



Texas Department of Family and Protective Services
2008 DATA BOOK

DFPS 2008 Data Book

The Data Book is a descriptive statistical resource of the services provided to the people of the State of Texas by the Texas Department of Family and Protective Services (DFPS) for fiscal year 2008 (September 1, 2007 through August 31, 2008).

Information in this book covers the most frequently asked statistical questions about DFPS programs. Our hope is that it will be beneficial in answering these questions and serve as a continuous resource.

The Data Book provides information in several types of charts using different time intervals and it provides county, regional, and statewide totals.

When comparing data from one time period to another, please keep in mind that DFPS has undergone major changes over time. There have been re-alignments, major policy changes, and automation of case management and reporting that have all influenced the data. In some cases this can make direct comparisons across the years misleading.

Note: The information contained in this printed book is subject to change. For the most recent version please see the online version at http://www.dfps.state.tx.us/About/Data_Books_and_Annual_Reports/default.asp

**Texas Department of Family
and Protective Services**
701 West 51st
P.O. Box 149030
Austin, Texas 78714-9030
(512) 438-4800



Table of Contents

Introduction

DFPS Mission, Vision and Values	vii
DFPS Regional Boundaries and Regional Headquarter Locations.....	viii
Agency Funding Summary.....	ix

Statewide Intake

Expenditures and Total Average Filled Full Time Equivalent (FTE) Staff	1
Worker Demographic	1
Supervisor Demographics.....	1
Legal Responsibility for Statewide Intake.....	2
Number of Calls Received.....	3
Number of Calls Received by Method of Receipt	3
Number of Calls Over Time	3
Number of Calls by Type of Call.....	3
Source of Reports of Abuse/Neglect by Program	4

Adult Protective Services In-Home

Expenditures And Total Average Filled Full Time Equivalent (FTE) Staff	5
Worker Demographics.....	5
Supervisor Demographics.....	5
Overview Statistics Fy 2008.....	5
Most Common.....	5
Legal Responsibility for Adult Protective Services	6
Other Programmatic Information:.....	6
Map - Texas Adult Population Ages 65 and Over	7
Map - Texas Disabled Adult Population Ages 18 to 64 Years	8
Incidence of Maltreatment Per 1,00 Adults In Texas Adult Population by Region	9
APS In-Home Intake Reports by Region	9
APS In-Home Reports by Priority	10
APS In-Home Reports by Source.....	10
APS In-Home Intakes, Completed Investigations and Confirmed Cases 2005-2008	10
Map - Adult Protective Services Confirmed In-Home Investigations.....	11
Completed APS In-Home Investigations by Region	12
APS Daily Caseload.....	12
Completed APS In-Home Investigations by Region And Disposition	13
Recidivism of APS In-Home Cases	13
Recidivism of APS In-Home Cases by Region	13
Characteristics of Confirmed APS Victims In Completed In-Home Investigations	14
Perpetrator Characteristics in Confirmed In-Home Investigations	15
Perpetrator Characteristics in Confirmed In-Home Investigations	16
Perpetrators in Confirmed In-Home Investigations.....	17
Number of Referrals Made to Law Enforcement in APS In-Home Case by Region.....	17
APS Victims of Family Violence in Confirmed Investigations by Region	17
Confirmed Allegations in APS In-Home Investigations by Type of Abuse/Neglect by Region.....	18
Duration of APS In-Home Investigation and Service Delivery Cases Closed	18
Non-Purchased Client Services Delivered in APS In-Home Confirmed Cases by Region.....	19
Purchased Client Services Delivered in APS In-Home Confirmed Cases by Region	19

Adult Protective Service MH and MR Investigations

Expenditures and Total Average Filled Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) Staff	21
Worker Demographics.....	21
Supervisor Demographics.....	21
Overview Statistics FY 2008.....	21
Most Common.....	21

Completed Investigations in MH and MR Settings by Source of Report.....	22
Average Length of Completed MH and MR Investigations by Region	22
Number of MH and MR Investigations Referred to Law Enforcement by Setting	22
Types of Abuse Confirmed in MH and MR Settings.....	22
Characteristics of Victims in Confirmed Investigations in MH and MR Facilities	23
Characteristics of Perpetrators in Confirmed Investigations in MH and MR Facilities	24
Completed Investigations in MH and MR Settings by Fiscal Year.....	25
Completed Investigations by MH and MR Settings in Fiscal Year.....	25
Disposition of Completed MH and MR Investigations	25

Child Protective Services

Expenditures and Total Average Filled FTE Staff	27
Worker Demographics.....	27
Supervisor Demographics.....	27
Statistics FY 2008	27
Most Common Facts	28
Fiscal Year 2008 Other Expenditures	28
Legal Responsibility for Child Protective Services.....	29
Concepts Guiding Risk Determination	30
CPS Daily Caseload 2008.....	31
CPS Daily Caseload 2007.....	32
Map - Texas Child Population Ages Birth through 17 Years	33
Map - Child Protective Services Completed Investigations.....	34
CPS Total Initial Intakes and Screened Out Cases	35
Risk Assessment Finding of Completed Child Abuse/Neglect Investigations	35
Case Action for Risk Indicated Completed Investigations	35
Child Abuse/Neglect Allegation Dispositions	36
Investigations of Child Abuse/Neglect by Source of Report for Completed Investigations	36
Number of Child Abuse/Neglect Completed Investigations	37
Family Cases Opened for Services as a Result of a Completed Investigation	37
Confirmed Allegations of Child Abuse/Neglect by Type of Abuse.....	38
Children in Cases Opened for Services as a Result of a Completed Investigation	39
Point Prevalence Rate of Child Abuse/Neglect per 1,000 Children in TX Population by Region	39
Race/Ethnicity of Selected CPS Statistics Compared to Texas Child Population	40
Map - Confirmed Victims of Child Abuse/Neglect	41
Profile of Confirmed Child Abuse/Neglect Victims	42
Alleged and Confirmed Victims of Child Abuse/Neglect.....	43
CPS Victims of Family Violence in Confirmed Investigations	43
Characteristics Of Perpetrators In Confirmed Investigations of Child Abuse/Neglect	44
Characteristics Of Perpetrators In Confirmed Investigations of Child Abuse/Neglect	45
Average Number of Families Receiving Preservation/ Reunification Services per Month.....	46
Map - Children in Foster Care by County	47
Number of Children Removed from Home as the Result of an Investigation	48
Point of Prevalence for Children Entering Subcare.....	48
Where are Children in DFPS Care?.....	49
Demographics of Children in Foster Care at the End of the Year By Fiscal Year	50
Point of Prevalence for Children in Subcare	51
Children in DFPS Legal Responsibility, in Substitute Care or in Foster Care Placements	51
Legal Status of Children in DFPS Legal Responsibility	52
Children in DFPS Legal Responsibility by Living Arrangement at the End of Each Fiscal Year	53
Children in DFPS Legal Responsibility in Non-Foster Care Placements.....	53
Permanency Goal of Children in Substitute Care for Whom DFPS had Legal Responsibility	54
Number of DFPS Foster, Foster/Adoptive and Adoptive Homes as of August 31, 2008.....	54
Children Placed In Adoptive Homes By Region.....	55
Demographics of Children Placed in Adoptive Homes.....	55
Children with Disabling Conditions Placed in Adoptive Homes.....	56
Children in Consummated Adoptions by Type of Agency by Fiscal Year	56

Children in Consummated Adoptions by Region	56
Demographics of Children in Consummated Adoptions	57
Race/Ethnicity of Children and Adoptive Parents by Fiscal Year.....	57
CPS Outcomes Based on Data from Fiscal Year 2008	58
Substitute Care Outcomes for Cases Open at the End of Fiscal Year 2008	59
Status of Children No Longer in DFPS Legal Responsibility	60
Average Length of Time for Children Leaving DFPS Responsibility by Type of Exit & Region.....	60
Average Length of Time Children Leaving DFPS Responsibility by Type of Exit & Race/Ethnicity.....	60
Average Number of Out-of-Home Placements for Children Who Attained Permanency.....	61
Length of Time in Care for Children Who Achieved Permanency Status	61
Average Monthly Number of Children and FTEs in Paid Foster Care by Service Levels.....	62
Foster Care Expenditures by Source	62
Children in Paid Foster Care Monthly Average by Fiscal Year	63
Percent of Change in the Number of Children in Paid Foster Care by Fiscal Year	63
Children in Paid Foster Care Monthly Average by Region	63
Average Number of Children Provided Adoption Subsidy per Month.....	64
Families and Children Receiving Kinship Caregiver Monetary Assistance	64
Average Number of Children and Families Receiving Purchased Services per Month.....	65
Average Monthly Percent of Children Receiving CPS Purchased Services by Region	65
CPS Expenditures as of 11/30/2008	65
Family Team Meetings Conducted by Race/Ethnicity.....	66
Family Group Decision-Making Conferences Conducted by Race/Ethnicity	66
Circles of Support Conducted by Race/Ethnicity.....	67
Preparation for Adult Living (PAL) Services.....	67

Child-Care Licensing

DCL Expenditures and Total Average Filled FTE Staff	69
DCL Worker Demographics	69
DCL Supervisor Demographics	69
DCL Statistics FY 2008.....	69
RCL Expenditures and Total Average Filled FTE Staff	70
RCL Worker Demographics	70
RCL Supervisor Demographics	70
RCL Statistics FY 2008.....	70
Legal Responsibility for Child-Care Licensing.....	71
Map - Texas Child Population Ages Birth through 13 Years	72
Child Care Operations* in Texas as of August 31, 2008	73
Child Care Operations in Texas as of August 31, 2008.....	73
Day Care Operations and Homes Regulated by Fiscal Year.....	74
Residential Operations Regulated by Fiscal Year.....	74
Licensing of Day Care Operations by Region.....	75
Licensing of Residential (24-Hour) Operations by Region	76
Inspections in Regulated Day-Care Operations	77
Inspections in Regulated Residential (24-Hour) Care Operations.....	78
Inspections in Regulated Day-Care Operations by Type of Inspection.....	79
Child Care Operation Investigations by Fiscal Year	80
Investigations in Regulated Child Care Operations	80
Complaint Investigations in Child Care Operations.....	81
Adverse Actions and Corrective Actions in Day Care Operations by Region	82
Adverse Actions and Corrective Actions in Residential (24-Hour) Operations by Region.....	83
Background Checks by Operation Type.....	84
Child Care Administrator Credential Exam	84
Residential Child Care Expenditures.....	84

Prevention and Early intervention

Legal Responsibility for the Division of Prevention and Early intervention	85
Fiscal Year 2008 Expenditures and Staffing.....	86

STAR (Services To At-Risk) Youth Who are Registered and Received a Service by Fiscal Year	87
Community Youth Development (CYD) Average Monthly Clients Served	87
Runaway Hotline Incoming Calls by Fiscal Year	88
Characteristics of Hotline Callers	88
Number of Families (Primary Caregivers) Served in Child Abuse/Neglect Prevention Programs	89
Number of Youth Served in the Juvenile Delinquency Prevention Programs	90

County Charts

APS In-Home Population And Case-Related Statistics	91 - 95
County	
Population with a Disability Ages 18 to 64	
Population Ages 65 Plus	
Total APS Intakes	
Total APS Completed Investigations	
Confirmed APS Investigations	
APS Clients Receiving Services	
MH and MR Investigations	96 - 98
County	
Intakes	
Complete Investigation	
Confirmed Investigations	
CPS Calls, Reports, Intake or Investigation Workers, and Response Time	99 - 104
County	
Initial Intakes Alleging Abuse/ Neglect	
Child Abuse/ Neglect Reports Assigned for Investigation	
CPS Workers Responsible for Intake or Investigation by Office Location	
Investigation Initiation Response Time In Completed Investigations	
Completed CPS Abuse/Neglect Investigations	105 - 110
County	
Alleged Victims of Child Abuse/ Neglect	
Alleged Victims in Unconfirmed Investigations	
Alleged Victims in Ruled Out Investigations	
Alleged Victims Provided Services	
Alleged Victims Not Provided Services	
Children Removed From Home	
Confirmed CPS Victims and Investigations	111 - 116
County	
Child Population	
Confirmed Victims of Child Abuse/Neglect	
Confirmed Victims of Child Abuse/Neglect per 1,000 Children	
Total CPS Completed Investigations	
Confirmed CPS Investigations	
Percent Investigations Confirmed	
5 Year Outcome for Children Returned Home from Sub Care or Served in FBSS in FY 03	117 - 119
County	
Total Children Served In Fiscal Year 2003	
Subsequently Confirmed Victims In Fiscal Years 2003 - 2008	
Child Abuse/Neglect Related Fatalities Fiscal Year 2008	120
County	
Child Abuse/Neglect Related Fatalities	
Child/Abuse Related Fatalities in Foster Care at Time of Death	
CPS Children in Care During FY 08	121 - 125
County	
Child Population	
Children in DFPS Legal Responsibility	
Point of Prevalence	
Children Entering Substitute Care	
Children in Substitute Care	
Children in Foster Care	

Legal Statuses Granted for Children in Fiscal Year 2008.....	126 - 131
County	
Unique Children	
Possessory CVS	
TMC	
PMC: Not Free For Adoption	
PMC: Free For Adoption	
Adoption Finalized	
DFPS Responsibility Terminated	
Foster Care Expenditures.....	132 - 134
County	
Paid Foster Care Client	
Foster Care Expenditures	
DFPS Foster and Adoptive Homes.....	135 - 137
County	
Foster Homes	
Foster/Adoptive Homes	
Adoptive Homes	
CPS Purchased Services For Children	138 - 143
County	
Children Receiving Sub-Care Purchased Services	
Expenditures For Sub-Care Purchased Services	
Children In Substitute Care	
Children Receiving In-Home Purchased Services	
Expenditures For In-Home Purchased Services	
Children Receiving In-Home Services	
Texas Child Population (Age 0-17) by Race/Ethnicity	144-148
County	
Total	
African American	
Anglo	
Hispanic	
Native American	
Other	
Removals by Race/Ethnicity	149-153
County	
Total	
African American	
Anglo	
Hispanic	
Native American	
Other	
Confirmed Victims of Abuse Neglect by Race/Ethnicity.....	154-158
County	
Total	
African American	
Anglo	
Hispanic	
Native American	
Other	
Children Waiting for Adoption by Race/Ethnicity.....	159-163
County	
Total	
African American	
Anglo	
Hispanic	
Native American	
Other	
Licensing Statistics.....	164-168
County	
Child Population Age 0-13	
Licensed Day Care Operations	
Licensed Day Care Capacity	

Registered Child-Care Homes
Listed Family Homes
Residential Licensed 24 Hour Care Operations
Residential 24-Hour Care Capacity

Definitions

Adult Protective Services Program.....169
Child Protective Services Program.....176
Child Care Licensing Program181

DFPS Mission, Vision and Values

DFPS Mission

The mission of The Texas Department of Family and Protective Services is to protect children, the elderly, and people with disabilities from abuse, neglect, and exploitation by involving clients, families and communities.

DFPS Vision


The Department of Family and Protective Services:

- Is recognized for innovative, effective services;
- Builds strong, effective partnerships with clients, communities and state leaders;
- Provides effective leadership that is accountable for its actions and communicates openly with clients and stakeholders; and
- Supports staff who are highly motivated, diverse, ethical, well trained, and professional.

DFPS Values

- We protect the unprotected.
- We involve clients, families and communities in decision-making.
- We provide quality services.
- We are innovative and strive for excellence.
- We are ethical and accountable.
- We promote diversity.
- We value our staff.

DFPS Regional Boundaries and Regional Office Locations



Texas Department of Family and Protective Services Agency Funding Summary

Goal/Strategy	2007 Expended	2008 Expended	2009 Projected
A.1.1. Statewide Intake Services	\$12,567,050	\$15,780,963	\$16,913,897
A.2.1. CPS Direct Delivery Staff	254,986,277	380,485,402	377,491,414
A.2.2. CPS Program Support	32,458,062	41,341,563	44,724,659
A.2.3. TWC Foster Day Care	5,891,739	13,329,507	14,693,755
A.2.4. TWC Protective Day Care	6,385,826	13,282,576	12,954,267
A.2.5. Adoption Purchased Services	5,931,991	6,152,952	4,995,506
A.2.6. Post Adoption Purchased Services	3,835,041	3,416,395	3,146,042
A.2.7. PAL Purchased Services	7,926,268	7,516,042	8,023,961
A.2.8. Substance Abuse Purchased Services	3,074,924	4,186,719	3,615,113
A.2.9. Other CPS Purchased Services	26,540,541	32,768,606	36,281,945
A.2.10. Foster Care Payments	386,578,975	385,063,975	396,282,521
A.2.11. Adoption Subsidy Payments	125,550,053	137,619,228	149,956,174
A.2.12. Services to At-Risk Youth Program	20,326,755	21,001,218	21,000,860
A.2.13. Community Youth Development Program	7,108,922	7,609,876	7,847,598
A.2.14. Texas Families Program	3,295,829	3,685,842	4,121,878
A.2.15. Child Abuse Prevention Grants	1,314,346	2,164,414	1,796,821
A.2.16. Other At-Risk Prevention Programs	5,459,024	5,881,224	6,955,866
A.2.17. At-Risk Prevention Program Support	1,406,792	1,830,684	1,904,032
A.3.1. APS Direct Delivery Staff	42,683,373	49,158,110	51,806,788
A.3.2. APS Program Support	5,689,887	5,772,543	5,351,172
A.3.3. MH and MR Investigations	5,679,078	5,793,267	6,446,430
A.4.1. Child Care Regulation	23,423,823	26,616,928	27,852,311
B.1.1. Central Administration	11,707,710	13,120,901	12,815,859
B.1.2. Other Support Services	149,602	189,189	168,758
B.1.3. Regional Administration	805,072	755,194	875,053
B.1.4. IT Program Support	6,081,728	14,671,801	12,452,714
B.1.5. Maintain Automated System	13,152,174	26,294,829	26,229,903
C.1.1. CPS Reform Continued	159,649,577	52,142,671	80,635,295
DFPS Total	\$1,179,660,440	\$1,277,632,619	\$1,337,340,594
Method of Finance:			
General Revenue Fund	\$118,847,551	\$490,301,667	\$571,939,483
General Revenue - Dedicated	3,012,139	6,989,793	6,989,791
Earned Federal Funds	1,100,000	0	0
Federal Funds, est.	720,546,900	773,845,510	751,298,939
Economic Stabilization Fund	110,228,637	0	0
Other	225,925,212	6,495,648	7,112,381
Total Method of Finance	\$1,179,660,440	\$1,277,632,619	\$1,337,340,594
Number of Positions (FTEs) estimated	9,187.9	10,377.1	11,296.3

SWI

Statewide Intake Overview

Statewide Intake serves as the “front door to the front line” for all DFPS programs. As the central point of contact for reports of abuse, neglect and exploitation of vulnerable Texans, SWI staff are available 24 hours a day, 7 days per week, 365 days per year.

Expenditures and Total Average Filled

Full Time Equivalent (FTE) Staff


Total Staff	353.4
Workers	265.3
Supervisors	30.4
Other	57.7
Staff Costs	\$15,515,108.63

Worker Demographic

Turnover Rate	28.4%
Tenure	
Less than 1 Year	29.1%
1 - 3 Years	27.0%
Greater than 3 Years	43.9%
Entry Salary	\$29,881.44
Average Age	38.8
Race/Ethnicity	
African-American	12.9%
Anglo	62.6%
Hispanic	20.1%
Other	4.3%

Supervisor Demographics

Turnover Rate	0.0%
Tenure	
Less than 1 Year	0.0%
1 - 3 Years	6.5%
Greater than 3 Years	93.5%
Entry Salary	\$36,043.00
Average Age	44.0
Race/Ethnicity	
African-American	6.5%
Anglo	54.8%
Hispanic	32.3%
Other	6.5%



* CPS, CCL

Legal Responsibility for Statewide Intake

Statutory References

Federal: Social Security Act

State: Texas Family Code, Human Resource Code

Major Functions

- Centralized point of intake for child abuse and neglect, abuse, neglect or exploitation of the elderly or adults with disabilities, clients served by DSHS or DADS employees in State Hospitals or State Schools, and children in licensed child-care facilities or treatment centers for the entire State of Texas.
- Open 365 days a year, twenty-four hours a day, seven days a week.
- Able to receive information via phone, Internet reporting system, fax or mailed correspondence.
- Responsibility to assess information received to the definitions of possible abuse, neglect or exploitation for each program served and to prioritize and route the information to the correct destination workload.
- Information and Referral Service as warranted or when information received does not meet statutory definitions.
- Generate Law Enforcement Notifications and route to the correct law enforcement jurisdiction for CPS and CCL programs.
- Insure confidentiality of IMPACT history and caller identity.
- Serve as an Expedited Background Check function for CPS by checking criminal and IMPACT history to aid field staff during emergency removals.
- Quality Assurance unit to review complaints, random call monitors and to develop Best Practice trainings for Intake staff.
- Point program for identifying problems with IMPACT rollouts.

- Provide daily reports on call volume per application; hold times per application, etc.
- Integrate hardware and software upgrades to phone and computer systems to reduce hold times and improve efficiency.
- Use of an IEX Workforce Management System to schedule shifts, breaks and meal times for intake workers in order to maximize efficiency.
- Telstrat Call Parrot call recording system utilized to record phone calls for Quality Assurance and legal requirements.

Challenges

- Respond to ever increasing number of phone calls, Internet reports, fax and mailed correspondence while keeping hold times to five minutes or less while maintaining a high quality of intakes.
- Increase number of bilingual staff.
- Reduce turnover.


Number of Calls Received

Fiscal Year	Hold Time	# of Calls	% Change
2004	7.4	725,787	
2005	5.2	805,799	11.0%
2006	7.1	821,774	2.0%
2007	9.6	647,909	-21.2%
2008	11.4	678,330	4.7%

**Number of Calls Received by Method of Receipt
Fiscal Year 2008**

Call Type	Number of Calls	%
Internet	131,264	19.4%
Mail/Fax	27,995	4.1%
Other	1,197	0.2%
Phone	517,743	76.3%
Walk-in	131	0.0%
Total	678,330	100.0%

Number of Calls Over Time



Note: A major phone system upgrade occurred at Statewide Intake in August 2006. Phone scripts were updated, giving callers information about entities external to DFPS who might better meet their needs, such as 211, TANF, and Foodstamps. As a result, significantly fewer callers chose to be routed to intake specialists than in past years for information and referral information.

**Number of Calls by Type of Call
Fiscal Year 2008**

	Adult Protective Services - In Home	Adult Protective Services - Facility	Child Protective Services	Residential Child Care Licensing	Child Care Licensing	Unknown	Total	% of Total
Reports of Alleged Abuse/Neglect*	83,658	9,308	250,153	4,410	4,011	188	351,728	51.9%
Case Related Special Requests	16	0	29,490	3	1	5	29,515	4.4%
Non Case Related Special Requests	Data not available by program						1,138	0.2%
Information and Referral - Related to Protective Services							255,766	37.7%
Information and Referral - Not Related to Protective Services							40,183	5.9%
Grand Total	83,674	9,308	279,643	4,413	4,012	242	678,330	100.0%

*Note: The term "calls" represent information received by DFPS and then entered into IMPACT system. Information may be received in the form of a telephone call, regular mail, via Internet reporting system or via fax:
* Calls and reports by date report received.*

Source of Reports of Abuse/Neglect by Program Fiscal Year 2008

CPS - Source of Report	Number	Percent
Anonymous	10,645	4.3%
Blank/Unknown	8,595	3.4%
Child Care Facility	2,267	0.9%
DFPS Staff	1,132	0.5%
Friend/Neighbor	15,570	6.2%
Law Enforcement	33,540	13.4%
Medical Personnel	41,321	16.5%
Other	35,186	14.1%
Parent	23,803	9.5%
Relative	29,477	11.8%
School	47,868	19.1%
Victim	786	0.3%
Grand Total	250,190	100.0%

APS In-Home Source of Report	Number	Percent
Anonymous	1,938	2.3%
Blank/Unknown	4,118	4.9%
Friend/Neighbor	5,334	6.4%
Institutional Personnel MHMR	12,612	15.1%
Law Enforcement	3,871	4.6%
Legal/Court	408	0.5%
Medical Personnel	6,742	8.1%
Other	6,935	8.3%
Provider	6,735	8.0%
Relative	14,723	17.6%
Social Agency	10,032	12.0%
Victim	10,217	12.2%
Grand Total	83,665	100.0%

CCL - Source of Report	Number	Percent
Anonymous	168	4.2%
Blank/Unknown	490	12.2%
Child Care Facility	680	17.0%
DFPS Staff	64	1.6%
Friend/Neighbor	90	2.2%
Law Enforcement	407	10.1%
Medical Personnel	412	10.3%
Other	435	10.8%
Parent	992	24.7%
Relative	177	4.4%
School	92	2.3%
Victim	4	0.1%
Grand Total	4,011	100.0%

APS Facility - Source of Report	Number	Percent
Anonymous	656	7.0%
Blank/Unknown	466	5.0%
Friend/Neighbor	84	0.9%
Institutional Personnel MHMR	3,483	37.4%
Law Enforcement	65	0.7%
Legal/Court	30	0.3%
Medical Personnel	506	5.4%
Other	765	8.2%
Provider	279	3.0%
Relative	345	3.7%
Social Agency	540	5.8%
Victim	2,089	22.4%
Grand Total	9,308	100.0%

RCCL - Source of Report	Number	Percent
Anonymous	79	1.8%
Blank/Unknown	848	19.2%
Child Care Facility	44	1.0%
DFPS Staff	131	3.0%
Friend/Neighbor	56	1.3%
Law Enforcement	160	3.6%
Medical Personnel	477	10.8%
Other	1,885	42.7%
Parent	237	5.4%
Relative	74	1.7%
School	375	8.5%
Victim	44	1.0%
Grand Total	4,410	100.0%

Note: Not all reports are assigned for investigation.

APS

Adult Protective Services In-Home Overview

The mission of Adult Protective Services is to protect the elderly and adults with disabilities from abuse, neglect, and exploitation by investigating and providing or arranging for services necessary to alleviate or prevent further maltreatment.

APS serves persons who are reported to be abused, neglected, or exploited and age 65 or older or age 18-64 with a disabling condition.

Expenditures and Total Average Filled Full Time Equivalent (FTE) Staff


Total Staff	872.1
Direct Delivery Total	815.7
Direct Delivery Workers	575.9
Direct Delivery Supervisors	85.1
Direct Delivery Other	154.7
Staff Cost	\$47,043,826.39

Worker Demographics

Turnover Rate	18.1%
Tenure:	
Less Than 1 Year	22.0%
1 - 3 Years	48.4%
Greater than 3 Years	29.6%
Entry Salary	\$29,881.44
Average Age	40.2
Race/Ethnicity:	
African-American	33.2%
Anglo	39.0%
Hispanic	26.2%
Other	1.5%

Supervisor Demographics

Turnover Rate	4.0%
Tenure:	
Less Than 1 Year	2.4%
1 - 3 Years	10.6%
Greater than 3 Years	87.1%
Entry Salary	\$38,351.00
Average Age	49.2
Race/Ethnicity:	
African-American	25.9%
Anglo	44.7%
Hispanic	29.4%
Other	0.0%



Note: The chart is for reference only and does not necessarily represent the flow of a case.

Statistics FY 2008

Completed In-Home Investigations	68,683
Confirmed In-Home Investigations	48,380

Most Common...

- **Person reporting abuse/neglect/exploitation**
Family Member (18.1%)
- **Allegation confirmed**
Physical Neglect (61.5%)
- **Confirmed perpetrator**
Relationship: Adult Children (40.9%)
Gender: Female (51.1%)
Age: Age Over 45 (49.9%)
- **Characteristic of client**
Gender: Female (61.2%)
Age: Age Over 65 (52.3%)

Legal Responsibility for Adult Protective Services

Statutory References:

Federal: Title XX, Social Security Act

State: Human Resources Code, Chapters 40 and 48

Texas Family Code, Title V

Health and Safety Code, Chapter 532 and Chapters 591-595

Major Provisions:

- Mandatory reporting of abuse, neglect, and exploitation of adults who are elderly (defined as age 65 and older) or adults with disabilities.
- Receipt and investigation of all reports (unless patently false); initiation of investigations within 24 hours of receipt of report.
- Responsibility for investigations of abuse, neglect, and exploitation of persons receiving services in state operated mental health and mental retardation facilities (State Hospitals, State Schools, and State Centers) and/or state contracted settings (Community MH and MR Centers, Home and Community-based Services programs, and Texas Home Living Waiver Program) that serve adults and children with mental illness or mental retardation.
- Responsibility for referring reports to other state agencies when DFPS is not the appropriate investigating agency.
- Provision or arrangement of services needed to prevent or alleviate abuse, neglect and/or exploitation.
- Enhancing and developing community resources in an effort to increase awareness of abuse, neglect and exploitation and to address increasing needs of APS consumers
- Responsibility for referring adult victims of abuse, neglect and/or exploitation to the Department of Aging and Disability Services (DADS) for guardianship services when these persons appear to lack the capacity to consent to services. Guardianship is the least restrictive alternative that will ensure the person's safety and well-being when no other guardian is available.
- Assessment of factors that may indicate an adult's possible lack of capacity to consent to services and pursuit of a medical evaluation if indicated.
- Using the least restrictive alternative in the provision of protective services.
- Authority to seek court orders when necessary to gain access to the individual, to prevent interference with the provision of voluntary protective services, to provide emergency protective services, to access records or documents, and to initiate emergency protective services (e.g., a removal) after hours and on holidays without a court order.

- Requirement to notify law enforcement if APS suspects that an elderly person or adult with a disability has been abused, neglected, or exploited in a manner that constitutes a criminal offense.
- Confidentiality of case records.

Other Programmatic Information:

Factors Contributing to Abuse, Neglect, and Exploitation:


- Aging population
- Growing number of younger persons with disabilities
- Alcohol and drug dependency
- Poverty
- Lack of affordable housing and high costs of utility bills
- De-institutionalization of persons who are mentally ill and/or mentally retarded when community support is not adequate
- Inadequate access to health care and costly medications
- Pathological family relationships/violence as a coping mechanism in society
- Physical and mental stress of caregiving in traditionally non-violent, caring households
- Denial of benefits, such as SSI and Medicaid, to some elderly and disabled immigrants

Challenges:

- Affordable and safe housing for elders and adults with disabilities
- Waiting lists and other limitations in the availability of in-home care and home health care
- Shortage of resources to serve persons denied long-term care and other benefits under welfare reform
- Gaps in surrogate decision-making processes for incapacitated persons in hospitals, nursing homes and community-based settings
- Inadequate community services for persons discharged from state hospitals and schools
- Lack of statewide access to preventative or early intervention services such as long-term case management for elderly persons and adults with disabilities who are at risk, but not yet experiencing abuse, neglect, or exploitation
- Hiring and maintaining a skilled workforce while the availability of caseworkers and specialized geriatric social work training is not keeping pace with the ever-increasing number of older Americans

Texas Adult Population Ages 65 and Over Fiscal Year 2008


State Total: 2,390,648



Population Data Source: Texas State Data Center, University of Texas (San Antonio)


**Texas Disabled Adult Population
Ages 18 to 64 Years
Fiscal Year 2008**

State Total: 1,962,104




Population Data Source: Texas State Data Center, University of Texas (San Antonio)

Incidence of Maltreatment per 1,000 Adults in Texas Adult Population by Region Fiscal Year 2008




Note: Calculations are based on the percent of confirmed APS in-home investigations. Unreported incidences are not reflected.

APS In-Home Intake Reports by Region Fiscal Year 2008 State Total: 83,605




APS Intake Reports by Priority Fiscal Year 2008



Priority	Intakes	%
P1	10,175	12.2%
P2	45,394	54.3%
P3	23,443	28.0%
P4	4,593	5.5%
Total	83,605	100%


Note: Intakes included by the date intake closed.

APS In-Home Intake Reports* by Source Fiscal Year 2008



**A report of abuse/neglect may come from multiple sources.
Note: Intakes included by the date intake closed.*


APS In-Home Intakes, Completed Investigations, and Confirmed Cases Fiscal Years 2006 - 2008



** Intakes included by date intake closed
** Completed Investigations use the FY 08-09 LBB Performance Measure definition and do not include "Other" closure reasons. Therefore, counts for FY 2006 will not match previous year Data Book.*


**Adult Protective Services
Confirmed In-Home Investigations
Fiscal Year 2008**

State Total: 48,380




Note: 13 confirmed investigations did not have a county designated.

Completed APS In-Home Investigations by Region Fiscal Year 2008 State Total: 68,683



* "Other" category refers to those investigations that workers could not complete for some reason, e.g. clients died or cases were misclassified.
Note: 19 investigations had an "unknown" region. Of those, 13 were Confirmed, 5 were Invalid, 0 were Unable to Determine and 1 was Other.

APS Daily Caseload Fiscal Year 2008




**Completed APS In-Home Investigations by Region and Disposition
Fiscal Year 2008**

Region	Average Length (Days)	Invalid	Valid Progressed*	Valid Not Progressed	Unable to Determine	Other**	Region Subtotal
1 Lubbock	37.8	404	3,277	183	189	60	4,113
2 Abilene	23.5	747	2,957	697	133	61	4,595
3 Arlington	63.2	3,079	4,152	3,247	847	520	11,845
4 Tyler	45.2	799	3,264	488	201	169	4,921
5 Beaumont	46.1	602	2,657	517	261	95	4,132
6 Houston	51.1	2,479	6,501	1,944	528	412	11,864
7 Austin	52.3	1,628	3,150	1,078	347	346	6,549
8 San Antonio	63.4	2,078	4,395	1,057	624	206	8,360
9 Midland	29.5	402	2,150	290	97	18	2,957
10 El Paso	71.7	611	1,206	624	245	112	2,798
11 Edinburg	47.9	1,362	4,025	508	323	312	6,530
Unknown	41.4	5	6	7	0	1	19
State	51.0	14,196	37,740	10,640	3,795	2,312	68,683

* Valid investigations in which the client requires services are "progressed" into the service delivery stage.

** "Other" category refers to those investigations that workers could not complete for some reason, e.g. clients died or cases were misclassified.

**Recidivism* of APS In-Home Cases
Fiscal Years 2004-2008**




* Recidivism is a measure of the percentage of APS clients referred to the APS system more than once during the fiscal year, including clients who refused services and were re-referred.

Note: A new logic for recidivism was implemented during FY 2005 for all fiscal years. Recidivism numbers will not match past publications prior to FY 2005.


**Recidivism* of APS In-Home Cases by Region
Fiscal Year 2008**

..... Statewide 13.8%





Characteristics of Confirmed APS Victims in Completed In-Home Investigations Fiscal Year 2008

Race/Ethnicity	Female		Male		Unknown		Subtotal	
	N	% of Total	N	% of Total	N	% of Total	N	% of Total
Anglo	14,559	30.1%	8,981	18.6%	36	0.1%	23,576	48.7%
African American	6,680	13.8%	3,651	7.5%	18	0.0%	10,349	21.4%
Hispanic	6,213	12.8%	4,498	9.3%	17	0.0%	10,728	22.2%
Native American	66	0.1%	33	0.1%	0	0.0%	99	0.2%
Asian	175	0.4%	87	0.2%	1	0.0%	263	0.5%
Other	1,984	4.1%	1,366	2.8%	17	0.0%	3,367	7.0%
Total Victims	29,677	61.3%	18,616	38.5%	89	0.2%	48,382	100.0%



In-Home Confirmed Victims in Completed Investigations Fiscal Year 2008



Characteristic	Total	Percentage
Disabled	22,952	47.4%
Aged	25,430	52.6%
Total	48,382	100.0%

Characteristic	Total	Percentage
Female	29,677	61.3%
Male	18,616	38.5%
Unknown	89	0.2%
Total	48,382	100.0%

**Perpetrator Characteristics in Confirmed APS In-Home Investigations
(Characteristic as % of Total Confirmed Perpetrators*)
Fiscal Year 2008**


Age	Female		Male		Unknown		Subtotal	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
Under 18	242	2.5%	326	3.4%	1	0.0%	569	5.9%
18-25	371	3.8%	361	3.7%	1	0.0%	733	7.6%
26-35	714	7.4%	587	6.1%	4	0.0%	1,305	13.5%
36-45	1,177	12.1%	986	10.2%	11	0.1%	2,174	22.4%
Over 45	2,443	25.2%	2,418	24.9%	19	0.2%	4,880	50.3%
Unknown	15	0.2%	9	0.1%	16	0.2%	40	0.4%

Race/Ethnicity	Female		Male		Unknown		Subtotal	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
Anglo	2,049	21.1%	2,108	21.7%	4	0.0%	4,161	42.9%
African American	995	10.3%	774	8.0%	1	0.0%	1,770	18.2%
Hispanic	1,187	12.2%	1,172	12.1%	2	0.0%	2,361	24.3%
Native American	9	0.1%	4	0.0%	0	0.0%	13	0.1%
Asian	30	0.3%	17	0.2%	1	0.0%	48	0.5%
Other	692	7.1%	612	6.3%	44	0.5%	1,348	13.9%

Marital Status	Female		Male		Unknown		Subtotal	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
Child, Not Applicable	212	2.2%	314	3.2%	0	0.0%	526	5.4%
Divorced	354	3.6%	273	2.8%	1	0.0%	628	6.5%
Married	1,307	13.5%	1,324	13.6%	4	0.0%	2,635	27.2%
Separated	114	1.2%	101	1.0%	1	0.0%	216	2.2%
Single, Never Married	372	3.8%	549	5.7%	0	0.0%	921	9.5%
Widowed	110	1.1%	42	0.4%	0	0.0%	152	1.6%
Unknown	2,493	25.7%	2,084	21.5%	46	0.5%	4,623	47.7%

Total	4,962	51.1%	4,687	48.3%	52	0.5%	9,701	100.0%
--------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	-----------	-------------	--------------	---------------


Perpetrator Characteristics in Confirmed APS In-Home Investigations (Characteristic as % of Total Confirmed Perpetrators) Fiscal Year 2008



* Does not include self as perpetrator.


Note: Each victim may have more than one perpetrator at the end of an investigation.

**Perpetrators in Confirmed In-Home Investigations
Fiscal Year 2008**




Note: Each victim may have more than one perpetrator at the end of the investigation.

**Number of Referrals Made to Law Enforcement in Completed APS In-Home Cases by Region
Fiscal Year 2008**



** Referral may have been made in previous fiscal year*

**APS Victims of Family Violence in Confirmed Investigations by Region
Fiscal Year 2008**



**Total includes 2 from out of state*

**Confirmed Allegations in APS In-Home Investigations by Type of Abuse/Neglect
Fiscal Year 2008**

Region	Emotional/ Verbal Abuse	Exploitation	Medical Neglect	Mental Health Neglect	Physical Abuse	Physical Neglect
1 Lubbock	195	99	947	497	80	3,137
2 Abilene	160	95	812	340	113	3,160
3 Arlington	573	330	1,670	841	355	5,866
4 Tyler	204	119	690	298	133	3,184
5 Beaumont	179	97	738	314	93	2,702
6 Houston	713	323	2,225	1,104	370	7,087
7 Austin	269	213	1,304	682	187	3,384
8 San Antonio	475	264	1,233	655	250	4,371
9 Midland	112	67	697	352	59	2,214
10 El Paso	198	65	476	230	87	1,371
11 Edinburg	321	100	972	584	167	3,868
Unknown	1	0	7	4	1	11
State Total	3,400	1,772	11,771	5,901	1,895	40,355

Region	Suicidal Threat	Sexual Abuse	Total	% by Region	Unduplicated Confirmed Victims*	% Unduplicated by Region
1 Lubbock	34	3	4,992	7.6%	3,460	7.2%
2 Abilene	27	2	4,709	7.2%	3,654	7.6%
3 Arlington	77	12	9,724	14.8%	7,399	15.3%
4 Tyler	28	5	4,661	7.1%	3,752	7.8%
5 Beaumont	27	0	4,150	6.3%	3,174	6.6%
6 Houston	63	7	11,892	18.1%	8,445	17.5%
7 Austin	47	2	6,088	9.3%	4,229	8.7%
8 San Antonio	53	9	7,310	11.1%	5,453	11.3%
9 Midland	13	1	3,515	5.4%	2,440	5.0%
10 El Paso	36	1	2,464	3.8%	1,830	3.8%
11 Edinburg	47	4	6,063	9.2%	4,533	9.4%
Unknown	0	0	24	0.0%	13	0.0%
State Total	452	46	65,592	100.0%	48,382	100.0%

* Victims have been unduplicated by investigation stage.

