

OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS AUSTIN

GERALD C. MANN ATTORNEY GENERAL

Monorable R. L. Crosier County Attorney Cleburne, Texas

Dear Sir:

Cpinion No. 0-3043
No: Validity of contract tetween Johnson County and
L. L. Boyd, relating to
gelinguant taxes.

Along with your Letter of Jankary 10, 1941, you sent to us a copy of a contract erzo between Johnson withy and L. L. Rayd, dated lander 4. 1940, and signed by the County Judge of Johnson County, in behalf of the county. The contract provides that it shall be in effect for a period of two years from date and that Mr. Boyd shall be paid a salary of \$200.00 per month. It also contains provisions relative to expenses and stenographic assistance and contains the following provision:

recond Terty's work shall consist of collection data and all figures and facts pertaining to, or necessary for the collection of celingwhat times due First Party, and the State of Teras, and shall prepare all papers and placedings in instances where it is feasible to write suits for the collection of delinquent times, and shall work with the County Attorney of Johnson County in prosecuting said suits to jusquent. Second Party is also to assist, co-operate and work with the Commissioners' court in equalizing the rendition and assessments of taxes due First Party and the State of Texas, and in so doing, shall collect data and facts pertaining to the true market value of properties upon which texas are to be equalized, and also the comparative values of each such properties in comparison with other properties similarly located and situated."

Honorable R. L. Crosler, Page 2

The contract does not bear the approval of either the Comptroller or the Attorney General. You also advised tent Er. Boyd is not an attorney at law. In substance, you request our opinion as to whether or not said contract is a valid one.

It is noted that under the contract a considersble part of Mr. Boyd's duties are connected with the collection of delinquent taxes. He is required to collect data and figures and facts pertaining to or necessary for the collection of delinquent taxes and to prepare papers and pleadings in instances where it is feasible to bring suits for the collection of delinquent taxes. In Article 7535a, Vernon's Civil Statutes, it is provided that "no contract shall be made or entered into by the commissioners' court in connection with the collection of delinquent taxes where the compensation under such contract is more than fifteen per cent of the amount collected. Said contract must be approved by both the Comptroller and the Attorney General of the State of Texas both as to substance and form. . . . any contract made in violation of this Act shall be void. " Following the provisions of said Article 7335a it has been definitely established that contracts attempted to be made by commissioners' courts in connection with collection of delinquent taxes are absolutely void unless approved by the State Comptroller and Attorney General. White v. McGill, 114 S. W. (2d) 360, by the Supreme Court; Easterwood v. Henderson County, 62 S. W. (2d) 65, by the Commission of Appeals; Sylvan Sanders Company v. Scurry County, 77 S. W. (2d) 709, by the Court of Civil Appeals. It is unnecessary for us to inquire further into the contract in question since it falls squarely within the above cited cases. The fact that the contract does not bear the approval of the Comptroller and Attorney General. renders the same void regardless of anything clse. your information, we enclose copy of our opinion No. 0-2959.

APPROVED JAN 22. 1941

Yours very truly

ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS

FIRST ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL

Glenn R. Louis

Assistant

GRL: LM

ENCLOSURE