

## OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS AUSTIN

GROVER SELLERS
ATTORNEY GENERAL

Mr. A. J. Riley County Auditor, Van Zandt County Canton, T e x a s

Dear Sir:

Re: Can the money allocated

Van Zandt County from the
Board of County and District
Boad Indebtedness be lawfully placed in the head
and Bridge Fund of said

occurry and can said money
be used to pay obligations
of Yan Zandt County for
machinery purchased during 1944?

This opinion is in hoply that to the question propounded in your letter of December 29, 1944, which reads as follows:

"On February 1, 1918, Road District No. 1, which is a portion of Yan Yandt County, voted \$500,000.00 in bonds. The Board of County and District Road Indebtedness has been participating in this issue for quite a number of years. Recently Yan Zandt County filed a claim with the Board for additional participation and for each payments. The Board approved our claim, giving us a nice raise in participation and sending us a check for several thousand dollars. Can this money lawfully, by order of the Commissioners Court, be placed in the Road and Bridge Fund of Van Zandt County?"

and also your letter of January 18, 1945, stating the purpose for which the county intended to use the money, as follows:

"We planned to use this money to retire an equal amount of outstanding obligations against Van Zendt County Road and Bridge Fund for machinery purchased during 1944."

This department requested certain information from the Board of County and District Road Indebtedness and in a letter To Summunication is to be construed as a departmental opinion unless approved by the attorney general or first assistant

Mr. A. J. Riley, page 2

dated January 16th, 1945, the Board furnished us the desired isformation, the pertinent part being as follows:

"We recently approved a claim of Van Zandt County for additional State Aid on their Road District No. 1 Bonds dated 2-1-18. In approving this claim we also paid to the Lateral Road Account of Van Zandt County \$25,837.59 representing retroactive payments due on that issue.

\*On October 10, 1929 Van Zandt County voted to purchase the roads of District No. 1 and issued Van Zandt County Road Bonds, Series 'A' dated 10-10-29 to Road District No. 1 to compensate them for the Road District No. 1 Bonds outstanding. For that reason the above mentioned funds were credited to the Lateral Road Account of Van Zandt County and not to Road District No. 1.

---

"The County's part of the requirements for this fiscal year is \$34,656.33, and as the credit to their Lateral Road Account was not more than that amount, the entire balance was credited to the sinking funds of their County Lateral Road Bond issues in compliance with Section 6, Sub-section 'H' of Senate Bill No. 89."

The pertinent part of sub-section h, Section 6 of Chapter 324, Acts 48th Legislature, Regular Session, 1943, reads as follows:

"Funds remaining in the Lateral Road Fund of any county, after the payment of said right-of-way obligations, shall be used by the county for paying the maturing principal, interest, and sinking fund requirements, due by the county in that fiscal year on bonds, warrants, or other evidences of indebtedness which were legally issued by such county or road districts prior to January 2, 1939, the proceeds of which were actually expended in the construction or improvement of lateral county roads. Payment to be made ratably upon the principal and interest on the maturing road bond obligations of said county for such fiscal years. Any funds remaining in the Lateral Road Fund of any county

after the payment of said principal, interest, and sinking fund requirements due or maturing in that fiscal year on bonds or warrants which were legally issued by such county or road district prior to January 2, 1939, the proceeds of which were actually expended in the construction or improvement of lateral county roads, may be used by the county under direction of the Commissioners Court for any one or all of the following purposes: (a) for the acquisition of rightof-ways for county lateral roads and for the payment of legal obligations incurred therefor prior to January 2, 1939; (b) for the construction or improvement of county lateral roads; (c) for the purpose of supplementing funds appropriated by the United States Government for Works Progress Administration highway construction, and such other grants of Federal Funds as may be made available to the counties of this state for county lateral road construction; and (d) for the purposes of cooperating with the State Highway Department and the Federal Government in the construction of farm-to-market roads. "

We think it necessary first to analyze and set out in detail the purposes for which the money in the Lateral Road Fund may be expended by a county:

- (1) The first money allocated to a county must be used to pay the principal, interest and sinking fund requirements of bonds and warrants issued prior to January 2, 1939, that were "actually expended in acquiring rights-of-way for State designated highways."
- (2) Any money remaining in said fund after requirement (1) has been met, must be used to pay the principal, interest and sinking fund requirements due by the county in that fiscal year, which were issued by the county prior to January 2, 1939, and which were used for the construction and improvement of county lateral roads.

According to the information furnished by the Board of County and District Road Indebtedness, said Board allowed Van Zandt County \$23,837.59; the county needed \$34,656.35 to meet the requirements numbered (1) and (2) above. The Board stated that they had credited the entire amount to the sinking funds of their County Lateral Road Bond issues for the purposes set out above as (1) and (2).

It is our opinion that the requirements set out above as (1) and (2) are mandatory and the action of the Board in the matter was correct, and Van Zandt County, therefore, does not have a balance remaining in the Lateral Road Fund to be used for any purpose.

We now answer the question as to whether Van Zandt County may lawfully place funds of the Lateral Road Fund in the County Road and Bridge Fund. We have been unable to find any specific provision in Chapter 384, except the following:

"In the event that the funds so received by the county from the lateral Road Account are in excess of the amount required to most the principal and interest of its maturing road bond obligations for the next fiscal year, the Commissioners Court may elect to use such excess money allocated to it from the Lateral Road Account, and in such event, it shall notify, in writing, the said Board, of its election to make use of said money. Whereupon, said Board shall remit said balance to be utilized for such purpose to the County Treasurer of such county, said money to be deposited by the County Treasurer in accordance with law, and the same shall be utilized by the county, acting through the Commissioners Court, for the construction of lateral roads. " ""

The above provision is indefinite and of little help in answering the question; however, in other parts of the Act we find reference made to the term "Lateral Road Account" or "Lateral Road Fund." At page 501 of Chapter 324, we find the following:

\*\* \* the Board shall credit the Lateral Road Account of each county or defined road district with the net balance contributed by such county or road district toward the retirement of said eligible obligations, and said funds so credited to any county or defined road district may be used or expended by the counties and desided road districts for the purposes authorized in this Section. \* \* \*\*

Section 9 of the Act makes it a felony for the county judge or county commissioners to use any of the money in the Lateral Road Accounty for any purposes other than those authorized by this Act. Taking the provisions of the Act as a whole, and the fact that penal provision is made for misappropriation of the funds, we are of the opinion that the Legislature did not intend that the money

. .

Mr. A. J. Riley, page 5

appropriated under the Act to be used by the Commissioners' Courts in their discretion for the four purposes, listed as (a), (b), (c) and (d) on page 502 of the printed Act, should be co-mingled with the county's separate funds. We are, therefore, of the opinion that the money appropriated to the counties under said Act cannot be paid into the County Road and Bridge Fund, but construing the Act as a whole, and taking into consideration also public policy, we think the funds allocated by the Board of County and District Indebtedness should be kept in a separate fund by the county.

In your letter of January 18, 1945, you state that Van Zandt County plans to use the money received from the Board of County and District Road Indebtedness to pay outstanding obligations against Van Zandt County Road and Bridge Fund for machinery purchased in 1944. The Act is plain and authorizes only obligations issued prior to January 2, 1939 to participate in the Lateral Road Account. Therefore any obligation incurred in 1944 cannot participate in said funds.

Yours very truly

ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS

By

R. J. Long
Assistant

RJL:op

FIRST ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL

APPROVED
OPINION
COMMITTEE
BY DUB
CRAIRMAN