

# Statewide Seasonally Adjusted Unemployment Rate Rises Stignings Public Library

The statewide seasonally adjusted unemployment rate edged upward to 5.4% in October, matching the year-ago level. Employment and unemployment both rose, possibly the result of a robust economy drawing job seekers into the labor



force. The rate of unemployment has remained virtually unchanged (between 5.3% and 5.5%) for the past seven months, indicating a stable labor market.

### Seasonally Adjusted Total Nonagricultural Employment Annual Growth Rate Declines

Total Nonagricultural Wage & Salary employment gained 11,000 jobs in October with Services and Trade posting the largest gains. Government, Construction, and Manufacturing posted losses. The annual growth rate for Total Nonagricultural employment fell to 2.5% in October, the lowest rate since January 1997.

Employment in the Service Producing Sector

increased by 12,000, while employment in the Goods Producing Sector fell by 1,000 jobs. Within the Service Producing Sector, Services added 10,500 jobs in October. The majority of this growth occurred in *Business Services* with smaller growth in *Health Services* and *Membership Organizations*. Trade added 8,800 jobs in October, with Retail Trade providing 7,600 of this increase.

In the Goods Producing Sector, only Mining showed growth by adding 200 jobs in October. The biggest loss in the Goods Producing Sector was in Construction, which lost 1,000 jobs. This was caused by weakness in *Special Trade Contractors* and unexpected weather-related delays around the state. Manufacturing fell by 200. Durable Goods dropped by 600 as *Industrial Machinery and Equipment* and *Furniture and Fixtures* were weaker than expected. In contrast, Nondurable Goods increased by 400 as *Food and Kindred Products* employment rose.

The greatest decrease in employment in the State occurred in the Government sector. The loss of 9,500 jobs in October was the largest one-month decline since June 1990. *Local Government* was the primary contributor to this seasonally adjusted decline, as actual October employment was considerably weaker than in the past. Similar patterns occurred in both *Federal* and *State Government*.

## Nonseasonally Adjusted Metropolitan Statistical Area (MSA) Employment

Corpus Christi, Galveston-Texas City, and Tyler were the only MSAs to experience employment declines in October. Although none of the MSAs showed declines in Government sector employment, the gains this year were smaller than in past years.

The five largest MSAs contributed the greatest number of jobs in October. Combined, Austin-San Marcos, Dallas, Fort Worth-Arlington, Houston, and San Antonio were responsible for over 75% of October's job growth. Dallas gained the greatest number of jobs, contributing 34% of the growth.

Services added 11,500 jobs and contributed 32% to overall MSA employment growth. The biggest gain in Services occurred in the Dallas MSA as employment jumped by 6,700 new jobs. Other substantial gains included San Antonio, adding 1,900 jobs, and Houston which added 1,600.



Labor

Market

Review

Texas Une	mployment Rate	<b>Texas Nonagricultural</b>	Wage & Salary Employment
Actual Series	Seasonally Adjusted	Actual Series	Seasonally Adjusted
4.9%	5.4%	8,563,800	8,528,800

## Texas Labor Market Review

## October 1997

### Seasonality in Texas by Robert K. Corona

Ley roads, summer vacations, and the Christmas holidays have more in common than a day off from school for the kids. The thread of commonality that these events share has a profound effect on the Texas economy. These events are annual and predictable. In a time series analysis these effects are referred to as *seasonality*. Although seasonality provides a measure of assurance for the economy, it can conceal underlying trends. If greeting card vendors are moving their inventory faster in February than in January, do they order more stock than usual for March in anticipation of increased sales? Or do they take into account the Valentine's Day holiday? It would be prudent to take the holiday into account.

#### Texas

In Texas, seasonality generally results from holidays, weather, and school vacations. Education employment, including teachers, principals, school support staff, special education instructors (SIC 821), and bus drivers (SIC 415) drops in every county from May through July, then rises again in August. Weather patterns affect many industries annually including Agriculture, Construction, and Transportation, Communications, and Public Utilities (TCPU). Holidays probably display the most pervasive characteristics of seasonality. Some of these include Christmas, Thanksgiving, and Independence Day. Re-



tail employment peaks every year in December and troughs in February, creating an easily identifiable seasonal pattern.

In a time series, holidays often create the highest levels (peaks) on business and macroeconomic charts for such measures as unemployment rates, profit margins, gross national product, retail sales, and household income. They affect virtually all industries and individuals across the demographic spectrum. While holidays, weather patterns, and school vacations are the most well-known causes of seasonality, there is a tremendous amount of variation at the substate level as well.

#### Regionally

Across the State each year popular festivals create a sudden burst of economic activity in local communities, having a decisive multiplier effect on area employment. Some of these include Fiesta Night in Pecos (June)—a celebration of the melon season, the State Fair (October) in Dallas, and Wurstfest (November) in New Braunfels. In Somervell County, employment in the *Theatrical Producers* industry

(SIC 792) rises by several hundred people during the third quarter of each year as they play host to an annual "passion play". Navarro County enjoys an increase in Manufacturing employment of approximately 25% every October, driven mainly by a single industry, *Bread and other Bakery Products* (SIC 2051), as they ready for the holiday season.

In a scenario played out across Texas, Agricultural employment in Hale County rises over 110% (or over one thousand employees) each July. The seasonality in Hale County Agricultural employment is driven mainly by the cotton industry as workers hoe and spray new crops. Spring break is another important seasonal event. Spread out over several weeks, spring break spending by college students generates increased employment in several industries, especially in Retail Trade and Services. Employment increases are especially noted at popular spring break destinations such as Port Aransas, Corpus Christi, and Brownsville.

Because these events occur on a regular and predictable basis each year, we can make adjustments to employment data which factor out these expected short-term fluctuations in employment to get a clearer picture of the underlying health of the economy. These "seasonal adjustments" can be handled in several ways.

#### Time Series Analysis

Seasonal patterns such as those mentioned above may obscure inferences which might be made when analyzing data presented in a time series. Accounting for the effect of seasonality in a time series makes month-to-month data comparable. The Texas unemployment rate provides a vivid illustration of the difference between seasonally adjusted and non-seasonally adjusted (actual) data in a time series. Many labor force time series are written in a multiplicative format rather than as an additive one. Of all the 173 directly adjusted labor force time series produced by the Bureau of Labor Statistics, 125 were adjusted using a multiplicative model. A time series is often represented



by the formula Y=T\*C\*S\*R (multiplicative), or Y=T+C+S+R (additive), where T is the trend component, C the cyclical component, S the seasonal component, and R is the residual or irregular component. By decomposing the formula, seasonality is taken out and meaningful trends are more easily observed.

In the chart above, the seasonally adjusted unemployment rate

Continued on page 3

# October 1997

# Texas Labor Market Review

#### Continued from page 2

smoothes the variability in the actual rate, clearly revealing a downward trend since December 1992.

