

Y200.3  
An 78ev  
1993-94

# TEXAS YOUTH COMMISSION

## ANNUAL EVALUATION REPORT

REPORT PERIOD


FISCAL YEAR 1994


RESEARCH & PLANNING

Government Publications  
Texas State Documents

JUN 29 1995 *pe*

Depository  
Dallas Public Library





**TEXAS YOUTH COMMISSION**

**ANNUAL EVALUATION REPORT**

**REPORT PERIOD**

**FISCAL YEAR 1994**



Prepared by:

Department of Research and Planning

Charles R. Jeffords, Director of Research and Planning  
Basil Dahlstrom, Programmer II

May, 1995

## Table of Contents

	<i>Page</i>
<b>Introduction</b> .....	1
<b>1. New Commitments by Region and County</b> .....	2
Table 1 - For Fiscal Years 1990 - 1994.....	3
<b>2. Student Characteristics of Commitments to TYC</b> .....	4
Table 2a - For Period: 9/1/93 - 8/31/94.....	5
Table 2b - For Period: 9/1/92 - 8/31/93.....	6
<b>3. Student Characteristics in Population</b> .....	7
Table 3a - At 8/31/94.....	8
Table 3b - At 8/31/93.....	10
<b>4. Program Population</b> .....	12
Table 4a - For Period: 9/1/93 - 8/31/94.....	14
Table 4b - For Period: 9/1/92 - 8/31/93.....	15
<b>5. Population Movement / Permanent Admissions</b> .....	16
Table 5a - For Period: 9/1/93 - 8/31/94.....	17
Table 5b - For Period: 9/1/92 - 8/31/93.....	18
<b>6. Escapes from Facilities or Furlough</b> .....	19
Table 6a - For Period: 9/1/93 - 8/31/94.....	20
Table 6b - For Period: 9/1/92 - 8/31/93.....	21
<b>7. Security and Detention Admissions</b> .....	22
Table 7a - For Period: 9/1/93 - 8/31/94.....	23
Table 7b - For Period: 9/1/92 - 8/31/93.....	24
<b>8. Significant Gains on the Achievement Tests</b> .....	25
Table 8a - For Period: 9/1/93 - 8/31/94.....	26
Table 8b - For Period: 9/1/92 - 8/31/93.....	27
<b>9. Negative Transfers</b> .....	28
Table 9a - For Period: 9/1/93 - 8/31/94.....	29
Table 9b - For Period: 9/1/92 - 8/31/93.....	30
<b>10. Recidivism Within 3 Years (Reincarceration)</b> .....	31
Table 10a - For Youths Released: 7/1/90 - 6/30/91.....	32
Table 10b - For Youths Released: 7/1/89 - 6/30/90.....	33

## Introduction

At the end of each fiscal year the Texas Youth Commission summarizes in an annual report the characteristics and behavior of the youth under its jurisdiction. This report contains ten tables, each summarizing data for a particular measure or related group of measures. Also included for each table are definitions of the measures reported and a discussion of important highlights or changes from the previous year.

The focus of the report is fiscal year 1994 (September 1, 1993 through August 31, 1994). Because much of the discussion involves comparison of measures with the previous year, tables from fiscal year 1993 have also been included and immediately follow the fiscal year 1994 table in each section.

## TABLE 1

### New Commitments by Region and County

#### Definition:

Table 1 is a summary of new commitments by region and county for fiscal year 1994. The counties are listed in decreasing order of the number of youth committed to TYC during this period.

#### Main Points:

- ▲ Total new commitments increased in FY 1994 by 28% from FY 1993 (1,564 to 2,005).
- ▲ Although all regions increased their commitments, the regions with the largest increases were: Central Region (46%); South Region (42%); and East Region (35%).
- ▲ Commitment increases were particularly high in Bexar (78%) and Harris (40%) counties.
- ▲ Harris County has actually reduced their new commitments by 29% since 1990. In the same time period, Bexar County has increased its commitments by 71% and Dallas County has increased its commitments by 64%.
- ▲ Harris County accounted for 20% of the new commitments in FY 1994; Harris, Dallas, Bexar and Tarrant accounted for 52%.

**Table 1 - NEW COMMITMENTS BY REGION AND COUNTY**  
**For Fiscal Years 1990 - 1994**

	<u>FY 90</u>	<u>FY 91</u>	<u>FY 92</u>	<u>FY 93</u>	<u>FY 94</u>
<i>By Region:</i>					
CENTRAL	288	386	455	286	418
EAST	768	687	640	448	606
NORTH	550	549	506	525	590
SOUTH	208	180	191	159	225
WEST	217	198	134	146	166
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>2,031</b>	<b>2,000</b>	<b>1,926</b>	<b>1,564</b>	<b>2,005</b>
<i>By County:</i>					
HARRIS	572	457	428	289	405
DALLAS	169	180	206	245	278
BEXAR	127	134	188	122	217
TARRANT	186	184	135	141	146
NUECES	53	50	65	48	78
EL PASO	115	82	46	60	68
HIDALGO	48	53	51	53	58
GALVESTON	55	56	39	35	57
TRAVIS	33	80	63	52	48
CAMERON	50	41	46	22	47
BELL	18	29	35	27	41
BRAZORIA	41	30	42	37	30
MCLENNAN	23	30	55	13	30
JEFFERSON	18	42	39	24	21
LUBBOCK	32	29	15	13	21
FORT BEND	12	24	21	15	20
NACOGDOCHES	6	12	9	8	15
WICHITA	11	9	10	5	14
MONTGOMERY	9	8	10	6	13
POTTER	11	21	16	15	13
SMITH	7	9	10	10	13
TOM GREEN	9	6	13	14	13
TAYLOR	11	7	11	5	11
DENTON	3	5	1	6	10
All other counties (committing fewer than 10 during FY '94)	412	422	372	273	338
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>2,031</b>	<b>2,000</b>	<b>1,926</b>	<b>1,564</b>	<b>2,005</b>

## TABLE 2

### Student Characteristics of Commitments to TYC

#### Definition:

Table 2a provides the demographic information in terms of age, sex, race, offender classification and ethnicity of the new commitments to TYC during FY 1994. Characteristics are also cross-tabulated to give a breakdown by pairs of characteristics. Table 2b provides similar information for FY 1993.

#### Main Points:

- ▲ Males were 93% of the new commitments.
- ▲ Ethnic minorities were 82% of the new commitments.
- ▲ U.S. citizens were 95% of the new commitments.
- ▲ Violent offenders were 38% of the new commitments in FY 1994. This is an increase in both number and percentage over violent offender commitments in FY 1993.
- ▲ 83% of new commitments were in the age group 14-16.



