## OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS

## AUSTIN

Honorable T. K. Wilkinson County Auditor
Hill County
Hillsboro, Texas
Dear Sir:
Opinion NO. 0.4754
Re: Hilil County is not ilade for damages under the racts ytated.
Your request for opinion has seen recelved and carem fully considered by this depprtment. He quote from your request as follows:
"On one of our Hill dounty pubiic roads a County omed motor grader and automobile owned and operated by an individual a kesident of the county, had a.collision.
"The motor grader was in motion grading this partioular road. Is tho County liable for property damage to the aubomobile or compensation for insuxiea to the paxties therein?"

Segtion 92, Countios, 11 Texas Jurisprudence, pages 627 and 626, readgi in part as follows:
92. Ifability of County in General. It has iong bsen the law in Texas that a county is nat liabje in damages for injuries sustained in consequence of the tortious or negligent acts of its acents or employees, unless liability there or bo created by statute, either in express terms or by necessary implication. . . ."

Opinion No. O-2779 of this department held that If berty County, rexas, was not liable for a truck wreck under the facts related. We enclose herewith a copy oi saic opinion for your information.
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Under the facts stated above, we are unable to perceive any theory upon which the county could be held ilabile for damages for the collision above described. We therefore answer your question in the negative.

## Very truly yours
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