The seasonal adjustment of State labor force estimates is handled by an autoregressive integrated moving average (ARIMA) model. The model is executed using software administered by the Bureau of Labor Statistics. Seasonal adjustment factors are updated through an ARIMA program twice a year, in January and July, to incorporate new variations. Only statewide labor force estimates are seasonally adjusted—no adjustment is currently made to substate area data such

as metropolitan statistical areas (MSAs), counties, or cities.

#### **Emerging Trends**

A recent concern involves the ability to identify new or emerging cycles of seasonality. Technological advances have had an influence on traditional employment cycles. Historically, employment in Public Finance (931) has peaked in April and then gradually declined until July as a result of IRS staffing increases to handle yearly income tax returns. This past year, however, employment peaked in April as usual, but then fell dramatically, bottoming-out in July. New technology allows for faster, more efficient processing of tax returns. Many are now handled through electronic filing (ELF) systems. This may have led to a steeper drop than usual in IRS seasonal employment. Another area that warrants closer inspection is public education employment. Year-round schools and proposed longer school years will certainly affect seasonal cycles.

Many economic indicators reflect the good health of the Texas economy. Currently, the State is enjoying historically low unemployment rates. Job growth rates are healthy and Texas exports have reached all-time highs. Seasonality plays a large part in the vibrant economic picture of Texas. Seasonal adjustments allow us to see that picture more clearly.

### Texas Nonagricultural Wage and Salary Employment Seasonally Adjusted<sup>+</sup>

	0 1 1007*			Sep. '97 to	Oct. '97	Oct. '96 to Oct. '97		
INDUS TRY TITLE	Oct. 1997*	Sep. 1997	Oct. 1996	Absolute	Percent	Absolute	Percent	
				Change	Change	Change	Change	
TO TAL NONAG. W&S EMPLO YMENT	8,528,800	8,517,800	8,319,000	11,000	0.13	209,800	2.52	
GOODS PRODUCING	1,697,500	1,698,500	1,660,700	-1,000	-0.06	36,800	2.22	
Mining	163,600	163,400	157,000	200	0.12	6,600	4.20	
Construction	460,300	461,300	444,000	-1,000	-0.22	16,300	3.67	
Manufacturing	1,073,600	1,073,800	1,059,700	-200	-0.02	13,900	1.31	
Durable Goods	630,300	630,900	620,000	-600	-0.10	10,300	1.66	
Nondurable Goods	443,300	442,900	439,700	400	0.09	3,600	0.82	
SERVICE PRODUCING	6,831,300	6,819,300	6,658,300	12,000	0.18	173,000	2.60	
Transportation, Comm., Utilities	503,400	502,000	489,900	1,400	0.28	13,500	2.76	
Trade	2,052,500	2,043,700	2,005,700	8,800	0.43	46,800	2.33	
Wholesale Trade	491,400	490,200	480,600	1,200	0.24	10,800	2.25	
Retail Trade	1,561,100	1,553,500	1,525,100	7,600	0.49	36,000	2.36	
Finance, Insurance, & Real Estate	456,600	455,800	447,500	800	0.18	9,100	2.03	
Services	2,343,600	2,333,100	2,255,300	10,500	0.45	88,300	3.92	
Government	1,475,200	1,484,700	1,459,900	-9,500	-0.64	15,300	1.05	

Note: The number of nonagricultural jobs in Texas is without reference to place of residence of workers.

\*Estimates for the current month are preliminary. All estimates are subject to revision.

<sup>+</sup>All elements of seasonality are factored out to achieve an estimate which reflects the basic underlying trend.

### TEXAS AND U.S. CIVILIAN LABOR FORCE ESTIMATES

	TEXAS*	UNITED STATES **					
CLF	Employment	Unemp.	Rate	CLF	Employment	Unemp.	Rate
10,007,700	9,516,400	491,300	4.9	136,666,000	130,671,000	5,995,000	4.4
9,957,100	9,444,300	512,800	5.2	136,375,000	129,972,000	6,403,000	4.7
9,800,300	9,314,000	486,300	5.0	135,016,000	128,439,000	6,577,000	4.9
CLF	Employment	Unemp.	Rate	CLF	Employment	Unemp.	Rate
9,996,000	9,459,600	536,400	5.4	136,361,000	129,894,000	6,467,000	4.7
9,969,700	9,444,300	525,400	5.3	136,467,000	129,715,000	6,752,000	4.9
9,785,800	9,260,100	525,700	5.4	134,636,000	127,617,000	7,019,000	5.2
000-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-	CLF 10,007,700 9,957,100 9,800,300 CLF 9,996,000 9,969,700 9,785,800	TEXAS *   CLF Employment   10,007,700 9,516,400   9,957,100 9,444,300   9,800,300 9,314,000   CLF Employment   9,996,000 9,459,600   9,969,700 9,444,300   9,785,800 9,260,100	TEXAS *   CLF Employment Unemp.   10,007,700 9,516,400 491,300   9,957,100 9,444,300 512,800   9,800,300 9,314,000 486,300   CLF Employment Unemp.   9,996,000 9,459,600 536,400   9,969,700 9,444,300 525,400   9,785,800 9,260,100 525,700	TEXAS *   CLF Employment Unemp. Rate   10,007,700 9,516,400 491,300 4.9   9,957,100 9,444,300 512,800 5.2   9,800,300 9,314,000 486,300 5.0   CLF Employment Unemp. Rate   9,996,000 9,459,600 536,400 5.4   9,969,700 9,444,300 525,400 5.3   9,785,800 9,260,100 525,700 5.4	TEXAS *   CLF Employment Unemp. Rate CLF   10,007,700 9,516,400 491,300 4.9 136,666,000   9,957,100 9,444,300 512,800 5.2 136,375,000   9,800,300 9,314,000 486,300 5.0 135,016,000   CLF Employment Unemp. Rate CLF   9,996,000 9,459,600 536,400 5.4 136,361,000   9,969,700 9,444,300 525,400 5.3 136,467,000   9,785,800 9,260,100 525,700 5.4 134,636,000	TEXAS* UNITED STAT   CLF Employment Unemp. Rate CLF Employment   10,007,700 9,516,400 491,300 4.9 136,666,000 130,671,000   9,957,100 9,444,300 512,800 5.2 136,375,000 129,972,000   9,800,300 9,314,000 486,300 5.0 135,016,000 128,439,000   CLF Employment Unemp. Rate CLF Employment   9,996,000 9,459,600 536,400 5.4 136,361,000 129,894,000   9,969,700 9,444,300 525,400 5.3 136,467,000 129,715,000   9,785,800 9,260,100 525,700 5.4 134,636,000 127,617,000	TEXAS* UNITED STATES**   CLF Employment Unemp. Rate CLF Employment Unemp.   10,007,700 9,516,400 491,300 4.9 136,666,000 130,671,000 5,995,000   9,957,100 9,444,300 512,800 5.2 136,375,000 129,972,000 6,403,000   9,800,300 9,314,000 486,300 5.0 135,016,000 128,439,000 6,577,000   CLF Employment Unemp. Rate CLF Employment Unemp.   9,996,000 9,459,600 536,400 5.4 136,361,000 129,894,000 6,467,000   9,969,700 9,444,300 525,400 5.3 136,467,000 129,715,000 6,752,000   9,785,800 9,260,100 525,700 5.4 134,636,000 127,617,000 7,019,000