**Table 2a - STUDENT CHARACTERISTICS AT COMMITMENT TO TYC**  
For Period: 9/1/93 - 8/31/94

	SEX		OFFENDER CLASSIFICATION								RACE/ETHNICITY				CITIZENSHIP			TOTAL
	M	F	Sen- tenced	Type A Violent	Type B Violent	Chronic Serious	Controlled Sub. Dealer	Firearms Offender	General Offender	Violator of CINS Prob.	African-			USA	Mexico	Other		
											Anglo	American	Hispanic					
<b>AGE</b>	1867	138	99	90	569	127	41	127	947	5	366	757	863	19	1911	73	21	2005
10	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	1	1	0	0	2	0	0	2
11	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	1	2	0	2	1	0	3
12	25	4	1	0	10	1	0	1	16	0	11	7	11	0	27	2	0	29
13	93	10	4	1	35	8	1	4	50	0	14	36	52	1	98	4	1	103
14	292	18	22	17	94	14	2	17	143	1	54	111	141	4	298	10	2	310
15	553	42	32	33	155	42	12	39	278	4	104	223	263	5	570	20	5	595
16	710	57	26	31	210	48	20	54	378	0	145	304	313	5	726	31	10	767
17	186	7	13	8	64	14	6	12	76	0	37	73	79	4	185	5	3	193
18	3	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	2	0	3	0	0	3
<b>CITIZENSHIP</b>	1867	138	99	90	569	127	41	127	947	5	366	757	863	19				2005
USA	1774	137	92	88	540	123	39	122	902	5	366	753	775	17				1911
Mexico	72	1	6	2	19	3	1	3	39	0	0	0	73	0				73
Other	21	0	1	0	10	1	1	2	6	0	0	4	15	2				21
<b>RACE/ETHNICITY</b>	1867	138	99	90	569	127	41	127	947	5								2005
African-American	700	57	38	38	226	52	28	57	318	0								757
Anglo	329	37	16	7	105	26	2	15	193	2								366
Hispanic	821	42	44	45	230	48	11	54	428	3								863
Other	17	2	1	0	8	1	0	1	8	0								19
<b>OFFENDER CLASS</b>	1867	138																2005
Sentenced	92	7																99
Type A Violent	82	8																90
Type B Violent	536	33																569
Chronic Serious	125	2																127
Controlled Sub. Dealer	35	6																41
Firearms Offender	123	4																127
General	873	74																947
Viol. of CINS Prob.	1	4																5

**Table 2b - STUDENT CHARACTERISTICS AT COMMITMENT TO TYC**  
For Period: 9/1/92 - 8/31/93

	SEX		OFFENDER CLASSIFICATION								RACE/ETHNICITY				CITIZENSHIP			TOTAL
	M	F	Sentenced	Type A Violent	Type B Violent	Chronic Serious	Controlled Sub. Dealer	Firearms Offender	General Offender	Violator of CINS Prob.	African-Anglo	African-American	Hispanic	Other	USA	Mexico	Other	
<b>AGE</b>	1468	96	70	62	442	100	50	97	741	2	303	604	639	18	1487	59	18	1564
10	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1
11	3	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	3	2	1	0	0	3
12	21	2	0	0	8	0	0	1	14	0	4	11	8	22	1	0	0	23
13	71	10	2	1	19	7	2	3	46	1	12	32	36	76	5	0	0	81
14	247	24	12	11	77	10	3	15	143	0	43	101	124	258	11	2	2	271
15	443	21	30	16	121	24	9	31	232	1	112	179	167	448	14	2	2	464
16	575	32	19	26	185	51	32	42	252	0	111	241	248	569	27	11	11	607
17	106	7	7	8	29	8	4	5	52	0	21	39	52	111	0	2	2	113
18	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	1
<b>CITIZENSHIP</b>	1468	96	70	62	442	100	50	97	741	2	303	604	639	18				1564
USA	1392	95	69	61	418	99	44	94	700	2	303	602	569	13				1487
Mexico	58	1	0	0	17	1	2	3	36	0	0	0	59	0				59
Other	18	0	1	1	7	0	4	0	5	0	0	2	11	5				18
<b>RACE/ETHNICITY</b>	1468	96	70	62	442	100	50	97	741	2								1564
African-American	584	20	31	23	191	43	37	43	236	0								604
Anglo	271	32	11	7	66	20	3	18	177	1								303
Hispanic	595	44	27	31	175	37	10	36	322	1								639
Other	18	0	1	1	10	0	0	0	6	0								18
<b>OFFENDER CLASS</b>	1468	96																1564
Sentenced	66	4																70
Type A Violent	58	4																62
Type B Violent	424	18																442
Chronic Serious	99	1																100
Controlled Sub. Dealer	48	2																50
Firearms Offender	94	3																97
General	678	63																741
Viol. of CINS Prob.	1	1																2

## TABLE 3

### Student Characteristics in Population

#### Definition:

Table 3a provides information about the sex, race, offender classification (Part 1) and age of students (Part 2), by program or facility on the last day of FY 1994. It is a snapshot of the characteristics of students at the date indicated. This table provides a comparison among facilities and programs with regard to student demographic characteristics. Table 3b provides similar information for the last day of FY 1993.

#### Main Points:

- ▲ Males constituted 94% of the TYC population at the end of FY 1994.
- ▲ Violent offenders constitute 42% of the population, up from 37% at the end of FY 1993.
- ▲ Ethnic minorities constitute 81% of the population.
- ▲ 85% of the total population was in the 14-17 year age group.
- ▲ The agency had 483 youth ages 18 and over in its population at the end of this period, an increase of 92% over FY 1993. Of these, 359 were at home and 124 were in primary treatment programs.
- ▲ The agency had 91 youth below age 14 in its end of year population, a 47% reduction from the 173 at the end of 1993.
- ▲ Aftercare population was reduced from 1,790 at the end of FY 1993 to 1,517 at the end of FY 1994, a reduction of 15%.

**Table 3a - STUDENT CHARACTERISTICS IN POPULATION (Part 1 of 2)**  
At 8/31/94

	SEX		RACE/ETHNICITY				OFFENDER CLASSIFICATION								TOTAL
	M	F	African-American	Anglo	Hispanic	Other	Sentenced	Type A Violent	Type B Violent	Chronic Serious	Controlled Sub. Dealer	Firearms Offender	General Offender	VCP	
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>3686</b>	<b>251</b>	<b>1555</b>	<b>743</b>	<b>1593</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>279</b>	<b>224</b>	<b>1144</b>	<b>317</b>	<b>81</b>	<b>220</b>	<b>1664</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>3937</b>
Reception Centers	149	13	66	33	62	1	7	6	40	16	2	12	79	0	162
Training Schools	1271	58	590	247	475	17	216	173	423	90	23	78	326	0	1329
Corsicana RTC	83	20	33	36	34	0	2	0	35	8	0	6	52	0	103
Evins	45	0	1	1	43	0	0	1	13	6	1	1	23	0	45
Halfway Houses	144	18	59	31	71	1	3	6	27	8	0	16	101	1	162
McFadden	24	0	8	8	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	24	0	24
Contract Care	528	67	188	156	247	4	10	4	131	21	8	25	391	5	595
<b>Total Primary Care</b>	<b>2244</b>	<b>176</b>	<b>945</b>	<b>512</b>	<b>940</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>238</b>	<b>190</b>	<b>669</b>	<b>149</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>138</b>	<b>996</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>2420</b>
Family	1404	68	592	213	644	23	28	34	465	162	47	81	653	2	1472
Independent Living	38	7	18	18	9	0	13	0	10	6	0	1	15	0	45
<b>Total Aftercare</b>	<b>1442</b>	<b>75</b>	<b>610</b>	<b>231</b>	<b>653</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>475</b>	<b>168</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>82</b>	<b>668</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1517</b>
Giddings	297	20	145	53	116	3	216	78	22	0	0	0	1	0	317
Brownwood	207	38	78	53	113	1	0	29	112	16	6	20	62	0	245
Crockett	183	0	104	32	45	2	0	1	76	19	3	19	65	0	183
Gainesville	346	0	181	70	89	6	0	42	125	40	9	20	110	0	346
West Texas	238	0	82	39	112	5	0	23	88	15	5	19	88	0	238
Ayres	24	0	6	10	8	0	0	2	8	2	0	1	11	0	24
Beto	22	0	5	2	15	0	0	0	1	1	0	5	15	0	22
Cottrell	21	0	13	5	2	1	1	2	4	0	0	0	14	0	21
Nueces	18	0	7	2	9	0	1	0	3	0	0	2	12	0	18
Schaeffer	17	0	8	1	8	0	0	0	1	0	0	3	13	0	17
Turman	23	0	13	3	7	0	1	0	3	2	0	2	15	0	23
Valley	19	0	2	1	16	0	0	0	6	3	0	3	7	0	19
Willoughby	0	18	5	7	6	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	14	1	18