**Duration of APS In-Home Investigation and
Service Delivery Cases Closed
During Fiscal Year 2008**

Days	Cases	%
Under 30	15,982	43.6%
31-60	9,116	24.9%
61-90	4,904	13.4%
91-120	2,789	7.6%
121-180	2,475	6.7%
181-366*	1,314	3.6%
Over 1 Year	98	0.3%
Total	36,678	100.0%

*Leap Year

Non-Purchased Client Services Delivered for APS In-Home Cases by Region Fiscal Year 2008

Region	Social Casework	Other Government Agency	Legal	Total
1 Lubbock	3,843	358	5	4,206
2 Abilene	2,868	204	38	3,110
3 Arlington	4,473	202	41	4,716
4 Tyler	3,710	241	8	3,959
5 Beaumont	2,781	201	6	2,988
6 Houston	8,071	370	12	8,453
7 Austin	3,799	238	15	4,052
8 San Antonio	5,237	288	16	5,541
9 Midland	2,220	140	14	2,374
10 El Paso	1,458	140	44	1,642
11 Edinburg	4,706	208	19	4,933
Unknown	10	0	0	8
State Total	43,176	2,590	218	45,984

Note: Clients in confirmed cases may receive more than one service.

Social Casework - Actions taken by the caseworker to provide assistance to a victim of abuse, neglect or exploitation, in such areas as counseling/education, assistance with benefits, and mediation. These actions may include referrals to community organizations that provide direct services to the client.

Other Government Agency - This term is used to describe services that were provided by another government agency. For example, the client was referred to the Social Security Administration, or the Texas Department of Aging and Disability Services in order to resolve abuse, neglect or exploitation.

Legal - Legal actions that are taken as a result of Adult Protective Services involvement. An example would be Emergency Order for Protective Services.

Purchased Client Services Delivered in APS In-Home Confirmed Cases by Region Fiscal Year 2008

Region	Assistance	Environment	Medical	Other	Residential	Services	Total
1 Lubbock	\$ 278,034.96	\$ 217,624.54	\$ 57,156.20	\$ 7,860.00	\$ 18,369.29		\$ 579,044.99
2 Abilene	\$ 218,369.03	\$ 85,853.87	\$ 142,101.98	\$ 14,534.75	\$ 14,123.60		\$ 474,983.23
3 Arlington	\$ 409,382.71	\$ 193,655.69	\$ 205,093.98	\$ 15,700.00	\$ 147,786.56	\$ 1,311.50	\$ 972,930.44
4 Tyler	\$ 202,928.10	\$ 67,912.37	\$ 156,718.91	\$ 9,141.70	\$ 15,471.37		\$ 452,172.45
5 Beaumont	\$ 151,196.61	\$ 116,550.67	\$ 119,052.22	\$ 6,660.85	\$ 17,119.60		\$ 410,579.95
6 Houston	\$ 623,671.90	\$ 285,678.64	\$ 135,243.95	\$ 15,404.75	\$ 37,713.76	\$ 300.00	\$ 1,098,013.00
7 Austin	\$ 117,167.73	\$ 29,149.02	\$ 72,344.25		\$ 63,154.28		\$ 281,815.28
8 San Antonio	\$ 278,644.08	\$ 120,406.63	\$ 157,202.72	\$ 500.00	\$ 164,050.98	\$ 35.00	\$ 720,839.41
9 Midland	\$ 103,821.50	\$ 121,540.35	\$ 49,543.85	\$ 8,020.00	\$ 14,364.57		\$ 297,290.27
10 El Paso	\$ 82,136.15	\$ 35,801.32	\$ 52,344.46	\$ 4,605.80	\$ 161,975.90	\$ 420.00	\$ 337,283.63
11 Edinburg	\$ 251,046.83	\$ 130,145.83	\$ 96,718.88	\$ 380.00	\$ 160,815.18	\$ 181.80	\$ 639,288.52
State Total	\$ 2,716,399.60	\$ 1,404,318.93	\$ 1,243,521.40	\$ 82,807.85	\$ 814,945.09	\$ 2,248.30	\$ 6,264,241.17

Note: Clients in confirmed cases may receive more than one service.

Examples of the above described services may include:

Assistance - utilities, rent, personal care services and transportation, etc.

Environment - animal control, extermination, appliances, and home repair, etc.

Medical - medical supplies, medication, and adaptive equipment, etc.

Residential - temporary room and board, emergency shelter and adult foster care, etc.

Services - home delivered meals and emergency response services, etc.

APS

Adult Protective Services MH and MR Investigations Overview

The Adult Protective Services MH & MR Investigations Program investigates reports of abuse, neglect, and exploitation of persons with disabilities (children and adults) receiving services in state operated and/or contracted settings that serve adults and children with mental illness or mental retardation. Investigation results are sent to the facility or program administrator for appropriate action.

Expenditures and Total Average Filled Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) Staff


Total Staff	109.6
Direct Delivery Total	103.2
Direct Delivery Workers	78.2
Direct Delivery Supervisors	14.3
Direct Delivery Other	10.7
Staff Cost	\$5,793,267.42

Worker Demographics

Turnover Rate	18.1%
Tenure:	
Less Than 1 Year	21.5%
1 - 3 Years	31.6%
Greater than 3 Years	46.8%
Entry Salary	\$29,881.44
Average Age	42.9
Race/Ethnicity:	
African-American	12.7%
Anglo	65.8%
Hispanic	21.5%
Other	0.0%

Supervisor Demographics

Turnover Rate	4.0%
Tenure:	
Less Than 1 Year	0.0%
1 - 3 Years	13.3%
Greater than 3 Years	86.7%
Entry Salary	\$38,351.00
Average Age	45.6
Race/Ethnicity:	
African-American	13.3%
Anglo	60.0%
Hispanic	20.0%
Other	6.7%



Note: This chart is for reference only and does not necessarily represent the flow of a case.


Statistics FY 2008

Completed MH & MR Investigations	8,870
Confirmed MH & MR Investigations	879

Most Common...

- **Person reporting abuse/neglect/exploitation**
Facility Staff (29.9%)
- **Allegation confirmed**
Neglect (36.5%)
- **MH & MR setting investigated**
State School (47.2%)

Completed Investigations in MH & MR Settings by Source of Report Fiscal Year 2008



Note: A report of abuse/neglect may come from multiple sources.

Number of MH & MR Investigations Referred to Law Enforcement by Setting for Fiscal Year 2008

Setting	Law Enforcement Provided	
	Notification of Investigation*	Investigation Report**
State Schools	982	236
State Hospitals	464	110
HCS Homes	335	69
Community MHMR Centers	95	17
State Centers	24	0
Other	3	0
Total	1,903	432

* Notification sent on investigations which involve a child or serious physical injury, sexual abuse, or death of an adult person served.


** This data includes all cases in which a final investigation report was sent regardless of investigation disposition.

Average Length of Completed MH & MR Investigations by Region Fiscal Year 2008

Region	Investigations	Average Days
1 Lubbock	375	10.7
2 Abilene	1,082	12.7
3 Arlington	1,277	6.5
4 Tyler	427	9.1
5 Beaumont	267	9.9
6 Houston	519	17.9
7 Austin	2,037	12.2
8 San Antonio	730	15.4
9 Midland	1,077	10.0
10 El Paso	199	9.3
11 Edinburg	873	13.5
Unknown*	7	10.0
State	8,870	11.6


*Unknown not included in calculation of state average.

Types of Abuse Confirmed in MH & MR Settings Fiscal Year 2008



Characteristics of Victims in Confirmed Investigations in MHMR Facilities Fiscal Year 2008


Race/Ethnicity	Female		Male		Unknown		Subtotal	
	N	% of Total	N	% of Total	N	% of Total	N	% of Total
Anglo	182	17.0%	358	33.4%	2	0.2%	542	50.6%
African American	55	5.1%	84	7.8%	0	0.0%	139	13.0%
Hispanic	80	7.5%	170	15.9%	1	0.1%	251	23.4%
Asian	2	0.2%	4	0.4%	0	0.0%	6	0.6%
Other	42	3.9%	87	8.1%	5	0.5%	134	12.5%
Total Victims	361	33.7%	703	65.6%	8	0.7%	1,072	100.0%



Note: A completed investigation may have more than one victim.


Characteristics of Perpetrators in Confirmed Investigations in MHMR Facilities Fiscal Year 2008

	Female		Male		Unknown		Subtotal	
	N	% of Total	N	% of Total	N	% of Total	N	% of Total
Race/Ethnicity								
Anglo	120	11.8%	88	8.7%	2	0.2%	210	20.6%
African American	179	17.6%	113	11.1%	5	0.5%	297	29.2%
Hispanic	102	10.0%	65	6.4%	0	0.0%	167	16.4%
Native American	0	0.0%	1	0.1%	0	0.0%	1	0.1%
Asian	1	0.1%	1	0.1%	0	0.0%	2	0.2%
Other	125	12.3%	82	8.1%	133	13.1%	340	33.4%
Age								
Under18	2	0.2%	3	0.3%	8	0.8%	13	1.3%
18-25	133	13.1%	115	11.3%	17	1.7%	265	26.1%
26-35	134	13.2%	100	9.8%	26	2.6%	260	25.6%
36-45	103	10.1%	60	5.9%	29	2.9%	192	18.9%
Over45	151	14.8%	70	6.9%	23	2.3%	244	24.0%
Unknown	4	0.4%	2	0.2%	37	3.6%	43	4.2%
Total Perpetrators	527	51.8%	350	34.4%	140	13.8%	1,017	100.0%



*Note: Each victim may have more than one perpetrator.
Each perpetrator may have more than one victim.*

Completed Investigations in MH & MR Settings by Fiscal Year



Completed Investigations by MH & MR Setting Fiscal Year 2008

Setting	Completed Investigations
State Schools	4,188
State Hospitals	2,004
HCS Homes	1,806
Community MHMR Centers	614
State Centers	258
Total	8,870

Disposition of Completed MH and MR Investigations Fiscal Year 2008

Setting	Confirmed		Unconfirmed		Inconclusive		Unfounded		Other		Blank		Subtotal	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
Community	90	1.0%	232	2.6%	71	0.8%	9	0.1%	48	0.5%	164	1.8%	614	6.9%
HCS Homes	265	3.0%	750	8.5%	169	1.9%	25	0.3%	168	1.9%	429	4.8%	1,806	20.4%
State Centers	20	0.2%	134	1.5%	25	0.3%	11	0.1%	17	0.2%	51	0.6%	258	2.9%
State Hospitals	140	1.6%	1,010	11.4%	107	1.2%	85	1.0%	199	2.2%	463	5.2%	2,004	22.6%
State Schools	364	4.1%	2,098	23.7%	275	3.1%	428	4.8%	343	3.9%	680	7.7%	4,188	47.2%
State Total	879	9.9%	4,224	47.6%	647	7.3%	558	6.3%	775	8.7%	1,787	20.1%	8,870	100.0%

Region	Confirmed		Unconfirmed		Inconclusive		Unfounded		Other		Blank		Subtotal	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
1 Lubbock	65	0.7%	174	2.0%	42	0.5%	12	0.1%	51	0.6%	40	0.5%	384	4.3%
2 Abilene	127	1.4%	626	7.1%	81	0.9%	14	0.2%	32	0.4%	163	1.8%	1,043	11.8%
3 Arlington	125	1.4%	479	5.4%	87	1.0%	64	0.7%	88	1.0%	409	4.6%	1,252	14.1%
4 Tyler	22	0.2%	168	1.9%	16	0.2%	14	0.2%	1	0.0%	220	2.5%	441	5.0%
5 Beaumont	46	0.5%	88	1.0%	37	0.4%	5	0.1%	36	0.4%	47	0.5%	259	2.9%
6 Houston	43	0.5%	354	4.0%	68	0.8%	24	0.3%	50	0.6%	129	1.5%	668	7.5%
7 Austin	136	1.5%	623	7.0%	65	0.7%	183	2.1%	382	4.3%	331	3.7%	1,720	19.4%
8 San Antonio	92	1.0%	401	4.5%	52	0.6%	9	0.1%	1	0.0%	118	1.3%	673	7.6%
9 Midland	69	0.8%	526	5.9%	68	0.8%	182	2.1%	58	0.7%	170	1.9%	1,073	12.1%
10 El Paso	34	0.4%	89	1.0%	16	0.2%	19	0.2%	43	0.5%	6	0.1%	207	2.3%
11 Edinburg	80	0.9%	550	6.2%	96	1.1%	21	0.2%	0	0.0%	112	1.3%	859	9.7%
Other	40	0.5%	146	1.6%	19	0.2%	11	0.1%	33	0.4%	42	0.5%	291	3.3%
State Total	879	9.9%	4,224	47.6%	647	7.3%	558	6.3%	775	8.7%	1,787	20.1%	8,870	100.0%

* "Other" and "Blank" include cases referred back to the facility or closed at intake because they do not meet the definitions of abuse and neglect and therefore are not investigated.

CPS

Child Protective Services Overview

Expenditures and Total Average Filled FTE Staff


Total Staff	8037.0
Direct Delivery Total Staff	7,589.6
Caseworkers	
Investigation	1,620.3
Family-Based Safety Services	624.7
Conservatorship	1,361.6
Other Workers	454.4
Supervisors	850.6
Program Directors/Administrators	154.0
Clerical	981.9
Case Aides	761.7
Other Direct Delivery Staff	1,227.8
CPS Staff Costs	\$422,939,948.41

Worker Demographics

Turnover Rate	30.5%
Tenure:	
Less Than 1 Year	38.1%
1 - 3 Years	41.3%
Greater than 3 Years	20.6%
Entry Salary (INV)	\$35,201.96
Entry Salary (Non INV)	\$31,640.40
Average Age	34.6
Race/Ethnicity:	
African-American	30.3%
Anglo	43.1%
Hispanic	24.8%
Other	1.8%

Supervisor Demographics

Turnover Rate	8.5%
Tenure:	
Less Than 1 Year	2.2%
1 - 3 Years	12.4%
Greater than 3 Years	85.0%
Entry Salary	\$38,351.00
Average Age	40.1
Race/Ethnicity:	
African-American	25.5%
Anglo	49.3%
Hispanic	23.3%
Other	1.8%



Note: This chart is for reference only and does not necessarily represent the flow of a case.

Statistics FY 2008

Texas State Child Population	6,442,738
Children, Alleged Victims	280,557
Children in Confirmed Investigations	102,515
Children Removed	14,295

The Child Protective Services Vision is “Children First: Protected and Connected”.

The Mission of Child Protective Services is to protect children and to act in the children’s best interest. To seek active involvement of the children’s parents and other family members to solve problems that lead to abuse and neglect.

The Values are

- Respect for culture
- Inclusiveness of families, youth and community
- Integrity in decision making
- Compassion for all
- Commitment to reducing disproportionality

Most Common...

- **Person reporting abuse/neglect**
School Professional (19.5%)
- **Allegation confirmed**
Neglectful Supervision (60.5%)
- **Confirmed perpetrator of abuse/neglect**
Relationship: Parent (78.7%)
Gender: Female (58.0%)
Age: Age 26-35 (37.1%)
Marital Status: Married (30.1%)
- **Characteristic of confirmed victim**
Age: Age 1 to 3 (24.1%)
Gender: Female (51.3%)

Fiscal Year 2008 Other Expenditures

Purchased Services	\$86,490,779.512
• Counseling/Evaluation/Testing	
• Homemaker Services	
• Parent/Community Groups	
• Post Adoption Services Program	
• Day Care Services	
Foster Care Payments	\$386,423,816.31
Adoption Subsidy Payments	\$137,619,228.00
Federally Funded Special Projects	\$2,964,739.147
Total Staff	31.3

Legal Responsibility for Child Protective Services

Statutory References

Social Security Act
 Texas Family Code
 Human Resources Code
 Child Abuse Prevention and Treatment Act
 Indian Child Welfare Act
 Adam Walsh Act

Major Provisions

- Definitions of abuse and neglect of children;
- Mandatory reporting of suspected abuse or neglect of children;
- Prepare and disseminate statistics by county relating to CPS in an annual report made available to the legislature and general public;
- Responsibility for receiving reports of suspected abuse or neglect of children;
- Responsibility for thorough investigation of a report of child abuse or neglect allegedly committed by a person responsible for a child's care, custody or welfare;
- Responsibility to assign priorities and prescribe investigative procedures for investigations based on the severity and immediacy of the alleged harm to the child;
- Take action to protect abused and neglected children from further harm;
- Establish review teams to evaluate department casework and decision-making related to investigations of child abuse or neglect;
- Employ Child Safety Specialists to conduct staff reviews and evaluations of cases determined to involve high risk, monitor cases with multiple referrals, and approve decisions and assessments related to investigations that involve a high risk to the health or safety of a child;
- Work with children and their families, providing services to prevent further abuse, help alleviate the effects of the abuse suffered, prevent removal of the child from the home, and provide reunification services when appropriate for the return of the child to the home;
- When necessary, secure appropriate court orders and take possession of a child if there is an immediate danger to the physical health or safety of the child or the child has been a victim of neglect or sexual abuse and that continuation in the home would be contrary to the child's welfare;
- Make reasonable efforts to secure the return of the child;
- Develop a service plan in conference with the child's parents to determine return of the child to the child's parents, termination of parental rights and placement of the child for adoption, or because of the child's special needs or exceptional circumstances continue the child's care out of the child's home;
- Provide substitute care for children until the problems have been sufficiently resolved;
- Provide permanent placement for children who cannot safely return to their home;
- Establish a database of all verified foster homes willing to accept foster care placement of a child in care;
- Recruit potential adoptive parents for children whose parents have had their parental rights terminated;
- Requirements for frequency and location of contact with children in substitute care;
- Requirements for conducting criminal background and central registry checks of foster and adoptive parents.

Concepts Guiding Risk Determination

Child Vulnerability	Home Environment	Caregiver Capability	Quality of Care
Child fragility	Stressors	Knowledge	Quality of connection
Child behavior	Dangerous exposure	Skills	Emotional care
		Capacity	Physical care

Social Environment	Response to CPS	Maltreatment Pattern
Social climate	Attitude	Chronicity
Social violence	Deception	Current severity
		Trends

Federal Outcomes Used to Assess Child Welfare Services

Safety Outcomes

- Safety Outcome 1: Children are, first and foremost, protected from abuse and neglect.
- Safety Outcome 2: Children are safely maintained in their homes whenever possible and appropriate.


Permanency Outcomes

- Permanency Outcome 1: Children have permanency and stability in their living situations.
- Permanency Outcome 2: The continuity of family relationships and connections is preserved for children.


Well-Being Outcomes

- Well-Being Outcome 1: Families have enhanced capacity to provide for their children's needs.
- Well-Being Outcome 2: Children receive appropriate services to meet their educational needs.
- Well-Being Outcome 3: Children receive adequate services to meet their physical and mental health needs.

**CPS Daily Caseload
Fiscal Year 2008**




**CPS Daily Caseload
Fiscal Year 2007**



Note: Kinship Stage of Service was implemented in FY 2008.

**Texas Child Population
Ages Birth through 17 Years
Fiscal Year 2008**


State Total: 6,442,738



Population Data Source: Texas State Data Center, University of Texas (San Antonio)

Child Protective Services Completed Investigations Fiscal Year 2008

State Total: 165,010



Note: 32 investigations did not have the county designated.

CPS Total Initial Intakes and Screened Out Cases Fiscal Year 2008

Total Initial Intakes


	Number	Percent
PN	13,829	5.5%
P1	85,640	34.3%
P2	150,381	60.2%
Total	249,850	100.0%

Initially Assigned P2s eligible for screening

	Number	Percent
Not Assigned to Screeners	89,907	59.8%
Assigned to Screeners	60,474	40.2%
Total	150,381	100.0%

Assigned to Screeners

	Number	Percent
Not Screened Out	47,042	77.8%
Screened Out (P2 to PN)	13,432	22.2%
Total	60,474	100.0%



Note: When a case is a P2, all alleged victims are age 6 or older, and there is not currently an open case, a formal screening occurs. The purpose of the formal screening is to determine if CPS intervention is warranted. There may be eligible P2s not assigned to screeners.

Risk Assessment Finding of Completed Child Abuse/Neglect Investigations Fiscal Year 2008

Disposition of Investigation	No Significant Risk Identified		Risk Controlled		Risk Indicated		Risk Not Applicable Blank/Invalid		Total	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Confirmed	138	0.7%	19,298	17.4%	21,960	76.0%	195	3.8%	41,591	25.2%
Unconfirmed	20,001	99.3%	91,587	82.6%	6,929	24.0%	4,902	96.2%	123,419	74.8%
State Total	20,139	100.0%	110,885	100.0%	28,889	100.0%	5,097	100.0%	165,010	100.0%

Case Action for Risk Indicated Completed Investigations Fiscal Year 2008

Open to Services	27,861	96.4%
Not Open to Services	1,028	3.6%
Total	28,889	100.0%

Note: Only investigations with a risk finding of "Risk Indicated" can be opened for further services. Opened for services is defined as services provided after the investigation was completed.

Child Abuse/Neglect Allegation Dispositions

The categories used to record the findings of initial assessment/investigation of child abuse neglect are defined as:

Confirmed Investigations


- **Reason to believe** – Based on preponderance of evidence, staff concluded that abuse or neglect occurred.

Unconfirmed Investigations

- **Ruled out** - Staff determined, based upon on available information, that it is reasonable to conclude that abuse or neglect has not occurred.
- **Unable to complete** – Before staff could reach a conclusion, the persons involved in the report moved, could not be located or refused to cooperate.
- **Unable to determine** – Staff concluded that none of the other dispositions were appropriate.

Investigations of Child Abuse/Neglect by Source of Report for Completed Investigations

Sources	Fiscal Year 2006		Fiscal Year 2007		Fiscal Year 2008	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Anonymous	11,364	5.8%	9,802	5.1%	8,912	4.6%
Child Care Facility	1,887	1.0%	1,994	1.0%	1,992	1.0%
Law Enforcement	25,965	13.3%	28,177	14.5%	28,907	15.0%
Parent	16,830	8.6%	15,881	8.2%	15,799	8.2%
Relative	25,992	13.3%	24,259	12.5%	23,714	12.3%
School	37,727	19.3%	38,265	19.7%	37,711	19.5%
Victim	783	0.4%	620	0.3%	548	0.3%
Medical Personnel	31,127	15.9%	32,615	16.8%	32,626	16.9%
Friend/Neighbor	14,957	7.7%	13,203	6.8%	13,130	6.8%
DFPS Staff	4,404	2.3%	4,878	2.5%	5,305	2.7%
Other	24,068	12.3%	24,139	12.4%	24,411	12.6%
Blank	198	0.1%	261	0.1%	199	0.1%
State Total	195,302	100.0%	194,094	100.0%	193,254	100.0%




Note: A report of abuse/neglect may come from multiple sources.

**Number of Child Abuse/Neglect Completed Investigations
Fiscal Year 2008**

Region	Total	Confirmed	% Confirmed Investigations	Unconfirmed Investigations
1 Lubbock	7,418	2,304	31.1%	5,114
2 Abilene	5,430	1,644	30.3%	3,786
3 Arlington	38,357	9,889	25.8%	28,468
4 Tyler	8,448	2,275	26.9%	6,173
5 Beaumont	5,882	1,355	23.0%	4,527
6 Houston	31,808	6,356	20.0%	25,452
7 Austin	20,519	4,738	23.1%	15,781
8 San Antonio	20,207	5,242	25.9%	14,965
9 Midland	5,104	1,511	29.6%	3,593
10 El Paso	4,320	1,331	30.8%	2,989
11 Edinburg	17,485	4,938	28.2%	12,547
Unknown	32	8	25.0%	24
State	165,010	41,591	25.2%	123,419

**Family Cases Opened for Services as a Result of a Completed Investigation
Fiscal Year 2008**

Region	In-home Direct Delivery	In-Home Purchased	Family Substitute Care	Total
1 Lubbock	1,008	0	364	1,372
2 Abilene	1,078	0	218	1,296
3 Arlington	3,817	590	1,522	5,929
4 Tyler	789	42	433	1,264
5 Beaumont	352	0	202	554
6 Houston	3,293	5	1,427	4,725
7 Austin	1,825	2	861	2,688
8 San Antonio	3,450	158	858	4,466
9 Midland	891	0	245	1,136
10 El Paso	696	0	95	791
11 Edinburg	2,985	115	538	3,638
Other	1	0	1	2
Total	20,185	912	6,764	27,861



Confirmed Allegations of Child Abuse/Neglect by Type of Abuse Fiscal Year 2008

Region	Physical Abuse	Sexual Abuse	Emotional Abuse	Abandonment	Medical Neglect	Physical Neglect
1 Lubbock	893	365	68	9	129	461
2 Abilene	463	234	35	4	100	473
3 Arlington	4,102	1,513	135	54	460	1,369
4 Tyler	740	353	44	9	131	523
5 Beaumont	458	232	24	3	65	193
6 Houston	2,565	1,162	100	41	339	1,173
7 Austin	1,550	669	50	31	196	728
8 San Antonio	1,538	736	71	46	297	877
9 Midland	497	248	31	1	103	403
10 El Paso	519	156	39	8	84	336
11 Edinburg	1,530	799	146	35	331	1,178
Unknown	3	1	0	0	0	0
State Total	14,858	6,468	743	241	2,235	7,714

Region	Neglectful Supervision	Refusal to Accept Parental Responsibility	Total Confirmed Allegations of Child Abuse/Neglect	Percent of Child Abuse/Neglect	*Unduplicated Confirmed Victims
1 Lubbock	3,056	41	5,022	6.0%	4,156
2 Abilene	2,089	14	3,412	4.1%	2,834
3 Arlington	11,902	176	19,711	23.7%	16,562
4 Tyler	2,638	52	4,490	5.4%	3,800
5 Beaumont	1,374	23	2,372	2.9%	2,048
6 Houston	6,137	92	11,609	14.0%	9,843
7 Austin	5,689	77	8,990	10.8%	7,759
8 San Antonio	6,948	53	10,566	12.7%	9,304
9 Midland	2,048	15	3,346	4.0%	2,753
10 El Paso	1,676	24	2,842	3.4%	2,355
11 Edinburg	6,746	69	10,834	13.0%	9,164
Unknown	7	0	11	0.0%	11
State Total	50,310	636	83,205	100.0%	70,589


* Victims have been unduplicated by investigation stage.

**Children in Cases Opened for Services as a Result of a Completed Investigation
Fiscal Year 2008**

Region	In-home Direct Delivery	In-Home Purchased	% Opened In-Home	Family Substitute Care*	Total
1 Lubbock	2,720	0	74.3%	940	3,660
2 Abilene	2,773	0	84.2%	521	3,294
3 Arlington	9,809	1,613	76.3%	3,544	14,966
4 Tyler	1,999	116	68.2%	988	3,103
5 Beaumont	910	0	65.1%	488	1,398
6 Houston	8,900	12	72.0%	3,465	12,377
7 Austin	4,909	9	68.8%	2,232	7,150
8 San Antonio	9,838	410	81.7%	2,297	12,545
9 Midland	2,329	0	79.6%	596	2,925
10 El Paso	2,008	0	89.7%	231	2,239
11 Edinburg	8,848	334	85.8%	1,516	10,698
Other	3	0	30.0%	7	10
Total	55,046	2,494	77.4%	16,825	74,365

Note: Includes all children in the case regardless of victimization.


**Point Prevalence* Rate of Child Abuse/Neglect
per 1,000 Children in Texas Population per Region
Fiscal Year 2008**




* Point prevalence is the number of alleged victims per 1,000 children in the region and the number of confirmed victims per 1,000 children in the region.

Race/Ethnicity of Selected CPS Statistics Compared to Texas Child Population Fiscal Year 2008


Texas Child Population - 6,442,738




CPS Confirmed Victims - 70,589



Children in Cases Opened for Services - 74,365




Number of Removals - 14,295




Includes all children in the case regardless of victimization.

Number of Children Awaiting Adoption - 6,375




Note: Other includes anyone not categorized as Anglo, African-American, Hispanic or Native American.

Median Time Waiting for Adoption in Months - 12.7



**Confirmed Victims of Child Abuse/Neglect
Fiscal Year 2008**

State Total: 70,589



* 11 confirmed victims did not have a county designated.


Profile of Confirmed Child Abuse/Neglect Victims Fiscal Year 2008

Age	Gender	Anglo	African American	Hispanic	Native American	Asian	Other	Total
Under 1	Female	1,441	1,164	1,884	13	11	297	4,810
	Male	1,551	1,211	2,010	6	15	319	5,112
	Unknown	13	10	19	0	0	1	43
	Total	3,005	2,385	3,913	19	26	617	9,965
1-3 Years	Female	2,484	1,729	3,496	12	24	341	8,086
	Male	2,739	1,891	3,864	7	26	370	8,897
	Unknown	17	18	23	0	0	6	64
	Total	5,240	3,638	7,383	19	50	717	17,047
4-6 Years	Female	2,097	1,388	3,213	10	26	212	6,946
	Male	2,190	1,505	3,234	15	24	208	7,176
	Unknown	10	6	6	0	0	4	26
	Total	4,297	2,899	6,453	25	50	424	14,148
7-9 Years	Female	1,707	1,102	2,656	13	14	145	5,637
	Male	1,774	1,233	2,431	13	21	144	5,616
	Unknown	6	7	6	0	0	1	20
	Total	3,487	2,342	5,093	26	35	290	11,273
10-12 Years	Female	1,514	803	1,991	10	29	107	4,454
	Male	1,273	784	1,607	10	14	90	3,778
	Unknown	0	5	3	0	0	2	10
	Total	2,787	1,592	3,601	20	43	199	8,242
13-17 Years	Female	2,118	1,095	2,779	10	43	152	6,197
	Male	1,236	707	1,399	6	24	94	3,466
	Unknown	1	1	2	0	0	1	5
	Total	3,355	1,803	4,180	16	67	247	9,668
Other	Female	26	29	45	0	0	10	110
	Male	26	40	51	0	0	6	123
	Unknown	3	0	0	0	0	10	13
	Total	55	69	96	0	0	26	246
Total Victims	Female	11,387	7,310	16,064	68	147	1,264	36,240
	Male	10,789	7,371	14,596	57	124	1,231	34,168
	Unknown	50	47	59	0	0	25	181
	Grand Total		22,226	14,728	30,719	125	271	2,520

Alleged and Confirmed Victims of Child Abuse/Neglect Fiscal Year 2008

Region	Alleged Victims	Confirmed Victims	Unconfirmed Victims	Percent Confirmed
1 Lubbock	13,152	4,156	8,996	31.6%
2 Abilene	9,515	2,834	6,681	29.8%
3 Arlington	64,530	16,562	47,968	25.7%
4 Tyler	14,232	3,800	10,432	26.7%
5 Beaumont	9,588	2,048	7,540	21.4%
6 Houston	52,180	9,843	42,337	18.9%
7 Austin	33,834	7,759	26,075	22.9%
8 San Antonio	35,573	9,304	26,269	26.2%
9 Midland	8,866	2,753	6,113	31.1%
10 El Paso	7,713	2,355	5,358	30.5%
11 Edinburg	31,326	9,164	22,162	29.3%
Out of State	48	11	37	22.9%
State	280,557	70,589	209,968	25.2%

CPS Confirmed Victims Where Confirmed Perpetrator was a Parent Fiscal Year 2008 Total 68,832




Note: Includes all children in the case regardless of victimization.

Characteristics Of Perpetrators In Confirmed Investigations of Child Abuse/Neglect Fiscal Year 2008


Characteristic	Female		Male		Unknown		Total	
	Number	% of Total	Number	% of Total	Number	% of Total	Number	% of Total
Age								
Under 18	983	1.8%	1,623	2.9%	8	0.0%	2,614	4.7%
18-25	11,159	20.1%	5,562	10.0%	6	0.0%	16,727	30.1%
26-35	12,489	22.4%	8,123	14.6%	13	0.0%	20,625	37.1%
36-45	5,434	9.8%	5,156	9.3%	8	0.0%	10,598	19.0%
Over 45	2,187	3.9%	2,838	5.1%	4	0.0%	5,029	9.0%
Unknown	10	0.0%	26	0.0%	23	0.0%	59	0.1%
Marital Status								
Married	8,260	14.8%	8,468	15.2%	0	0.0%	16,728	30.1%
Widowed	351	0.6%	136	0.2%	1	0.0%	488	0.9%
Separated	1,929	3.5%	1,157	2.1%	0	0.0%	3,086	5.5%
Divorced	2,564	4.6%	1,376	2.5%	0	0.0%	3,940	7.1%
Single	8,277	14.9%	4,028	7.2%	0	0.0%	12,305	22.1%
Unknown	10,121	18.2%	6,584	11.8%	57	0.1%	16,762	30.1%
Not Applicable (Under 18)	760	1.4%	1,579	2.8%	4	0.0%	2,343	4.2%
Race/Ethnicity								
Anglo	13,078	23.5%	8,511	15.3%	3	0.0%	21,592	38.8%
African American	6,057	10.9%	3,937	7.1%	5	0.0%	9,999	18.0%
Hispanic	11,997	21.6%	9,674	17.4%	6	0.0%	21,677	39.0%
Native American	74	0.1%	36	0.1%	0	0.0%	110	0.2%
Asian	155	0.3%	121	0.2%	0	0.0%	276	0.5%
Other	901	1.6%	1,049	1.9%	48	0.1%	1,998	3.6%
Relationship to Oldest Victim								
Parent	28,596	51.4%	15,209	27.3%	0	0.0%	43,805	78.7%
Grandparent	1,640	2.9%	856	1.5%	1	0.0%	2,497	4.5%
Sibling/Other Relative	416	0.7%	1,894	3.4%	7	0.0%	2,317	4.2%
Aunt/Uncle	678	1.2%	1,123	2.0%	1	0.0%	1,802	3.2%
Parent's Paramour	286	0.5%	2,999	5.4%	0	0.0%	3,285	5.9%
Other	646	1.2%	1,247	2.2%	53	0.1%	1,946	3.5%
Total Perpetrators	32,262	58.0%	23,328	41.9%	62	0.1%	55,652	100.0%

Characteristics Of Perpetrators In Confirmed Investigations of Child Abuse/Neglect Fiscal Year 2008


Age of Perpetrator




Marital Status of Perpetrator



Race/Ethnicity of Perpetrator



Relationship of Perpetrator to Oldest Victim



■ Female ■ Male

Average Number of Families Receiving Preservation/ Reunification Services per Month Fiscal Year 2008

Family Preservation Services

Region	Regular	Intensive	Moderate	Contracted Regular	Contracted Intensive	Contracted Moderate	Total
1 Lubbock	392	3	268	0	0	0	663
2 Abilene	115	1	316	0	0	0	432
3 Arlington	1,157	16	975	572	1	29	2,750
4 Tyler	450	1	37	104	0	1	593
5 Beaumont	217	0	25	0	0	0	242
6 Houston	1,802	5	344	0	0	0	2,151
7 Austin	619	4	458	3	0	0	1,084
8 San Antonio	1,796	16	108	82	0	0	2,002
9 Midland	264	2	137	0	0	0	403
10 El Paso	355	1	36	0	0	0	392
11 Edinburg	1,771	9	142	121	0	0	2,043
Out of State	3	0	1	0	0	0	4
Total	8,941	58	2,847	882	1	30	12,759


Family Reunification Services

Region	Regular	Intensive	Moderate	Contracted Regular	Contracted Moderate	Total
1 Lubbock	129	0	2	0	0	131
2 Abilene	71	0	2	0	0	73
3 Arlington	319	0	6	4	0	329
4 Tyler	85	0	2	0	0	87
5 Beaumont	34	0	0	0	0	34
6 Houston	222	0	35	0	0	257
7 Austin	262	0	4	0	0	266
8 San Antonio	172	1	3	0	0	176
9 Midland	81	0	0	0	0	81
10 El Paso	26	0	0	0	0	26
11 Edinburg	172	1	15	0	0	188
Out of State	1	0	0	0	0	1
Total	1,574	2	69	4	0	1,649

Both Family Preservation Services and Family Reunification Services are under the umbrella of Family Based Safety Services (FBSS). Family Preservation Services are services provided to the child and the family without removing the child from the home. Family Reunification Services are provided to the family as a child is returning home from court-ordered substitute care.


Children in Foster Care by County During Fiscal Year 2008

State Total: 31,058




Number of Children Removed from Home as a Result of a CPS Abuse/Neglect Investigation

Fiscal Year	as a Result of an Investigation	from Family Based Safety Services*	Total
2004	9,503	3,928	13,431
2005	12,412	5,016	17,428
2006	12,205	5,331	17,536
2007	12,989	2,931	15,920
2008	11,597	2,698	14,295



Point of Prevalence* for Children Entering Substitute Care Fiscal Year 2008



* Point prevalence is the number of children entering substitute care per 1,000 children in the region.

Where are Children in DFPS Care?

...of the 27,232 children in DFPS substitute care on August 31, 2008

17,186 children were in Foster Care

11,809
children were placed in
**Child Placing Agency
(CPA) Foster Homes**

Foster homes are families who accept foster children into their homes. These foster homes are recruited, trained, verified and managed by private CPAs.

DFPS has contracts with over 100 CPAs.

The majority of CPA foster homes are verified to provide *therapeutic* foster care services.

803

children were placed in
Basic Child Care

These are typically cottage and campus type settings meeting basic child needs

1,335

children were placed in
**Residential Treatment
Centers**

An RTC is a very structured setting for children with serious emotional disturbance or mental health issues.

558

children were placed in
Emergency Shelters

These are intended for stays of less than 30 days.

2,185

children were placed in
DFPS Foster Homes

These are families who accept foster children into their homes and are recruited, trained, verified and managed by DFPS. The majority provide *basic* foster care services.

496

children were placed in
Other types of foster care

such as camps, maternity homes, hospitals, juvenile detention, ICF-MR, HCS homes, state schools & hospitals.

10,046 children were in other types of Substitute Care

8,490

children were placed in
Kinship Care

DFPS supports relative caregivers by assisting with initial costs of accepting a child and through ongoing case management.

316

children were in pending adoptions in
DFPS Adoptive Homes

749

children were placed in
Other Substitute Care

which includes independent living programs, unauthorized absences and court ordered placements.

491

children were in pending adoptions in
CPA Adoptive Homes

Notes:


A. The 17,186 children includes 513 youth **over the age of 18** in foster care, but who had "aged-out" of the legal conservatorship of DFPS.

B. There are a total of 28,776 children in DFPS legal responsibility. 2,057 are in the legal conservatorship of DFPS but **not** in substitute care; the majority of these children are in a reunification stage and are living with their families of origin.


Demographics of Children in Foster Care at the End of the Year By Fiscal Year

Characteristic	August 2005		August 2006		August 2007		August 2008	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
Age								
Birth - 2	4,161	21.8%	4,404	22.1%	3,934	21.3%	3,623	21.1%
3 - 5	2,798	14.6%	3,025	15.2%	2,873	15.6%	2,497	14.5%
6 - 9	3,267	17.1%	3,534	17.7%	3,264	17.7%	3,068	17.9%
10 - 13	3,560	18.6%	3,519	17.6%	3,304	17.9%	3,090	18.0%
14 - 17	4,988	26.1%	5,040	25.3%	4,668	25.3%	4,395	25.6%
18 - 21	339	1.8%	420	2.1%	419	2.3%	513	3.0%
Gender								
Male	10,064	52.7%	10,532	52.8%	9,804	53.1%	9,245	53.8%
Female	9,045	47.3%	9,408	47.2%	8,654	46.9%	7,940	46.2%
Unknown	4	0.0%	2	0.0%	4	0.0%	1	0.0%
Race/Ethnicity								
Anglo	6,201	32.4%	6,439	32.3%	5,762	31.2%	5,094	29.6%
African American	5,484	28.7%	5,581	28.0%	5,342	28.9%	5,104	29.7%
Hispanic	7,101	37.2%	7,509	37.6%	6,938	37.6%	6,587	38.3%
Native American	45	0.2%	50	0.3%	46	0.2%	41	0.2%
Asian	55	0.3%	68	0.3%	59	0.3%	61	0.4%
Other	227	1.2%	295	1.5%	315	1.7%	299	1.7%
Total	19,113	100.0%	19,942	100.0%	18,462	100.0%	17,186	100.0%


Age




Gender



Race/Ethnicity



Point of Prevalence for Children in Substitute Care at the End of Fiscal Year 2008



Note: Point prevalence is the number of children in substitute care per 1,000 children in the region.

