


**Legislative Oversight Committee
on Mental Health
and Mental Retardation**

**Report to the Texas Legislature
February, 1985**



Volume II: Community Residential Survey

**Volume II:
Community Residential Survey**

**Legislative Oversight Committee
on Mental Health
and Mental Retardation**

**Report to the Texas Legislature
February, 1985**

**Reports to be available March, 1985
Volume I: Mental Health
Volume II: Community Residential Survey
Volume III: Mental Retardation**

**Coordinated and staffed by:
Mental Health Association in Texas
1111 W. 24th Street
Austin, Texas 78705
(512) 476-0611**

P R E F A C E

One of the major issues addressed by the Legislative Oversight Committee on Mental Health and Mental Retardation was that of the availability of community-based residential alternatives to state hospital admission. To this end, the Mental Health Association in Texas, on behalf of the Committee commissioned the firm of Health Consulting to examine:

- ° the number of community residential alternatives,
- ° the kinds of services offered,
- ° issues in the provision of community residential services.

The results of the survey are summarized in Volume I of the Report to the Legislature of the Legislative Oversight Committee on Mental Health and Mental Retardation. Because of the interest generated by this study, it is being published in its entirety here. We hope it will be a useful tool in the planning of community residential alternatives for mentally ill persons.

COMMITTEE ROSTER

LEGISLATIVE OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE ON
MENTAL HEALTH AND MENTAL RETARDATION

Oswin Chrisman, Chair
Dallas

Spencer Bayles, M.D.
Houston

Senator Chet Brooks
Pasadena

W.H. Cunningham, Ph.D., Dean
School of Business
The University of Texas
Austin

Helen Farabee, President
Mental Health Association in Texas
Wichita Falls

Lloyd Kilpatrick, Executive Director
Tarrant County MHMR Services
Fort Worth

Alvin LeBlanc, M.D., Vice President
The University of Texas Medical Branch
Galveston

Representative Frank Madla
San Antonio

Gary Miller, M.D., Commissioner
TDMHMR

R. Coke Mills, Chair
Texas Board of MHMR
Waco

Theresa Mulloy, Ed.D., Executive Director
Pecan Valley MHMR Region
Stephenville

Ross Newby, Executive Director
Texas Commission on Alcoholism
Austin

David Pharis, Coordinator
R.A.J. Review Panel
Austin


Representative Jack Vowell
El Paso

David Wade, M.D.
Corpus Christi

Frankie Williams, M.D., Superintendent
Vernon State Hospital

Staff

Stella C. Mullins, Executive Director
Karen F. Hale, Associate Director
Michaelyn Saukel, Research Assistant
Rachel Kates, Secretary
Mental Health Association in Texas
Austin



HEALTH CONSULTING

MENTAL HEALTH ASSOCIATION IN TEXAS

COMMUNITY RESIDENTIAL SERVICES SURVEY

P.O. Box 191148
Dallas, Tx. 75219
(214) 522-8602

P.O. Box 6171
McLean, Va. 2210
(703) 488-1289

MENTAL HEALTH ASSOCIATION IN TEXAS
COMMUNITY RESIDENTIAL SERVICES SURVEY

Linda Donelson, M.S.N., S.M.Hyg.
Project Director

Sally Jean Hart, Dr.P.H.

Mary L. Fleming, M.S

Health Consulting, Dallas, Texas and McLean, Virginia

December 1984

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This study was possible through the cooperation and help of a large number of people. Most importantly was the enthusiasm and support of the staff of the residential programs throughout the state of Texas. Their cooperation and responsiveness to the questionnaire and this study was unusually high.

My grateful thanks to all the people at the Department of Mental Health and Mental Retardation, mental health and mental retardation centers, state hospitals, the Department of Human Resources, Department of Health, Veterans Administration, and local social service agencies for their pleasant and helpful attitudes which made difficult information gathering a pleasurable experience.

Sarah Donelson got us off to a great start. Catherine Chenery kept us cheerful. Windy Wyatt kept us optimistic. Ann Benson was marvelous and if we had her efforts for a longer period of time our work would have been through much sooner. Thank you to Susan Caudill for her printer and support. Most of all, a very special thanks to Karen Hale of the Mental Health Association in Texas for all of her patience. L.H.D.
S.J.H.
M.L.F.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
SUMMARY	Summary - 1
SECTION 1: INTRODUCTION	1
Community Residential Services Survey	2
SECTION 2: METHODOLOGY	5
Pretest	6
Survey Method	7
Respondents	8
Data Management and Analysis	9
Limitations of the Survey	12
SECTION 3: FINDINGS	14
Program Type	14
Number of Residential Slots Available	21
Foster Care Programs	23
Residential Beds Available	24
In-Patient Hospital Programs	25
Costs Per Day	25
Contracting Agencies	26
Client Characteristics	28
Age of Clients	29
Race and Ethnicity	30
Marital Status	30
Education	31
Employment	32
Medication	32
History of Hospitalization	33
Staff Characteristics	35
Staff Discipline	35
Availability of Funds for Education	36
Inservice Education	38
SECTION 4: DISCUSSION	42
Program Type, Licensing, & Certification	42
Recommendation	44
Lack of Residential Programs	44
Recommendations	45
Costs and Funding	46
Recommendations	46

SECTION 5: ISSUES AND RECOMMENDATIONS

APPENDIX A: DISTRIBUTION OF PROGRAMS BY MENTAL HEALTH SERVICES AREA	95
APPENDIX B: QUESTIONNAIRE	96

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE		PAGE
1:	Total Number of Programs	15
2:	Client Control/Responsibility	17
3:	Accessibility of Community	18
4:	Bed Capacity	19
5:	Length of Residence	20
6:	Beds Currently Available	22
7:	Foster Home Beds	23
8:	Cost Per Day	26
9:	Agencies Which Contract	27
10:	Discipline of Staff	37
11:	Continuing Education Funds	39
12:	Inservice Education	41
A-1:	Rehabilitation Orientation	48
A-2:	Therapy Orientation	49
A-3:	Custodial Orientation	50
A-4:	Owners/Operators	51
A-5:	Bed Capacity	52
A-6:	Licensing and Certification	53
A-7:	Licensing and Certification	54
A-8:	Licensing and Certification	55
A-9:	Licensing and Certification	56
A-10:	Licensing and Certification	57
A-11:	Licensing and Certification	58

A-12:	Licensing and Certification	59
A-13:	Licensing and Certification	60
A-14:	Licensing and Certification	61
A-15:	Licensing and Certification	62
A-16:	Licensing and Certification	63
A-17:	Licensing and Certification	64
B-1:	Availability of Res. Services	65
B-2:	Beds Occupied per 100,000	68
B-3:	Beds Occupied by Adults	71
B-4:	Inpatient Psychiatric Beds	74
C-1:	Cost Per Day	80
C-2:	Contracting Agencies	81
C-3:	Clients' Contribution to Cost	82
D-1:	Program Residents	83
D-2:	Age of Residents	84
D-3:	Race of Residents	85
D-4:	Marital Status of Residents	86
D-5:	Employment Status of Residents	87
D-6:	Educational Status	88
D-7:	Psychotropic Medications	89
D-8:	Time Involved With MH System	90
D-9:	Number of Times Hospitalized	91
D-10:	Years Since First Hospitalization	92
D-11:	Number of Times Hospitalized	93
E-1:	Resident/Staff Ratio	94

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

- ~ Three hundred and nineteen (319) programs were located which will accept residents who have a history of mental illness.
- ~ Complete data was obtained on 205 programs, partial data on 80 programs. Only 25 programs were totally uncooperative. Nine programs sent data that for logistical reasons was not included.
- ~ Residents with a known history of mental illness account for 2,980 of the 10,648 residents of the 285 responding
- ~ Over 1,466 empty residential slots were reported.
- ~ Almost half of the residents with a history of mental illness are living in programs which offer primarily custodial care with little or no therapy or rehabilitation.
- ~ Programs operated by community mental health and mental retardation programs offered significantly more rehabilitative care.
- ~ Personal care and room and board homes which contract with mental health mental retardation centers or state hospital programs are higher in therapy and rehabilitative orientation than homes which do not contract with mental health agencies.
- ~ High rehabilitation orientation existed in apartment programs, Fairweather lodges, halfway houses and supervised group homes.
- ~ Rehabilitative programs tended to be small in size with 8-15 residents per program.
- ~ Supervised apartment programs, unsupervised apartment programs and Fairweather lodges offer clients more control and responsibility.
- ~ No particular program type demonstrated a clear orientation toward high community access. However, unsupervised apartments, Fairweather lodges, and halfway houses were classified as medium or high accessibility.
- ~ The majority (86%) of programs do not have a limit on the length of time a resident may be in the program. Programs which limit length of stay have a therapeutic rather than a rehabilitative or custodial orientation.

- ~ The Veterans Administration has 797 residents in foster care homes with 2 to 15 residents per home.
- ~ The Department of Human Resources offers foster care homes for approximately 150 adults who are "emotionally disturbed."
- ~ Forty two (42) of the mental health service areas have residential beds available that will accept residents with a history of mental illness. Sixteen (16) service areas have no residential beds available that will accept residents with a history of mental illness. Two areas had no programs that would respond to the questionnaire.
- ~ The number of mentally ill in residential programs ranged from 235.29 per 100,000 population to 0. When programs that serve only adults are considered the range was 95.98 per 100,000 to 0. The average number of mentally ill in residential programs was 20 per 100,000.
- ~ There are 11,289 psychiatric beds operating statewide. This figure includes state psychiatric, private psychiatric, veterans administration, military, and psychiatric beds in general hospitals.
- ~ The average cost per day per client in residential programs is \$33.42, with a range from "no cost" to \$365.00.
- ~ Programs that were highest in therapeutic orientation had the highest cost per day.
- ~ Programs that were highest in rehabilitation, access to the community, and client control and responsibility tended to be low cost programs.
- ~ Residents tend to be poorly educated, single (without families), unemployed, have a long history of mental illness and repeated hospitalizations.
- ~ Therapeutic programs have a higher representation of younger clients with a shorter history of mental illness.
- ~ Personal care homes located in nursing homes clearly serve people with a better education, are white, have been married at some time in their life and have a less severe history of mental illness.
- ~ Of the 1985 residents for whom age was reported, over half (1060) were under the age of 18.

- ~ If we assume that all residents whose age was not reported are adults (925) and combine those residents with the 947 adult foster care clients sponsored by TDHR and V.A., a maximum total of 1872 residents have a history of long term mental illness. (13.16 per 100,000)
- ~ The programs with a high rehabilitation orientation have a significantly higher number of residents who are employed. Fairweather lodges have 93% of the residents employed. Both types of apartment programs and halfway houses have 50% of the residents employed.
- ~ It was rare for any resident in a personal care or room and board home to be employed.
- ~ Since the majority of psychiatric professionals and direct care workers have no training in residential or rehabilitative services it can be assumed that the need for continuing education and in-service education in the residential programs is essential. Yet over 30% of the programs responding have no funds available for continuing education. Thirty nine percent (39%) of residential programs have either no or only sporadic inservice education available.
- ~ There are inconsistencies and discrepancies regarding licensing and certifying personal care homes and room and board homes.

RECOMMENDATIONS

- ~ Licensure be developed for small (5-15) person congregate living facilities.
- ~ Develop incentives for community based residential programs.
- ~ Develop continuing education, inservice education, and consultation for community residential programs.
- ~ Encourage the development of low cost rehabilitative programs.
- ~ Set a goal of 60 community residential beds per 100,000 population with 40 of these slots being low cost rehabilitative models.
- ~ Establish a plan with the Insurance Commission to develop a model for financing rehabilitative residential care.
- ~ Develop a specific plan of action to increase interagency collaboration and resources to assist the mentally disabled adult.

I. Introduction/Background

The Mental Health Association in Texas, at the request of the State of Texas Legislative Council, is coordinating a systematic and comprehensive review of the mental health services system in Texas. In Texas as in many other states, the Department of Mental Health and Mental Retardation (TDMHMR) is facing serious problems which are exacerbated by the lack of adequate financial resources, an increasing demand for services, and the need to move from an institution-based to a community-based system of care. Outside pressure from the court system and the efforts of advocacy groups are additional factors which decision-makers must confront and address in planning and developing an adequate and appropriate continuum of mental health services for the citizens of Texas.

Under its agreement with the Legislative Council, The Mental Health Association has responsibility for examining the mental health services system to determine:

1. the demand for the services of the mental health system-- including a profile of clients in the system, the service needs of those clients, the range of unmet service needs, and implications for future needs;
2. the resources available within TDMHMR to provide these services--including an analysis of current legislation and regulations, existing linkages with other state agencies, and services available from other agencies; and

3. those changes needed to ensure the system is responsive to the needs of the clients including-- the requisite elements of a continuum of care, the role of the hospital or other restrictive environments in a continuum of care, and the need for a comprehensive array of community-based services.

The results of the study will be used by the Mental Health Association to make recommendations to the Legislative Council regarding policy changes which would encourage the development of an appropriate continuum of services. The study results are also intended for TDMHMR's use in implementing those policy recommendations.

Community Residential Services Survey

Human service systems have a responsibility to offer support and services to persons with a severe mental illness in the least restrictive and most normative environment possible. This means that a continuum of community residential services must be available to the clients of the system. The specific levels of services offered along that continuum will vary according to such factors as the purpose of the program, the type and number of clients, the amount of staff supervision, the types of services provided by the program, the type and number of staff, admissions criteria and expected length of stay of clients.

It is a particularly appropriate time to examine the need to develop a continuum of community residential services for the mentally ill in Texas. TDMHMR has been working to reduce the census at state hospitals and to support individuals in their communities. This requires, at a minimum, that people have a place to live. In addition to a roof over their heads, many people need a range of support services if they are to remain in the community. Residential programs may either provide these services or ensure that clients receive them from other sources. The amount of support services provided by a program is one of the key dimensions which determines the point along the continuum of care at which the program falls. Prior to this study, however, there were no comprehensive and reliable profiles of community residential programs operating in Texas and the clients served by those programs.

There is a pressing need for valid and reliable information about the community residential services currently available across the state of Texas. As one component of the overall assessment of the mental health services system, the Mental Health Association has contracted with Health Consulting to conduct a survey of community residential services currently available to persons who have a severe mental illness. This study builds upon an earlier study conducted by TDMHMR. The specific objectives of this study are:

1. to identify and describe the community residential services currently available to the mentally ill including the services provided by those programs, accessibility to other community services, staffing patterns, approximate cost of services, distribution of services around the state, and the level of rehabilitation services offered by the program; and
2. to develop a profile of clients currently being served in these programs including their history of psychiatric hospitalization, level of participation in the program, demographic characteristics and the total number being served and current employment status.

The results of this survey will be used by the Mental Health Association to make policy recommendations to the state legislature regarding an appropriate continuum of care.

This is the final report on the results of the survey. Chapter II presents an overview of the study methodology, Chapter III presents the results, and issues and proposed solutions are addressed in Chapter IV.

II. Methodology

Health Consulting conducted a mail survey of all community residential programs identified during the course of the project.

The following types of programs were included in the study:

- . Supervised Apartments - clients are living in apartments in the community usually with staff living in an adjoining apartment building and able to offer 24 hour assistance when necessary.
- . Unsupervised Apartments - staff does not live in but is available when needed and visits the clients regularly.
- . Fairweather Lodges - a group of clients are trained to live together and work together while in the hospital. Upon discharge they rent a home and run a small business together (frequently janitorial). There is no live in staff although a coordinator is available to consult and assist with work problems, daily living needs, and to act as a case manager.
- . Foster Care Programs - the client is placed in a home in the community, usually one or two clients per home. The family is trained in working with the mentally ill and are offered supervision by the local mental health center. The Department of Human Resources usually certifies and may subsidize the home.
- . Halfway Houses - usually a transitional 3 to 6 month program designed to assist clients who have experienced an acute illness with short term hospitalization. Therapy and community and work adjustment are usually emphasized. Usually certified by the Texas Rehabilitation Commission.
- . Personal Care Homes - supervision and assistance with daily living needs. May be free standing or a wing or section of a nursing home. Licensed by Texas Department of Health.
- . Respite Care - provides either short-term crisis care to prevent hospitalization or a respite to families or clients who need to relieve family stress for a short period of time.
- . Room and Board Homes - offer room and board to a variety of types of people. Many accept referrals from state hospitals. May or may not be licensed.

. Supervised Group Homes - a small group of clients living together with 24-hour supervision and usually an activating treatment or training program.

. Residential treatment centers - 24-hour supervised training and living programs usually for children and adolescents with emotional problems. Licensed by T.D.H.R.

Instrument

Health Consulting developed a four-part, 30 item survey instrument. All items were closed-ended. An instrument developed and used by TDMHMR in an earlier survey of community residential services served as the basis for the instrument developed for this project. The questionnaire was designed to obtain information in the following areas:

Facility - includes items such as type of program, owner/operator, contracting agency, cost per day, total bed capacity, limit on length of residence, and licensing information.

Program/Services - includes services offered, accessibility to community activities and client participation in the operation of the program.

Clients - includes total number of residents, general diagnostic classification, demographics, history of psychiatric hospitalization and current employment status.

Staff - includes total number by discipline and opportunity for inservice or continuing education.

Pretest

A pretest of the survey instrument was conducted with four programs in the Dallas metropolitan area. These programs represented various types of community residential services and were known to provide services to persons with a long-term and severe

mental illness. The purpose of the pretest was to determine the validity of specific items, the length of time required to complete the questionnaire, and the interrater reliability. Minor modifications were made to the survey instrument after the pretest. These revisions consisted of eliminating a few items for which data were not available, format changes and minor revisions in the wording of certain items.

Survey Method

Health Consulting originally proposed to conduct a telephone survey of all residential programs. The questionnaire would be mailed out for review. Follow up telephone calls were scheduled to answer any questions and schedule the actual telephone interview to fill out the questionnaire.

The initial mailing was completed as planned. However, at the time of the first telephone contact, a majority of respondents indicated that they would prefer to complete the questionnaire and return it by mail. As a result, approximately 60% of the respondents chose to mail in the survey; telephone interviews were conducted with the remaining 40% of the respondents. All questionnaires which were returned by mail were thoroughly checked to ensure that responses were complete and that they were internally consistent. Follow-up telephone contacts were made to collect additional information and to clarify responses as needed.

In effect, therefore, two methods of data collection were used to obtain information from respondents. There is no reason

to assume, however, that the completeness, reliability, and validity of the data differed in the two sets of questionnaires. The review and follow-up procedures were designed to ensure that comparable information was collected from all respondents, irrespective of the method used for any particular respondent.

Respondents

One of the primary objectives of this study is to identify and develop a profile of all community residential programs in Texas which currently provide services or have the capacity to provide services to the mentally ill. In order to meet this objective, Health Consulting contacted a wide range of agencies and government offices seeking information on existing residential programs. The listing of respondents to TDMHMR's earlier survey of residential services served as the basis for identifying the population for this study. Additional agencies and organizations which were contacted included the Texas Department of Human Resources, Texas Department of Health, Texas Rehabilitation Commission, Texas Medical Association, local mental health mental retardation centers, state psychiatric hospitals, Veterans' Administration Psychiatric programs and private providers of mental health services.

A total of 550 questionnaires were mailed; by the end of the data collection period, 319 programs that will accept people who have mental illness were identified. Health Consulting is confident that all licensed residential providers in the state have been identified, with the exception of room and board facilities

which may have local licensure. However, complete data is not available for all providers. Some programs provided partial data, some programs mailed data that was never received, and a few refused to cooperate in any way.

Health Consulting was not able to verify that we had identified all of those private programs which function under the licensure of a private hospital. Repeated attempts to identify those programs through contacts with the Texas Medical Association were unsuccessful. It is almost impossible to estimate the number of mentally ill persons who are served by those programs. We do believe it is a very small number.

Community residential programs for the mentally ill which are operated by the Veterans' Administration were excluded from the study because those facilities are not available to the general public. However, we did determine the number of community residential beds supported by the Veterans' Administration. Likewise, detailed data on Department of Human Resources Foster Care Programs for emotionally disturbed adults was not obtained.

Data Management and Analysis

All data from the completed questionnaires were entered into a Hewlett Packard 150 using a Lotus 1 2 3 program. See Appendix-B for a copy of the questionnaire. Data was coded for entry into the computer. If the data was internally inconsistent or appeared to be in error, the respondent was contacted and corrections made accordingly. The questionnaire had 164 different items for com-

puter input. The majority of these required no alteration for input. The following judgements or alteration of data occurred:

Item 1. If a personal care home which we had listed as having a nursing home licensure identified themselves as a freestanding personal care home we changed the classification to a personal care home located within in a nursing home.

Items 23a-e. If more than one option was checked on each item the option with the highest priority was coded:

- Priority 1 - a 15 minute walking distance
- Priority 2 - a 20 minute public bus ride
- Priority 3 - Program provides transportation daily
- Priority 4 - Program provides transportation 3-4 times a week
- Priority 5 - Program provides transportation 1-2 times a week
- Priority 6 - Program provides transportation less than once a week
- Priority 7 - Item is unavailable to residents

In the analysis of data, programs were classified as low, medium, or high in their orientation toward custodial care, therapeutic services, rehabilitation services, access to community resources, self-determination of residents. A method similar to that described above for item 23a-e was utilized for these five orientations. A detailed description of the method is outlined for Custodial orientation as an example.

Custodial orientation was determined by 9 items; Cooking, Cleaning common areas, Cleaning personal areas, Maintaining grounds, Social activities, Recreational activities, Taking daily medication, Personal laundry, and Laundry of sheets, linens, and

towels. Each item was allocated 1 to 5 points according to who in the residence was responsible for performing the function.

Performed by staff	5 points
Performed by Volunteers	4 points
Residents Assist Staff	3 points
Staff Assist Residents	2 points
Performed by Residents	1 point

The accumulative points for the 9 items were determined for each program. The higher the total of points the more custodial the program. The programs were then ranked according to the total number of custodial points. The programs ranking in the top third were considered to be high custodial, in the middle third, medium custodial, and in the bottom third, low custodial.

Therapeutic Orientation was determined by a comparable method using the items of Medical care, Dental care, Medication Management, Individual Counseling, Family Counseling, and Group Counseling.

Rehabilitation Orientation was determined by the items of Skills Assessment, Skills Training, Pre-vocational Services, Job Training and Job Placement.

Self-determination or the amount of control and responsibility clients have over areas affecting their day-to-day life utilized the items of Making Rules, Setting Privileges, Discipline, Programming, Admissions/Discharges, and Selection of Roommates. In this case if the staff was responsible for the decisions, one point was allocated; if both staff and residents, 3 points; and if residents were responsible, 5 points.

Ease of access to the community (basically used to measure normalization of the program) was determined by the items of proximity and access to Shopping, Entertainment, Public recreation, Educational opportunities and Churches.

No analysis of statistical significance was undertaken. In a number of instances data is presented as a ratio per 100,000 population in order to permit comparison from one mental health service area to another.

Limitations of the Survey

It is important that data from this survey be appropriately utilized, the limitations of the survey acknowledged, and the data not extended beyond its intended purpose. The first major consideration is reliability. No attempt was made to verify the respondent's answers to the questionnaire. For example, we could not determine from the survey instrument if a respondent misinterpreted the question, marked a wrong answer, or chose to misrepresent the program. There is no reason to believe that factors were at work which would encourage respondents to answer questions incorrectly or in a non-valid way. The original pilot surveys were conducted with programs known to the interviewers and the answers given by the respondents were consistent with the information about the programs the interviewers already had. However, we can not conclude if this were true for the 285 programs reporting.

The program's basic orientation in terms of custodial, therapeutic, rehabilitation, client control and normalization should be cautiously utilized as an indicator of the types of programs available, rather than as a definitive statement as to the quality or orientation of a particular program.

In general this survey offers a broad sweep as to what is available throughout the state and in each service area. It is, in reality an "inventory" of services available to those citizens in the state of Texas who because of mental illness need extra support and succor in their daily living situations if they are to remain in the community.

III. SURVEY FINDINGS

PROGRAM CHARACTERISTICS

Three hundred and fifty two (352) residential programs were located which we had reason to believe might serve people with mental illness. Programs for substance and alcohol abuse were not included in the study. Thirty-three (33) of these programs either no longer existed or did not take in residents who have a history of mental illness. Of the remaining 319 programs, complete data was obtained on 205 programs, partial data on 80 programs, 25 programs were uncooperative, and 9 programs were cooperative but their data arrived too late for inclusion in the study, was lost in the mail, or misplaced. Thus, complete or partial data was obtained for 285 programs.