**Table 3a - STUDENT CHARACTERISTICS IN POPULATION (Part 2 of 2)**  
**At 8/31/94**

	AGE											TOTAL
	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	
<b>TOTAL</b>	0	4	13	74	249	677	1161	1276	338	107	38	3937
Reception Centers	0	0	2	7	28	47	66	12	0	0	0	162
Training Schools	0	0	2	30	102	287	467	375	53	11	2	1329
Corsicana RTC	0	1	2	11	19	21	32	15	2	0	0	103
Evins	0	0	0	3	6	14	11	10	1	0	0	45
Halfway Houses	0	0	1	1	13	42	41	44	14	5	1	162
McFadden	0	0	0	1	0	8	9	5	1	0	0	24
Contract Care	0	3	4	13	51	121	211	158	27	4	3	595
<b>Total Primary Care</b>	0	4	11	66	219	540	837	619	98	20	6	2420
Family	0	0	2	8	30	137	323	644	228	74	26	1472
Independent Living	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	13	12	13	6	45
<b>Total Aftercare</b>	0	0	2	8	30	137	324	657	240	87	32	1517
Giddings	0	0	0	2	9	65	87	114	30	8	2	317
Brownwood	0	0	0	7	21	56	98	56	6	1	0	245
Crockett	0	0	0	3	12	37	70	57	4	0	0	183
Gainesville	0	0	0	2	24	77	135	100	7	1	0	346
West Texas	0	0	2	16	36	52	77	48	6	1	0	238
Ayres	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	16	3	4	0	24
Beto	0	0	0	0	1	11	10	0	0	0	0	22
Cottrell	0	0	0	0	2	3	3	7	4	1	1	21
Nueces	0	0	0	0	1	7	4	5	1	0	0	18
Schaeffer	0	0	0	0	0	3	6	6	2	0	0	17
Turman	0	0	0	0	1	4	9	8	1	0	0	23
Valley	0	0	0	1	5	9	3	0	1	0	0	19
Willoughby	0	0	1	0	3	5	5	2	2	0	0	18

**Table 3b - STUDENT CHARACTERISTICS IN POPULATION (Part 1 of 2)**  
At 8/31/93

	SEX		RACE/ETHNICITY				OFFENDER CLASSIFICATION								TOTAL
	M	F	African-American	Anglo	Hispanic	Other	Sentenced	Type A Violent	Type B Violent	Chronic Serious	Controlled Sub. Dealer	Firearms Offender	General Offender	VCP	
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>3601</b>	<b>230</b>	<b>1557</b>	<b>730</b>	<b>1499</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>223</b>	<b>149</b>	<b>1030</b>	<b>396</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>213</b>	<b>1715</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>3831</b>
Reception Centers	93	9	38	25	37	2	7	3	23	3	2	6	57	1	102
Training Schools	1228	56	623	212	428	21	172	123	392	113	32	93	359	0	1284
Corsicana RTC	69	15	22	39	23	0	2	0	20	4	0	2	56	0	84
Evins	48	0	0	5	43	0	0	0	16	8	0	0	24	0	48
Halfway Houses	131	18	50	31	68	0	6	1	27	3	1	7	103	1	149
McFadden	12	0	3	3	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	12	0	12
Contract Care	327	35	115	116	129	2	7	4	48	21	6	3	271	2	362
<b>Total Primary Care</b>	<b>1908</b>	<b>133</b>	<b>851</b>	<b>431</b>	<b>734</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>194</b>	<b>131</b>	<b>526</b>	<b>152</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>111</b>	<b>882</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>2041</b>
Family	1646	87	683	276	756	18	17	15	486	241	58	102	814	0	1733
Independent Living	47	10	23	23	9	2	12	3	18	3	1	0	19	1	57
<b>Total Aftercare</b>	<b>1693</b>	<b>97</b>	<b>706</b>	<b>299</b>	<b>765</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>504</b>	<b>244</b>	<b>59</b>	<b>102</b>	<b>833</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1790</b>
Giddings	316	17	165	50	113	5	169	121	40	0	0	0	3	0	333
Brownwood	203	39	79	51	110	2	0	1	111	20	4	22	84	0	242
Crockett	181	0	106	29	41	5	0	0	65	24	8	15	69	0	181
Gainesville	328	0	181	60	80	7	1	0	109	44	10	38	126	0	328
West Texas	200	0	92	22	84	2	2	1	67	25	10	18	77	0	200
Ayres	19	0	7	2	10	0	3	1	3	0	1	2	9	0	19
Beto	20	0	3	1	16	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	18	0	20
Cottrell	19	0	8	6	5	0	0	0	4	0	0	1	14	0	19
Nueces	19	0	6	3	10	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	17	0	19
Schaeffer	19	0	9	3	7	0	0	0	4	1	0	3	11	0	19
Turman	22	0	12	7	3	0	3	0	4	1	0	1	13	0	22
Valley	13	0	1	1	11	0	0	0	7	1	0	0	5	0	13
Willoughby	0	18	4	8	6	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	16	1	18

**Table 3b - STUDENT CHARACTERISTICS IN POPULATION (Part 2 of 2)**  
At 8/31/93

	AGE											TOTAL
	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	
<b>TOTAL</b>	1	2	37	133	383	902	1338	783	191	50	11	3831
Reception Centers	0	0	6	10	23	31	32	0	0	0	0	102
Training Schools	0	0	13	57	171	378	521	123	19	2	0	1284
Corsicana RTC	1	0	7	11	18	25	18	4	0	0	0	84
Evins	0	0	0	3	8	22	14	1	0	0	0	48
Halfway Houses	0	0	0	6	25	33	58	23	4	0	0	149
McFadden	0	0	0	0	2	8	2	0	0	0	0	12
Contract Care	0	2	8	23	51	116	113	41	7	1	0	362
<b>Total Primary Care</b>	1	2	34	110	298	613	758	192	30	3	0	2041
Family	0	0	3	23	85	288	573	574	140	40	7	1733
Independent Living	0	0	0	0	0	1	7	17	21	7	4	57
<b>Total Aftercare</b>	0	0	3	23	85	289	580	591	161	47	11	1790
Giddings	0	0	1	9	27	91	110	76	17	2	0	333
Brownwood	0	0	1	14	34	67	113	13	0	0	0	242
Crockett	0	0	1	2	20	61	85	12	0	0	0	181
Gainesville	0	0	1	5	34	112	155	19	2	0	0	328
West Texas	0	0	9	27	56	47	58	3	0	0	0	200
Ayres	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	9	2	0	0	19
Beto	0	0	0	1	7	5	7	0	0	0	0	20
Cottrell	0	0	0	0	3	6	8	2	0	0	0	19
Nueces	0	0	0	1	4	2	10	2	0	0	0	19
Schaeffer	0	0	0	1	1	5	10	2	0	0	0	19
Turman	0	0	0	1	3	7	5	5	1	0	0	22
Valley	0	0	0	0	3	4	5	0	1	0	0	13
Willoughby	0	0	0	2	4	4	5	3	0	0	0	18