Note: Only the actual series estimates for Texas and the U.S. are comparable to sub-state estimates. Current month estimates for Texas are preliminary. All estimates are subject to revision. In seasonally adjusted estimates all elements of seasonality are factored out to achieve an estimate which reflects the basic underlying trend. \*Source - Labor Market Information Department, Texas Workforce Commission (model-based methodology) \*\*Source - Bureau of Labor Statistics, U.S. Department of Labor (Current Population Survey)

Labor Market Information Department

#### **Highlights of Local Area Unemployment Statistics**

The Texas actual series unemployment rate fell three tenths of a percentage point to 4.9% from September to October. As the fourth consecutive monthly decline in the rate, the decrease reflects normal seasonal patterns which are due in part to expansion in some labor markets in preparation for the Christmas season. The statewide October jobless rate has not been as low as 4.9% since 1981. The number of insured unemployed Texans without earnings declined for the third month in a row from 87,299 in September to 86,752 in October. The October figure fell 2,482 from last years' claimant count of 89,234.

- Of the nine major industry divisions statewide, seven have decreased in claims for benefits over the month and two have increased. The Manufacturing industry experienced the most weekly claims for benefits filed by employees, showing an increase of 4.8%, followed by Finance, Insurance, and Real Estate (FIRE). The industry having the largest decrease from September was Agriculture, falling by 11.6% over the month, followed by Mining; Services; Construction; Transportation, Communications, and Public Utilities (TCPU); Government; and Trade. For a third month in a row, claims for the Mining, Construction, TCPU, Trade, and Services are at their lowest points since the beginning of the year.
- Most of Texas' 27 Metropolitan Statistical Areas followed the statewide trend. Since last year, 17 MSAs' unemployment rates either decreased or remained unchanged while 10 MSAs saw an unemployment rate increase. Of the 254 counties in Texas, 120 had unemployment rates that were the same or lower than the previous year while 134 had rates that increased.
- The Bryan-College Station MSA had the State's lowest unemployment rate at 1.8% while the McAllen-Edinburg-Mission MSA had the highest rate at 16.1%. Borden County had the lowest county rate at 0.7% and Presidio County had the highest rate at 27.7%.

#### Texas Metropolitan Statistical Areas Ranked by Unemployment Rate October 1997

1	Reven-College Station	1.8
2	Austin San Margas	2.0
2	San Angolo	2.1
3	San Angelo	3.1
4 (ne)	Amarillo	3.3
	Fort Worth-Arlington	3.3
6 (tie)	Abilene	3.4
	Lubbock	3.4
8	Dallas	3.5
9	San Antonio	3.8
10	Sherman-Denison	3.9
11	Wichita Falls	4.0
12	Victoria	4.2
13	Waco	4.3
14	Odessa-Midland	4.4
15	Houston	4.5
16	Killeen-Temple	4.8
17 (tie)	Brazoria	6.4
	Texarkana	6.4
19	Corpus Christi	6.6
20	Longview-Marshall	7.0
21	Galveston-Texas City	7.2
22	Beaumont-Port Arthur	7.6
23	Tyler	8.8
24	Laredo	8.9
25	El Paso	11.1
26	Brownsville-Harlingen	11.6
27	McAllen-Edinburg-Miss.	16.1

# Civilian Labor Force Estimates for Texas Metropolitan Statistical Areas

				(1)	n Inousan	us)						
		October	1997*			September	1997**			October	r 1996	
	C.L.F.	Emp.	Unemp.	Rate	C.L.F.	Emp.	Unemp.	Rate	C.L.F.	Emp.	Unemp.	Rate
State of Texas	10,007.7	9,516.4	491.3	4.9	9,957.1	9,444.3	512.8	5.2	9,800.3	9,314.0	486.3	5.0
Abilene	61.1	59.0	2.1	3.4	60.7	58.5	2.2	3.7	60.6	58.2	2.4	4.0
Amarillo	115.8	112.0	3.8	3.3	115.2	111.0	4.2	3.7	113.0	108.9	4.1	3.6
Austin-San Marcos	648.0	629.1	18.9	2.9	644.4	624.4	20.0	3.1	642.9	623.8	19.1	3.0
Beaumont-Port Arthur	181.0	167.3	13.7	7.6	180.3	166.3	14.0	7.7	180.3	165.8	14.5	8.0
Brazoria	107.9	101.0	6.9	6.4	107.6	100.1	7.5	7.0	106.9	100.6	6.3	5.9
Brownsville-Harlingen	128.0	113.1	14.9	11.6	127.0	112.3	14.7	11.6	123.6	110.1	13.5	10.9
Bryan-College Station	72.5	71.2	1.3	1.8	71.5	70.1	1.4	2.0	71.8	70.3	1.5	2.0
Corpus Christi	180.8	168.8	12.0	6.6	182.5	169.4	13.1	7.2	181.6	167.1	14.5	8.0
Dallas	1,840.6	1,776.4	64.2	3.5	1,828.1	1,759.9	68.2	3.7	1,780.8	1,717.2	63.6	3.6
El Paso	295.0	262.2	32.8	11.1	292.8	260.2	32.6	11.1	290.9	259.7	31.2	10.7
Fort Worth-Arlington	868.4	840.0	28.4	3.3	865.5	834.9	30.6	3.5	845.5	816.9	28.6	3.4
Galveston-Texas City	128.2	118.9	9.3	7.2	128.4	118.6	9.8	7.6	125.4	116.2	9.2	7.4
Houston	2,065.7	1,972.0	93.7	4.5	2,060.9	1,961.0	99.9	4.8	2,020.0	1,925.8	94.2	4.7
Killeen-Temple	116.7	111.0	5.7	4.8	116.0	110.1	5.9	5.1	112.9	108.0	4.9	4.4
Laredo	70.9	64.6	6.3	8.9	70.6	63.9	6.7	9.5	68.8	61.4	7.4	10.8
Longview-Marshall	103.1	95.9	7.2	7.0	102.6	95.2	7.4	7.2	103.7	96.6	7.1	6.9
Lubbock	126.4	122.1	4.3	3.4	124.1	119.7	4.4	3.6	123.1	119.3	3.8	3.1
McAllen-Edinburg-Mission	191.3	160.4	30.9	16.1	188.9	156.7	32.2	17.0	183.9	153.7	30.2	16.4
Odessa-Midland	121.6	116.2	5.4	4.4	120,6	114.8	5.8	4.8	120.8	114.9	5.9	4.9
San Angelo	51.0	49.4	1.6	3.1	50.5	48.8	1.7	3.4	51.4	49.9	1.5	2.9
San Antonio	751.9	723.1	28.8	3.8	746.9	716.3	30.6	4.1	734.0	706.0	28.0	3.8
Sherman-Denison	49.9	48.0	1.9	3.9	49.5	47.3	2.2	4.4	50.0	48.0	2.0	4.0
Texarkana	56.6	53.0	3.6	6.4	56.7	52.7	4.0	7.0	57.7	53.7	4.0	6.9
Tyler	90.4	82.4	8.0	8.8	88.8	83.4	5.4	6.1	87.7	82.5	5.2	5.9
Victoria	43.0	41.2	1.8	4.2	42.6	40.7	1.9	4.5	42.1	40.2	1.9	4.4
Waco	103.2	98.8	4.4	4.3	102.7	97.9	4.8	4.6	101.2	97.3	3.9	3.9
Wichita Falls	65.7	63.1	2.6	4.0	65.4	62.7	2.7	4.2	66.1	63.5	2.6	3.9