Program Type

Table 1 reports the type of program reported by respondents. Programs which traditionally offer "caretaking" or custodial services (room and board facilities, personal care homes, shelters, and single room occupancy facilities such as hotels and motels catering to the mentally ill and disabled) accounted for 48.9% of all programs. Therapeutic and rehabilitative programs serving adults accounted for 30.8% of all programs.

TABLE 1
TOTAL NUMBER OF PROGRAMS

Type of Program	Number	Percent
Supervised Apartments	19	6.6
Unsupervised Apartments	7	2.8
Fairweather Lodges	11	3.8
Foster Care Programs	11	3.8
Halfway Houses	39	13.6
Personal Care in Nursing Homes	36	12.6
Free-standing Personal Care Homes	26	9.1
Respite Care Programs	3	1.1
Room and Board Homes	65	22.7
Supervised Group Homes	32	11.3
Residential Treatment Centers	20	7.0
Unknown	13	4.5
Other	3	1.0
STATEWIDE TOTAL	285	100.0

Since considerable variation can occur in services offered within similar program types, Health Consulting obtained data which would allow classification according to programatic orientation. All programs for whom appropriate data was available were classified as having a low, high, or medium rehabilitative, therapeutic, or custodial orientation. These classifications are not mutually exclusive in that each program was classified as low, medium, or high in all three areas. Tables A-1 through A-3 display complete findings for program orientation according to program type.

High rehabilitative orientation tended to exist in apartment programs, Fairweather lodges, halfway houses, and supervised group homes. Low rehabilitative orientations tended to exist in personal care homes and room and board facilities. High therapeutic orientations existed in residential treatment centers, supervised group homes, and halfway houses. However, halfway way houses distributed themselves equally between high, medium, and low therapeutic orientation. Low therapeutic orientation existed in personal care homes and room and boarding homes. A few free-standing personal care homes and room and board homes demonstrated medium or high rehabilitation and therapeutic orientations. Almost without exception these programs were closely affiliated with state hospital outreach services or community mental health centers which have made a concerted effort to "enhance" the quality of the residential programs. A few private rehabilitation programs exist which have obtained personal care home licensure but might more appropriately be considered halfway houses or small group homes. High custodial orientations occurred in personal care homes, and room and boarding facilities. The lowest custodial scores occurred in Fairweather Lodges, apartment programs, and halfway houses. These findings collaborate the traditional expectations of the orientation of different program types.

The amount of client responsibility and control in the decision-making process and the degree of access to the community were two additional program orientations measured. Three program

types stood out as offering a high degree of responsibility and control to the clients - apartment programs and Fairweather lodges. All of the lodge programs, 6 of the 7 unsupervised apartment programs, and 11 of the 17 supervised apartment programs offered a high degree of client control. Only one apartment program was classified as offering a low degree of client control. Room and board homes were the only programs where close to half ranked as offering a low degree of control to clients. Halfway houses distributed themselves evenly across the three control rankings.

TABLE 2

DEGREE OF CLIENT CONTROL AND RESPONSIBILITY
(Data obtained on 212 programs)

Type of Program	High	Medium	Low
Supervised Apartments	11	5	1
Unsupervised Apartments	6	1	0
Fairweather Lodges	11	0	0
Foster Care Programs	1	2	4
Halfway Houses	11	15	12
Personal Care Nursing Homes	13	7	5
Free-standing Personal Care Homes	8	7	4
Respite Care Programs	0	0	3
Room and Board Homes	8	9	16
Supervised Group Homes	12	14	6
Residential Treatment Centers	4	9	4
Others	0	2	1
STATEWIDE TOTAL	85	71	56

Data was collected to determine the accessibility of shopping, entertainment, recreation, education and church services. The accessibility of community resources is one index of how

normal a life style the program offers residents. No particular program types demonstrated a clear orientation toward high community access. However, unsupervised apartments, Fairweather lodges, and halfway houses were classified as medium or high accessibility, accounting for only 5 of the 55 total programs classified as low accessibility. In contrast, personal care homes and boarding homes predominantly were classified as medium or low accessibility with only 12 of the 76 (15.7%) classified as high access to the community. The other programs were evenly distributed among the three classifications. See Table 3.

TABLE 3

ACCESSIBILITY OF COMMUNITY
(Data obtained on 211 programs)

Type of Program	High	Medium	Low
Supervised Apartments	5	6	6
Unsupervised Apartments	3	4	0
Fairweather Lodges	5	5	1
Foster Care Programs	1	3	3
Halfway Houses	19	15	4
Personal Care in Nursing Homes	4	11	10
Free-standing Personal Care Homes	2	6	10
Respite Care Programs	1	1	1
Room and Boards	6	7	20
Supervised Group Homes	9	15	8
Residential Treatment Center	6	5	6
Others	0	1	2
STATEWIDE TOTAL	61	79	71

The size of a program can also be used to determine how "normalizing" a program is for the residents. The smaller the program, the less obvious it is to the community. Foster care and both types of apartment programs generally had 2 to 4 clients per

unit. Respite care and Fairweather lodges had fewer than 12 residents in each program. (One Fairweather program has more than 12 residents in one home.) Halfway houses, free standing personal care homes, supervised group homes and room and board homes had a mean size of 20 to 37 residents. However, a number of room and board homes are as small as 7 or 8 residents. Personal care homes located in nursing homes and the "other" category were quite large in size, averaging nearly 100 residents each. See Table 4 below.

TABLE 4
 BED CAPACITY
 by
 TYPE OF PROGRAM
 (Data obtained on 285 programs)

Type of Program	Average Beds per Program
Supervised Apartments*	36
Unsupervised Apartments*	19
Fairweather Lodges*	22
Foster Care Programs*	13
Halfway Houses	29
Personal Care in Nursing Homes	104
Free-standing Personal Care Homes	37
Respite Care Programs	13
Room and Board Homes	20
Supervised Group Homes	33
Residential Treatment Centers	49
Others	96
MEAN FOR ALL PROGRAMS	40.5

*Represents more than one site within a program

Another issue in rehabilitation is the length of time a resident may stay in a program. Therapy-oriented programs have a tendency to be available for stays of 30-180 days. Custodial and rehabilitative programs usually offer an indefinite length of stay, which, in many cases, may be several years. Eighty Six percent (86%) of the programs reporting this item on the survey did not have a limit on the length of time a client could stay in the program. Of the 30 programs that have a limit on the length of time, all but six fell into the therapeutic program types.

TABLE 5

LENGTH OF RESIDENCE
by
TYPE OF PROGRAM

(Data obtained on 217 programs)

Type of Program	No Limit on Length of Residence	Limit on Length of Residence	Average Limit On Residence
Supervised Apartments	17	4	173.75
Unsupervised Apartments	6	2	364
Fairweather Lodges	11	-	-
Foster Care Programs	7	-	-
Halfway Houses	25	13	126.15
Personal Care in Nursing Homes	25	-	-
Free-standing Personal Care Homes	19	-	-
Respite Care Programs	1	2	30
Room and Board Homes	33	-	-
Supervised Group Homes*	26	6	116.16
Residential Treatment Centers*	17	*	-
Others	-	3	67.33
TOTAL	187	30	

* Most of these facilities had a limit based on the age of the resident rather than the actual length of stay.

Number of Residential Slots Available

The located programs which will accept people with a history of mental illness report a total of 10,648 residential slots. 2,980 residents with a known history of mental illness were reported as being served in the residential programs. The percent of average beds filled by mentally ill people ranged from 100% in the Fairweather Lodge program to 10.8% in personal care homes located in nursing homes. See Table D-1.

Over 1,466 empty residential slots were reported. The mean vacancy rates varied from 6.7% of beds in the "other" category (crisis care and diagnostic centers) to 41% in respite care programs, with an overall 13.76 reported vacancy rate. Six of the program types reported mean vacancy rates of below 15% while 7 reported mean vacancy rates of over 18%. Since only a little over half of the programs reported their vacancies, the number of empty slots should be viewed as the minimum number of vacancies. Table 6 reports the total beds, occupied beds, beds occupied by people with a known history of mental illness and the percent of vacant residential slots.

TABLE 6
BEDS CURRENTLY AVAILABLE
by
TYPE OF PROGRAM

(Data obtained on 285 programs)

Type of Program	Total Beds	Total Occupied	Occupied by MH Clients	Known Empty Beds	Percent Known* Vacancies
Supervised Apartments	683	619	363	62	9%
Unsupervised Apartments	137	121	94	15	10.9%
Fairweather Lodges	248	194	194	46	18.5%
Foster Care Programs	142	103	66	39	27%
Halfway Houses	782	618	356	176	22.5%
Personal Care/Nsg.Home	2939	1587	156	406	13.8%
Free-standing Personal Care Homes	898	634	237	99	11%
Respite Care Programs	39	23	15	16	41%
Room and Board Homes	1292	878	538	283	21.9%
Supervised Group Homes	1066	881	401	129	12%
Residential Treatment Centers	981	747	515	191	19%
Unknown	1382	--	--	--	--
Others	59	55	45	4	6.7%
TOTALS	10,648	6,460	2,980	1,466	13.76%

* Out of 285 programs reporting how many beds they had available and how many were occupied by clients with mental illness, 175 reported current vacancies.

Veterans Administration and Department of Human Resources Foster Care Programs

The Veterans Administration contracts with private homes and private providers to offer foster homes to disabled veterans. No survey was undertaken of these facilities. These homes vary in size from 2 per home to 15. In addition, a 210 bed domiciliary is located in Bonham, Texas. Table 7 reflects the number of foster care beds and the location of the sponsoring Veterans Administration Hospital.

TABLE 7

FOSTER HOME BEDS
OPERATED BY VETERANS ADMINISTRATION

Location	Number of Residential Placements
Waco	394
Big Spring	142
Temple	36
Bonham	225
STATEWIDE TOTAL	797

The Department of Human Resources certifies and offers financial support in foster homes for approximately 150 adults who are "emotionally disturbed" and in need of protective services. These programs were not included in the count of foster homes nor were they surveyed. It is possible that some of the foster care home residents in the El Paso Therapeutic Foster Care Program and in the Travis County Adult Foster Care Program are included in the 150 adults that TDHR sponsors. The Department of Human Services also provides financial support for 193 adults in

supervised living in personal care homes. The number of these clients who have a history of mental illness was not determined by this survey.

Residential Beds Available by Mental Health Service Area

Of the 60 mental health service areas of the Texas Department of Mental Health and Mental Retardation, 40 have residential beds available that will accept residents with a history of mental illness. Sixteen service areas with a population base of 1,050,000 people have no residential beds available. Two service areas had no data available other than bed capacity for the residential programs in their area and two additional areas currently had no mentally ill clients in the residential programs located. The range of residential slots available per 100,000 population in the 60 service areas was 0 to a high of 95.98. Half of the top ranking service areas have mental health mental retardation centers as the service authority and half have state hospitals as the service authority. Fourteen state hospital, two state center, and three mental health and mental retardation service areas have no residential services available for adult mentally ill. Only one of the above 19 mental health mental and retardation service areas has residential services available for children and adolescents who are emotionally disturbed. See Tables B-1, B-2, & B-3 for the ranking of mental health service areas.

In-Patient Hospital Programs

No attempt was made to survey in-patient hospital facilities for the mentally ill. A total of 11,289 psychiatric beds were reported by TDMHMR and TDH. The Department of Mental Health and Mental Retardation licenses private psychiatric hospitals for 3545 beds. The Department of Health 1982 Hospital Questionnaire reports that general hospitals offer an additional 2,241 psychiatric beds within general hospitals that are not licensed by TDMHMR. The Veterans Administration operates 1,104 psychiatric in-patient beds in Texas. Table B-4 designates psychiatric in-patient beds by mental health service area.

Costs Per Day

Costs per day of residential services varied from "free" to \$365 a day, with the mean cost per day being \$33.42. If programs which stated there was no cost per day were eliminated the average cost per day statewide was \$44. Three clusters of costs per type of program are evident. Supervised group homes, residential treatment centers, and "others" cost more than \$44 a day. Halfway houses, personal care homes of both types and respite care programs cost between \$27 and \$33 a day. Apartment programs, Fairweather lodges, foster care programs, and room and board facilities were the lowest cost programs with a mean of between \$15 and \$24 per day. No definition of cost per day per resident was given to the respondents. Therefore, this data is not highly reliable nor consistent. That is not to say that the trends the data suggests are invalid, however. Table 8 reflects the average

cost per day and the minimum and maximum costs for each program type. Table C-1 reflects the standard deviations and variance of the cost per day per resident.

TABLE 8
COST PER DAY PER RESIDENT
BY TYPE OF PROGRAM
(Data obtained on 211 programs)

Type of Program	Average Cost Per Day	Range of Cost
Fairweather Lodges	\$15.01	\$6.54 - 34.26
Unsupervised Apartments	\$18.67	\$4.17 - 83.33
Foster Care Programs	\$21.85	\$6.66 - 50.00
Room and Board Homes	\$21.87	\$0.00 - 288.35
Supervised Apartments	\$23.83	\$3.50 - 65.00
Respite Care Programs	\$27.66	\$0.00 - 73.00
Unknown	\$28.57	\$0.00 - 217.00
Free-standing Personal Care Homes	\$28.58	\$0.00 - 183.00
Personal Care Nursing Homes	\$29.51	\$0.00 - 56.84
Halfway Houses	\$32.45	\$5.18 - 90.00
Supervised Group Homes	\$44.48	\$5.00 - 95.00
Residential Treatment Centers	\$76.79	\$0.00 - 185.00
Others	\$203.53	\$56.60 - 365.00
STATEWIDE TOTAL	\$ 33.42	\$0.00 - 365.00

Contracting Agencies

The majority of room and board homes receive whatever disability or retirement benefits the resident has available, with a \$25 "allowance" being given to each resident. Some boarding home residents supplement their disability with payments from the family. A number of boarding homes and personal care homes receive supplemental money from state hospitals or from the

receive supplemental money from state hospitals or from the Department of Human Resources. Table 9 reflects how many programs contract for services. Table C-2 offers a detailed display of agencies with which the program types contract. Health Consulting did not obtain data which would determine how much supplemental monies were received from contracting agencies. Forty four (44) programs reported that none of their clients contribute toward their stay. These programs all have contracts with or are operated by church or state/local mental health agencies such as hospitals, or community mental health centers. In 172 programs some or all of the clients contribute to the cost of the program. Seventy (71) programs did not report on this item. (See table C-3)

TABLE 9
AGENCIES WHICH CONTRACT
WITH
COMMUNITY RESIDENTIAL PROGRAMS

Contracting Agency	Programs which Contract*	Programs which don't Contract
Local Community MH/MR Program	33	175
State Psychiatric Hospital	67	145
Texas Department of Mental Health/ Mental Retardation	40	172
Texas Rehabilitation Commission	48	163
Texas Department of Human Resources	72	139
Texas Department of Community Affairs	8	204
Texas Department of Corrections	18	194
Texas Commission on Alcoholism	15	197
Other	64	148

* Programs may contract with more than one agency.

CLIENT CHARACTERISTICS

Client characteristics data was the least reported of all data. Many of both type of personal care homes and room and board homes did not have data on psychiatric history, age, ethnicity, nor marital status. Supervised group homes and residential treatment centers rarely reported psychiatric histories. The reason for this is their understandable disinclination to label children and adolescents with psychiatric labels. Tables D-1 through D-10 depict the characteristics of the residents in the programs reporting this data.

Respondents were asked to classify all residents as to type of disability. If the resident had a history of psychiatric hospitalization, a primary diagnosis of mental illness, or was referred to the residence by a psychiatric or mental health facility, the client was to be classified as having a "mental illness". Demographic data was obtained on the residents classified as "mentally ill".

Several factors came to light regarding disability classification during the telephone interviews. Most of the programs serving adolescents and children use the term "emotionally disturbed" and were hesitant to classify their residents as mentally ill. Fortunately, they placed these residents in the category "other" and stipulated "emotionally disturbed" and generally answered the remaining demographic data questions about that group. Many personal care homes stated they

had no "mentally ill people" in their program, but upon closer questioning acknowledged they took referrals from the state hospital of people who were no longer mentally ill. Table 6 displays the number of mentally ill in the programs.

In order to assist with the question of how specialized the programs were in terms of meeting the needs of the long term mentally ill, we made the assumption that programs which exclusively or predominantly serve people with mental illness would be the most specialized. The programs which ranked the highest in rehabilitation orientation also tended to exclusively serve the mentally ill. Custodial programs were more apt to serve elderly populations in addition to people with mental illness. Programs which had a therapeutic orientation served more drug and alcohol clients.

Age of Clients

Custodial type programs (personal care homes in nursing homes, personal care homes, and room and board homes) served 49% of the clients between 51 and 64, 72% of those over 50 years of age, and 92.7% of those over the age of 65. However, 58% of the people with mental illness living in room and boarding facilities are under the age of 50. Residential treatment centers and supervised group homes served 82% of those under the age of 18. The population group 18 - 40 were found more often in apartment programs, Fairweather lodges and halfway houses. Apartment programs and lodges tended to have residents whose ages were evenly

distributed between 18 and 65. Fifteen percent (15%) of the population under 50 were residing in custodial type facilities.

Race and Ethnicity

The reported racial and ethnic composition of the mentally ill in residential programs parallels the general Texas population for white non-hispanics and for others. Hispanics are underrepresented and blacks overrepresented. Personal care homes located in nursing homes had a significantly increased representation of white non-hispanics and a decreased representation of black non-hispanics. A similar trend was noted in free-standing personal care homes. Conversely, room and board facilities had a significant underrepresentation of white non-hispanics and an overrepresentation of hispanics. Fairweather lodge programs had a significant underrepresentation of hispanics. Foster care programs superficially appear to underrepresent white non-hispanics and blacks and overrepresent hispanics. (The number of hispanics here is probably related to the fact that one of the four foster care programs being located in El Paso. Respite care programs and "others" also demonstrated a skewed racial/ethnic representation. With the small number of programs and residential slots in those categories it is not possible to determine the significance of racial/ethnic distribution.

Marital Status

Table D-4 contains information regarding the marital status of those residents with mental illness that were reported. The

residential treatment centers and supervised group homes were eliminated from this discussion due to the preponderance of children and adolescents in these programs. Only 2.59% of the 1582 residents on which marital status was reported are currently married. Fifty two percent (52%) have never been married, 26.7% are divorced, 6.83% separated, and 12% are widowed. With the exception of both types of personal care homes the distribution of marital status is similar for all program types. However, only 16% and 45% of those clients in personal care homes in nursing homes and freestanding personal care home have never married. If residents living in personal care homes located in nursing homes are excluded, 57% of the residential clients have never been married. Nursing home residents have a higher percentage of widowed, married, and divorced clients. Personal care homes were the only programs that had higher than 10% of their clients who were separated (22.45%). Both types of personal care homes and room and board facilities account for 84% of the clients who are widowed.

Education

Thirty three percent of residential clients have no education above the grade school level. Of those 2,489 residents for whom educational status was reported, 1,491 or 60% have less than a 12th grade education. Only 27.84% completed high school or went on to college. It is very difficult to compare the different type programs due to the large number of programs that did not report

educational data or else reported a high number of "unknowns". Unsupervised apartments, both type of personal care homes, and room and boarding homes had a very low response rate for level of education.

Employment

Since the current employment status rather than the employment history of the residents was determined, the data on employment may reflect more on the program than on the demographic characteristics of the residents. Two thirds (1,705) of the 2,536 residents for whom employment status was determined were unemployed. The Fairweather lodge programs have 93.3% of their members employed (183 out of 196). Supervised apartments, unsupervised apartment programs and halfway houses reported 58%, 47%, and 48% employment respectively. Only one person in a personal care home located in a nursing home had employment of any kind. Room and board homes reported 95.6% of residents unemployeed, and personal care homes reported 88% unemployeed. The data on supervised group homes and residential treatment centers was excluded since the majority of their residents are under the age of 18 and are actively involved in educational programs.

Medication

Medication usage fell into three distinct clusters. Those programs with the vast majority of clients on medication, those with two thirds of the clients on medications, and those with one third of the residents on medication. Over 90% of the residents

in unsupervised apartments, Fairweather lodges, personal care homes in nursing homes, respite care and the "other" category were on medications. Supervised apartments, foster care, halfway houses, and free standing personal care homes had 2/3rds of residents on medication. The adolescent and children programs had one third of residents on medications. Room and board homes had 60% of their residents on medications.

History of Hospitalization

To determine the chronicity or severity of the mental illness of the residents we obtained data regarding 1) length of time since first encountering the mental health system, 2) the number of hospitalizations, and 3) length of time since the first hospitalization. Questions regarding the amount of time spent in the hospital were eliminated during the pilot phase of the questionnaire since none of the pilot facilities had to data to answer questions.

Data was obtained on 1,660 residents regarding their first encounter with the mental health system. One thousand one hundred and fifty two (1,152) residents (than 69% of residents) have been involved with the mental health system for two or more years. Forty six percent or 764 of the residents have been involved with the mental health system for more that 5 years. Fairweather lodges, free-standing personal care homes, and foster care homes report more than half or their residents as having first encountered the mental health system more than 10 years ago.

tered the mental health system more than 10 years ago.

Hospitalization data was reported on 2,434 residents. Both types of personal care homes and room and boarding homes had a low report rate for hospitalization data.

When all programs were included 20% of the residents had never been hospitalized. If the children and adolescent programs (supervised group homes and residential treatment centers) are eliminated from the data, only 9% of the residents have never been hospitalized. Over half of the residents have been hospitalized more than 2 times, with 18% being hospitalized more than 5 times. Fairweather lodge programs and foster care programs, respectively, report 40% and 51% of residents have been hospitalized more than 5 times.

The length of time since the first hospitalization was reported for 1,427 of the 1,430 residents with a known history of psychiatric hospitalization. Forty five percent (45%) of the residents had been hospitalized for the first time more than 5 years ago, with 23.8% having been first hospitalized more than 10 years ago. Unsupervised apartments and Fairweather lodge programs, respectively, report 78 and 80% of their residents were first hospitalized more than 5 years ago. Only supervised group homes and residential treatment centers reported more than 69% of residents having been hospitalized less than two times.

In summary, personal care homes and room and board homes either did not report the items which reflect on chronicity or stated the data was unknown to them. Fairweather lodges and

foster care programs appear to predominantly serve clients with a long history of mental illness and repeated hospitalizations. Unsupervised apartments and halfway houses serve those with a shorter history of mental illness and fewer hospitalizations.

STAFF CHARACTERISTICS

Staff Discipline

Table 10 portrays the category of staff employed in the different program types. The questionnaire inquired about 23 different type of employees which were then clustered into seven categories: 1) technicians, aides, and residential specialists; 2) rehabilitation and vocational specialists; 3) registered nurses, licensed vocational nurses, medical doctors, doctors of osteopathy; 4) psychologists and social workers; 5) administrators and managers; 6) administrative office workers; 7) cooks, housekeepers, and maintenance personnel. Not all residential programs reported the type of staff employed.

Technicians, aides and residential specialists accounted for 36% of all designated employees. All other categories ranged from 8.54% to 13.72%. When looking at staff category by program type only a few variations were significant. Unsupervised apartments and Fairweather lodges, respectively, had 15 and 9% of staff who were technicians, aides and residential specialists. Unsupervised apartments had a higher percent of psychologists and social workers (46%) while Fairweather lodges had an increase in rehabilitation and vocational specialists, and social workers.

Personal care homes located in nursing homes had a significantly higher number of aides, technicians, and residential specialists. Room and board homes, and both types of personal care homes had a higher percentage of cooks, housekeepers, and maintenance personnel. There was a general trend for rehabilitation programs to have more rehabilitation and vocational specialists and more social workers. Custodial programs tended to have more aides, technicians, cooks, and housekeepers, while therapy programs had more medical personnel, and more social workers.

