



OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS AUSTIN

GERALD C. MANN ATTORNEY SEKERAL

July 6, 1939

Monorable E. F. Easterling County Attorney Jefferson County Beaumont, Texas

Dear Sirt

Opinion No. 0-1069
Re: Can expenditures made under authority given to the Gommissioners' Court by Article 5850 be deducted from the proceeds of a tax levied under authority granted under Article VIII, Section 9, of the Constitution?

This is to inform you that in accordance with your request, we have re-examined and reconsidered Opinion No. G-37; rendered by this department on March 27, 1939. We have given full consideration to the authorities cited by you in connection with the questions raised, and we must advise that, in our opinion, the conclusions reached in that opinion are correct, and said opinion is hereby re-adopted.

We sum up the situation as follows:

- 1. The Water Control and Improvement District was created pursuant to Article 16, Section 59a, of the Constitution, and Article 7880, at seq., of the Revised Civil Statutes.
- 2. The nume pro tune order described in your letter is based upon a power conferred by Article 11, Section 7, of the Constitution, and Article 6850 of the Revised Civil Statutes, which we conclude to be a re-enactment of this provision of the Constitution.

Honorable E. W. Easterling, July 6, 1939, Page 2

3. That irrespective of either of the foregoing, this is not such an expenditure as can be made from the Fermanent Improvement Fund of the county.

It occurs to us that the recitations in the nunc pro tune order can not in anywise determine or authorize an expenditure out of a fund not created for such purpose. To carry the matter further, we presume, for reasons of clarification, that if it were within the power of the county to pay these items of expense at all, it would be chargeable to the General Fund slone, and then only after it had been established as an expense for a "county purpose".

We are of the opinion that an item of expense incurred in behalf of the creation and establishment of a Water Control and Improvement District, formed pursuant to the laws authorizing such district, can not in any manner be determined a general county purpose (a purpose beneficial to the county as a whole).

Assuring you of our desire to cooperate at all times, we are

Yours very truly

ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS

By Claunce & Crawe

Clarence E. Crowe Assistant

APPROVED JUL 10, 1939

CEC:FG

FIRST ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL

Su 0-37

APPROVED OPINION COMMITTEE

CHAIRMAN