## TABLE 4

### Program Population

#### Definition:

Tables 4a and 4b provide the number admitted, number served, number released, number discharged, average daily population (ADP) and average length of stay (ALS) for all program types and TYC-staffed facilities during the fiscal years. These numbers are defined as follows:

1. An admission is an assignment to a program or facility. Each assignment of a youth to a program is counted separately. An admission can be either temporary or permanent.
2. Number served is the number of unique youth assigned to a program or facility who, at some time during this period, were on a status other than detention, escape or furlough. Multiple admissions of a youth to a particular program are ignored, i.e., the youth is only counted once within each program or facility.
3. Release/Discharges are assignments which ended at a program or facility, including assignments ending due to discharge. Each release of a youth is counted separately. Only releases or discharges from permanent assignments are counted.
4. Discharges are assignments that ended by agency discharge, including both permanent and temporary admissions.
5. ADP is calculated as the average number of youth per day who are assigned to a program or facility on a temporary or permanent basis, and are not absent for the entire day due to detention, escape or furlough. ADP for home excludes youth placed out-of-state, but does include youth in detention.
6. Median Initial Primary Care Length of Stay is the median (50th percentile) elapsed time between the youth's first admission to the agency and first release to home or agency discharge. This time is credited to the first permanent assignment other than the Reception Center, and does not mean that the entire length of stay was in that program.



Main Points:

- △ Contract Care had a 64% increase in permanent admissions in FY 1994 from that of FY 1993.
- △ The Average Daily Population (ADP) in Primary Care increased by 11% in 1994 from 1993 (from 1,967 to 2,190), but the ADP in Aftercare decreased by 27% (from 1,941 to 1,421).
- △ The Median Initial Primary Care Length of Stay increased by 6% from 8.24 months in 1993 to 8.77 months in 1994.

**Table 4a - PROGRAM POPULATION**  
**For Period: 9/1/93 - 8/31/94**

	--Number Admissions--		Number Served	Number Released/ Discharged	Number Discharged Only	ADP	Median Initial Primary Care Length of Stay
	<u>Permanent</u>	<u>Temporary</u>					
Reception Centers	2109	703	2594	2057	2	107	--
Training Schools	2074	241	2734	2021	70	1279	8.96 mos.
Corsicana RTC	116	4	192	97	1	90	14.65 mos.
Evins	109	99	183	115	0	48	9.07 mos.
Halfway Houses	703	39	799	679	67	168	7.22 mos.
McFadden	46	1	58	34	0	23	6.94 mos.
Contract Care	1475	759	1748	1171	105	475	7.69 mos.
<b>Total Primary Care</b>	<b>6632</b>	<b>1846</b>	<b>4450</b>	<b>6174</b>	<b>245</b>	<b>2190</b>	<b>8.77 mos.</b>
Family	2146	167	3349	2431	1610	1370	--
Independent Living	81	0	135	97	71	51	--
<b>Total Aftercare</b>	<b>2227</b>	<b>167</b>	<b>3460</b>	<b>2528</b>	<b>1681</b>	<b>1421</b>	<b>--</b>
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>8859</b>	<b>2013</b>	<b>5911</b>	<b>8702</b>	<b>1926</b>		
Detail:							
Giddings	249	176	652	262	49	322	24.74 mos.
Brownwood	485	12	651	478	3	239	8.90 mos.
Crockett	319	25	484	315	2	175	8.94 mos.
Gainesville	615	23	866	600	16	332	8.43 mos.
West Texas	406	5	553	366	0	212	8.96 mos.
Ayres	89	3	106	79	31	20	--
Beto	99	4	124	98	6	23	6.97 mos.
Cottrell	81	4	100	84	7	22	8.06 mos.
Middleton	0	0	0	3	3	0	2.99 mos.
Nueces	102	11	115	100	2	21	7.36 mos.
Schaeffer	86	11	106	84	4	22	6.71 mos.
Turman	102	4	125	100	11	23	6.44 mos.
Valley	93	2	103	79	0	19	8.95 mos.
Willoughby	51	0	64	52	3	17	7.56 mos.

**Table 4b - PROGRAM POPULATION**  
**For Period: 9/1/92 - 8/31/93**

	--Number Admissions--		Number Served	Number Released/ Discharged	Number Discharged Only	ADP	Median Initial Primary Care Length of Stay
	Permanent	Temporary					
Reception Centers	1723	576	2152	1702	3	73	--
Training Schools	1972	153	2614	1830	43	1222	8.96 mos.
Corsicana RTC	93	4	175	97	1	88	16.13 mos.
Evins	129	59	166	128	0	49	8.96 mos.
Halfway Houses	623	65	728	638	79	150	6.31 mos.
McFadden	12	0	12	0	0	1	N/A
Contract Care	899	584	1325	927	117	384	7.09 mos.
<b>Total Primary Care</b>	<b>5451</b>	<b>1441</b>	<b>4011</b>	<b>5322</b>	<b>243</b>	<b>1967</b>	<b>8.24 mos.</b>
Family	2259	324	3214	2788	1810	1881	--
Independent Living	90	1	140	87	60	60	--
<b>Total Aftercare</b>	<b>2349</b>	<b>325</b>	<b>3305</b>	<b>2875</b>	<b>1870</b>	<b>1941</b>	<b>--</b>
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>7800</b>	<b>1766</b>	<b>5816</b>	<b>8197</b>	<b>2113</b>		
<b>Detail:</b>							
Giddings	202	99	520	156	32	303	21.95 mos.
Brownwood	473	24	633	456	2	235	8.56 mos.
Crockett	304	6	434	285	4	171	8.98 mos.
Gainesville	604	19	803	572	3	320	8.90 mos.
West Texas	389	5	520	361	2	193	8.59 mos.
Ayres	56	4	84	52	15	18	--
Beto	86	7	103	91	11	21	6.20 mos.
Cottrell	76	5	104	80	7	21	6.42 mos.
Middleton	0	0	0	2	2	0	--
Nueces	95	14	116	100	7	16	6.54 mos.
Schaeffer	82	8	106	81	2	22	6.14 mos.
Turman	109	15	142	116	26	23	5.66 mos.
Valley	72	9	88	65	0	14	8.05 mos.
Willoughby	47	3	58	51	9	15	7.03 mos.

## TABLE 5

### Population Movement

#### Definition:

Tables 5a and 5b show the population movement between the different program types and facilities for the fiscal years. The left-most column shows the program from which the movement took place, and the top heading shows the program to which the movement went. The tables do not include movements in or out of temporary assignments.

#### Main Points:

- ▲ Reception Centers assigned 65% of their population to Primary Treatment Institutions (Training Schools, Corsicana, and Evins).
- ▲ 52% of the releases from Primary Treatment Institutions were to Home, and an additional 25% were discharged.
- ▲ In 1994, 48% of the releases from Community programs returned home, 24% returned to Primary Treatment Institutions, 18% moved to another Community program, and 9% were discharged.
- ▲ In 1994, 68% of the movements from Home assignments were discharges, 16% were returned to Primary Treatment Institutions, and 12% were placed in Community programs. The 16% of Home assignment movements returning to Primary Treatment Institutions was a reduction from 22% in 1993. The 12% of placements from Home to Community programs, however, was an increase from 9% in 1993.