Children in DFPS Legal Responsibility, in Substitute Care or in Foster Care Placements at the End of Fiscal Year 2008

Region	DFPS Legal Responsibility	Substitute Care	Foster Care
1 Lubbock	2,001	1,852	1,317
2 Abilene	822	764	552
3 Arlington	5,233	5,017	3,305
4 Tyler	1,548	1,455	944
5 Beaumont	791	731	508
6 Houston	6,656	6,334	3,416
7 Austin	3,385	3,210	1,936
8 San Antonio	4,605	4,388	2,779
9 Midland	975	930	568
10 El Paso	508	485	371
11 Edinburg	2,252	2,066	1,490
Total	28,776	27,232	17,186

Note: Foster Care is a sub-set of substitute care and includes youth who have aged out of DFPS legal responsibility but remain in foster care.

Legal Status of Children in DFPS Legal Responsibility

Legal Status	August 2006		August 2007		August 2008	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Care, Custody & Control*	361	1.1%	327	1.1%	174	0.6%
Temporary Managing Conservatorship**	17,011	54.2%	15,595	50.7%	14,019	48.7%
Permanent Managing Conservatorship***						
Parental Rights Not Terminated	4,232	13.5%	4,201	13.7%	3,811	13.2%
Parental Rights Terminated (All)	9,070	28.9%	9,961	32.4%	10,120	35.2%
Parental Rights Terminated (One Parent)	682	2.2%	623	2.0%	611	2.1%
Possessory Conservatorship****	42	0.1%	36	0.1%	41	0.1%
Total	31,398	100.0%	30,743	100.0%	28,776	100.0%

* *Care, Custody and Control* – In some counties in Texas, this type of custody is given at an Ex Parte Hearing rather than appointing a temporary managing conservator. This provides legal authority for DFPS to ensure a child's safety and meet a child's basic needs for shelter, food, education.


** *Temporary Managing Conservatorship* – TMC is awarded to DFPS as a result of a court hearing and written order and continues until a judge issues another order changing the legal status. It authorizes DFPS to act in the child's best interest and has exclusive rights including the following and others: designating the primary residence of a child, make decisions concerning the child's education, consent to marriage or enlistment in the armed forces.

*** *Permanent Managing Conservatorship* – PMC is awarded to DFPS as a result of a court hearing and written order. PMC authorizes DFPS to act in the child's best interest and have the rights of a Managing Conservator on a permanent basis.

**** *Possessory Conservatorship* – The court appoints a parent as Possessory Conservator who is not appointed as a sole or joint managing conservator, unless this appointment is not in the best interest of the child. Possessory Conservators are provided with visitation orders, unless access would endanger the child physically or emotionally.

Children in DFPS Legal Responsibility by Living Arrangement at the End of Each Fiscal Year


	Non-Foster Care	Foster Care	Total	% Change
2005	10,285	18,774	29,059	
2006	11,876	19,522	31,398	8.0%
2007	12,700	18,043	30,743	-2.1%
2008	12,103	16,673	28,776	-6.8%



Note: Foster care totals exclude youth 18 or 19 who remain in foster care but have aged out of DFPS legal responsibility. Non-foster care placements include adoption, relative, own home and other.

Children in DFPS Legal Responsibility in Non-Foster Care Placements


	Total Non-Foster Care	Other*	Adoption	Relative	Own Home
2005	10,285	550	990	6,423	2,322
2006	11,876	638	892	8,138	2,208
2007	12,700	821	855	8,801	2,223
2008	12,103	768	807	8,490	2,038



** Other includes independent living, hospitals, nursing homes, correctional facilities and unauthorized absences.*

**Permanency Goal of Children in Substitute Care for Whom DFPS had Legal Responsibility
Fiscal Year End 2008
Total Children: 21,300**

Goal	Count	Percent
Adoption	10,165	47.7%
Reunification	5,954	28.0%
Alternative Long Term Living	2,549	12.0%
Adult Living	1,232	5.8%
Permanent Placement with Relatives	1,400	6.6%
Total Children	21,300	100.0%



Number of DFPS Foster, Foster/Adoptive and Adoptive Homes as of August 31, 2008


Region	Foster Homes	Foster/Adoptive Homes*	Adoptive Homes**
1 Lubbock	8	173	9
2 Abilene	8	91	17
3 Arlington	43	331	119
4 Tyler	19	103	18
5 Beaumont	19	83	16
6 Houston	78	262	222
7 Austin	35	156	105
8 San Antonio	33	150	75
9 Midland	6	93	54
10 El Paso	5	30	24
11 Edinburg	27	60	35
Out of State	7	24	32
Total	288	1,556	726

* Includes 36 legal risk homes and 154 kinship homes.

** This number does not include homes open only for receipt of adoption subsidy.


Children Placed In Adoptive Homes By Region Fiscal Year 2008

Total Adoptive Placements 4,610
Total Unique Children: 4,593




Demographics of 4,593 Children Placed in Adoptive Homes Fiscal Year 2008

Age	Count
Under 1 year	98
1 - 5 years	2,685
6 - 12 years	1,467
13 years and over	343
Total	4,593



Race/Ethnicity	Count
Anglo	1,428
African American	1,183
Hispanic	1,856
Native American	8
Asian	10
Other	108
Total	4,593



Child Characteristics	Count
Disabling Condition	1,664
No Special Characteristics	2,929
Total	4,593




Sex	Count
Female	2,324
Male	2,269
Total	4,593



Children with Disabling Conditions Placed in Adoptive Homes Fiscal Year 2008

Total number of children placed in adoptive homes 4,593
 Number of unique children with disabling conditions placed in adoptive homes 1,664

Disabling Condition	Percentage of all Children Placed in Adoptive Homes	Number of children with Disabling condition
Drug/Alcohol	17.7%	813
Learning Disabilities	11.0%	504
Emotionally Disturbed	11.3%	519
Medically Involved	5.0%	230
Physical Handicaps	0.6%	26
Other*	0.4%	17



*Other includes teen parent or pregnant.


Note: Children may be duplicated across categories because some may have more than one disabling condition.

Children in Consummated Adoptions by Type of Agency by Fiscal Year

	2005		2006		2007		2008	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
DFPS	2,148	67.7%	2,149	63.7%	2,267	56.4%	2,426	53.7%
Non DFPS*	1,025	32.3%	1,227	36.3%	1,756	43.6%	2,091	46.3%
Total Consummations	3,173	100.0%	3,376	100.0%	4,023	100.0%	4,517	100.0%


* Non DFPS includes private agency adoptions, relative adoptions and out of state adoptions.

Children in Consummated Adoptions by Region Fiscal Year 2008 Total: 4,517



**Demographics of 4,517 Children in Consummated Adoptions
Fiscal Year 2008**


Age	Count
Under 1 year	78
1 - 5 years	2,698
6 - 12 years	1,385
13 years and over	356
Total	4,517



Gender	Count
Male	2,230
Female	2,287
Total	4,517



Race/Ethnicity	Count
Anglo	1,346
African American	1,130
Hispanic	1,915
Native American	8
Asian	12
Other	23
Unknown	83
Total	4,517



Race/Ethnicity of Children and Adoptive Parents by Fiscal Year

	2005		2006		2007		2008	
	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Race/Ethnicity of parent(s) is same as child	1,979	62.4%	2,086	61.8%	2,535	63.0%	2,789	61.7%
Race/Ethnicity of one or both parents differs from child's (Transracial)*	1,194	37.6%	1,290	38.2%	1,488	37.0%	1,728	38.3%
Total Adoptions	3,173	100.0%	3,376	100.0%	4,023	100.0%	4,517	100.0%

* Includes when Race/Ethnicity was not determined.
 Note: Change in methodology in FY08 applied to all FYs to include all adoptions.

CPS Outcomes Based on Data from Fiscal Year 2008

Child Safety Outcomes

Percent of children who remained safe in substitute care
(children in care during FY08 who did not experience a confirmed incident of maltreatment) 99.7%

Incidence of Repeat Maltreatment
(child victims with a confirmed allegation within the prior 6 months; applies to children in any stage of care) 3.7%

Permanency Services

Percentage of children with 2 or fewer placements that have been in care 12 months or less 78.3%

Family Preservation Outcomes

(measured from start of services to end of services)

Average length of Service 7.0 months

Family Reunification Outcomes

(measured from removal to placement in own home)

Percent of children returned to own home 36.8%

Average number of placements per child 2.3 placements

Average length of service 13.5 months

Median length of service 11.9 months

Children reunified with family, with DFPS conservatorship terminated, within 12 months of removal 60.2%

Adoption Services Outcomes

(measured from removal to adoption consummation)

Percent of children who left DFPS legal responsibility with an adoption consummation 27.8%

Average number of placements per child 3.5 placements

Average length of service 29.5 months

From removal to final order 13.2 months

From final order to adoption placement 14.3 months

From placement to adoption consummated 2.0 months

Median length of service 25.8 months

Children adopted within 24 months of removal 43.6%

Permanent Relative Care Outcomes

(measured from removal to date DFPS legal responsibility ended)

Percent of children who left DFPS legal responsibility to a relative placement 21.9%

Average number of placements 2.4 placements

Average length of service 14.1 months

Median length of service 11.7 months

Children re-entering foster care within 12 months of discharge from a previous episode of foster care 3.5%

Long-term Substitute Care Outcomes

Emancipation (includes children who left DFPS legal responsibility by emancipation or turning 18)

(measured from removal to date DFPS legal responsibility ended or date child turns 18 years of age)

Percent of children who left DFPS legal responsibility	9.0%
Average number of placements	8.6 placements
Average length of service	61.5 months
Median length of service	49.2 months

Other Long-term Substitute Care

(measured from removal to date DFPS legal responsibility ended)

Percent of children who left DFPS legal responsibility	4.5%
Average number of placements	3.1 placements
Average length of service	13.5 months
Median length of service	5.5 months

Fiscal Year 2008 Recidivism Outcomes

For All Stages:

(measured as a new confirmed reason to believe allegation within 12 months of the end of services or a return to substitute care or new Family Preservation services provided)

9.3%

For Family Preservation:

(measured as a new confirmed reason to believe allegation within 12 months of the end of Family Preservation services or new Family Preservation services provided)

9.3%

For Family Reunification:

(measured as a new confirmed reason to believe allegation or a return to substitute care within 12 months of the end of Family Reunification services or new Family Preservation services provided)

18.1%


Substitute Care Outcomes for Cases Open at the End of Fiscal Year 2008

Average length of service for children in temporary managing conservatorship	7.2 months
Median length of service for children in temporary managing conservatorship	6.1 months
Average length of service for children in permanent managing conservatorship	40.3 months
Median length of service for children in permanent managing conservatorship	30.5 months

Status of Children No Longer in DFPS Legal Responsibility Fiscal Year 2008

Total Children: 16,275

Outcome	Count	Percent
Family Reunification	5,991	36.8%
Custody Given To Relatives	3,559	21.9%
Adoption Consummated	4,517	27.8%
Children Emancipated	1,468	9.0%
Other	740	4.5%
Total Children	16,275	100%



* Of the 1,468 youth emancipated in FY08, 1,091 were emancipated from paid foster care.

* Other includes children absent without permission, children in court ordered or independent living placements; children for whom conservatorship was never obtained and children with a missing discharge reason.

Average Length of Time in Months for Children Leaving DFPS Responsibility by Type of Exit and Region Fiscal Year 2008

Region	Family Reunification	Relative Care	Adoption	Long Term Care - Emancipation	Long Term Care - Other
1 Lubbock	16.0	20.2	36.3	70.6	13.5
2 Abilene	14.6	14.7	28.6	70.5	5.9
3 Arlington	12.7	12.5	30.4	63.5	14.3
4 Tyler	13.2	11.6	34.6	53.2	10.0
5 Beaumont	15.5	12.6	28.2	58.2	19.5
6 Houston	16.4	15.9	29.9	67.9	16.5
7 Austin	14.3	12.7	25.9	59.4	13.1
8 San Antonio	14.3	18.1	26.8	59.0	13.8
9 Midland	4.8	12.5	28.8	36.2	9.8
10 El Paso	15.5	13.6	30.3	57.6	20.3
11 Edinburg	13.3	14.0	32.8	57.5	9.7
State	13.5	14.1	29.5	61.5	13.5

Average Length of Time in Months for Children Leaving DFPS Responsibility by Type of Exit and Race/Ethnicity Fiscal Year 2008


Region	Family Reunification	Relative Care	Adoption	Long Term Care - Emancipation	Long Term Care - Other
Anglo	12.2	13.5	28.7	56.1	14.6
African American	14.9	14.0	31.7	69.2	12.4
Hispanic	14.2	14.8	29.2	61.3	13.2
Native American	14.9	12.9	18.9	68.0	18.0
Asian	11.6	14.1	33.2	34.4	29.5
Other	8.7	12.7	23.4	36.6	3.9
State	13.5	14.1	29.5	61.5	13.5

Average Number of Out-of-Home Placements for Children Who Attained Permanency Fiscal Year 2008

Region	Family Reunification	Relative Care	Adoption	Long Term Care - Emancipation	Long Term Care - Other
1 Lubbock	2.4	2.6	3.8	8.8	3.5
2 Abilene	2.5	2.4	3.6	8.7	1.8
3 Arlington	2.1	2.3	3.3	8.8	3.0
4 Tyler	2.0	2.4	3.4	8.1	2.8
5 Beaumont	2.3	2.5	2.9	5.8	5.4
6 Houston	2.4	2.3	3.2	10.0	2.8
7 Austin	2.3	2.3	3.5	8.1	2.8
8 San Antonio	2.6	3.0	3.8	8.9	3.7
9 Midland	2.1	2.5	3.6	5.4	2.1
10 El Paso	1.9	2.2	3.6	7.1	4.3
11 Edinburg	2.3	2.7	3.3	7.4	2.5
State Total	2.3	2.4	3.5	8.6	3.1

Note: The average number of placements per child in substitute care for all children who attained permanency is 3.2 placements.

Length of Time in Care for Children Who Achieved Permanency Status* Fiscal Year 2008



* Children who left substitute care via an own home, permanent relative placement or adoption consummation and DFPS legal responsibility was ended.

Average Monthly Number of Children and FTEs* in Paid Foster Care by Service Levels Fiscal Year 2008

Service Level	Number of Children	Number of FTEs
Basic	11,298	10,119
Child Specific**	13	11
Emergency Shelter	1,103	643
Intense	273	243
Moderate	3,888	3,591
Psychiatric Transition***	9	6
Specialized	2,655	2,425
	19,239****	17,038
Unduplicated	18,680	

Note: Calculations exclude children where cost of care was not covered by Title IV-E or state paid foster care.

** An FTE is calculated by dividing the number of paid foster care days in the month by the number of days in a month.*


*** Child Specific contracts do not have an actual level of care.*

**** Psychiatric Transition is a new level of care added in FY 2008.*

***** Duplicated count due to changes in service levels during the month.*

*For HHSC 24-Hour Residential Child Care Facilities Rates go to
http://www.dfps.state.tx.us/PCS/rates_childcare_reimbursement.asp*

Foster Care Expenditures by Source Fiscal Year 2008 Total Expenditures: \$363,973,649



Title IV-E Federal Foster Care Program Description


The Federal Foster Care Program helps States provide safe and stable out-of-home care for children until the children are safely returned home, placed permanently with adoptive families or placed in other planned arrangements for permanency. Funds are available for: monthly maintenance payments to eligible foster care providers; administrative costs to manage the program; training staff and foster parents; foster parent recruitment; and other related expenses.

State Paid Foster Care may be funded with Federal Block Grant (TANF)

Children in Paid Foster Care Monthly Average by Fiscal Year

Fiscal Year	State Paid Foster Care**	Title IV-E Foster Care*	Other Care	Total Children in Foster Care	% Change from Previous Fiscal Year
2004	5,734	11,505	0	17,239	6.0%
2005	5,578	13,775	0	19,353	12.3%
2006	5,604	14,911	0	20,515	6.0%
2007	5,620	14,868	0	20,488	-0.1%
2008	5,324	13,374	0	18,698	-8.7%

Percent of Change in the Number of Children in Paid Foster Care by Fiscal Year




**Children in Paid Foster Care Monthly Average by Region
Fiscal Year 2008
Total Unduplicated Children - 18,680**

Region	State Paid Foster Care**	Title IV-E Foster Care*
1 Lubbock	375	1,060
2 Abilene	125	454
3 Arlington	1,127	2,552
4 Tyler	436	546
5 Beaumont	172	358
6 Houston	995	2,566
7 Austin	790	1,338
8 San Antonio	692	2,317
9 Midland	157	547
10 El Paso	71	345
11 Edinburg	382	1,290
Unknown	3	3
Total***	5,325	13,376

* Cost of care not covered by Title IV-E or State Paid Foster Care.
 ** State Paid Foster Care may be funded with Federal Block Grant (TANF).
 *** Some children are served in more than one region and/or eligibility type in a month.

**Average Number of Children Provided Adoption Subsidy* per Month
Fiscal Year 2008
Total - 24,930**



* Subsidies include financial payments only, not medical and non-recurring subsidies.

**Families and Children Receiving Kinship Caregiver Monetary Assistance
Fiscal Year 2008**

Region	Families Served	Children Served	Total Integration Payments	Total Flexible Support Payments	Total Relative Caregiver Support
1 Lubbock	212	361	\$152,000.00	\$84,250.00	\$236,250.00
2 Abilene	134	230	\$92,333.00	\$108,000.00	\$200,333.00
3 Arlington	1,040	1,832	\$750,000.00	\$961,930.00	\$1,711,930.00
4 Tyler	327	543	\$201,000.00	\$268,590.00	\$469,590.00
5 Beaumont	4	6	\$2,000.00	\$3,000.00	\$5,000.00
6 Houston	1,038	1,863	\$581,000.00	\$997,155.00	\$1,578,155.00
7 Austin	471	858	\$305,000.00	\$437,271.98	\$742,271.98
8 San Antonio	512	970	\$390,500.00	\$471,147.44	\$861,647.44
9 Midland	173	315	\$96,000.00	\$167,000.00	\$263,000.00
10 El Paso	38	69	\$30,000.00	\$16,500.00	\$46,500.00
11 Edinburg	349	707	\$224,000.00	\$368,590.04	\$592,590.04
Unknown	1	1	\$0.00	\$500.00	\$500.00
Total	4,299	7,755	\$2,823,833.00	\$3,883,934.46	\$6,707,767.46

Average Number of Children and Families Receiving Purchased Services per Month Fiscal Year 2008

Region	Living at Home	Living Out of Home	Post Adoption	Total Children	Total Adults	Total Clients
1 Lubbock	245	344	7	596	932	1,528
2 Abilene	50	223	124	397	571	968
3 Arlington	650	1,075	123	1,848	2,244	4,092
4 Tyler	316	311	37	664	995	1,659
5 Beaumont	65	186	98	349	303	652
6 Houston	756	950	148	1,854	2,055	3,909
7 Austin	327	585	64	976	1,535	2,511
8 San Antonio	752	721	80	1,553	2,526	4,079
9 Midland	41	148	58	247	704	951
10 El Paso	114	123	79	316	320	636
11 Edinburg	888	492	2	1,382	1,952	3,334
Total	4,204	5,158	820	10,182	14,137	24,319

Note: Averages are rounded to the nearest whole number.

Average Monthly Percent of Children Receiving CPS Purchased Services by Region

Region	Number of In-Home Children Receiving Purchased Services	Total Number of In-Home Children	% of In-Home Children Receiving Purchased Services	Number of Children in Substitute Care Receiving Purchased Services	Total Number of Children in Substitute Care	% of Children in Substitute Care Receiving Purchased Services
1 Lubbock	245	2,262	10.8%	344	1,997	17.2%
2 Abilene	50	1,326	3.7%	223	807	27.6%
3 Arlington	650	8,122	8.0%	1,075	5,515	19.5%
4 Tyler	316	1,763	17.9%	311	1,435	21.7%
5 Beaumont	65	727	9.0%	186	779	23.8%
6 Houston	756	6,751	11.2%	950	6,755	14.1%
7 Austin	327	3,746	8.7%	585	3,545	16.5%
8 San Antonio	752	6,469	11.6%	721	4,812	15.0%
9 Midland	41	1,270	3.3%	148	1,071	13.8%
10 El Paso	114	1,213	9.4%	123	540	22.8%
11 Edinburg	888	6,693	13.3%	492	2,279	21.6%
Total	4,204	40,342	10.4%	5,158	29,535	17.5%

CPS Expenditures as of 1/7/2009 Fiscal Year 2008

CPS Categories	FY 2008 Expenditures
Substitute Care Services	\$40,278,937.58
Title IV-E	\$21,333,827.83
Evaluation/Treatment Services	\$19,993,119.54
Adoption	\$7,224,761.58
Client Support Services	\$6,836,512.64
Studies/Assessments	\$5,384,517.70
Other	\$5,040,342.47
Program Support Services	\$1,630,090.36
Total	\$107,722,109.70

Family Team Meetings Conducted by Race/Ethnicity Fiscal Year 2008

Region	Anglo	African American	Hispanic	Native American	Asian	Other	Total
1 Lubbock	190	51	149	0	1	10	401
2 Abilene	233	33	61	2	1	8	338
3 Arlington	823	397	236	4	9	40	1,509
4 Tyler	484	156	24	3	0	13	680
5 Beaumont	186	78	10	2	0	11	287
6 Houston	324	258	164	0	3	18	767
7 Austin	570	213	298	0	11	31	1,123
8 San Antonio	343	135	880	0	3	32	1,393
9 Midland	102	14	51	0	0	9	176
10 El Paso	20	11	90	0	1	2	124
11 Edinburg	75	5	432	0	1	15	528
Total	3,350	1,351	2,395	11	30	189	7,326

Family Group Decision-Making Conferences Conducted by Race/Ethnicity Fiscal Year 2008

Region	Anglo	African American	Hispanic	Native American	Asian	Other	Total
1 Lubbock	175	51	164	3	0	11	404
2 Abilene	173	30	38	2	1	6	250
3 Arlington	442	253	145	3	2	20	865
4 Tyler	201	64	23	4	0	4	296
5 Beaumont	204	128	32	0	0	8	372
6 Houston	267	252	117	1	1	11	649
7 Austin	284	174	203	1	2	29	693
8 San Antonio	177	74	426	0	1	10	688
9 Midland	90	10	72	0	0	2	174
10 El Paso	14	3	85	3	2	2	109
11 Edinburg	59	5	392	2	0	2	460
Other	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
Total	2,087	1,044	1,697	19	9	105	4,961


**Circles of Support Conducted by Race/Ethnicity
Fiscal Year 2008**

Region	Anglo	African American	Hispanic	Native American	Asian	Other	Total
1 Lubbock	17	17	7	0	0	2	43
2 Abilene	34	21	4	0	0	0	59
3 Arlington	207	221	74	3	6	10	521
4 Tyler	73	59	21	2	1	0	156
5 Beaumont	23	15	3	0	2	0	43
6 Houston	187	312	162	0	7	10	678
7 Austin	97	71	47	0	2	4	221
8 San Antonio	35	28	92	0	0	2	157
9 Midland	19	9	16	0	0	2	46
10 El Paso	1	5	25	0	0	0	31
11 Edinburg	4	1	55	0	0	0	60
Other	3	5	1	0	1	0	10
Total	700	764	507	5	19	30	2,025

Note: A Circle of Support (COS) is a youth-focused and youth-driven meeting with the primary purpose of developing a transition plan for older youth as they move from foster care to adulthood. It may also be used to address other issues the youth may have. It includes broad participation of the youth's support network. The COS is the preferred service planning model for developing or reviewing a transition plan.

**Preparation for Adult Living (PAL) Services
Youth Ages 16 through 20**

The Transitional Services Program includes Preparation for Adult Living (PAL) program services to help youth aging out of foster care prepare for adult life, and assist with the initial transition to adult living. PAL services ensure that DFPS foster youth and those aging out of care receive the tools, resources, supports, and personal and community connections they need to become self-sufficient adult. Supportive services and benefits are provided to eligible youth ages 16 to 21, and in some cases up to age 23 for certain educational/vocational needs, to assist when they leave foster care.



* 706 youth who were not served in FY 2008 received services prior to FY 2008.

DCL

Day Care Licensing Overview

The Texas Department of Family and Protective Services is granted its authority to regulate child-care facilities and child-placing agencies by the Texas Legislature in Chapter 42 of the Texas Human Resources Code.

The purpose of regulation is to protect a group or class of children by establishing and enforcing statewide minimum standards.

Expenditures and Total Average

Filled FTE Staff


Total Staff	418.4
Direct Delivery Total Staff	363.3
Caseworkers	264.6
Supervisors	35.5
Clerical	46.3
Other	72.0
Staff Costs	\$22,293,875.77

Worker Demographics

Turnover Rate	16.8%
Tenure:	
Less Than 1 Year	22.1%
1 - 3 Years	32.5%
Greater than 3 Years	45.4%
Entry Salary (Inv)	\$31,640.40
Entry Salary (Non-Inv)	\$28,545.96
Average Age	40.2
Race/Ethnicity:	
African-American	28.8%
Anglo	44.6%
Hispanic	22.1%
Other	4.4%

Supervisor Demographics

Turnover Rate	5.6%
Tenure:	
Less Than 1 Year	2.8%
1 - 3 Years	22.2%
Greater than 3 Years	75.0%
Entry Salary	\$38,351.00
Average Age	46.4
Race/Ethnicity:	
African-American	22.2%
Anglo	52.8%
Hispanic	25.0%
Other	0.0%



Note: The chart is for reference only and does not necessarily represent the flow of a case.

Statistics FY 2008

Daycare Operations/Homes	26,026
Capacity	1,030,395
Abuse/Neglect Investigations	2,126
Non-Abuse/Neglect Investigations	14,925
Inspections	45,333
Adverse Actions	301

RCL

Residential Child-Care Licensing Overview

The Texas Department of Family and Protective Services is granted its authority to regulate child-care facilities and child-placing agencies (CPS) by the Texas Legislature in Chapter 42 of the Texas Human Resources Code.

The purpose of regulation is to protect a group or class of children by establishing and enforcing statewide minimum standards.

Expenditures and Total Average

Filled FTE Staff


Total Staff	191.1
Direct Delivery Total Staff	183.4
Caseworkers	129.0
Supervisors	21.9
Clerical	17.6
Other	22.6
Staff Costs	\$10,311,101.20

Worker Demographics

Turnover Rate	14.1%
Tenure:	
Less Than 1 Year	23.1%
1 - 3 Years	41.0%
Greater than 3 Years	35.9%
Entry Salary (Inv)	\$35,642.88
Entry Salary (Non-Inv)	\$31,972.00
Average Age	39.6
Race/Ethnicity:	
African-American	41.0%
Anglo	34.2%
Hispanic	21.4%
Other	3.4%

Supervisor Demographics

Turnover Rate	18.8%
Tenure:	
Less Than 1 Year	4.8%
1 - 3 Years	28.6%
Greater than 3 Years	66.7%
Entry Salary	\$40,790.00
Average Age	42.1
Race/Ethnicity:	
African-American	19.0%
Anglo	42.9%
Hispanic	33.3%
Other	4.8%



Note: The chart is for reference only and does not necessarily represent the flow of a case.

Statistics FY 2008

24-Hour Care Facilities	10,106
Capacity of Facilities	43,749
Abuse/Neglect Investigations	2,502
Non-Abuse/Neglect Investigations	3,357
Inspections	10,055
Adverse Actions	4

Legal Responsibility for Child-Care Licensing

Day Care and Residential Care: Statutory References
Social Security Act
Human Resources Code, Chapters 40, 42, and 43
Texas Family Code, Title 5
Texas Government Code
Texas Health and Safety Code, Chapter 249
Texas Administrative Code, Title 40

Major Provisions:

- Develop minimum standards to promote the health, safety and well being of children in out-of-home care.
- Process applications and issue permits to child care operations (this is a term inclusive of licensed, registered and listed child day care, residential child-care, and child-placing operations) meeting minimum standards.
- Inspect child-care operations to ensure they maintain compliance with minimum standards.
- Investigate allegations of abuse/neglect, violations of standards violations or the law and ensure appropriate corrective or adverse actions are taken.
- Conduct criminal background checks and DFPS central registry checks on all adult staff or caregivers, and youth age 14 – 18 who will be in frequent contact with children in child-care operations excluding children in care.
- Offer consultation to potential applicants and permit holders about meeting and maintaining compliance with Licensing standards, and achieving programs of excellence.
- Educate and advise consumers of child-care operations by providing the operations' compliance history.


Other Programmatic Information:

Challenges:

- Appropriately and consistently enforcing minimum standards across operation types.
- Implementing a system of weighted minimum standards in order to help quantify compliance.
- Developing inclusive, appropriate and effective relationships with child-care operations resulting in increased compliance and stronger protection for children.
- Determining exemption status for new and different types of child care operations.
- Providing technical assistance to child care operations struggling to manage behavior problems exhibited by young children.
- Providing thorough, efficient and timely background check results to over a quarter of a million people seeking to work in child care, foster care or seeking to adopt a child.
- Implementation of Mobile Technology.

**Texas Child Population
Ages Birth through 13 Years
Fiscal Year 2008**

State Total: 5,008,982



Population Data Source: Texas State Data Center, University of Texas (San Antonio)

Child Care Operations* in Texas as of August 31, 2008

Year	**Licensed Child-Care Operations	Registered Child-Care Homes	Listed Family Homes	Residential Facilities	Child Placing Agencies (CPA)
2004	10,621	8,422	3,845	9,033	275
2005	10,545	7,808	4,132	9,965	292
2006	10,695	7,488	3,895	10,108	304
2007	10,855	7,214	3,900	9,696	332
2008	10,874	6,895	8,257	9,775	331

* Counts do not include Applicants

** Includes Licensed Child Care Centers, Licensed Homes, and Small Employer Based Child Care operations.

Child Care Operations in Texas as of August 31, 2008

Facility Type - Day Care Licensing	2007		2008	
	Count	Capacity	Count	Capacity
Licensed Centers	9,319	891,812	9,309	912,320
Licensed Homes	1,536	18,285	1,564	18,664
Listed Homes	3,900	9,895	8,257	19,096
Registered Homes *	7,214	83,655	6,895	80,303
Small Employer Based Child Care	0	0	1	12
Total Day Care Operations and Homes	21,969	1,003,647	26,026	1,030,395

Facility Type - Residential Operations	2007		2008	
	Count	Capacity	Count	Capacity
General Residential Operation	140	6,921	144	7166
Independent Foster Family	4	22	3	16
Independent Foster Group Home	11	109	7	77
Residential Treatment Center	87	3,914	90	3889
Subtotals, Residential Operations	242	10,966	244	11,148

Facility Type - CPAs	2007		2008	
	Count	Capacity	Count	Capacity
Main Offices	200	NA	202	NA
Branch Offices	132	NA	129	NA
Subtotal CPAs	332	NA	331	NA

Facility Type - Homes	2007		2008	
	Count	Capacity	Count	Capacity
Agency Foster Homes **	6,230	22,970	6,481	22,360
Agency Group Homes **	559	5,237	467	4,309
CPS Foster Homes	2,011	6,104	1,810	5,520
CPS Foster Group Homes	41	274	34	221
CPS Adoptive Homes	597	NA	726	NA
Subtotal, Homes	9,438	34,585	9,518	32,410

Facility Type - Maternity Homes	2007		2008	
	Count	Capacity	Count	Capacity
Maternity Homes	16	207	13	191
Total Residential	10,028	45,758	10,106	43,749

* Homes registered prior to 9/1/03 did not require a capacity to be listed in the database. As new permits are issued for changes made to currently operating homes, the capacities were included. As a result, there is an increase in the capacity that is displayed.

** Adoptive only homes are not included in the number of private agency homes.

Day Care Operations and Homes Regulated by Fiscal Year

Region	Licensed Child Care Centers		Licensed Child Care Homes		Registered Child Care Homes		Listed Family Homes		Small Employer Based Child Care Centers		Total	
	2007	2008	2007	2008	2007	2008	2007	2008	2007	2008	2007	2008
1 Lubbock	312	303	71	68	191	191	202	407	0	0	776	969
2 Abilene	226	213	61	60	152	154	127	223	0	0	566	650
3 Arlington	2,295	2,288	353	376	2,269	2,242	1,318	1,953	0	1	6,235	6,859
4 Tyler	373	357	77	74	117	102	127	411	0	0	694	944
5 Beaumont	220	223	35	30	86	77	104	99	0	0	445	429
6 Houston	2,288	2,312	172	183	2,521	2,357	569	1,762	0	0	5,550	6,614
7 Austin	1,221	1,258	209	221	710	679	858	994	0	0	2,998	3,152
8 San Antonio	961	944	113	114	644	627	362	692	0	0	2,080	2,377
9 Midland	205	207	46	43	53	58	88	221	0	0	392	529
10 El Paso	297	292	163	161	94	79	46	434	0	0	600	966
11 Edinburg	921	912	236	234	377	329	99	1,061	0	0	1,633	2,536
Other/Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	9,319	9,309	1,536	1,564	7,214	6,895	3,900	8,257	0	1	21,969	26,026

Residential Operations Regulated by Fiscal Year

Region	Facilities*		Independent Foster Homes		Child Placing Agencies**		CPA Foster Homes***		Total	
	2007	2008	2007	2008	2007	2008	2007	2008	2007	2008
1 Lubbock	16	16	0	0	19	19	306	301	341	336
2 Abilene	8	8	0	0	18	19	196	192	222	219
3 Arlington	28	29	1	1	88	85	1,705	1,750	1,822	1,865
4 Tyler	12	12	0	0	13	14	262	268	287	294
5 Beaumont	10	11	0	0	9	9	154	162	173	182
6 Houston	67	70	9	6	54	54	1,548	1,475	1,678	1,605
7 Austin	39	38	2	0	50	49	895	966	986	1,053
8 San Antonio	40	39	2	2	40	40	948	1,028	1,030	1,109
9 Midland	5	4	1	1	5	5	95	98	106	108
10 El Paso	6	6	0	0	9	9	200	209	215	224
11 Edinburg	12	14	0	0	27	28	480	497	519	539
Other/Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	2
Total	243	247	15	10	332	331	6,789	6,948	7,379	7,536

* Facilities include residential treatment centers, general residential operations and maternity homes.


** Includes CPA licenses plus branch offices

*** Adoptive only homes were excluded from the number of CPA foster homes.


Licensing of Day Care Operations by Region
Fiscal Year 2008

Region	Licensed Child Care Centers and Homes		Registered Child Care Homes		Listed Homes
	Applications Accepted	Initial Permits Issued	Applications Accepted	Registrations Issued	Listings Issued
1 Lubbock	29	29	33	31	275
2 Abilene	28	30	45	40	132
3 Arlington	401	369	480	433	1,158
4 Tyler	68	68	9	8	320
5 Beaumont	31	31	6	5	48
6 Houston	406	366	432	365	1,392
7 Austin	230	215	126	122	550
8 San Antonio	119	111	162	136	443
9 Midland	21	22	15	15	167
10 El Paso	41	40	18	15	398
11 Edinburg	153	138	64	50	1,012
State Total	1,527	1,419	1,390	1,220	5,895


Licensed Centers and Homes



Registered Family Homes




Listed Homes





**Licensing of Residential (24-Hour) Operations by Region
Fiscal Year 2008**

Region	Residential Applications Accepted	Residential Initial Permits Issued (New)
1 Lubbock	1	1
2 Abilene	0	0
3 Arlington	25	17
4 Tyler	1	0
5 Beaumont	1	1
6 Houston	22	19
7 Austin	6	6
8 San Antonio	7	6
9 Midland	0	0
10 El Paso	0	0
11 Edinburg	2	2
State Total	65	52

Residential (24 Hour) Operations Licenses





Inspections in Regulated Day-Care Operations



Facility Type	2007	2008
Licensed Child-Care Centers	30,163	32,497
Registered Child-Care Homes	8,246	8,557
Listed Family Homes	595	590
Licensed Child-Care Homes	3,613	3,686
Small Employer Based Child Care	0	3
Total	42,617	45,333

Inspections in Regulated Residential (24-Hour) Care Operations




Facility Type	2007	2008
Child-Placing Agencies	3,795	4,439
CPA Foster and Adoptive Homes	2,124	2,456
CPS Foster and Adoptive Homes	650	584
Facilities	2,203	2,533
Independent Foster Homes	67	43
Total	8,839	10,055


Note: CCL inspects agency foster homes for two reasons (1) to conduct an investigation; or (2) to determine whether the CPA managing the foster homes is compliant in its verification, monitoring and management of the foster home and the children in care. Foster homes inspected for the latter purpose are periodically selected at random from across the state from the DFPS database for inspection.

**Inspections in Regulated Day-Care Operations by Type of Inspection
Fiscal Year 2008**
Total Inspections: 57,606


Day Care	
Inspection Type	Number
Monitoring	24,885
Investigation	9,754
Follow-up	6,633
Other	4,061
Total	45,333



Residential (24-Hour) Care	
Inspection Type	Number
Investigation	4,743
Agency Home Sample Inspections	3,020
Monitoring	1,249
Other	850
Follow-up	193
Total	10,055




Illegal Operations/Exemptions	
Inspection Type	Number
Investigation	1,077
Other	362
Follow-up	271
Monitoring	8
Total	1,718



Note: CCL inspects agency foster homes for two reasons (1) to conduct an investigation; or (2) to determine whether the CPA managing the foster homes is compliant in its verification, monitoring and management of the foster home and the children in care. Foster homes inspected for the latter purpose are periodically selected at random from across the state from the DFPS database for inspection.

Child Care Operation Investigations by Fiscal Year



* Includes Licensed Centers, Licensed Homes, and Small Employer Based Child Care investigations.

Investigations in Regulated Child Care Operations Fiscal Year 2008

Region	Licensed Day Care and Registered Family Homes*	Residential Operations	Illegal Operations Exemptions	State
1 Lubbock	920	324	50	1,294
2 Abilene	453	159	29	641
3 Arlington	4,141	1,100	320	5,561
4 Tyler	499	236	38	773
5 Beaumont	219	157	14	390
6 Houston	3,515	1,261	187	4,963
7 Austin	1,593	1,008	197	2,798
8 San Antonio	2,715	970	89	3,774
9 Midland	399	54	36	489
10 El Paso	574	111	21	706
11 Edinburg	2,003	519	52	2,574
Other/Unknown	5	0	157	162
Total	17,036	5,899	1,190	24,125

* Licensed day care and registered family homes includes listed homes.

