

THE ATTORNEY GENERAL

OF TEXAS

AUSTIN 11, TEXAS

ANGGONIGIR GANRIR ATEORNEN GENERAL

May 12, 1965

Honorable Earle D. Garrison County Attorney Baylor County Seymour, Texas Opinion No. C-439

Re: Deadline for withdrawal of signatures from petition for local option election on sale of alcoholic beverages.

Dear Sir:

Your request for an opinion is based on the following facts:

On March 16, 1965, the County Clerk of Baylor County issued a petition, as provided in Article 666-32, Vernon's Penal Code, for a local option election on sale of alcoholic beverages, to be held in Justice Precinct No. 3 of Baylor County. This statute requires that the completed petition be returned and filed within 30 days after issuance. The petition, containing 28 signatures, was filed with the County Clerk on April 14, 1965. On April 26, 1965, after expiration of the 30-day period but before the Commissioners Court had taken action on the petition, one of the signers filed an affidavit requesting that his signature be withdrawn. The number of signatures required on the petition is 28, and withdrawal of one signature would render the petition insufficient.

Your question is whether the signer of a petition filed under Article 666-32 may withdraw his signature after expiration of the 30-day period for filing the petition.

Article 666-32 states in part:

"* * * When any such petition so issued shall within thirty (30) days after the date of issue be filed with the Clerk of the Commissioners Court bearing the actual signatures of as many as twentyfive per cent (25%) of the qualified voters of any such county, justice's precinct, or incorporated city or town, * * * it is hereby required that the Commissioners Court at its next regular session shall order a local option election to be held upon the Honorable Earle D. Garrison, page 2 (C-439)

issue set out in such petition. * * *" (Emphasis added.)

In an unnumbered letter opinion of the Attorney General's Office rendered to Honorable Tom Blackwell, County Attorney of Travis County, on September 13, 1956, the following question was asked in regard to a petition filed under Article 666-32: "Once a signature has been properly placed on the petition and the petition filed with the County Clerk, can the signer have his name cancelled?" The opinion stated, without discussion or citation of authority, that a person may withdraw his signature "at any time prior to the time official action is taken on the petition by the Commissioners Court." From our study of the question, we have concluded that this holding was in error insofar as it recognized a right to withdraw after expiration of the time limit for filing the petition.

In Texas Power & Light Co. v. Brownwood Public Service Co., 87 S.W.2d 557 (Tex.Civ.App. 1935), the question before the court was when the right of withdrawal expired with respect to a petition for a referendum election on the granting of a franchise by the city council. Article 1181, V.C.S., required the city council to call an election where a sufficient petition requesting the election was submitted before the date fixed for the franchise ordinance to take effect. Subsequent to that date but before the city council had acted on the petition, a number of signers attempted to withdraw their signatures. The court held that in the absence of a showing of good cause such as fraud or mistake, the right of withdrawal expired upon expiration of the time limit for filing the petition, since it would be unfair to permit withdrawals at a time when additions could not be made. The court dis-tinguished the earlier case of <u>Stahl v. Miller</u>, 63 S.W.2d 578 (Tex.Civ.App. 1933, error ref.), which had used language susceptible of the construction that signatures on a referendum election petition filed under Article 1181 could be withdrawn at any time before the city council acted on the petition. In the Stahl case, the request for withdrawal had been presented to the city council, and the council had acted on the petition, before expiration of the period for filing the petition. Consequently, it had been unnecessary for the court to consider whether a request for withdrawal which came after expiration of the deadline for filing the petition would have been timely.

The <u>Texas Power and Light Co</u>. case was followed in <u>Nunn</u> <u>v. New</u>, 222 S.W.2d 261 (Tex.Civ.App. 1949, reversed on other grounds, 148 Tex. 443, 226 S.W.2d 116). So far as we have been able to find, there have been no other Texas decisions on the question of withdrawal of signatures from an election petition. Bonorable Earle D. Carrison, page 3 (C-439)

We think the holding in the TP&L case is controlling in the present situation, and accordingly we answer your question in the negative. The opinion rendered by this office on September 13, 1956, is overruled to the extent that it conflicts with this opinion.

SUMMARY

The signer of a petition for a local option election on the sale of alcoholic beverages may not withdraw his signature from the petition after the 30-day time limit for filing the petition has expired. Unnumbered Attorney General's opinion dated September 13, 1956, is overruled to the extent of conflict with this opinion.

Yours very truly,

WAGGONER CARR Attorney General

Lory K. Wall Bv

Mary K: Wall Assistant

MKW:sj:zt

APPROVED:

OPINION COMMITTEE

W. V. Geppert, Chairman

J. C. Davis H. Grady Chandler W. O. Shultz Brady Coleman

APPROVED FOR THE ATTORNEY GENERAL BY: Stanton Stone