**Table 5a - POPULATION MOVEMENT**  
**Permanent Admissions**  
**For Period: 9/1/93 - 8/31/94**

	STUDENTS MOVED OUT	TO ---->									
		RECEPTION CENTER	---PRIMARY TREATMENT INSTITUTIONS---				---COMMUNITY---		--- HOME ---		DIS- CHARGE
			GIDDINGS	OTHERS	CORSICANA	EVINS	HWHS	CONTRACTS	FAMILY	IND. LIV.	
<b>RECEPTION CENTERS</b>	<b>1912</b>	0	173	952	78	33	196	479	0	0	1
% Moved from Rec. Centers to:		0.0%	9.0%	49.8%	4.1%	1.7%	10.3%	25.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%
<b>TRAINING SCHOOLS</b>	<b>1946</b>	0	10	126	17	2	237	464	1023	13	54
Giddings	224	0	0	88	0	1	26	38	33	0	38
Brownwood	465	0	5	8	7	0	91	131	220	0	3
Crockett	314	0	0	17	1	0	20	81	194	0	1
Gainesville	591	0	2	8	4	0	56	166	330	13	12
West Texas	352	0	3	5	5	1	44	48	246	0	0
% Moved from Trng. Schools to:		0.0%	0.5%	6.5%	0.9%	0.1%	12.2%	23.8%	52.6%	0.7%	2.8%
<b>CORSICANA</b>	<b>87</b>	0	1	15	0	1	9	28	32	1	0
% Moved from Corsicana to:		0.0%	1.1%	17.2%	0.0%	1.1%	10.3%	32.2%	36.8%	1.1%	0.0%
<b>EVINS</b>	<b>113</b>	0	1	2	2	0	20	43	45	0	0
% Moved from Evins to:		0.0%	0.9%	1.8%	1.8%	0.0%	17.7%	38.1%	39.8%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>PRIMARY TREATMENT INSTITUTIONS</b>	<b>2146</b>	0	12	143	19	3	266	535	1100	14	54
% Moved from Primary Treatment Institutions to:		0.0%	0.6%	6.7%	0.9%	0.1%	12.4%	24.9%	51.3%	0.7%	2.5%
<b>COMMUNITY</b>	<b>1752</b>	0	21	349	5	52	107	214	788	55	161
Halfway Houses	632	0	8	156	2	28	27	87	243	18	63
Contract Care	1120	0	13	193	3	24	80	127	545	37	98
% Moved from Community to:		0.0%	1.2%	19.9%	0.3%	3.0%	6.1%	12.2%	45.0%	3.1%	9.2%
<b>AFTERCARE</b>	<b>2378</b>	0	6	341	5	17	92	195	99	13	1610
Family	2281	0	6	340	5	17	91	189	83	11	1539
Independent Living	97	0	0	1	0	0	1	6	16	2	71
% Moved from Aftercare to:		0.0%	0.3%	14.3%	0.2%	0.7%	3.9%	8.2%	4.2%	0.5%	67.7%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>8188</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>212</b>	<b>1785</b>	<b>107</b>	<b>105</b>	<b>661</b>	<b>1423</b>	<b>1987</b>	<b>82</b>	<b>1826</b>

**Table 5b - POPULATION MOVEMENT**  
**Permanent Admissions**  
**For Period: 9/1/92 - 8/31/93**

	STUDENTS MOVED OUT	TO ---->									
		RECEPTION CENTER	---PRIMARY TREATMENT INSTITUTIONS---				---COMMUNITY---		--- HOME ---		DIS- CHARGE
			TRAINING SCHOOLS		CORNICANA	EVINS	HWHS	CONTRACTS	FAMILY	IND. LIV.	
GIDDINGS	OTHERS										
RECEPTION CENTERS	1528	0	137	814	49	21	140	364	1	0	2
% Moved from Rec. Centers to:		0.0%	9.0%	53.3%	3.2%	1.4%	9.2%	23.8%	0.1%	0.0%	0.1%
TRAINING SCHOOLS	1777	0	15	52	26	2	175	233	1229	10	35
Giddings	140	0	0	7	2	1	27	44	31	3	25
Brownwood	453	0	12	6	4	1	49	42	337	0	2
Crockett	278	0	0	22	4	0	9	57	183	0	3
Gainesville	551	0	2	8	8	0	65	54	405	7	2
West Texas	355	0	1	9	8	0	25	36	273	0	3
% Moved from Trng. Schools to:		0.0%	0.8%	2.9%	1.5%	0.1%	9.8%	13.1%	69.2%	0.6%	2.0%
CORSICANA	89	0	0	12	0	0	12	26	38	0	1
% Moved from Corsicana to:		0.0%	0.0%	13.5%	0.0%	0.0%	13.5%	29.2%	42.7%	0.0%	1.1%
EVINS	123	0	0	10	1	0	44	5	63	0	0
% Moved from Evins to:		0.0%	0.0%	8.1%	0.8%	0.0%	35.8%	4.1%	51.2%	0.0%	0.0%
PRIMARY TREATMENT INSTITUTIONS	1989	0	15	74	27	2	231	264	1330	10	36
% Moved from Primary Treatment Institutions to:		0.0%	0.8%	3.7%	1.4%	0.1%	11.6%	13.3%	66.9%	0.5%	1.8%
COMMUNITY	1530	0	21	305	7	67	106	116	675	53	180
Halfway Houses	612	0	9	156	0	51	37	50	217	22	70
Contract Care	918	0	12	149	7	16	69	66	458	31	110
% Moved from Community to:		0.0%	1.4%	19.9%	0.5%	4.4%	6.9%	7.6%	44.1%	3.5%	11.8%
AFTERCARE	2734	0	12	541	2	35	121	134	92	25	1772
Family	2649	0	12	541	2	34	120	133	71	24	1712
Independent Living	85	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	21	1	60
% Moved from Aftercare to:		0.0%	0.4%	19.8%	0.1%	1.3%	4.4%	4.9%	3.4%	0.9%	64.8%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>7781</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>185</b>	<b>1734</b>	<b>85</b>	<b>125</b>	<b>598</b>	<b>878</b>	<b>2098</b>	<b>88</b>	<b>1990</b>

## TABLE 6

### Escapes from Facilities or Furlough

#### Definition:

Tables 6a and 6b summarize escapes from facilities and from furlough for FY 1994 and FY 1993, respectively. Facility and furlough ADP's are also presented to facilitate comparison. Home ADP excludes students placed out-of-state.

"Monthly Rate per 100 ADP" provides an index for comparing escape rates between facilities or programs, regardless of size. It indicates how many escapes have occurred each month, on average, based on an ADP of 100. The higher rates indicate relatively high escape frequency. The index is based on the year-to-date escapes and YTD ADP, calculated as:  $[(\# \text{ of escapes} / \# \text{ of months in the period}) / (\text{ADP}/100)]$ . Simplified, the formula is: Average # of monthly escapes / 100 ADP.

"Furlough Escape Rate" is the percentage of furloughs which ended in escape.

#### Main Points:

- ▲ Youth are more likely to escape from Halfway Houses than from any other program (38.1 per month per 100 ADP).
- ▲ Training schools showed a decrease of about 60% (0.3 per month vs. 0.5 per month) from FY 1993. 73% of the escapes from training schools were from the Crockett State School, the only unfenced training school.
- ▲ Escape rates from community programs were very similar in FY 1994 and FY 1993 (21.4 per 100 ADP for both years for community primary treatment programs; 5.2 per 100 ADP for aftercare in 1994 vs. 5.4 in 1993).
- ▲ There were no escapes from 432 furloughs from TYC institutions, but 9% of the 651 community furloughs ended in escape.