\*Estimates for the current month are preliminary. All estimates are subject to revision. Estimates reflect actual (not seasonally adjusted) data. Civilian Labor Force (C.L.F.) includes wage and salary workers, self-employed, unpaid family, domestics in private households, agricultural workers, workers involved in labor disputes and the unemployed, all by place of residence. Percent Unemployed is based upon unrounded Labor Force, Employment and Unemployment numbers. A discrepancy can occur when rounded numbers are used to calculate the Unemployment Rate. Estimates of TWC are in cooperation with the Bureau of Labor Statistics, U.S. Department of Labor.

## Texas Labor Market Review

Employment and Unemployment Estimates for Texas Counties - October 1997

		~P										-			
County	Emp.	Unemp.	Rate	County	Emp.	Unemp.	Rate	County	Emp.	Unemp.	Rate	County	Emp.	Unemp.	Rate
Anderson	19,377	1,103	5.4	Donley	1,708	52	3.0	Kaufman	30,152	1,624	5.1	Real	1,412	52	3.6
Andrews	5,028	290	5.5	Duval	4,150	364	8.1	Kendall	13,418	321	2.3	Red River	6,103	365	5.6
Angelina	34,046	1,808	5.0	Eastland	8,788	350	3.8	Kenedy	228	7	3.0	Reeves	6,149	461	7.0
Aransas	9,261	588	6.0	Ector	57,526	3,146	5.2	Kent	471	16	3.3	Refugio	2,856	155	5.1
Archer	4,103	99	2.4	Edwards	875	44	4.8	Kerr	17,269	363	2.1	Roberts	517	11	2.1
Armstrong	971	34	3.4	El Paso	262,155	32,797	11.1	Kimble	2,320	80	3.3	Robertson	6,350	347	5.2
Atascosa	15,832	728	4.4	Ellis	50,335	1,916	3.7	King	92	8	8.0	Rockwall	19,554	500	2.5
Austin	11,506	456	3.8	Erath	16,308	378	2.3	Kinney	1,080	65	5.7	Runnels	5,392	166	3.0
Bailey	3,482	181	4.9	Falls	7,828	347	4.2	Kleberg	12,638	791	5.9	Rusk	19,563	1,279	6.1
Bandera	6,304	233	3.6	Fannin	12,442	505	3.9	Knox	2,324	101	4.2	Sabine	3,995	303	7.0
Bastrop	24,169	873	3.5	Fayette	10,369	269	2.5	La Salle	2,537	184	6.8	San Augustine	3,369	246	6.8
Baylor	1,682	98	5.5	Fisher	1,818	60	3.2	Lamar	21,217	1,067	4.8	San Jacinto	7,679	350	4.4
Bee	10,139	559	5.2	Floyd	3,360	225	6.3	Lamb	6,776	347	4.9	San Patricio	27,250	2,034	6.9
Bell	90,504	4,602	4.8	Foard	1,070	49	4.4	Lampasas	7,440	363	4.7	San Saba	2,255	153	6.4
Bexar	637,678	26,236	4.0	Fort Bend	156,384	5,192	3.2	Lavaca	9,323	194	2.0	Schleicher	1,514	44	2.8
Blanco	3.720	88	2:3	Franklin	4,140	181	4.2	Lee	6,661	287	4.1	Scurry	7,688	262	3.3
Borden	416	3	0.7	Freestone	7,307	360	4.7	Leon	5,384	381	6.6	Shackelford	1,384	57	4.0
Bosque	6.285	264	4.0	Frio	5,420	464	7.9	Liberty	24,891	1,855	6.9	Shelby	9,169	692	7.0
Bowie	36.894	3.028	7.6	Gaines	6.860	267	3.7	Limestone	9.301	528	5.4	Sherman	1,415	36	2.5
Brazoria	101.021	6.936	6.4	Galveston	118,915	9.259	7.2	Linscomb	1,728	28	1.6	Smith	82,370	7,969	8.8
Brazos	71,245	1.298	1.8	Garza	2.097	153	6.8	Live Oak	4,150	194	4.5	Somervell	2,016	150	6.9
Brawstor	5 047	111	2.2	Gillesnie	9.815	161	1.6	Llane	4.695	181	3.7	Starr	16.556	5.276	24.2
Briscon	881	28	3.1	Glasscock	682	13	19	Loving	73	5	6.4	Stephens	4.138	150	3.5
Brooks	7 919	300	9.6	Coliad	2 5.15	114	43	Lubback	122 083	4 268	34	Sterling	563	16	2.8
DIOURS	16 455	017	5.3	Conzolos	7 370	203	3.8	Lynn	3 277	114	34	Stonewall	779	18	23
Brown	10,455	305	3.5	Gonzales	10.404	411	2.0	Madison	4 200	166	3.9	Sutton	2 202	88	3.8
Burleson	17,423	495	3.0	Gray	10,404	411	3.0	Manion	4,200	268	0.7	Swisher	3 703	145	3.8
Burnet	13,990	495	3.4	Grayson	48,024	1,945	3.9	Marion	1 796	303	12	Torrent	733 707	24 621	3.0
Caldwell	14,425	60Z	4.0	Gregg	9 439	4,11/	1.9	Marun	1,/00	40	4.3	Taylor	58 981	24,021	3.5
Calhoun	9,048	5/0	3.9	Grimes	20,430	1 1 4 4	7.5	Matagarda	14 040	1 025	12.1	Torratt	551	36	61
Callanan	0,411	14 995	3.5	Guadatupe	17 224	1,140	£.3 5 3	Managorda	12 154	2 753	22.1	Torry	5 804	311	5.0
Cameron	5 102	14,885	11.0	Hale	1 707	901	5.5 E 9	Ma Cullach	10,154	3,755	4 5	Throckmorton	5,074	31	3.0
Camp	5,102	340	0.2		1,707	111	3.0	Mc Lonnon	4,052	194	4.5	Titue	12 300	775	5.9
Carson	3,/11	142	3.7	Hamilton	3,842	111	2.0	Me Maillan	30,703	4,403	1.5	Tom Croon	40 409	1 599	3.1
Cass	15,029	1,064	0.0	Hanstord	2,332	02	4.4	Medine	16 419	0 ((0	2.7	Travis	43,400	13 537	3.1
Castro	3,997	161	3.9	Hardeman	2,017	98	4.0	Medina	10,418	000	3.9	T ravis	425,500	13,332	3.1
Chambers	10,715	547	4.9	Hardin	20,661	1,430	0.0	Midland	1,114	2 257	2.7	Trular	4,010	£13 601	9.7
Cherokee	20,454	1,023	4.8	Harris	1,050,976	80,874	4./	Wildland	58,707	2,257	5./	I yier	15 415	1 011	6.7
Childress	3,154	127	3.9	Harrison	25,792	2,103	1.5	Millam	9,230	523	3.4	Upsnur	13,413	1,011	3.7
Clay	5,585	176	3.1	Hartley	2,507	42	1.0	Millis	2,387	159	2.5	Upton	10155	1 220	10.9
Cochran	1,683	62	3.6	Haskell	2,810	104	3.6	Mitchell	2,904	158	3.2	Uvalde	10,155	1,230	10.0
Coke	1,612	52	3.1	Hays	44,749	1,474	3.2	Montague	7,520	308	3.9	Val Verde	10,77(	1,/40	9.9
Coleman	3,447	370	9.7	Hemphill	1,872	/0	3.0	Montgomery	y 11/,111	4,033	3.8	van Zanot	19,770	1 707	4.3
Collin	221,119	5,389	2.4	Henderson	28,327	1,504	5.0	Moore	8,941	293	3.2	Victoria	41,257	1,/8/	4.2
Collingsworth	1,838	65	3.4	Hidalgo	160,383	30,873	16.1	Morris	5,957	509	1.9	w alker	22,993	495	4.1
Colorado	7,704	342	4.3	Hill	15,689	622	3.8	Motley	625	13	2.0	waller	11,917	5/4	4.0
Comal	33,666	1,051	3.0	Hockley	10,373	471	4.3	Nacogdoche	s 26,286	1,520	5.5	Ward	4,074	262	0.0
Comanche	6,697	272	3.9	Hood	15,153	702	4.4	Navarro	22,002	1,020	4.4	Washington	15,238	396	2.5
Concho	1,389	39	2.7	Hopkins	16,168	715	4.2	Newton	5,328	694	11.5	Webb	64,018	0,314	0.9
Cooke	15,365	616	3.9	Houston	7,864	298	3.7	Nolan	7,351	438	5.6	Wharton	18,193	1,057	5.5
Coryell	20,539	1,049	4.9	Howard	13,754	476	3.3	Nueces	141,599	9,942	6.6	wheeler	2,862	07	2.5
Cottle	761	44	5.5	Hudspeth	1,621	39	2.3	Ochiltree	4,635	117	2.5	Wichita	59,042	2,522	4.1
Crane	2,302	83	3.5	Hunt	34,695	1,599	4.4	Oldham	1,139	41	3.5	Wilbarger	6,788	238	3.4
Crockett	1,997	67	3.2	Hutchinson	9,195	508	5.2	Orange	37,546	3,957	9.5	Willacy	6,209	1,347	17.8
Crosby	2,931	179	5.8	Irion	795	21	2.6	Palo Pinto	11,827	730	5.8	Williamson	115,772	2,405	2.0
Culberson	1,233	158	11.4	Jack	3,318	111	3.2	Panola	7,387	666	8.3	Wilson	13,346	414	3.0
Dallam	4,001	137	3.3	Jackson	9,727	285	2.8	Parker	37,785	1,010	2.6	Winkler	2,678	186	6.5
Dallas	1,175,819	46,879	3.8	Jasper	13,401	1,800	11.8	Parmer	4,223	124	2.9	Wise	21,534	660	3.0
Dawson	6,236	293	4.5	Jeff Davis	1,258	21	1.6	Pecos	6,771	412	5.7	Wood	13,643	831	5.7
De Witt	7,645	360	4.5	Jefferson	109,067	8,266	7.0	Polk	15,092	891	5.6	Yoakum	3,627	161	4.3
Deaf Smith	8,113	479	5.6	Jim Hogg	1,906	192	9.2	Potter	53,260	2,915	5.2	Young	7,674	458	5.6
Delta	2,865	132	4.4	Jim Wells	15,402	1,094	6.6	Presidio	2,230	855	27.7	Zapata	4,620	399	7.9
Denton	216,431	4,773	2.2	Johnson	54,780	2,043	3.6	Rains	3,827	166	4.2	Zavala	3,444	917	21.0
Dickens	933	39	4.0	Jones	9,850	251	2.5	Randall	58,713	900	1.5				
Dimmit	3,203	536	14.3	Karnes	5,810	225	3.7	Reagan	1,959	70	3.4			Sec. 1	

