

OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS AUSTIN

GERALD C. MANN ATTORNEY GENERAL

> Monorable Grady Roberts, Chairman Conservation and Reclamation Committee House of Representatives Austin, Texas

Dear Sire

Opinion No. 0-3427 Re: Constitutionality of House Bill No. 589, Porty-Seventh Legislature.

In your letter of April 17, 1941, you request our opinion as to the constitutionality of House Bill No. 589, Forty-Seventh Legislature, now pending. This hill, if enseted, would great to the Newton County Flood Control District of Newton County, Pexas, all of the State ad valoren taxes collected in Newton County for general revenue purposes for a period of ten years.

Your attention is directed to the fact that the caption of this bill does not disclose that the period of the grant is limited to ten years. Before action is taken on this legislation the eaption should be corrected accordingly.

A reading of the proposed Act clearly shows that your question is controlled by the case of Harris County Flood Control District vs. Mann, 140 S. W. (2d) 1089 by the Supremo Court. Upon correction of the caption as above suggested it is our opinion that said House Bill No. 589 would be a constitutional and valid enactment, subject, of course, to the passage of the Act creating the said Newton County Flood Control District.

Yours very truly

ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS

AFFROVEDAPR 21, 1941

acting TIDETHE GETTERAL OF TEXAS

ORLING

By Glenn R. Levis Assistant

APPROVED

NO COMMUNICATION IS TO BE CONSTRUED AS A DEPARTMENTAL OPINION UNLESS APPROYED BY THE ATTORNEY GENERAL OR FIRST ASSIS