Availability of Funds for Continuing Education

There is very little licensure, certification, or standards in the state of Texas which require individuals or programs to show proof of continuing education in order to maintain licensure. In the pilot phase of the study we determined it would therefore not be possible to find out how much continuing education employees had actually undertaken in the prior year. Thus, we decided the next best indicator of commitment and interest in upgrading staff was by determining if money was available from the residential program for continuing education. One half of the programs responding have budgeted money for all staff to attend outside educational activities. More than 60% of respondents had money for training in supervised apartments, halfway houses, respite care programs, supervised group homes and residential treatment centers. All other programs were between 30 and 45% other than room and boarding homes with only 6 (19%) programs out of 32 reporting having continuing education funds available for

TABLE 10
DISCIPLINE OF STAFF

BY PROGRAM TYPE

PROGRAM TYPE	# STAFF DESIGNATED STAFF*	AIDES TECHS RESIDENT. SPECIALISTS	REHAB AND VOC SPECIALISTS	Medical People	Psycho/ Soc. Wkr	ADMIN./ MANAGER	COOK HOUSE KEEPER	ADMIN. OFFICE WORKER	
									12.00
Supervised Apartments	224.69	210.20	72.20	12.00	6.5	30	37.50	21.50	30.50
			34.35%	5.71%	3.5%	14.5%	17.84%	10.23%	14.51%
Supervised Group Homes	583.52	495.50	160.50	90.00	20.5	68.5	55.00	46.50	54.50
			32.39%	18.16%	5.85%	13.82%	11.10%	9.38%	11.00%
Residential Treatment Center	966.35	1038.35	362.6	145.5	118.35	89.2	72.45	106	144.25
			34.92%	14.01%	11.39%	8.59%	6.98%	10.21%	13.89%
Other	72	66.50	30.5	1.5	9.5	12	2	4	7
			45.86%	2.26%	14.28%	18%	3.01%	6.02%	10.53%
Unsupervised Apartments	35.69	26.00	4	2	0.5	12	4	2	1.5
			15.38%	7.69%	1.9%	46%	15.38%	7.69%	5.77%
Fairweather Lodges	46	38.50	3.5	12.5	2	12	5.5	1	2
			9.09%	32.47%	5.19%	31.16%	14.29%	2.60%	5.19%
Foster Care Programs	51.74	45.50	12	0	1	10.5	8	0	14
			26.37%	0.00%	2.19%	23%	17.58%	0.00%	30.77%
Halfway Houses	341.4	329.15	99	27.5	16.5	68	49.15	26.5	42.5
			30.08%	8.35%	5%	20.65%	14.93%	8.05%	12.91%
Personal Care Home in a Nursing Home	958	839.50	414	12	115	12.5	34.5	178.5	73
			49.32%	1.43%	13.69%	1.48%	4.11%	21.26%	8.70%
Personal Care Home	233	174.50	46	3	8	10	36.5	44	27
			26.36%	1.72%	4.5%	5.7%	20.92%	25.21%	15.47%
Respite Care Program	28	27.50	14	0	8	0	2	0	3.5
			50.91%	0.00%	43.6%	0	7.27%	0.00%	12.73%
Room and Board Facility	300.00	291.00	95.00	0.00	27.5	21.5	50.50	61.50	35.00
			33.00%	0.00%	11%	7%	17.00%	21.00%	12.00%
TOTALS	3840.39	3582.2	1313.3	306	333.35	346.2	357.1	491.5	434.75
			36.66%	8.54%	9.3%	9.66%	9.97%	13.72%	12.14%

* Staff which was designated by type of discipline or position.

all staff. Personal care homes located in nursing homes tended to have funds available for only designated staff such as professionals or managers. More than half of the room and board homes, personal care homes and Fairweather lodges reported no funds were available for outside educational activities of staff. Table 11 below gives more detailed data regarding continuing education funds.

Inservice Education

Inservice Education data was obtained on 208 programs. Of those programs offering regular, frequent inservice education 72 (35%) offer it one time a week, 20 (9.6%) two times a month, and 35 (16.7%) one time a month. Of those reporting, 30 (14%) programs offer no inservice education, 45 periodically have educational programs, and 5 offer education less frequently than monthly but on a regular basis. Thus, 38.5% offer no or only rare inservice education opportunities, while 61.5% have inservice education programs one time a month or more frequently.

Those programs which are licensed or certified offer significantly more inservice education than unlicensed programs. Residential treatment centers, supervised group homes, and personal care homes located in nursing homes offer training programs at least once a month in 100%, 91% and 81% of programs respectively. The three programs which were classified as "other" all offer training once or twice a month. Unsupervised apartment programs offer training at least one time a month in 87.7% of the programs reporting. Room and board homes offer the least inser-

TABLE 11

CONTINUING EDUCATION FUNDS

BY PROGRAM TYPE

NUMBER OF PROGRAMS WITH FUNDS AVAILABLE FOR PARTICIPATION IN OUTSIDE EDUCATION ACTIVITIES

	Funds for			Funds for		Funds for		No Funds Available	Programs Reporting	Programs Not Reporting
	All Staff	Professional Staff	Direct Care Staff	Management Staff	Staff	Available				
Supervised Apartments	12	1	-	-	-	3	16	3	3	-
Unsupervised Apartments	3	1	-	1	1	3	7	3	-	-
Fairweather Lodges	4	-	-	-	-	7	11	7	-	-
Foster Care Programs	3	1	1	-	-	2	7	2	4	-
Halfway Houses	24	2	2	3	3	7	38	7	1	-
Personal Care in Nursing Home	7	9	4	6	6	5	24	5	12	-
Personal Care Home	6	1	-	2	2	9	17	9	9	-
Respite Care Programs	2	-	-	1	1	-	3	-	-	-
Room and Board Homes	6	1	-	4	4	21	32	21	33	-
Supervised Group Homes	23	1	1	3	3	5	31	5	1	-
Residential Treatment Centers	13	2	1	-	-	2	17	2	3	-
Others	1	1	1	-	-	1	3	1	-	-
Unknown	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	13	-
Statewide Total	104	20	10	20	20	65	206	65	79	79

vice education programs with 59% offering no training and only 12.5% offering training more than once a month. Fairweather lodges, free standing personal care homes and respite care programs offer training more than once a month in 27%, 38.8% and 33% of the programs. (See Table 12)

TABLE 12

INSERVICE EDUCATION
BY PROGRAM TYPE

	No Training	1X a Week	2X a Month	1X a Month	Periodic	Other	Programs Reporting	Programs Not Reporting
Supervised Apartments	1	4	2	4	5	-	16	3
Unsupervised Apartments	-	4	1	1	1	-	7	-
Fairweather Lodges	2	1	-	2	3	3	11	-
Foster Care Programs	1	-	-	-	5	1	7	4
Halfway Houses	-	15	5	8	10	-	38	1
Personal Care in Nursing Home	1	12	1	9	1	-	24	12
Personal Care Home	6	1	2	4	5	-	18	8
Respite Care Programs	-	1	-	-	-	2	3	-
Room and Board Homes	19	4	-	-	7	2	32	33
Supervised Group Homes	-	16	5	5	6	-	31	-
Residential Treatment Centers	-	14	3	-	-	-	17	3
Others	-	-	1	2	-	-	3	-
Unknowns	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	13
STATEWIDE TOTAL	30	72	20	35	43	8	208	77

IV. DISCUSSION OF FINDINGS AND ISSUES

1. Program Type, Licensing, and Certification

One of the major issues suggested by the survey results concerns licensing and certification. Thirty two programs are not licensed or certified, 73 programs did not report on licensure, and 180 programs are licensed or certified by one or more agencies. There was no strong relationship between how a program was licensed and the type of service it provided. Client characteristics and staffing patterns did not strongly relate to licensing either. However, private unlicensed facilities have less staff, few program opportunities for clients and rarely offer staff educational opportunities. In addition, there was not a strong relationship between the types of services offered and the kind of licensure. However, programs operated by public mental health agencies were consistently either highly rehabilitative or therapeutic. Telephone interviews suggested that private programs tend to become certified or licensed to become eligible for contracts or governmental funds. A major exception to this was the private, highly therapeutic programs that appear to have a strong commitment to quality and use certification for marketing purposes. A major gap in types of licensures appears to exist. Many room and boarding homes, particularly in East Texas, feel they are being pressured by the Texas Department of Health to become licensed as Personal Care Homes, thus significantly increasing their costs. The Department of Human Resources has a limit on the

number of supervised living slots it can pay for in personal care homes. Most providers feel they cannot recoup the extra funds needed to meet Personal Care Home licensure. At the same time the mental health agencies are encouraging room and board homes to develop and expand. Also a number of personal care homes and room and board homes report that the Department of Health discourages them from taking mentally ill clients, stating they are not properly trained or prepared to "treat" the mentally ill. Ironically, the boarding home providers who appear to be most interested in offering warm, supportive community living to their residents are the ones in the greatest bind. If these providers try to teach clients to bathe, or remind residents to take their medications, they are offering "personal services" which requires licensure as a personal care home. On the other hand, if they only provide food, and a clean place to live, the clients deteriorate and need to return to hospital. In several parts of the state, particularly the San Antonio area, the mental health agencies are working with the boarding home providers and assist in offering a more rehabilitative model of care. Family-like environments can be developed in small boarding homes if staff are properly trained, on-going consultation is offered, and residents are allowed and encouraged to become involved in the "family" structure. It is clear that some resolution of contradictory and overlapping agency policies is needed or the better boarding homes will go out of business or become more formal "health care facilities", less relevant to the needs of

long term chronic mental patients who no longer need the support and structure of the hospital but do not have an appropriate place to live and learn community skills.

RECOMMENDATION

DEVELOP A LICENSURE FOR SMALL 5-15 PERSON CONGREGATE LIVING FACILITIES THAT OFFER A SAFE, HOME LIKE ENVIRONMENT AND TRAINING AND SUPPORT IN DAILY LIVING SKILLS, INCLUDING MEDICATION "MONITORING". THESE "ENHANCED BOARDING HOMES" WOULD NOT REQUIRE MEDICAL PERSONNEL BUT WOULD REQUIRE CONSULTATION AND SUPPORT FROM THE LOCAL MENTAL HEALTH AUTHORITY. JOINTLY DEVELOP CONSISTANT POLICY WITH THE DEPARTMENTS OF MENTAL HEALTH AND MENTAL RETARDATION, HUMAN RESOURCES, AND HEALTH. LICENSURE TO BE HANDLED BY TDMHMR.

2. Lack of Residential Programs

A variety of studies have concluded that large numbers of mentally disabled adults are inadequately or inappropriately housed or are homeless. A conservative estimate is that 1/10th of the chronically mentally ill are in need of community residential services. In Texas, that would be approximately 14,000 people or 11,000 more residential beds than are now available. Dr. Steve Leff of the Human Service Research Institute in Cambridge, Massachusetts has developed a "Production Management Model" for projecting community support services for the long term mentally ill. His work in Nebraska, Connecticut and Iowa clearly demonstrates that any strategy which aims to increase the level of

functioning of the long term mentally ill requires an incremental increase in need for community residential programs. If public policy is content to offer custodial services then a lower housing figure that is stagnant can be reached.

This figure of 11,000 additional beds, even though conservative, sounds frighteningly high and one would immediately ask, if that is the case then why are there so few community-based beds?

The major problems in meeting the housing needs appear to be:

1. Lack of clearly defined roles as to who has historically been and who should be responsible for developing and providing housing for this population.
2. Lack of financing for the development of housing.
3. Problems in state of the art knowledge as to what types of residential programs are needed and how to develop the appropriate programs. A historical belief in therapy with little or no rehabilitation emphasis.
4. The need for clear technical assistance to public and private agencies who could develop housing programs - such assistance should include funding mechanisms, program development, community resistance, zoning and licensure issues.
5. The lack of effective advocacy at the local, state, and national levels to encourage financing, legislation, zoning changes and community acceptance.

RECOMMENDATIONS

TDMHMR AND THE LEGISLATURE CONTINUE DEVELOPING INCENTIVES FOR COMMUNITY BASED RESIDENTIAL PROGRAMS AS WELL WORKING WITH STAFF DEVELOPMENT, CONTINUING EDUCATION, ADVOCACY GROUPS, AND EDUCATIONAL INSTITUTIONS TO DEVELOP CONSULTATION AND EDUCATION PROGRAMS TO LOCAL GROUPS IN COMMUNITY RESIDENTIAL PROGRAMS.

3. COSTS AND FUNDING

There is currently little or no way to finance residential services other than through state funded mechanisms, social security benefits, H.U.D. section 8 funds, and direct family payments. Private insurance rarely, if ever, will reimburse families for residential care of a mentally ill person. It is important to note in this study, that the most rehabilitative, normalizing programs, those offering the most client responsibility and control, were also the lower cost programs. Apartment programs, foster care, and Fairweather lodges are significantly cheaper and more rehabilitative to the long term mentally ill than are more expensive, therapy model programs. They also tend to be less expensive than most custodial programs. In addition, they are less visible to the community and offer the potential for more community integration and acceptance. It should also be noted that less than 1/3rd of the programs reporting in the survey had contracts with state agencies for financial assistance. It would appear that most state agencies that serve the "disabled" do not view the mentally ill as such.

RECOMMENDATIONS

ENCOURAGE THE DEVELOPMENT OF LOW COST REHABILITATIVE MODELS BY ESTABLISHING A CONSERVATIVE GOAL OF 60 COMMUNITY RESIDENTIAL BEDS PER 100,000 POPULATION WITH 40 OF THESE SLOTS BEING LOW COST REHABILITATIVE MODELS.

ESTABLISH A PLAN WITH THE INSURANCE COMMISSION TO DEVELOP A MODEL

TO FINANCE REHABILITATIVE RESIDENTIAL CARE IN LIEU OF LONG TERM CARE IN PRIVATE PSYCHIATRIC HOSPITALS. THIS WOULD ENCOURAGE THE DEVELOPMENT OF REHABILITATIVE RESIDENTIAL CARE BY THE PRIVATE SECTOR.

DEVELOP A SPECIFIC PLAN OF ACTION WITH APPROPRIATE INTERAGENCY COLLABORATION AT A STATE DEPARTMENT LEVEL TO DETERMINE HOW RESOURCES FROM TITLE XIX, XX, VOCATIONAL REHABILITATION COULD BE MORE EFFECTIVELY UTILIZED TO ASSIST THE MENTALLY DISABLED ADULT.

TABLE A-1
 REHABILITATION ORIENTATION
 BY PROGRAM TYPE
 (Data obtained on 210 programs)

Type of Program	High	Medium	Low
Supervised Apartments	8	7	2
Unsupervised Apartments	5	1	1
Fairweather Lodges	6	4	1
Foster Care Programs	2	1	4
Halfway Houses	19	10	8
Personal Care in Nursing Homes	2	2	21
Free-standing Personal Care Homes	2	2	15
Respite Care Programs	1	1	1
Room and Board Facilities	2	4	27
Supervised Group Homes	19	7	5
Residential Treatment Centers	6	10	1
Other	-	2	1
STATEWIDE TOTAL	72	51	87

TABLE A-2
 THERAPY ORIENTATION
 BY PROGRAM TYPE
 (Data obtained on 211 programs)

Type of Program	High	Medium	Low
Supervised Apartments	7	6	4
Unsupervised Apartments	1	4	2
Fairweather Lodges	3	6	2
Foster Care Programs	2	3	2
Halfway Houses	14	11	12
Personal Care in Nursing Homes	3	8	14
Free-standing Personal Care Homes	4	3	12
Respite Care Programs	1	1	1
Room and Board Facilities	4	14	15
Supervised Group Homes	19	7	5
Residential Treatment Centers	11	4	2
Other	-	1	2
STATEWIDE TOTAL	69	68	73

TABLE A-3
 CUSTODIAL ORIENTATION
 BY PROGRAM TYPE
 (Data obtained on 211 programs)

Type of Program	High	Medium	Low
Supervised Apartments	3	2	12
Unsupervised Apartments	-	-	7
Fairweather Lodges	-	-	11
Foster Care Programs	2	2	3
Halfway Houses	2	11	25
Personal Care in Nursing Homes	20	5	-
Free-standing Personal Care Homes	11	6	1
Respite Care Programs	-	2	1
Room and Board Facilities	23	10	-
Supervised Group Homes	9	11	12
Residential Treatment Centers	4	9	4
Other	2	-	1
STATEWIDE TOTAL	76	58	77

TABLE A-4
OWNERS/OPERATORS
of
RESIDENTIAL PROGRAMS

(Data obtained on 220 programs)

Owner/Operator	Number	Percent
Freestanding, private non-profit	74	26.2
Psychiatric Hospital, private non-profit	3	1.0
General Hospital, private non-profit	4	1.4
Freestanding, private for-profit	69	24.1
Psychiatric Hospital, private for-profit	2	.7
General Hospital, private for-profit	0	0.0
Community Mental Health Mental Retardation Center	56	19.7
State Hospital community based program	4	1.4
Other	9	3.1
Programs not reporting this item	64	22.4
TOTAL	285	100.0

TABLE A-5
BED CAPACITY
by
TYPE OF PROGRAM

(Data obtained on 285 programs)

Type of Program	Average Beds per Program	Total Beds	Percent of Total Beds
Supervised Apartments*	36	. 683	6.4
Unsupervised Apartments*	19	137	1.2
Fairweather Lodges*	22	248	2.3
Foster Care Programs*	13	142	1.3
Halfway Houses	29	782	7.3
Personal Care in Nursing Homes	104	2,939	27.6
Free-standing Personal Care Homes	37	898	8.4
Respite Care Programs	13	39	.3
Room and Boards	20	1,292	12.1
Supervised Group Homes	33	1,066	10.0
Residential Treatment Centers	49	981	9.2
Unknown	96	1,382	12.9
Others	20	59	.5
STATEWIDE SUMMARY	40.5	10,648	100.0

*Represents more than one site within a program

TABLE A-6
LICENSING AND CERTIFICATION
of
SUPERVISED APARTMENT PROGRAMS

Licensing Agency	Number of Programs
City Agency	1
County Agency	0
Texas Rehabilitation Commission	0
Texas Department of Human Resources	5
Texas Department of Health	3
Texas Commission on Alcoholism	0
Texas Department of Community Affairs	0
Texas Department of Mental Health and Mental Retardation	0
Texas Department of Corrections	0
Joint Commission on Accreditation of Hospitals	2
Other	1
Not Reporting	2
Not Licensed	7
Licensed by 1 agency	10
Licensed by 2 agencies	2
Licensed by 3 or more agencies	0

TABLE A-7
LICENSING AND CERTIFICATION
of
UNSUPERVISED APARTMENT PROGRAMS

Licensing Agency	Number of Programs
City Agency	2
County Agency	1
Texas Rehabilitation Commission	2
Texas Department of Human Resources	3
Texas Department of Health	1
Texas Commission on Alcoholism	1
Texas Department of Community Affairs	1
Texas Department of Mental Health and Mental Retardation	1
Texas Department of Corrections	1
Joint Commission on Accreditation of Hospitals	2
Other	1
Not Reporting	0
Not Licensed	3
Licensed by 1 agency	2
Licensed by 2 agencies	1
Licensed by 3 or more agencies	1

TABLE A-8
LICENSING AND CERTIFICATION
of
FAIRWEATHER LODGES

Licensing Agency	Number of Programs
City Agency	0
County Agency	0
Texas Rehabilitation Commission	8
Texas Department of Human Resources	1
Texas Department of Health	0
Texas Commission on Alcoholism	1
Texas Department of Community Affairs	0
Texas Department of Mental Health and Mental Retardation	3
Texas Department of Corrections	0
Joint Commission on Accreditation of Hospitals	0
Other	0
Not Reporting	0
Not Licensed	3
Licensed by 1 agency	4
Licensed by 2 agencies	3
Licensed by 3 or more agencies	1

TABLE A-9
LICENSING AND CERTIFICATION
of
FOSTER CARE PROGRAMS

Licensing Agency	Number of Programs
City Agency	1
County Agency	0
Texas Rehabilitation Commission	0
Texas Department of Human Resources	9
Texas Department of Health	1
Texas Commission on Alcoholism	0
Texas Department of Community Affairs	0
Texas Department of Mental Health and Mental Retardation	0
Texas Department of Corrections	0
Joint Commission on Accreditation of Hospitals	0
Other	0
Not Reporting	4
Not Licensed	0
Licensed by 1 agency	4
Licensed by 2 agencies	3
Licensed by 3 or more agencies	0

TABLE A-10
 LICENSING AND CERTIFICATION
 of
 HALFWAY HOUSE PROGRAMS

Licensing Agency	Number of Programs
City Agency	6
County Agency	8
Texas Rehabilitation Commission	27
Texas Department of Human Resources	8
Texas Department of Health	8
Texas Commission on Alcoholism	7
Texas Department of Community Affairs	3
Texas Department of Mental Health and Mental Retardation	11
Texas Department of Corrections	10
Joint Commission on Accreditation of Hospitals	5
Other	9
Not Reporting	1
Not Licensed	3
Licensed by 1 agency	10
Licensed by 2 agencies	12
Licensed by 3 or more agencies	13

TABLE A-11
LICENSING AND CERTIFICATION
of
PERSONAL CARE IN NURSING HOMES

Licensing Agency	Number of Programs
City Agency	1
County Agency	2
Texas Rehabilitation Commission	3
Texas Department of Human Resources	16
Texas Department of Health	18
Texas Commission on Alcoholism	0
Texas Department of Community Affairs	0
Texas Department of Mental Health and Mental Retardation	1
Texas Department of Corrections	0
Joint Commission on Accreditation of Hospitals	0
Other	3
Not Reporting	11
Not Licensed	0
Licensed by 1 agency	12
Licensed by 2 agencies	10
Licensed by 3 or more agencies	3

TABLE A-12
 LICENSING AND CERTIFICATION
 of
 FREE-STANDING PERSONAL CARE HOMES

Licensing Agency	Number of Programs
City Agency	3
County Agency	4
Texas Rehabilitation Commission	3
Texas Department of Human Resources	6
Texas Department of Health	14
Texas Commission on Alcoholism	3
Texas Department of Community Affairs	2
Texas Department of Mental Health and Mental Retardation	3
Texas Department of Corrections	2
Joint Commission on Accreditation of Hospitals	2
Other	2
Not Reporting	7
Not Licensed	0
Licensed by 1 agency	14
Licensed by 2 agencies	1
Licensed by 3 or more agencies	4

TABLE A-13
LICENSING AND CERTIFICATION
of
RESPIRE CARE PROGRAMS

Licensing Agency	Number of Programs
City Agency	0
County Agency	0
Texas Rehabilitation Commission	0
Texas Department of Human Resources	1
Texas Department of Health	0
Texas Commission on Alcoholism	0
Texas Department of Community Affairs	0
Texas Department of Mental Health and Mental Retardation	1
Texas Department of Corrections	0
Joint Commission on Accreditation of Hospitals	1
Other	0
Not Reporting	0
Not Licensed	1
Licensed by 1 agency	1
Licensed by 2 agencies	1
Licensed by 3 or more agencies	0

TABLE A-14
 LICENSING AND CERTIFICATION
 of
 ROOM AND BOARD HOMES

Licensing Agency	Number of Programs
City Agency	10
County Agency	5
Texas Rehabilitation Commission	0
Texas Department of Human Resources	9
Texas Department of Health	4
Texas Commission on Alcoholism	0
Texas Department of Community Affairs	0
Texas Department of Mental Health and Mental Retardation	6
Texas Department of Corrections	0
Joint Commission on Accreditation of Hospitals	2
Other	0
Not Reporting	32
Not Licensed	11
Licensed by 1 agency	15
Licensed by 2 agencies	3
Licensed by 3 or more agencies	4

TABLE A-15
LICENSING AND CERTIFICATION
of
SUPERVISED GROUP HOMES

Licensing Agency	Number of Programs
City Agency	2
County Agency	0
Texas Rehabilitation Commission	4
Texas Department of Human Resources	16
Texas Department of Health	6
Texas Commission on Alcoholism	1
Texas Department of Community Affairs	0
Texas Department of Mental Health and Mental Retardation	3
Texas Department of Corrections	0
Joint Commission on Accreditation of Hospitals	4
Other	4
Not Reporting	0
Not Licensed	3
Licensed by 1 agency	22
Licensed by 2 agencies	4
Licensed by 3 or more agencies	3

TABLE A-16
 LICENSING AND CERTIFICATION
 of
 RESIDENTIAL TREATMENT CENTERS

Licensing Agency	Number of Programs
City Agency	1
County Agency	0
Texas Rehabilitation Commission	3
Texas Department of Human Resources	14
Texas Department of Health	2
Texas Commission on Alcoholism	0
Texas Department of Community Affairs	2
Texas Department of Mental Health and Mental Retardation	2
Texas Department of Corrections	0
Joint Commission on Accreditation of Hospitals	5
Other	4
Not Reporting	3
Not Licensed	1
Licensed by 1 agency	7
Licensed by 2 agencies	5
Licensed by 3 or more agencies	4

