

## The Attorney General of Texas

December 4, 1978

## JOHN L. HILL Attorney General

Supreme Court Building P O. Box 12548
Austin, TX. 78711
512/475-2501

701 Commerce, Suite 200 Dallas, TX. 75202 214/742-8944

4824 Alberta Ave., Suite 160 El Paso, TX 79905 915/533-3484

723 Main, Suite 610 Houston, TX 77002 713/228-0701

806 Broadway, Suite 312 Liibbock, TX, 79401 806/747-5238

4313 N. Tenth, Suite F. McAllen, TX. 78501 512/682-4547

200 Main Plaza, Suite 400 Jan Antonio, TX 78205 \$12,225-4191

An Equal Opportunity/ Affirmative Action Employer Honorable Hal H. Hood Firemen's Pension Commissioner 503-F Sam Houston State Office Building Austin. Texas 78701 Letter Advisory No. 154

Re: Whether a city councilman may be a member of a volunteer fire department which participates in the Volunteer Fire Fighters Relief and Retirement Fund.

## Dear Commissioner Hood:

You ask whether an individual may be a city council member, drawing remuneration, and at the same time a member of a volunteer fire department which participates in the Volunteer Fire Fighters Relief and Retirement Fund established pursuant to article 6243e.3, V.T.C.S. You inform us that the only compensation to be received for fire fighting will be a future retirement benefit. The individual is thus a volunteer fireman, rather than a regular fireman receiving monetary remuneration for his services. See V.T.C.S. art. 6243e.3, \$1(1); Attorney General Opinion H-665 (1975).

Your question raises the issue of dual office holding. There are three impediments to the holding of dual offices: (1) the separation of powers requirement of article 2, section 1 of the Texas Constitution; (2) the limitations imposed by sections 33 and 40 of article 16 of the Texas Constitution; and (3) the common law doctrine that one person cannot hold two incompatible offices. Attorney General Opinions H-665 (1975); H-7 (1973).

A city councilman holds an office in the legislative branch of government. Letter Advisory No. 112 (1975). A volunteer fireman is in the executive branch of government, but he occupies a public employment intermittent in nature rather than an office. Attorney General Opinion H-665 (1975). In Letter Advisory No. 137 (1977) we determined that article 2, section 1 of the Texas Constitution did not bar an officer of one branch of government from working as an employee in another. See Ruiz v. State, 540 S.W.2d 809 (Tex. Civ. App. — Corpus Christi 1976, no writ). We conclude that this provision does not forbid a city councilman from employment in the executive branch as a volunteer fireman.

Article 16, section 40 of the Texas Constitution prohibits one person from holding "more than one civil office of emolument," while article 16, section 33 bars the payment of State funds to anyone who is in violation of section 40. We determined in Attorney General Opinion H-665 (1975) that a volunteer fireman did not occupy a "civil office" within article 16, section 40. Thus, even though a compensated city councilman holds a civil office of emolument, see Letter Advisory No. 85 (1974), he is not prevented by article 16, section 40 from being a volunteer fireman.

The common law doctrine of incompatibility prohibits the holding of two positions with inconsistent or conflicting duties. State v. Martin, 51 S.W.2d 815 (Tex. Civ. App. — San Antonio 1932, no writ). The existence of incompatibility is a question of fact, to be resolved in the first instance by the city. Letter Advisory No. 86 (1974). You have provided no facts indicating that the duties of the two positions are inconsistent, and we cannot say as matter of law that this common law doctrine bars the contemplated employment. See Attorney General Opinion H-665 (1975); Letter Advisory No. 30 (1973) (examples of compatible employments).

Very truly yours,

TOHN L. HILL

**Attorney General of Texas** 

APPROVED:

DAVID M. KENDALL, First Assistant

C. ROBERT HEATH, Chairman

Opinion Committee

isn