1,050 | 102 | 3 | 5 | 13 |  |

Sales with minerals transferred: $47.5 \%$
Percentage of minerals transferred: $50.0 \%$
Texas Land Market Area 32

|  | Average Price <br> Per Acre (\$) |  | Typical Size <br> (acres) | Change in Value <br> 12-Month <br> Projection (\%) | Annual <br> Change in Number (\%) <br> (\%) | Annual <br> Cash Rent |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Low Average | High |  |  | For Sale | Sold | Per Acre (\$) |
| Irrigated cropland | 830 | 1,140 | 1,283 | 124 | -6 | 0 | 0 |
| Non-irrigated cropland | 580 | 860 | 1,100 | 170 | -6 | 0 | 0 |
| Improved pasture | 525 | 688 | 950 | 167 | -8 | 0 | 0 |
| Native rangeland | 269 | 412 | 669 | 525 | -4 | 0 | 0 |
| Orchard or vineyard | 1,200 | 2,750 | 7,000 | 15 | 13 | - | - |
| Timberland | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |

Sales with minerals transferred: 20.0\%
Percentage of minerals transferred: $3.0 \%$
Texas Land Market Area 33

|  | Average Price <br> Per Acre (\$) |  |  | Typical Size (acres) | Change in Value 12-Month Projection (\%) | Annual Change in Number (\%) |  | Annual Cash Rent <br> Per Acre (\$) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Low | Average | High |  |  | For Sale | Sold |  |
| Irrigated cropland | 500 | 1,200 | 9,000 | 100 | 0 | 90 | 10 | - |
| Non-irrigated cropland | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Improved pasture | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Native rangeland | 35 | 55 | 165 | 10,000 | 0 | 10 | 25 | - |
| Orchard or vineyard | - | - | . | . | - | - | . |  |
| Timberland | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |

Sales with minerals transferred: $35.0 \%$
Percentage of minerals transferred: $50.0 \%$

## Source: Real Estate Center at Texas A\&M University

## Reprint Policy

The Real Estate Center has no objection to others reprinting all or part of this publication providing these guidelines are followed:

- The author is given full credit,
- The Real Estate Center is cited as the original publisher of this material,
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