Complaint Investigations in Child Care Operations Fiscal Year 2008

Operation Type	Total Number Operations as of 8/31/08	Complaints Investigated	Number of Abuse/Neglect Complaints Investigated			Number of Non Abuse/Neglect Complaints Investigated	
			Total	Valid	Percent Validated	Total	Investigations with a Deficiency Cited
Day Care Licensing							
Licensed Centers	9,309	12,073	1,745	276	15.82%	10,317	3,149
Licensed Homes	1,564	494	53	11	20.75%	439	73
Registered Homes	6,895	1,501	221	43	19.46%	1,300	240
Listed Homes	8,257	2,968	107	7	6.54%	2,869	183
Small Employer Based Child Care	1	0	0	0	0%	0	0
Total	26,026	17,036	2,126	337	15.85%	14,925	3,645
Residential Licensing (24-Hour Care)							
Facilities	247	2,029	790	52	6.58%	1,242	319
Independent Foster Homes	10	19	6	1	16.67%	13	3
CPAs and CPA Foster and Adoptive Homes *	7,268	3,224	1,457	79	5.42%	1,736	394
Child Protective Services CPAs and Foster and Adoptive Homes	2,581	627	249	18	7.23%	366	57
Total	10,106	5,899	2,502	150	6.00%	3,357	773
Unlicensed Operations **		1,190	408	90	22.06%	679	235
Total - All Operation Types	36,132	24,125	5,036	577	11.46%	18,961	4,653

* Includes total CPA licenses plus branch offices

** Unlicensed operations include illegal operations and exemptions requests

Note: The number of Complaints Investigated and the number of Non-Abuse/Neglect Complaints Investigated are based on the date that the worker completed the investigation. The number of Abuse/Neglect Complaints Investigated uses the date that the Investigation stage closed. Therefore, the sum of Abuse/Neglect Complaints Investigated and Non-Abuse/Neglect Complaints Investigated will not match the total Complaints Investigated.

Adverse Actions and Corrective Actions in Day Care Operations by Region Fiscal Year 2008

Licensed Child-Care Centers and Homes

Region	Adverse Actions				Corrective Actions		
	Permits Denied	Permits Revoked	Permits Suspended	Total Adverse Actions	Evaluation	Probation	Total Corrective Actions
1 Lubbock	0	0	0	0	3	4	7
2 Abilene	2	1	0	3	3	4	7
3 Arlington	3	7	0	10	4	10	14
4 Tyler	1	0	0	1	16	3	19
5 Beaumont	0	2	0	2	4	3	7
6 Houston	12	8	3	23	46	21	67
7 Austin	2	4	0	6	18	9	27
8 San Antonio	1	3	0	4	6	9	15
9 Midland	2	1	0	3	0	2	2
10 El Paso	1	3	0	4	0	8	8
11 Edinburg	1	5	0	6	6	13	19
State Total	25	34	3	62	106	86	192

Registered Child-Care Homes

Region	Adverse Actions				Corrective Actions		
	Permits Denied	Permits Revoked	Permits Suspended	Total Adverse Actions	Evaluation	Probation	Total Corrective Actions
1 Lubbock	0	2	0	2	1	0	1
2 Abilene	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
3 Arlington	1	11	0	12	1	3	4
4 Tyler	0	1	0	1	0	0	0
5 Beaumont	0	2	0	2	0	0	0
6 Houston	4	13	0	17	2	4	6
7 Austin	1	2	0	3	2	1	3
8 San Antonio	1	4	0	5	0	2	2
9 Midland	0	1	0	1	0	0	0
10 El Paso	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
11 Edinburg	0	3	1	4	0	1	1
State Total	7	39	1	47	6	12	18

**Adverse Actions and Corrective Actions in Day Care Operations by Region
Fiscal Year 2008**

Region	Adverse Actions				Corrective Actions		
	Permits Denied	Permits Revoked	Permits Suspended	Total Adverse Actions	Evaluation	Probation	Total Corrective Actions
1 Lubbock	11	1	0	12	0	0	0
2 Abilene	7	1	0	8	0	0	0
3 Arlington	9	3	0	12	0	0	0
4 Tyler	16	0	0	16	0	0	0
5 Beaumont	3	0	0	3	0	0	0
6 Houston	31	14	0	45	0	0	0
7 Austin	20	4	0	24	0	0	0
8 San Antonio	15	2	0	17	0	0	0
9 Midland	11	2	0	13	0	0	0
10 El Paso	24	1	0	25	0	0	0
11 Edinburg	11	5	0	16	0	0	0
Unknown	1	0	0	1	0	0	0
State Total	159	33	0	192	0	0	0

**Adverse and Corrective Actions in Residential (24-Hour) Operation by Region
Fiscal Year 2008**

Region	Adverse Actions				Corrective Actions		
	Permits Denied	Permits Revoked	Permits Suspended	Total Adverse Actions	Evaluation	Probation	Total Corrective Actions
1 Lubbock	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2 Abilene	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3 Arlington	1	1	0	2	2	0	2
4 Tyler	1	0	0	1	0	0	0
5 Beaumont	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6 Houston	0	0	0	0	2	0	2
7 Austin	0	0	0	0	5	0	5
8 San Antonio	1	0	0	1	0	1	1
9 Midland	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10 El Paso	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
11 Edinburg	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
State Total	3	1	0	4	9	1	10

Note: Adverse actions are determined by the date the facility was sent the letter of intent. Finalizing adverse action through due process. Voluntary Suspensions are not counted in the number of suspensions. Corrective actions begin after the due process is completed.

Background Checks by Operation Type Fiscal Year 2008

Operation Type	Central Registry Checks	DPS Checks	FBI Checks *
Day Care Licensing			
Licensed Center	188,596	188,312	100,519
Licensed Home	3,896	3,884	675
Listed Home	16,381	16,377	302
Registered Home	10,850	10,842	1,061
Small Employer Based Child Care	8	8	7
	219,731	219,423	102,564
Residential Licensing (24 Hour Care)			
CPAs CPA Foster/Adoptive Homes	39,632	39,641	13,748
Facilities	20,351	20,351	709
Independent Foster Homes	275	275	47
Maternity Homes	374	374	31
	60,632	60,641	14,535
Total	280,363	280,064	117,099

* Represents the number of FBI checks that were requested, not the number that were actually processed.

Note: Does not include background checks conducted on individuals in an illegal child-care operations, exemption requests, or CPS foster and CPS adoptive homes.

Child Care Administrator Credential Exam Fiscal Year 2008

Licensed Child Care Administrators (LCCA)	60	Passed
	11	Failed
	71	Total exams
Licensed Child Placing Agency Administrators (LCPA)	70	Passed
	5	Failed
	75	Total Exams

Total number of exams for both licenses for FY08 was 146.

On 8/31/08 there were:

	Active	Inactive	Total
LCCA	661	186	847
LCPA	259	10	269
Total			1,116

CPS Children in Residential Child Care Facilities as of 1/7/2009 Fiscal Year 2008

RCC Services	FY 2008 Expenditures
Child Placing Agency	\$ 233,333,659.28
Residential Treatment Center	\$ 61,743,616.09
GRO Emergency Shelter	\$ 25,042,154.31
GRO Basic Child Care	\$ 21,992,440.32
Child Specific Residential Contract	\$ 3,704,657.91
Independent Foster Home	\$ 955,032.74
GRO Therapeutic Camp	\$ 1,020,216.49
Intensive Psychiatric Transition Program	\$ 894,524.50
Women's Shelter (FLDS)	\$ 153,111.94
Maternity Home	\$ 124,747.39
DSHS Specialty Care Facility	\$ 84,272.20
Total	\$ 349,048,433.17

Note: This is not a cost for the Child Care Licensing Program.

Legal Responsibility for the Division of Prevention and Early Intervention

Federal: Title XX, Social Security Act
Federal: Title IV-B, Part 2, Social Security Act
State: Texas Family Code, 264.301 also Chapter 265
 – created through SB1574 below
State: Senate Bill 1574, 76th Legislature
State: Human Resources Code, 40.0561

The Division of Prevention and Early Intervention (PEI) was created to consolidate prevention and early intervention programs within the jurisdiction of a single state agency. Consolidation of these programs is intended to eliminate fragmentation and duplication of contracted prevention and early intervention services for at-risk children, youth, and families. Competitive procurements were held during FY2008 for the Statewide Youth Services Network (SYSN), which provides community and evidence-based juvenile delinquency prevention programs in each DFPS region; and the Community Based Family Services (CBFS) program, serving families who have been investigated by Child Protective Services but where the allegations were unsubstantiated. SYSN began providing services during FY2008 and CBFS will begin services in FY2009.

- **Community-Based Child Abuse Prevention (CBCAP)** – CBCAP seeks to increase community awareness of existing prevention services, strengthen community and parental involvement in child abuse prevention efforts, and encourage families to engage in services that are already available. In addition, CBCAP funds support the Rural Family Services program and replication of the Relief Nursery in two communities.
- **Community Youth Development (CYD)**
 This program assists communities in designing comprehensive approaches to support families and enhance the positive development of youth. Using legislative appropriations, grants are made available for developing juvenile delinquency prevention approaches in communities with high incidences of juvenile crime. Fifteen communities are being served.
- **Family Strengthening** – These services have been evaluated and proven to effectively increase family protective factors. A variety of services designed to increase family resiliency while preventing child abuse and neglect are available across the state.
- **Services To At-Risk Youth (STAR)** These services are offered to youths under the age of 18 who are runaway and/or truant, living in


family conflict, have allegedly been involved in, or committed delinquent offenses, or have allegedly committed misdemeanor or state felony offenses but have not been adjudicated delinquent by a court. Contracted community agencies offer family crisis intervention, short-term emergency residential care, individual and family counseling, and other support services. In addition, universal child abuse prevention services are provided within the community.

- **Texas Families: Together and Safe** - Grants are allocated for family support services to community based prevention programs. The services are designed to alleviate stress and promote parental competencies and behaviors that will increase the ability of families to successfully nurture their children; enable families to use other resources and opportunities available in the community; and create support networks that enhance child-rearing abilities of parents.
- **Texas Youth and Runaway Hotlines** – Hotline staff and volunteers work closely with social service agencies and juvenile delinquency prevention programs. Their goal is to provide callers with 24-hour crisis intervention and telephone counseling; information and referral for callers in need of food, shelter and/or transportation home; conference calls to parents and shelters; and a confidential message relay service between runaways and parents.
- **Youth Resiliency** - These services have been evaluated and proven to effectively increase youth protective factors. A variety of services designed to increase youth resiliency while preventing juvenile delinquency are available across the state.
- **Tertiary Child Abuse Prevention** This program provides services to families who no longer require the support of Child Protective Services. The program seeks to prevent recurrences of child maltreatment by strengthening families. The program was reprocured during FY2008 with services to be implemented in FY2009.

Prevention and Early Intervention - Expenditures and Staffing as of 1/7/2009

Staff Cost	\$2,516,302.03
Total Staff	29.4
Contracted Services	\$39,656,956.18


PEI Programs	FY 2008 Expenditures
Services to At-Risk Youth	\$19,926,886.82
Community Youth Development	\$6,210,204.83
Texas Families: Together and Safe	\$3,418,209.11
Youth Resiliency	\$1,828,440.49
Family Strengthening Program	\$1,598,283.75
Community-Based Child Abuse Prevention	\$836,492.99
Training and Technical Assistance	\$761,378.74
Statewide Youth Services Network (SYSN)	\$310,497.02
Total	\$34,890,393.75



STAR (Services To At-Risk) Youth Who are Registered and Received a Service by Fiscal Year

The Services to At-Risk Youth (STAR) program was developed to assist local communication in serving youth who often fall between the cracks of the service delivery system. Their problems are not severe enough to receive services from traditional systems such as Child Protective Services or Juvenile Probation. The STAR program has established contacts with local agencies throughout the state that make crisis intervention, emergency short-term residential care and counseling available to at-risk youth and their families. The STAR program serves all 254 Texas counties.

Fiscal Year	Number of Youth
2004	27,409
2005	26,875
2006	31,670
2007	32,085
2008	32,163




Community Youth Development (CYD) Average Monthly Clients Served Fiscal Year 2008

County	City	Zip Code of Site	Average Unduplicated Youth Served per Month
Potter	Amarillo	79107	258
Travis	Austin	78744	355
Cameron	Brownsville	78520	317
Nueces	Corpus Christi	78415	456
Dallas	Dallas	75216	137
Dallas	Dallas	75217	105
El Paso	El Paso	79924	579
Tarrant	Fort Worth	76106	275
Galveston	Galveston	77550	285
Harris	Houston	77081	264
Lubbock	Lubbock	79415	206
Hidalgo	McAllen	78501	285
Harris	Pasadena	77506	445
Bexar	San Antonio	78207	173
McLennan	Waco	76707	423
Average			4,563

Note All averages rounded to the nearest whole number.

Runaway Hotline Incoming Calls by Fiscal Year

Fiscal Year	Number of Calls
2004	35,197
2005	39,680
2006	38,481
2007	35,548
2008	31,276



Characteristics of Hotline Callers Fiscal Year 2008

Gender	Total	Percentage
Female	23,144	74.0%
Male	8,132	26.0%
Total	31,276	

Age Group	Total	Percentage
Adult	22,831	73%
Youth	8,445	27%
Total	31,276	

**Number of Families (Primary Caregivers) Served in the
Child Abuse/Neglect Prevention Programs
Fiscal Year 2008
Total Families Served: 4,979**

Unduplicated Families Served		
Program	Total	%
Community-Based Child Abuse Prevention (CBCAP)	506	10.2%
Texas Families: Together and Safe (TFTS)	3,136	63.0%
Family Strengthening Program (FSP)	1,337	26.9%
Total	4,979	100.0%

Primary Caregivers					
Ethnicity	CBCAP	TFTS	FSP	Total	%
African American	26	536	268	830	16.7%
Anglo	56	831	126	1,013	20.3%
Asian	1	11	1	13	0.3%
Hispanic	417	1,715	932	3,064	61.5%
Native American	0	26	3	29	0.6%
Other	6	17	7	30	0.6%
Total	506	3,136	1,337	4,979	100.0%

Primary Caregivers					
Gender	CBCAP	TFTS	FSP	Total	%
Female	478	2,807	1,250	4,535	91.1%
Male	28	329	87	444	8.9%
Total	506	3,136	1,337	4,979	100.0%

Primary Caregivers					
Age Group	CBCAP	TFTS	FSP	Total	%
Under 18	21	1,039	370	1,430	28.7%
18-25	113	1,162	380	1,655	33.2%
26-35	225	611	153	989	19.9%
36-45	111	213	56	380	7.6%
Over 45	36	111	378	525	10.5%
Total	506	3,136	1,337	4,979	100.0%

Note: In 194 of the 4,979 families served, the primary caregiver did not receive a service during the reporting period.

**Number of Youth Served in the Juvenile Delinquency Prevention Programs
Fiscal Year 2008
Total Youth Served: 53,387**

Unduplicated Youth Served		
Program	Total	%
Services to At Risk Youth (STAR)	32,163	60.2%
Community Youth Development (CYD)	18,074	33.9%
Statewide Youth Services Network (SYSN)	1,530	2.9%
Youth Resiliency Program (YRP)	1,620	3.0%
Total	53,387	100.0%

Race/Ethnicity	STAR	CYD	SYSN	YRP	Total	%
African American	5,536	3,363	618	528	10,045	18.8%
Anglo	10,597	685	250	367	11,899	22.3%
Asian	140	102	3	7	252	0.5%
Hispanic	15,383	13,710	608	697	30,398	56.9%
Native American	97	76	5	6	184	0.3%
Other	410	138	46	15	609	1.1%
Total	32,163	18,074	1,530	1,620	53,387	100.0%

Gender	STAR	CYD	SYSN	YRP	Total	%
Female	14,325	9,420	760	740	25,245	47.3%
Male	17,838	8,652	769	879	28,138	52.7%
Unknown	0	2	1	1	4	0.0%
Total	32,163	18,074	1,530	1,620	53,387	100.0%

Age Group	STAR	CYD	SYSN	YRP	Total	%
Under 6	2,396	104	3	2	2,505	4.7%
6-9	5,141	2,703	7	64	7,915	14.8%
10-17	24,589	14,935	1,520	1,545	42,589	79.8%
Over 17	37	332	0	9	378	0.7%
Total	32,163	18,074	1,530	1,620	53,387	100.0%

APS In-Home Population And Case-Related Statistics

County	Population With A Disability Ages 18-64	Population Ages 65 Plus	Total APS Intakes	Total APS Completed Investigations	Confirmed APS Investigations	APS Clients Receiving Services
Anderson	5,021	7,308	369	338	301	619
Andrews	1,077	1,816	39	35	26	27
Angelina	6,521	11,085	591	567	443	455
Aransas	2,088	5,632	186	138	77	42
Archer	790	1,307	64	57	46	53
Armstrong	167	445	13	7	7	15
Atascosa	3,779	5,036	168	156	107	93
Austin	2,285	4,176	142	94	74	63
Bailey	521	1,050	46	40	37	56
Bandera	1,822	3,713	103	102	79	55
Bastrop	7,066	8,602	195	158	79	56
Baylor	308	1,025	89	83	78	85
Bee	2,793	3,761	118	110	87	122
Bell	20,532	22,382	1,283	945	609	736
Bexar	127,817	161,581	7,456	5,942	3,919	4,607
Blanco	881	1,685	28	25	17	10
Borden	60	185	3	3	2	3
Bosque	1,416	3,800	56	47	36	23
Bowie	7,721	13,315	353	287	187	180
Brazoria	24,963	26,884	555	440	278	209
Brazos	13,069	11,510	270	205	147	156
Brewster	790	1,482	62	57	42	28
Briscoe	136	419	5	9	9	10
Brooks	671	1,274	51	45	24	26
Brown	2,965	7,014	246	208	144	138
Burleson	1,459	3,123	85	69	47	45
Burnet	3,405	8,048	148	136	93	111
Caldwell	3,139	4,369	114	77	45	48
Calhoun	1,699	3,111	69	52	27	33
Callahan	1,066	2,543	98	86	62	70
Cameron	29,497	44,690	1,415	1,136	756	759
Camp	1,022	2,359	49	50	41	50
Carson	525	1,054	15	12	10	10
Cass	2,397	5,752	160	111	62	45
Castro	669	1,213	16	13	11	12
Chambers	2,804	3,120	85	54	41	33
Cherokee	3,847	7,448	511	450	367	437
Childress	600	1,183	47	45	40	63
Clay	947	1,976	70	58	47	50
Cochran	299	696	52	57	57	100
Coke	278	1,017	28	22	17	21
Coleman	683	1,980	97	83	60	10
Collin	66,670	46,982	702	534	394	217
Collingsworth	237	685	34	35	34	50
Colorado	1,744	4,142	65	63	59	49
Comal	8,955	14,326	356	229	161	126
Comanche	1,045	2,939	79	77	60	52
Concho	375	642	24	18	17	29
Cooke	3,111	6,402	101	90	57	49
Coryell	6,015	4,845	210	160	119	208
Cottle	141	465	15	12	12	10

APS In-Home Population And Case-Related Statistics

County	Population With A Disability Ages 18-64	Population Ages 65 Plus	Total APS Intakes	Total APS Completed Investigations	Confirmed APS Investigations	APS Clients Receiving Services
Crane	348	609	16	13	11	10
Crockett	367	724	15	12	7	6
Crosby	551	1,203	42	37	33	27
Culberson	256	530	21	18	14	18
Dallam	510	799	27	24	18	19
Dallas	188,837	183,517	5,676	4,832	2,944	1,816
Dawson	1,243	2,148	147	142	122	126
Deaf Smith	1,459	2,335	72	71	61	62
Delta	423	1,018	26	27	19	22
Denton	57,683	36,051	752	596	314	338
De Witt	1,638	3,886	124	96	62	48
Dickens	223	735	18	18	17	17
Dimmit	863	1,387	48	51	31	19
Donley	321	963	14	17	15	18
Duval	1,106	1,935	60	56	32	27
Eastland	1,376	4,128	178	148	117	109
Ector	10,022	14,893	664	578	478	689
Edwards	174	494	11	8	6	8
Ellis	12,067	13,623	262	267	216	201
El Paso	59,499	73,199	3,383	2,681	1,740	1,865
Erath	2,812	4,234	84	68	49	67
Falls	1,433	2,981	86	70	49	82
Fannin	2,725	6,109	126	111	84	66
Fayette	1,914	4,834	70	66	41	67
Fisher	323	940	26	27	23	25
Floyd	594	1,298	37	36	34	57
Foard	117	386	20	19	17	20
Fort Bend	48,572	33,683	578	501	349	321
Franklin	773	2,094	29	25	14	22
Freestone	1,571	3,235	51	39	25	19
Frio	1,373	1,944	79	47	31	26
Gaines	1,168	1,746	48	42	27	40
Galveston	24,310	32,595	1,084	857	652	820
Garza	427	869	13	13	9	11
Gillespie	1,811	6,180	63	53	38	39
Glasscock	123	209	3	3	3	3
Goliad	619	1,395	25	13	9	11
Gonzales	1,580	3,223	59	49	23	27
Gray	1,687	3,876	143	117	95	184
Grayson	9,594	18,281	613	495	354	256
Gregg	9,225	16,027	567	517	382	381
Grimes	2,207	3,783	77	49	42	55
Guadalupe	9,664	12,616	405	260	151	132
Hale	2,787	4,686	172	154	131	170
Hall	273	798	16	14	13	24
Hamilton	626	1,964	21	21	16	11
Hansford	422	894	17	13	10	33
Hardeman	358	966	68	55	48	50
Hardin	4,180	6,980	204	189	125	137
Harris	318,211	291,237	10,327	8,404	6,002	7,061
Harrison	5,307	8,406	294	248	196	220

APS In-Home Population And Case-Related Statistics

County	Population With A Disability Ages 18-64	Population Ages 65 Plus	Total APS Intakes	Total APS Completed Investigations	Confirmed APS Investigations	APS Clients Receiving Services
Hartley	497	721	2	2	2	3
Haskell	452	1,425	61	55	46	53
Hays	13,200	11,507	273	229	141	108
Hemphill	280	590	7	9	7	3
Henderson	6,400	15,822	535	435	358	605
Hidalgo	54,865	65,193	2,486	2,114	1,455	1,819
Hill	2,726	6,390	137	114	78	85
Hockley	1,836	3,136	126	111	99	196
Hood	4,065	9,975	144	139	111	70
Hopkins	2,620	5,681	185	171	127	134
Houston	1,961	4,454	377	357	326	315
Howard	2,833	5,048	279	260	199	162
Hudspeth	297	568	7	7	6	6
Hunt	7,140	10,692	492	447	376	442
Hutchinson	1,791	3,647	109	93	84	174
Irion	160	387	14	11	9	13
Jack	701	1,422	73	71	60	78
Jackson	1,159	2,364	42	36	19	5
Jasper	2,847	6,110	257	242	169	157
Jeff Davis	228	562	6	6	5	6
Jefferson	20,613	31,220	1,462	1,120	828	860
Jim Hogg	449	846	44	32	13	12
Jim Wells	3,324	5,236	212	186	107	76
Johnson	12,728	17,150	356	295	215	240
Jones	1,672	2,872	135	122	91	83
Karnes	1,340	2,034	47	29	10	12
Kaufman	8,221	10,928	319	292	255	291
Kendall	2,812	4,688	54	55	36	37
Kenedy	36	77	0	0	0	0
Kent	63	264	2	3	3	4
Kerr	3,479	12,402	280	233	168	215
Kimble	357	1,065	32	29	25	41
King	32	57	0	0	0	0
Kinney	241	894	18	12	11	11
Kleberg	2,755	3,750	152	127	91	102
Knox	306	913	31	23	19	31
Lamar	3,897	8,418	305	268	203	237
Lamb	1,136	2,512	133	112	106	126
Lampasas	1,839	3,462	112	95	71	185
La Salle	507	872	21	19	12	10
Lavaca	1,477	4,166	72	53	35	27
Lee	1,387	2,424	47	37	26	49
Leon	1,328	3,995	77	59	44	42
Liberty	6,676	9,052	392	308	241	262
Limestone	1,831	3,861	109	76	53	60
Lipscomb	237	618	5	3	0	0
Live Oak	1,087	2,112	38	37	30	37
Llano	1,396	5,829	92	68	51	63
Loving	7	17	2	1	1	1
Lubbock	20,021	27,866	2,126	1,845	1,494	1,825
Lynn	535	1,106	33	28	25	52

APS In-Home Population And Case-Related Statistics

County	Population With A Disability Ages 18-64	Population Ages 65 Plus	Total APS Intakes	Total APS Completed Investigations	Confirmed APS Investigations	APS Clients Receiving Services
Madison	1,103	2,097	38	38	22	24
Marion	928	2,481	86	68	35	30
Martin	371	724	14	16	13	12
Mason	314	1,075	15	12	9	17
Matagorda	3,118	5,074	116	91	65	46
Maverick	4,001	5,231	135	121	76	57
McCulloch	629	1,723	95	87	81	99
McLennan	17,241	27,335	916	795	530	959
McMullen	76	219	2	1	1	1
Medina	3,696	5,581	151	119	72	37
Menard	205	624	15	11	9	28
Midland	9,829	14,341	418	347	242	254
Milam	2,010	4,491	119	83	41	44
Mills	384	1,154	19	13	11	7
Mitchell	852	1,549	38	38	30	26
Montague	1,482	4,498	279	269	224	287
Montgomery	36,173	39,589	999	722	465	375
Moore	1,589	2,301	79	69	59	93
Morris	1,060	2,469	92	74	53	40
Motley	105	383	26	25	24	9
Nacogdoches	4,845	7,794	232	209	180	212
Navarro	3,873	6,841	239	212	173	161
Newton	1,218	2,470	77	90	68	74
Nolan	1,226	2,576	109	115	80	41
Nueces	26,365	34,356	1,718	1,430	1,165	1,530
Ochiltree	753	1,141	18	16	12	35
Oldham	186	348	3	2	1	2
Orange	6,812	12,249	415	334	230	182
Palo Pinto	2,160	4,829	147	122	81	126
Panola	1,854	3,781	116	76	62	77
Parker	9,681	12,984	252	191	93	100
Parmer	793	1,387	32	20	19	21
Pecos	1,419	2,062	71	71	51	62
Polk	3,884	10,268	366	300	223	177
Potter	9,444	13,991	765	626	546	626
Presidio	610	1,183	39	29	23	25
Rains	963	2,322	65	48	38	45
Randall	9,450	13,594	343	279	208	243
Reagan	290	476	13	11	7	8
Real	248	880	20	13	10	15
Red River	1,107	2,873	73	55	37	48
Reeves	1,034	1,848	98	104	102	79
Refugio	658	1,473	29	25	16	15
Roberts	81	184	0	0	0	0
Robertson	1,292	2,865	81	54	34	43
Rockwall	6,459	6,628	121	89	77	75
Runnels	869	2,129	95	71	40	7
Rusk	3,990	7,875	202	175	116	124
Sabine	802	3,042	105	100	90	82
San Augustine	727	2,067	60	57	42	49
San Jacinto	1,984	4,564	196	178	120	79

APS In-Home Population And Case-Related Statistics

County	Population With A Disability Ages 18-64	Population Ages 65 Plus	Total APS Intakes	Total APS Completed Investigations	Confirmed APS Investigations	APS Clients Receiving Services
San Patricio	5,664	7,825	281	229	150	167
San Saba	469	1,268	17	18	11	12
Schleicher	250	568	14	14	14	26
Scurry	1,309	2,507	89	81	69	82
Shackelford	258	631	14	10	6	7
Shelby	2,024	4,337	137	119	100	82
Sherman	255	520	2	4	4	4
Smith	15,212	27,789	986	866	683	868
Somervell	693	1,127	25	27	19	8
Starr	4,625	5,691	241	200	130	183
Stephens	748	1,754	73	59	42	34
Sterling	124	249	13	9	7	6
Stonewall	129	419	8	7	5	9
Sutton	367	690	33	28	24	33
Swisher	640	1,371	33	31	29	42
Tarrant	140,543	140,802	3,731	2,902	1,522	1,207
Taylor	10,150	15,752	1,312	1,124	899	683
Terrell	91	278	7	7	6	7
Terry	1,021	1,937	94	77	75	154
Throckmorton	135	468	8	7	5	6
Titus	2,303	3,896	108	84	55	53
Tom Green	8,103	14,115	1,054	939	831	1,029
Travis	77,917	62,755	3,197	2,260	1,403	1,423
Trinity	1,112	3,692	182	147	134	276
Tyler	1,670	4,307	143	123	96	116
Upshur	3,036	6,019	224	186	133	136
Upton	291	647	20	21	16	14
Uvalde	2,091	3,613	94	78	36	35
Val Verde	3,782	5,866	225	185	151	155
Van Zandt	4,134	9,795	208	173	131	221
Victoria	7,071	10,482	323	228	121	135
Walker	5,592	6,506	183	137	80	41
Waller	3,538	3,301	108	85	56	48
Ward	906	1,757	78	71	52	63
Washington	2,613	5,170	87	71	46	55
Webb	18,000	17,493	663	526	308	409
Wharton	3,501	5,830	108	108	83	63
Wheeler	394	1,029	20	15	13	14
Wichita	9,778	16,287	1,386	1,209	965	1,161
Wilbarger	1,144	2,303	208	172	148	186
Willacy	1,655	2,430	138	110	77	66
Williamson	30,749	31,726	610	472	301	390
Wilson	3,692	4,741	115	77	26	28
Winkler	594	1,112	39	40	32	28
Wise	4,744	6,762	165	136	65	67
Wood	3,163	10,335	226	189	152	186
Yoakum	628	972	20	14	12	17
Young	1,353	3,531	264	246	208	260
Zapata	1,155	2,065	32	28	14	20
Zavala	958	1,466	58	44	25	21
Unknown	0	0	32	19	13	5
Out of State	0	0	0	0	0	11
State Total	1,962,104	2,390,648	83,605	68,683	48,380	53,021

MH and MR Investigations

County	Intakes	Complete Investigation	Confirmed Investigations	County	Intakes	Complete Investigation	Confirmed Investigations
Anderson	6	0	0	Crockett	0	0	0
Andrews	0	0	0	Crosby	0	0	0
Angelina	173	185	34	Culberson	0	0	0
Aransas	0	0	0	Dallam	0	0	0
Archer	0	0	0	Dallas	106	95	8
Armstrong	0	0	0	Dawson	0	0	0
Atascosa	1	1	0	Deaf Smith	2	0	0
Austin	0	1	0	Delta	0	0	0
Bailey	0	0	0	Denton	502	499	48
Bandera	0	0	0	De Witt	1	0	0
Bastrop	6	7	1	Dickens	0	0	0
Baylor	0	0	0	Dimmit	1	0	0
Bee	3	2	1	Donley	0	0	0
Bell	73	54	11	Duval	0	0	0
Bexar	762	658	98	Eastland	0	0	0
Blanco	0	0	0	Ector	8	6	2
Borden	0	0	0	Edwards	0	0	0
Bosque	0	0	0	Ellis	3	2	0
Bowie	9	8	2	El Paso	204	199	33
Brazoria	13	12	0	Erath	5	9	1
Brazos	28	28	5	Falls	3	1	1
Brewster	0	0	0	Fannin	0	0	0
Briscoe	0	0	0	Fayette	0	0	0
Brooks	0	0	0	Fisher	0	0	0
Brown	1	1	0	Floyd	0	0	0
Burleson	0	0	0	Foard	0	0	0
Burnet	1	1	0	Fort Bend	186	181	7
Caldwell	2	1	0	Franklin	14	14	1
Calhoun	0	0	0	Freestone	0	0	0
Callahan	0	0	0	Frio	0	0	0
Cameron	221	215	12	Gaines	0	0	0
Camp	0	0	0	Galveston	5	4	0
Carson	0	0	0	Garza	0	0	0
Cass	0	0	0	Gillespie	10	5	4
Castro	0	0	0	Glasscock	0	0	0
Chambers	0	0	0	Goliad	0	0	0
Cherokee	337	327	14	Gonzales	0	0	0
Childress	0	0	0	Gray	2	2	1
Clay	0	0	0	Grayson	20	17	2
Cochran	0	0	0	Gregg	43	40	5
Coke	0	0	0	Grimes	1	1	0
Coleman	0	0	0	Guadalupe	7	7	1
Collin	29	38	5	Hale	6	7	0
Collingsworth	0	0	0	Hall	0	0	0
Colorado	0	0	0	Hamilton	0	0	0
Comal	1	1	1	Hansford	0	0	0
Comanche	0	0	0	Hardeman	0	0	0
Concho	0	0	0	Hardin	8	4	2
Cooke	0	0	0	Harris	262	279	19
Coryell	0	0	0	Harrison	1	1	0
Cottle	0	0	0	Hartley	0	0	0
Crane	0	0	0	Haskell	0	0	0

MH and MR Investigations

County	Intakes	Complete Investigation	Confirmed Investigations	County	Intakes	Complete Investigation	Confirmed Investigations
Hays	8	7	0	Mason	0	0	0
Hemphill	0	0	0	Matagorda	3	2	0
Henderson	2	1	0	Maverick	0	0	0
Hidalgo	44	37	6	McCulloch	0	0	0
Hill	0	0	0	McLennan	71	64	7
Hockley	0	0	0	McMullen	0	0	0
Hood	0	1	0	Medina	4	2	0
Hopkins	0	0	0	Menard	0	0	0
Houston	1	0	0	Midland	18	15	2
Howard	151	134	13	Milam	0	0	0
Hudspeth	0	0	0	Mills	0	0	0
Hunt	22	18	2	Mitchell	0	0	0
Hutchinson	0	0	0	Montague	0	0	0
Irion	0	0	0	Montgomery	37	29	4
Jack	0	0	0	Moore	0	0	0
Jackson	0	0	0	Morris	0	0	0
Jasper	1	1	0	Motley	0	0	0
Jeff Davis	0	0	0	Nacogdoches	2	1	0
Jefferson	88	75	9	Navarro	23	17	4
Jim Hogg	0	0	0	Newton	0	0	0
Jim Wells	0	0	0	Nolan	2	2	2
Johnson	16	30	7	Nueces	615	588	52
Jones	0	0	0	Ochiltree	0	0	0
Karnes	0	0	0	Oldham	0	0	0
Kaufman	344	348	15	Orange	2	0	0
Kendall	2	0	0	Palo Pinto	0	0	0
Kenedy	0	0	0	Panola	1	0	0
Kent	0	0	0	Parker	2	2	1
Kerr	36	40	6	Parmer	0	0	0
Kimble	0	0	0	Pecos	2	2	0
King	0	0	0	Polk	2	0	0
Kinney	0	0	0	Potter	27	24	9
Kleberg	2	0	0	Presidio	0	0	0
Knox	0	0	0	Rains	0	0	0
Lamar	10	10	0	Randall	47	39	11
Lamb	0	0	0	Reagan	0	0	0
Lampasas	0	0	0	Real	0	0	0
La Salle	0	0	0	Red River	0	0	0
Lavaca	0	0	0	Reeves	1	0	0
Lee	0	0	0	Refugio	0	0	0
Leon	0	0	0	Roberts	0	0	0
Liberty	0	0	0	Robertson	0	0	0
Limestone	774	710	43	Rockwall	7	6	2
Lipscomb	0	0	0	Runnels	1	0	0
Live Oak	1	1	0	Rusk	0	0	0
Llano	1	1	0	Sabine	0	0	0
Loving	0	0	0	San Augustine	0	0	0
Lubbock	330	303	44	San Jacinto	0	0	0
Lynn	0	0	0	San Patricio	3	5	2
Madison	0	0	0	San Saba	0	0	0
Marion	0	0	0	Schleicher	0	0	0
Martin	0	0	0	Scurry	0	0	0

MH and MR Investigations

County	Intakes	Complete Investigation	Confirmed Investigations
Shackelford	0	0	0
Shelby	0	0	0
Sherman	0	0	0
Smith	26	26	0
Somervell	0	0	0
Starr	0	0	0
Stephens	0	0	0
Sterling	0	0	0
Stonewall	0	0	0
Sutton	0	0	0
Swisher	1	0	0
Tarrant	212	194	35
Taylor	476	427	64
Terrell	0	0	0
Terry	0	0	0
Throckmorton	0	0	0
Titus	0	0	0
Tom Green	962	917	53
Travis	843	905	67
Trinity	0	0	0
Tyler	1	1	pshur
0	0	0	
Upton	0	0	0
Uvalde	5	2	1
Val Verde	6	4	1
Van Zandt	0	0	0
Victoria	7	8	1
Walker	1	0	0
Waller	7	7	0
Ward	1	3	0
Washington	237	228	21
Webb	24	25	4
Wharton	6	4	0
Wheeler	0	0	0
Wichita	371	362	31
Wilbarger	303	288	34
Willacy	0	0	0
Williamson	32	29	5
Wilson	1	1	0
Winkler	0	0	0
Wise	1	1	0
Wood	0	0	0
Yoakum	0	0	0
Young	3	2	0
Zapata	0	0	0
Zavala	1	1	0
Unknown	3	7	2
Out Of State	1	0	0
<None>	3	0	0
State Total	9,300	8,870	879

CPS Calls, Reports, Intake or Investigation Workers, and Response Time

County	Initial Intakes Alleging Abuse/ Neglect	Child Abuse/ Neglect Reports Assigned for Investigation	CPS Workers Responsible for Intake or Investigation by Office Location*	Investigation initiation response time in completed investigations			
				Priority I		Priority II	
				Within 24 Hours	Over 24 Hours	Within 72 Hours	Over 72 Hours
Anderson	694	591	5	156	8	290	14
Andrews	213	200	2	53	9	82	19
Angelina	1,097	778	5	159	11	477	27
Aransas	365	345	0	69	18	88	38
Archer	44	40	0	12	0	22	0
Armstrong	10	10	0	2	0	6	0
Atascosa	572	475	3	98	12	203	48
Austin	217	168	2	25	3	95	5
Bailey	71	66	0	16	2	27	7
Bandera	178	149	0	43	4	56	16
Bastrop	922	739	9	119	14	416	24
Baylor	45	40	0	5	3	30	1
Bee	404	378	5	75	8	148	16
Bell	4379	4047	32	1,079	101	1,466	318
Bexar	21500	17784	156	4,150	589	6,860	2,491
Blanco	112	98	0	14	6	39	16
Borden	1	1	0	1	0	1	0
Bosque	188	175	0	45	4	67	21
Bowie	1150	994	9	245	42	414	51
Brazoria	2624	2231	19	290	43	955	219
Brazos	1492	1375	11	313	21	704	40
Brewster	71	64	0	19	1	39	0
Briscoe	12	6	0	1	0	3	0
Brooks	140	128	0	36	7	55	5
Brown	553	500	6	124	9	261	20
Burleson	201	189	2	50	1	92	4
Burnet	483	432	4	70	4	220	8
Caldwell	519	433	2	80	0	272	10
Calhoun	243	183	2	52	4	95	5
Callahan	181	153	0	27	3	88	6
Cameron	4552	3825	36	611	43	2,068	213
Camp	174	136	0	39	1	63	3
Carson	52	43	0	10	0	24	2
Cass	356	295	4	86	3	160	12
Castro	71	64	0	13	2	34	2
Chambers	282	234	0	52	13	88	34
Cherokee	591	476	5	84	18	211	35
Childress	90	81	1	30	2	43	3
Clay	112	98	0	20	0	51	2
Cochran	35	33	0	10	1	16	0
Coke	27	21	0	3	0	11	1
Coleman	127	114	1	29	3	70	6
Collin	4751	3829	40	611	61	1,434	557
Collingsworth	51	49	1	14	1	28	0
Colorado	145	116	1	18	0	62	8
Comal	1109	854	9	129	18	388	52
Comanche	136	122	0	26	2	78	4
Concho	29	27	0	6	1	12	0