Estimates reflect actual (not seasonally adjusted) data. Estimates are preliminary and subject to revision. To obtain the civilian labor force, add total employment to total unemployment. Estimates of TWC are in cooperation with the Bureau of Labor Statistics, U.S. Department of Labor.

## Texas Nonagricultural Wage and Salary Employment

				Sep. '97 to	o Oct. '97	Oct. '96 t	o O ct. '97
	Oct. '97*	Sep. '97	Oct. '96	Change 6	% Change	Change	% Change
TO TAL NO NAG. W & S EMPLO YMENT	8,563,800	8,527,600	8,353,200	36,200	0.4	210,600	2.5
GOODS PRODUCING	1,706,900	1,704,600	1.669.500	2.300	0.1	37.400	2.2
Mining	164 600	163 900	157 900	700	0.1	6 700	4.2
Oil & Gas Extraction	156,500	155,800	149,700	700	0.4	6,800	4.5
Construction	466,300	465,000	449,500	1,300	0.3	16,800	3.7
Manufacturing Durable Goods	1,076,000	1,075,700	1,062,100	300	0.0	13,900	1.3
Lumber & Wood Products	44,700	44,800	43,800	-100	-0.2	900	2.1
Lumber Camps, Sawmills, Planing Mil	7,900	7,800	7,500	100	1.3	400	5.3
Furniture & Fixtures Stone, Clay, & Glass Products	18,200	18,300	18,400	-100	-0.5	-200	-1.1
Concrete, Gypsum, & Plaster Product	19,100	19,100	18,400	0	0.0	700	3.8
Primary Metal Industries	30,500	30,500	29,700	0	0.0	800	2.7
Fabricated Metal maustries Fabricated Structural Metal Products	49,200	49,300	95,400 48,400	-100	0.0	2,500	2.6
Industrial Machinery & Equipment	139,300	140,300	135,900	-1,000	-0.7	3,400	2.5
Oil & Gas Field Machinery	28,900	28,600	26,600	300	1.0	2,300	8.6
Transportation Equipment	78,600	78,700	76.300	-100	-0.1	200	0.2
Aircraft & Parts	45,200	45,200	43,400	0	0.0	1,800	4.1
Instruments & Related Products Miscellaneous Manufacturing	41,400	41,300	42,400	100	0.2	-1,000	-2.4
Nondurable Goods	445,100	444,200	441,400	900	0.2	3.700	0.8
Food & Kindred Products	103,500	102,300	102,000	1,200	1.2	1,500	1.5
Meat Products Dairy Products	33,900	33,700	33,100	200	0.6	800	2.4
Bakery Products	10,300	10,000	10,400	300	3.0	-100	-1.0
Malt Beverages	3,000	3,000	2,900	0	0.0	100	3.4
Textile Mill Products Annarel & Other Finished Textile Produc	3,900	3,900	3,600	0	0.0	300	8.3
Paper & Allied Products	29,700	29,900	29,700	-200	-0.5	-1,100	-1.8
Printing & Publishing	76,400	76,200	74,200	200	0.3	2,200	3.0
Newspapers, Periodicals, Books, & M Chemicals & Allied Products	34,800	34,800	33,600	-200	0.0	1,200	3.6
Petroleum & Coal Products	26,900	27,000	27,400	-100	-0.4	-500	-0.5
Petroleum Refining	23,500	23,600	24,200	-100	-0.4	-700	-2.9
Leather & Leather Products	54,300	54,000	52,500	300	0.6	1,800	3.4
SERVICE PRODUCING	6.856.900	6.823.000	6.683.700	33,900	0.5	173,200	2.6
Trans portation, Communications, Utilities	504 400	502 500	498 700	1 900	0.4	13 700	2.0
Transportation	314,200	312,700	306,700	1,500	0.5	7,500	2.4
Railroad Transportation	16,800	16,800	16,700	0	0.0	100	0.6
Communications	121.900	121.300	112,400	200	0.2	3,100	3.1
Electric, Gas, & Sanitary Services	68,300	68,500	71,600	-200	-0.3	-3,300	-4.6
Electric Services Gas Production & Distribution	30,000	30,100	31,800	-100	-0.3	-1,800	-5.7
Trade	2,055,100	2,045,800	2,007,900	9.300	-0.8	47.200	-2.9
Wholes ale Trade	490,900	489,200	480,200	1,700	0.3	10,700	2.2
Retail Trade Ruilding Materials & Gardening Supplies	1,564,200	1,556,600	1,527,700	7,600	0.5	36,500	2.4
General Merchandise Stores	213,200	207,900	208,900	5,300	2.5	4,300	2.1
Food Stores	254,200	254,900	253,700	-700	-0.3	500	0.2
Automotive Dealers & Service Stations Apparel & Accessory Stores	163,000	163,300	159,600	-300	-0.2	3,400	2.1
Home Furniture, Furnishings, & Equipme	69,800	68,900	67,400	900	1.3	2,400	3.6
Eating & Drinking Places	567,900	571,200	546,300	-3,300	-0.6	21,600	4.0
Finance, Insurance, & Real Estate	456,600	456.300	447.500	4,300	2.6	5,200	3.2
Depository Institutions including Banks	119,300	119,100	115,500	200	0.2	3,800	3.3
Insurance Carriers, Agents, Brokers, & Ser Other Finance Insurance & Real Estate	149,700	149,400	148,600	300	0.2	1,100	0.7
Services	2,350,600	2,340,100	2,262,800	10,500	0.4	87,800	3.9
Hotel & Other Lodging Places	86,200	86,500	86,100	-300	-0.3	100	0.1
Personal Services Business Services	82,800 576,000	83,000	84,100	-200	-0.2	-1,300	-1.5
Auto Repair Services	82,100	82,400	78,800	-300	-0.4	3,300	4.2
Miscellaneous Repair Services	31,100	30,900	29,600	200	0.6	1,500	5.1
Health Services	666.900	665.000	106,200	-3,900	-3.5	1,700	1.6
Legal Services	64,600	64,300	63,900	300	0.5	700	1.1
Educational Services	100,300	97,700	99,300	2,600	2.7	1,000	1.0
Membership Organizations	139,200	158,100	153,600	1,100	0.7	5,600	3.6
Engineering & Management Services	209,800	208,300	198,500	1,500	0.7	11,300	5.7
Agricultural Services Government	45,800	47,100	43,800	-1,300	-2.8	2,000	4.6
Federal	183,800	184,400	184,600	-600	-0.3	-800	-0.4
State	317,400	314,100	318,700	3,300	1.1	-1,300	-0.4
LOCAI	989,000	979,800	971,500	9,200	0.9	17,500	1,8

\*Estimates for the current month are preliminary. All estimates are subject to revision. The number of nonagricultural jobs in Texas is without reference to place of residence of workers. Estimates of TWC are in cooperation with the Bureau of Labor Statistics, U.S. Department of Labor.

Texas Metropolitan Statistical Areas Nonagricultural Wage and Salary Employment (In Thousands)