**Table 6a - ESCAPES FROM FACILITIES OR FURLOUGH**

**For Period: 9/1/93 - 8/31/94**

	<u>ADP</u>	<u>Escapes from Facility</u>	<u>Monthly Rate per 100 ADP*</u>	<u>Furloughs</u>	<u>Escapes from Furlough</u>	<u>Furlough Escape Rate</u>
RECEPTION CENTERS	107	1	0.1	8	0	0%
TRAINING SCHOOLS	1279	48	0.3	292	0	0%
CORSICANA RTC	90	23	2.1	0	0	--
EVINS	48	2	0.3	132	0	0%
COMMUNITY	666	1714	21.4	651	57	9%
Halfway Houses	168	768	38.1	207	11	5%
McFadden	23	5	1.8	0	0	--
Contract Care	475	941	16.5	444	46	10%
AFTERCARE	1421	885	5.2			
Family	1370	872	5.3			
Indep. Living	51	13	2.1			
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>3611</b>	<b>2673</b>	<b>6.2</b>	<b>1083</b>	<b>57</b>	<b>5%</b>
Detail:						
Giddings	322	2	0.1	228	0	0%
Brownwood	239	4	0.1	22	0	0%
Crockett	175	35	1.7	2	0	0%
Gainesville	332	2	0.1	31	0	0%
West Texas	212	5	0.2	9	0	0%
Ayres	20	56	23.3	4	0	0%
Beto	23	140	50.7	20	4	20%
Cottrell	22	71	26.9	0	0	0%
Nueces	21	58	23.0	108	4	4%
Schaeffer	22	143	54.2	0	0	0%
Turman	23	141	51.1	33	1	3%
Valley	19	132	57.9	13	0	0%
Willoughby	17	27	13.2	29	2	7%

\* Monthly rate calculations are based on ADP stated to the nearest .01, although the table prints ADP stated to the nearest integer.



**Table 6b - ESCAPES FROM FACILITIES OR FURLOUGH**  
**For Period: 9/1/92 - 8/31/93**

	<u>ADP</u>	<u>Escapes from Facility</u>	<u>Monthly Rate per 100 ADP*</u>	<u>Furloughs</u>	<u>Escapes from Furlough</u>	<u>Furlough Escape Rate</u>
RECEPTION CENTERS	73	0	0.0	9	0	0%
TRAINING SCHOOLS	1222	67	0.5	265	1	0%
CORSICANA RTC	88	20	1.9	0	0	--
EVINS	49	10	1.7	3	0	0%
COMMUNITY	535	1372	21.4	642	42	7%
Halfway Houses	150	705	39.2	237	17	7%
McFadden	1	0	0	0	0	--
Contract Care	384	667	14.5	405	25	6%
AFTERCARE	1800	1164	5.4			
Family	1743	1149	5.5			
Indep. Living	57	15	2.2			
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>3767</b>	<b>2633</b>	<b>5.8</b>	<b>919</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>5%</b>
Detail:						
Giddings	303	2	0.1	202	0	0%
Brownwood	235	10	0.0	18	1	6%
Crockett	171	44	2.1	3	0	0%
Gainesville	320	4	0.1	32	0	0%
West Texas	193	7	0.3	10	0	0%
Ayres	18	64	29.6	12	0	0%
Beto	21	70	27.8	3	1	33%
Cottrell	21	76	30.2	0	0	--
Nueces	16	81	42.2	106	8	8%
Schaeffer	22	121	45.8	0	0	--
Turman	23	121	43.8	13	0	0%
Valley	14	141	83.9	73	5	7%
Willoughby	15	31	17.2	30	3	10%

\* Monthly rate calculations are based on ADP stated to the nearest .01, although the table prints ADP stated to the nearest integer.

## TABLE 7

### Security and Detention Admissions

#### Definition:

Tables 7a and 7b summarize admissions to security units or detention facilities. ADP's are also presented to facilitate comparisons. Home ADP excludes students placed out-of-state.

"Average time between admissions per student" provides an index for comparing admission rates between facilities or programs. An index of 2.0 months for security for a facility means that the average youth is admitted to security once every two months. The index is based on the year-to-date admission and YTD ADP, calculated as:  $(ADP \times \# \text{ of months in period}) / \# \text{ of admissions}$ .

Detention admissions are incidents in which students are placed in juvenile detention facilities or adult jails. Many detentions occur from behavior while students are on escape or furlough. Thus, detentions from the facility are separated from detentions from escape or furlough. For detentions, the "average time between admissions from facilities per student" examines only detentions from the facility and is based on facility ADP.

#### Main Points:

- ▲ Youth at Corsicana were placed in security more than two-and-a-half times as often as youth from any other program (once every 0.5 months vs. 1.3 months for Brownwood, who placed youth in security second most often).
- ▲ Youth were placed least often in security from Reception Centers (once every 2.5 months).
- ▲ The rate of detention admissions per ADP in community programs decreased in 1994 by 21% from that of 1993 (once every 31.0 months from once every 24.6 months). The volume (1,171 to 776) and rate (18.4 months to 22.0 months) of detention admissions at home decreased in 1994 from that in 1993.
- ▲ Youth at Home continued to have a higher detention rate (based on admissions from the facility) than do youth in Primary Treatment Community Programs (one per 22.0 months vs. one per 31.0 months).

**Table 7a - SECURITY AND DETENTION ADMISSIONS**  
**For Period: 9/1/93 - 8/31/94**

	SECURITY ADMISSIONS			DETENTION ADMISSIONS			
	ADP	#	Average time between adm. per student *	From Facility			From Escape or Furlough
				ADP	#	Average time between adm. from facility per student	
RECEPTION CENTERS	107	514	2.5 mos.	107	0	n/a	0
TRAINING SCHOOLS	1279	9591	1.6 mos.	1279	0	n/a	0
CORSICANA RTC	90	2389	0.5 mos.	90	0	n/a	1
EVINS	48	432	1.3 mos.	48	0	n/a	0
COMMUNITY				666	258	31.0 mos.	624
Halfway Houses				168	101	20.0 mos.	267
McFadden				23	3	92.0 mos.	2
Contract Care				475	154	37.0 mos.	355
AFTERCARE				1421	776	22.0 mos.	327
Family				1370	766	21.5 mos.	324
Indep. Living				51	10	61.2 mos.	3
Detail:							
Giddings	322	1941	2.0 mos.	322	0	n/a	0
Brownwood	239	2163	1.3 mos.	239	0	n/a	0
Crockett	175	1364	1.5 mos.	175	0	n/a	0
Gainesville	332	2247	1.8 mos.	332	0	n/a	0
West Texas	212	1876	1.4 mos.	212	0	n/a	0
Ayres				20	2	120.0 mos.	8
Beto				23	6	46.0 mos.	15
Cottrell				22	15	17.6 mos.	42
Nueces				21	30	8.4 mos.	34
Schaeffer				22	18	14.7 mos.	55
Turman				23	15	18.4 mos.	68
Valley				19	9	25.3 mos.	27
Willoughby				17	6	34.0 mos.	18

\* Monthly rate calculations are based on ADP stated to the nearest .01, although the table prints ADP stated to the nearest integer.