CPS Calls, Reports, Intake or Investigation Workers, and Response Time

County	Initial Intakes Alleging Abuse/ Neglect	Child Abuse/ Neglect Reports Assigned for Investigation	CPS Workers Responsible for Intake or Investigation by Office Location*	Investigation initiation response time in completed investigations			
				Priority I		Priority II	
				Within 24 Hours	Over 24 Hours	Within 72 Hours	Over 72 Hours
Cooke	482	444	5	109	16	224	25
Coryell	1000	906	7	256	26	373	73
Cottle	22	17	0	6	1	6	0
Crane	35	33	0	7	1	20	0
Crockett	51	43	0	9	1	22	0
Crosby	97	88	0	19	1	47	0
Culberson	36	28	0	9	0	19	0
Dallam	124	121	2	28	0	60	3
Dallas	21889	18812	151	2,710	521	6,028	2,282
Dawson	175	160	1	21	6	78	14
Deaf Smith	220	181	2	65	1	81	1
Delta	60	50	0	9	2	23	5
Denton	4096	3362	33	563	45	1,868	262
De Witt	248	195	4	44	6	103	7
Dickens	39	37	0	3	1	14	1
Dimmit	142	123	2	40	3	55	5
Donley	50	42	0	8	4	22	0
Duval	158	151	0	48	1	78	11
Eastland	247	208	4	56	3	122	3
Ector	1898	1793	14	483	60	850	124
Edwards	13	12	0	3	0	7	0
Ellis	1240	1054	9	164	29	350	132
El Paso	6967	5225	49	1,041	47	2,864	211
Erath	334	292	4	75	2	146	6
Falls	182	163	1	35	4	98	3
Fannin	380	333	4	66	13	149	33
Fayette	214	170	0	29	3	91	5
Fisher	47	39	0	10	0	25	1
Floyd	98	90	0	15	2	43	1
Foard	16	11	0	1	0	7	0
Fort Bend	2776	2237	13	208	50	819	402
Franklin	103	85	0	22	0	47	3
Freestone	174	156	0	43	3	82	14
Frio	332	292	3	62	8	121	6
Gaines	129	107	0	26	5	51	7
Galveston	3261	2885	26	518	85	1,238	214
Garza	64	59	0	10	0	40	1
Gillespie	181	154	0	23	4	55	13
Glasscock	2	1	0	1	0	0	0
Goliad	72	55	0	6	3	28	3
Gonzales	275	218	2	53	6	109	14
Gray	347	320	3	94	7	150	6
Grayson	1496	1261	10	188	20	673	153
Gregg	1427	1193	12	324	12	597	34
Grimes	271	244	0	60	8	114	8
Guadalupe	1346	990	9	108	10	613	39
Hale	429	383	4	84	9	191	19
Hall	34	31	0	4	1	14	0

CPS Calls, Reports, Intake or Investigation Workers, and Response Time

County	Initial Intakes Alleging Abuse/ Neglect	Child Abuse/ Neglect Reports Assigned for Investigation	CPS Workers Responsible for Intake or Investigation by Office Location*	Investigation initiation response time in completed investigations			
				Priority I		Priority II	
				Within 24 Hours	Over 24 Hours	Within 72 Hours	Over 72 Hours
Hamilton	113	99	2	22	1	55	7
Hansford	49	45	0	14	0	24	2
Hardeman	55	44	0	7	0	34	0
Hardin	636	455	4	96	12	256	29
Harris	32038	26225	254	5,629	908	9,635	2,794
Harrison	699	604	8	196	16	245	57
Hartley	18	18	0	5	1	9	1
Haskell	54	46	3	12	2	31	3
Hays	1279	1129	11	130	14	548	128
Hemphill	31	29	0	7	0	14	0
Henderson	961	787	9	200	19	356	56
Hidalgo	7652	6793	60	1,446	95	3,668	251
Hill	352	307	3	98	6	156	21
Hockley	285	260	3	59	2	133	21
Hood	593	504	6	122	14	242	29
Hopkins	391	313	4	85	6	143	22
Houston	192	135	1	26	2	91	11
Howard	507	451	6	100	17	232	39
Hudspeth	14	13	0	4	0	8	1
Hunt	1081	985	10	174	23	362	123
Hutchinson	321	291	5	83	0	138	5
Irion	2	2	0	1	0	1	1
Jack	86	73	0	21	1	54	3
Jackson	168	129	1	25	1	65	7
Jasper	428	356	0	66	5	204	17
Jeff Davis	13	13	0	2	0	8	0
Jefferson	2582	2201	18	590	41	978	119
Jim Hogg	100	94	0	18	0	50	5
Jim Wells	654	598	8	180	12	258	35
Johnson	1836	1619	18	350	50	801	127
Jones	200	166	0	52	4	99	13
Karnes	187	145	0	26	8	65	6
Kaufman	1063	952	7	129	29	276	110
Kendall	206	166	1	17	6	61	19
Kenedy	5	4	0	1	0	2	0
Kent	5	4	0	1	0	3	0
Kerr	627	529	5	126	10	253	34
Kimble	54	48	0	3	2	35	2
King	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Kinney	14	11	0	5	0	3	0
Kleberg	364	334	6	96	10	145	24
Knox	26	21	0	7	0	15	0
Lamar	617	502	5	98	15	283	23
Lamb	177	158	1	37	2	90	10
Lampasas	263	225	4	35	2	122	16
La Salle	107	91	0	20	1	52	5
Lavaca	193	149	2	35	4	54	13
Lee	214	178	2	20	1	92	8

CPS Calls, Reports, Intake or Investigation Workers, and Response Time

County	Initial Intakes Alleging Abuse/ Neglect	Child Abuse/ Neglect Reports Assigned for Investigation	CPS Workers Responsible for Intake or Investigation by Office Location*	Investigation initiation response time in completed investigations			
				Priority I		Priority II	
				Within 24 Hours	Over 24 Hours	Within 72 Hours	Over 72 Hours
Leon	161	150	1	45	1	85	8
Liberty	933	785	8	185	34	312	97
Limestone	262	232	3	53	3	139	22
Lipscomb	37	30	0	8	1	13	0
Live Oak	119	110	0	21	1	44	2
Llano	214	190	0	43	3	80	3
Loving	2	1	0	0	0	1	0
Lubbock	3337	2982	31	674	37	1,604	63
Lynn	51	45	0	6	0	25	1
Madison	116	106	2	27	1	62	2
Marion	155	139	0	38	7	72	12
Martin	28	23	0	8	0	11	0
Mason	41	35	0	6	0	23	0
Matagorda	342	269	0	44	5	158	14
Maverick	305	259	2	74	5	95	13
McCulloch	112	104	2	21	4	61	1
McLennan	2788	2563	28	701	76	1,279	140
McMullen	4	4	0	0	0	0	2
Medina	524	448	5	88	12	189	43
Menard	29	28	0	2	1	14	0
Midland	1289	1154	7	261	25	599	55
Milam	332	256	2	67	1	160	7
Mills	28	22	0	5	2	17	0
Mitchell	110	94	0	12	0	42	5
Montague	301	254	3	48	2	145	7
Montgomery	4010	3503	18	722	93	1,387	399
Moore	288	270	3	68	2	136	7
Morris	174	162	1	44	4	82	4
Motley	10	10	0	2	0	4	0
Nacogdoches	588	434	5	100	6	236	16
Navarro	630	542	5	99	37	134	61
Newton	158	120	1	23	3	60	9
Nolan	297	264	5	63	8	116	8
Nueces	4922	4665	40	1,229	106	2,018	250
Ochiltree	87	73	0	17	0	50	3
Oldham	18	15	0	4	0	4	2
Orange	1273	1027	7	194	22	563	91
Palo Pinto	457	416	5	89	8	177	14
Panola	234	203	1	54	4	97	10
Parker	1365	1184	9	216	32	552	61
Parmer	59	49	0	21	0	22	0
Pecos	193	162	3	42	4	98	16
Polk	633	525	5	146	10	239	37
Potter	2320	1969	27	609	49	930	56
Presidio	29	25	0	14	0	13	3
Rains	101	72	0	21	0	31	5
Randall	1091	897	4	252	24	439	28
Reagan	27	24	0	5	1	13	0
Real	36	26	0	3	4	10	5

CPS Calls, Reports, Intake or Investigation Workers, and Response Time

County	Initial Intakes Alleging Abuse/Neglect	Child Abuse/Neglect Reports Assigned for Investigation	CPS Workers Responsible for Intake or Investigation by Office Location*	Investigation initiation response time in completed investigations			
				Priority I		Priority II	
				Within 24 Hours	Over 24 Hours	Within 72 Hours	Over 72 Hours
Red River	143	103	1	18	1	67	5
Reeves	139	125	0	36	7	73	14
Refugio	69	62	0	17	5	17	1
Roberts	1	1	0	1	0	1	0
Robertson	209	183	1	49	2	106	2
Rockwall	480	389	1	40	8	77	37
Runnels	149	121	1	22	4	65	7
Rusk	502	418	4	118	9	229	5
Sabine	87	71	2	14	2	54	2
San Augustine	81	56	0	17	0	37	1
San Jacinto	289	225	1	59	4	120	5
San Patricio	1049	989	8	241	26	400	78
San Saba	47	39	0	9	0	27	2
Schleicher	252	239	0	22	9	11	1
Scurry	256	225	1	50	3	100	6
Shackelford	38	32	0	7	0	15	0
Shelby	292	246	4	55	9	136	6
Sherman	20	18	0	4	0	10	0
Smith	2077	1486	15	375	29	811	54
Somervell	62	53	0	14	5	27	5
Starr	443	414	4	133	8	183	25
Stephens	136	115	4	38	2	88	4
Sterling	12	10	0	1	1	4	1
Stonewall	12	11	0	2	0	7	1
Sutton	44	39	0	4	0	19	0
Swisher	76	68	1	11	1	40	1
Tarrant	18663	16127	134	2,830	295	7,063	1,239
Taylor	1969	1766	17	421	52	776	38
Terrell	2	2	0	2	0	3	0
Terry	140	129	2	33	0	67	4
Throckmorton	6	6	0	1	1	2	0
Titus	324	259	5	81	5	142	6
Tom Green	1426	1196	11	252	27	654	27
Travis	11466	8677	79	1,483	132	4,338	371
Trinity	167	138	4	32	2	73	5
Tyler	259	203	1	38	4	113	15
Upshur	450	353	4	101	9	159	22
Upton	34	32	0	8	0	17	2
Uvalde	389	296	4	85	17	94	62
Val Verde	391	321	3	78	10	112	43
Van Zandt	616	508	4	120	17	168	33
Victoria	1142	896	9	173	16	429	61
Walker	446	378	1	74	7	124	28
Waller	298	240	2	46	6	108	10
Ward	158	141	1	39	5	81	10
Washington	257	235	3	54	3	123	5
Webb	2121	1986	17	597	76	530	247
Wharton	336	240	3	46	4	131	13

CPS Calls, Reports, Intake or Investigation Workers, and Response Time

County	Initial Intakes Alleging Abuse/Neglect	Child Abuse/Neglect Reports Assigned for Investigation	CPS Workers Responsible for Intake or Investigation by Office Location*	Investigation initiation response time in completed investigations			
				Priority I		Priority II	
				Within 24 Hours	Over 24 Hours	Within 72 Hours	Over 72 Hours
Wheeler	55	46	0	12	1	25	1
Wichita	1872	1569	14	413	30	874	56
Wilbarger	184	158	1	40	0	116	3
Willacy	326	275	1	46	5	158	17
Williamson	3480	2625	26	508	28	1,366	44
Wilson	337	264	2	42	5	132	11
Winkler	105	91	0	26	1	53	3
Wise	598	526	5	121	12	271	17
Wood	438	338	5	100	2	152	9
Yoakum	48	44	0	10	0	23	0
Young	291	240	2	58	4	165	7
Zapata	198	192	0	62	10	68	15
Zavala	164	131	0	36	8	70	25
Unknown	127	55	0	0	0	0	0
Out of State	820	0	0	14	2	15	1
SWI	0	0	278	0	0	0	0
State Total	249,850	209,685	2,132	43,374	5,037	91,836	16,999

*Intake and investigation workers are provided via a unit designation from Human Resources data base. The count is not comparable to fiscal years prior to FY06.

Percent Priority one calls responded to within 24 hours = 89.6%

Percent Priority two calls responded to within 72 hours = 84.4%

This table addresses Texas Family Code §261.004, Subsection (b) (1), (3) and (9) respectively.

Note: Data reflecting the children removed from the Yearning for Zion Ranch is of November 7th, 2008. For completed data please refer to the Eldorado Investigation report located on the DFPS website.

http://www.dfps.state.tx.us/documents/about/pdf/2008-12-22_Eldorado.pdf

Completed CPS Abuse/Neglect Investigations

County	Alleged Victims of Child Abuse/Neglect	Alleged Victims in Unconfirmed Investigations	Alleged Victims in Ruled Out Investigations*	Alleged Victims Provided Services**	Alleged Victims Not Provided Services**	Children Removed From Home***
Anderson	808	542	460	118	690	39
Andrews	297	145	122	85	212	18
Angelina	1,144	922	725	89	1,055	36
Aransas	520	351	297	149	371	22
Archer	42	30	28	3	39	0
Armstrong	9	8	8	0	9	0
Atascosa	667	503	474	140	527	44
Austin	213	161	141	40	173	12
Bailey	97	48	39	35	62	20
Bandera	195	124	105	67	128	17
Bastrop	937	655	547	108	829	52
Baylor	62	42	34	15	47	4
Bee	534	292	214	204	330	11
Bell	5,067	3,795	3,428	922	4,145	350
Bexar	25,297	17,860	15,065	6,639	18,658	947
Blanco	117	76	55	16	101	2
Borden	2	2	2	0	2	0
Bosque	227	160	143	34	193	9
Bowie	1,457	1,102	841	154	1,303	58
Brazoria	2,798	2,040	1,773	558	2,240	76
Brazos	1,981	1,381	1,089	304	1,677	83
Brewster	99	70	58	10	89	2
Briscoe	10	10	10	0	10	0
Brooks	222	145	135	70	152	23
Brown	690	414	370	205	485	59
Burleson	253	170	146	35	218	11
Burnet	507	327	292	101	406	40
Caldwell	597	410	360	125	472	44
Calhoun	290	201	188	44	246	5
Callahan	213	152	138	62	151	14
Cameron	5,301	3,729	3,024	1,197	4,104	136
Camp	209	117	97	46	163	25
Carson	66	54	51	7	59	6
Cass	434	291	237	78	356	30
Castro	97	79	72	10	87	3
Chambers	308	237	217	37	271	13
Cherokee	666	513	427	102	564	35
Childress	133	111	94	23	110	11
Clay	146	98	89	17	129	1
Cochran	54	36	33	17	37	0
Coke	21	15	12	2	19	0
Coleman	216	117	111	94	122	11
Collin	4,434	3,068	2,146	948	3,486	201
Collingsworth	80	74	55	13	67	0
Colorado	142	111	96	25	117	6
Comal	1,017	762	704	159	858	35
Comanche	206	130	109	71	135	19
Concho	34	20	20	16	18	0
Cooke	658	525	408	70	588	18

Completed CPS Abuse/Neglect Investigations

County	Alleged Victims of Child Abuse/Neglect	Alleged Victims in Unconfirmed Investigations	Alleged Victims in Ruled Out Investigations*	Alleged Victims Provided Services**	Alleged Victims Not Provided Services**	Children Removed From Home***
Coryell	1,250	932	868	199	1,051	74
Cottle	24	20	20	3	21	0
Crane	43	31	29	7	36	2
Crockett	66	43	38	24	42	3
Crosby	119	73	67	32	87	0
Culberson	54	38	30	5	49	0
Dallam	172	107	104	31	141	5
Dallas	21,783	15,696	11,041	3,847	17,936	948
Dawson	215	129	109	58	157	1
Deaf Smith	251	183	159	41	210	20
Delta	79	46	42	15	64	11
Denton	4,351	3,243	2,342	599	3,752	164
De Witt	248	169	138	57	191	5
Dickens	46	23	23	11	35	0
Dimmit	226	119	95	104	122	21
Donley	63	55	44	0	63	1
Duval	255	121	114	132	123	26
Eastland	335	223	209	103	232	16
Ector	2,710	1,809	1,490	622	2,088	87
Edwards	21	14	14	7	14	0
Ellis	1,381	956	717	279	1,102	103
El Paso	7,482	4,841	3,752	1,665	5,817	149
Erath	408	277	230	112	296	14
Falls	226	200	198	3	223	2
Fannin	482	358	298	91	391	46
Fayette	220	126	97	44	176	24
Fisher	84	62	57	12	72	3
Floyd	114	79	76	25	89	8
Foard	18	13	13	5	13	0
Fort Bend	2,661	2,199	1,589	413	2,248	64
Franklin	125	84	73	18	107	5
Freestone	228	184	180	15	213	1
Frio	399	261	223	142	257	24
Gaines	139	77	61	32	107	2
Galveston	3,629	2,840	2,157	691	2,938	120
Garza	96	77	71	9	87	0
Gillespie	190	119	98	69	121	9
Glasscock	3	3	3	0	3	0
Goliad	85	50	47	21	64	0
Gonzales	313	228	162	69	244	10
Gray	428	359	311	59	369	23
Grayson	1,784	1,410	1,083	210	1,574	70
Gregg	1,607	1,156	992	338	1,269	93
Grimes	347	232	190	79	268	20
Guadalupe	1,296	913	802	275	1,021	25
Hale	546	377	302	98	448	34
Hall	30	27	23	3	27	2
Hamilton	149	106	77	15	134	1
Hansford	65	41	37	6	59	1

Completed CPS Abuse/Neglect Investigations

County	Alleged Victims of Child Abuse/Neglect	Alleged Victims in Unconfirmed Investigations	Alleged Victims in Ruled Out Investigations*	Alleged Victims Provided Services**	Alleged Victims Not Provided Services**	Children Removed From Home***
Hardeman	69	62	53	7	62	1
Hardin	619	420	407	68	551	24
Harris	35,388	28,071	21,814	5,506	29,882	1,569
Harrison	887	645	551	118	769	28
Hartley	28	18	16	1	27	0
Haskell	89	71	64	21	68	0
Hays	1,362	998	797	129	1,233	33
Hemphill	37	27	23	1	36	0
Henderson	1,085	606	472	342	743	101
Hidalgo	9,855	6,681	5,593	1,984	7,871	223
Hill	455	339	294	42	413	20
Hockley	397	245	210	91	306	23
Hood	690	450	343	143	547	13
Hopkins	418	266	236	99	319	41
Houston	241	190	173	23	218	10
Howard	697	393	345	239	458	29
Hudspeth	13	10	7	2	11	1
Hunt	1,195	900	742	208	987	105
Hutchinson	359	275	232	75	284	22
Irion	3	3	3	1	2	0
Jack	121	85	75	19	102	0
Jackson	165	134	116	26	139	0
Jasper	473	387	326	47	426	10
Jeff Davis	20	14	12	3	17	0
Jefferson	2,900	2,246	1,903	267	2,633	78
Jim Hogg	126	70	70	51	75	8
Jim Wells	946	501	465	448	498	66
Johnson	2,231	1,510	996	385	1,846	51
Jones	299	245	192	57	242	0
Karnes	201	144	121	49	152	8
Kaufman	1,000	817	671	126	874	51
Kendall	182	140	115	29	153	13
Kenedy	3	3	3	0	3	0
Kent	5	1	1	1	4	0
Kerr	748	491	401	216	532	51
Kimble	64	48	46	12	52	5
King	0	0	0	0	0	0
Kinney	10	10	6	0	10	0
Kleberg	518	319	277	193	325	31
Knox	37	23	18	10	27	0
Lamar	695	519	455	84	611	26
Lamb	284	131	125	81	203	41
Lampasas	290	194	179	49	241	11
La Salle	161	104	81	62	99	15
Lavaca	177	129	116	31	146	3
Lee	203	137	112	37	166	14
Leon	234	153	121	40	194	15
Liberty	1,079	816	764	165	914	80
Limestone	332	255	244	22	310	9

Completed CPS Abuse/Neglect Investigations

County	Alleged Victims of Child Abuse/Neglect	Alleged Victims in Unconfirmed Investigations	Alleged Victims in Ruled Out Investigations*	Alleged Victims Provided Services**	Alleged Victims Not Provided Services**	Children Removed From Home***
Lipscomb	43	40	34	4	39	0
Live Oak	171	107	91	69	102	0
Llano	216	161	134	39	177	10
Loving	1	1	1	0	1	0
Lubbock	4,369	2,676	2,359	1,039	3,330	206
Lynn	68	51	50	7	61	0
Madison	182	106	83	42	140	7
Marion	217	156	126	24	193	9
Martin	33	31	21	1	32	0
Mason	42	26	23	12	30	4
Matagorda	442	332	291	82	360	13
Maverick	297	249	232	26	271	8
McCulloch	142	92	74	44	98	7
McLennan	3,578	2,771	2,418	434	3,144	136
McMullen	3	2	2	1	2	0
Medina	646	401	320	241	405	52
Menard	27	19	19	3	24	1
Midland	1,545	997	835	370	1,175	57
Milam	438	293	234	116	322	30
Mills	49	44	44	5	44	3
Mitchell	110	92	87	19	91	1
Montague	339	250	229	59	280	11
Montgomery	4,439	3,341	2,727	823	3,616	321
Moore	347	252	245	46	301	2
Morris	207	171	145	22	185	7
Motley	10	5	5	5	5	3
Nacogdoches	581	445	378	103	478	36
Navarro	587	466	364	86	501	52
Newton	136	113	107	3	133	3
Nolan	354	213	183	132	222	13
Nueces	7,036	4,756	3,961	1,923	5,113	298
Ochiltree	120	105	100	8	112	0
Oldham	14	11	11	2	12	0
Orange	1,432	1,018	858	116	1,316	56
Palo Pinto	513	401	336	88	425	24
Panola	272	181	155	29	243	7
Parker	1,470	1,121	799	172	1,298	15
Parmer	66	46	33	19	47	7
Pecos	314	199	166	78	236	12
Polk	730	517	459	141	589	45
Potter	2,892	1,793	1,446	690	2,202	77
Presidio	45	36	30	5	40	0
Rains	94	63	57	14	80	7
Randall	1,186	774	650	264	922	129
Reagan	32	22	20	13	19	1
Real	24	17	17	5	19	2
Red River	164	96	86	31	133	9
Reeves	258	165	142	49	209	7
Refugio	68	42	40	20	48	3

Completed CPS Abuse/Neglect Investigations

County	Alleged Victims of Child Abuse/Neglect	Alleged Victims in Unconfirmed Investigations	Alleged Victims in Ruled Out Investigations*	Alleged Victims Provided Services**	Alleged Victims Not Provided Services**	Children Removed From Home***
Roberts	2	1	0	0	2	0
Robertson	264	195	157	46	218	14
Rockwall	453	347	283	63	390	14
Runnels	173	103	87	58	115	11
Rusk	617	447	416	83	534	23
Sabine	131	105	88	16	115	3
San Augustine	84	60	46	13	71	3
San Jacinto	307	242	214	32	275	11
San Patricio	1,479	986	828	438	1,041	23
San Saba	57	42	36	11	46	1
Schleicher	110	53	28	89	21	76
Scurry	262	146	128	108	154	10
Shackelford	40	19	17	20	20	0
Shelby	330	276	227	49	281	23
Sherman	22	15	14	2	20	0
Smith	2,107	1,578	1,401	301	1,806	80
Somervell	92	57	44	21	71	2
Starr	605	419	373	139	466	5
Stephens	212	156	144	47	165	5
Sterling	11	7	7	1	10	0
Stonewall	17	13	13	0	17	0
Sutton	35	27	27	11	24	0
Swisher	114	83	80	15	99	2
Tarrant	20,348	13,924	9,609	3,951	16,397	555
Taylor	2,342	1,622	1,459	636	1,706	56
Terrell	6	5	5	0	6	0
Terry	192	138	129	14	178	6
Throckmorton	6	3	3	3	3	0
Titus	372	204	170	88	284	31
Tom Green	1,601	1,195	1,073	442	1,159	104
Travis	10,647	8,353	7,255	1,325	9,322	288
Trinity	195	154	130	27	168	13
Tyler	285	214	202	23	262	5
Upshur	511	317	236	91	420	29
Upton	44	30	26	9	35	10
Uvalde	495	333	301	114	381	33
Val Verde	437	342	313	58	379	4
Van Zandt	727	567	451	117	610	40
Victoria	1,168	850	647	245	923	35
Walker	432	343	274	94	338	43
Waller	306	198	161	81	225	21
Ward	225	155	129	60	165	4
Washington	298	217	183	41	257	8
Webb	2,941	2,180	1,936	635	2,306	146
Wharton	343	251	189	66	277	7
Wheeler	71	56	53	12	59	3
Wichita	2,292	1,426	1,179	627	1,665	99
Wilbarger	278	211	193	51	227	5
Willacy	421	266	218	144	277	21

Completed CPS Abuse/Neglect Investigations

County	Alleged Victims of Child Abuse/Neglect	Alleged Victims in Unconfirmed Investigations	Alleged Victims in Ruled Out Investigations*	Alleged Victims Provided Services**	Alleged Victims Not Provided Services**	Children Removed From Home***
Williamson	3,123	2,042	1,704	706	2,417	164
Wilson	335	280	225	37	298	0
Winkler	146	96	79	30	116	5
Wise	670	517	371	93	577	16
Wood	474	331	285	89	385	35
Yoakum	55	27	23	8	47	1
Young	434	298	265	125	309	6
Zapata	322	204	179	95	227	13
Zavala	283	185	177	52	231	20
Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	1
Out Of State	48	34	19	2	46	6
Total	280,557	201,461	162,306	54,847	225,710	11,444

* Subcategory of unconfirmed investigations

** Only includes children who received post-investigation services

*** Children removed from home as a result of a completed investigation, a subset of total children entering substitute care. This table addresses Texas Family code S261.004, subsections (b) (2) and (4) (B through F) respectively.

Note: See "CPS Children in Care During Fiscal Year 2008" p. 121-125, for total removals.

Data reflecting the children removed from the Yearning for Zion Ranch is of November 7th, 2008. For completed data please refer to the Eldorado Investigation report located on the DFPS website.

http://www.dfps.state.tx.us/documents/about/pdf/2008-12-22_Eldorado.pdf

Confirmed CPS Victims and Investigations

County	Child Population	Confirmed Victims of Child Abuse/Neglect	Confirmed Victims of Child Abuse/Neglect per 1,000 Children	Total CPS Completed Investigations	Confirmed CPS Investigations	Percent Investigations Confirmed
Anderson	11,479	247	21.5	485	147	30.3%
Andrews	3,801	137	36.0	163	72	44.2%
Angelina	22,649	196	8.7	675	126	18.7%
Aransas	5,045	157	31.1	282	86	30.5%
Archer	2,238	12	5.4	34	9	26.5%
Armstrong	532	1	1.9	8	1	12.5%
Atascosa	13,259	146	11.0	374	86	23.0%
Austin	6,717	47	7.0	135	32	23.7%
Bailey	2,138	49	22.9	51	24	47.1%
Bandera	4,266	68	15.9	123	42	34.1%
Bastrop	21,524	250	11.6	579	156	26.9%
Baylor	797	18	22.6	39	13	33.3%
Bee	7,609	226	29.7	287	117	40.8%
Bell	73,718	1,130	15.3	3,119	695	22.3%
Bexar	419,165	6,612	15.8	14,361	3,701	25.8%
Blanco	2,199	33	15.0	77	24	31.2%
Borden	120	0	0.0	2	0	0.0%
Bosque	4,158	61	14.7	139	42	30.2%
Bowie	21,524	298	13.8	819	178	21.7%
Brazoria	78,160	680	8.7	1,687	412	24.4%
Brazos	36,154	536	14.8	1,159	294	25.4%
Brewster	1,991	23	11.6	59	16	27.1%
Briscoe	426	0	0.0	4	0	0.0%
Brooks	2,524	73	28.9	105	36	34.3%
Brown	9,351	255	27.3	407	148	36.4%
Burleson	4,532	76	16.8	150	45	30.0%
Burnet	9,131	168	18.4	301	91	30.2%
Caldwell	10,169	173	17.0	363	95	26.2%
Calhoun	5,952	78	13.1	156	45	28.8%
Callahan	3,098	54	17.4	123	36	29.3%
Cameron	130,598	1,413	10.8	2,952	753	25.5%
Camp	3,326	82	24.7	108	42	38.9%
Carson	1,506	9	6.0	36	7	19.4%
Cass	6,731	130	19.3	261	76	29.1%
Castro	2,628	18	6.8	51	11	21.6%
Chambers	8,527	64	7.5	190	44	23.2%
Cherokee	12,733	140	11.0	385	82	21.3%
Childress	1,745	19	10.9	78	14	17.9%
Clay	2,411	45	18.7	74	24	32.4%
Cochran	1,062	17	16.0	27	6	22.2%
Coke	793	6	7.6	15	3	20.0%
Coleman	2,127	89	41.8	108	46	42.6%
Collin	199,653	1,246	6.2	2,721	721	26.5%
Collingsworth	694	6	8.6	43	4	9.3%
Colorado	5,200	28	5.4	88	19	21.6%
Comal	22,797	220	9.7	588	148	25.2%
Comanche	3,298	64	19.4	109	34	31.2%
Concho	535	12	22.4	19	8	42.1%
Cooke	9,819	122	12.4	376	77	20.5%

Confirmed CPS Victims and Investigations

County	Child Population	Confirmed Victims of Child Abuse/Neglect	Confirmed Victims of Child Abuse/Neglect per 1,000 Children	Total CPS Completed Investigations	Confirmed CPS Investigations	Percent Investigations Confirmed
Coryell	17,901	289	16.1	759	172	22.7%
Cottle	393	3	7.6	13	3	23.1%
Crane	1,135	12	10.6	28	7	25.0%
Crockett	1,046	15	14.3	32	9	28.1%
Crosby	2,094	39	18.6	67	22	32.8%
Culberson	850	11	12.9	28	9	32.1%
Dallam	1,915	57	29.8	92	28	30.4%
Dallas	655,177	5,403	8.2	12,923	3,261	25.2%
Dawson	3,832	81	21.1	119	40	33.6%
Deaf Smith	6,483	63	9.7	148	34	23.0%
Delta	1,152	28	24.3	39	12	30.8%
Denton	167,632	1,000	6.0	2,755	622	22.6%
De Witt	4,624	73	15.8	161	44	27.3%
Dickens	445	23	51.7	19	7	36.8%
Dimmit	3,183	97	30.5	103	47	45.6%
Donley	748	5	6.7	34	4	11.8%
Duval	3,807	130	34.1	139	61	43.9%
Eastland	4,220	103	24.4	182	57	31.3%
Ector	37,614	794	21.1	1,515	425	28.1%
Edwards	491	7	14.3	10	3	30.0%
Ellis	39,973	381	9.5	782	219	28.0%
El Paso	223,604	2,304	10.3	4,180	1,292	30.9%
Erath	8,646	120	13.9	231	74	32.0%
Falls	4,547	24	5.3	140	15	10.7%
Fannin	8,124	116	14.3	269	67	24.9%
Fayette	5,214	83	15.9	129	43	33.3%
Fisher	860	20	23.3	36	9	25.0%
Floyd	2,334	33	14.1	61	17	27.9%
Foard	342	3	8.8	8	2	25.0%
Fort Bend	133,730	404	3.0	1,679	283	16.9%
Franklin	2,205	38	17.2	72	20	27.8%
Freestone	4,617	42	9.1	143	28	19.6%
Frio	4,796	130	27.1	200	65	32.5%
Gaines	4,855	54	11.1	89	37	41.6%
Galveston	70,665	702	9.9	2,252	441	19.6%
Garza	1,248	16	12.8	51	10	19.6%
Gillespie	4,534	62	13.7	104	34	32.7%
Glasscock	391	0	0.0	1	0	0.0%
Goliad	1,676	32	19.1	40	13	32.5%
Gonzales	5,260	71	13.5	183	40	21.9%
Gray	5,154	67	13.0	257	45	17.5%
Grayson	28,324	340	12.0	1,064	201	18.9%
Gregg	30,438	407	13.4	982	249	25.4%
Grimes	6,000	100	16.7	190	50	26.3%
Guadalupe	29,476	348	11.8	773	201	26.0%
Hale	11,104	153	13.8	303	80	26.4%
Hall	1,003	3	3.0	19	2	10.5%
Hamilton	1,795	38	21.2	85	24	28.2%
Hansford	1,576	20	12.7	40	14	35.0%

Confirmed CPS Victims and Investigations

County	Child Population	Confirmed Victims of Child Abuse/Neglect	Confirmed Victims of Child Abuse/Neglect per 1,000 Children	Total CPS Completed Investigations	Confirmed CPS Investigations	Percent Investigations Confirmedw
Hardeman	1,050	7	6.7	41	5	12.2%
Hardin	12,997	180	13.8	393	116	29.5%
Harris	1,061,217	6,365	6.0	21,547	4,162	19.3%
Harrison	15,421	219	14.2	512	124	24.2%
Hartley	1,204	9	7.5	17	4	23.5%
Haskell	1,323	16	12.1	48	9	18.8%
Hays	32,592	330	10.1	836	201	24.0%
Hemphill	798	10	12.5	21	6	28.6%
Henderson	18,690	432	23.1	657	262	39.9%
Hidalgo	247,715	2,819	11.4	5,474	1,503	27.5%
Hill	8,980	105	11.7	281	70	24.9%
Hockley	6,596	144	21.8	215	76	35.3%
Hood	10,166	217	21.3	416	130	31.3%
Hopkins	8,600	135	15.7	257	85	33.1%
Houston	4,926	47	9.5	130	23	17.7%
Howard	7,849	282	35.9	387	144	37.2%
Hudspeth	1,024	3	2.9	13	3	23.1%
Hunt	21,213	249	11.7	697	152	21.8%
Hutchinson	5,933	75	12.6	226	47	20.8%
Irion	306	0	0.0	3	0	0.0%
Jack	1,952	32	16.4	79	24	30.4%
Jackson	3,733	29	7.8	98	19	19.4%
Jasper	9,059	68	7.5	291	47	16.2%
Jeff Davis	386	5	13.0	10	3	30.0%
Jefferson	63,027	588	9.3	1,810	403	22.3%
Jim Hogg	1,508	54	35.8	73	28	38.4%
Jim Wells	12,278	422	34.4	499	198	39.7%
Johnson	42,587	643	15.1	1,335	392	29.4%
Jones	4,510	46	10.2	168	31	18.5%
Karnes	3,269	53	16.2	106	28	26.4%
Kaufman	25,512	166	6.5	628	101	16.1%
Kendall	7,097	36	5.1	116	27	23.3%
Kenedy	121	0	0.0	3	0	0.0%
Kent	118	3	25.4	4	3	75.0%
Kerr	9,536	246	25.8	438	136	31.1%
Kimble	986	15	15.2	42	8	19.0%
King	77	0	0.0	0	0	0.0%
Kinney	783	0	0.0	8	0	0.0%
Kleberg	8,787	186	21.2	276	95	34.4%
Knox	995	13	13.1	22	8	36.4%
Lamar	12,632	164	13.0	426	96	22.5%
Lamb	4,271	138	32.3	139	61	43.9%
Lampasas	5,419	78	14.4	175	49	28.0%
La Salle	1,821	50	27.5	79	24	30.4%
Lavaca	4,306	46	10.7	107	25	23.4%
Lee	4,324	60	13.9	121	37	30.6%
Leon	3,535	78	22.1	142	43	30.3%
Liberty	21,153	235	11.1	642	136	21.2%
Limestone	5,575	73	13.1	217	38	17.5%

Confirmed CPS Victims and Investigations

County	Child Population	Confirmed Victims of Child Abuse/Neglect	Confirmed Victims of Child Abuse/Neglect per 1,000 Children	Total CPS Completed Investigations	Confirmed CPS Investigations	Percent Investigations Confirmed
Lipscomb	706	3	4.2	22	3	13.6%
Live Oak	2,412	59	24.5	90	31	34.4%
Llano	3,171	48	15.1	129	29	22.5%
Loving	4	0	0.0	1	0	0.0%
Lubbock	63,750	1,540	24.2	2,380	806	33.9%
Lynn	1,753	13	7.4	32	8	25.0%
Madison	2,899	69	23.8	95	30	31.6%
Marion	2,234	57	25.5	128	34	26.6%
Martin	1,565	2	1.3	19	2	10.5%
Mason	751	16	21.3	29	9	31.0%
Matagorda	10,652	91	8.5	249	52	20.9%
Maverick	18,565	43	2.3	187	26	13.9%
McCulloch	1,976	46	23.3	87	31	35.6%
McLennan	57,018	701	12.3	2,194	456	20.8%
McMullen	126	1	7.9	2	1	50.0%
Medina	11,710	209	17.8	342	115	33.6%
Menard	496	8	16.1	17	5	29.4%
Midland	34,135	515	15.1	940	279	29.7%
Milam	6,783	132	19.5	238	76	31.9%
Mills	1,110	5	4.5	24	3	12.5%
Mitchell	1,767	14	7.9	59	10	16.9%
Montague	4,426	76	17.2	201	47	23.4%
Montgomery	111,819	969	8.7	2,695	624	23.2%
Moore	6,516	86	13.2	214	55	25.7%
Morris	3,047	31	10.2	134	22	16.4%
Motley	264	5	18.9	6	3	50.0%
Nacogdoches	14,926	116	7.8	360	79	21.9%
Navarro	13,383	110	8.2	349	72	20.6%
Newton	3,460	22	6.4	94	17	18.1%
Nolan	4,037	123	30.5	195	64	32.8%
Nueces	87,339	2,036	23.3	4,018	1,166	29.0%
Ochiltree	2,886	15	5.2	70	10	14.3%
Oldham	510	3	5.9	10	3	30.0%
Orange	21,050	375	17.8	892	249	27.9%
Palo Pinto	6,985	100	14.3	288	59	20.5%
Panola	5,397	75	13.9	165	47	28.5%
Parker	27,239	298	10.9	877	193	22.0%
Parmer	3,051	17	5.6	43	12	27.9%
Pecos	4,385	109	24.9	162	60	37.0%
Polk	10,127	201	19.8	432	125	28.9%
Potter	34,765	997	28.7	1,645	574	34.9%
Presidio	2,633	9	3.4	30	8	26.7%
Rains	2,222	24	10.8	58	17	29.3%
Randall	25,513	382	15.0	743	237	31.9%
Reagan	1,002	9	9.0	19	6	31.6%
Real	590	7	11.9	23	6	26.1%
Red River	3,352	60	17.9	91	35	38.5%
Reeves	3,373	81	24.0	130	47	36.2%
Refugio	2,029	22	10.8	45	12	26.7%

Confirmed CPS Victims and Investigations

County	Child Population	Confirmed Victims of Child Abuse/Neglect	Confirmed Victims of Child Abuse/Neglect per 1,000 Children	Total CPS Completed Investigations	Confirmed CPS Investigations	Percent Investigations Confirmed
Roberts	173	1	5.8	2	1	50.0%
Robertson	4,462	63	14.1	159	38	23.9%
Rockwall	19,294	86	4.5	276	57	20.7%
Runnels	2,846	62	21.8	98	32	32.7%
Rusk	11,687	158	13.5	365	98	26.8%
Sabine	2,046	20	9.8	72	14	19.4%
San Augustine	2,086	20	9.6	55	14	25.5%
San Jacinto	5,519	60	10.9	187	40	21.4%
San Patricio	21,580	448	20.8	822	248	30.2%
San Saba	1,369	12	8.8	38	8	21.1%
Schleicher	734	50	68.1	43	22	51.2%
Scurry	3,998	104	26.0	158	63	39.9%
Shackelford	805	18	22.4	22	8	36.4%
Shelby	6,881	49	7.1	206	41	19.9%
Sherman	832	7	8.4	14	3	21.4%
Smith	50,549	475	9.4	1,288	293	22.7%
Somervell	2,075	28	13.5	51	16	31.4%
Starr	23,602	175	7.4	350	94	26.9%
Stephens	2,392	50	20.9	132	31	23.5%
Sterling	303	4	13.2	7	2	28.6%
Stonewall	332	1	3.0	10	1	10.0%
Sutton	1,134	7	6.2	23	4	17.4%
Swisher	2,340	27	11.5	53	14	26.4%
Tarrant	467,139	5,804	12.4	11,896	3,377	28.4%
Taylor	33,773	633	18.7	1,289	339	26.3%
Terrell	184	1	5.4	5	1	20.0%
Terry	3,564	46	12.9	105	26	24.8%
Throckmorton	389	3	7.7	4	1	25.0%
Titus	9,296	163	17.5	234	97	41.5%
Tom Green	26,050	373	14.3	962	217	22.6%
Travis	216,249	1,960	9.1	6,399	1,247	19.5%
Trinity	3,079	41	13.3	115	22	19.1%
Tyler	4,722	65	13.8	170	39	22.9%
Upshur	9,090	167	18.4	293	92	31.4%
Upton	869	13	15.0	27	9	33.3%
Uvalde	8,646	144	16.7	263	79	30.0%
Val Verde	15,135	85	5.6	245	47	19.2%
Van Zandt	12,528	138	11.0	423	90	21.3%
Victoria	24,128	278	11.5	690	167	24.2%
Walker	11,406	81	7.1	270	54	20.0%
Waller	10,179	93	9.1	174	56	32.2%
Ward	2,913	69	23.7	135	37	27.4%
Washington	7,330	76	10.4	186	46	24.7%
Webb	87,772	684	7.8	1,683	384	22.8%
Wharton	11,416	84	7.4	200	41	20.5%
Wheeler	1,111	15	13.5	39	8	20.5%
Wichita	31,439	784	24.9	1,374	486	35.4%
Wilbarger	3,626	61	16.8	159	34	21.4%
Willacy	6,603	144	21.8	227	74	32.6%