TABLE A-16
LICENSING AND CERTIFICATION
of
ALL RESIDENTIAL PROGRAMS

Licensing Agency	Number of Programs
City Agency	27
County Agency	20
Texas Rehabilitation Commission	50
Texas Department of Human Resources	88
Texas Department of Health	57
Texas Commission on Alcoholism	13
Texas Department of Community Affairs	8
Texas Department of Mental Health and Mental Retardation	31
Texas Department of Corrections	13
Joint Commission on Accreditation of Hospitals	23
Other	24
Not Reporting	60
Not Licensed	32
Licensed by 1 agency	101
Licensed by 2 agencies	45
Licensed by 3 or more agencies	33

TABLE B-1

AVAILABILITY OF RESIDENTIAL SERVICES BY MENTAL HEALTH AUTHORITY SERVICE AREA

AREA	MENTAL HEALTH AUTHORITY	POPULATION FACTOR	BED CAPACITY	BEDS PER 100,000	TOTAL # IN PROGRAM	OCCUPIED BEDS PER 100,000	# MENTALLY ILL IN PROGRAM	# MENTALLY ILL IN PROGRAMS PER 100,000	KNOW NUMBER OF VACANCIES
1	ABILENE REGIONAL MHMR CENTER	1.391	225	161.75	144	103.52	21	15.00	25
2	AMARILLO MHMR REGIONAL CENTER	3.362	306	91.02	146	43.43	41	12.20	76
3	AUSTIN-TRAVIS COUNTY MHMR CENTER	4.193	638	152.16	482	114.95	353	84.19	138
4	BEXAR COUNTY MHMR CENTER	9.888	893	90.31	519	52.49	295	29.83	143
5	MHMR AUTHORITY OF BRAZOS VALLEY	1.763	10	5.67	10	5.67	10	5.67	0
6	CENTRAL COUNTIES CENTER FOR MHMR SERVICES	2.576	50	19.41	17+	6.60	15	5.82	6
7	CENTRAL PLAINS COMPREHENSIVE MHMR CENTER	1.101	28	25.43	19	17.26	9	8.17	9
8	CENTRAL TEXAS MHMR CENTER	0.944	59	62.50	56	59.32	9	9.53	3
9	CONCHO VALLEY CENTER	1.022	15	14.68	11	10.76	11	10.76	4
10	DALLAS COUNTY MHMR CENTER	15.565	1820	116.93	1373	88.21	504	32.38	204
11	DEEP EAST TEXAS REGIONAL MHMR SERVICES	2.793	175	62.66	121	43.32	93	33.30	54
12	MHMR REGIONAL CENTER OF EAST TEXAS	2.319	273	117.72	248	106.94	127	54.76	25
13	EL PASO CENTER FOR MHMR SERVICES	4.798	240	50.02	104	21.68	70	14.59	22
14	GULF BEND MHMR CENTER	1.541	186	120.70	142	92.15	136	88.25	44
15	GULF COAST REGIONAL MHMR CENTER	3.655	439	120.11	166	45.42	25	6.84	72
16	MHMR AUTHORITY OF HARRIS COUNTY	24.094	2011	83.46	926	38.43	354	14.69	136
17	HEART OF TEXAS REGION MHMR CENTER	2.621	230	87.75	186	70.97	68+	25.95	44
18	LUBBOCK REGIONAL MHMR CENTER	2.571	46	17.89	45	17.50	45	17.50	1
19	NAVARRO COUNTY MHMR CENTER	0.353	50	141.64	52	147.31	52	147.31	0
20	NORTH CENTRAL TEXAS MHMR SERVICES	3.428	59	17.21	45	13.13	19	5.54	14
21	NORTHEAST TEXAS MHMR CENTER	1.208	2	1.66	0	0.00	0	0.00	2

TABLE B-1 (Cont)

AVAILABILITY OF RESIDENTIAL SERVICES BY MENTAL HEALTH AUTHORITY SERVICE AREA

AREA	MENTAL HEALTH AUTHORITY	POPULATION FACTOR	BED CAPACITY	BEDS PER 100,000	TOTAL # IN PROGRAM	OCCUPIED		# MENTALLY ILL IN PROGRAM PER 100,000	# MENTALLY ILL IN PROGRAMS PER 100,000	NUMBER OF KNOWN VACANCIES
						BEDS PER 100,000	# MENTALLY ILL IN PROGRAM			
22	MUECES COUNTY MHMR COMMUNITY CENTER	2.682	287	107.01	232	86.50	22	8.20	8.20	55
23	PECAN VALLEY MHMR REGION	1.130	69	25.73	53	19.76	51	19.02	19.02	16
24	PERMIAN BASIN COMMUNITY CENTERS FOR MH AND MR	2.126	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0.00	0
25	SABINE VALLEY REGIONAL MHMR CENTER	2.528	84	33.23	UNK.	UNK.	UNK.	UNK.	UNK.	3
26	MHMR OF SOUTHEAST TEXAS	3.533	212	83.86	176	69.62	124	49.05	49.05	20
27	TARRANT COUNTY MHMR SERVICES	8.608	249	28.93	187	21.72	95	11.04	11.04	14
28	MHMR SERVICES OF TEXOMA	1.417	106	74.81	57	40.23	5	3.53	3.53	49
29	TRI-COUNTY MHMR SERVICES	2.173	37	17.03	26	11.97	20	9.20	9.20	11
30	TROPICAL TEXAS CENTER FOR MHMR	5.104	55	10.78	27	5.29	27	5.29	5.29	28
31	WICHITA FALLS COMMUNITY MHMR CENTER	1.210	125	103.31	103	85.12	60	49.59	49.59	22
32	AUSTIN STATE HOSPITAL	0.452	154	340.71	111	245.58	64	141.59	141.59	43
33	AUSTIN STATE HOSPITAL	0.375	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0.00	0
34	AUSTIN STATE HOSPITAL	0.676	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0.00	0
35	AUSTIN STATE HOSPITAL	1.308	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0.00	0
36	AUSTIN STATE HOSPITAL	1.724	16	9.28	13	7.54	0	0.00	0.00	3
37	BIG SPRING STATE HOSPITAL	1.483	146	98.45	34+	22.93+	30+	20.23+	20.23+	12
38	BIG SPRING STATE HOSPITAL	0.767	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0.00	0
39	KERRVILLE STATE HOSPITAL	0.079	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0.00	0
40	KERRVILLE STATE HOSPITAL	0.741	334	450.74	258	348.18	5	6.75	6.75	76
41	RUSK STATE HOSPITAL	0.765	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0.00	0
42	RUSK STATE HOSPITAL	0.407	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0.00	0

TABLE B-1 (Con't)

AVAILABILITY OF RESIDENTIAL SERVICES BY MENTAL HEALTH AUTHORITY SERVICE AREA

AREA	MENTAL HEALTH AUTHORITY	POPULATION FACTOR	BED CAPACITY	BEDS PER 100,000	TOTAL # IN PROGRAM	OCCUPIED BEDS PER 100,000	# MENTALLY ILL IN PROGRAM	# MENTALLY ILL IN PROGRAM PER 100,000	KNOWN NUMBER OF VACANCIES
43	SAN ANTONIO STATE HOSPITAL	0.359	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0
44	SAN ANTONIO STATE HOSPITAL	0.937	174	185.70	18+	19.21+	6	6.40+	20
45	SAN ANTONIO STATE HOSPITAL	2.210	89	40.27	54	24.43	13	5.88	35
46	SAN ANTONIO STATE HOSPITAL	0.708	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0
47	SAN ANTONIO STATE HOSPITAL	0.646	114	176.47	90	139.32	62	95.98	8
48	SAN ANTONIO STATE HOSPITAL	0.357	116	324.93	73	204.48	2	5.60	43
49	TERRELL STATE HOSPITAL	1.687	194	115.00	109+	64.61	55+	32.60+	13
50	TERRELL STATE HOSPITAL	0.092	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0
51	TERRELL STATE HOSPITAL	0.597	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0
52	VERNON STATE HOSPITAL	0.121	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0
53	VERNON STATE HOSPITAL	0.069	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0
54	VERNON STATE HOSPITAL	0.190	72	378.95	53	278.95	10	52.63	19
55	VERNON STATE HOSPITAL	0.416	60	144.23	33	79.33	10	24.04	27
56	WICHITA FALLS STATE HOSPITAL	0.139	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0
57	WICHITA FALLS STATE HOSPITAL	0.682	108	158.36	61	89.44	1	1.47	47
58	EL PASO STATE CENTER	0.204	48	235.29	48	235.29	48	235.29	0
59	LAREDO STATE CENTER	1.383	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0
60	RIO GRANDE STATE CENTER	0.913	47	51.48	15+	UNK.	UNK.	UNK.	0
	TOTAL	142.184	10651.000	74.910	6450.000	45.364	2814.000	19.791	1586.000

TABLE B-2

RANKING BY NUMBER OF BEDS OCCUPIED BY MENTALLY ILL PER 100,000 POPULATION
(Includes Adults, Adolescents, and Children)

RANKING	AREA	MENTAL HEALTH AUTHORITY	POPULATION FACTOR	BED CAPACITY	BEDS PER 100,000	TOTAL # IN PROGRAM	OCCUPIED		# MENTALLY ILL IN PROGRAM PER 100,000	# MENTALLY ILL IN PROGRAMS PER 100,000	NUMBER OF VACANCIES
							BEDS PER 100,000	# MENTALLY ILL IN PROGRAM			
1	58	EL PASO STATE CENTER	0.204	48	235.29	48	235.29	48	235.29	0	
2	19	MAVARRO COUNTY MHMR CENTER	0.353	50	141.64	52	147.31	52	147.31	0	
3	32	AUSTIN STATE HOSPITAL	0.452	154	340.71	111	245.58	64	141.59	43	
4	47	SAN ANTONIO STATE HOSPITAL	0.646	114	176.47	90	139.32	62	95.98	8	
5	14	GULF BEND MHMR CENTER	1.541	186	120.70	142	92.15	136	88.25	44	
6	3	AUSTIN-TRAVIS COUNTY MHMR CENTER	4.193	638	152.16	482	114.95	353	84.19	138	
7	12	MHMR REGIONAL CENTER OF EAST TEXAS	2.319	273	117.72	248	106.94	127	54.76	25	
8	54	VERNON STATE HOSPITAL	0.190	72	378.95	53	278.95	10	52.63	19	
9	31	WICHITA FALLS COMMUNITY MHMR CENTER	1.210	125	103.31	103	85.12	60	49.59	22	
10	26	MHMR OF SOUTHEAST TEXAS	3.533	212	83.86	176	69.62	124	49.05	20	
11	11	DEEP EAST TEXAS REGIONAL MHMR SERVICES	2.793	175	62.66	121	43.32	93	33.30	54	
12	49	TERRELL STATE HOSPITAL	1.687	194	115.00	109+	64.61	55	32.60	13	
13	10	DALLAS COUNTY MHMR CENTER	15.565	1820	116.93	1373	88.21	504	32.38	204	
14	4	BEXAR COUNTY MHMR CENTER	9.888	893	90.31	519	52.49	295	29.83	143	
15	17	HEART OF TEXAS REGION MHMR CENTER	2.621	230	87.75	186	70.97	68+	25.95	44	
16	55	VERNON STATE HOSPITAL	0.416	60	144.23	33	79.33	10	24.04	27	
17	37	BIG SPRING STATE HOSPITAL	1.483	146	98.45	34+	22.93	30	20.23	12	
18	23	PECAN VALLEY MHMR REGION	1.130	69	25.73	53	19.76	51	19.02	16	
19	18	LUBBOCK REGIONAL MHMR CENTER	2.571	46	17.89	45	17.50	45	17.50	1	
20	1	ABILENE REGIONAL MHMR CENTER	1.391	225	161.75	144	103.52	21	15.00	81	
21	16	MHMR AUTHORITY OF HARRIS COUNTY	24.094	2011	83.46	926	38.43	354	14.69	136	

TABLE B-2 (Con't.)

RANKING BY NUMBER OF BEDS OCCUPIED BY MENTALLY ILL PER 100,000 POPULATION
(Includes Adults, Adolescents, and Children)

RANKING	AREA	MENTAL HEALTH AUTHORITY	POPULATION FACTOR	BED CAPACITY	BEDS PER 100,000	TOTAL # IN PROGRAM	OCCUPIED		# MENTALLY ILL IN PROGRAM PER 100,000	# MENTALLY ILL IN PROGRAMS PER 100,000	NUMBER OF VACANCIES
							BEDS PER 100,000	# IN PROGRAM			
21	16	MHR AUTHORITY OF HARRIS COUNTY	24.094	2011	83.46	926	38.43	354	14.69	136	
22	13	EL PASO CENTER FOR MHMR SERVICES	4.798	240	50.02	104	21.68	70	14.59	22	
23	2	AMARILLO MHMR REGIONAL CENTER	3.362	306	91.02	146	43.43	41	12.20	76	
24	27	TARRANT COUNTY MHMR SERVICES	8.608	249	28.93	187	21.72	95	11.04	14	
25	9	CONCHO VALLEY CENTER	1.022	15	14.68	11	10.76	11	10.76	4	
26	8	CENTRAL TEXAS MHMR CENTER	0.944	59	62.50	56	59.32	9	9.53	3	
27	29	TRI-COUNTY MHMR SERVICES	2.173	37	17.03	26	11.97	20	9.20	11	
28	22	NUECES COUNTY MHMR COMMUNITY CENTER	2.682	287	107.01	232	86.50	22	8.20	55	
29	7	CENTRAL PLAINS COMPREHENSIVE MHMR CENTER	1.101	28	25.43	19	17.26	9	8.17	9	
30	15	GULF COAST REGIONAL MHMR CENTER	3.655	439	120.11	166	45.42	25	6.84	72	
31	40	KERRVILLE STATE HOSPITAL	0.741	334	450.74	258	348.18	5	6.75	76	
32	44	SAN ANTONIO STATE HOSPITAL	0.937	174	185.70	18+	19.21	6	6.40	20	
33	45	SAN ANTONIO STATE HOSPITAL	2.210	89	40.27	54	24.43	13	5.88	35	
34	6	CENTRAL COUNTIES CENTER FOR MHMR SERVICES	2.576	50	19.41	17+	6.60	15	5.82	6	
35	5	MHR AUTHORITY OF BRAZOS VALLEY	1.763	10	5.67	10	5.67	10	5.67	0	
36	48	SAN ANTONIO STATE HOSPITAL	0.357	116	324.93	73	204.48	2	5.60	43	
37	20	NORTH CENTRAL TEXAS MHMR SERVICES	3.428	59	17.21	45	13.13	19	5.54	14	
38	30	TROPICAL TEXAS CENTER FOR MHMR	5.104	55	10.78	27	5.29	27	5.29	28	
39	28	MHR SERVICES OF TEXOMA	1.417	106	74.81	57	40.23	5	3.53	49	
40	57	WICHITA FALLS STATE HOSPITAL	0.682	108	158.36	61	89.44	1	1.47	47	
41	21	NORTHEAST TEXAS MHMR CENTER	1.208	2	1.66	0	0.00	0	0.00	2	
42	24	PERMIAN BASIN COMMUNITY CENTERS FOR MH AND MR	2.126	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	

TABLE B-2 (Cont.)

RANKING BY NUMBER OF BEDS OCCUPIED BY MENTALLY ILL PER 100,000 POPULATION
(Includes Adults, Adolescents, and Children)

RANKING	AREA	MENTAL HEALTH AUTHORITY	POPULATION FACTOR	BED CAPACITY	BEDS PER 100,000	TOTAL # IN PROGRAM	OCCUPIED		# MENTALLY ILL IN PROGRAMS PER 100,000		NUMBER OF VACANCIES
							BEDS PER 100,000	# IN PROGRAM	# MENTALLY ILL IN PROGRAM	# MENTALLY ILL IN PROGRAMS PER 100,000	
43	33	AUSTIN STATE HOSPITAL	0.375	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0.00	0
44	34	AUSTIN STATE HOSPITAL	0.676	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0.00	0
45-60	35	AUSTIN STATE HOSPITAL	1.308	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0.00	0
45-60	36	AUSTIN STATE HOSPITAL	1.724	16	9.28	13	7.54	0	0.00	0.00	3
45-60	38	BIG SPRING STATE HOSPITAL	0.767	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0.00	0
45-60	39	KERRVILLE STATE HOSPITAL	0.079	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0.00	0
45-60	41	RUSK STATE HOSPITAL	0.765	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0.00	0
45-60	42	RUSK STATE HOSPITAL	0.407	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0.00	0
45-60	43	SAN ANTONIO STATE HOSPITAL	0.359	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0.00	0
45-60	46	SAN ANTONIO STATE HOSPITAL	0.708	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0.00	0
45-60	50	TERRELL STATE HOSPITAL	0.092	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0.00	0
45-60	51	TERRELL STATE HOSPITAL	0.597	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0.00	0
45-60	52	VERNON STATE HOSPITAL	0.121	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0.00	0
45-60	53	VERNON STATE HOSPITAL	0.069	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0.00	0
45-60	56	WICHITA FALLS STATE HOSPITAL	0.139	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0.00	0
45-60	59	LAREDO STATE CENTER	1.383	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0.00	0
45-60	25	SABINE VALLEY REGIONAL MHMR CENTER	2.528	84	33.23	UNK.	UNK.	UNK.	UNK.	UNK.	3
45-60	60	RIO GRANDE STATE CENTER	0.913	47	51.48	15+	UNK.	UNK.	UNK.	UNK.	0

TABLE B-3

RANKING BY NUMBER OF BEDS OCCUPIED BY ADULT MENTALLY ILL PER 100,000 POPULATION
(Excluding Children and Adolescent Programs)

RANKING	AREA	MENTAL HEALTH AUTHORITY	POPULATION FACTOR	BED CAPACITY	BEDS PER 100,000	TOTAL # IN PROGRAM	OCCUPIED BEDS PER 100,000	# MENTALLY ILL ADULTS IN PROGRAM	# MENTALLY ILL ADULTS IN PROGRAM PER 100,000	NUMBER OF KNOWN VACANCIES
1	47	SAN ANTONIO STATE HOSPITAL	0.646	114	176.47	90	139.32	62	95.98	8
2	32	AUSTIN STATE HOSPITAL	0.452	154	340.71	111	245.58	28	61.95	43
3	3	AUSTIN-TRAVIS COUNTY MHMR CENTER	4.193	638	152.16	482	114.95	234	55.81	138
4	54	VERNON STATE HOSPITAL	0.190	72	378.95	53	278.95	10	52.63	19
5	31	WICHITA FALLS COMMUNITY MHMR CENTER	1.210	125	103.31	103	85.12	60	49.59	22
6	12	MHMR REGIONAL CENTER OF EAST TEXAS	2.319	273	117.72	248	106.94	95	40.97	25
7	11	DEEP EAST TEXAS REGIONAL MHMR SERVICES	2.793	175	62.66	121	43.32	93	33.30	54
8	49	TERRELL STATE HOSPITAL	1.687	194	115.00	109+	64.61	55	32.60	13
9	26	MHMR OF SOUTHEAST TEXAS	3.533	212	83.86	176	69.62	108	30.57	20
10	55	VERNON STATE HOSPITAL	0.416	60	144.23	33	79.33	10	24.04	27
11	10	DALLAS COUNTY MHMR CENTER	15.565	1820	116.93	1373	88.21	359	23.06	204
12	4	BEXAR COUNTY MHMR CENTER	9.888	893	90.31	519	52.49	223	22.55	143
13	37	BIG SPRING STATE HOSPITAL	1.483	146	98.45	34+	22.93	30	20.23	12
14	17	HEART OF TEXAS REGION MHMR CENTER	2.621	230	87.75	186	70.97	52	19.84	44
15	18	LUBBOCK REGIONAL MHMR CENTER	2.571	46	17.89	45	17.50	45	17.50	1
16	1	ABILENE REGIONAL MHMR CENTER	1.391	225	161.75	144	103.52	21	15.00	25
17	13	EL PASO CENTER FOR MHMR SERVICES	4.798	240	50.02	104	21.68	54	11.25	22
18	9	CONCHO VALLEY CENTER	1.022	15	14.68	11	10.76	11	10.76	4
19	14	GULF BEND MHMR CENTER	1.541	186	120.70	142	92.15	16	10.38	44
20	16	MHMR AUTHORITY OF HARRIS COUNTY	24.094	2011	83.46	926	38.43	246	10.21	136
21	8	CENTRAL TEXAS MHMR CENTER	0.944	59	62.50	56	59.32	9	9.53	3

TABLE B-3 (Cont.)

RANKING BY NUMBER OF BEDS OCCUPIED BY ADULT MENTALLY ILL PER 100,000 POPULATION
(Excluding Children and Adolescent Programs)

RANKING	AREA	MENTAL HEALTH AUTHORITY	POPULATION FACTOR	BED CAPACITY	BEDS PER 100,000	TOTAL # IN PROGRAM	OCCUPIED		# MENTALLY ILL ADULTS IN PROGRAM PER 100,000	# MENTALLY ILL ADULTS IN PROGRAMS PER 100,000	NUMBER OF KNOWN VACANCIES
							BEDS PER 100,000	# MENTALLY ILL ADULTS IN PROGRAM PER 100,000			
22	29	TRI-COUNTY MHMR SERVICES	2.173	37	17.03	26	11.97	20	9.20	11	
23	22	MUECES COUNTY MHMR COMMUNITY CENTER	2.682	287	107.01	232	86.50	22	8.20	55	
24	7	CENTRAL PLAINS COMPREHENSIVE MHMR CENTER	1.101	28	25.43	19	17.26	9	8.17	9	
25	2	AMARILLO MHMR REGIONAL CENTER	3.362	306	91.02	146	43.43	27	8.03	160	
26	27	TARRANT COUNTY MHMR SERVICES	8.608	249	28.93	187	21.72	60	6.97	62	
27	40	KERRVILLE STATE HOSPITAL	0.741	334	450.74	258	348.18	5	6.75	76	
28	44	SAN ANTONIO STATE HOSPITAL	0.937	174	185.70	18+	19.21	6	6.40	UNK.	
29	23	PECAN VALLEY MHMR REGION	1.130	69	25.73	53	19.76	7	6.19	16	
30	45	SAN ANTONIO STATE HOSPITAL	2.210	89	40.27	54	24.43	13	5.88	101	
31	6	CENTRAL COUNTIES CENTER FOR MHMR SERVICES	2.576	50	19.41	17+	6.60	15	5.82	33	
32	5	MHMR AUTHORITY OF BRAZOS VALLEY	1.763	10	5.67	10	5.67	10	5.67	0	
33	48	SAN ANTONIO STATE HOSPITAL	0.357	116	324.93	73	204.48	2	5.60	43	
34	20	NORTH CENTRAL TEXAS MHMR SERVICES	3.428	59	17.21	45	13.13	19	5.54	14	
35	30	TROPICAL TEXAS CENTER FOR MHMR	5.104	55	10.78	27	5.29	27	5.29	28	
36	15	GULF COAST REGIONAL MHMR CENTER	3.655	439	120.11	166	45.42	15	4.10	273	
37	28	MHMR SERVICES OF TEXOMA	1.417	106	74.81	57	40.23	5	3.53	49	
38	57	WICHITA FALLS STATE HOSPITAL	0.682	108	158.36	61	89.44	1	1.47	0	
39	19	NAVARRO COUNTY MHMR CENTER	0.353	50	141.64	52	147.31	0	0.00	2	
40	21	NORTHEAST TEXAS MHMR CENTER	1.208	2	1.66	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	
41	24	PERMIAN BASIN COMMUNITY CENTERS FOR MH AND MR	2.126	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	
42	33	AUSTIN STATE HOSPITAL	0.375	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	

TABLE B-3 (Cont.)

