Mr. J. W. Edgar  
Commissioner of Education  
Texas Education Agency  
Austin, Texas

Opinion No. C-548

Re: Whether the Rio Grande Independent Rehabilitation District is an independent school district within the meaning and intendment of the Foundation School Program Act (Articles 2922-11 et seq. V.C.S.) authorizing payment of State aid benefits to eligible school districts and related question.

Dear Mr. Edgar:

Your letter of October 19, 1965, requesting our opinion relative to the above captioned matter reads in part as follows:

"The Rio Grande Independent Rehabilitation District (comprising Hidalgo and Cameron Counties) was created in November, 1964 pursuant to an election held under Section 8 of Article 2675k. It has a Board of Directors and officers as authorized under Section 7, supra. And pursuant to Section 9 an ad valorem tax has recently been levied by the District for the support of its activities and program. In or about July, 1965 it employed a superintendent and designated the regular offices of the District to be at Mercedes.

"The Board of Directors of the Rio Grande Independent Rehabilitation District has requested that I submit for an opinion from the Office of Attorney General the following questions.

"1. Is the Rio Grande Independent Rehabilitation District an independent school district within the meaning and intendment of the Foundation School Program Act (Articles 2922-11, et seq. V.C.S.) authorizing payment of State aid benefits to eligible school districts?"
"2. Is said Independent Rehabilitation District eligible for financial assistance under Title III of the National Defense Act of 1958 (as amended in 1964 to cover additional subjects), as constituting a part of the public elementary and/or secondary school program of Texas?"

In passing on your question No. 1, we have carefully reviewed all of the provisions under Article 2675k, Vernon's Civil Statutes of Texas, and find that the Legislature has not expressly provided that the provisions of the Foundation School Program Act (Articles 2922-11 et seq., Vernon's Civil Statutes of Texas) shall be applicable to Independent Rehabilitation Districts.

We are also of the opinion that Article 2675k cannot be reasonably construed to mean that the Legislature intended for the Foundation School Program Act to apply to Independent Rehabilitation Districts. Sections 6(d) and 6(e) of Article 2675k, in our opinion, lend weight to this conclusion. We quote these two sections as follows:

"(d) To provide for the continuation of an educational program for handicapped persons between the ages of six (6) and seventeen (17) inclusive, the training facility operated by and within the District shall be assigned special education teachers (units) through the public school district in which said training facility is located; the basis for establishing, operating, and the formula to be used for determining allocation of each type of special education unit shall be the same as required by the Texas Education Agency of any school district. (Emphasis added.)

"(e) All handicapped persons of scholastic age enrolled and in training in a District shall be credited to the regular school district from which they were referred to said District or in which they resided at the time they entered for training at said District, and such District shall be entitled to receive all State aid and benefits for each referred trainee that said regular school districts from which said trainees were so referred to said District or in which they so resided at the time of their enrollment at the District would have been entitled based on the attendance of said trainees within said scholastic ages." (Emphasis added.)
Under the Foundation School Program Act, all eligible regular school districts, upon application, receive State aid and benefits directly from the State. Sections 6(d) and 6(e) of Article 2675k indicate that the Legislature did not intend that Independent Rehabilitation Districts receive State aid and benefits directly. Subdivision (d) says that the Independent Rehabilitation Districts shall be assigned special education teachers through the public school district in which a training facility of such Rehabilitation District is located. Subdivision (e) says in substance each handicapped person enrolled in training in a Rehabilitation District shall be credited to his regular school district, and that where such trainee is referred or assigned from a regular school district to a Rehabilitation District, such Rehabilitation District would receive through the regular school district such State aid and benefits that the regular school district would have been entitled to based on the attendance of said trainee had the trainee not been referred or assigned. Based upon our construction of the above two subdivisions, it is our opinion that the only State aid and benefits that the Rehabilitation Districts would be entitled to would come through the regular school districts and not directly from the State.

Based upon the above considerations, we respectfully answer your question No. 1 in the negative.

In considering your question No. 2, we note that the National Defense Act of 1958 authorizes financial assistance to the States in order to establish and maintain a program for strengthening science, mathematics and modern foreign language instruction in public schools. This Act was amended in 1964 to include additional subjects. See Sections 441-445, Title 20, USCA, as amended.