Confirmed CPS Victims and Investigations

County	Child Population	Confirmed Victims of Child Abuse/Neglect	Confirmed Victims of Child Abuse/Neglect per 1,000 Children	Total CPS Completed Investigations	Confirmed CPS Investigations	Percent Investigations Confirmed
Williamson	99,774	966	9.7	1,952	593	30.4%
Wilson	11,013	49	4.4	189	28	14.8%
Winkler	1,979	42	21.2	83	27	32.5%
Wise	15,530	133	8.6	423	98	23.2%
Wood	7,941	132	16.6	266	77	28.9%
Yoakum	2,286	25	10.9	33	17	51.5%
Young	4,230	122	28.8	234	67	28.6%
Zapata	4,727	115	24.3	158	51	32.3%
Zavala	3,875	85	21.9	140	46	32.9%
Unknown	0	0	0.0	0	0	0.0%
Out of State	0	11	0.0	32	8	25.0%
State Total	6,442,738	70,589	11.0	165,010	41,591	25.2%

This table addresses Texas Family Code §261.004, subsection (b) (4) (A)

Note: Data reflecting the children removed from the Yearning for Zion Ranch is of November 7th, 2008. For completed data please refer to the Eldorado Investigation report located on the DFPS website.

http://www.dfps.state.tx.us/documents/about/pdf/2008-12-22_Eldorado.pdf

Five Year Outcome for Children Returned Home from Substitute Care or Served in Family Based Safety Services in FY 2003

County	Total Children Served In Fiscal Year 2003	Subsequently Confirmed Victims In Fiscal Years 2003- 2008	County	Total Children Served In Fiscal Year 2003	Subsequently Confirmed Victims In Fiscal Years 2003 - 2008
Anderson	84	16	Coryell	82	12
Andrews	47	18	Cottle	4	0
Angelina	89	11	Crane	8	2
Aransas	97	28	Crockett	13	3
Archer	5	0	Crosby	5	2
Armstrong	1	0	Culberson	9	2
Atascosa	66	14	Dallam	33	9
Austin	8	2	Dallas	2,727	426
Bailey	27	5	Dawson	20	1
Bandera	27	1	Deaf Smith	25	6
Bastrop	51	7	Delta	10	3
Baylor	11	1	Denton	223	58
Bee	77	14	De Witt	29	12
Bell	749	131	Dickens	3	0
Bexar	4,364	908	Dimmit	30	5
Blanco	2	0	Donley	7	1
Borden	0	0	Duval	72	19
Bosque	17	3	Eastland	48	21
Bowie	175	31	Ector	729	174
Brazoria	442	88	Edwards	0	0
Brazos	175	34	Ellis	83	11
Brewster	6	0	El Paso	1,195	128
Briscoe	3	0	Erath	9	2
Brooks	94	23	Falls	15	6
Brown	116	35	Fannin	34	5
Burleson	17	4	Fayette	28	5
Burnet	72	20	Fisher	7	2
Caldwell	67	20	Floyd	12	2
Calhoun	39	18	Foard	0	0
Callahan	28	4	Fort Bend	251	27
Cameron	1,272	271	Franklin	11	3
Camp	28	5	Freestone	38	7
Carson	6	0	Frio	62	12
Cass	59	10	Gaines	20	7
Castro	12	5	Galveston	544	85
Chambers	25	9	Garza	13	6
Cherokee	73	9	Gillespie	38	7
Childress	1	0	Glasscock	0	0
Clay	9	3	Goliad	7	6
Cochran	2	0	Gonzales	36	10
Coke	7	0	Gray	50	10
Coleman	22	3	Grayson	76	13
Collin	309	62	Gregg	260	64
Collingsworth	9	0	Grimes	8	0
Colorado	13	5	Guadalupe	130	36
Comal	145	21	Hale	32	4
Comanche	25	1	Hall	4	0
Concho	3	1	Hamilton	5	3
Cooke	38	1	Hansford	2	0

Five Year Outcome for Children Returned Home from Substitute Care or Served in Family Based Safety Services in FY 2003

County	Total Children Served In Fiscal Year 2003	Subsequently Confirmed Victims In Fiscal Years 2003 - 2008	County	Total Children Served In Fiscal Year 2003	Subsequently Confirmed Victims In Fiscal Years 2003 - 2008
Hardeman	3	1	Lipscomb	6	1
Hardin	32	3	Live Oak	28	1
Harris	4,321	485	Llano	31	7
Harrison	92	20	Loving	0	0
Hartley	7	2	Lubbock	344	79
Haskell	14	5	Lynn	7	0
Hays	166	35	Madison	10	5
Hemphill	4	0	Marion	9	4
Henderson	163	40	Martin	6	0
Hidalgo	1,560	299	Mason	2	0
Hill	31	9	Matagorda	70	11
Hockley	29	2	Maverick	52	3
Hood	66	11	McCulloch	25	8
Hopkins	69	11	McLennan	246	30
Houston	17	0	McMullen	0	0
Howard	126	28	Medina	86	13
Hudspeth	10	0	Menard	12	3
Hunt	78	13	Midland	309	62
Hutchinson	17	2	Milam	43	10
Irion	0	0	Mills	22	2
Jack	11	3	Mitchell	17	0
Jackson	42	2	Montague	54	21
Jasper	49	12	Montgomery	286	63
Jeff Davis	0	0	Moore	13	6
Jefferson	333	60	Morris	15	5
Jim Hogg	24	9	Motley	3	0
Jim Wells	245	70	Nacogdoches	104	19
Johnson	214	40	Navarro	95	19
Jones	33	10	Newton	13	2
Karnes	25	2	Nolan	93	28
Kaufman	63	13	Nueces	1,568	327
Kendall	13	5	Ochiltree	3	0
Kenedy	2	1	Oldham	2	1
Kent	0	0	Orange	222	25
Kerr	89	15	Palo Pinto	57	17
Kimble	7	2	Panola	29	3
King	0	0	Parker	142	34
Kinney	0	0	Parmer	6	0
Kleberg	197	47	Pecos	47	12
Knox	11	6	Polk	88	13
Lamar	120	36	Potter	331	97
Lamb	29	5	Presidio	26	5
Lampasas	42	11	Rains	23	2
La Salle	13	4	Randall	114	23
Lavaca	26	7	Reagan	11	1
Lee	8	4	Real	0	0
Leon	4	3	Red River	19	9
Liberty	114	23	Reeves	45	14
Limestone	33	12	Refugio	15	8

Five Year Outcome for Children Returned Home from Substitute Care or Served in Family Based Safety Services in FY 2003

County	Total Children Served In Fiscal Year 2003	Subsequently Confirmed Victims In Fiscal Years 2003 - 2008	County	Total Children Served In Fiscal Year 2003	Subsequently Confirmed Victims In Fiscal Years 2003 - 2008
Roberts	3	2	Travis	703	110
Robertson	29	8	Trinity	27	8
Rockwall	30	8	Tyler	29	6
Runnels	19	5	Upshur	75	23
Rusk	102	21	Upton	12	4
Sabine	6	0	Uvalde	69	8
San Augustine	9	2	Val Verde	51	10
San Jacinto	56	9	Van Zandt	133	32
San Patricio	330	54	Victoria	156	29
San Saba	11	0	Walker	55	10
Schleicher	14	0	Waller	26	1
Scurry	63	6	Ward	25	4
Shackelford	5	1	Washington	11	1
Shelby	24	6	Webb	714	139
Sherman	0	0	Wharton	47	6
Smith	187	39	Wheeler	4	0
Somervell	7	0	Wichita	333	120
Starr	184	22	Wilbarger	14	6
Stephens	17	5	Willacy	80	34
Sterling	0	0	Williamson	285	38
Stonewall	2	0	Wilson	31	1
Sutton	3	2	Winkler	42	9
Swisher	22	7	Wise	37	7
Tarrant	2,127	503	Wood	85	16
Taylor	392	85	Yoakum	7	3
Terrell	0	0	Young	42	11
Terry	30	4	Zapata	29	5
Throckmorton	11	1	Zavala	26	4
Titus	48	6	Unknown	4	0
Tom Green	206	39	State Total	36,451	6,900

This table addresses Texas Family Code §261.004, Subsection (b) (11)

Note: Data reflecting the children removed from the Yearning for Zion Ranch is of November 7th, 2008. For completed data please refer to the Eldorado Investigation report located on the DFPS website.

http://www.dfps.state.tx.us/documents/about/pdf/2008-12-22_Eldorado.pdf

Child Abuse/Neglect Related Fatalities Fiscal Year 2008

County	Child Abuse/Neglect Related Fatalities	Child/Abuse Related Fatalities in Foster Care at Time*	County	Child Abuse/Neglect Related Fatalities	Child/Abuse Related Fatalities in Foster Care at Time*
Anderson	1	0	Johnson	3	1
Angelina	1	0	Kleberg	1	0
Archer	1	0	Knox	1	0
Austin	1	0	Lamar	2	0
Bastrop	1	0	Lampasas	0	0
Baylor	1	0	Lubbock	4	0
Bell	7	0	Medina	1	0
Bexar	12	2	Midland	3	0
Blanco	1	0	Montgomery	6	0
Bowie	1	0	Navarro	1	0
Brazoria	4	0	Nolan	1	0
Brazos	1	0	Nueces	5	0
Brooks	1	0	Polk	3	0
Cameron	3	0	Potter	2	1
Collin	2	0	Randall	1	0
Coryell	2	0	Red River	1	0
Dallas	24	0	Rockwall	2	1
Deaf Smith	1	0	San Patricio	2	0
Denton	2	0	Shelby	1	0
Ector	2	0	Smith	3	0
El Paso	6	0	Tarrant	16	1
Fannin	2	0	Taylor	3	0
Fort Bend	2	0	Tom Green	1	0
Frio	1	0	Travis	2	0
Galveston	3	0	Upshur	1	0
Gregg	1	0	Van Zandt	1	0
Harris	35	1	Victoria	1	0
Hays	2	0	Washington	1	0
Henderson	2	0	Williamson	5	0
Hidalgo	8	0	Wise	1	0
Howard	1	0	Wood	1	0
Jasper	1	0	Zavala	1	0
Jefferson	1	0	Total	213	7
Jim Wells	2	0			

Note: Child fatalities in foster care may be the result of injuries inflicted prior to the child's entry into foster care and are not necessarily a reflection on the current caretaker.

* This is a subset of Child Abuse/Neglect Related Fatalities

This table addresses Texas Family Code §261.004, Subsections (b) (6) and (7).

CPS Children in Care During Fiscal Year 2008

County	Child Population	Children in DFPS Legal Responsibility	Point of Prevalence**	Children Entering Substitute Care (Total Removals)	Children in Substitute Care***	Children in Foster Care*
Anderson	11,479	113	9.8	47	112	90
Andrews	3,801	32	8.4	14	32	18
Angelina	22,649	158	7.0	41	144	104
Aransas	5,045	49	9.7	24	50	44
Archer	2,238	1	0.4	0	1	0
Armstrong	532	0	0.0	0	0	0
Atascosa	13,259	197	14.9	45	181	100
Austin	6,717	26	3.9	15	26	15
Bailey	2,138	34	15.9	25	34	25
Bandera	4,266	57	13.4	24	60	48
Bastrop	21,524	192	8.9	58	190	121
Baylor	797	9	11.3	4	9	8
Bee	7,609	61	8.0	24	61	50
Bell	73,718	915	12.4	402	866	587
Bexar	419,165	5,074	12.1	1,434	5,008	3,585
Blanco	2,199	17	7.7	4	17	9
Borden	120	0	0.0	0	0	0
Bosque	4,158	31	7.5	9	26	16
Bowie	21,524	187	8.7	62	189	152
Brazoria	78,160	523	6.7	141	500	316
Brazos	36,154	271	7.5	74	265	170
Brewster	1,991	16	8.0	3	16	15
Briscoe	426	6	14.1	0	6	4
Brooks	2,524	61	24.2	16	59	49
Brown	9,351	184	19.7	65	183	135
Burleson	4,532	45	9.9	11	44	31
Burnet	9,131	123	13.5	42	121	82
Caldwell	10,169	182	17.9	56	172	105
Calhoun	5,952	20	3.4	2	21	14
Callahan	3,098	27	8.7	15	26	16
Cameron	130,598	594	4.5	172	568	510
Camp	3,326	49	14.7	29	45	37
Carson	1,506	17	11.3	6	16	11
Cass	6,731	68	10.1	36	69	45
Castro	2,628	23	8.8	3	23	21
Chambers	8,527	49	5.7	13	45	28
Cherokee	12,733	68	5.3	28	64	60
Childress	1,745	32	18.3	13	30	24
Clay	2,411	17	7.1	1	17	17
Cochran	1,062	11	10.4	0	10	9
Coke	793	2	2.5	0	2	0
Coleman	2,127	40	18.8	10	41	32
Collin	199,653	663	3.3	203	631	452
Collingsworth	694	6	8.6	0	7	7
Colorado	5,200	34	6.5	4	33	25
Comal	22,797	131	5.7	47	127	74
Comanche	3,298	32	9.7	19	29	20
Concho	535	10	18.7	0	10	7
Cooke	9,819	90	9.2	21	85	58
Coryell	17,901	192	10.7	88	169	112
Cottle	393	2	5.1	0	2	2

CPS Children in Care During Fiscal Year 2008

County	Child Population	Children in DFPS Legal Responsibility	Point of Prevalence**	Children Entering Substitute Care (Total Removals)	Children in Substitute Care***	Children in Foster Care*
Crane	1,135	5	4.4	2	4	0
Crockett	1,046	21	20.1	3	17	10
Crosby	2,094	15	7.2	1	17	16
Culberson	850	13	15.3	0	11	11
Dallam	1,915	43	22.5	5	36	26
Dallas	655,177	3,276	5.0	999	3,253	2,242
Dawson	3,832	24	6.3	1	24	9
Deaf Smith	6,483	56	8.6	14	59	48
Delta	1,152	18	15.6	11	16	15
Denton	167,632	588	3.5	173	554	392
De Witt	4,624	46	9.9	15	41	35
Dickens	445	5	11.2	0	5	5
Dimmit	3,183	76	23.9	35	73	49
Donley	748	7	9.4	1	7	10
Duval	3,807	52	13.7	33	51	42
Eastland	4,220	44	10.4	16	39	26
Ector	37,614	305	8.1	79	298	247
Edwards	491	0	0.0	0	0	0
Ellis	39,973	188	4.7	104	182	133
El Paso	223,604	738	3.3	212	711	569
Erath	8,646	69	8.0	16	65	42
Falls	4,547	18	4.0	2	16	11
Fannin	8,124	80	9.8	48	75	68
Fayette	5,214	60	11.5	23	59	35
Fisher	860	13	15.1	0	13	8
Floyd	2,334	26	11.1	10	26	22
Foard	342	5	14.6	0	5	5
Fort Bend	133,730	271	2.0	83	265	148
Franklin	2,205	17	7.7	6	16	11
Freestone	4,617	40	8.7	1	33	24
Frio	4,796	98	20.4	24	97	47
Gaines	4,855	24	4.9	0	21	12
Galveston	70,665	433	6.1	177	431	243
Garza	1,248	6	4.8	0	7	6
Gillespie	4,534	33	7.3	11	32	22
Glasscock	391	1	2.6	0	1	0
Goliad	1,676	5	3.0	0	5	4
Gonzales	5,260	61	11.6	6	54	40
Gray	5,154	98	19.0	24	97	83
Grayson	28,324	279	9.9	79	262	207
Gregg	30,438	285	9.4	122	281	230
Grimes	6,000	65	10.8	24	62	39
Guadalupe	29,476	149	5.1	36	143	91
Hale	11,104	187	16.8	56	183	143
Hall	1,003	11	11.0	2	11	11
Hamilton	1,795	10	5.6	3	10	8
Hansford	1,576	0	0.0	1	1	1
Hardeman	1,050	8	7.6	1	8	6
Hardin	12,997	56	4.3	23	57	49
Harris	1,061,217	7,218	6.8	1,980	7,107	4,368
Harrison	15,421	106	6.9	31	103	86
Hartley	1,204	5	4.2	0	5	1

CPS Children in Care During Fiscal Year 2008

County	Child Population	Children in DFPS Legal Responsibility	Point of Prevalence**	Children Entering Substitute Care (Total Removals)	Children in Substitute Care***	Children in Foster Care*
Haskell	1,323	6	4.5	0	6	6
Hays	32,592	222	6.8	57	201	124
Hemphill	798	4	5.0	0	4	2
Henderson	18,690	298	15.9	114	292	229
Hidalgo	247,715	759	3.1	384	743	634
Hill	8,980	60	6.7	19	58	53
Hockley	6,596	121	18.3	33	109	85
Hood	10,166	53	5.2	17	52	45
Hopkins	8,600	72	8.4	39	70	53
Houston	4,926	33	6.7	16	33	27
Howard	7,849	100	12.7	39	99	73
Hudspeth	1,024	5	4.9	1	5	4
Hunt	21,213	263	12.4	108	258	168
Hutchinson	5,933	76	12.8	28	75	48
Irion	306	3	9.8	0	3	3
Jack	1,952	8	4.1	0	8	6
Jackson	3,733	18	4.8	4	18	16
Jasper	9,059	55	6.1	20	51	35
Jeff Davis	386	1	2.6	0	1	0
Jefferson	63,027	301	4.8	80	304	223
Jim Hogg	1,508	18	11.9	12	18	10
Jim Wells	12,278	166	13.5	97	158	124
Johnson	42,587	291	6.8	61	292	232
Jones	4,510	30	6.7	1	17	16
Karnes	3,269	33	10.1	5	30	28
Kaufman	25,512	179	7.0	51	168	103
Kendall	7,097	25	3.5	13	25	15
Kenedy	121	0	0.0	0	0	0
Kent	118	2	16.9	0	2	1
Kerr	9,536	194	20.3	68	181	136
Kimble	986	11	11.2	5	11	9
King	77	0	0.0	0	0	0
Kinney	783	2	2.6	2	2	0
Kleberg	8,787	156	17.8	46	141	102
Knox	995	5	5.0	0	5	4
Lamar	12,632	78	6.2	33	77	52
Lamb	4,271	78	18.3	36	80	75
Lampasas	5,419	76	14.0	16	67	59
La Salle	1,821	44	24.2	17	45	17
Lavaca	4,306	15	3.5	3	17	10
Lee	4,324	42	9.7	21	42	23
Leon	3,535	45	12.7	12	45	29
Liberty	21,153	239	11.3	83	216	124
Limestone	5,575	55	9.9	2	51	40
Lipscomb	706	6	8.5	0	5	5
Live Oak	2,412	1	0.4	1	1	1
Llano	3,171	56	17.7	18	54	45
Loving	4	0	0.0	0	0	0
Lubbock	63,750	972	15.2	253	938	762
Lynn	1,753	9	5.1	0	9	10
Madison	2,899	33	11.4	6	34	27
Marion	2,234	20	9.0	9	20	16

CPS Children in Care During Fiscal Year 2008

County	Child Population	Children in DFPS Legal Responsibility	Point of Prevalence**	Children Entering Substitute Care (Total Removals)	Children in Substitute Care***	Children in Foster Care*
Martin	1,565	0	0.0	0	0	0
Mason	751	6	8.0	3	6	5
Matagorda	10,652	52	4.9	16	50	35
Maverick	18,565	64	3.4	27	64	53
McCulloch	1,976	29	14.7	9	29	23
McLennan	57,018	533	9.3	146	528	363
McMullen	126	0	0.0	0	0	0
Medina	11,710	202	17.3	66	204	117
Menard	496	8	16.1	1	4	4
Midland	34,135	199	5.8	70	203	145
Milam	6,783	113	16.7	36	107	57
Mills	1,110	5	4.5	4	4	4
Mitchell	1,767	4	2.3	1	6	6
Montague	4,426	50	11.3	12	47	39
Montgomery	111,819	790	7.1	347	772	439
Moore	6,516	16	2.5	3	15	8
Morris	3,047	23	7.5	7	18	13
Motley	264	4	15.2	2	4	3
Nacogdoches	14,926	129	8.6	54	129	91
Navarro	13,383	164	12.3	60	167	146
Newton	3,460	21	6.1	6	22	17
Nolan	4,037	38	9.4	15	39	36
Nueces	87,339	875	10.0	329	805	646
Ochiltree	2,886	9	3.1	0	9	9
Oldham	510	4	7.8	0	4	3
Orange	21,050	148	7.0	52	137	118
Palo Pinto	6,985	65	9.3	34	64	46
Panola	5,397	38	7.0	15	38	31
Parker	27,239	166	6.1	16	146	86
Parmer	3,051	35	11.5	12	34	29
Pecos	4,385	45	10.3	13	43	33
Polk	10,127	134	13.2	48	136	96
Potter	34,765	319	9.2	78	316	276
Presidio	2,633	8	3.0	0	8	3
Rains	2,222	20	9.0	7	18	12
Randall	25,513	442	17.3	131	420	257
Reagan	1,002	8	8.0	5	8	7
Real	590	7	11.9	2	6	6
Red River	3,352	26	7.8	9	27	20
Reeves	3,373	19	5.6	8	19	17
Refugio	2,029	4	2.0	3	4	4
Roberts	173	0	0.0	0	0	0
Robertson	4,462	53	11.9	15	53	34
Rockwall	19,294	71	3.7	14	67	45
Runnels	2,846	29	10.2	9	31	19
Rusk	11,687	86	7.4	30	79	52
Sabine	2,046	8	3.9	1	6	6
San Augustine	2,086	7	3.4	0	7	7
San Jacinto	5,519	52	9.4	11	45	36
San Patricio	21,580	100	4.6	28	94	65
San Saba	1,369	25	18.3	1	25	17
Schleicher	734	433	589.9	424	438	414

CPS Children in Care During Fiscal Year 2008

County	Child Population	Children in DFPS Legal Responsibility	Point of Prevalence**	Children Entering Substitute Care (Total Removals)	Children in Substitute Care***	Children in Foster Care*
Scurry	3,998	41	10.3	14	38	30
Shackelford	805	0	0.0	0	0	0
Shelby	6,881	40	5.8	33	40	31
Sherman	832	3	3.6	0	3	3
Smith	50,549	313	6.2	105	313	228
Somervell	2,075	2	1.0	2	2	2
Starr	23,602	90	3.8	21	82	70
Stephens	2,392	27	11.3	5	17	15
Sterling	303	0	0.0	0	0	0
Stonewall	332	1	3.0	0	1	0
Sutton	1,134	7	6.2	2	7	2
Swisher	2,340	33	14.1	3	33	34
Tarrant	467,139	2,085	4.5	668	2,044	1,677
Taylor	33,773	284	8.4	72	263	188
Terrell	184	0	0.0	0	0	0
Terry	3,564	56	15.7	7	42	40
Throckmorton	389	2	5.1	0	2	2
Titus	9,296	96	10.3	35	87	59
Tom Green	26,050	426	16.4	91	411	279
Travis	216,249	1,487	6.9	409	1,426	969
Trinity	3,079	30	9.7	13	30	22
Tyler	4,722	15	3.2	9	15	13
Upshur	9,090	73	8.0	37	68	45
Upton	869	13	15.0	3	13	8
Uvalde	8,646	95	11.0	41	96	57
Val Verde	15,135	37	2.4	10	38	30
Van Zandt	12,528	115	9.2	45	112	90
Victoria	24,128	207	8.6	65	206	165
Walker	11,406	111	9.7	39	108	61
Waller	10,179	78	7.7	27	79	37
Ward	2,913	21	7.2	5	21	14
Washington	7,330	68	9.3	8	59	39
Webb	87,772	648	7.4	160	657	497
Wharton	11,416	36	3.2	9	34	15
Wheeler	1,111	19	17.1	3	18	15
Wichita	31,439	311	9.9	110	297	250
Wilbarger	3,626	37	10.2	5	36	28
Willacy	6,603	89	13.5	42	91	88
Williamson	99,774	485	4.9	203	461	359
Wilson	11,013	23	2.1	4	24	15
Winkler	1,979	14	7.1	5	14	7
Wise	15,530	108	7.0	15	97	60
Wood	7,941	109	13.7	55	105	66
Yoakum	2,286	28	12.2	9	28	26
Young	4,230	34	8.0	9	33	23
Zapata	4,727	53	11.2	22	52	43
Zavala	3,875	55	14.2	22	57	33
Unknown	0	0	0.0	0	0	0
Out of State	0	0	0.0	0	0	0
State Total	6,442,738	44,928	7.0	14,295	43,697	31,058

* Children in foster care is by living arrangement and will not equal children in paid foster care.

** Point of Prevalence: Rate of annual number of children in DFPS legal responsibility in Fiscal Year 2008 per 1,000 children in the child population.

*** Substitute care and foster care include children ages 0 to 20. Children age out of DFPS legal responsibility at age 18 but may voluntarily remain in foster care. Youth ages over 18 who are in foster care are counted in substitute care.

Legal Statuses Granted for Children in Fiscal Year 2008

County	Unique Children*	Possessory CVS	TMC**	PMC: Not Free For Adoption	PMC: Free For Adoption	Adoption Finalized	DFPS Responsibility Terminated***
Anderson	82	0	48	4	7	5	24
Andrews	28	0	15	0	2	3	14
Angelina	107	0	42	4	4	13	48
Aransas	37	0	22	3	5	1	17
Archer	1	0	0	0	1	0	1
Armstrong	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Atascosa	149	0	52	3	30	23	51
Austin	23	0	15	0	4	4	3
Bailey	30	0	25	0	3	0	3
Bandera	35	0	24	2	5	4	3
Bastrop	144	0	60	9	31	33	38
Baylor	6	0	4	0	0	0	2
Bee	52	0	21	1	12	4	19
Bell	775	1	417	27	78	56	348
Bexar	3,767	0	1,471	158	944	888	948
Blanco	12	0	4	0	2	7	0
Borden	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Bosque	27	0	9	4	3	1	17
Bowie	128	0	63	10	9	13	45
Brazoria	417	0	142	14	36	62	192
Brazos	206	0	70	13	48	39	72
Brewster	9	0	5	0	4	1	5
Briscoe	5	0	0	0	0	0	5
Brooks	44	0	17	0	4	2	25
Brown	149	0	62	7	42	30	28
Burleson	28	0	11	0	7	0	13
Burnet	94	0	41	5	29	24	20
Caldwell	136	0	62	3	20	24	55
Calhoun	15	0	2	0	12	1	0
Callahan	22	0	11	1	0	0	13
Cameron	478	1	168	55	22	26	265
Camp	35	0	29	2	0	1	9
Carson	14	0	6	1	3	0	8
Cass	50	0	39	2	6	2	8
Castro	10	0	3	5	2	0	1
Chambers	39	0	13	1	8	3	19
Cherokee	47	0	28	3	4	2	13
Childress	20	0	13	0	1	4	3
Clay	3	0	1	0	1	0	1
Cochran	1	0	0	1	0	0	0
Coke	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Coleman	29	0	10	7	4	3	9
Collin	542	0	205	2	92	81	236
Collingsworth	0	0	0	0	0	3	0
Colorado	23	0	6	3	8	8	10
Comal	107	0	48	3	23	13	39
Comanche	28	0	19	1	3	5	12
Concho	2	0	0	1	0	0	1
Cooke	72	0	22	7	9	8	29
Coryell	166	0	91	3	9	3	78

Legal Statuses Granted for Children in Fiscal Year 2008

County	Unique Children*	Possessory CVS	TMC**	PMC: Not Free For Adoption	PMC: Free For Adoption	Adoption Finalized	DFPS Responsibility Terminated***
Cottle	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
Crane	5	0	2	0	2	0	3
Crockett	15	0	3	0	2	0	10
Crosby	3	0	0	0	3	3	0
Culberson	10	0	0	0	6	2	8
Dallam	28	0	6	1	4	4	17
Dallas	2,435	43	1,085	90	340	274	1,080
Dawson	19	0	1	0	6	9	3
Deaf Smith	35	0	15	2	1	4	17
Delta	14	0	11	0	0	0	4
Denton	497	0	176	16	100	54	207
De Witt	29	0	16	5	1	2	14
Dickens	0	0	0	0	2	3	0
Dimmit	53	0	35	0	2	2	17
Donley	5	0	1	0	3	3	1
Duval	43	0	33	0	1	0	18
Eastland	36	0	16	3	5	0	13
Ector	204	0	82	19	26	19	76
Edwards	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ellis	171	0	104	4	17	8	66
El Paso	533	0	219	34	91	93	152
Erath	52	0	16	1	2	6	29
Falls	10	0	2	3	1	0	8
Fannin	68	0	49	1	5	2	19
Fayette	46	0	23	2	7	7	13
Fisher	8	0	0	3	4	0	4
Floyd	19	0	11	0	1	3	4
Foard	5	0	0	0	1	0	4
Fort Bend	209	0	90	7	29	34	81
Franklin	13	0	6	0	1	0	9
Freestone	23	0	0	1	0	3	20
Frio	69	0	24	0	14	18	17
Gaines	10	0	0	0	1	4	5
Galveston	379	0	180	13	48	33	157
Garza	1	0	0	0	0	1	1
Gillespie	24	0	11	2	11	3	4
Glasscock	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
Goliad	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
Gonzales	35	0	5	2	12	6	12
Gray	73	0	31	5	11	25	18
Grayson	228	0	81	7	43	25	88
Gregg	218	0	124	15	25	19	68
Grimes	43	0	24	2	0	3	20
Guadalupe	106	0	36	3	17	25	38
Hale	126	0	55	13	21	11	34
Hall	4	0	3	0	0	0	1
Hamilton	6	0	3	0	0	0	3
Hansford	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
Hardeman	4	0	2	0	0	0	3
Hardin	30	0	23	1	0	0	7

Legal Statuses Granted for Children in Fiscal Year 2008

County	Unique Children*	Possessory CVS	TMC**	PMC: Not Free For Adoption	PMC: Free For Adoption	Adoption Finalized	DFPS Responsibility Terminated***
Harris	4,924	3	2,098	262	893	687	1,501
Harrison	70	0	31	5	16	6	29
Hartley	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Haskell	4	0	0	1	0	2	1
Hays	203	0	64	6	47	46	86
Hemphill	4	0	0	1	0	2	1
Henderson	234	0	115	14	26	10	124
Hidalgo	658	0	380	54	10	5	289
Hill	45	0	17	6	8	7	11
Hockley	69	0	35	4	10	3	21
Hood	39	0	17	2	11	3	8
Hopkins	57	0	38	2	7	6	14
Houston	25	0	13	5	5	0	9
Howard	64	0	39	5	7	5	23
Hudspeth	3	0	1	0	1	0	1
Hunt	240	0	111	7	34	36	92
Hutchinson	58	0	32	0	4	1	30
Irion	2	0	0	1	0	0	1
Jack	7	0	0	0	5	0	2
Jackson	7	0	5	0	1	0	2
Jasper	39	0	20	4	4	6	9
Jeff Davis	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
Jefferson	221	0	85	10	36	37	82
Jim Hogg	18	0	12	1	0	0	9
Jim Wells	147	0	99	6	8	1	65
Johnson	204	0	65	12	39	22	91
Jones	22	0	8	3	0	0	18
Karnes	20	0	8	0	4	2	9
Kaufman	136	0	53	6	17	5	77
Kendall	24	0	13	1	10	0	6
Kenedy	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Kent	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
Kerr	138	0	69	7	27	17	32
Kimble	8	0	5	0	0	0	3
King	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Kinney	2	0	2	0	0	0	2
Kleberg	133	0	46	0	16	5	73
Knox	2	0	0	1	2	1	0
Lamar	60	0	33	10	6	0	17
Lamb	53	0	38	7	0	4	16
Lampasas	51	0	15	4	9	8	27
La Salle	37	0	18	3	7	9	6
Lavaca	12	0	3	0	2	3	4
Lee	32	0	21	0	2	3	11
Leon	32	0	12	6	9	0	8
Liberty	191	0	81	2	20	12	106
Limestone	41	0	3	5	10	0	24
Lipscomb	5	0	0	0	0	1	5
Live Oak	1	0	1	0	0	0	0
Llano	40	0	19	5	2	8	11

Legal Statuses Granted for Children in Fiscal Year 2008

County	Unique Children*	Possessory CVS	TMC**	PMC: Not Free For Adoption	PMC: Free For Adoption	Adoption Finalized	DFPS Responsibility Terminated***
Loving	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Lubbock	645	0	302	42	113	89	182
Lynn	2	0	0	0	0	1	2
Madison	28	0	6	2	9	5	10
Marion	16	0	11	0	3	0	7
Martin	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mason	5	0	4	0	0	0	1
Matagorda	41	0	17	0	0	11	17
Maverick	46	0	27	1	5	1	16
McCulloch	19	0	8	0	4	1	7
McLennan	390	1	150	17	78	70	113
McMullen	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Medina	167	0	72	12	14	29	43
Menard	6	0	1	0	0	0	5
Midland	151	0	70	12	30	13	32
Milam	80	0	35	3	22	9	20
Mills	7	0	4	2	0	0	1
Mitchell	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
Montague	31	0	12	1	2	8	12
Montgomery	676	0	363	33	97	93	161
Moore	12	0	3	1	5	1	6
Morris	18	0	11	1	4	0	8
Motley	4	0	3	0	1	0	1
Nacogdoches	95	0	60	5	12	9	34
Navarro	124	0	60	3	40	10	26
Newton	15	0	6	0	5	2	6
Nolan	31	0	15	2	6	3	10
Nueces	715	0	336	51	78	52	354
Ochiltree	3	0	0	0	0	1	2
Oldham	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
Orange	123	0	55	10	12	7	46
Palo Pinto	52	0	34	1	4	6	11
Panola	32	0	15	1	1	0	20
Parker	121	0	22	0	15	22	76
Parmer	18	0	12	0	3	5	2
Pecos	39	0	13	6	12	5	7
Polk	105	0	53	9	13	10	41
Potter	206	0	89	16	28	23	75
Presidio	6	0	0	0	1	4	1
Rains	17	0	7	5	1	0	5
Randall	361	0	163	19	48	28	145
Reagan	8	0	5	0	3	0	0
Real	3	0	2	1	0	0	1
Red River	14	0	9	3	1	0	4
Reeves	15	0	9	3	1	3	5
Refugio	4	0	3	0	0	1	3
Roberts	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Robertson	43	0	15	3	10	18	3
Rockwall	56	0	15	1	11	15	26
Runnels	17	0	11	0	2	0	4

Legal Statuses Granted for Children in Fiscal Year 2008

County	Unique Children*	Possessory CVS	TMC**	PMC: Not Free For Adoption	PMC: Free For Adoption	Adoption Finalized	DFPS Responsibility Terminated***
Rusk	73	0	30	13	2	2	45
Sabine	4	0	1	0	0	0	3
San Augustine	7	0	3	0	0	0	4
San Jacinto	42	0	11	13	6	2	14
San Patricio	75	0	28	6	26	17	24
San Saba	11	0	1	0	3	4	2
Schleicher	446	0	444	0	1	0	440
Scurry	38	0	14	0	7	7	15
Shackelford	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Shelby	31	0	30	3	1	0	6
Sherman	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Smith	215	3	106	20	22	34	66
Somervell	2	0	2	0	0	0	0
Starr	60	0	25	4	1	0	35
Stephens	23	0	5	0	2	0	16
Sterling	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Stonewall	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
Sutton	5	0	2	0	0	0	3
Swisher	21	0	3	3	8	10	2
Tarrant	1,612	36	648	105	305	225	494
Taylor	222	0	80	22	32	18	97
Terrell	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Terry	24	0	7	0	0	1	17
Throckmorton	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
Titus	78	0	36	10	6	5	29
Tom Green	252	0	90	23	53	44	59
Travis	1,184	0	424	49	245	320	320
Trinity	16	0	13	1	5	0	2
Tyler	13	0	9	0	1	0	4
Upshur	70	0	42	11	7	0	24
Upton	10	0	3	5	2	0	1
Uvalde	63	0	41	3	10	9	8
Val Verde	21	0	9	1	2	2	7
Van Zandt	97	0	50	2	20	4	34
Victoria	136	0	65	26	16	15	25
Walker	83	0	42	4	11	2	41
Waller	64	0	21	3	13	14	32
Ward	24	0	5	0	8	4	11
Washington	58	0	9	1	11	10	32
Webb	469	0	183	64	40	39	221
Wharton	22	0	6	1	5	8	12
Wheeler	11	0	3	3	0	2	3
Wichita	224	0	112	15	27	27	78
Wilbarger	21	0	6	1	5	1	10
Willacy	70	0	47	11	0	3	16
Williamson	357	0	214	9	30	36	91
Wilson	19	0	4	0	1	5	9
Winkler	8	0	5	0	0	0	1
Wise	91	0	22	7	11	12	60
Wood	97	0	54	14	3	6	34

Legal Statuses Granted for Children in Fiscal Year 2008

County	Unique Children*	Possessory CVS	TMC**	PMC: Not Free For Adoption	PMC: Free For Adoption	Adoption Finalized	DFPS Responsibility Terminated***
Yoakum	11	0	10	0	1	0	5
Young	27	0	9	4	3	2	9
Zapata	43	0	22	11	1	0	10
Zavala	32	0	22	7	0	0	10
Out of State	154	0	1	0	0	0****	6
State Total	33,833	88	14,873	1,829	5,365	4,517	12,127

* Counts unique children in county of last legal status event recorded during the fiscal year.

** Includes care, custody and control.

*** Includes conservatorship not obtained

****Out of the 4,517 children adopted during FY08, 430 were placed out of state.

Note: CVS is conservatorship

TMC is temporary managing conservatorship

PMC is permanent managing conservatorship.

This table addresses Texas Family Code §261.004, Subsection (b) (12).