RANKING BY NUMBER OF BEDS OCCUPIED BY ADULT MENTALLY ILL PER 100,000 POPULATION
(Excluding Children and Adolescent Programs)

RANKING AREA	MENTAL HEALTH AUTHORITY	POPULATION FACTOR	BED CAPACITY	BEDS PER 100,000	TOTAL # IN PROGRAM	OCCUPIED		# MENTALLY ILL ADULTS IN PROGRAMS PER 100,000	# MENTALLY ILL ADULTS IN PROGRAMS PER 100,000	NUMBER OF KNOWN VACANCIES
						BEDS PER 100,000	# MENTALLY ILL ADULTS IN PROGRAMS PER 100,000			
43	34	AUSTIN STATE HOSPITAL	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0.00	0
44	35	AUSTIN STATE HOSPITAL	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0.00	0
45-60	36	AUSTIN STATE HOSPITAL	16	9.28	13	7.54	0	0.00	0.00	3
45-60	38	BIG SPRING STATE HOSPITAL	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0.00	0
45-60	39	KERRVILLE STATE HOSPITAL	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0.00	0
45-60	41	RUSK STATE HOSPITAL	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0.00	0
45-60	42	RUSK STATE HOSPITAL	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0.00	0
45-60	43	SAN ANTONIO STATE HOSPITAL	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0.00	0
45-60	46	SAN ANTONIO STATE HOSPITAL	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0.00	0
45-60	50	TERRELL STATE HOSPITAL	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0.00	0
45-60	51	TERRELL STATE HOSPITAL	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0.00	0
45-60	52	VERNON STATE HOSPITAL	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0.00	0
45-60	53	VERNON STATE HOSPITAL	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0.00	0
45-60	56	WICHITA FALLS STATE HOSPITAL	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0.00	0
45-60	58	EL PASO STATE CENTER	48	235.29	48	235.29	0	0.00	0.00	0
45-60	59	LAREDO STATE CENTER	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0.00	0
45-60	25	SABINE VALLEY REGIONAL MHMR CENTER	84	33.23	UNK.	UNK.	UNK.	UNK.	UNK.	UNK.
45-60	60	RIO GRANDE STATE CENTER	47	51.48	15+	UNK.	UNK.	UNK.	UNK.	UNK.

TABLE B-4
INPATIENT PSYCHIATRIC BEDS
BY
MENTAL HEALTH SERVICE AREA

MENTAL HEALTH AUTHORITY SERVICE AREA	CITY	NUMBER OF BEDS	TYPE OF HOSPITAL
1			
ABILENE REGIONAL MHRM CENTER Hendrick Medical Center	Abilene	19	General
2			
AMARILLO MHRM REGIONAL CENTER Amarillo Hospital District Psychiatric Pavillion	Amarillo	100	Psychiatric
Kilgore Childrens Psychiatric Center and Hospital	Amarillo	34	Psychiatric
3			
AUSTIN-TRAVIS COUNTY MHRM CENTER Austin State Hospital	Austin	750	Psychiatric
Oaks Unit of Brown Schools	Austin	120	Psychiatric
Ranch Unit of Brown Schools	Austin	121	Psychiatric
Shoal Creek	Austin	77	General
4			
BEXAR COUNTY MHRM CENTER Audie Murphy Veterans	San Antonio	150	General
Baptist Medical Center	San Antonio	44	General
Brooke Army Medical Center	San Antonio	43	General
Lutheran General	San Antonio	23	General
Medical Center Hospital	San Antonio	27	General
Park North General	San Antonio	50	General
San Antonio Children's	San Antonio	60	General
San Antonio State Hospital	San Antonio	800	Psychiatric
Wilford Hall USAF	San Antonio	71	General
5			
MHRM AUTHORITY OF BRAZOS VALLEY Greenleaf Psychiatric Center	Bryan	50	Psychiatric
6			
CENTRAL COUNTIES CENTER FOR MHRM SERVICES Darnall Army Hospital	Fort Hood	38	General
Scott and White Memorial	Temple	20	General
Veterans Administration	Temple	60	General
7			
CENTRAL PLAINS COMPREHENSIVE MHRM CENTER Central Plains Regional	Plainview	18	General
8			
CENTRAL TEXAS MHRM CENTER NO INPATIENT BEDS			
9			
CONCHO VALLEY CENTER St. John's Hospital	San Angelo	24	General

TABLE B-4
 INPATIENT PSYCHIATRIC BEDS
 BY
 MENTAL HEALTH SERVICE AREA

MENTAL HEALTH AUTHORITY SERVICE AREA	CITY	NUMBER OF BEDS	TYPE OF HOSPITAL
10			
DALLAS COUNTY MHR CENTER			
Baylor University Medical	Dallas	103	General
Brookhaven Pavillion	Farmers Branch	37	General
Children's Medical Center	Dallas	18	General
Green Oaks Psychiatric	Dallas	86	Psychiatric
Hillside Center	Dallas	40	Psychiatric
Memorial Hospital	Garland	21	General
Parkland Memorial	Dallas	18	General
Presbyterian Hospital	Dallas	56	General
Richardson Medical Center	Richardson	24	General
Timberlawn Psychiatric	Dallas	168	Psychiatric
Veterans Administration	Dallas	92	General
11			
DEEP EAST TEXAS REGIONAL MHR SERVICES			
Memorial Hospital	Lufkin	26	General
Newton County Memorial	Newton	1	General
12			
MHR REGIONAL CENTER OF EAST TEXAS			
Mother Frances Hospital	Tyler	10	General
13			
EL PASO CENTER FOR MHR SERVICES			
Highland Park Hospital	El Paso	26	General
Providence Memorial	El Paso	21	General
R.E. Thomason General	El Paso	40	General
Sun Valley Regional	El Paso	66	General
Sun Valley Adolescent	El Paso	36	Psychiatric
William Beaumont	El Paso	23	General
14			
GULF BEND MHR CENTER			
Citizens Memorial	Victoria	40	General
Devereux Foundation	Victoria	79	Psychiatric
15			
GULF COAST REGIONAL MHR CENTER			
Community Hospital	Freeport	8	General
Memorial Hospital	Texas City	62	General
St. Mary's Hospital	Galveston	88	General
University of Texas Medical	Galveston	166	General

TABLE B-4
INPATIENT PSYCHIATRIC BEDS
BY
MENTAL HEALTH SERVICE AREA

MENTAL HEALTH AUTHORITY SERVICE AREA	CITY	NUMBER OF BEDS	TYPE OF HOSPITAL
16			
MHMR AUTHORITY OF HARRIS COUNTY			
Baytown Medical Center	Houston	44	General
Bellaire General	Houston	38	General
Belle Park	Houston	142	Psychiatric
Ben Taub General	Houston	37	General
Deer Park General	Deer Park	128	Psychiatric
Harris Co. Psychiatric	Houston	70	Psychiatric
Hermann Hospital	Houston	60	General
Houston International	Houston	114	Psychiatric
Medical Arts Hospital	Houston	25	General
Memorial Hospital	Houston	74	General
Pasadena Bayshore	Pasadena	56	General
Riverside General	Houston	14	General
Rosewood General	Houston	25	General
Spring Shadows Glen	Houston	156	Psychiatric
St. Joseph's Hospital	Houston	108	General
Texas Research Institute	Houston	60	Psychiatric
Methodist Hospital	Houston	57	General
Verterans Administration	Houston	207	General
West Oaks Psychiatric	Houston	100	Psychiatric
17			
HEART OF TEXAS REGION MHMR CENTER			
Methodist Home	Waco	22	Psychiatric
Providence	Waco	52	General
Veterans Administration	Waco	556	Psychiatric
Waco Children's Center	Waco	80	Psychiatric
18			
LUBBOCK REGIONAL MHMR CENTER			
Methodist Hospital	Lubbock	27	General
St. Mary of the Plains	Lubbock	16	General
Charter Plains Hospital	Lubbock	80	Psychiatric
19			
NAVARRO COUNTY MHMR CENTER			
NO INPATIENT BEDS			
20			
NORTH CENTRAL TEXAS MHMR SERVICES			
North Texas Medical Center	McKinney	2	General
Flow Memorial Hospital	Denton	29	General
21			
NORTHEAST TEXAS MHMR CENTER			
Wadley Regional Memorial	Texarkana	39	General
22			
NUECES COUNTY MHMR COMMUNITY CENTER			
Memorial Medical Center	Corpus Christi	48	General
Physicians and Surgeons	Corpus Christi	71	General

TABLE B-4
 INPATIENT PSYCHIATRIC BEDS
 BY
 MENTAL HEALTH SERVICE AREA

MENTAL HEALTH AUTHORITY SERVICE AREA	CITY	NUMBER OF BEDS	TYPE OF HOSPITAL
23			
PECAN VALLEY MHRM REGION			
NO INPATIENT BEDS			
24			
PERMIAN BASIN COMMUNITY CENTERS FOR MH AND			
Medical Center Hospital	Odessa	15	General
Midland Memorial	Midland	5	General
25			
SABINE VALLEY REGIONAL MHRM CENTER			
Good Shepherd Medical	Longview	2	General
26			
MHRM OF SOUTHEAST TEXAS			
Beaumont Neurological	Beaumont	93	Psychiatric
Park Place Hospital	Port Arthur	20	General
Baptist Hospital	Beaumont	34	General
27			
TARRANT COUNTY MHRM SERVICES			
All Saints Episcopal	Fort Worth	37	General
Care Unit Hospital	Fort Worth	43	Psychiatric
Huguley Memorial	Fort Worth	6	General
John Peter Smith	Fort Worth	56	General
Psychiatric Institute	Fort Worth	100	Psychiatric
Saint Joseph Hospital	Fort Worth	61	General
Sunrise Psychiatric	Arlington	80	Psychiatric
28			
MHRM SERVICES OF TEXOMA			
Medical Plaza Hospital	Sherman	22	General
Sam Rayburn Memorial Veterans	Bonham	15	Psychiatric
Texoma Medical Center	Denison	18	Psychiatric
29			
TRI-COUNTY MHRM SERVICES			
Laurelwood Hospital	Woodlands	100	Psychiatric
30			
TROPICAL TEXAS CENTER FOR MHRM			
Valley Baptist Medical	Harlingen	20	General
James Cullen Looney Center			
for Human Resources	Edinburg	10	Psychiatric
Charter Palms Hospital	McAllen	80	Psychiatric
31			
WICHITA FALLS COMMUNITY MHRM CENTER			
Red River Hospital	Wichita Falls	40	Psychiatric
Sheppard Air Force	Wichita Falls	40	General
Wichita General	Wichita Falls	20	General
Wichita Falls State Hospital	Wichita Falls	550	Psychiatric

TABLE B-4
 INPATIENT PSYCHIATRIC BEDS
 BY
 MENTAL HEALTH SERVICE AREA

MENTAL HEALTH AUTHORITY SERVICE AREA	CITY	NUMBER OF BEDS	TYPE OF HOSPITAL
32 AUSTIN STATE HOSPITAL Brown School Treatment Center	San Marcos	225	Psychiatric
33,34,35, & 36 AUSTIN STATE HOSPITAL NO INPATIENT BEDS			
37 BIG SPRING STATE HOSPITAL Big Spring State Hospital Veterans Administration	Big Spring Big Spring	400 39	Psychiatric General
38 BIG SPRING STATE HOSPITAL NO INPATIENT BEDS			
39 KERRVILLE STATE HOSPITAL NO INPATIENT BEDS			
40 KERRVILLE STATE HOSPITAL Kerrville State Hospital Starlight Village	Kerrville Center	550 25	Psychiatric General
41 RUSK STATE HOSPITAL Rusk State Hospital	Rusk	800	Psychiatric
42 SAN ANTONIO STATE HOSPITAL Val Verde Memorial	Del Rio	1	General
43,44,45,46,47 & 48 SAN ANTONIO STATE HOSPITAL NO INPATIENT BEDS			
49 TERRELL STATE HOSPITAL Terrell State Hospital Titus County Memorial	Terrell Mount Pleasant	750 1	Psychiatric General
50 & 51 TERRELL STATE HOSPITAL			
52,53, & 54 VERNON STATE HOSPITAL NO INPATIENT BEDS			

TABLE B-4
 INPATIENT PSYCHIATRIC BEDS
 BY
 MENTAL HEALTH SERVICE AREA

MENTAL HEALTH AUTHORITY SERVICE AREA	CITY	NUMBER OF BEDS	TYPE OF HOSPITAL
55 VERNON STATE HOSPITAL Vernon State Hospital	Vernon	450	Psychiatric
56 & 57 WICHITA FALL STATE HOSPITAL NO INPATIENT BEDS			
58 EL PASO STATE CENTER NO INPATIENT BEDS			
59 LAREDO STATE CENTER NO INPATIENT BEDS			
60 RIO GRANDE STATE CENTER NO INPATIENT BEDS			
FREESTANDING PSYCHIATRIC BEDS		8,196	
PSYCHIATRIC BEDS IN GENERAL HOSPITALS		3,103	
STATEWIDE TOTAL PSYCHIATRIC BEDS		11,289	

(Source: TDMHR Quality Assurance and TDH Bureau of Health Planning)

TABLE C-1

COST PER DAY

BY PROGRAM TYPE

Type	Average Cost per Day	Minimum	Maximum	Standard Deviation	Variance
Fairweather Lodges	\$15.01	\$6.54	\$34.26	\$8.54	\$72.96
Unsupervised Apartments	\$18.67	\$4.17	\$83.33	\$25.88	\$669.93
Foster Care Programs	\$21.85	\$6.66	\$50.00	\$15.25	\$232.69
Room and Boards	\$21.88	\$0.00	\$288.35	\$44.79	\$2,005.93
Supervised Apartments	\$23.84	\$3.50	\$65.00	\$20.47	\$419.07
Respite Care	\$27.67	\$0.00	\$73.00	\$32.31	\$1,044.22
Unknown	\$28.57	\$0.00	\$217.00	\$56.75	\$3,220.51
Personal Care Homes	\$28.58	\$0.00	\$183.33	\$36.68	\$1,345.10
Personal Care Homes in Nursing Homes	\$29.51	\$0.00	\$56.84	\$12.87	\$165.71
Halfway Houses	\$32.45	\$5.18	\$90.00	\$18.02	\$324.78
Supervised Group Homes	\$44.48	\$5.00	\$95.00	\$22.93	\$525.75
Residential Treatment Centers	\$76.80	\$0.00	\$185.00	\$46.24	\$2,138.53
Others	\$203.53	\$56.60	\$365.00	\$126.32	\$15,957.37
STATEWIDE TOTAL	\$33.42	\$0.00	\$365.00	\$41.85	\$1,751.08

TABLE C-2
 CONTRACTING AGENCIES
 by
 TYPE OF PROGRAM

Type of Program	CMMHRC	State Hospital	TDMHR	TRC	DHR	TDCA	TDC	TCA	Other	Total
Supervised Apartments	5	7	5	3	7	1	1	1	6	36
Unsupervised Apartments	3	2	2	2	2	1	1	1	0	14
Fairweather Lodges	2	11	3	8	1	1	1	1	3	31
Foster Care Programs	1	0	2	1	6	0	0	0	1	11
Halfway Houses	8	16	11	22	4	3	12	7	12	95
Personal Care in Nursing Homes	2	4	4	0	17	0	0	0	16	43
Personal Care Homes	2	6	2	2	7	2	2	2	6	31
Respite Care Programs	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
Room and Boards	4	9	4	0	4	0	0	0	5	26
Supervised Group Homes	5	10	6	9	13	0	1	2	10	56
Residential Treatment Centers	2	2	0	1	11	0	0	1	8	25
Others	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	3
STATEWIDE TOTAL	36	68	40	49	72	8	18	15	67	373

TABLE C-3

CLIENTS' CONTRIBUTION TO COST OF PROGRAM
by TYPE OF PROGRAM

Type of Program	No Clients Contribute	Some Clients Contribute	All Clients Contribute	Did Not Report
Supervised Apartments	2	7	8	2
Unsupervised Apartments	1	2	4	-
Fairweather Lodges	1	3	7	-
Foster Care Programs	2	1	3	5
Halfway Houses	5	31	2	1
Personal Care in Nursing Homes	0	16	9	11
Personal Care Homes	4	1	14	6
Respite Care Programs	2	1	-	-
Room and Boards	12	4	19	31
Supervised Group Homes	8	17	7	-
Residential Treatment Centers	3	5	9	3
Unknown	-	-	-	13
Others	1	2	-	-
STATEWIDE TOTAL	41	90	82	72

TABLE D-1
PROGRAM RESIDENTS
by
DIAGNOSTIC CLASSIFICATION
by
PROGRAM TYPE

Program Type	MI	MR	D&A	Elderly	Physically Handicapped	Other	Total
Supervised Apartments	363	36	21	108	20	71	619
Unsupervised Apartments	94	24	0	1	5	5	129
Fairweather Lodges	196	0	0	0	0	0	196
Foster Care Programs	66	1	7	2	2	22	100
Halfway Houses	356	36	137	14	7	73	623
Nursing Homes	156	31	71	830	339	8	1435
Personal Care Homes	237	45	5	254	2	22	565
Respite Care Programs	15	0	0	0	0	8	23
Room and Boards	538	18	37	120	7	20	740
Supervised Group Homes	401	22	33	10	4	411	881
Residential Treatment Centers	515	3	26	14	3	24	585
Unknown	-	-	-	-	-	-	--
Others	45	4	0	0	1	5	55
STATEWIDE TOTAL	2,982	220	337	1,353	390	669	5951

TABLE D-2

AGE OF RESIDENTS
CLASSIFIED AS
MENTALLY ILL
BY
TYPE OF PROGRAM

Type of Program	<18	Percent	18-30	Percent	31-40	Percent	41-50	Percent	51-64	Percent	>65	Percent	Total
Supervised Apartments	48	18.46%	60	23.08%	66	25.38%	63	24.23%	65	25.00%	6	2.31%	260
Unsupervised Apartments	5	8.62%	23	39.66%	18	31.03%	8	13.79%	9	15.52%	0	0.00%	58
Fairweather Lodges	0	0.00%	45	22.96%	51	26.02%	59	30.10%	33	16.84%	8	4.08%	196
Foster Care Programs	43	102.36%	2	4.76%	3	7.14%	16	38.10%	14	33.33%	7	16.67%	42
Halfway Houses	11	2.53%	197	45.39%	120	27.65%	73	16.82%	40	9.22%	4	0.92%	434
Personal Care in Nursing Homes	0	0.00%	1	0.41%	4	1.65%	25	10.29%	23	9.47%	190	78.19%	243
Personal Care Homes	0	0.00%	12	5.22%	30	13.04%	52	22.61%	35	15.22%	101	43.91%	230
Respite Care Programs	0	0.00%	5	33.33%	5	33.33%	3	20.00%	2	13.33%	0	0.00%	15
Room and Boards	82	25.00%	24	7.32%	64	19.51%	71	21.65%	117	35.67%	52	15.85%	328
Supervised Group Homes	371	301.63%	61	49.59%	32	26.02%	15	12.20%	15	12.20%	0	0.00%	123
Residential Treatment Centers	500	2380.95%	14	66.67%	5	23.81%	2	9.52%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	21
Others	0	0.00%	14	40.00%	14	40.00%	3	8.57%	2	5.71%	2	5.71%	35
STATEWIDE TOTAL	1060	53.40%	458	23.07%	412	20.76%	390	19.65%	355	17.88%	370	18.64%	1985

TABLE D-3

RACE/ETHNICITY OF
RESIDENTS CLASSIFIED
AS MENTALLY ILL
BY
TYPE OF PROGRAM

Type of Program	White		Black		Hispanic		Other		Total
	Non-Hispanic	Percent	Non-Hispanic	Percent	Hispanic	Percent	Other	Percent	
Supervised Apartments	257	74.28%	55	15.90%	34	9.83%	0	0.00%	346
Unsupervised Apartments	59	62.77%	24	25.53%	10	10.64%	1	1.06%	94
Fairweather Lodges	141	72.68%	43	22.16%	7	3.61%	3	1.55%	194
Foster Care Programs	23	51.11%	4	8.89%	17	37.78%	1	2.22%	45
Halfway Houses	292	68.38%	75	17.56%	57	13.35%	3	0.70%	427
Personal Care in Nursing Homes	296	86.30%	13	3.79%	34	9.91%	0	0.00%	343
Personal Care Homes	147	78.19%	26	13.83%	14	7.45%	1	0.53%	188
Respite Care Programs	8	53.33%	7	46.67%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	15
Room and Boards	250	61.12%	58	14.18%	99	24.21%	2	0.49%	409
Supervised Group Homes	344	69.49%	62	12.53%	84	16.97%	5	1.01%	495
Residential Treatment Centers	635	68.87%	158	17.14%	124	13.45%	5	0.54%	922
Others	29	64.44%	16	35.56%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	45
STATEWIDE TOTAL	2481	70.42%	541	15.36%	480	13.62%	21	0.60%	3523

TABLE D-4

MARITAL STATUS OF
RESIDENTS CLASSIFIED
AS MENTALLY ILL

BY
TYPE OF PROGRAM

Type of Program	Never Married	Percent				Percent		Percent		Total
		Separated	Divorced	Married	Widowed	Married	Widowed			
Supervised Apartments	171	66.28%	10.08%	20.16%	2	0.78%	7	2.71%	258	
Unsupervised Apartments	35	55.56%	3.17%	39.68%	0	0.00%	1	1.59%	63	
Fairweather Lodges	109	56.19%	3.61%	34.02%	3	1.55%	9	4.64%	194	
Foster Care Programs	26	59.09%	2.27%	29.55%	1	2.27%	3	6.82%	44	
Halfway Houses	199	59.58%	5.69%	29.34%	10	2.99%	8	2.40%	334	
Personal Care in Nursing Homes	34	15.89%	6.07%	29.91%	10	4.67%	93	43.46%	214	
Personal Care Homes	40	44.94%	22.47%	11.24%	4	4.49%	15	16.85%	89	
Respite Care Programs	8	53.33%	0.00%	40.00%	0	0.00%	1	6.67%	15	
Room and Boards	169	51.37%	5.78%	24.32%	8	2.43%	53	16.11%	329	
Supervised Group Homes	433	92.32%	0.00%	6.82%	0	0.00%	4	0.85%	469	
Residential Treatment Centers	517	99.42%	0.19%	0.38%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	520	
Others	28	66.67%	2.38%	21.43%	3	7.14%	1	2.38%	42	
STATEWIDE TOTAL	1769	68.81%	4.24%	17.78%	41	1.59%	195	7.58%	2571	
Without Child/Adolescent Programs	819	51.77%	6.83%	26.74%	41	2.59%	191	12.07%	1582	

(Data obtained on 215 programs)

TABLE D-5

EMPLOYMENT STATUS
OF RESIDENTS CLASSIFIED
AS MENTALLY ILL
BY PROGRAM TYPE

Type of Program	Not Employed	Part Time Competitive	Full Time Competitive	Inhouse Shelter	Outside Shelter	Programs	
						TOTAL	Not Reporting
Supervised Apartments	131 (42.26%)	25 (8.06%)	30 (9.68%)	85 (27.42%)	39 (12.58%)	310	4
Unsupervised Apartments	50 (53.19%)	9 (9.57%)	8 (8.51%)	10 (10.64%)	17 (18.09%)	94	1
Fairweather Lodges	13 (6.63%)	90 (45.92%)	23 (11.73%)	27 (13.78%)	43 (21.94%)	196	0
Foster Care Programs	32 (71.11%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	12 (26.67%)	1 (2.22%)	45	6
Halfway Houses	149 (52.46%)	17 (5.99%)	65 (22.89%)	34 (11.97%)	19 (6.69%)	284	4
Personal Care in Nursing Homes	111 (99.11%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (0.89%)	112	19
Personal Care Homes	181 (88.29%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	23 (11.22%)	1 (0.49%)	205	14
Respite Care Homes	12 (80.00%)	1 (6.67%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (6.67%)	1 (6.67%)	15	1
Room and Boards	350 (95.63%)	3 (0.82%)	1 (0.27%)	6 (1.64%)	6 (1.64%)	366	31
Supervised Group Homes	328 (77.54%)	48 (11.35%)	12 (0.84%)	32 (7.57%)	3 (0.71%)	423	7
Residential Treatment Centers	335 (72.35%)	43 (9.29%)	18 (3.89%)	64 (13.82%)	3 (0.65%)	463	6
Others	13 (56.52%)	1 (4.35%)	3 (13.04%)	6 (26.09%)	0 (0.00%)	23	1
STATEWIDE TOTALS	1705 (67.23%)	237 (9.35%)	160 (6.31%)	300 (11.83%)	134 (5.28%)	2536	94

(Data obtained on 191 programs)

