

THE ATTORNEY GENERAL

OF TEXAS

PRICE DANIEL A SEPTEMBER IN BOTH CLOCK STOR A.E.

AUSTIN 11, TEXAS

February 12, 1952

Hon. Robert M. Allen

Opinion No. V-1404

Rusk County.

County Attorney Rusk County

Re: Authority of the Commis-

Henderson, Texas

sioners' Court to pay a bounty for the destruction of wild foxes in

Dear Sir:

You have requested an opinion on the following question:

". . . whether or not the Commissioners' Court of Rusk County can legally offer and pay a bounty for the destruction of wild fox and the amount of such bounty that can legally be paid."

You state in your request that Rusk County now has a large number of rabid wild foxes which are causing considerable damage and therefore the commissioners' court has been requested to offer and pay a bounty for the destruction of wild foxes if such bounty can be paid legally.

The decisions of the Texas courts have repeatedly held that the commissioners' court is a court of limited jurisdiction and has only such powers as are conferred upon it, either by express terms or by necessary implication, by the statutes and Constitution of this State. Childress County v. State, 127 Tex. 343, 92 S.W.2d 1011 (1936); Von Rosenberg v. Lovett, 173 S.W. 508 (Tex. Civ. App. 1915, error ref.); Roper v. Hall, 280 S.W. 289 (Tex. Civ. App. 1925); Art. 2351, V.C.S.

Article 192b, V.C.S., pertaining to the destruction of animals because of their predatory nature is not applicable under the facts contained in your request.

Section 1 of Article 190h, V.C.S., provides:

"From and after the effective date of this Act all County Commissioners Courts throughout the State of Texas may pay a bounty not to exceed Five Dollars (\$5) out of the General Fund of the County for the killing of all Jaguar, Cougar, Ocelot, Jaguarondi, Bob Cat, Gray Wolf, Red Wolf, Florida Wolf, Coyote, Javelina and Rattle-snake. The Commissioners Courts shall have authority to determine what animals are predatory within said County and said Court may further determine eligibility of persons to whom bounties will be paid."

Article 190h, authorizing the commissioners' courts to pay bounties for killing certain wild animals, does not include wild fox.

It is stated in 39 Tex. Jur. 188-189, Statutes. Sec. 100:

"The maxim Expressio unius est exclusio alterius (the expression of one thing is exclusive of another) is said to be a logical, sensible and sound rule of construction; and it has been frequently applied in the construction of statutes as well as in the interpretation of other documents. The maxim signifies that the express mention or enumeration of one person, thing, consequence or class is tantamount to an express exclusion of all others. . . "

Since Article 190h enumerates the animals on which a bounty may be paid, bounties may not be paid on the killing of animals not enumerated.

Article 190i, V.C.S., provides:

"Section 1. It shall be the duty of the State Health Officer to determine and define the boundaries of all areas of the State in which foxes or other wild animals infected with rabies exist in sufficient numbers to be a menace to the health of that area. Such determinations shall be based upon a finding of fact by the State Health Officer; providing further that the State Health Officer shall cause to be published in a newspaper within each county within the defined area that a bounty exists in the county concerned.

"Sec. 2. When the State Health Officer finds that the health of such area
is menaced by rabies because of rabid foxes
or other wild animals, and defines the area
where such menace exists, he shall pay a
bounty of Two Dollars (\$2) for each and
every fox or other wild animal destroyed
in the defined area. For purpose of such
payments the Health Officer shall have the
power to require such evidence as proof of
the destruction of a fox or other wild animal as he shall deem necessary.

"Sec. 3. When the number of rabid foxes or other wild animals in any defined area is reduced to the extent that the destruction of such foxes or other wild animals is no longer necessary then the State Health Officer shall cease payment of the bounties, and shall serve notice to the public in the area concerned through publication in at least one (1) newspaper in each county concerned."

This Article authorized the payment of a bounty by the State Health Officer on wild foxes in rabid-infected areas. However, it is noted that no provision authorizes the payment of such a bounty by the county.

We know of no law applicable to Rusk County which authorizes the payment of a bounty by the county for the killing of wild foxes. Therefore, we agree with you that the commissioners' court cannot expend county money for the payment of such bounty.

SUMMARY

The Legislature has not authorized the commissioners' court of Rusk County to pay a bounty for the destruction of Hon. Robert M. Allen, page 4 (V-1404)

rabid wild foxes. Only the State Health Officer is authorized to pay a bounty of this nature in a rabies infected area. Article 1901, V.C.S.

Yours very truly,

APPROVED:

J. C. Davis, Jr. County Affairs Division

E. Jacobson Reviewing Assistant

Charles D. Mathews First Assistant

JR:mh

PRICE DANIEL
Attorney General

By John Reeves
Assistant