Note: Data reflecting the children removed from the Yearning for Zion Ranch is of November 7th, 2008. For completed data please refer to the Eldorado Investigation report located on the DFPS website.

http://www.dfps.state.tx.us/documents/about/pdf/2008-12-22_Eldorado.pdf

Foster Care Expenditures

County	Paid Foster Care Clients	Foster Care Expenditures*	County	Paid Foster Care Clients	Foster Care Expenditures*
Anderson	90	\$1,050,587	Crockett	10	\$134,397
Andrews	18	139,284	Crosby	17	314,412
Angelina	101	1,214,274	Culberson	11	88,210
Aransas	43	604,377	Dallam	26	308,265
Archer	0	0	Dallas	2,196	27,944,071
Armstrong	0	0	Dawson	9	197,039
Atascosa	97	1,444,662	Deaf Smith	48	554,881
Austin	12	168,035	Delta	15	192,466
Bailey	25	256,001	Denton	375	3,765,383
Bandera	50	699,508	De Witt	34	588,522
Bastrop	113	1,826,425	Dickens	7	128,186
Baylor	8	33,283	Dimmit	50	499,007
Bee	49	619,008	Donley	10	180,864
Bell	576	5,907,213	Duval	41	382,785
Bexar	3,495	44,622,134	Eastland	26	333,135
Blanco	8	160,116	Ector	239	3,589,720
Borden	0	0	Edwards	0	0
Bosque	16	189,045	Ellis	132	1,056,287
Bowie	151	1,964,364	El Paso	564	7,131,509
Brazoria	301	3,452,516	Erath	41	517,042
Brazos	175	2,075,744	Falls	10	191,006
Brewster	13	152,446	Fannin	69	763,058
Briscoe	4	33,554	Fayette	37	560,894
Brooks	47	688,307	Fisher	8	115,482
Brown	130	1,461,616	Floyd	21	194,036
Burleson	30	379,200	Foard	5	25,662
Burnet	76	1,203,892	Fort Bend	139	1,708,686
Caldwell	99	1,416,190	Franklin	11	93,749
Calhoun	14	274,803	Freestone	21	438,846
Callahan	15	174,703	Frio	46	539,812
Cameron	506	5,492,559	Gaines	12	175,654
Camp	36	306,464	Galveston	222	2,092,938
Carson	11	145,372	Garza	7	101,411
Cass	44	432,202	Gillespie	22	274,609
Castro	21	323,315	Glasscock	0	0
Chambers	27	315,108	Goliad	4	108,942
Cherokee	58	592,354	Gonzales	39	533,676
Childress	25	327,047	Gray	86	986,690
Clay	16	317,280	Grayson	204	2,040,504
Cochran	10	135,380	Gregg	229	2,287,632
Coke	0	0	Grimes	39	400,858
Coleman	31	510,461	Guadalupe	90	1,053,760
Collin	425	4,507,688	Hale	146	1,806,442
Collingsworth	7	62,059	Hall	11	273,535
Colorado	25	403,693	Hamilton	7	146,353
Comal	71	890,320	Hansford	1	4,777
Comanche	22	255,893	Hardeman	6	88,189
Concho	7	209,070	Hardin	49	641,302
Cooke	60	786,525	Harris	4,148	52,183,956
Coryell	103	1,070,112	Harrison	84	1,152,382
Cottle	2	49,440	Hartley	1	14,124
Crane	0	0	Haskell	6	\$50,359

Foster Care Expenditures

County	Paid Foster Care Clients	Foster Care Expenditures*	County	Paid Foster Care Clients	Foster Care Expenditures*
Hays	119	\$1,158,656	Martin	0	0
Hemphill	2	6,270	Mason	5	35,660
Henderson	225	2,351,620	Matagorda	33	252,499
Hidalgo	617	5,724,815	Maverick	53	657,448
Hill	51	652,128	McCulloch	21	279,053
Hockley	82	1,055,606	McLennan	350	4,753,077
Hood	44	631,218	McMullen	0	0
Hopkins	54	569,201	Medina	107	897,532
Houston	27	285,867	Menard	3	40,095
Howard	69	974,662	Midland	138	1,745,011
Hudspeth	4	59,324	Milam	55	703,285
Hunt	164	1,550,463	Mills	7	111,458
Hutchinson	49	556,231	Mitchell	4	60,226
Irion	3	43,830	Montague	39	538,492
Jack	6	224,210	Montgomery	419	4,029,349
Jackson	16	119,817	Moore	8	82,726
Jasper	35	411,254	Morris	13	102,964
Jeff Davis	0	0	Motley	3	37,520
Jefferson	218	2,281,585	Nacogdoches	88	833,811
Jim Hogg	10	46,676	Navarro	145	1,819,219
Jim Wells	116	799,904	Newton	18	220,795
Johnson	227	3,110,736	Nolan	35	490,505
Jones	16	241,857	Nueces	612	6,168,832
Karnes	28	388,800	Ochiltree	10	189,299
Kaufman	99	1,364,739	Oldham	2	88,233
Kendall	15	219,036	Orange	114	1,329,114
Kenedy	0	0	Palo Pinto	47	468,217
Kent	1	1,308	Panola	31	330,770
Kerr	138	1,780,756	Parker	84	1,186,764
Kimble	9	183,611	Parmer	29	367,218
King	0	0	Pecos	34	449,198
Kinney	0	0	Polk	93	830,046
Kleberg	101	829,741	Potter	272	4,659,828
Knox	5	130,356	Presidio	7	52,294
Lamar	45	719,103	Rains	13	218,567
Lamb	74	944,188	Randall	245	3,270,685
Lampasas	57	962,797	Reagan	3	25,680
La Salle	17	197,052	Real	6	135,372
Lavaca	8	58,277	Red River	20	302,815
Lee	24	214,401	Reeves	17	120,659
Leon	29	477,266	Refugio	4	3,397
Liberty	123	1,533,847	Roberts	0	0
Limestone	40	408,685	Robertson	33	400,383
Lipscomb	6	23,184	Rockwall	43	544,321
Live Oak	1	1,235	Runnels	18	272,161
Llano	47	611,488	Rusk	51	373,411
Loving	0	0	Sabine	6	98,380
Lubbock	745	9,544,799	San Augustine	7	70,674
Lynn	10	159,077	San Jacinto	37	729,559
Madison	27	377,718	San Patricio	64	905,435
Marion	16	\$129,236	San Saba	17	\$448,800

Foster Care Expenditures

County	Paid Foster Care Clients	Foster Care Expenditures*
Schleicher	356	\$1,150,202
Scurry	30	216,159
Shackelford	0	0
Shelby	31	340,187
Sherman	3	64,013
Smith	212	2,815,411
Somervell	2	9,570
Starr	70	829,153
Stephens	15	141,485
Sterling	0	0
Stonewall	0	0
Sutton	2	12,478
Swisher	37	553,261
Tarrant	1,639	20,006,432
Taylor	186	2,156,198
Terrell	0	0
Terry	41	533,727
Throckmorton	1	7,847
Titus	62	767,178
Tom Green	272	3,749,587
Travis	935	13,063,225
Trinity	22	193,227
Tyler	14	129,271
Upshur	46	318,275
Upton	10	147,078
Uvalde	57	790,679
Val Verde	27	446,200
Van Zandt	84	900,446
Victoria	163	2,092,195
Walker	53	799,774
Waller	35	410,738
Ward	14	135,433
Washington	38	390,087
Webb	482	4,890,467
Wharton	14	128,631
Wheeler	15	256,956
Wichita	245	2,761,202
Wilbarger	27	405,503
Willacy	92	1,005,278
Williamson	350	4,303,158
Wilson	15	123,660
Winkler	7	184,988
Wise	58	649,335
Wood	68	690,265
Yoakum	26	181,373
Young	23	206,025
Zapata	43	435,955
Zavala	33	357,142
Unknown	19	\$77,597

County	Paid Foster Care Clients	Foster Care Expenditures*
State Total	30,150	\$363,973,648
Unduplicated Total Clients:	30,066	

Data as of 11/7/2008

* Actual dollars, does not include completion factors, delayed billings or manual payments.

Note: 84 clients received foster care in more than one county.

Data reflecting the children removed from the Yearning for Zion Ranch is of November 7th, 2008. For completed data please refer to the Eldorado Investigation report located on the DFPS website.

http://www.dfps.state.tx.us/documents/about/pdf/2008-12-22_Eldorado.pdf

DFPS Foster and Adoptive Homes

County	Foster Homes	Foster/ Adoptive Homes*	Adoptive Homes**	County	Foster Homes	Foster/ Adoptive Homes*	Adoptive Homes**
Anderson	0	5	2	Crane	0	2	1
Andrews	0	4	1	Crockett	0	1	1
Angelina	1	6	1	Crosby	1	1	0
Aransas	1	1	0	Culberson	0	0	0
Archer	0	0	0	Dallam	0	0	0
Armstrong	0	1	0	Dallas	12	91	42
Atascosa	0	3	2	Dawson	0	3	0
Austin	0	1	3	Deaf Smith	0	6	0
Bailey	0	2	0	Delta	0	0	0
Bandera	1	2	0	Denton	5	44	5
Bastrop	2	1	3	De Witt	1	0	0
Baylor	0	2	0	Dickens	0	0	0
Bee	0	0	1	Dimmit	0	2	0
Bell	7	19	13	Donley	0	0	0
Bexar	19	81	50	Duval	0	0	0
Blanco	0	1	1	Eastland	1	2	0
Borden	0	0	0	Ector	1	23	9
Bosque	0	1	0	Edwards	0	0	0
Bowie	4	11	1	Ellis	0	11	3
Brazoria	6	23	15	El Paso	5	30	21
Brazos	2	4	9	Erath	0	2	3
Brewster	0	0	1	Falls	1	1	0
Briscoe	0	1	0	Fannin	2	5	0
Brooks	0	0	0	Fayette	0	2	1
Brown	0	13	3	Fisher	0	1	0
Burleson	0	1	2	Floyd	0	0	0
Burnet	1	3	4	Foard	0	0	0
Caldwell	1	3	2	Fort Bend	6	25	27
Calhoun	1	5	1	Franklin	0	2	0
Callahan	0	2	0	Freestone	0	1	0
Cameron	11	12	5	Frio	0	0	0
Camp	0	3	0	Gaines	0	5	1
Carson	0	1	0	Galveston	4	26	15
Cass	0	9	2	Garza	0	1	0
Castro	1	15	1	Gillespie	0	1	0
Chambers	1	2	1	Glasscock	0	0	0
Cherokee	0	2	0	Goliad	0	1	1
Childress	1	1	0	Gonzales	0	0	0
Clay	0	1	0	Gray	1	1	0
Cochran	0	0	0	Grayson	2	8	5
Coke	0	0	0	Gregg	5	11	3
Coleman	0	0	0	Grimes	2	2	0
Collin	8	26	4	Guadalupe	1	11	5
Collingsworth	0	0	0	Hale	0	9	0
Colorado	0	0	1	Hall	0	0	0
Comal	3	4	1	Hamilton	0	1	0
Comanche	1	2	0	Hansford	0	0	0
Concho	0	1	2	Hardeman	0	1	0
Cooke	0	1	1	Hardin	0	3	2
Coryell	0	6	5	Harris	52	142	131
Cottle	0	0	0	Harrison	5	6	1

DFPS Foster and Adoptive Homes

County	Foster Homes	Foster/ Adoptive Homes*	Adoptive Homes**	County	Foster Homes	Foster/ Adoptive Homes*	Adoptive Homes**
Hartley	0	0	0	Madison	0	2	0
Haskell	0	0	0	Marion	0	1	0
Hays	0	8	9	Martin	0	0	1
Hemphill	0	0	0	Mason	0	0	0
Henderson	1	7	2	Matagorda	2	5	1
Hidalgo	4	18	6	Maverick	0	1	0
Hill	0	2	1	McCulloch	0	1	0
Hockley	0	5	0	McLennan	3	23	8
Hood	0	3	1	McMullen	0	0	0
Hopkins	0	3	0	Medina	0	5	3
Houston	0	2	1	Menard	0	0	0
Howard	0	3	3	Midland	1	18	17
Hudspeth	0	0	0	Milam	2	4	1
Hunt	0	11	6	Mills	0	0	0
Hutchinson	0	3	0	Mitchell	0	0	1
Irion	0	0	0	Montague	0	3	0
Jack	0	1	0	Montgomery	2	19	16
Jackson	1	5	0	Moore	0	1	0
Jasper	1	5	1	Morris	0	1	0
Jeff Davis	0	0	2	Motley	0	1	0
Jefferson	10	30	1	Nacogdoches	1	6	1
Jim Hogg	0	0	0	Navarro	0	0	2
Jim Wells	1	0	1	Newton	0	1	1
Johnson	0	11	8	Nolan	0	3	0
Jones	1	3	0	Nueces	5	16	11
Karnes	0	0	1	Ochiltree	0	1	0
Kaufman	1	11	4	Oldham	0	1	0
Kendall	0	1	2	Orange	2	11	0
Kenedy	0	0	0	Palo Pinto	0	0	0
Kent	0	0	0	Panola	0	1	1
Kerr	0	3	0	Parker	0	3	1
Kimble	0	1	0	Parmer	1	0	1
King	0	0	0	Pecos	0	0	1
Kinney	0	0	0	Polk	0	5	2
Kleberg	0	0	2	Potter	0	24	0
Knox	0	1	0	Presidio	0	0	0
Lamar	0	3	0	Rains	0	1	0
Lamb	0	4	0	Randall	1	23	1
Lampasas	1	1	0	Reagan	0	0	0
La Salle	0	0	0	Real	0	0	0
Lavaca	0	3	0	Red River	0	2	0
Lee	0	0	2	Reeves	0	2	1
Leon	0	0	2	Refugio	0	0	0
Liberty	4	4	3	Roberts	0	0	0
Limestone	0	1	2	Robertson	1	0	0
Lipscomb	0	0	0	Rockwall	0	7	2
Live Oak	0	0	0	Runnels	1	2	1
Llano	0	1	0	Rusk	0	6	1
Loving	0	0	0	Sabine	0	1	2
Lubbock	2	66	4	San Augustine	0	4	0
Lynn	0	1	0	San Jacinto	2	1	0

DFPS Foster and Adoptive Homes

County	Foster Homes	Foster/ Adoptive Homes*	Adoptive Homes**
San Patricio	0	1	3
San Saba	0	0	1
Schleicher	0	0	0
Scurry	0	2	2
Shackelford	0	0	0
Shelby	1	2	3
Sherman	0	1	0
Smith	3	14	2
Somervell	0	0	0
Starr	1	3	1
Stephens	0	1	0
Sterling	0	0	1
Stonewall	0	0	0
Sutton	1	0	0
Swisher	0	0	0
Tarrant	12	96	30
Taylor	2	22	2
Terrell	0	0	0
Terry	0	1	1
Throckmorton	0	0	0
Titus	0	1	0
Tom Green	1	26	15
Travis	6	37	24
Trinity	0	0	1
Tyler	1	6	0
Upshur	1	2	2
Upton	1	1	0
Uvalde	0	0	2
Val Verde	0	1	0
Van Zandt	0	8	0
Victoria	6	15	4
Walker	0	10	3
Waller	0	0	5
Ward	0	0	0
Washington	2	3	1
Webb	2	9	4
Wharton	1	5	1
Wheeler	0	0	0
Wichita	1	21	6
Wilbarger	0	1	1
Willacy	1	0	1
Williamson	4	28	14
Wilson	0	5	3
Winkler	1	2	0
Wise	1	1	2
Wood	0	4	1
Yoakum	0	2	1
Young	1	7	1
Zapata	1	0	0
Zavala	0	1	0
Unknown	0	0	0
Out of State	7	24	32
State Total	288	1,556	726

* Includes 36 legal risk homes and 154 kinship homes.

** This number does not include homes open only for receipt of adoption subsidy.

CPS Purchased Services For Children

County	Children Receiving Sub-Care Purchased Services	Expenditures For Sub-Care Purchased Services	Children In Substitute Care	Children Receiving In-Home Purchased Services	Expenditures For In-Home Purchased Services	Children Receiving In-Home Services
Anderson	59	\$21,669.46	112	28	\$3,738.18	214
Andrews	5	2,513.52	32	3	109.44	120
Angelina	46	21,111.32	144	31	4,395.00	151
Aransas	24	8,033.76	50	14	4,450.00	234
Archer	5	654.48	1	0	0.00	12
Armstrong	1	9,000.00	0	0	0.00	0
Atascosa	28	17,370.79	181	26	2,414.81	231
Austin	20	9,869.46	26	3	288.00	62
Bailey	4	200.00	34	1	35.00	46
Bandera	16	4,777.64	60	2	30.00	99
Bastrop	49	44,875.00	190	10	3,674.69	169
Baylor	2	1,189.76	9	0	0.00	9
Bee	23	18,080.62	61	23	3,520.00	325
Bell	232	171,012.41	866	51	11,074.02	1,356
Bexar	1,647	2,101,335.72	5,008	770	107,464.10	10,705
Blanco	6	5,806.00	17	1	65.00	23
Borden	0	0.00	0	0	0.00	2
Bosque	1	4,000.00	26	0	0.00	60
Bowie	83	65,808.08	189	17	1,343.00	232
Brazoria	257	140,560.72	500	75	28,345.00	1,032
Brazos	104	83,877.98	265	15	8,792.88	484
Brewster	2	1,200.00	16	0	0.00	28
Briscoe	0	0.00	6	0	0.00	6
Brooks	15	4,719.50	59	8	325.00	133
Brown	222	181,190.49	183	9	372.81	209
Burleson	7	1,238.38	44	4	162.89	40
Burnet	55	27,853.77	121	6	1,093.70	137
Caldwell	32	30,307.61	172	10	3,817.31	203
Calhoun	15	6,366.23	21	8	986.80	80
Callahan	1	763.00	26	1	36.48	77
Cameron	232	220,941.34	568	129	29,600.99	2,040
Camp	13	12,681.66	45	8	760.00	71
Carson	2	514.80	16	0	0.00	10
Cass	28	20,466.04	69	19	1,625.00	81
Castro	0	0.00	23	0	0.00	19
Chambers	19	7,806.90	45	10	4,050.00	60
Cherokee	27	24,548.67	64	4	465.00	184
Childress	0	0.00	30	6	1,543.71	46
Clay	0	0.00	17	2	72.96	17
Cochran	0	0.00	10	1	35.00	27
Coke	0	0.00	2	0	0.00	0
Coleman	13	5,619.49	41	3	538.53	81
Collin	377	135,299.71	631	44	8,413.95	1,318
Collingsworth	1	35.00	7	10	1,445.56	27
Colorado	18	22,869.10	33	1	25.00	56
Comal	24	19,642.35	127	39	1,784.10	286
Comanche	17	13,140.47	29	1	53.86	68
Concho	25	29,366.99	10	0	0.00	22
Cooke	42	7,590.97	85	9	864.08	111
Coryell	33	27,817.75	169	7	1,045.50	353
Cottle	0	0.00	2	0	0.00	6

CPS Purchased Services For Children

County	Children Receiving Sub-Care Purchased Services	Expenditures For Sub-Care Purchased Services	Children In Substitute Care	Children Receiving In-Home Purchased Services	Expenditures For In-Home Purchased Services	Children Receiving In-Home Services
Crane	1	\$619.57	4	0	0.00	8
Crockett	2	2,323.69	17	0	0.00	45
Crosby	0	0.00	17	0	0.00	57
Culberson	3	1,688.54	11	2	457.30	6
Dallam	1	411.99	36	10	5,375.46	78
Dallas	1,482	1,195,677.00	3,253	219	78,593.55	6,085
Dawson	8	2,452.98	24	2	166.00	84
Deaf Smith	9	2,295.43	59	4	1,216.73	60
Delta	9	1,658.29	16	4	323.00	14
Denton	349	172,102.03	554	96	7,317.82	820
De Witt	7	1,141.50	41	18	2,697.83	83
Dickens	0	0.00	5	0	0.00	7
Dimmit	12	1,917.40	73	23	1,962.60	144
Donley	3	15,000.00	7	0	0.00	0
Duval	23	9,295.46	51	32	10,000.00	189
Eastland	24	23,608.69	39	9	420.44	135
Ector	228	173,627.04	298	44	7,967.89	731
Edwards	0	0.00	0	0	0.00	6
Ellis	121	50,217.78	182	50	27,812.75	353
El Paso	331	346,653.30	711	365	69,858.05	2,676
Erath	39	15,203.20	65	5	1,319.52	190
Falls	1	50.00	16	4	1,395.50	28
Fannin	30	1,175.36	75	7	2,716.30	93
Fayette	5	6,150.00	59	1	450.00	54
Fisher	9	2,718.97	13	1	87.24	5
Floyd	0	0.00	26	0	0.00	25
Foard	1	570.00	5	0	0.00	11
Fort Bend	180	111,779.20	265	32	12,435.00	1,068
Franklin	5	3,193.85	16	3	355.00	28
Freestone	2	500.00	33	0	0.00	36
Frio	4	690.00	97	17	901.25	181
Gaines	2	516.80	21	0	0.00	52
Galveston	206	106,585.05	431	61	22,060.00	1,085
Garza	0	0.00	7	0	0.00	16
Gillespie	4	1,193.41	32	1	15.00	81
Glasscock	1	17.00	1	0	0.00	0
Goliad	0	0.00	5	0	0.00	22
Gonzales	3	1,695.00	54	2	30.00	98
Gray	19	39,902.65	97	6	1,184.03	102
Grayson	126	31,555.22	262	27	7,595.53	300
Gregg	112	106,185.32	281	47	8,718.25	449
Grimes	19	12,638.28	62	5	1,145.19	95
Guadalupe	24	7,407.29	143	36	5,310.38	544
Hale	5	870.00	183	10	1,407.89	213
Hall	2	198.54	11	0	0.00	6
Hamilton	0	0.00	10	0	0.00	40
Hansford	0	0.00	1	0	0.00	11
Hardeman	1	570.00	8	2	67.00	15
Hardin	15	\$4,057.86	57	11	\$2,486.00	118

CPS Purchased Services For Children

County	Children Receiving Sub-Care Purchased Services	Expenditures For Sub-Care Purchased Services	Children In Substitute Care	Children Receiving In-Home Purchased Services	Expenditures For In-Home Purchased Services	Children Receiving In-Home Services
Harris	3,345	\$3,101,508.64	7,107	417	\$168,802.77	10,050
Harrison	65	48,917.33	103	26	3,236.57	214
Hartley	1	300.00	5	0	0.00	3
Haskell	1	484.58	6	0	0.00	24
Hays	75	73,085.41	201	12	2,203.20	275
Hemphill	0	0.00	4	0	0.00	3
Henderson	128	63,967.45	292	60	8,173.00	651
Hidalgo	343	304,336.03	743	292	26,242.57	3,360
Hill	5	9,100.00	58	5	968.25	64
Hockley	2	60.00	109	6	894.12	145
Hood	20	6,648.02	52	10	1,904.96	199
Hopkins	36	21,276.71	70	23	4,717.00	123
Houston	15	7,887.18	33	5	446.00	33
Howard	26	10,715.51	99	18	4,947.54	290
Hudspeth	1	93.15	5	0	0.00	4
Hunt	173	48,244.38	258	19	2,268.90	203
Hutchinson	16	7,718.34	75	16	5,972.17	91
Irion	0	0.00	3	0	0.00	7
Jack	0	0.00	8	0	0.00	30
Jackson	8	2,104.75	18	2	606.13	56
Jasper	21	10,603.74	51	6	492.00	87
Jeff Davis	0	0.00	1	0	0.00	4
Jefferson	330	272,692.46	304	50	18,430.98	468
Jim Hogg	0	0.00	18	7	1,058.13	94
Jim Wells	59	25,584.80	158	76	25,136.00	641
Johnson	139	56,156.47	292	26	7,847.51	657
Jones	9	6,177.13	17	2	173.74	99
Karnes	1	515.00	30	1	15.00	80
Kaufman	121	26,120.93	168	12	2,716.84	233
Kendall	7	5,805.73	25	7	688.59	28
Kenedy	1	524.09	0	0	0.00	0
Kent	1	126.00	2	0	0.00	3
Kerr	19	6,825.23	181	37	3,245.45	348
Kimble	0	0.00	11	0	0.00	22
King	0	0.00	0	0	0.00	0
Kinney	0	0.00	2	0	0.00	15
Kleberg	39	22,108.55	141	22	3,544.00	349
Knox	1	570.00	5	0	0.00	18
Lamar	26	8,892.02	77	22	2,581.00	160
Lamb	6	425.00	80	4	100.00	97
Lampasas	28	20,545.00	67	3	305.50	106
La Salle	0	0.00	45	3	45.00	67
Lavaca	18	9,770.00	17	5	127.00	48
Lee	2	4,300.00	42	0	0.00	54
Leon	12	3,167.88	45	3	1,036.44	52
Liberty	107	60,977.69	216	7	1,491.50	236
Limestone	6	5,773.89	51	9	2,822.25	59
Lipscomb	0	0.00	5	1	35.00	6
Live Oak	4	\$5,015.00	1	9	\$520.00	81

CPS Purchased Services For Children

County	Children Receiving Sub-Care Purchased Services	Expenditures For Sub-Care Purchased Services	Children In Substitute Care	Children Receiving In-Home Purchased Services	Expenditures For In-Home Purchased Services	Children Receiving In-Home Services
Llano	5	\$6,065.00	54	1	\$1,057.75	62
Loving	0	0.00	0	0	0.00	0
Lubbock	428	402,285.08	938	247	45,562.65	1,761
Lynn	0	0.00	9	1	75.00	22
Madison	12	12,110.00	34	1	160.00	61
Marion	6	2,889.58	20	7	764.79	29
Martin	0	0.00	0	0	0.00	7
Mason	0	0.00	6	0	0.00	21
Matagorda	19	11,644.42	50	4	1,774.00	106
Maverick	1	5,000.00	64	3	45.00	59
McCulloch	0	0.00	29	1	614.82	53
McLennan	245	196,042.79	528	46	14,869.70	690
McMullen	2	4,335.81	0	0	0.00	4
Medina	23	33,670.50	204	19	2,328.96	289
Menard	1	800.53	4	0	0.00	10
Midland	93	43,504.50	203	29	3,231.35	408
Milam	22	10,674.80	107	5	116.86	193
Mills	0	0.00	4	1	310.00	16
Mitchell	1	242.21	6	1	557.39	54
Montague	19	27,633.21	47	1	36.48	85
Montgomery	251	207,716.03	772	63	15,041.50	1,134
Moore	3	5,734.93	15	4	2,188.41	104
Morris	9	11,939.23	18	5	885.10	50
Motley	0	0.00	4	0	0.00	4
Nacogdoches	27	9,864.37	129	11	1,995.00	151
Navarro	142	45,093.24	167	8	8,514.19	109
Newton	6	2,992.24	22	3	171.00	8
Nolan	19	6,487.54	39	11	1,107.25	200
Nueces	371	311,312.03	805	356	99,115.03	3,491
Ochiltree	2	593.76	9	1	720.80	9
Oldham	0	0.00	4	0	0.00	1
Orange	44	15,960.63	137	27	6,718.00	217
Palo Pinto	45	7,574.22	64	4	401.47	168
Panola	8	2,132.66	38	5	856.00	59
Parker	58	57,247.64	146	8	2,309.68	401
Parmer	6	11,687.50	34	0	0.00	15
Pecos	14	7,373.99	43	3	1,796.57	81
Polk	56	26,743.31	136	28	3,908.00	158
Potter	331	271,110.34	316	205	23,207.21	1,131
Presidio	4	2,048.19	8	3	1,057.11	5
Rains	4	1,686.08	18	1	554.69	24
Randall	122	50,985.91	420	27	3,010.62	379
Reagan	2	1,274.98	8	0	0.00	17
Real	1	395.85	6	0	0.00	3
Red River	8	2,856.58	27	15	2,208.00	47
Reeves	4	5,462.45	19	6	2,461.18	87
Refugio	3	4,430.17	4	5	790.00	37
Roberts	0	0.00	0	1	87.00	0
Robertson	10	\$6,141.36	53	2	\$162.75	54

CPS Purchased Services For Children

County	Children Receiving Sub-Care Purchased Services	Expenditures For Sub-Care Purchased Services	Children In Substitute Care	Children Receiving In-Home Purchased Services	Expenditures For In-Home Purchased Services	Children Receiving In-Home Services
Rockwall	31	\$20,242.98	67	1	\$24.00	91
Runnels	11	1,225.17	31	2	106.70	74
Rusk	39	19,791.67	79	33	9,213.50	204
Sabine	2	800.00	6	0	0.00	19
San Augustine	15	2,367.08	7	0	0.00	20
San Jacinto	10	5,790.59	45	5	362.00	62
San Patricio	91	43,052.95	94	117	34,537.50	779
San Saba	6	7,513.41	25	1	90.00	23
Schleicher	2	1,140.00	438	0	0.00	429
Scurry	9	4,673.50	38	2	771.70	180
Shackelford	0	0.00	0	0	0.00	22
Shelby	23	9,618.28	40	2	122.00	49
Sherman	3	522.00	3	0	0.00	4
Smith	241	186,198.68	313	36	4,872.32	429
Somervell	0	0.00	2	0	0.00	31
Starr	31	6,459.04	82	19	965.00	247
Stephens	9	8,966.02	17	1	80.76	62
Sterling	0	0.00	0	0	0.00	3
Stonewall	5	889.22	1	1	53.86	0
Sutton	2	5,000.00	7	0	0.00	22
Swisher	0	0.00	33	0	0.00	40
Tarrant	987	813,122.59	2,044	307	88,758.34	6,925
Taylor	216	216,617.09	263	50	7,045.07	892
Terrell	1	17.00	0	0	0.00	0
Terry	0	0.00	42	1	35.00	59
Throckmorton	0	0.00	2	0	0.00	3
Titus	42	11,090.86	87	60	7,486.76	148
Tom Green	204	189,147.40	411	32	3,695.81	709
Travis	997	1,368,719.32	1,426	167	55,773.36	2,481
Trinity	12	5,539.14	30	2	257.00	13
Tyler	14	5,566.97	15	0	0.00	62
Upshur	34	15,010.79	68	37	3,830.00	162
Upton	3	1,244.00	13	0	0.00	6
Uvalde	10	2,509.65	96	13	918.18	162
Val Verde	6	8,090.00	38	5	75.00	99
Van Zandt	64	32,132.83	112	13	1,972.50	211
Victoria	102	32,419.92	206	55	9,900.64	372
Walker	31	14,646.90	108	11	2,111.50	96
Waller	32	11,964.74	79	2	150.00	142
Ward	4	1,501.26	21	7	1,859.50	75
Washington	20	6,107.01	59	12	3,764.68	114
Webb	200	107,857.57	657	107	15,129.35	1,301
Wharton	21	15,313.86	34	6	2,601.00	92
Wheeler	1	65.00	18	3	822.78	9
Wichita	134	97,058.73	297	17	1,214.43	838
Wilbarger	18	11,344.84	36	2	465.22	75
Willacy	28	28,181.13	91	13	2,140.00	234
Williamson	132	123,242.08	461	56	15,802.76	1,028
Wilson	5	\$12,628.10	24	2	\$30.00	55

CPS Purchased Services For Children

County	Children Receiving Sub-Care Purchased Services	Expenditures For Sub-Care Purchased Services	Children In Substitute Care	Children Receiving In-Home Purchased Services	Expenditures For In-Home Purchased Services	Children Receiving In-Home Services
Winkler	1	\$510.00	14	1	\$1,559.92	39
Wise	62	36,901.36	97	7	591.30	155
Wood	39	31,024.11	105	13	1,485.00	160
Yoakum	0	0.00	28	0	0.00	21
Young	21	7,944.80	33	8	1,279.41	146
Zapata	11	3,695.94	52	10	2,222.68	142
Zavala	0	0.00	57	3	318.75	81
Unknown	0	0.00	0	0	0.00	15
State Total	18,886	\$15,618,173.97	43,697	6,199	\$1,361,767.55	89,832

This table addresses Texas Family Code S261.004, Subsection (B) (5).

Note: Data reflecting the children removed from the Yearning for Zion Ranch is of November 7th, 2008. For completed data please refer to the Eldorado Investigation report located on the DFPS website.

http://www.dfps.state.tx.us/documents/about/pdf/2008-12-22_Eldorado.pdf

Texas Child Population (Age 0-17) by Race/Ethnicity: Fiscal Year 2008

County	Total	African American	Anglo	Hispanic	Native American	Other
Anderson	11,479	2,328	7,038	1,994	12	107
Andrews	3,801	57	1,491	2,207	9	37
Angelina	22,649	3,489	12,504	6,396	19	241
Aransas	5,045	99	2,821	1,870	16	239
Archer	2,238	8	2,017	203	2	8
Armstrong	532	2	482	47	0	1
Atascosa	13,259	35	3,906	9,263	13	42
Austin	6,717	832	3,761	2,069	7	48
Bailey	2,138	25	681	1,428	0	4
Bandera	4,266	22	3,327	884	9	24
Bastrop	21,524	2,124	11,199	8,060	12	129
Baylor	797	31	634	122	3	7
Bee	7,609	183	1,902	5,468	6	50
Bell	73,718	19,188	32,989	18,043	223	3,275
Bexar	419,165	31,406	100,096	277,712	622	9,329
Blanco	2,199	15	1,667	511	1	5
Borden	120	0	89	30	0	1
Bosque	4,158	103	2,814	1,230	2	9
Bowie	21,524	6,658	13,550	1,054	38	224
Brazoria	78,160	6,917	41,534	26,964	185	2,560
Brazos	36,154	5,765	17,283	11,225	64	1,817
Brewster	1,991	20	704	1,238	12	17
Briscoe	426	4	266	154	0	2
Brooks	2,524	1	121	2,399	0	3
Brown	9,351	391	6,325	2,569	11	55
Burleson	4,532	897	2,467	1,141	4	23
Burnet	9,131	129	6,313	2,624	13	52
Caldwell	10,169	1,011	3,768	5,307	8	75
Calhoun	5,952	125	2,312	3,337	6	172
Callahan	3,098	18	2,769	290	4	17
Cameron	130,598	312	8,746	120,600	79	861
Camp	3,326	565	1,669	1,075	2	15
Carson	1,506	20	1,349	132	1	4
Cass	6,731	1,774	4,697	199	17	44
Castro	2,628	67	748	1,804	5	4
Chambers	8,527	911	6,021	1,507	11	77
Cherokee	12,733	2,093	7,063	3,507	8	62
Childress	1,745	162	1,026	551	0	6
Clay	2,411	14	2,228	143	11	15
Cochran	1,062	53	373	634	1	1
Coke	793	0	510	278	3	2
Coleman	2,127	52	1,581	484	1	9
Collin	199,653	11,419	138,170	32,493	622	16,949
Collingsworth	694	36	372	273	6	7
Colorado	5,200	987	2,370	1,815	5	23
Comal	22,797	346	14,997	7,269	24	161
Comanche	3,298	6	2,003	1,282	2	5
Concho	535	1	327	206	0	1
Cooke	9,819	402	7,224	2,075	35	83
Coryell	17,901	4,065	9,816	3,300	66	654
Cottle	393	67	173	148	0	5

Texas Child Population (Age 0-17) by Race/Ethnicity: Fiscal Year 2008

County	Total	African American	Anglo	Hispanic	Native American	Other
Crane	1,135	30	412	690	0	3
Crockett	1,046	2	300	740	1	3
Crosby	2,094	70	626	1,392	0	6
Culberson	850	7	123	717	0	3
Dallam	1,915	22	1,214	671	3	5
Dallas	655,177	136,201	161,185	325,037	1,818	30,936
Dawson	3,832	120	1,195	2,504	3	10
Deaf Smith	6,483	65	1,601	4,793	7	17
Delta	1,152	130	970	45	1	6
Denton	167,632	12,639	112,510	33,221	591	8,671
De Witt	4,624	565	2,099	1,942	1	17
Dickens	445	13	286	146	0	0
Dimmit	3,183	24	249	2,885	5	20
Donley	748	73	587	85	1	2
Duval	3,807	8	290	3,495	5	9
Eastland	4,220	71	3,307	821	6	15
Ector	37,614	1,944	11,695	23,464	96	415
Edwards	491	3	180	306	1	1
Ellis	39,973	3,878	23,149	12,525	83	338
El Paso	223,604	5,966	19,921	194,477	515	2,725
Erath	8,646	81	5,845	2,665	10	45
Falls	4,547	1,371	1,856	1,307	1	12
Fannin	8,124	492	6,632	919	26	55
Fayette	5,214	522	3,192	1,476	6	18
Fisher	860	24	552	280	1	3
Floyd	2,334	86	719	1,521	3	5
Foard	342	19	242	78	1	2
Fort Bend	133,730	30,789	48,681	37,810	211	16,239
Franklin	2,205	129	1,553	509	4	10
Freestone	4,617	856	2,961	769	5	26
Frio	4,796	11	540	4,216	2	27
Gaines	4,855	69	2,464	2,302	3	17
Galveston	70,665	12,436	35,280	20,529	205	2,215
Garza	1,248	64	582	591	0	11
Gillespie	4,534	6	2,920	1,587	3	18
Glasscock	391	4	233	154	0	0
Goliad	1,676	83	677	910	1	5
Gonzales	5,260	566	1,775	2,890	4	25
Gray	5,154	282	3,551	1,244	15	62
Grayson	28,324	2,478	20,712	4,380	213	541
Gregg	30,438	7,475	15,741	6,783	58	381
Grimes	6,000	1,265	3,229	1,480	2	24
Guadalupe	29,476	1,754	13,506	13,839	27	350
Hale	11,104	558	3,189	7,296	14	47
Hall	1,003	90	447	461	1	4
Hamilton	1,795	0	1,506	282	2	5
Hansford	1,576	5	724	840	1	6
Hardeman	1,050	43	714	281	5	7
Hardin	12,997	1,256	11,102	567	12	60
Harris	1,061,217	190,270	273,114	536,622	1,750	59,461
Harrison	15,421	3,880	9,206	2,229	11	95

Texas Child Population (Age 0-17) by Race/Ethnicity: Fiscal Year 2008

County	Total	African American	Anglo	Hispanic	Native American	Other
Hartley	1,204	5	1,048	147	0	4
Haskell	1,323	40	803	474	0	6
Hays	32,592	1,156	16,600	14,472	60	304
Hemphill	798	1	554	240	1	2
Henderson	18,690	1,788	13,578	3,179	31	114
Hidalgo	247,715	369	11,231	234,108	147	1,860
Hill	8,980	857	5,686	2,407	2	28
Hockley	6,596	240	2,915	3,415	6	20
Hood	10,166	33	8,238	1,785	37	73
Hopkins	8,600	796	6,152	1,579	16	57
Houston	4,926	1,645	2,627	629	5	20
Howard	7,849	353	3,748	3,676	11	61
Hudspeth	1,024	0	159	859	3	3
Hunt	21,213	2,794	13,808	4,243	75	293
Hutchinson	5,933	223	4,075	1,557	32	46
Irion	306	1	205	100	0	0
Jack	1,952	20	1,672	236	12	12
Jackson	3,733	304	2,059	1,333	7	30
Jasper	9,059	2,050	6,354	576	11	68
Jeff Davis	386	5	208	172	0	1
Jefferson	63,027	24,676	22,195	13,152	118	2,886
Jim Hogg	1,508	3	116	1,385	4	0
Jim Wells	12,278	50	2,018	10,140	11	59
Johnson	42,587	1,253	30,445	10,118	173	598
Jones	4,510	283	2,776	1,398	11	42
Karnes	3,269	54	1,037	2,163	3	12
Kaufman	25,512	2,910	16,287	6,091	39	185
Kendall	7,097	12	5,185	1,866	6	28
Kenedy	121	0	24	95	0	2
Kent	118	0	111	7	0	0
Kerr	9,536	184	5,767	3,524	6	55
Kimble	986	0	648	329	1	8
King	77	0	67	10	0	0
Kinney	783	0	215	567	0	1
Kleberg	8,787	370	1,794	6,485	19	119
Knox	995	107	510	376	0	2
Lamar	12,632	2,310	9,358	768	65	131
Lamb	4,271	207	1,409	2,633	5	17
Lampasas	5,419	206	3,868	1,311	4	30
La Salle	1,821	12	172	1,631	1	5
Lavaca	4,306	423	2,868	998	2	15
Lee	4,324	533	2,360	1,399	6	26
Leon	3,535	419	2,543	557	2	14
Liberty	21,153	2,369	14,291	4,331	26	136
Limestone	5,575	1,204	2,971	1,377	4	19
Lipscomb	706	2	408	286	7	3
Live Oak	2,412	7	1,152	1,251	0	2
Llano	3,171	11	2,731	406	8	15
Loving	4	0	2	2	0	0
Lubbock	63,750	6,374	29,182	26,887	143	1,164
Lynn	1,753	52	710	979	4	8