TABLE D-6

EDUCATIONAL STATUS
OF RESIDENTS CLASSIFIED
AS MENTALLY ILL
BY PROGRAM TYPE

Program Type	None	Some Grade School		Complete Grade School		Some High School		Complete High School		Some College		Complete College		Education Unknown		TOTAL	Programs Not Reporting
		Count	Percentage	Count	Percentage	Count	Percentage	Count	Percentage	Count	Percentage	Count	Percentage	Count	Percentage		
Supervised Apartments	2 (0.65%)	18 (5.81%)	21 (7.77%)	108 (34.84%)	85 (27.42%)	27 (8.71%)	36 (11.61%)	13 (4.19%)	310	4							
Unsupervised Apartments	0 (0.00%)	2 (2.15%)	0 (0.00%)	19 (20.43%)	25 (26.88%)	12 (12.90%)	4 (4.30%)	31 (33.33%)	93	1							
Fairweather Lodges	3 (1.56)	12 (6.25%)	15 (7.81%)	75 (39.06%)	44 (22.92%)	29 (15.10%)	9 (4.69%)	5 (2.60%)	192	0							
Foster Care Programs	3 (6.67%)	20 (44.44%)	1 (2.22%)	7 (15.56%)	8 (17.78%)	2 (4.44%)	2 (4.44%)	2 (4.44%)	45	6							
Halfway Houses	8 (2.93%)	18 (6.59%)	20 (7.33%)	48 (17.58%)	94 (34.43%)	47 (17.22%)	18 (6.59%)	20 (7.33%)	273	6							
Personal Care in Nursing Homes	11 (5.34%)	52 (25.24%)	11 (5.34%)	2 (0.97%)	69 (33.50%)	8 (3.88%)	1 (0.49%)	52 (25.24%)	206	17							
Personal Care Homes	1 (1.11%)	5 (5.56%)	0 (0.00%)	6 (6.67%)	8 (8.89%)	6 (6.67%)	0 (0.00%)	64 (71.11%)	90	14							
Respite Care Homes	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (6/67%)	10 (66.67%)	2 (13.33%)	2 (13.33%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	15	1							
Room and Boards	16 (3.98%)	117 (29.10%)	46 (11.44%)	61 (15.17%)	32 (7.96%)	5 (1.24%)	8 (1.99%)	117 (29.10%)	402	31							
Supervised Group Homes	1 (0.20%)	187 (37.85%)	36 (7.29%)	225 (45.55%)	31 (6.28%)	7 (1.42%)	6 (1.21%)	1 (0.20%)	494	8							
Residential Treatment Centers	10 (3.09%)	134 (41.36%)	42 (12.96%)	102 (31.48%)	3 (0.93%)	2 (0.62%)	31 (9.57%)	0 (0.00%)	324	7							
Others	0 (0.00%)	1 (2.22%)	1 (2.22%)	13 (28.89%)	13 (28.89%)	14 (31.11%)	3 (6.67%)	0 (0.00%)	45	1							
STATEWIDE TOTALS	55 (2.21%)	566 (22.74%)	194 (7.79%)	676 (27.16%)	414 (16.63%)	161 (6.47%)	118 (4.74%)	305 (12.25%)	2489	96							

TABLE D-7

RESIDENTS ON PSYCHOTROPIC MEDICATIONS
by
TYPE OF PROGRAM

Type of Program	Number of Mentally Ill	Number on Psychotropic Medications	Percent on Psychotropic Medications
Supervised Apartments	363	252	69.42%
Unsupervised Apartments	94	89	94.68%
Fairweather Lodges	194	178	91.75%
Foster Care Programs	66	42	63.64%
Halfway Houses	356	252	70.79%
Personal Care in Nursing Homes	156	170	108.97%
Personal Care Homes	237	163	68.78%
Respite Care Programs	15	15	100.00%
Room and Board Homes	538	312	57.99%
Supervised Group Homes	401	150	37.41%
Residential Treatment Centers	515	169	32.82%
Other	45	41	91.11%
Unknown	-	-	-
STATEWIDE TOTAL	2,980	1,833	61.51%

TABLE D-8

LENGTH OF TIME SINCE FIRST ENCOUNTER
WITH THE MENTAL HEALTH SYSTEM
FOR RESIDENTS CLASSIFIED
AS MENTALLY ILL

Type of Program	Under One Year	1 - 2 YEARS	2 - 5 YEARS	5 - 10 YEARS	OVER 10 YEARS	Not Reporting
Supervised Apartments	28 (14.66%)	25 (13.09%)	34 (17.80%)	41 (21.47%)	63 (32.98%)	191
Unsupervised Apartments	0 (0.00%)	3 (5.00%)	15 (25.00%)	26 (43.33%)	16 (26.67%)	60
Fairweather Lodges	2 (1.15%)	2 (1.15%)	28 (16.09%)	54 (31.03%)	88 (50.57%)	174
Foster Care Programs	1 (3.57%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (3.57%)	4 (14.29%)	22 (78.57%)	28
Halfway Houses	10 (4.41%)	19 (8.37%)	71 (31.28%)	56 (24.67%)	71 (31.28%)	227
Personal Care In Nursing Homes	0 (0.00%)	3 (6.00%)	15 (30.00%)	16 (32.00%)	16 (32.00%)	50
Personal Care Homes	0 (0.00%)	1 (3.45%)	0 (0.00%)	9 (31.03%)	19 (65.52%)	29
Respite Care Programs	1 (6.67%)	1 (6.67%)	5 (33.33%)	2 (13.33%)	6 (40.00%)	15
Room and Boards	44 (29.73%)	20 (13.51%)	16 (10.81%)	35 (23.65%)	33 (22.30%)	148
Supervised Group Homes	37 (9.46%)	127 (32.48%)	92 (23.53%)	79 (20.20%)	56 (14.32%)	391
Residential Treatment Centers	84 (27.81%)	69 (22.85%)	108 (35.76%)	41 (13.58%)	0 (0.00%)	302
Others	26 (57.78%)	5 (11.11%)	3 (6.67%)	1 (2.22%)	10 (22.22%)	45
STATEWIDE TOTAL	233 (14.04%)	275 (16.57%)	388 (23.37%)	364 (21.93%)	400 (24.20%)	1660

(Data obtained on 142 programs)

TABLE D-9

NUMBER OF TIMES HOSPITALIZED
FOR RESIDENTS CLASSIFIED
AS MENTALLY ILL

Type of Program	NEVER HOSP	HOSP 1 X	HOSP 2-5 X	HOSP > 5 X	HOSP UNKNOWN	TOTAL	NOT REPORTING
Supervised Apartments	51 (16.50%)	10 (3.24%)	138 (44.66%)	58 (18.77%)	52 (16.83%)	309	4
Unsupervised Apartments	2 (2.13%)	8 (8.51%)	39 (41.49%)	14 (14.89%)	31 (32.98%)	94	1
Fairweather Lodges	2 (1.04%)	18 (9.38%)	92 (47.92%)	76 (39.58%)	4 (2.08%)	192	0
Foster Care Programs	4 (8.89%)	1 (2.22%)	17 (37.78%)	23 (51.11%)	0 (0.00%)	45	6
Halfway Houses	28 (8.86%)	44 (13.92%)	174 (55.06%)	63 (19.94%)	7 (2.22%)	316	7
Personal Care Homes in Nursing Homes	19 (9.69%)	15 (7.65%)	46 (23.47%)	21 (10.71%)	95 (48.47%)	196	21
Personal Care Homes	0 (0.00%)	2 (1.30%)	9 (5.84%)	11 (7.14%)	132 (85.71%)	154	15
Respite Care Programs	1 (6.67%)	1 (6.67%)	8 (53.33%)	5 (33.33%)	0 (0.00%)	15	2
Room and Boards	50 (13.70%)	45 (12.33%)	91 (24.93%)	34 (9.32%)	145 (39.73%)	365	35
Supervised Group Homes	206 (57.06%)	45 (12.47%)	75 (20.78%)	27 (7.48%)	8 (2.22%)	361	9
Residential Treatment Centers	124 (36.26%)	128 (37.43%)	45 (13.16%)	5 (1.46%)	40 (11.70%)	342	8
Others	0 (0.00%)	6 (13.33%)	29 (64.44%)	7 (15.56%)	3 (6.67%)	45	1
STATEWIDE TOTAL	487 (20.01%)	323 (13.27%)	763 (31.35%)	344 (14.13%)	517 (21.24%)	2434	109

(Data obtained on 176 programs)

TABLE D-10

NUMBER OF YEARS SINCE FIRST HOSPITALIZATION
FOR RESIDENTS CLASSIFIED
AS MENTALLY ILL

Type of Program	UNDER 1 YEAR	1 - 2 YEARS	2 - 5 YEARS	5 - 10 YEARS	OVER 10 YEARS	TOTAL
Supervised Apartments	32 (16.00%)	27 (13.50%)	32 (16.00%)	43 (21.50%)	66 (33.00%)	200
Unsupervised Apartments	3 (5.00%)	3 (5.00%)	5 (8.33%)	34 (56.67%)	15 (25.00%)	60
Fairweather Lodges	1 (0.60%)	3 (1.80%)	29 (17.37%)	63 (37.72%)	71 (42.51%)	167
Foster Care Programs	1 (2.27%)	0 (0.00%)	17 (38.64%)	8 (18.18%)	18 (40.91%)	44
Halfway Houses	48 (17.91%)	40 (14.93%)	80 (29.85%)	57 (21.27%)	43 (16.04%)	268
Personal Care Homes in Nursing Homes	7 (7.45%)	7 (7.45%)	64 (68.09%)	10 (10.64%)	6 (6.38%)	94
Personal Care Homes	3 (7.69%)	3 (7.69%)	6 (15.38%)	21 (53.85%)	6 (15.38%)	39
Respite Care Programs	1 (6.67%)	1 (6.67%)	5 (33.33%)	2 (13.33%)	6 (40.00%)	15
Room and Boards	24 (14.63%)	12 (7.32%)	32 (29.51%)	32 (19.51%)	64 (39.02%)	164
Supervised Group Homes	24 (15.89%)	30 (19.87%)	28 (18.54%)	27 (17.88%)	42 (27.81%)	151
Residential Treatment Centers	49 (26.92%)	95 (52.20%)	38 (20.88%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	182
Others	22 (51.16%)	8 (18.60%)	3 (6.98%)	7 (16.28%)	3 (6.98%)	43
STATEWIDE TOTAL	215 (15.07%)	229 (16.05%)	339 (23.76%)	304 (21.30%)	340 (23.83%)	1427

(Data obtained on 176 programs)

TABLE D-11
**NUMBER OF TIMES HOSPITALIZED
 FOR RESIDENTS CLASSIFIED
 AS MENTALLY ILL
 (EXCLUDING PROGRAMS DESIGNED FOR CHILDREN AND ADOLESCENTS)**

Type of Program	NEVER		HOSP		HOSP		HOSP		HOSP		TOTAL	Not Reporting
	HOSP		1 X	2-5 X	> 5 X	UNKNOWN						
Supervised Apartments	51 (16.50%)	10 (3.24%)	138 (44.66%)	58 (18.77%)	52 (16.83%)	309	4					
Unsupervised Apartments	2 (2.13%)	8 (8.51%)	39 (41.49%)	14 (14.89%)	31 (32.98%)	94	1					
Fairweather Lodges	2 (1.04%)	18 (9.38%)	92 (47.92%)	76 (39.58%)	4 (2.08%)	192	0					
Foster Care Programs	4 (8.89%)	1 (2.22%)	17 (37.78%)	23 (51.11%)	0 (0.00%)	45	6					
Halfway Houses	28 (8.86%)	44 (13.92%)	174 (55.06%)	63 (19.94%)	7 (2.22%)	316	7					
Personal Care in Nursing Homes	19 (9.69%)	15 (7.65%)	46 (23.47%)	21 (10.71%)	95 (48.47%)	196	21					
Personal Care Homes	0 (0.00%)	2 (1.30%)	9 (5.84%)	11 (7.14%)	132 (85.71%)	154	15					
Respite Care Programs	1 (6.67%)	1 (6.67%)	8 (53.33%)	5 (33.33%)	0 (0.00%)	15	2					
Room and Boards	50 (13.70%)	45 (12.33%)	91 (24.93%)	34 (9.32%)	145 (39.73%)	365	35					
Others	0 (0.00%)	6 (13.33%)	29 (64.44%)	7 (15.56%)	3 (6.67%)	45	1					
STATEWIDE TOTAL	157	9.07%	150	8.67%	643	37.15%	312	18.02%	469	27.09%	1731	109

(Data obtained on 176 programs)

TABLE E-1

RESIDENT TO STAFF RATIO
(Number of residents for each staff member)

	Average Ratio	Minimum Ratio	Maximum Ratio
Supervised Apartments	4.38	0.33	19.17
Unsupervised Apartments	3.23	0.63	6.71
Fairweather Lodges	5.42	1.13	10.50
Foster Care Programs	2.12	1.00	6.20
Halfway Houses	1.91	0.67	6.00
Personal Care in Nursing Homes	2.54	0.36	7.73
Personal Care Homes	2.59	0.50	10.60
Respite Care Programs	2.30	0.60	4.00
Room and Board Homes	4.34	0.20	11.00
Supervised Group Homes	1.69	0.31	5.00
Residential Treatment Centers	1.04	0.38	3.33
Others	0.87	0.34	1.53
STATEWIDE TOTAL	2.75	0.20	19.17

(Data obtained on 211 programs)

A P P E N D I C E S

APPENDIX A
DISTRIBUTION OF PROGRAMS
BY
MENTAL HEALTH SERVICES AREA

MENTAL HEALTH SERVICE AREA	PROGRAM NAME	PROGRAM TYPE	BED CAPACITY	BEDS PER 100,000	TOTAL # IN PROGRAM	OCCUPIED BEDS PER 100,000	# MENTALLY ILL IN PROGRAM	# MENTALLY ILL IN PROGRAMS PER 100,000	NUMBER OF KNOWN VACANCIES
1	ABILENE REGIONAL MHMR CENTER		1,391	161.75	144	103.52	21	15.00	25
		TOTAL	225						
	1005 Mendrick Home for Children	SUPERVISED GROUP HOME	90	64.70	85	61.11	0	0.00	5
	1006 Woods Psychiatric Institute	TYPE UNKNOWN	56	40.26	Unk.	Unk.	Unk.	0.00	Unk.
	1001 Abilene Girls Home, Inc.	FOSTER CARE PROGRAM	41	29.48	28	20.13	0	0.00	13
	1003 Parish House	HALFWAY HOUSES	22	15.82	19	13.66	19	13.66	3
	1002 Abilene Personal Care Home	PERSONAL CARE HOME IN NURSING	16	11.50	12	8.63	2	8.63	4
2	AMARILLO MHMR REGIONAL CENTER		3,362	91.02	3,362	43.43	3,362	12.20	76
		TOTAL	306		146		41		
	2008 Children's Services, Inc.	RESIDENTIAL TREATMENT CENTER	29	8.63	14	4.16	14	4.16	15
	2006 Community Support Prog	HALFWAY HOUSES	30	8.92	22	6.54	22	6.54	8
	2003 Amarillo Personal Care Home	PERSONAL CARE HOME IN NURSING	35		27		0		
	2005 Berger Nursing Center	PERSONAL CARE HOME IN NURSING	120		74		0		
	2004 Brynwood Personal Care Home	PERSONAL CARE HOME IN NURSING	70		Unk.		Unk.		
2002 Wheeler Care Center	PERSONAL CARE HOME IN NURSING	22	73.47	9	32.72	5	1.49	67	
3	AUSTIN-TRAVIS COUNTY MHMR CENTER		4,193	152.16	4,193	114.95	4,193	84.19	138
		TOTAL	638		482		353		

MENTAL HEALTH SERVICE AREA	PROGRAM NAME	PROGRAM TYPE	BED CAPACITY	BEDS PER 100,000	TOTAL # IN PROGRAM	OCCUPIED BEDS PER 100,000	# MENTALLY ILL IN PROGRAM	# MENTALLY ILL IN PROGRAMS PER 100,000	NUMBER OF KNOWN VACANCIES
	3001 Community Bound	SUPERVISED APARTMENTS	32		32		32		
	3008 Girling Supervised Living	SUPERVISED APARTMENTS	41		39		2		
	3012 Homestead South	SUPERVISED APARTMENTS	15	20.99	8	18.84	8	10.02	9
	3010 Extended Living Center South	SUPERVISED GROUP HOME	18	4.29	16	3.82	10	2.38	2
	3017 Better Roads	RESIDENTIAL TREATMENT CENTER	18		Unk.		Unk.		
	3019 Girlstown, U.S.A.	RESIDENTIAL TREATMENT CENTER	28		24		0		
	3018 Junior Helping Hand Home	RESIDENTIAL TREATMENT CENTER	24		19		19		
	3006 Mary Lee Found.-Liveoak Campus	RESIDENTIAL TREATMENT CENTER	46		45		42		
	3005 Meridell Achievement Ctr. Inc.	RESIDENTIAL TREATMENT CENTER	96		28		28		
	3004 Settlement Home	RESIDENTIAL TREATMENT CENTER	32	58.19	30	34.82	30	28.38	80
	3013 Fairweather Lodge - Austin	FAIRWEATHER LODGES	65	15.50	46	10.97	46	10.97	19
	3014 Adult Foster Care	FOSTER CARE PROGRAM	40		24		22		
	3026 Bowser's Home	FOSTER CARE PROGRAM	3		3		3		
	3027 Eloise Jones	FOSTER CARE PROGRAM	4		4		1		
	3025 Oble Kellog Boarding	FOSTER CARE PROGRAM	3		3		3		
	3022 Smiths Personal Care Facility	FOSTER CARE PROGRAM	4	12.88	4	9.06	4	7.87	16
	3015 Alameda House	HALFWAY HOUSES	14		13		13		
	3003 Brown School Transmitt'l Trtment	HALFWAY HOUSES	14		13		12		
	3016 Turning Point	HALFWAY HOUSES	12	9.54	7	7.87	0	5.96	7
	3011 Extended Living Center North	PERSONAL CARE HOME	13		12		6		
	3009 Extended Living Center West	PERSONAL CARE HOME	20	7.87	16	6.68	6	2.86	5
	3002 Allen Boarding Home	ROOM AND BOARD FACILITIES	9		9		9		
	3024 Ardie Mae Clark Retirement	ROOM AND BOARD FACILITIES	34		34		22		
	3008 Mills Boarding	ROOM AND BOARD FACILITIES	17		17		7		
	3020 Mama Dells Boarding Home	ROOM AND BOARD FACILITIES	6		6		3		
	3023 Well Flowers'	ROOM AND BOARD FACILITIES	25		25		25		

MENTAL HEALTH SERVICE AREA	PROGRAM NAME	PROGRAM TYPE	BED CAPACITY	BEDS PER 100,000	TOTAL # IN PROGRAM	OCCUPIED BEDS PER 100,000	# MENTALLY ILL IN PROGRAM	# MENTALLY ILL IN PROGRAMS PER 100,000	NUMBER OF KNOWN VACANCIES
	3021 Robinsons Boarding Home	ROOM AND BOARD FACILITIES	5	22.90	5	22.90	0	15.74	0
	3007 Brown Ranch	NO DATA AVAILABLE				114.95			
	DOMI	NEW PROGRAM TO OPEN IN FALL							
4	BEAR COUNTY MMR CENTER		9,888						
		(population base)	893	90.31	519	52.49	295	29.83	143
		TOTAL							
	4051 Bear County Alternative Residence	SUPERVISED APARTMENTS	12		12		12		
	4050 Bear County Semi-Independent	SUPERVISED APARTMENTS	9		9		9		
	4042 Garcia's Boarding Home	SUPERVISED APARTMENTS	4	2.53	6	2.73	3	2.43	0
	4048 East Park Group Home	SUPERVISED GROUP HOME	8		8		8		
	4018 M.H. Group Home	SUPERVISED GROUP HOME	12		11		11		
	4049 Shaley Group Home	SUPERVISED GROUP HOME	8	2.83	8	2.73	8	2.73	1
	4001 San Antonio Childrens Center	RESIDENTIAL TREATMENT CENTER	68	6.88	61	6.17	61	6.17	7
	4021 Town Home Communities	TYPE UNKNOWN	11	1.11	10	1.01	UNK.	0.00	1
	4001 fairweather Lodge of San Antonio	FAIRWEATHER LODGES	28	2.83	20	2.02	20	2.02	0
	4006 Koval's Adult Foster Care Home	FOSTER CARE PROGRAM	5	0.51	5	0.51	4	0.40	0
	4013 Augusta House	HALFWAY HOUSES	21		16		1		
	4014 Blackburn House	HALFWAY HOUSES	30		20		0		
	4017 Goldsmith House	HALFWAY HOUSES	27		12		5		
	4015 Hughes House	HALFWAY HOUSES	12		8		0		
	4016 Pryor House	HALFWAY HOUSES	20	11.12	12	6.88	0	0.61	42
	4019 Memorial Medical Bsg Center	PERSONAL CARE HOME IN NURSING	205		UNK.		UNK.		
	4004 Retama Manor Nurs. Ctr-North	PERSONAL CARE HOME IN NURSING	55		40		10		
	4002 Wright NursingHome	PERSONAL CARE HOME IN NURSING	80	13.65	80	12.14	22	3.24	15

MENTAL HEALTH SERVICE AREA	PROGRAM NAME	PROGRAM TYPE	BED CAPACITY	BEDS PER 100,000	TOTAL # IN PROGRAM	OCCUPIED BEDS PER 100,000	# MENTALLY ILL IN PROGRAM	# MENTALLY ILL IN PROGRAMS PER 100,000	NUMBER OF KNOWN VACANCIES
	6049 Angie Hawkins Personal Care	PERSONAL CARE HOME	0		UNK.		1		
	6011 Charlene Edwards Personal Care	PERSONAL CARE HOME	5		UNK.		UNK.		
	6009 Guzman's Personal Care Home	PERSONAL CARE HOME	23		20		5		
	6007 Joseph's Personal Care Home	PERSONAL CARE HOME	28		18		0		
	6025 Joyce Rogers Pers. Care Home	PERSONAL CARE HOME	8		6		3		
	6037 King's Facility-Personal Care	PERSONAL CARE HOME	8		0		0		
	6010 Ruth Guidry's Pers'l Care Home	PERSONAL CARE HOME	5	8.60	5	6.96	3	1.21	23
	6047 Centui's Boarding House	ROOM AND BOARD FACILITIES	3		3		3		
	6041 Guzman's Boarding Home	ROOM AND BOARD FACILITIES	40		15		5		
	6039 Molyfield Bd. Home	ROOM AND BOARD FACILITIES	6		5		1		
	6038 Keith's Boarding Home	ROOM AND BOARD FACILITIES	15		UNK.		0		
	6036 Le Bonavite Board & Care Home	ROOM AND BOARD FACILITIES	25		25		20		
	6035 Ledesma Board & Care	ROOM AND BOARD FACILITIES	18		10		6		
	6034 Lowery Boarding House	ROOM AND BOARD FACILITIES	9		8		8		
	6031 Mendez's Boarding Home	ROOM AND BOARD FACILITIES	9		9		9		
	6030 Morales Board & Care	ROOM AND BOARD FACILITIES	4		4		4		
	6029 Moss' Residence	ROOM AND BOARD FACILITIES	3		1		1		
	6028 Pacheco's Boarding Home	ROOM AND BOARD FACILITIES	3		3		3		
	6026 Rojas' Boarding Home	ROOM AND BOARD FACILITIES	20		16		16		
	6024 Santana's Bd. Home	ROOM AND BOARD FACILITIES	32		31		31		
	6023 Stephen's Boarding House	ROOM AND BOARD FACILITIES	6	46.03	4	13.35	4	11.02	45
	Youth Alternatives Meadows Home	NO DATA AVAILABLE							
	Hernandez Boarding Home	NO DATA AVAILABLE							
	Days Boarding Home	NO DATA AVAILABLE							
	Aurora Guzman's Boarding Home	NO DATA AVAILABLE							
	Youth Alternatives (Girslville)	NO DATA AVAILABLE							

