

THE ATTORNEY GENERAL

OF TEXAS

AUSTIN 11, TEXAS

JOHN BEN SHEPPERID

September 2, 1953

Honorable Gibb Gilchrist Chancellor Texas A. & M. College System College Station, Texas

Opinion No. S-95

Re: Status of a veteram, inducted as a resident of another state, as a resident of Texas for educational purposes.

Dear Dr. Gilchrist:

Your request for an opinion of this office concerning the right of veterans, who were inducted into the armed forces as residents of states other than Texas, to enroll at Texas A. & M. College as residents of Texas, reads as follows:

"1. Can a veteran who enters the service from another state and as a resident of that state satisfy the residence requirements for educational purposes in Texas by having served on active duty in Texas for a period of 12 months or more subsequent to his twenty-first birthday?

"2. If the answer to the above question is negative, would the fact (a) that he has brought his family into Texas and has lived off the post for 12 months or (b) that he has his family with him, has acquired real property and pays taxes in the state have any effect?"

Section 1, subsection 2, Article 2654c, Vermon's Civil Statutes, after detailing tuition rates for non-resident students registering in State colleges, provides in part as follows:

Hon. Gibb Gilchrist, page 2 (S-95)

"(a) A nonresident student is hereby defined to be a student of less than twenty-one (21) years of age, living away from his family and whose family resides in another State, or whose family has not resided in Texas for the twelve (12) months immediately preceding the date of registration; or a student of twentyone (21) years of age or over who resides out of the State or who has not been a resident of the State twelve (12) months subsequent to his twenty-first birthday or for the twelve (12) months immediately preceding the date of registration.

٩,

(b) The term 'residence' as used in this Act means 'domigile'; . . .

In <u>Pecos & N.T. Ry. Co. v. Thompson</u>, 106 Tex. 460, 167 S.W. 801 (1914), the Supreme Court defined domicile in the following language:

"Residence means living in a particular locality, but domicile means living in that locality with the intent to make it a fixed and permanent home. Residence simply requires bodily presence, as an inhabitant in a given place, while domicile requires bodily presence in that place and also one's intention to make it one's domicile."

Intention is an essential consideration in determining the question of demicile, and thus the solution to each particular case must depend upon all the facts and circumstances surrounding it which tend to support or negate an intention to establish domicile.

In the absence of a clear intent to abandon his domicile in the state from whence he came and to establish a new domicile in the state in which he serves, a person in the military service does not acquire a domicile in the latter state. In <u>Gallagher v. Gallagher</u>, 214 S.W. 516 (Tex.Civ.App. 1919), the Court stated:

"Ordinarily, it is a presumption of law that where a person actually lives is his domicile, such presumption of course being rebuttable; but no such presumption could arise in the case of a soldier in active service, who has no choice of domicile, but must ordinarily

Hom. Gibb Gilchrist, page 3 (S-95)

cling to his domicile of origin. Ordinarily, an act of removal to a certain location, coupled with the intent to make a permanent residence there, might be sufficient to fix a domicile, but that is because the removal is voluntarily made, which could not occur in the case of a soldier in active service. It follows that the removal of the latter to a place and his residence there for years would not offer any probative evidence to corroborate evidence as to an intention to make the place his home.

This position finds further support in <u>Wilson v.</u> <u>Wilson</u>, 189 S.W.2d 212 (Tex.Civ.App. 1945):

"A review of the law pertaining to the residence of a soldier who is sent to Texas from another state under military orders properly begins with the case of Gallagher v. Gallagher, Tex.Civ.App., 214 S.W. 516, 518. It is there held that the words 'inhabitant.' 'citizen' and 'resident' mean substantially the same thing. In order to be an inhabitant one must acquire a domicile or home, and it must have the stamp of permanency on it. There must not only belan intention to establish a permanent domicile or home, but the intention must be accompanied by some act done in the execution of the intent. A soldier can abandon his domicile of origin and select another, yet, in order to show a new domicile during the term of enlistment, there must be the clearest and most unequivocal proof,"

We conclude from the above authorities that mere residence in Texas by a member of the armed forces while on active military duty within its boundaries, regardless of the length of such stay, would not, standing alone, evidence any intent on the part of such serviceman to establish his domicile in Texas. Of course, additional facts might support and establish the bona fide intent contemplated by our court decisions. As to which factors or positive acts might, considered cumulatively, evidence the requisite intent, we can prescribe no standard; the facts in each case will necessitate separate evaluation.

Hon. Gibb Gilchrist, page 4 (8-95)

Answering your first question we hold that military assignment within the State of Texas for any period of time does not, in the absence of additional evidence, operate to remove the domicile of an out-of-state veteran to this state for educational purposes.

We advise you in reply to your second question that both circumstances (a) and (b) contained therein are entitled to consideration in resolving domiciliary questions, but that neither is conclusive of a decision. A decision must rest on an evaluation of all attending circumstances in each particular case.

SUMMARY

Mere residence in Texas by a member of the armed forces as a consequence of military assignment does not, standing alone, operate to establish his residence in Texas for educational purposes. That he has brought his family to Texas and has lived off the post for 12 months, or that he has his family with him, has acquired realty and is paying taxes in the state are facts of evidentiary value in determining domicile.

APPROVED :

Yours very truly,

Dean J. Capp Oil & Gas Division

Willis E. Gresham Reviewer

Burnell Waldrep Executive Assistant

John Ben Shepperd Attorney General JOHN BEN SHEPPERD Attorney General

Mart Starnes Ĵу

Mert Starnes Assistant