

THE ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS

Austin. Texas 78711

JOHN L. HILL ATTORNEY GENERAL

August 25, 1975

Mr. E. Richard Friedman, O.D. Chairman of the Board

Texas Optometry Board

P. O. Box 24280 Dallas, Texas 75237

Opinion No. H- 674

Re: Whether the Optometry

Board is required to dedicate ten dollars of each renewal fee to the University of Houston

Development Fund.

Dear Mr. Friedman:

You have requested our opinion concerning the following question.

Does Section 2.15 of the Texas Optometry Act require that \$10.00 of each renewal fee collected by the Board be dedicated to the University of Houston Development Fund to be utilized solely for scholarships and improvements in the physical facilities, including library, of the School of Optometry?

In our understanding, your question arises from the failure of the Legislature to include this dedication of funds in the 1975 Appropriations Act.

Article 4552-2.15(c), V. T. C.S., provides:

The funds realized from annual renewal fees shall be distributed as follows: \$10 of each renewal fee collected by the board shall be dedicated to the University of Houston Development Fund. The license money placed in the development fund pursuant hereto shall be utilized solely for scholarships and improvements in the physical facilities, including library, of the School of Optometry.

The remainder of the fees attributable to annual renewal fees and all other fees payable under this Act shall be placed in the state treasury to the credit of a special fund to be known as the 'Optometry Fund,' and the comptroller shall upon requisition of the board from time to time draw warrants upon the state treasurer for the amounts specified in such requisition; provided, however, the fees from this optometry fund shall be expended as specified by itemized appropriation in the General Appropriations bill and shall be used by the Texas Optometry Board, and under its direction in carrying out its statutory duties.

The first portion of the statute clearly requires the dedication of \$10 of each renewal fee to the University of Houston Development Fund. In Attorney General Opinion M-958 (1971) this office stated that the use of funds contemplated by article 4552-2.15(c) was for a public purpose and that the provision was therefore constitutional. However, that opinion did not involve the procedure by which the allocation of funds is made.

We believe the allocation of funds is valid without an appropriation. While article 8, section 6, of the Texas Constitution requires moneys in the Treasury to be spent only pursuant to an appropriation, in our opinion this requirement is not relevant to the dedication and allocation of funds to the University of Houston Development Fund for the statute clearly indicates that the dedication occurs before the money is deposited in the Optometry Board's account in the State Treasury. Friedman v. American Surety Co. of New York, 151 S. W. 2d 570 (Tex. Sup. 1941). Attorney General Opinions M-1041 (1972), M-970 (1971). This opinion is not in conflict with Attorney General Opinion M-787 (1971) as the question in that opinion concerned only the second portion of article 4552-2.15(c).

Mr. E. Richard Friedman, O.D., page 3 (H-674)

SUMMARY

Pursuant to article 4552-2.15(c), \$10 of each renewal fee is to be placed in the University of Houston Development Fund.

Very truly yours,

JOHN L. HILL

Attorney General of Texas

APPROVED:

DAVID M. KENDALL, First Assistant

C. ROBERT HEATH, Chairman

Opinion Committee