MENTAL HEALTH SERVICE AREA	PROGRAM NAME	PROGRAM TYPE	BED CAPACITY	BEDS PER 100,000	TOTAL # IN PROGRAM	OCCUPIED BEDS PER 100,000	# MENTALLY ILL IN PROGRAM	# MENTALLY ILL IN PROGRAMS PER 100,000	NUMBER OF KNOWN VACANCIES	
5	MHMR AUTHORITY OF BRAZOS VALLEY		1,763	5.67	1,763	5.67	1,763	5.67	0	
		TOTAL	(population base)	10	10	10	10	10	10	0
		5001 Mary Lake Halfway House	HALFWAY HOUSE	10		10		10		
6	CENTRAL COUNTIES CENTER FOR MHMR SERVICES		2,576	19.41	2,576	6.60	2,576	5.82	6	
		TOTAL	(population base)	50	17+	17+	15	15	15	6
		6002 The Village	RESIDENTIAL TREATMENT CENTER	27		Unk.		Unk.		Unk.
	6001 Central Counties 3/4 Way House	HALFWAY HOUSES	10		10		8			
	6003 Central County Halfway House	HALFWAY HOUSES	13		7		7		6	
7	CENTRAL PLAINS COMPREHENSIVE MHMR CENTER		1,101	25.43	19	17.26	9	8.17	9	
		TOTAL	(population base)	28	19	19	9	9	9	3
		7002 C.L. Abernethy New Life Home	FAIRWEATHER LODGES	12	10.90	9	8.17	9	8.17	3
	7003 V.M. Allen Recovery Center	SUPERVISED GROUP HOME	16	14.53	10	9.08	0	0.00	6	
	Care Inn of Plainview	NO DATA AVAILABLE								

MENTAL HEALTH SERVICE AREA	PROGRAM NAME	PROGRAM TYPE	BED CAPACITY	BEDS PER 100,000	TOTAL # IN PROGRAM	OCCUPIED BEDS PER 100,000	# MENTALLY ILL IN PROGRAM	# MENTALLY ILL IN PROGRAMS PER 100,000	NUMBER OF KNOWN VACANCIES
8	CENTRAL TEXAS MHMR CENTER		0.944	62.50	0.944	59.32	0.944	9.53	3
		TOTAL	59		56		9		
	8002 W.T. Marlow Halfway House		11	11.65	9	9.53	9		
	8003 New Horizons Ranch and Center 8001 Center Complex		48	50.85	47	49.79	0		
9	CONCHO VALLEY CENTER		1.022		1.022		1.022		
		TOTAL							
	9001 Oasis House Apartments		15	14.68	11	10.76	11	10.76	4
10	DALLAS COUNTY MHMR CENTER		15.565	116.93	15.565	88.21	15.565	32.38	204
		TOTAL	1820		1373		504		
	10013 Independence House Apt. Pgm.		120		115		115		
	10046 Timberlawn Apartments		30	9.64	25	9.64	25	8.99	10
	10000 Buckner Baptist Children's Home		300		240		0		
	10000 Casa de los Amigos		20		16		0		
	10009 Community Homes for Adults, Inc.		8		2		0		
	10030 Hope Cottage		8		8		8		

MENTAL HEALTH SERVICE AREA	PROGRAM NAME	PROGRAM TYPE	BED CAPACITY	BEDS PER 100,000	TOTAL # IN PROGRAM	OCCUPIED BEDS PER 100,000	# MENTALLY ILL IN PROGRAM	# MENTALLY ILL IN PROGRAMS PER 100,000	NUMBER OF KNOWN VACANCIES
	10023 Salesmanship Club Youth Ctrs.	SUPERVISED GROUP HOME	100	28.01	94	23.13	94	6.55	.76
	10031 Millvale Education Asso.	RESIDENTIAL TREATMENT CENTER	66		51		11		
	10002 Juliette Fowler Home	RESIDENTIAL TREATMENT CENTER	22		13		13		
	10001 St. Joseph Youth Ctr.	RESIDENTIAL TREATMENT CENTER	48	8.74	30	6.04	0	1.54	42
	10033 Dallas Life Foundation	TYPE UNKNOWN	100	6.42	Unk.	Unk.	Unk.	Unk.	Unk.
	10016 Millside Crisis Care Center	OTHER	20	1.28	19	1.22	19	1.22	1
	10014 Phoenix House	FAIRWEATHER LODGES	27	1.73	25	1.61	25	1.61	2
	10000 Millvale Educational Assoc.	HALFWAY HOUSES	12		12		8		
	10022 Salvation Army Social Svc Ctr	HALFWAY HOUSES	41		30		1		
	10020 Timberlawn Half Way House	HALFWAY HOUSES	12		12		12		
	10012 Turtle Creek Manor	HALFWAY HOUSES	30	6.10	24	5.01	17	2.44	17
	10010 Carrolton Manor	PERSONAL CARE HOME IN NURSING	120		96		2		
	10008 Dallas Home for Jewish Aged	PERSONAL CARE HOME IN NURSING	269	24.99	255	22.55	60	3.98	38
	10007 Monticello West Retirement	PERSONAL CARE HOME	180		180		60		
	10003 Westminster Manor	PERSONAL CARE HOME	96	17.73	Unk.	11.56	Unk.	3.85	Unk.
	10000 Almeta's Boarding House	ROOM AND BOARD FACILITIES	27		25		3		
	10037 Browns Room and Board	ROOM AND BOARD FACILITIES	17		17		Unk.		
	10035 Burch Rooming House	ROOM AND BOARD FACILITIES	29		Unk.		Unk.		
	10043 Maggie's Boarding House	ROOM AND BOARD FACILITIES	13		Unk.		Unk.		
	10044 Metropolitan Boarding Home	ROOM AND BOARD FACILITIES	5		Unk.		Unk.		
	10026 Mott's Rooming House	ROOM AND BOARD FACILITIES	7		5		5		
	10015 Oak Cliff Rm. & Bd.	ROOM AND BOARD FACILITIES	16		16		14		
	10017 Veteto Room & Board	ROOM AND BOARD FACILITIES	21		8		2		
	10004 Whites Boarding Hotel	ROOM AND BOARD FACILITIES	56	12.27	55	8.10	10	2.18	18
	10024 Guinn's Retirement Home	NO DATA AVAILABLE							
	10025 Newland Hotel	NO DATA AVAILABLE							
	Mildred's Boarding House	NO DATA AVAILABLE							

MENTAL HEALTH SERVICE AREA	PROGRAM NAME	PROGRAM TYPE	BED CAPACITY	BEDS PER 100,000	TOTAL # IN PROGRAM	OCCUPIED BEDS PER 100,000	# MENTALLY ILL IN PROGRAM	# MENTALLY ILL IN PROGRAMS PER 100,000	NUMBER OF KNOWN VACANCIES
	Johnson's Rooming House	NO DATA AVAILABLE							
	Breeding's Rooming House	NO DATA AVAILABLE							
11	DEEP EAST TEXAS REGIONAL MHMR SERVICES	(population base)	2,793	2.79	2,793	2.79	2,793	2.79	54
	TOTAL		175	62.66	121	43.32	93	33.30	
	11003 Cushing Community Care	SUPERVISED GROUP HOME	15	5.37	12	6.30	1	0.36	3
	11001 John Fries House	HALFWAY HOUSES	8	8.00	7	7.00	0	0.00	
	11002 Shelby Co. 1/2 Way House	HALFWAY HOUSES	14	7.88	14	7.52	4	1.43	1
	11000 Bess Boarding Home	ROOM AND BOARD FACILITIES	66	66.00	59	59.00	59	59.00	
	11000 Lutz Rooming & Boarding Home	ROOM AND BOARD FACILITIES	28	28.00	23	23.00	23	23.00	
	11007 Tempson Boarding Home	ROOM AND BOARD FACILITIES	4	4.00	3	3.00	3	3.00	
	11008 Traylors Boarding Homes	ROOM AND BOARD FACILITIES	40	49.41	3	31.51	3	31.51	50
12	MHMR REGIONAL CENTER OF EAST TEXAS	(population base)	2,319	2.32	2,319	2.32	2,319	2.32	25
	TOTAL		273	117.72	248	106.94	127	54.76	
	12005 E. Texas Guidance Center	SUPERVISED GROUP HOME	48	20.70	44	18.97	32	13.80	4
	12000 Children's Village	RESIDENTIAL TREATMENT CENTER	14	6.04	10	4.31	0	0.00	4
	12002 Tyler Fairweather Lodge	FAIRWEATHER LODGES	12	5.17	8	3.45	8	3.45	4
	12003 Decision House	HALFWAY HOUSES	18	7.76	13	5.61	7	3.02	5
	12006 Putnam Homes	PERSONAL CARE HOME	106	45.71	106	45.71	80	34.50	0
	12007 Tyson House-Salvation Army	ROOM AND BOARD FACILITIES	75	32.34	67	28.89	0	0.00	8

MENTAL HEALTH SERVICE AREA	PROGRAM NAME	PROGRAM TYPE	BED CAPACITY	BEDS PER 100,000	TOTAL # IN PROGRAM	OCCUPIED BEDS PER 100,000	# MENTALLY ILL IN PROGRAM	# MENTALLY ILL IN PROGRAMS PER 100,000	NUMBER OF KNOWN VACANCIES	
13	EL PASO CENTER FOR MHMR SERVICES									
		TOTAL	4,798	4.80	4,798	4.80	4,798	4.80	22	
			240	50.02	104	21.68	70	14.59		
	13001	El Paso Therapeutic Foster Care	8	1.67	7	1.46	1	0.21	1	
	13005	Casa Esperanza Group Home	16	16.00	16	16.00	16	16.00		
	13002	El Paso Center for Children	24	24.00	16	16.00	16	16.00		
	13009	El Paso MHMR Community Lodge#1	6	6.00	5	5.00	5	5.00		
	13010	El Paso MHMR Community Lodge#2	12	12.00	5	8.75	5	8.75	16	
	13007	The Linden Motel	54	11.25	Unk.	Unk.	Unk.	Unk.	Unk.	
	13003	El Paso MHMR C.B.L.A.	8	1.67	7	1.46	1	0.21	1	
	13001	Therapeutic Foster Care Home	18	3.75	17	3.54	16	3.33	1	
	13004	Casa Blanca Halfway House	34	7.09	31	6.46	10	2.08	3	
	13008	Colonial Terrace Boarding	60	12.51	Unk.	Unk.	Unk.	Unk.	Unk.	
14	GULF BEND MHMR CENTER									
		TOTAL	1,541	1.54	1,541	1.54	1,541	1.54	44	
			186	120.70	142	92.15	136	88.25		
	(population base)									
14002	The Devereux Foundation									
		TOTAL	165	107.07	129	83.71	129	83.71	36	
			14	14.00	7	7.00	7	7.00		
14005	Even's Boarding Home	7	13.63	6	8.44	Unk.	Unk.	43		

MENTAL HEALTH SERVICE AREA	PROGRAM NAME	PROGRAM TYPE	BED CAPACITY	BEDS PER 100,000	TOTAL # IN PROGRAM	OCCUPIED BEDS PER 100,000	# MENTALLY ILL IN PROGRAM	# MENTALLY ILL IN PROGRAMS PER 100,000	NUMBER OF KNOWN VACANCIES
15	GULF COAST REGIONAL MHMR CENTER	(population base)	3,655	3.66	3,655	3.66	3,655	3.66	72
	TOTAL		439	120.11	166	45.42	25	6.84	
	15008 Brazoria Youth Home	SUPERVISED GROUP HOME	8	8.00	8	8.00	0	0.00	
	15007 The Bridge	SUPERVISED GROUP HOME	8	4.38	7	4.10	5	1.37	1
	15009 Lone Oak Ranch	FOSTER CARE PROGRAM	12	3.28	10	2.74	10	2.74	2
	15004 Galveston Is. Comm. Living Ctr	HALFWAY HOUSES	8	2.19	7	1.92	7	1.92	1
	15003 Coastal Personal Care Home	PERSONAL CARE HOME IN NURSING	100	100.00	3	3.00	UNK.	UNK.	
	15006 Sweeny House	PERSONAL CARE HOME IN NURSING	102	102.00	14	14.00	3	3.00	
	15005 Winchester Lodge	PERSONAL CARE HOME IN NURSING	150	96.31	117	36.66	0	UNK.	UNK.
	15002 Reir Personal Care Home	PERSONAL CARE HOME	51	13.95	UNK.	UNK.	0	UNK.	UNK.
	Galveston Fairweather Lodge	NEW PROGRAM TO OPEN IN FALL							
16	MHMR AUTHORITY OF HARRIS COUNTY	(population base)	24,094	24.09	24,094	24.09	24,094	24.09	136
	TOTAL		2011	83.46	926	38.43	354	14.69	
	16035 Magnolia Place	SUPERVISED APARTMENTS	174	7.22	157	6.52	39	39.00	17
	16022 Houston Achievement Place	SUPERVISED GROUP HOME	72	2.99	61	2.53	61	61.00	11
	16021 Hope Center	RESIDENTIAL TREATMENT CENTER	125		125		0		
	16018 Meadowbrier Home for Girls	RESIDENTIAL TREATMENT CENTER	48	7.18	48	7.18	48	1.99	0
	16037 Lakeview Acres	TYPE UNKNOWN	20		UNK.		UNK.	UNK.	
	16034 Mission Family Service Home	TYPE UNKNOWN	8		UNK.		UNK.	UNK.	
	16030 New Life Progressive House	TYPE UNKNOWN	15		15		UNK.	UNK.	
	16028 Rock of Salvation	TYPE UNKNOWN	22		UNK.		UNK.	UNK.	

MENTAL HEALTH SERVICE AREA	PROGRAM NAME	PROGRAM TYPE	BED CAPACITY	BEDS PER 100,000	TOTAL # IN PROGRAM	OCCUPIED BEDS PER 100,000	# MENTALLY ILL IN PROGRAM	# MENTALLY ILL IN PROGRAMS PER 100,000	NUMBER OF KNOWN VACANCIES
16027	Salvation Army	TYPE UNKNOWN	500		165		UNK.	UNK.	UNK.
16025	Star of Hope Mission	TYPE UNKNOWN	500		Unk.		UNK.	UNK.	UNK.
16002	Word of Life	TYPE UNKNOWN	52	46.36	6		UNK.	UNK.	UNK.
16016	Terry Hall, MHRA	OTHER	27	1.12	26		1.08	1.08	1
16017	Pyramid House	UNSUPERVISED APARTMENTS	27	1.12	23		0.95	0.95	4
16020	Houston Lodge	FAIRWEATHER LODGES	34	1.41	24		1.00	1.00	10
16008	El Centro Personal Care/Foster Care Program	FOSTER CARE PROGRAM	6		2		0		
16023	Goldstaub Residence	FOSTER CARE PROGRAM	6	0.50	3		0.21	0.12	7
16013	Bayland Ctr for Mental Rehab.	HALFWAY HOUSES	35		17		16		
16045	Rogers St. Recovery Ctr. for Women	HALFWAY HOUSES	14		10		0		
16016	Santa Marie Hostel, Inc.	HALFWAY HOUSES	14		14		3		
16015	Women's Christian Home	HALFWAY HOUSES	28	3.78	27		2.82	1.58	23
16006	Southfield Personal Care Home	PERSONAL CARE HOME IN NURSING	44	1.83	9		0.37	UNK.	35
16012	Adelphine Personal Care Home	PERSONAL CARE HOME	8		UNK.		1		
16011	Alief Personal Care Home	PERSONAL CARE HOME	12		10		0		
16009	Brignoni's Personal Care	PERSONAL CARE HOME	8	1.16	5		0.62	0.17	5
16038	Houston Christian Mission	RESPIRE CARE	13	0.54	8		0.33	0.00	5
16042	Brocken's Boarding House	ROOM AND BOARD FACILITIES	16		16		13		
16041	Charleston House	ROOM AND BOARD FACILITIES	12		9		9		
16003	DePelchin Children's Center	ROOM AND BOARD FACILITIES	59		44		44		
16043	Furries Boarding Home	ROOM AND BOARD FACILITIES	10		0		0		
16036	Magnificat House	ROOM AND BOARD FACILITIES	100		100		20		
16031	Ms. Brownings Family House	ROOM AND BOARD FACILITIES	2	8.26	2		7.06	3.65	18
	New Meverly Program	NO DATA AVAILABLE							
		NEW PROGRAM TO OPEN IN FALL							

MENTAL HEALTH SERVICE AREA	PROGRAM NAME	PROGRAM TYPE	BED CAPACITY	BEDS PER 100,000	TOTAL # IN PROGRAM	OCCUPIED BEDS PER 100,000	# MENTALLY ILL IN PROGRAMS	# MENTALLY ILL IN PROGRAMS PER 100,000	NUMBER OF KNOWN VACANCIES	
17	HEART OF TEXAS REGION MHMR CENTER		2.621	2.62	2.621	2.62	2.621	2.62	44	
		TOTAL	230	87.75	186	70.97	68	25.95		
		(population base)								
		17004 Heart of Texas Coop. Apt.Prog.	SUPERVISED APARTMENTS	15	15.00	12	12.00	12	12.00	3
		17005 Community LivingCenter	HALFWAY HOUSES	13	13.00	10	10.00	10	10.00	3
		17001 Greenview Manor	PERSONAL CARE HOME IN NURSING	130	130.00	110	110.00	30	30.00	20
		17006 Sanford Home	PERSONAL CARE HOME	50	50.00	38	38.00	UNK.	UNK.	12
	17003 Meth. Home Children's Guidance	ROOM AND BOARD FACILITIES	22	22.00	16	16.00	16	16.00	6	
18	NORTH CENTRAL TEXAS MHMR CENTER		2.571	2.57	2.571	2.57	2.571	2.57	1	
		TOTAL	46	17.89	45	17.50	45	17.50		
		(population base)								
18003	Lubbock Apartment Program	UNSUPERVISED APARTMENTS	30	11.67	29	11.28	29	11.28	1	
	18002 Transition Halfway House	HALFWAY HOUSES	16	6.22	16	6.22	16	6.22	0	

MENTAL HEALTH SERVICE AREA	PROGRAM NAME	PROGRAM TYPE	BED CAPACITY	BEDS PER 100,000	TOTAL # IN PROGRAM	OCCUPIED BEDS PER 100,000	# MENTALLY ILL IN PROGRAM	# MENTALLY ILL IN PROGRAM PER 100,000	NUMBER OF KNOWN VACANCIES	
19	NAVARRO COUNTY MHMR CENTER		0.353		0.353		0.353			
			(population base)	50	52	147.31	52	20.23	0	
		TOTAL		141.64	52					
19001 Corsicana State Home		RESIDENTIAL TREATMENT CENTER	50	141.64	52	147.31	52	20.23	0	
20	NORTH CENTRAL TEXAS MHMR SERVICES		3.428		3.428		3.428			
			(population base)	59	45	13.13	19	5.54	14	
		TOTAL		17.21						
		20006 Monitored Living Program	SUPERVISED APARTMENTS	18	5.25	16	4.67	6	1.75	2
		20001 Green Villa	SUPERVISED GROUP HOME	14	4.08	14	4.08	3	0.88	0
		20005 Rice Apartment Training Pgm	UNSUPERVISED APARTMENTS	3		2		2		
		20007 Survival House	UNSUPERVISED APARTMENTS	13	4.67	5	2.04	0	0.58	9
20004 Denton fairweather Lodge	FAIRWEATHER LODGES	11	3.21	8	2.33	8	2.33	3		
21	NORTHEAST TEXAS MHMR CENTER		1.208		1.208		1.208			
			(population base)	2	0	0.00	0	0.00	2	
		TOTAL		1.66						
21001	Respite Care W.V. TX CHMC		2	1.66	0	0.00	0	0.00	2	
			RESPIRE CARE							
22	MUECES COUNTY MHMR COMMUNITY CENTER		2.682		2.682		2.682			
			(population base)							

MENTAL HEALTH SERVICE AREA	PROGRAM NAME	PROGRAM TYPE	BED CAPACITY	BEDS PER 100,000	TOTAL # IN PROGRAM	OCCUPIED BEDS PER 100,000	# MENTALLY ILL IN PROGRAM	# MENTALLY ILL IN PROGRAMS PER 100,000	NUMBER OF KNOWN VACANCIES
		TOTAL	287	107.01	232	86.50	22	8.20	55
22001	Wucess Co. MHMR Adoles. Trtmt	RESIDENTIAL TREATMENT CENTER	13	4.85	8	2.98	8	2.98	5
22004	Sunshine House	HALFWAY HOUSES	16	5.97	12	4.47	12	4.47	4
22002	Retems Manor Nursing Home	PERSONAL CARE HOME IN NURSING	127		108		UNK.		
22002	Retems Personal Care Home	PERSONAL CARE HOME IN NURSING	39	61.89	31	51.83	2	UNK.	27
22003	Mount Carmel Home	PERSONAL CARE HOME	92	34.30	73	27.22	0	0.00	19
	Corpus Christi Lodge Program	NO DATA AVAILABLE							
23	PECAN VALLEY MHMR REGION	(population base)	2,682		2,682		2,682		16
		TOTAL	69	25.73	53	19.76	51	19.02	
23001	Mental Health Apartment Pgm	SUPERVISED APARTMENTS	9	3.36	4	1.49	4	1.49	5
23002	Pecan Valley Alt. Res. Unit	SUPERVISED GROUP HOME	14	5.22	5	1.86	3	1.12	9
23003	Edgemede	RESIDENTIAL TREATMENT CENTER	46	17.15	44	16.41	44	16.41	2
24	PERMIAN BASIN COMMUNITY CENTERS FOR MH AND MR								
	NO PROGRAMS LOCATED								
25	SABINE VALLEY REGIONAL MHMR CENTER	(population base)	2,528						3
		TOTAL	84	33.23		UNK.	UNK.	UNK.	