**Table 7b - SECURITY AND DETENTION ADMISSIONS**  
**For Period: 9/1/92 - 8/31/93**

	SECURITY ADMISSIONS			DETENTION ADMISSIONS			
	ADP	#	Average time between adm. per student *	From Facility			from Escape or Furlough
			ADP	#	Average time between adm. from facility per student		
RECEPTION CENTERS	73	257	3.4 mos.	73	0	n/a	1
TRAINING SCHOOLS	1222	9734	1.5 mos.	1222	0	n/a	0
CORSICANA RTC	88	2077	0.5 mos.	88	0	n/a	0
EVINS	49	597	1.0 mos.	49	0	n/a	0
COMMUNITY				535	261	24.6 mos.	497
Halfway Houses				150	91	19.8 mos.	222
McFadden				1	0	n/a	0
Contract Care				384	170	27.1 mos.	275
AFTERCARE				1800	1174	18.4 mos.	467
Family				1743	1163	18.0 mos.	465
Indep. Living				57	11	62.2 mos.	2
Detail:							
Giddings	303	1457	2.5 mos.	303	0	n/a	0
Brownwood	235	1704	1.7 mos.	235	0	n/a	0
Crockett	171	1877	1.1 mos.	171	0	n/a	0
Gainesville	320	2805	1.4 mos.	320	0	n/a	0
West Texas	193	1891	1.2 mos.	193	0	n/a	0
Ayres				18	2	108.0 mos.	6
Beto				21	9	28.0 mos.	14
Cottrell				21	19	13.3 mos.	42
Nueces				16	15	12.8 mos.	40
Schaeffer				22	11	24.0 mos.	35
Turman				23	18	15.3 mos.	35
Valley				14	8	21.0 mos.	30
Willoughby				15	9	20.0 mos.	20

\* Monthly rate calculations are based on ADP stated to the nearest .01, although the table prints ADP stated to the nearest integer.

## TABLE 8

### Significant Educational Gains

#### Definition:

Tables 8a and 8b provide outcome measures of educational programs while students are in a program. Significant gains are defined as gains of one month or more in educational score for each month of instruction, based on comparing the pre-test and post-test scores. For example, a student who had been in the facility six months and had an examination score gain of six or more months would count as having a significant gain, whereas a student in a facility for six months who gained fewer than six months in the examination would not be considered to have made a significant gain.

The "average # of months gain per month in program" is calculated by counting all months gained by all students tested, and dividing by the total months spent since the pre-test by all the students tested.

#### Main Points:

- △ During FY 1994, 56% of the students achieved more than a one-month Reading gain and 63% achieved more than a one-month Math gain for each month they spent in the institutional education program.
- △ The Evins Regional Juvenile Center had the highest percentage of significant gains in Reading (75%), although they tested only 9 youth, and Brownwood Training School had the highest percentage of significant gains in Math (70%).
- △ Youth in TYC institutions had an average test gain of 1.6 months in Math and 1.3 months in Reading for every month in the program.
- △ Corsicana was the only institution with average test gain scores of less than 1.0 (0.7 in both Reading and Math).

**Table 8a - SIGNIFICANT GAINS ON THE ACHIEVEMENT TESTS****For Period: 9/1/93 - 8/31/94****READING**

	<b># Tested</b>	<b>Average Pre-test Level</b>	<b>Average Post-test Level</b>	<b>% Students w/ Significant Score Gain</b>	<b>Average # Mos. Gain per Month in Program</b>
<b>TRAINING SCHOOLS</b>	<b>1021</b>	<b>6.2</b>	<b>6.9</b>	<b>55.7%</b>	<b>1.3</b>
Giddings	59	6.9	7.5	50.8%	1.0
Brownwood	207	6.2	7.2	59.9%	1.7
Crockett	206	6.0	6.6	51.0%	0.7
Gainesville	333	6.4	7.0	52.6%	1.5
West Texas	216	5.7	6.5	62.5%	1.1
<b>CORSICANA RTC</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>5.5</b>	<b>6.7</b>	<b>64.3%</b>	<b>0.7</b>
<b>EVINS JUVENILE CENTER</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>5.8</b>	<b>7.4</b>	<b>75.0%</b>	<b>2.6</b>
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>1072</b>	<b>6.1</b>	<b>6.9</b>	<b>56.2%</b>	<b>1.3</b>

**MATH**

	<b># Tested</b>	<b>Average Pre-test Level</b>	<b>Average Post-test Level</b>	<b>% Students w/ Significant Score Gain</b>	<b>Average # Mos. Gain per Month in Program</b>
<b>TRAINING SCHOOLS</b>	<b>1028</b>	<b>6.3</b>	<b>7.2</b>	<b>64.0%</b>	<b>1.6</b>
Giddings	64	7.5	8.4	68.8%	1.7
Brownwood	204	6.1	7.2	70.1%	2.1
Crockett	201	6.2	7.2	64.7%	1.8
Gainesville	339	6.3	7.1	56.3%	1.5
West Texas	220	6.1	7.0	68.2%	1.3
<b>CORSICANA RTC</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>5.4</b>	<b>5.8</b>	<b>51.2%</b>	<b>0.7</b>
<b>EVINS JUVENILE CENTER</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>6.2</b>	<b>7.2</b>	<b>55.6%</b>	<b>2.6</b>
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>1080</b>	<b>6.2</b>	<b>7.1</b>	<b>63.4%</b>	<b>1.6</b>

**Table 8b - SIGNIFICANT GAINS ON THE ACHIEVEMENT TESTS**  
**For Period: 9/1/92 - 8/31/93**

**READING**

	<b># Tested</b>	<b>Average Pre-test Level</b>	<b>Average Post-test Level</b>	<b>% Students w/ Significant Score Gain</b>	<b>Average # Mos. Gain per Month in Program</b>
<b>TRAINING SCHOOLS</b>	<b>771</b>	<b>6.1</b>	<b>6.8</b>	<b>48.3%</b>	<b>1.3</b>
Giddings	44	5.8	6.8	36.4%	1.0
Brownwood	203	5.7	6.5	57.6%	1.7
Crockett	150	6.4	6.8	37.6%	0.7
Gainesville	257	6.4	7.1	49.0%	1.5
West Texas	117	5.9	6.5	48.7%	1.1
<b>CORSICANA RTC</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>5.7</b>	<b>6.3</b>	<b>42.1%</b>	<b>0.7</b>
<b>EVINS JUVENILE CENTER</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>5.7</b>	<b>6.9</b>	<b>63.6%</b>	<b>2.6</b>
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>813</b>	<b>6.1</b>	<b>6.8</b>	<b>48.5%</b>	<b>1.3</b>

**MATH**

	<b># Tested</b>	<b>Average Pre-test Level</b>	<b>Average Post-test Level</b>	<b>% Students w/ Significant Score Gain</b>	<b>Average # Mos. Gain per Month in Program</b>
<b>TRAINING SCHOOLS</b>	<b>792</b>	<b>6.2</b>	<b>7.1</b>	<b>57.0%</b>	<b>1.7</b>
Giddings	50	6.7	8.5	60.0%	1.7
Brownwood	210	6.2	7.2	59.0%	2.1
Crockett	149	6.1	7.1	59.1%	1.8
Gainesville	264	6.2	6.9	52.9%	1.5
West Texas	119	5.8	6.5	58.8%	1.3
<b>CORSICANA RTC</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>5.6</b>	<b>6.4</b>	<b>47.6%</b>	<b>0.7</b>
<b>EVINS JUVENILE CENTER</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>6.0</b>	<b>7.3</b>	<b>73.9%</b>	<b>2.6</b>
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>836</b>	<b>6.1</b>	<b>7.0</b>	<b>57.2%</b>	<b>1.7</b>

## TABLE 9

### Negative Transfers

#### Definition:

Negative transfers are defined as transfers from a lower security facility to higher security institutions, including both discharges made for the purpose of recommitment and transfers to a more secure facility after a Level I or Level II hearing. For Halfway Houses and Contract Care Programs, negative transfers are transfers to Institutions. Within Training Schools other than Giddings, negative transfers are transfers to Giddings. The negative transfer rate is the ratio of negative transfers to all transfers, including discharge.