Section 441, Title 20, USCA, as amended, authorizes an appropriation of $70 million for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1959, and for each of the five succeeding fiscal years, and $90 million for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1965, and for each of the three succeeding fiscal years, for making payments to state education agencies under this Title for the acquisition of equipment and for minor remodeling of laboratory and other special equipment (other than supplies consumed in use), including audiovisual materials and equipment, printed and published materials, suitable for use in providing education in science, mathematics, history, civics, geography, modern foreign language, English, or reading in public elementary or secondary schools, or both, and for test grading equipment and special equipment for audiovisual equipment for such schools. This section further authorizes an appropriation of $5 million for the fiscal year ending June 30,
1959, and for each of the five succeeding fiscal years, and $10 million for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1965, and for each of the three succeeding fiscal years, for payments to state education agencies for the expansion or improvement of supervisory or related services in public elementary and secondary schools in the fields of science, mathematics, history, civics, geography, modern foreign languages, English, and reading and for the administration of the State plan.

As announced in Attorney General's Opinion No. WW-868, dated June 28, 1960, one of the criteria for determining eligibility for financial assistance under the National Defense Act of 1958 is whether a school is a part of the public elementary and/or secondary school program of a State.

Section 403(g), Title 20, USCA, contains the following definition:

"The term 'elementary school' means a school which provides elementary education, as determined under state law or, if such school is not in any State, as determined by the Commissioner."

Section 403(h), Title 20, USCA, contains this definition:

"The term 'secondary school' means a school which provides secondary education, as determined under state law or, if such school is not in any State, as determined by the Commissioner, except that it does not include any education provided beyond grade 12 . . . ."

In determining whether Texas Public Junior Colleges were eligible for assistance under Title III of the National Defense Act of 1958, this office said in Opinion No. WW-868:

". . . the Texas Public Junior Colleges are governed, administered and controlled by the Board of Education of the Independent School Districts or Junior College Districts of the particular district in which each is located and all such districts are under the general control of the Central Education Agency as stated in Article 2654-1, Vernon's Civil Statutes. Under Article 2815h, Vernon's Civil Statutes, the Junior College Districts are given power to issue bonds, levy taxes to service same, and levy and collect taxes for their support and maintenance. Therefore, Junior Colleges of Texas are an integral part of the local public school system, and, as such, are a part of the
secondary public school program which includes high schools and junior colleges. Although from a curriculum standpoint, the Texas Public Junior Colleges are considered higher education, since they offer work parallel to that of the first two years of the four year colleges, they also come within the definition of secondary schools in Texas, being governed and financed in the same manner on the State and local levels as are other secondary schools in Texas and we conclude that this is controlling in determining their legal status as secondary schools.

"Therefore, it is the opinion of this Department that Texas Public Junior Colleges are an integral part of the local public school system, and, as such, under State law, are a part of the public secondary school system of Texas which entitles them to financial assistance under Title III of the National Defense Education Act of 1958."

Under Article 2675k, Independent Rehabilitation Districts are controlled by their Board of Directors and all these districts are under the general control of the Texas Education Agency as stated in Article 2654-1. Such districts are authorized to levy and collect taxes for their support and maintenance. One of the purposes of Independent Rehabilitation Districts is to provide an educational and training program for handicapped persons between the ages of six (6) and seventeen (17) inclusive. Applying the reasoning quoted from Opinion WW-88 above, we are of the opinion that Independent Rehabilitation Districts are an integral part of our public school program of Texas, and that schools provided by said districts would constitute a part of the public elementary and/or secondary school program of Texas, thus enabling such Districts to be eligible for financial assistance under Title III of the National Defense Act of 1958, as amended.

**SUMMARY**

The Rio Grande Independent Rehabilitation District is not an independent school district within the meaning and intendment of the Foundation School Program Act (Articles 2922-11 et seq. V.C.S.) and therefore is not entitled to receive State aid and benefits directly from the State.
The Rio Grande Independent Rehabilitation District is an integral part of our public school program of Texas, and schools provided by such district would constitute a part of the public elementary and/or secondary school program of Texas, thus enabling such Districts to be eligible for financial assistance under Title III of the National Defense Act of 1958, as amended.

Very truly yours,

WAGGONER CARR
Attorney General

By Dean Arrington
Assistant
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