MENTAL HEALTH SERVICE AREA	PROGRAM NAME	PROGRAM TYPE	BED CAPACITY	BEDS PER 100,000	TOTAL # IN PROGRAM	OCCUPIED BEDS PER 100,000	# MENTALLY ILL IN PROGRAM	# MENTALLY ILL IN PROGRAMS PER 100,000	NUMBER OF KNOWN VACANCIES
25001	Summit Oaks Center	TYPE UNKNOWN	44	17.41	Unk.		Unk.	Unk.	Unk.
25004	Longview Fairweather Lodge Pgm	FAIRWEATHER LODGES	8	3.16	7		7	2.77	1
25003	Ware Home	HALFWAY HOUSES	8	3.16	6		6	2.37	2
25005	Forest Acres	PERSONAL CARE HOME IN NURSING	24	9.49	Unk.		Unk.	Unk.	Unk.
	Personal Care of Gladewater	NO DATA AVAILABLE							
26	MMHR OF SOUTHEAST TEXAS	(population base)	2,528	83.86	2,528	69.62	2,528	49.05	20
		TOTAL	212		176		124		
26006	Daybreak Cottage	RESIDENTIAL TREATMENT CENTER	16	6.33	16	6.33	16	6.33	0
26007	Alternate Care MMHR South Tx	UNSUPERVISED APARTMENTS	8	3.16	8	3.16	8	3.16	0
26005	Fairway House	HALFWAY HOUSES	38		36		15		
26004	Land Manor, Inc.	HALFWAY HOUSES	37		51		36		
26009	Stewart's Halfway House	HALFWAY HOUSES	21	37.97	18	41.53	11	24.53	0
26002	Centerbury Villa	PERSONAL CARE HOME IN NURSING	16		10		1		
26001	Green Acres Personal Care Home	PERSONAL CARE HOME IN NURSING	25	16.22	Unk.	3.96	Unk.	0.40	6+

MENTAL HEALTH SERVICE AREA	PROGRAM NAME	PROGRAM TYPE	BED CAPACITY	BEDS PER 100,000	TOTAL # IN PROGRAM	OCCUPIED BEDS PER 100,000	# MENTALLY ILL IN PROGRAM	# MENTALLY ILL IN PROGRAM PER 100,000	NUMBER OF KNOWN VACANCIES
	26006 Community Oriented Pgm Environment	RESPIRE CARE	24	9.49	15	5.93	15	5.93	9
	26011 Home Room & Board	ROOM AND BOARD FACILITIES	15		12		12		
	26010 Dillie Jordan's	ROOM AND BOARD FACILITIES	12	10.68	10	8.70	10	8.70	5
27	TARRANT COUNTY MHMR SERVICES		8.608		8.608		8.608		
		(population base)	249	28.93	187	21.72	95	11.04	14
	TOTAL								
	27005 Fort Worth Supervised Apartments	SUPERVISED APARTMENTS	28		25		25		3
	27004 Lena Pope Home	SUPERVISED APARTMENTS	65	10.80	65	10.46	0	2.90	
	27007 Fairchild House	SUPERVISED GROUP HOME	16		14		14		
	27002 St. Teresa's Res. Treatment Ctr	SUPERVISED GROUP HOME	40	6.51	35	5.69	35	5.69	7
	27006 Fort Worth Fairweather Lodges	FAIRWEATHER LODGES	22	2.56	21	2.44	21	2.44	1
	27003 Le Chateau	PERSONAL CARE HOME	30	3.49	27	3.14	0	0.00	3
	27011 Group Living	ROOM AND BOARD FACILITIES	20		Unk.		Unk.		
	27010 Morton Hedrick Boarding Westwood Children's Center	ROOM AND BOARD FACILITIES	28	5.58	Unk.		Unk.		Unk.
	MHMR SERVICES OF TEXOMA		1.417		1.417		1.417		
		(population base)	106	74.81	57	40.23	5	3.53	49
	TOTAL								
	28001 Fairview Nursing Home	PERSONAL CARE HOME IN NURSING	103	72.69	54	38.11	2	1.41	49
	28003 Kenney Krest Boarding Home	ROOM AND BOARD FACILITIES	3	2.12	3	2.12	3	2.12	0

MENTAL HEALTH SERVICE AREA	PROGRAM NAME	PROGRAM TYPE	BED CAPACITY	BEDS PER 100,000	TOTAL # IN PROGRAM	OCCUPIED BEDS PER 100,000	# MENTALLY ILL IN PROGRAM	# MENTALLY ILL IN PROGRAM PER 100,000	NUMBER OF KNOWN VACANCIES
29	TRI-COUNTY MHMR SERVICES								
		TOTAL	2.173	17.03	2.173	11.97	2.173	9.20	11
		(population base)	37		26		20		
	29001 Crisis Resolution Unit	HALFWAY HOUSES	12		12		12		
29003 Lynnwood Found. d/b/a One to One	HALFWAY HOUSES	25		16		8			
	Tri-County Fairweather Lodge	NEW PROGRAM TO OPEN IN FALL							
30	TROPICAL TEXAS CENTER FOR MHMR								
		TOTAL	5.104	10.78	5.104	5.29	5.104	5.29	28
		(population base)	55		27		27		
30001 Tropical Texas Center for MHMR	SUPERVISED APARTMENTS		20	3.92	11	2.16	11	2.16	9
	SUPERVISED GROUP HOME		32	6.27	16	3.13	16	3.13	16
		TOTAL	1.21	103.31	1.21	85.12	1.21	49.59	22
31	WICHITA FALLS COMMUNITY MHMR CENTER								
		(population base)	125		103		60		
	31000 Wichita Falls Case Man.	UNSUPERVISED APARTMENTS	48	39.67	47	30.84	31	25.62	1

MENTAL HEALTH SERVICE AREA	PROGRAM NAME	PROGRAM TYPE	BED CAPACITY	BEDS PER 100,000	TOTAL # IN PROGRAM	OCCUPIED BEDS PER 100,000	# MENTALLY ILL IN PROGRAM	# MENTALLY ILL IN PROGRAMS PER 100,000	NUMBER OF KNOWN VACANCIES
31005	Wichita Falls Fairweather Lodge	FAIRWEATHER LODGES	23	19.01	21	17.36	21	17.36	2
	Omega House	HALFWAY HOUSES	16	13.22	8	6.61	8	6.61	8
	Wichita Falls Convalescent Ctr.	PERSONAL CARE HOME IN NURSING	38	31.40	27	22.31	0	0.00	11
32	AUSTIN STATE HOSPITAL	TOTAL	0.452	360.71	0.452	245.58	0.452	141.59	43
			154		111		64		
32004	Brown-Karhen Adult Living Ctrs	SUPERVISED GROUP HOME	15		8		6		
	Mary Lee Found.-Kyle Campus	SUPERVISED GROUP HOME	16	68.58	14	48.67	14	44.25	9
	Elks Children's Diagnostic Ctr OTHER	OTHER	12	26.55	10	22.12	0	0.00	2
	Tongren Rehabilitation Network	PERSONAL CARE HOME	28		28		5		
	Village Inn Residence	PERSONAL CARE HOME	7	77.43	6	75.22	0	11.06	1
	Darden Mill Ranch School	ROOM AND BOARD FACILITIES	28		22		22		
32006	Sunnyside Retirement Center	ROOM AND BOARD FACILITIES	48	168.14	23	99.56	17	86.28	31
33,34,35	AUSTIN STATE HOSPITAL		1.724		1.724		1.724		
	NO PROGRAMS LOCATED								
36	AUSTIN STATE HOSPITAL	(population base)	1.724	9.28	1.724	7.54	0	0.00	3
		TOTAL	16		13				

MENTAL HEALTH SERVICE AREA	PROGRAM NAME	PROGRAM TYPE	BED CAPACITY	BEDS PER 100,000	TOTAL # IN PROGRAM	OCCUPIED BEDS PER 100,000	# MENTALLY ILL IN PROGRAM	# MENTALLY ILL IN PROGRAMS PER 100,000	NUMBER OF KNOWN VACANCIES	
37	36002 Mary Lee Sch.-Giddings Campus Sweetbriar Personal Care Home	SUPERVISED GROUP HOME	16	9.28	13	7.54	0	0.00	3	
		NO DATA AVAILABLE								
		TOTAL	1.483	98.45	1.483	22.93+	1.483	20.23+	12	
			146		34+					
37004 Career Village	37002 Personal Care of Kermit	SUPERVISED GROUP HOME	24	31.02	24	22.93	23	20.23	12	
		SUPERVISED GROUP HOME	22	67.43	10	UNK.	UNK.	UNK.	UNK.	
		PERSONAL CARE HOME IN NURSING	100		UNK.					
38	BIG SPRING STATE HOSPITAL	NO PROGRAMS LOCATED								
		NO PROGRAMS LOCATED								
39	KERRVILLE STATE HOSPITAL	NO PROGRAMS LOCATED								
		NO PROGRAMS LOCATED								
40	KERRVILLE STATE HOSPITAL	(population base)	0.741	450.74	0.741	348.18	0.741	6.75	76	
		TOTAL	334		258		5			
40006 Dietert Cloim Essay House	40004 Colonial Nursing Home	HALFWAY HOUSES	6	8.10	6	8.10	2	2.70	0	
		PERSONAL CARE HOME IN NURSING	183		137		0			
		PERSONAL CARE HOME IN NURSING	118	406.21	95	313.09		0	0.00	69
		PERSONAL CARE HOME	17		13			3		
		PERSONAL CARE HOME	10	36.44	7	26.99		0	4.05	10

MENTAL HEALTH SERVICE AREA	PROGRAM NAME	PROGRAM TYPE	BED CAPACITY	BEDS PER 100,000	TOTAL # IN PROGRAM	OCCUPIED BEDS PER 100,000	# MENTALLY ILL IN PROGRAM PER 100,000	# MENTALLY ILL IN PROGRAMS PER 100,000	NUMBER OF KNOWN VACANCIES
	Sterlight Village	NO DATA AVAILABLE							
	Care Inn of Llano	NO DATA AVAILABLE							
	Youth Alternatives Junction Foster	NO DATA AVAILABLE							
41,42	RUSK STATE HOSPITAL								
	NO PROGRAMS LOCATED								
43	SAN ANTONIO STATE HOSPITAL								
	NO PROGRAMS LOCATED								
44	SAN ANTONIO STATE HOSPITAL								
			0.937	185.70	0.937	19.21+	0.937	6.40+	20
		TOTAL	174		18*		6		
			136	145.14	UNK.	UNK.	1	1.07	UNK.
	64001 Care Inn of Seguin	PERSONAL CARE HOME IN NURSING ROOM AND BOARD FACILITIES	2		1		1		
	64006 Board & Care Home Provider	ROOM AND BOARD FACILITIES	25		10		UNK.		
	64002 Coronado's Boarding Home #1	ROOM AND BOARD FACILITIES	8		4		2		
	64004 Retline Singletary Boarding Home	ROOM AND BOARD FACILITIES	3	40.55	3	19.21	2	5.34+	20
	64005 Rendle Singletary	ROOM AND BOARD FACILITIES							
45	SAN ANTONIO STATE HOSPITAL								

MENTAL HEALTH SERVICE AREA	PROGRAM NAME	PROGRAM TYPE	BED CAPACITY	BEDS PER 100,000	TOTAL # IN PROGRAM	OCCUPIED BEDS PER 100,000	# MENTALLY ILL IN PROGRAM	# MENTALLY ILL IN PROGRAMS PER 100,000	NUMBER OF KNOWN VACANCIES
		TOTAL	2.21	69	54	24.43	2.21	5.88	35
	45005 Pecan Tree Village	SUPERVISED APARTMENTS	20	9.05	17	7.69	0	0.00	3
	45002 Carrizo Springs Nursing Home	PERSONAL CARE HOME IN NURSING	24		8		1		
	45003 Retama Manor Nursing Center S.	PERSONAL CARE HOME IN NURSING	16	18.10	13	9.50	0	0.45	19
	45007 John Paul II Pers. Care Unit	PERSONAL CARE HOME	21	9.50	10	4.52	7	3.17	11
	45000 John Paul II Boarding House	ROOM AND BOARD FACILITIES	8	3.62	6	2.71	5	2.26	2
	Frio County Personal Care	NO DATA AVAILABLE							
	Shoreline Personal Care Home	NO DATA AVAILABLE							
46	SAN ANTONIO STATE HOSPITAL	NO PROGRAMS LOCATED							
47	SAN ANTONIO STATE HOSPITAL								
		TOTAL	0.646	114	90	139.32	0.646	95.98	8
	47001 Teen Challenge Westwood Ctr.	SUPERVISED GROUP HOME	12	18.58	9	13.93	0	0.00	3
	47009 Cruz's Personal Care Home	PERSONAL CARE HOME	8	12.38	6	9.29	6	9.29	2
	47000 Chepe's Boarding Home	ROOM AND BOARD FACILITIES	12		11		11		
	47006 Duncan's Male Boarding Home	ROOM AND BOARD FACILITIES	12		12		7		
	47008 Martin Duncan Boarding Home	ROOM AND BOARD FACILITIES	10		10		10		
	47005 Peralto's Boarding Home	ROOM AND BOARD FACILITIES	16		UNK.		1		
	47004 Ramirez Boarding Home	ROOM AND BOARD FACILITIES	15		15		UNK.		

MENTAL HEALTH SERVICE AREA	PROGRAM NAME	PROGRAM TYPE	BED CAPACITY	BEDS PER 100,000	TOTAL # IN PROGRAM	OCCUPIED BEDS PER 100,000	# MENTALLY ILL IN PROGRAM	# MENTALLY ILL IN PROGRAMS PER 100,000	NUMBER OF KNOWN VACANCIES
47003	Redman's Boarding Home	ROOM AND BOARD FACILITIES	15		15		15		
	Roy Duncan Board & Care Home	ROOM AND BOARD FACILITIES	10		10		10		
	Scoggins's Boarding Home	ROOM AND BOARD FACILITIES	4	145.51	2	116.10	2	86.69	3
48	SAR ANTONIO STATE HOSPITAL		0.357		0.357		0.357		
		TOTAL	116	324.93	73	204.48	2	5.60	43
		PERSONAL CARE HOME IN NURSING NO DATA AVAILABLE	116	324.93	73	204.48	2	5.60	43
49	TERRELL STATE HOSPITAL		1.687		1.687		1.687		
		TOTAL	194	115.00	109+	64.61	55+	32.60+	13
		FAIRWEATHER LODGES	6	3.56	5	2.96	5	2.96	1
49001	Lamar Co. Fairweather Prog.	PERSONAL CARE HOME IN NURSING	69		Unk.		Unk.		
		PERSONAL CARE HOME IN NURSING	60	76.47	56	>33.20	2	Unk.	4
		PERSONAL CARE HOME	56	33.20	48	28.45	48	28.45	8
49010	Boarding Home Stonebrook Terrell Personal Care Delta Personal Care	ROOM AND BOARD FACILITIES	3	1.78	Unk.	Unk.	Unk.	Unk.	Unk.
		NO DATA AVAILABLE							
		NO DATA AVAILABLE							

MENTAL HEALTH SERVICE AREA	PROGRAM NAME	PROGRAM TYPE	BED CAPACITY	BEDS PER 100,000	TOTAL # IN PROGRAM	OCCUPIED BEDS PER 100,000	# MENTALLY ILL IN PROGRAM	# MENTALLY ILL IN PROGRAMS PER 100,000	NUMBER OF KNOWN VACANCIES	
NO PROGRAMS LOCATED										
57	WICHITA FALLS STATE HOSPITAL	TOTAL	0.682	158.36	0.682	61	89.44	0.682	1.47	47
	57001 Jacksboro Nursing Center	PERSONAL CARE HOME IN NURSING	108	158.36	61	61	89.44	1	1.47	47
58	EL PASO STATE CENTER	TOTAL	0.204	235.29	0.204	48	235.29	0.204	235.29	0
	58001 High Frontier, Inc.	SUPERVISED APARTMENTS	48	235.29	48	48	235.29	48	235.29	0
59	LAREDO STATE CENTER	NO PROGRAMS LOCATED								
60	RIO GRANDE STATE CENTER	TOTAL	0.913	51.48	0.913	15+	UNK.	0.913	UNK.	0
	60001 Hospitality Personal Care Home	PERSONAL CARE HOME IN NURSING	15	51.48	15	15	UNK.	UNK.	UNK.	0
	60003 Retama Manor Kingsville	PERSONAL CARE HOME IN NURSING	32	51.48	UNK.	UNK.	UNK.	UNK.	UNK.	0

APPENDIX B
SURVEY QUESTIONNAIRE

COMMUNITY RESIDENTIAL SERVICES
STATE WIDE SURVEY QUESTIONNAIRE
MENTAL HEALTH ASSOCIATION IN TEXAS

Name of Program _____

Address _____

Phone Number _____

Name of Respondent _____

Position at Program _____

COMMENTS: _____

Survey performed by: Health Consulting
Linda Donelson, Project Director
P.O. Box 191148
Dallas, Texas 75219
(214) 522-8602

PART I: FACILITY INFORMATION

This section obtains information about the administration and management of your residential program. It includes items about the type of program you operate, sources of funding, and licensure.

1. Please check the box that best describes your residential program.

- Supervised Apartments
- Unsupervised Apartments
- Fairweather Lodge
- Foster Care Program
- Halfway House
- Nursing Home
- Personal Care Home
- Respite Care Program
- Room and Board Facility
- Supervised Group Home

2. Program Owned/Operated by:

- Community Mental Health Mental Retardation Center
- Private Non-Profit Provider
 - Freestanding
 - Psychiatric Hospital
 - General Hospital
- Private For-Profit Provider
 - Freestanding
 - Psychiatric Hospital
 - General Hospital
- State Hospital Community Based Residential Program
- Other _____

3. With what agencies does this residential program contract to provide residential beds?

- Local Community Mental Health Mental Retardation Center
- State Hospital
- Texas Department of Mental Health Mental Retardation
- Texas Rehabilitation Commission
- Texas Department of Human Resources
- Texas Department of Community Affairs
- Texas Department of Corrections
- Texas Commission on Alcoholism
- Other

4. Do the clients contribute to the cost for residential services?

- No clients contribute
- Some clients contribute
- All clients contribute

5. What is the cost per day per resident in your program? _____

6. What is your program's total bed capacity? _____

7. Is there a limit on the number of days an individual may live in your residence?

- No
- Yes

What is the limit? (State in # of days) _____

8. Of those people living in your residence today what is the average number of days they have lived in your residence? _____

9. Do you maintain a waiting list?

No

Yes

Number of people currently on the waiting list _____

What is the average length of time people have been on your current waiting list? _____

How many of the people on your current waiting list are now in a psychiatric inpatient setting? _____

10. How many additional beds would you need to meet the demand placed upon you for residential services? _____

11. Is this program licensed, certified or accredited by any agency?

NO

YES

City

County

State

Texas Rehabilitation Commission

Texas Department of Human Resources

Texas State Department of Health

Texas Commission on Alcoholism

Texas Department of Community Affairs

Texas Department of Mental Health Mental Retardation

Texas Department of Corrections

JCAH

Other

PART II: PROFILE OF RESIDENTS IN YOUR PROGRAM TODAY

The purpose of the following section is to obtain information describing individuals living in your program today. It will be used to develop a profile of all individuals living in community residential services.

12. How many people are living in your residence today? _____

13. How many people living in your residence are:*

Mentally Ill _____	Mentally Retarded _____
Alcohol/Drug Abuser _____	Elderly _____
Physically Disabled _____	Other _____

* Include each person in only one category. If a person was referred to your program by a state hospital or a community mental health facility, or has been hospitalized in a psychiatric hospital, or has a primary diagnosis of mental illness place them in the mentally ill group, even if they are physically disabled, mentally retarded or elderly. If you think other residents belong in more than one category place them with the group that they are most like. The total number should be the same as Question #12.

14. What are the ages of the residents classified as mentally ill in question 13? Please write in the number of residents in each appropriate age group. The total number of question #14 should be the same as the number classified as mentally ill in question #13.

Under 18 _____	41 to 50 _____
18 to 30 _____	51 to 64 _____
31 to 40 _____	Over 65 _____

15. What is the race/ethnicity of the residents you classified as mentally ill in question #13? Please write in the number of residents in each appropriate ethnic category. The total number of question #15 should be the same as the number classified as mentally ill question #13.

White, non-Hispanic _____	Hispanic _____
Black, non-Hispanic _____	Other _____

16. What is the marital status of the residents classified as mentally ill in question #13? Please write in the number of residents in each appropriate marital category. The total number of question #16 should be the same as the number classified as mentally ill in question #13.

Never Married _____ Currently Married _____
Separated _____ Widowed _____
Divorced _____ Unknown _____

17. What is the educational level of the residents classified as mentally ill in question #13? The total number of question #17 should be the same as the number classified as mentally ill in question #13.

No Formal Education _____ Completed High School _____
Some Grade School _____ Some College _____
Completed Grade School _____ Completed College _____
Some High School _____ Unknown _____

18. Of the current residents classified as mentally ill in question #13, how many have been in a psychiatric hospital in the last 6 months? _____

19. How many of the residents classified as mentally ill in question #13 are currently taking psychotropic medications? Such medications include Haldol, Thorazine, Lithium, Prolixin, Stelazine, Chlorpromazine, Tofranil, Mellaril, Navane, or other psychiatric medication? _____

20a. Of the current residents classified as mentally ill in question #13, what is their history of psychiatric hospitalization? The total number of question #20a should be the same as the number classified as mentally ill in question #13.

Never Hospitalized _____
Hospitalized 1 time _____
Hospitalized 2 - 5 times _____
Hospitalized more than 5 times _____
Hospital history unknown _____

20b. Of the current residents classified as mentally ill who have a history of psychiatric hospitalization in question #20a, how long ago was their first hospitalization?

Less than one year ago _____

1+ - 2 years ago _____

2+ - 5 years ago _____

5+ - 10 years ago _____

More than 10 years ago _____

21. Of the current residents classified as mentally ill in question #13, how long ago was their first encounter with the mental health system? The total number of question #21 should be the same as the number classified as mentally ill in question #13.

Less than one year ago _____

5+ - 10 years ago _____

1+ - 2 years ago _____

More than
10 years ago _____

2+ - 5 years ago _____

22. Of the current residents classified as mentally ill in question #13, how many are earning money through employment? The total number of question #22 should be the same as the number classified as mentally ill in question #13.

No Employment _____

Part Time Competitive Employment _____

Full Time Competitive Employment _____

In-house Sheltered Employment _____

Outside Sheltered Employment _____

PART III: PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

We are interested in the extent to which your residents can participate in activities in the community. The following questions are designed to help us understand the resources available in the community and to what extent the residents are able to take advantage of them.

23a. Is shopping available within:

- a 15 minute walking distance
- a 20 minute public bus ride
- Program provides transportation

If so, how often _____

- Shopping unavailable

23b. Is entertainment (such as movies, bowling, pool, etc.) available within:

- a 15 minute walking distance
- a 20 minute public bus ride
- Program provides transportation

If so, how often _____

- Entertainment unavailable

23c. Is a public park or recreational facility available within:

- a 15 minute walking distance
- a 20 minute public bus ride
- Program provides transportation

If so, how often _____

- Public recreation unavailable

23d. Are there adult public educational facilities (such as a junior college or high school) available within:

- a 15 minute walking distance
- a 20 minute public bus ride
- Program provides transportation

If so, how often _____

Educational facilities unavailable

23e. Are there church services available within:

- a 15 minute walking distance
- a 20 minute public bus ride
- Services provided on-site
- Program provides transportation

If so, how often _____

Church services unavailable

24. The following questions ask about how tasks are undertaken at your facility and the role residents and staff have in performing those tasks.

24a. Cooking

- Performed by staff Staff assist residents
- Residents assist staff Performed by residents
- Provided by volunteers

24b. Cleaning of common living areas

- Performed by staff Staff assist residents
- Residents assist staff Performed by residents
- Provided by volunteers

24c. Cleaning of sleeping areas

- Performed by staff Staff assist residents
 Residents assist staff Performed by residents
 Provided by volunteers

24d. Maintenance of Grounds

- Performed by staff Staff assist residents
 Residents assist staff Performed by residents
 Provided by volunteers

24e. Social Activities

- Performed by staff Staff assist residents
 Residents assist staff Performed by residents
 Not Available Provided by volunteers

24f. Recreational Activities

- Performed by staff Staff assist residents
 Residents assist staff Performed by residents
 Not Available Provided by volunteers

24g. Medications

- Performed by staff Staff assist residents
 Residents assist staff Performed by residents
 Not Available Provided by volunteers

24h. Personal Laundry

- Performed by staff Staff assist residents
 Residents assist staff Performed by residents
 Not Available Provided by volunteers
 Sent Out

24i. Laundry of Sheets, Linens, Towels etc.

- | | |
|---|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Performed by staff | <input type="checkbox"/> Staff assist residents |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Residents assist staff | <input type="checkbox"/> Performed by residents |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Not Available | <input type="checkbox"/> Provided by volunteers |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Sent Out | |

24j. Who performs the following functions?

	Staff	Residents	Both
Making Rules	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Setting Privileges	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Discipline	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Programming	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Admissions/ Discharges	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Roommate Selection	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

25. The following is a list of services which your program may provide or otherwise make available to residents of the program. For each service, designate how often it is available to your residents and also designate if the service is provided by the staff of the residence, by the residents, or purchased from an outside source.

	not available when needed	routinely available	provided by staff	provided by residents	purchased or contracted
Medical care					
Dental care					
Medication Management					
Skills Assessment					
Daily Living Skills Training					
Individual Counselling					
Group Counselling					
Family Counselling					
Prevocational Services					
Job Training					
Job Placement					
Other (Specify)					

PART IV: STAFF PROFILE

The following set of questions is designed to obtain information on the type and level of staff currently employed by community residential programs.

26. Please provide the following information (in summary form) about the staff of the program.

Total Number of Staff _____

Profession or Discipline	Part Time	Full Time
Aides	_____	_____
Psychiatric Technicians	_____	_____
Residential Specialists	_____	_____
Rehabilitation Specialists	_____	_____
Vocational Specialists	_____	_____
Other	_____	_____
Nurses		
Registered Nurses		
Diploma	_____	_____
A.A. or A.D.	_____	_____
Bachelors	_____	_____
Masters	_____	_____
Other	_____	_____
L.V.N.	_____	_____
Social Workers		
Masters	_____	_____
Bachelors	_____	_____
Psychologists (Ph.d.)	_____	_____
Psychologists/counselors (Masters)	_____	_____
Physician(s)	_____	_____
Administrative/Managerial		
Administator(s)	_____	_____
Manager(s)	_____	_____
Cook(s)	_____	_____
Housekeeper(s)	_____	_____
Office worker(s)	_____	_____
Other	_____	_____

27. Are funds available for staff to participate in outside educational activities?

NO

YES

For what staff are funds available to participate in outside educational activities?

All Staff

Professional Staff

Direct Care Staff

Managerial Staff

28. Is inservice education and/or consultation offered to your staff?

NO

YES

How frequently are inservice and/or consultation offered?

Once a week

Two times a month

Once a month

Periodically

Other

29. If we compile a directory of state residential services would you like one?

NO

YES

30. Would you like a summary of the final report of the survey?

NO

YES