Negative transfers for a period are based on the date of admission to the more secure facility, rather than the date that a student's assignment ended. A significant time difference may occur due to intervening temporary assignments. As a result, negative transfer data will not exactly correspond to the population movement data presented in Table V.

#### Main Points:

- ▲ Negative transfers to Giddings decreased in institutions as compared to fiscal year 1993 (from 0.9% to 0.6%). Crockett was the only institution not negatively transferring a youth to Giddings. They also had none in 1993.
- ▲ The rate of negative transfers of Halfway Houses was higher than that of Residential Contract Programs (31% versus 21%).
- ▲ The rate of negative transfers from Halfway Houses decreased from 36% in fiscal year 1993 to 31% in 1994.
- ▲ The negative transfer rate for Halfway Houses ranged from a high of 47% at Schaeffer House to a low of 15% for Willoughby House



**Table 9a - NEGATIVE TRANSFERS**  
**For Period: 9/1/93 - 8/31/94**

**Negative Transfers:**

**FROM INSTITUTIONS  
 OTHER THAN  
 GIDDINGS TO GIDDINGS**

	<b>Rate</b>	
	<b>per Release</b>	<b>Number</b>
<b>INSTITUTIONS</b>	0.6%	11
Brownwood	1.1%	5
Crockett	0.0%	0
Gainesville	0.3%	2
West Texas	0.9%	3
 Corsicana	 1.1%	 1

**Negative Transfers:**

**FROM COMMUNITY  
 PROGRAMS TO ANY  
 INSTITUTION**

	<b>Rate</b>	
	<b>per Release</b>	<b>Number</b>
<b>COMMUNITY</b>	24.8%	428
Halfway Houses	31.2%	194
Res. Contracts	21.3%	234
 HWH Detail:		
Ayers	16.7%	11
Beto	27.4%	26
Cottrell	35.8%	29
Nueces	31.1%	28
Schaeffer	46.9%	38
Turman	38.2%	34
Valley	30.0%	21
Willoughby	14.9%	7

**Table 9b - NEGATIVE TRANSFERS**  
**For Period: 9/1/92 - 8/31/93**

**Negative Transfers:**

**FROM INSTITUTIONS  
OTHER THAN  
GIDDINGS TO GIDDINGS**

	Rate per Release	Number
<b>INSTITUTIONS</b>	0.9%	15
Brownwood	2.7%	12
Crockett	0.0%	0
Gainesville	0.4%	2
West Texas	0.3%	1
Corsicana	0.0%	0

**Negative Transfers:**

**FROM COMMUNITY  
PROGRAMS TO ANY  
INSTITUTION**

	Rate per Release	Number
<b>COMMUNITY</b>	26.7%	401
Halfway Houses	36.1%	216
Res. Contracts	20.4%	185
<b>HWH Detail:</b>		
Ayers	20.8%	10
Beto	39.1%	34
Cottrell	41.0%	32
Nueces	31.6%	30
Schaeffer	41.0%	32
Turman	34.3%	35
Valley	44.3%	27
Willoughby	33.3%	16

## TABLE 10

### Recidivism Within 3 Years (Reincarceration)

#### Definition:

Recidivism is defined as the percentage of youth released to home or discharge other than for recommitment who within three years from the date of release have been recommitted to TYC, had parole revoked, or been admitted to the Texas Department of Corrections. The recidivism rate for each program type or facility is calculated based on youth released three years prior, whose last placement before release was the identified program type or facility.

#### Main Points:

- ▲ The overall three-year reincarceration rate dropped slightly from 47% in 1993 to 46% in 1994.
- ▲ The recidivism rate from Crockett dropped from 61% in 1993 to 52% in 1994.
- ▲ Giddings had by far the lowest recidivism rate of any training school (30%, compared to over 50% for all of the others).
- ▲ The recidivism rate at Corsicana was significantly lower than the rate for contract care intensive residential treatment centers (30% vs. 53%).
- ▲ Willoughby House had the lowest recidivism rate (9%) of any TYC-operated program or any contract care type.

**Table 10a - RECIDIVISM WITHIN 3 YEARS  
(REINCARCERATION)  
For Youths Released: 7/1/90 - 6/30/91**

	% RECIDIVATING	
	Rate	Number in Cohort
<b>TRAINING SCHOOLS:</b>	<b>52.7%</b>	<b>1494</b>
Brownwood	52.7%	328
Crockett	51.8%	224
Gainesville	58.5%	475
Giddings	30.2%	106
West Texas	52.4%	361
<b>CORSICANA RTC</b>	<b>30.3%</b>	<b>33</b>
<b>COMMUNITY</b>	<b>37.2%</b>	<b>991</b>
<b>HALFWAY HOUSES:</b>	<b>36.8%</b>	<b>318</b>
Ayes	30.4%	36
Cottrell	47.6%	42
Beto	48.5%	33
Middleton	42.5%	40
Nueces	38.5%	39
Turman	47.7%	44
Schaeffer	25.0%	36
Valley	33.3%	6
Willoughby	9.4%	32
<b>CONTRACT CARE:</b>	<b>37.4%</b>	<b>673</b>
Day Treatment	45.7%	70
Foster Care	30.8%	26
Group Care	35.4%	161
Independent Living Preparation	40.0%	15
Intensive Supervision	35.5%	110
Marine	39.7%	58
Resid. Treatment Center Intensive	52.5%	40
Resid. Treatment Centers (Non-intensive)	36.7%	49
Non-TYC State Facilities	23.8%	21
Substance Abuse	16.7%	24
Vocational Programs	39.4%	99
<b>RECEPTION CENTER ONLY</b>	<b>50.0%</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>46.2%</b>	<b>2554</b>

**Table 10b - RECIDIVISM WITHIN 3 YEARS  
(REINCARCERATION)  
For Youths Released: 7/1/89 - 6/30/90**

	% RECIDIVATING	
	Rate	Number in Cohort
<b>TRAINING SCHOOLS:</b>	<b>54.2%</b>	<b>1503</b>
Brownwood	56.0%	377
Crockett	60.7%	163
Gainesville	60.7%	466
Giddings	32.5%	157
West Texas	50.3%	340
<b>CORSICANA RTC</b>	<b>37.8%</b>	<b>45</b>
<b>COMMUNITY</b>	<b>37.1%</b>	<b>947</b>
<b>HALFWAY HOUSES:</b>	<b>39.3%</b>	<b>349</b>
Ayres	35.9%	39
Cottrell	41.0%	39
Beto	39.5%	43
Middleton	48.8%	41
Nueces	43.9%	41
Turman	47.5%	40
Schaeffer	51.4%	37
Valley	31.0%	42
Willoughby	3.7%	27
<b>CONTRACT CARE:</b>	<b>35.9%</b>	<b>597</b>
Day Treatment	45.5%	44
Foster Care	27.8%	36
Group Care	30.7%	186
Independent Living Preparation	18.2%	11
Intensive Supervision	52.2%	69
Marine	22.2%	45
Maternity	0.0%	1
Resid. Treatment Center Intensiv	33.3%	30
Resid. Treatment Centers (Non-intensive)	43.4%	53
State Hospital	30.0%	20
Substance Abuse	64.3%	14
Vocational Programs	35.9%	78
Wilderness Camps	22.2%	9
<b>RECEPTION CENTER ONLY</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>47.4%</b>	<b>2497</b>



