

OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS AUSTIN

GROVER SELLERS

Aonorable L. C. Heard County Auditor Bell County Lelton, Texas

Dear br. Heard:

Opinion No. 2-6217

Re: Sheriff's fees for thansferring an insame person from Lell County to the hyspital at Wichita Lalys, Bell Coupty being in the Austin hospital district, as created by the Board of Control, under Article 3188, R. C. S.

Your request for an opin on from this department upon the above subject matter is as follows:

"Under instructions from the State Board of Control, the County Judge of Bell County is only authorized to count in lunacy cases, after trial, to the <u>asylum</u> ad Austin.

"In the case of Mary Love Moore, No. 1151, from tell county was subsitted to Austin, and I understand a Sparate request was made that the patient, by the arents, be admitted to the asylum at victita Falls and it is also my understanding that this latter request was granted, and from some source of information the Sheriff's department transferred the patient from Belton to Wichita Falls 1 would also state that the patient was never carried to the asylum at Austin but remained in Bell County, awaiting a reply to the request that she be sent to Wichita Falls.

"Since Bell County is operating under the Salary system, and the sheriff is allowed mileage for the use of his cars, a statement was submitted to the Commissioner's Court for mileage for transferring patient from belton to Wichita Falls among other items of mileage. The Court took exception 57

Honorable L. C. Heard - page 2

to the mileage and only paid the Sheriff's department mileage on the patient from Belton to Austin and return and not to Wichita Falls.

"To this deduction the sheriff has taken exception, and will you please advise we if it is mandatory that the County pay the sheriff the additional mileage made in taking patient to Wichita Falls instead of to Austing"

Article 3194 of the Rovised Civil Statutes is as follows:

"The expenses of conveying all public patients to the asylum shall be borne by the counties respectively from which they are sent; and said counties shall pay the same upon the sworn account of the officer or person performing such service, showing in detail the actual expenses incurred in the transportation. In case any public patient is possessed of property sufficient for the purpose, or any person legally liable for his support is so possessed of property, the county paying the expenses of such transportation shall be entitled to reimbursement out of the estate of the lunatic or the property of the person legally liable for his support, which may be recovered by the county on suit brought therefor."

Article 3188 is as follows:

"The hoard of Control shall divide the State into hospital districts, may change the districts from time to time, and shall designate the State hospitals to which insane, epileptic and feebleminded persons from each district shall be admitted and may transfer patients from one institution to another. All such persons within any such districts conmitted, shall be committed to the State hospital designated for that district, or to the United States Veterans' Administration or such other agency or department of the United States as will accept such insane person for care or treatment. The said State board of Control shall also

Honorable L. C. Heard - page 3

have authority to transfer any legally conmitted patient from a State Mospital to the United States Veterans' Administration or any other agency or department of the United States as will accept such person of unsound wind for care or treatment, and in case such transfer is or shall be made, the conmitment and transfer order shall constitute legal authority for the restraint of such patient by the United States Veterans' Administration or such other agency or department of the United States until the Court by which such patient was adjudged insame and committed shall order such patient released."

The Board of Control has duly divided the State into hospital districts, according to which division Bell County is in the Austin District No. 1.

Under the Article last above quoted, it was the duty of the consisting judge in the case put by you to order the patient consisted to the Austin rather than the Wichita Falls institution. His failure, however, to do this, and his order (as we understand from your letter) committing her to Wichita was not such an irregularity as to render the committment order void -- that is, it did not go to the jurisdiction of the court, but merely to the regularity of his proceedings. This irregularity or error cannot be attacked collaterally, but the order stands as a valid judgment of a court of competent jurisdiction.

It follows that the sheriff is entitled to compensation from the county for his services in transporting the patient to Wichita County.

By

27

CIM S GENERAL OF TEXAS

Very truly yours

ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS

Ocie Speer Assi stant



.

59