

THE ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS

AUSTIN 11, TEXAS

WILL WILSON ATTORNEY GENERAL

May 21, 1959

Honorable Robert S. Calvert Comptroller of Public Accounts Capitol Station Austin 11, Texas

Opinion No. WW-629

Re: The proper classification of adopted children of a decedent's deceased sisters for inheritance tax purposes. Otherwise stated, do these adopted children come under Class C as stated in Article 7120 V.A.C.S. or Class E as prescribed by Article 7122 V.A.C.S.

Dear Mr. Calvert:

Your request for opinion informs us that Alice McMullen left a net taxable estate of \$276,706.34 which passed by intestacy. One sister, Mrs. Milliron, predeceased Mrs. McMullen and left two adopted daughters, and another sister, Mrs. Mattice, who also predeceased Mrs. McMullen, left an adopted son and an adopted daughter. Your question is as to which of the two classifications for inheritance tax purposes should these adopted children be placed.

Article 7122, Class E, is a general classification which includes those not coming under any of the other classes and prescribes a rather high schedule of inheritance taxes.

Article 7120, Class C, provides a much lower schedule for the payment of the inheritance tax. It is our definite opinion that these adopted children of the deceased sisters of the intestate, Mrs. McMullen, come within the more favorable

Honorable Robert S. Calvert, page 2 (WW-629)

classification of Class C, as prescribed by Article 7120.

Article 7120, Class C, contains the following language:

"If passing to or for the use of a brother or sister, or a direct lineal descendant of a brother or sister, of the decedent, the tax shall be ..." (Emphasis added)

and then follows the schedule of payments. The question, simply stated, boils down to this:

Are these adopted children of the deceased sisters of decedent "direct lineal descendants of a brother or sister of the decedent," within the meaning of Article 7120, Class C?

To argue that they are direct lineal descendants, literally speaking, is an absurdity, but that is not the question. The question before us is whether or not they are lineal descendants within the purview of the statute: shall they be regarded as direct lineal descendants for inheritance tax purposes under the statute?

We consider that this is no longer an open question in this state because the Supreme Court on several occasions has held that such adopted children are to be regarded as direct lineal descendants under similar statutes. This point was precisely decided by the Supreme Court in the case of State ex rel Walton v. Yturria, 204 S.W.315. The opinion of the Court, after first stating that such adopted children are not direct lineal descendants (a biological fact which is beyond dispute) then proceeds to hold that they are direct lineal descendants within the meaning of a statute similar to Article 7120, Class C. We quote from the Yturria case:

"Insofar as it applies to the questions presented by this record, Article 7487, R.S., subjects to a tax all property within the jurisdiction of the state upon

its passing by will or by descent to or for the use of any person, 'except the father, mother, wife or direct lineal descendants of the testator, ... (6) As it was the privilege of a child of Daniel Yturria under Article 7487, to have the property within the jurisdiction of this state pass to him, by will or descent, without payment of an inheritance tax, and as the persons adopted by Daniel Yturria were entitled, by the plain terms of Article 2 to the same privilege, it follows that Daniel Yturria and Ysabel Garcia were privileged to take the property devised to them by their adopter, without payment of the inheritance tax. (Emphasis added)

The case of <u>Decker v. Williams</u>, 215 S.W.2d 679, error ref., is precisely in point. The syllabus correctly reflects the holding of the Court and we quote therefrom:

"Under the inheritance tax statute taxing at lowest rates, bequests passing to or for the use of husband or wife or any 'direct lineal descendant' of husband or wife, quoted phrase means lineal descendants of a pre-deceased spouse as well as of a surviving spouse, including adopted daughter of testator's pre-deceased wife."

(Emphasis added)

This line of authority has never been overruled so far as we are able to determine and it is still the law. You are therefore advised that these adopted children should be classified for inheritance tax purposes under Article 7120, Class C.

Article 46A, V.A.C.S., Section 9 of our adoption statutes provides that all adopted children shall inherit from the adopted parents and shall thereafter be regarded and held to be, for every purpose, the child of its parent or parents by adoption. The broad term "for every purpose" surely must include inheritance tax purposes.

Honorable Robert S. Calvert, page 4 (WW-629)

SUMMARY

"Adopted children of the decedent's deceased sisters are 'direct lineal descendants' of the deceased sisters within the meaning of this phrase as used in Article 7120, Class C, and must therefore be classified for inheritance tax purposes under said article."

Yours very truly

WILL WILSON Attorney General

W. Ray Scruggs

Assistant

WRS: jlw

APPROVED:

OPINION COMMITTEE

George P. Blackburn, Chairman Ray Loftin Henry Braswell Morgan Nesbitt

APPROVED FOR ATTORNEY GENERAL By: W. V. Geppert