						(	LICER		DCOS	DMT	DT 107			DAZODI	
		ABILENE	0 . 10 (	0 . 1071	AMARILLO	)	AUSTIN	SAN MA	ARCOS	BM1.	-P1. ARI	Oct 106	0 at 107*	SALUKI	A
INDUSTRY (	$\int ct. 97^*$	Sep. '97	Oct. '96	Oct. '9/*	Sep. '97	0ct. 96	554 4	550 Q	544 9	154 4	153 8	152 0	74.5	74.0	73.8
Mining	1.4	1.4	1.4	0.6	0.6	0.6	1.2	1.2	1.2	0.7	0.7	0.7	1.4	1.4	1.4
Construction	2.3	2.3	2.2	4.8	4.8	4.4	27.6	27.6	27.9	13.5	13.4	12.8	8.3	8.2	9.4
Manufacturing-Dur.	1.6	1.6	1.6	3.6	3.6	3.3	61.6	61.3	61.0	8.7	8.8	8.3	5.5	5.5	5.2
Manufacturing-Nondu	1.7	1.7	1.6	6.2	6.1	6.1	11.8	11.7	11.9	15.9	16.0	16.2	11.2	11.2	11.5
Trans., Comm. & Util.	2.5	2.5	2.7	5.2	5.2	5.6	18.1	18.1	17.9	7.9	8.0	7.9	2.9	2.9	2.8
Who les ale Trade	2.6	2.6	2.6	5.8	5.8	5.8	21.4	21.5	20.8	5.2	5.2	5.2	12.4	12.2	11 0
Retail Trade	11.8	11.9	11.7	4.9	21.0	20.2	79.1	79.6	78 9	50.8	5 0	4 8	12.4	1.8	1.8
Fin., ms., & Real Est.	19.2	191	18.6	26.3	26.4	25.3	156.6	155.8	152.6	39.9	39.6	39.5	14.3	14.3	13.7
Federal Government	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.9	1.9	1.9	9.5	9.6	9.6	2.7	2.6	2.2	0.4	0.4	0.4
State Government	2.3	2.3	2.2	4.8	4.7	4.6	63.9	62.6	63.9	6.4	6.2	6.2	3.0	3.0	3.0
Local Government	6.3	6.3	6.3	10.7	10.4	10.4	54.0	53.5	53.1	17.7	17.5	17.8	11.1	10.8	10.6
	BROW	NS VILLE-	HARL.	BRY	AN-COLL.	STA.	COR	PUS CHE	RISTI	0 107+	DALLAS	0.4.107	0. + 107+	ELPASO	Oat 106
INDUSTRY	Oct. '97*	Sep. '97	Oct. '96	Oct. '97*	Sep. '97	Oct. '96	Oct. '9/*	Sep. '97	153 1	1750 7	Sep. 97	1686 3	241 6	240 K	238 6
Misian	98.1	97.9	94.0	08.7	07.0	07.0	2.5	137.0	2.4	1733.7	12.2	11.5	**	**	**
Construction	3.4	3.4	30	3.0	3.1	2.7	13.3	13.9	13.1	83.1	82.4	74.8	11.6	11.5	11.1
Manufacturing-Dur.	5.0	5.0	4.6	2.4	2.4	2.3	4.7	4.7	4.4	165.2	165.3	162.7	13.2	13.4	14.3
Manufacturing-Nondu	7.3	7.6	8.0	1.4	1.4	1.3	8.9	8.9	9.1	77.4	77.2	75.8	30.5	30.3	30.9
Trans., Comm. & Util.	4.2	4.2	4.2	1.4	1.4	1.3	6.3	6.4	6.3	123.6	122.9	113.4	12.7	12.7	13.0
Who les ale Trade	4.2	4.1	4.1	1.6	1.6	1.5	5.7	5.7	5.7	135.9	135.3	132.8	13.2	13.1	13.0
Retail Trade	20.3	20.2	19.4	13.6	13.5	13.3	29.9	30.0	29.5	301.3	299.4	287.5	43.7	43.8	43.8
Fin., Ins., & Real Est.	3.7	3.7	3.6	2.4	2,4	2.4	0.4	0.5	0.5	531.0	5743	503.0	55.0	55.2	52.0
Services Redeval Concernment	20.5	20.3	25.4	14.2	14.5	13.0	5 5	5 6	43.1	28.4	28.6	28.9	8.5	8.4	8.4
State Covernment	3.7	3.6	3.7	20.5	19.7	20.5	4.4	4.4	4.2	27.1	26.7	27.2	7.8	7.6	8.0
Local Government	18.2	18.2	17.1	6.5	6.3	6.3	21.3	21.0	21.0	140.7	139.4	137.0	36.7	35.8	35.5
	FT.	WORTH-	ARL.	GALV	ESTON-T	K. CITY	]	HOUSTON	N	KILL	EEN-TEN	1P LE	1	LAREDO	
INDUSTRY	Oct. '97*	Sep. '97	Oct. '96	Oct. '97*	Sep. '97	Oct. '96	Oct. '97*	Sep. '97	Oct. '96	Oct. '97*	Sep. '97	Oct. '96	Oct. '97*	Sep. '97	Oct. '96
TOTAL	712.7	710.6	686.9	89.3	89.6	86.3	1878.9	1873.3	1822.0	98.1	97.6	94.7	60.7	60.4 2.4	57.0
Mining	4.5	4.5	4.6	0.8	0.8	0.8	135.6	07.8	170 8	4 4	4 4	3.8	21	21	2.1
Construction	35.8	33.9	33,4	4./	4.0	4.4	123.0	122.4	116.6	4.1	4.1	4.9	0.7	0.7	0.6
Manufacturing-Dur.	363	36.3	35.7	6.2	6.3	6.3	81.8	82.1	82.9	5.0	5.0	5.0	0.9	0.9	0.8
Trans., Comm. & Util.	66.0	65.7	62.2	4.4	4.4	4.0	129.5	130.0	125.8	3.5	3.5	3.4	10.0	9.9	8.8
Who les ale Trade	39.3	39.3	38.3	1.8	1.9	1.7	119.6	119.4	118.2	3.8	3.9	3.7	3.1	3.1	2.9
Retail Trade	142.6	140.9	135.5	17.1	17.2	16.4	313.8	312.1	306.2	19.2	19.1	18.9	13.3	13.3	12.6
Fin., Ins., & Real Est.	32.7	32.7	30.8	5.5	5.5	5.4	98,1	98.4	95.8	4,1	4.1	3.9	2,2	2.2	2.1
Services	188.4	189.2	182.7	18.0	18.2	1/.3	251	250.4	224.5	8 2	8 1	87	1.5	1.5	1.4
Federal Government	9.61	13.0	9 1	153	15.2	14.8	42.9	43.2	44.4	3.7	3.7	3.3	1.5	1.5	1.5
