

## OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS AUSTIN

GERALD C. MANN ATTORNEY GENERAL

> Honorable Geo. H. Sheppard Comptroller of Public Accounts Austin, Texas

Doar Mr. Sheppard:

Opinion No. 0-2798

Re: Construction of Section 33
of Article XVI of the Constitution as it affects the
right of a Criminal District
Attorney to represent the
State in a civil suit under
the circumstances stated.

gravi So

We have your letter of October 2, 1940, requesting an opinion as follows:

"I am presenting your Voucher No. 91 drawn in favor of Forrester Hancock for services rendered the State of Texas in the amount of \$50.00. You will please advise if I am prohibited from paying this account by reason of Section 33, Article 16 of the Constitution of this State."

Mr. Forrester Hancock is Criminal District Attorney for Ellis County and the claim referred to is for his professional services rendered in a cause wherein the State of Texas was sued as a defendant, pending at Waxahachie, Ellis County, Texas.

Your letter does not so state, but we are advised kr. Ferrester came into the case at the request of kr. Richard Cooke, Assistant Atterney General of Texas, who was handling the defense of the case. kr. Hancock has never been an Assistant to the Atterney General.

Section 33, of Article XVI, of the Constitution, reads as follows:

"The Accounting Officers of this State shall neither draw nor pay a warrant upon the Treasury in favor of any person, for salary or compensation as agent, officer or appointee, who holds at the same time any other office or position of honor, trust or profit, under this State or the United States, except as prescribed in this Constitution. Provided, that this restriction as to the drawing and paying of warrants upon the Treasury shall not apply to officers of the National Guard of Texas, the National Guard Reserve, the Officers Reserve Corps of the United States, nor to enlisted men of the National Guard. the National Guard Reserve, and the Organized Reserves of the United States, nor to retired officers of the United States Army, Mayy, and Karine Corps, and retired warrant officers and retired enlisted men of the

United States Army, Navy and Marine Corps."

That part of the section which forbids the drawing or payment of a warrant against the public funds of the State to pay to any person as "agent, officer or appointee", undoubtedly covers the present case for Kr. Hancock is an officer -- Criminal District Attorney -- whose compensation is fixed by statute. We do not believe, however, that his employment as an attorney in one case made him the holder of another "office or position of honor, trust or profit." If that were the case, every practicing attorney would hold as many "positions " " under the State" as he had clients with pending suits. This is not the meaning of the word "position" in this connection.

The case involving the question of fee to Mr. Hancock was a civil action against the State, by permission of the Legislature, for damages incident to the construction of a highway and was not one which the Criminal District Attorney for Ellis County was called upon to defend.

So that, answering your question specifically, this department is of the opinion that Section 33, of

GERAL APTORI Article XVI, of the Constitution affords no obstacle to the issuance of a warrant in payment of the account tendered.

Yery truly yours

ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS

By

Ocio Speer Assistant

OS-MR

APPROVEDNOV 25, 1940

ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS

THIS OPINION
CONSIDERED AND
APPROVED IN
LIGHTED
CONFERENCE