May 21, 1975

The Honorable Ted Butler
Criminal District Attorney
San Antonio, Texas 78204

Opinion No. H- 612
Re: Whether a person held after arrest on a governor's warrant is entitled to bail.

Dear Mr. Butler:

You ask whether a person held after arrest on a governor's warrant based on a demand for extradition, is entitled to bail. The Uniform Criminal Extradition Act, article 51.13, Code of Criminal Procedure, adopted in 1951, provides for the arrest, detention and extradition of a person found in Texas who is charged with committing a crime in another State. Section 16 of article 51.13 provides:

Unless the offense with which the prisoner is charged is shown to be an offense punishable by death or life imprisonment under the laws of the State in which it was committed, a judge or magistrate in this State may admit the person arrested to bail by bond, with sufficient sureties and in such sum as he deems proper, conditioned for his appearance before him at a time specified in such bond, and for his surrender, to be arrested upon the warrant of the Governor in this State.

Although the Texas courts have not directly dealt with section 16 in its present form, the Court of Criminal Appeals early held that a person held on a governor's warrant was not entitled to bail. Hobbs v. State, 22 S.W. 1035 (Tex. Crim. 1893). The constitutional guarantee to bail for "all prisoners" was the same in 1893 as it is today:

p. 2710
All prisoners shall be bailable by sufficient sureties, unless for capital offenses, when the proof is evident; but this provision shall not be so construed as to prevent bail after indictment found upon examination of the evidence in such manner as may be prescribed by law. Tex. Const. art. 1, sec. 11.

In Ex Parte Dugue, 333 S. W. 2d 382 (Tex. Crim. 1960), the court held that a person arrested in Texas on a bailable felony charge committed in Texas as well as under a governor's warrant for extradition was not entitled to bail on the otherwise bailable felony since he was "in lawful custody for extradition." With no reason other than the arrest under the governor's warrant given for denial of bail, the opinion suggests that Hobbs is still viable and a person held under a governor's warrant is not entitled to bail.

With a bail provision in Indiana's Uniform Criminal Extradition Act almost identical to Texas' and a similar constitutional bail guarantee, the Supreme Court of Indiana has recently held that a person sought by the State of New York, is not entitled to bail after arrest on a governor's warrant, and has comprehensively stated the majority view on this subject, citing Hobbs as being in accord. Howard v. St. Joseph Superior Court, 316 N. E. 2d 356 (Ind. 1974), and cases cited therein. The court in Howard held that neither the Uniform Act nor the constitutional provision encompassed the situation of a person held after the issuance of a governor's warrant. While the Uniform Act provides for bail between arrest without a governor's warrant and receipt of the governor's warrant by the magistrate having custody of the accused fugitive, the Howard court explained, quoting from Ex Parte Thompson, 96 A. 102 at 118, 119 (N. J. 1915):

"It is true that our Constitution provides that all persons shall, before conviction, be bailable by sufficient sureties, except for capital offenses where the proof is evident or presumption great. Article 1, §10. But this . . . is not intended to extend bail to
cases where it did not previously obtain. As our constitutional provision is that all persons, before conviction, shall be bailable, the provision does not extend to persons who may not be convicted under our laws. As we have no power to convict an offender against the criminal laws of the state of New York, we have no power to enlarge on bail a person accused of an offense against the laws of that state. . . . (Court's emphasis).


Consequently, a person arrested before the issuance of a governor's warrant may be admitted to bail pursuant to article 51.13, section 16, Code of Criminal Procedure, until said warrant is issued. A person arrested and held pursuant to an issued governor's warrant may not be admitted to bail since there is no statutory or constitutional authority for bail under such circumstances.

SUMMARY

A person held after arrest on a governor's warrant is not entitled to bail.

Very truly yours,

John L. Hill
Attorney General of Texas
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