

## THE ATTORNEY GENERAL

## OF TEXAS

## Austin 11, Texas

WILL WILSON ATTORNEY GENERAL

November 22, 1961

Honorable Richard E. Rudeloff County Attorney, Bee County Beeville, Texas

Opinion No. WW-1198

Re: Whether the County Court of Bee County can legally draw a jury from the jury wheel during the current term of court, to be used during such term.

Dear Mr. Rudeloff:

You have requested the opinion of the Attorney General as to whether, when a jury wheel county, for reasons not here relevant, did not have a jury list during the preceding year and has now prepared a proper jury list and placed the same in the jury wheel at the proper time, i.e., between August 1 and August 15, and the County Court term began the third Monday in July, a legally qualified jury may be drawn for such County Court from such jury wheel in the face of Article 2096, Vernon's Civil Statutes, which states that the panel for the week be drawn not less than 10 days prior to the first day of a term of Court. Article 2096, Vernon's Civil Statutes, reads in relevant part as follows:

"Not less than ten (10) days prior to the first day of a term of court, . . . the clerk of the county court, or one of his deputies, and the sheriff or one of his deputies, in the presence and under the direction of the county judge, if the jurors are to be drawn for the county court, shall draw from the wheel containing the names of jurors, after the same has been well turned so that the cards therein are thoroughly mixed, one by one the names of thirty-six jurors, or a greater or less number where such judge has so directed, for each week of the term of the . . . county courts for which a jury may be required, . . "

It was held in <u>DeVault v. State</u>, 159 Tex.Crim. 360, 264 S.W.2d 126 (1954), that Article 2096 was not so severely violated by drawing the names in handfuls rather than individually as to cause reversible error in a murder prosecution.

Honorable Richard E. Rudeloff, page 2 (WW-1198)

It is our opinion that the <u>DeVault</u> case is authority that Article 2096 is directory only and not mandatory, and that when no jury list existed at the time required under Article 2096, compliance therewith at the earliest practicable time would be sufficient in the absence of a showing of prejudice arising therefrom. See also <u>Williams v. State</u>, 164 Tex.Crim. 381, 298 S.W.2d 833 (1957).

## SUMMARY

Where the term of court began before a jury list could be placed in the jury wheel and a jury is drawn therefrom after the list is properly placed therein, the jury so drawn is a valid jury notwithstanding that Article 2096, V.C.S. calls for the drawing to be done not less than 10 days before the opening of the term of court, in the absence of a showing of prejudice.

Sincerely yours,

WILL WILSON

Attorney General of Texas

John E. Leonarz

Assistant Attorney General

JEL:wb

APPROVED:

OPINION COMMITTEE

W. V. Geppert, Chairman Pat Bailey William Colburn Howard Mays Dudley McCalla

REVIEWED FOR THE ATTORNEY GENERAL

BY: Houghton Brownlee