Local Government	70.8	69.8	69.1	12.6	12.6	12.5	183.4	180.3	177.7	15.2	14.8	15.0	11.4	11.3	11.3
Lo cal do tellatent	LONG	/IEW-MAH	RSHALL		LUBBOC	K	MCAL	LEN-EDI	NMIS.	ODE	SSA-MID	LAND	S	AN ANGE	LO
INDUSTRY	Oct. '97*	Sep. '97	Oct. '96	Oct. '97'	* Sep. '97	Oct. '96	Oct. '97*	Sep. '97	Oct. '96	Oct. '97*	Sep. '97	Oct. '96	Oct. '97'	* Sep. '97	Oct. '96
TOTAL	86.3	86.1	86,1	115.1	113.3	111.1	135.1	133.5	128.1	100.3	99.6	98.7	42.6	42.2	42.0
Mining	3.3	3.3	3.2	0.1	0.1	0.1	1.1	1.1	1.0	6.0	12.5	5 8	1.0	1 9	1.8
Construction	3.9	3.9	4.0	4.2	4.2	4.0	3.1	3.1	2.9	4.5	4.5	4.4	2.9	2.9	3.0
Manufacturing-Dur.	6.2	6.1	6.4	3.3	3.3	3.2	9.8	9.7	10.3	2.5	2.6	2.6	2.4	2.4	2.4
Trans., Comm. & Util.	3.8	3.8	3.9	5.6	5.6	5.8	4.7	4.6	4.6	4.1	4.0	4.0	2.1	2.1	2.2
Who les ale Trade	4.2	4.2	4.3	7.3	7.3	7.3	6.8	6.2	6.4	7.4	7.4	7.0	1.7	1.7	1.7
Retail Trade	17.4	17.4	17.3	26.1	25.9	24.3	30.8	30.6	28.2	19.0	18.9	19.4	8.1	8.1	8.6
Fin., Ins., & Real Est.	3.1	3.1	3.0	5.5	5.4	5.3	4.8	4.8	4.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	123	1.7	11.8
Services	20.5	20.5	20.0	33.0	32.8	31.9	29.8	29.7	28.0	23.0	23.0	0.8	12.3	1 1 4	11.0
Federal Government	0.0	0.6	0.0	12.9	12.0	12.4	4.6	4.7	4.3	1.4	1.5	1.6	2.3	2.3	2.4
State Government	10.3	10.3	10.3	11.2	10.9	11.0	29.6	28.9	28.6	14.7	14.6	15.1	5.1	5.0	5.1
and an overalleur	S	AN ANTO	NIO	SHE	RMAN-DE	NISON	T	EXARKA	NA	1	TYLER		1-	VICTORI	4
INDUSTRY	Oct. '97'	Sep. '97	Oct. '96	Oct. '97	* Sep. '97	Oct. '96	Oct. '97*	Sep. '97	Oct. '96	Oct. '97*	Sep. '97	Oct. '96	Oct. '97	* Sep. '97	Oct. '96
TOTAL	659.7	655.7	639.3	43.0	42.5	42.6	50.1	50.1	50.6	74.8	76.0	74.2	35.0	34.5	33.5
Mining	1.9	2.0	1.8	*	* **	**	**	**	, *' • • •	1.6	1.6	1.5	1.0	1.0	1.5
Construction	34.7	34.4	32.6	2.1	2.0	1.9	2.3	2,3	2.5	57	2.1	2,9	11	1.1	1.0
Manufacturing-Dur.	25.3	25.5	25.3	31	31	3.2	2.6	2.6	2.7	3.9	3.8	3.9	2.1	2.0	2.0
Trans Comm & Util	31.8	31.8	30.6	1.5	5 1.6	1.6	2.1	2.1	2.2	3.7	3.7	3.5	1.5	5 1.4	1.4
Who les ale Trade	29.9	30.0	28.8	1.1	1.1	1.2	2.6	2.6	2.6	3.8	3.8	3.6	1.9	1.9	1.8
Retail Trade	132.4	131.6	128.1	8.2	8.1	8.4	10.4	10.3	10.5	15.6	15.5	15.3	7.7	7.5	7.5
Fin., Ins., & Real Est.	44.7	44.4	42.3	2.1	2.1	2.0	1.7	1.7	1.7	4.0	4.0	3.8	1.6	1.7	1.6
Services	201.6	199.7	192.8	12.0	) 11.7	11.4	13.7	13.7	13.4	22.5	22.6	21.3	9.1	9.0	0.4
Federal Government	36.9	37.2	37.5	0.3	0.3	0.3	3.6	3.7	4.2	0.8	3.2	3.0	0.4	5 0.5	0.5
State Government	15.0	15.0	14.9	U	0.3 5 5 1	5.0	6 7	6.1	6 1	7.3	7.1	7.3	5.5	5 5.4	5.5
Local Government	00.0	WACO	30.3	W	CHITA FA	LLS	1								
INDUSTRY	Oct. '97	* Sep. '97	Oct. '96	Oct. '97	* Sep. '97	Oct. '96									
TOTAL	96.7	96.0	94.4	58.8	58.7	58.5									
Mining	*	k *1	k Ai	1.1	1.1	1.1									
Construction	4.4	4.5	4.1	2.3	2.3	2.2	н	is to ric al	estimates	of the nu	mberofi	no nagric u	ltural wag	ge and	
Manufacturing-Dur.	8.8	8.8	8.8	5.9	5.9	5.8	S	alary io bs	by MSA	are availa	ble. Depe	nding upo	n the MS	A, data is	
Manufac turing-No ndu	7.0	7.5	1.9	2.4	8 70	2.3		vailable h	ack to 19	70, 1974.	1975, or	1988. The	MSAs w	hich have	
Trans., Comm. & Util.	5.C 4 5	3.8	3./	2.0	5 2.5	2.0		mnarabl	e data on	ly back to	1988 are	those th	at most r	ecently ha	d
Retail Trade	17 3	17.1	16.6	11.	3 11.3	11.2		change is	1 generan	hic defini	tion. For	info rmati	ononhoy	v to order	
Fin., Ins., & Real Est.	5.1	5.7	5.6	2.3	2 2.2	2.2	а – Б	storical	lata see	hack nage					
Services	29.1	28.7	27.7	15.9	9 15.9	15.7	n n	stoncald	iata, see	back page	•				
Federal Government	3.1	3.2	3,2	2.0	2.6	2.8									
Etata Concernante -+	7 7	7 7	, , ,	1 1 1											

Local Government \*Estimates for the current month are preliminary. All estimates are subject to revision. The number of nonagricultural jobs in the MSAs is without reference to place of residence of workers. \*\*Mining estimates are included in Construction estimates for these MSAs.

Estimates of TWC are in cooperation with the Bureau of Labor Statistics, U.S. Department of Labor.

9.6

9.7

### Poverty Rate by County--1996 Percentage of Population Below the Poverty Level



Source: Texas Department of Human Services

TLMR Editor: Clayton Griffis. Also contributing to this publication were: Mark Dermit, David Jesus, John Kruse, Brandon Smith, Rachel Tello-Sanchez, Robert Wallis, and Betty Whalen.

> LMI DEPT TEXAS WORKFORCE COMMISSION 101 E 15TH STREET AUSTIN TX 78778-0001

OFFICIAL BUSINESS PENALTY FOR PRIVATE USE \$300

ADDRESS SERVICE REQUESTED

### **TWC Direct and Other Information**

Additional statistics are available from the Labor Market Information (LMI) Department of the Texas Workforce Commission (TWC). The LMI Department can be reached at (512) 463-2841 (see below). Data can also be obtained through our Bulletin Board System (BBS). With a computer and a modem, you can reach the BBS directly by dialing (512) 475-4893 or indirectly (through the Comptroller's BBS) by dialing toll-free 1-800-227-8392 (in Texas only). TWC's internet address is http://www.twc.state.tx.us. The *Texas Labor Market Review* (TLMR) is housed on both the BBS and on the web site in both text only and executable file versions. The LMI Department now has an E-mail address [Imi@twc.state.tx.us].

The LMI Department will be moving to another location within Austin about December 5th. Our phone number will probably change, but for now we can still be reached at the same number (see above). Our mailing address for the time being is the same as before minus the room number. We will inform our readers in the future of any pertinent details regarding our new location.

Material in the TLMR is not copyrighted and may be reproduced. The TWC would appreciate credit for the material used and a copy of the reprint. For a free subscription to the TLMR or to change your mailing address, mark the correct space below and fax to (512) 475-1701 or mail this page to LMI. Please make sure to return the old address label with any change.

like to subscribe	cancel	change address	
Name			
Company			
Address			1
City, State, Zip			

Bulk Rate Postage and Fees Paid Texas Workforce Commission Permit No. G-12