Texas Child Population (Age 0-17) by Race/Ethnicity: Fiscal Year 2008

County	Total	African American	Anglo	Hispanic	Native American	Other
Madison	2,899	557	1,695	640	1	6
Marion	2,234	732	1,397	77	6	22
Martin	1,565	29	758	777	0	1
Mason	751	1	521	225	2	2
Matagorda	10,652	1,449	3,954	4,824	27	398
Maverick	18,565	14	322	17,890	245	94
McCulloch	1,976	29	1,170	773	1	3
McLennan	57,018	11,021	26,458	18,843	78	618
McMullen	126	4	70	52	0	0
Medina	11,710	73	4,800	6,758	18	61
Menard	496	4	295	193	1	3
Midland	34,135	2,702	14,964	15,864	71	534
Milam	6,783	961	3,569	2,223	6	24
Mills	1,110	21	844	244	0	1
Mitchell	1,767	99	837	823	1	7
Montague	4,426	8	3,828	539	21	30
Montgomery	111,819	4,688	80,128	25,070	228	1,705
Moore	6,516	22	2,538	3,890	10	56
Morris	3,047	866	1,950	206	7	18
Motley	264	8	202	52	0	2
Nacogdoches	14,926	3,022	7,964	3,749	21	170
Navarro	13,383	2,306	6,641	4,294	21	121
Newton	3,460	945	2,376	105	9	25
Nolan	4,037	235	2,178	1,611	1	12
Nueces	87,339	4,107	20,690	61,036	139	1,367
Ochiltree	2,886	6	1,413	1,442	9	16
Oldham	510	12	430	63	2	3
Orange	21,050	2,537	16,698	1,361	75	379
Palo Pinto	6,985	233	4,791	1,885	14	62
Panola	5,397	1,272	3,754	338	5	28
Parker	27,239	301	23,092	3,603	61	182
Parmer	3,051	16	1,068	1,959	1	7
Pecos	4,385	40	1,089	3,239	2	15
Polk	10,127	1,308	6,923	1,600	148	148
Potter	34,765	3,713	14,284	15,653	102	1,013
Presidio	2,633	3	180	2,450	0	0
Rains	2,222	49	1,947	203	5	18
Randall	25,513	666	19,171	5,119	86	471
Reagan	1,002	26	295	678	0	3
Real	590	4	409	174	1	2
Red River	3,352	690	2,264	383	5	10
Reeves	3,373	48	332	2,983	2	8
Refugio	2,029	146	684	1,182	3	14
Roberts	173	1	164	8	0	0
Robertson	4,462	1,322	2,055	1,059	6	20
Rockwall	19,294	722	14,552	3,756	34	230
Runnels	2,846	36	1,491	1,299	4	16
Rusk	11,687	2,307	7,061	2,248	10	61
Sabine	2,046	256	1,702	81	2	5
San Augustine	2,086	848	1,107	125	0	6
San Jacinto	5,519	918	4,187	366	8	40

Texas Child Population (Age 0-17) by Race/Ethnicity: Fiscal Year 2008

County	Total	African American	Anglo	Hispanic	Native American	Other
San Patricio	21,580	711	7,464	13,179	28	198
San Saba	1,369	5	894	466	1	3
Schleicher	734	6	264	460	0	4
Scurry	3,998	166	2,134	1,686	3	9
Shackelford	805	4	710	91	0	0
Shelby	6,881	1,594	3,756	1,504	5	22
Sherman	832	4	523	299	3	3
Smith	50,549	10,465	25,808	13,499	99	678
Somervell	2,075	11	1,549	503	3	9
Starr	23,602	0	286	23,251	5	60
Stephens	2,392	43	1,622	723	0	4
Sterling	303	1	174	128	0	0
Stonewall	332	7	258	67	0	0
Sutton	1,134	2	413	717	1	1
Swisher	2,340	131	1,056	1,151	0	2
Tarrant	467,139	72,239	206,410	161,925	1,488	25,077
Taylor	33,773	3,197	19,962	9,627	80	907
Terrell	184	0	82	97	4	1
Terry	3,564	140	1,259	2,145	2	18
Throckmorton	389	0	322	65	1	1
Titus	9,296	923	3,724	4,563	14	72
Tom Green	26,050	1,266	12,180	12,206	47	351
Travis	216,249	23,497	82,337	98,597	456	11,362
Trinity	3,079	589	2,138	327	5	20
Tyler	4,722	626	3,938	134	3	21
Upshur	9,090	983	7,095	922	17	73
Upton	869	8	339	520	1	1
Uvalde	8,646	21	1,625	6,951	7	42
Val Verde	15,135	160	2,240	12,599	30	106
Van Zandt	12,528	410	10,410	1,618	17	73
Victoria	24,128	1,676	8,770	13,413	29	240
Walker	11,406	2,905	6,024	2,301	11	165
Waller	10,179	2,210	4,358	3,546	10	55
Ward	2,913	133	1,260	1,501	2	17
Washington	7,330	1,754	4,092	1,342	8	134
Webb	87,772	76	2,616	84,486	86	508
Wharton	11,416	1,878	4,306	5,172	9	51
Wheeler	1,111	54	776	267	4	10
Wichita	31,439	4,276	19,413	6,685	140	925
Wilbarger	3,626	343	2,053	1,184	10	36
Willacy	6,603	19	363	6,208	3	10
Williamson	99,774	6,687	63,237	26,463	180	3,207
Wilson	11,013	78	5,977	4,898	10	50
Winkler	1,979	31	773	1,170	1	4
Wise	15,530	104	12,134	3,174	28	90
Wood	7,941	388	6,394	1,112	11	36
Yoakum	2,286	17	844	1,422	1	2
Young	4,230	59	3,240	907	5	19
Zapata	4,727	5	146	4,570	0	6
Zavala	3,875	15	191	3,667	0	2
State Total	6,442,738	772,041	2,447,808	2,987,619	13,425	221,845

Removals by Race/Ethnicity: Fiscal Year 2008

County	Total	African American	Anglo	Hispanic	Native American	Other
Anderson	47	6	26	14	0	1
Andrews	14	0	6	8	0	0
Angelina	41	12	26	3	0	0
Aransas	24	0	12	11	0	1
Archer	0	0	0	0	0	0
Armstrong	0	0	0	0	0	0
Atascosa	45	2	3	40	0	0
Austin	15	4	4	7	0	0
Bailey	25	0	4	20	0	1
Bandera	24	3	16	5	0	0
Bastrop	58	17	24	16	0	1
Baylor	4	0	4	0	0	0
Bee	24	2	3	18	1	0
Bell	402	170	143	69	1	19
Bexar	1,434	216	160	1,025	0	33
Blanco	4	0	3	1	0	0
Borden	0	0	0	0	0	0
Bosque	9	2	5	2	0	0
Bowie	62	25	30	1	0	6
Brazoria	141	29	71	38	0	3
Brazos	74	33	16	24	0	1
Brewster	3	0	1	2	0	0
Briscoe	0	0	0	0	0	0
Brooks	16	0	2	13	0	1
Brown	65	9	38	15	0	3
Burleson	11	5	4	2	0	0
Burnet	42	4	21	15	0	2
Caldwell	56	11	9	33	0	3
Calhoun	2	0	2	0	0	0
Callahan	15	0	11	4	0	0
Cameron	172	0	8	160	0	4
Camp	29	21	7	0	0	1
Carson	6	0	6	0	0	0
Cass	36	10	25	1	0	0
Castro	3	0	3	0	0	0
Chambers	13	4	8	0	0	1
Cherokee	28	10	11	5	2	0
Childress	13	3	8	2	0	0
Clay	1	0	1	0	0	0
Cochran	0	0	0	0	0	0
Coke	0	0	0	0	0	0
Coleman	10	2	7	1	0	0
Collin	203	58	99	34	0	12
Collingsworth	0	0	0	0	0	0
Colorado	4	3	1	0	0	0
Comal	47	2	28	16	0	1
Comanche	19	0	11	8	0	0
Concho	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cooke	21	3	16	1	0	1
Coryell	88	24	55	4	0	5
Cottle	0	0	0	0	0	0
Crane	2	0	1	0	0	1
Crockett	3	0	0	2	0	1

Removals by Race/Ethnicity: Fiscal Year 2008

County	Total	African American	Anglo	Hispanic	Native American	Other
Crosby	1	0	1	0	0	0
Culberson	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dallam	5	0	1	3	0	1
Dallas	999	499	177	288	5	30
Dawson	1	0	0	1	0	0
Deaf Smith	14	0	5	9	0	0
Delta	11	0	11	0	0	0
Denton	173	51	62	54	1	5
De Witt	15	6	2	7	0	0
Dickens	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dimmit	35	0	1	34	0	0
Donley	1	1	0	0	0	0
Duval	33	0	0	33	0	0
Eastland	16	2	9	5	0	0
Ector	79	4	38	36	0	1
Edwards	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ellis	104	24	63	16	0	1
El Paso	212	20	15	173	0	4
Erath	16	0	11	5	0	0
Falls	2	0	0	2	0	0
Fannin	48	18	21	9	0	0
Fayette	23	13	6	4	0	0
Fisher	0	0	0	0	0	0
Floyd	10	0	0	10	0	0
Foard	0	0	0	0	0	0
Fort Bend	83	48	14	18	0	3
Franklin	6	2	3	1	0	0
Freestone	1	0	0	1	0	0
Frio	24	0	1	23	0	0
Gaines	0	0	0	0	0	0
Galveston	177	60	73	42	0	2
Garza	0	0	0	0	0	0
Gillespie	11	3	3	4	0	1
Glasscock	0	0	0	0	0	0
Goliad	0	0	0	0	0	0
Gonzales	6	0	0	6	0	0
Gray	24	3	15	6	0	0
Grayson	79	18	40	18	0	3
Gregg	122	42	55	21	0	4
Grimes	24	3	13	7	0	1
Guadalupe	36	4	10	22	0	0
Hale	56	7	17	31	0	1
Hall	2	0	2	0	0	0
Hamilton	3	0	3	0	0	0
Hansford	1	0	1	0	0	0
Hardeman	1	0	0	1	0	0
Hardin	23	2	21	0	0	0
Harris	1,980	1,036	383	492	5	64
Harrison	31	8	19	4	0	0
Hartley	0	0	0	0	0	0
Haskell	0	0	0	0	0	0
Hays	57	11	26	20	0	0
Hemphill	0	0	0	0	0	0

Removals by Race/Ethnicity: Fiscal Year 2008

County	Total	African American	Anglo	Hispanic	Native American	Other
Henderson	114	13	90	8	0	3
Hidalgo	384	4	16	359	0	5
Hill	19	2	10	5	0	2
Hockley	33	7	4	19	0	3
Hood	17	0	13	2	2	0
Hopkins	39	4	25	9	1	0
Houston	16	5	4	7	0	0
Howard	39	2	14	21	1	1
Hudspeth	1	0	1	0	0	0
Hunt	108	27	72	8	0	1
Hutchinson	28	2	8	14	0	4
Irion	0	0	0	0	0	0
Jack	0	0	0	0	0	0
Jackson	4	1	0	3	0	0
Jasper	20	3	17	0	0	0
Jeff Davis	0	0	0	0	0	0
Jefferson	80	44	27	7	0	2
Jim Hogg	12	0	0	12	0	0
Jim Wells	97	0	3	94	0	0
Johnson	61	5	43	12	0	1
Jones	1	0	0	1	0	0
Karnes	5	0	5	0	0	0
Kaufman	51	7	37	6	0	1
Kendall	13	0	7	5	0	1
Kenedy	0	0	0	0	0	0
Kent	0	0	0	0	0	0
Kerr	68	9	34	24	0	1
Kimble	5	0	0	5	0	0
King	0	0	0	0	0	0
Kinney	2	0	0	2	0	0
Kleberg	46	0	10	32	0	4
Knox	0	0	0	0	0	0
Lamar	33	2	21	4	0	6
Lamb	36	9	8	19	0	0
Lampasas	16	3	6	7	0	0
La Salle	17	0	0	16	0	1
Lavaca	3	3	0	0	0	0
Lee	21	7	6	8	0	0
Leon	12	2	9	1	0	0
Liberty	83	12	65	5	0	1
Limestone	2	0	1	0	0	1
Lipscomb	0	0	0	0	0	0
Live Oak	1	0	1	0	0	0
Llano	18	1	13	4	0	0
Loving	0	0	0	0	0	0
Lubbock	253	48	59	136	0	10
Lynn	0	0	0	0	0	0
Madison	6	2	0	4	0	0
Marion	9	3	5	0	0	1
Martin	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mason	3	0	0	3	0	0
Matagorda	16	2	5	9	0	0
Maverick	27	0	1	21	4	1

Removals by Race/Ethnicity: Fiscal Year 2008

County	Total	African American	Anglo	Hispanic	Native American	Other
McCulloch	9	0	1	7	0	1
McLennan	146	28	67	48	0	3
McMullen	0	0	0	0	0	0
Medina	66	0	15	47	0	4
Menard	1	0	0	1	0	0
Midland	70	15	21	31	0	3
Milam	36	18	9	3	0	6
Mills	4	0	3	0	0	1
Mitchell	1	0	0	1	0	0
Montague	12	0	12	0	0	0
Montgomery	347	31	238	70	2	6
Moore	3	0	0	0	0	3
Morris	7	1	4	2	0	0
Motley	2	0	2	0	0	0
Nacogdoches	54	24	20	8	0	2
Navarro	60	16	36	6	1	1
Newton	6	0	6	0	0	0
Nolan	15	1	9	5	0	0
Nueces	329	24	61	233	3	8
Ochiltree	0	0	0	0	0	0
Oldham	0	0	0	0	0	0
Orange	52	6	40	5	0	1
Palo Pinto	34	5	22	7	0	0
Panola	15	12	2	0	0	1
Parker	16	0	14	1	0	1
Parmer	12	0	3	9	0	0
Pecos	13	0	3	9	0	1
Polk	48	4	38	3	0	3
Potter	78	30	27	20	1	0
Presidio	0	0	0	0	0	0
Rains	7	0	6	1	0	0
Randall	131	15	72	42	1	1
Reagan	5	0	0	4	0	1
Real	2	0	2	0	0	0
Red River	9	2	6	1	0	0
Reeves	8	2	4	2	0	0
Refugio	3	0	0	3	0	0
Roberts	0	0	0	0	0	0
Robertson	15	9	6	0	0	0
Rockwall	14	3	10	1	0	0
Runnels	9	0	5	4	0	0
Rusk	30	10	18	1	0	1
Sabine	1	0	0	0	1	0
San Augustine	0	0	0	0	0	0
San Jacinto	11	1	10	0	0	0
San Patricio	28	2	13	13	0	0
San Saba	1	0	1	0	0	0
Schleicher	424	0	376	0	0	48
Scurry	14	1	3	10	0	0
Shackelford	0	0	0	0	0	0
Shelby	33	19	14	0	0	0
Sherman	0	0	0	0	0	0
Smith	105	37	58	10	0	0

Removals by Race/Ethnicity: Fiscal Year 2008

County	Total	African American	Anglo	Hispanic	Native American	Other
Somervell	2	0	2	0	0	0
Starr	21	0	0	21	0	0
Stephens	5	2	3	0	0	0
Sterling	0	0	0	0	0	0
Stonewall	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sutton	2	0	0	2	0	0
Swisher	3	1	0	2	0	0
Tarrant	668	224	217	197	0	30
Taylor	72	22	29	18	0	3
Terrell	0	0	0	0	0	0
Terry	7	0	0	7	0	0
Throckmorton	0	0	0	0	0	0
Titus	35	11	15	9	0	0
Tom Green	91	7	46	37	0	1
Travis	409	146	60	191	1	11
Trinity	13	1	12	0	0	0
Tyler	9	3	6	0	0	0
Upshur	37	11	25	0	0	1
Upton	3	0	0	3	0	0
Uvalde	41	1	4	35	0	1
Val Verde	10	0	0	10	0	0
Van Zandt	45	2	41	1	0	1
Victoria	65	5	16	43	0	1
Walker	39	22	16	1	0	0
Waller	27	15	8	4	0	0
Ward	5	1	1	3	0	0
Washington	8	4	2	2	0	0
Webb	160	0	5	153	1	1
Wharton	9	3	0	6	0	0
Wheeler	3	1	2	0	0	0
Wichita	110	32	57	15	0	6
Wilbarger	5	0	0	4	0	1
Willacy	42	0	1	41	0	0
Williamson	203	44	78	79	0	2
Wilson	4	1	0	3	0	0
Winkler	5	0	3	1	0	1
Wise	15	0	11	4	0	0
Wood	55	4	48	1	0	2
Yoakum	9	5	2	2	0	0
Young	9	0	8	0	0	1
Zapata	22	0	0	21	0	1
Zavala	22	0	0	22	0	0
Out of State	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	14,295	3,688	4,676	5,464	34	433

Confirmed Victims of Abuse/Neglect by Race/ Ethnicity: Fiscal Year 2008

County	Total	African American	Anglo	Hispanic	Native American	Other
Anderson	247	42	146	50	0	9
Andrews	137	1	46	80	0	10
Angelina	196	56	112	22	0	6
Aransas	157	4	75	73	0	5
Archer	12	0	11	1	0	0
Armstrong	1	0	1	0	0	0
Atascosa	146	3	28	114	0	1
Austin	47	18	22	6	0	1
Bailey	49	1	8	35	0	5
Bandera	68	0	57	8	0	3
Bastrop	250	39	128	78	0	5
Baylor	18	1	14	3	0	0
Bee	226	16	39	168	1	2
Bell	1,130	355	497	213	5	60
Bexar	6,612	836	822	4,657	2	295
Blanco	33	2	17	14	0	0
Borden	0	0	0	0	0	0
Bosque	61	3	43	12	0	3
Bowie	298	109	156	15	0	18
Brazoria	680	117	351	185	0	27
Brazos	536	220	145	151	0	20
Brewster	23	0	11	8	0	4
Briscoe	0	0	0	0	0	0
Brooks	73	0	5	66	0	2
Brown	255	32	170	43	1	9
Burleson	76	19	41	14	0	2
Burnet	168	8	112	41	0	7
Caldwell	173	29	40	96	0	8
Calhoun	78	1	40	36	0	1
Callahan	54	0	53	1	0	0
Cameron	1,413	17	41	1,307	2	46
Camp	82	35	40	7	0	0
Carson	9	0	8	1	0	0
Cass	130	44	76	6	0	4
Castro	18	0	3	15	0	0
Chambers	64	16	35	9	0	4
Cherokee	140	55	55	26	2	2
Childress	19	3	13	2	0	1
Clay	45	1	35	2	0	7
Cochran	17	1	3	12	0	1
Coke	6	0	2	4	0	0
Coleman	89	8	68	13	0	0
Collin	1,246	251	667	252	1	75
Collingsworth	6	0	0	6	0	0
Colorado	28	14	10	4	0	0
Comal	220	14	107	96	0	3
Comanche	64	3	41	18	0	2
Concho	12	0	10	2	0	0
Cooke	122	20	79	16	2	5
Coryell	289	60	178	38	0	13
Cottle	3	1	1	1	0	0
Crane	12	0	8	2	0	2
Crockett	15	0	3	11	0	1

Confirmed Victims of Abuse/Neglect by Race/ Ethnicity: Fiscal Year 2008

County	Total	African American	Anglo	Hispanic	Native American	Other
Crosby	39	5	3	30	0	1
Culberson	11	0	0	10	0	1
Dallam	57	1	23	28	2	3
Dallas	5,403	2,365	1,013	1,787	16	222
Dawson	81	1	10	69	0	1
Deaf Smith	63	0	14	49	0	0
Delta	28	0	27	1	0	0
Denton	1,000	233	489	224	5	49
De Witt	73	11	22	39	0	1
Dickens	23	0	5	16	0	2
Dimmit	97	1	7	87	1	1
Donley	5	0	1	4	0	0
Duval	130	1	3	124	0	2
Eastland	103	6	80	15	0	2
Ector	794	75	273	408	1	37
Edwards	7	1	2	4	0	0
Ellis	381	47	227	94	1	12
El Paso	2,304	152	234	1,813	18	87
Erath	120	0	74	40	0	6
Falls	24	18	5	1	0	0
Fannin	116	31	68	16	0	1
Fayette	83	35	35	12	0	1
Fisher	20	8	7	3	0	2
Floyd	33	5	2	24	1	1
Foard	3	2	0	1	0	0
Fort Bend	404	165	81	144	0	14
Franklin	38	11	22	3	0	2
Freestone	42	4	30	5	2	1
Frio	130	0	13	117	0	0
Gaines	54	4	24	25	0	1
Galveston	702	178	324	180	0	20
Garza	16	0	4	12	0	0
Gillespie	62	3	26	25	0	8
Glasscock	0	0	0	0	0	0
Goliad	32	10	8	13	0	1
Gonzales	71	12	19	38	0	2
Gray	67	4	43	20	0	0
Grayson	340	64	225	34	0	17
Gregg	407	141	211	43	0	12
Grimes	100	16	52	24	0	8
Guadalupe	348	31	121	176	0	20
Hale	153	15	27	107	0	4
Hall	3	1	2	0	0	0
Hamilton	38	0	25	12	0	1
Hansford	20	0	9	7	0	4
Hardeman	7	2	0	5	0	0
Hardin	180	24	145	7	0	4
Harris	6,365	2,674	1,338	2,075	4	274
Harrison	219	61	126	20	4	8
Hartley	9	0	3	6	0	0
Haskell	16	2	13	1	0	0
Hays	330	37	124	154	0	15
Hemphill	10	0	8	1	0	1

Confirmed Victims of Abuse/Neglect by Race/ Ethnicity: Fiscal Year 2008

County	Total	African American	Anglo	Hispanic	Native American	Other
Henderson	432	55	314	50	0	13
Hidalgo	2,819	18	77	2,687	2	35
Hill	105	15	70	13	0	7
Hockley	144	21	37	79	0	7
Hood	217	6	170	33	1	7
Hopkins	135	17	95	18	0	5
Houston	47	12	35	0	0	0
Howard	282	29	103	143	1	6
Hudspeth	3	0	1	2	0	0
Hunt	249	58	155	31	0	5
Hutchinson	75	4	43	23	0	5
Irion	0	0	0	0	0	0
Jack	32	0	27	2	0	3
Jackson	29	7	9	13	0	0
Jasper	68	18	48	0	0	2
Jeff Davis	5	0	5	0	0	0
Jefferson	588	322	200	46	1	19
Jim Hogg	54	0	4	46	0	4
Jim Wells	422	8	25	374	0	15
Johnson	643	36	469	114	1	23
Jones	46	4	26	15	0	1
Karnes	53	1	12	38	0	2
Kaufman	166	18	118	27	0	3
Kendall	36	0	20	13	0	3
Kenedy	0	0	0	0	0	0
Kent	3	0	2	1	0	0
Kerr	246	14	139	86	2	5
Kimble	15	0	10	5	0	0
King	0	0	0	0	0	0
Kinney	0	0	0	0	0	0
Kleberg	186	11	32	140	0	3
Knox	13	4	7	2	0	0
Lamar	164	34	94	20	1	15
Lamb	138	17	27	86	0	8
Lampasas	78	6	40	25	0	7
La Salle	50	0	1	48	0	1
Lavaca	46	11	17	15	0	3
Lee	60	9	23	26	0	2
Leon	78	17	54	3	0	4
Liberty	235	38	160	20	1	16
Limestone	73	27	25	17	0	4
Lipscomb	3	0	1	2	0	0
Live Oak	59	0	16	41	0	2
Llano	48	1	44	2	0	1
Loving	0	0	0	0	0	0
Lubbock	1,540	313	371	810	0	46
Lynn	13	1	5	7	0	0
Madison	69	26	31	11	0	1
Marion	57	9	38	2	0	8
Martin	2	0	0	1	0	1
Mason	16	0	10	2	0	4
Matagorda	91	31	18	41	0	1
Maverick	43	0	0	34	7	2

Confirmed Victims of Abuse/Neglect by Race/ Ethnicity: Fiscal Year 2008

County	Total	African American	Anglo	Hispanic	Native American	Other
McCulloch	46	0	25	17	0	4
McLennan	701	185	281	217	0	18
McMullen	1	0	0	1	0	0
Medina	209	1	55	153	0	0
Menard	8	0	0	6	0	2
Midland	515	97	167	229	0	22
Milam	132	43	57	20	0	12
Mills	5	1	3	1	0	0
Mitchell	14	3	9	2	0	0
Montague	76	0	69	2	0	5
Montgomery	969	74	675	173	2	45
Moore	86	3	26	55	0	2
Morris	31	6	24	1	0	0
Motley	5	0	5	0	0	0
Nacogdoches	116	40	53	17	0	6
Navarro	110	27	68	13	0	2
Newton	22	6	16	0	0	0
Nolan	123	10	43	68	0	2
Nueces	2,036	145	342	1,475	2	72
Ochiltree	15	0	12	3	0	0
Oldham	3	0	1	1	0	1
Orange	375	52	297	18	0	8
Palo Pinto	100	9	73	16	0	2
Panola	75	33	37	4	0	1
Parker	298	8	239	40	0	11
Parmer	17	0	6	11	0	0
Pecos	109	4	16	82	0	7
Polk	201	27	135	24	7	8
Potter	997	255	358	342	5	37
Presidio	9	0	2	7	0	0
Rains	24	0	23	1	0	0
Randall	382	24	254	91	1	12
Reagan	9	0	2	6	0	1
Real	7	0	5	2	0	0
Red River	60	16	36	3	1	4
Reeves	81	0	13	65	0	3
Refugio	22	4	4	13	0	1
Roberts	1	0	1	0	0	0
Robertson	63	23	30	10	0	0
Rockwall	86	8	62	15	0	1
Runnels	62	1	28	33	0	0
Rusk	158	56	85	9	0	8
Sabine	20	0	18	0	1	1
San Augustine	20	8	12	0	0	0
San Jacinto	60	5	49	2	0	4
San Patricio	448	23	101	319	0	5
San Saba	12	0	8	3	0	1
Schleicher	50	0	40	4	0	6
Scurry	104	6	43	49	0	6
Shackelford	18	0	14	4	0	0
Shelby	49	12	27	8	0	2
Sherman	7	0	0	5	0	2
Smith	475	132	267	59	0	17

Confirmed Victims of Abuse/Neglect by Race/Ethnicity: Fiscal Year 2008

County	Total	African American	Anglo	Hispanic	Native American	Other
Somervell	28	4	19	4	1	0
Starr	175	0	2	168	0	5
Stephens	50	3	39	8	0	0
Sterling	4	0	3	1	0	0
Stonewall	1	0	1	0	0	0
Sutton	7	0	1	6	0	0
Swisher	27	3	5	18	0	1
Tarrant	5,804	1,980	1,738	1,769	7	310
Taylor	633	121	309	159	0	44
Terrell	1	0	0	1	0	0
Terry	46	2	5	39	0	0
Throckmorton	3	0	3	0	0	0
Titus	163	39	72	46	1	5
Tom Green	373	29	149	173	0	22
Travis	1,960	479	341	1,072	6	62
Trinity	41	4	35	0	0	2
Tyler	65	6	57	0	0	2
Upshur	167	17	132	9	0	9
Upton	13	0	8	4	0	1
Uvalde	144	1	8	130	0	5
Val Verde	85	5	3	75	0	2
Van Zandt	138	14	114	8	0	2
Victoria	278	24	59	189	0	6
Walker	81	26	44	11	0	0
Waller	93	42	26	23	0	2
Ward	69	15	20	33	0	1
Washington	76	28	27	17	0	4
Webb	684	0	9	661	0	14
Wharton	84	38	11	27	1	7
Wheeler	15	2	9	4	0	0
Wichita	784	193	395	143	1	52
Wilbarger	61	19	13	25	0	4
Willacy	144	0	3	135	0	6
Williamson	966	132	430	360	0	44
Wilson	49	1	16	32	0	0
Winkler	42	1	24	14	0	3
Wise	133	4	103	25	0	1
Wood	132	6	116	8	0	2
Yoakum	25	4	3	13	0	5
Young	122	5	90	25	0	2
Zapata	115	0	13	96	0	6
Zavala	85	2	1	81	0	1
Out of State	11	2	6	1	1	1
Total	70,589	14,728	22,226	30,719	125	2,791

Children Waiting for Adoption* by Race/ Ethnicity: Fiscal Year 2008

County	Total	African American	Anglo	Hispanic	Native American	Other
Anderson	7	5	2	0	0	0
Andrews	2	0	0	2	0	0
Angelina	11	3	8	0	0	0
Aransas	12	1	8	3	0	0
Archer	0	0	0	0	0	0
Armstrong	0	0	0	0	0	0
Atascosa	32	0	5	27	0	0
Austin	4	1	2	1	0	0
Bailey	3	0	2	1	0	0
Bandera	10	0	9	1	0	0
Bastrop	29	7	21	0	0	1
Baylor	0	0	0	0	0	0
Bee	14	1	5	8	0	0
Bell	88	41	34	9	0	4
Bexar	796	158	90	539	1	8
Blanco	0	0	0	0	0	0
Borden	0	0	0	0	0	0
Bosque	2	0	1	1	0	0
Bowie	27	14	12	0	0	1
Brazoria	40	10	19	9	0	2
Brazos	40	23	10	7	0	0
Brewster	6	0	4	2	0	0
Briscoe	0	0	0	0	0	0
Brooks	12	0	0	12	0	0
Brown	25	2	13	10	0	0
Burleson	4	1	1	2	0	0
Burnet	33	4	14	14	0	1
Caldwell	17	3	6	6	0	2
Calhoun	12	0	6	6	0	0
Callahan	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cameron	52	1	3	48	0	0
Camp	0	0	0	0	0	0
Carson	3	0	3	0	0	0
Cass	16	10	5	0	0	1
Castro	7	0	4	3	0	0
Chambers	13	4	8	1	0	0
Cherokee	6	5	0	1	0	0
Childress	4	1	2	1	0	0
Clay	5	2	3	0	0	0
Cochran	0	0	0	0	0	0
Coke	1	1	0	0	0	0
Coleman	2	0	2	0	0	0
Collin	92	24	46	20	0	2
Collingsworth	6	3	1	0	2	0
Colorado	0	0	0	0	0	0
Comal	33	5	17	11	0	0
Comanche	1	0	0	1	0	0
Concho	2	0	0	2	0	0
Cooke	12	0	10	0	0	2
Coryell	15	7	5	2	0	1
Cottle	0	0	0	0	0	0
Crane	2	2	0	0	0	0
Crockett	1	0	1	0	0	0

Children Waiting for Adoption* by Race/ Ethnicity: Fiscal Year 2008

County	Total	African American	Anglo	Hispanic	Native American	Other
Crosby	7	1	0	6	0	0
Culberson	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dallam	8	2	1	4	0	1
Dallas	535	296	114	113	3	9
Dawson	1	0	0	0	0	1
Deaf Smith	2	0	0	2	0	0
Delta	2	0	2	0	0	0
Denton	82	18	42	20	1	1
De Witt	8	2	0	6	0	0
Dickens	2	0	0	2	0	0
Dimmit	14	0	0	14	0	0
Donley	3	0	3	0	0	0
Duval	3	0	0	3	0	0
Eastland	6	1	5	0	0	0
Ector	12	0	12	0	0	0
Edwards	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ellis	18	2	14	2	0	0
El Paso	111	10	11	86	2	2
Erath	13	6	3	1	0	3
Falls	2	0	0	2	0	0
Fannin	6	4	2	0	0	0
Fayette	6	6	0	0	0	0
Fisher	4	3	0	0	0	1
Floyd	2	0	1	1	0	0
Foard	1	1	0	0	0	0
Fort Bend	34	13	1	19	0	1
Franklin	1	0	1	0	0	0
Freestone	7	3	4	0	0	0
Frio	8	0	1	6	0	1
Gaines	0	0	0	0	0	0
Galveston	39	18	14	7	0	0
Garza	0	0	0	0	0	0
Gillespie	10	0	4	6	0	0
Glasscock	0	0	0	0	0	0
Goliad	0	0	0	0	0	0
Gonzales	21	6	6	9	0	0
Gray	10	0	6	4	0	0
Grayson	40	5	26	4	2	3
Gregg	50	24	15	9	0	2
Grimes	3	3	0	0	0	0
Guadalupe	29	6	5	18	0	0
Hale	32	6	9	17	0	0
Hall	3	2	1	0	0	0
Hamilton	1	0	1	0	0	0
Hansford	0	0	0	0	0	0
Hardeman	2	0	1	1	0	0
Hardin	0	0	0	0	0	0
Harris	1,354	792	218	308	1	35
Harrison	19	9	10	0	0	0
Hartley	4	0	4	0	0	0
Haskell	0	0	0	0	0	0
Hays	33	3	8	20	0	2
Hemphill	0	0	0	0	0	0

Children Waiting for Adoption* by Race/ Ethnicity: Fiscal Year 2008

County	Total	African American	Anglo	Hispanic	Native American	Other
Henderson	26	3	22	0	0	1
Hidalgo	25	0	0	24	0	1
Hill	11	0	11	0	0	0
Hockley	26	2	1	23	0	0
Hood	14	1	12	0	0	1
Hopkins	9	3	6	0	0	0
Houston	9	6	2	1	0	0
Howard	5	1	3	1	0	0
Hudspeth	3	1	0	2	0	0
Hunt	12	4	8	0	0	0
Hutchinson	7	4	3	0	0	0
Irion	0	0	0	0	0	0
Jack	5	2	3	0	0	0
Jackson	5	0	0	5	0	0
Jasper	7	2	5	0	0	0
Jeff Davis	0	0	0	0	0	0
Jefferson	47	36	6	1	0	4
Jim Hogg	0	0	0	0	0	0
Jim Wells	10	1	1	8	0	0
Johnson	62	5	43	14	0	0
Jones	2	0	0	1	0	1
Karnes	9	1	0	8	0	0
Kaufman	26	6	14	6	0	0
Kendall	8	0	1	7	0	0
Kenedy	0	0	0	0	0	0
Kent	0	0	0	0	0	0
Kerr	29	3	16	9	0	1
Kimble	0	0	0	0	0	0
King	0	0	0	0	0	0
Kinney	0	0	0	0	0	0
Kleberg	26	4	2	20	0	0
Knox	2	2	0	0	0	0
Lamar	7	2	5	0	0	0
Lamb	8	0	4	4	0	0
Lampasas	13	4	7	2	0	0
La Salle	1	0	0	1	0	0
Lavaca	3	3	0	0	0	0
Lee	1	1	0	0	0	0
Leon	10	6	2	2	0	0
Liberty	18	5	11	2	0	0
Limestone	13	5	6	2	0	0
Lipscomb	1	0	1	0	0	0
Live Oak	0	0	0	0	0	0
Llano	4	0	4	0	0	0
Loving	0	0	0	0	0	0
Lubbock	174	46	39	87	0	2
Lynn	3	0	0	3	0	0
Madison	4	1	3	0	0	0
Marion	3	0	3	0	0	0
Martin	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mason	0	0	0	0	0	0
Matagorda	0	0	0	0	0	0
Maverick	5	0	0	5	0	0

Children Waiting for Adoption* by Race/ Ethnicity: Fiscal Year 2008

County	Total	African American	Anglo	Hispanic	Native American	Other
McCulloch	2	0	2	0	0	0
McLennan	85	43	20	21	0	1
McMullen	0	0	0	0	0	0
Medina	11	0	2	9	0	0
Menard	2	0	0	2	0	0
Midland	9	6	3	0	0	0
Milam	27	10	6	10	0	1
Mills	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mitchell	0	0	0	0	0	0
Montague	4	1	3	0	0	0
Montgomery	108	10	81	13	0	4
Moore	4	2	1	1	0	0
Morris	3	3	0	0	0	0
Motley	1	0	1	0	0	0
Nacogdoches	10	2	5	3	0	0
Navarro	48	17	19	10	0	2
Newton	7	0	7	0	0	0
Nolan	3	3	0	0	0	0
Nueces	118	14	22	81	0	1
Ochiltree	0	0	0	0	0	0
Oldham	0	0	0	0	0	0
Orange	14	3	11	0	0	0
Palo Pinto	12	2	9	1	0	0
Panola	2	0	1	0	0	1
Parker	19	0	18	1	0	0
Parmer	8	1	3	4	0	0
Pecos	5	0	4	1	0	0
Polk	18	1	15	2	0	0
Potter	26	13	9	4	0	0
Presidio	1	0	0	1	0	0
Rains	2	2	0	0	0	0
Randall	64	5	37	22	0	0
Reagan	0	0	0	0	0	0
Real	1	0	0	1	0	0
Red River	1	1	0	0	0	0
Reeves	0	0	0	0	0	0
Refugio	0	0	0	0	0	0
Roberts	0	0	0	0	0	0
Robertson	4	2	2	0	0	0
Rockwall	1	0	1	0	0	0
Runnels	3	0	2	1	0	0
Rusk	4	2	1	1	0	0
Sabine	0	0	0	0	0	0
San Augustine	0	0	0	0	0	0
San Jacinto	5	1	4	0	0	0
San Patricio	20	2	4	14	0	0
San Saba	8	3	1	4	0	0
Schleicher	1	0	1	0	0	0
Scurry	5	1	1	3	0	0
Shackelford	0	0	0	0	0	0
Shelby	6	5	1	0	0	0
Sherman	0	0	0	0	0	0
Smith	10	1	8	1	0	0

Children Waiting for Adoption* by Race/ Ethnicity: Fiscal Year 2008

County	Total	African American	Anglo	Hispanic	Native American	Other
Somervell	0	0	0	0	0	0
Starr	2	0	0	2	0	0
Stephens	3	0	2	1	0	0
Sterling	0	0	0	0	0	0
Stonewall	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sutton	0	0	0	0	0	0
Swisher	14	10	2	2	0	0
Tarrant	285	101	86	85	0	13
Taylor	30	9	12	9	0	0
Terrell	0	0	0	0	0	0
Terry	13	1	0	12	0	0
Throckmorton	0	0	0	0	0	0
Titus	5	3	0	2	0	0
Tom Green	94	11	35	48	0	0
Travis	235	98	31	100	0	6
Trinity	5	0	5	0	0	0
Tyler	3	0	2	1	0	0
Upshur	2	0	2	0	0	0
Upton	2	0	2	0	0	0
Uvalde	10	2	0	8	0	0
Val Verde	11	1	1	9	0	0
Van Zandt	13	1	11	1	0	0
Victoria	36	8	6	20	0	2
Walker	19	5	9	5	0	0
Waller	2	2	0	0	0	0
Ward	3	0	2	1	0	0
Washington	15	6	1	8	0	0
Webb	59	0	0	58	0	1
Wharton	1	1	0	0	0	0
Wheeler	5	0	0	5	0	0
Wichita	44	11	18	14	0	1
Wilbarger	9	0	4	2	1	2
Willacy	5	0	0	5	0	0
Williamson	38	7	11	19	0	1
Wilson	2	0	0	2	0	0
Winkler	0	0	0	0	0	0
Wise	4	0	2	1	0	1
Wood	7	0	5	1	0	1
Yoakum	5	0	1	4	0	0
Young	4	0	1	3	0	0
Zapata	1	0	0	1	0	0
Zavala	7	0	0	7	0	0
Out of State	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	6,375	2,174	1,727	2,325	13	136

* "Waiting for Adoption" means children who are legally free for adoption and not yet in their intended permanent placement.

Licensing Statistics

County	Child Population Age 0-13	Licensed Day Care Operations	Licensed Day Care Capacity	Registered Child-Care Homes	Listed Family Homes	Residential Licensed 24 Hour Care Operations	Residential 24-Hour Care Capacity
Anderson	8,994	14	1,133	7	28	17	123
Andrews	2,974	6	267	0	5	2	8
Angelina	17,552	34	3,055	5	10	21	73
Aransas	3,689	6	355	0	8	2	12
Archer	1,613	6	260	1	2	3	22
Armstrong	414	0	0	1	4	0	0
Atascosa	9,919	16	853	3	22	24	111
Austin	5,044	11	817	5	15	5	102
Bailey	1,729	3	158	1	3	7	28
Bandera	3,078	6	453	0	0	10	178
Bastrop	16,184	45	3,087	11	35	33	169
Baylor	579	3	93	2	3	0	0
Bee	5,939	9	814	1	9	15	202
Bell	60,018	173	13,466	143	129	148	723
Bexar	327,760	661	65,247	501	398	746	3,561
Blanco	1,642	4	137	2	1	3	12
Borden	82	1	13	0	0	0	0
Bosque	3,144	8	246	2	4	3	11
Bowie	16,544	46	3,859	12	41	3	25
Brazoria	59,784	158	16,221	80	75	93	655
Brazos	28,704	77	6,197	33	50	44	218
Brewster	1,541	8	354	0	8	1	2
Briscoe	324	0	0	0	1	1	1
Brooks	1,971	8	295	0	0	0	0
Brown	7,256	17	1,219	10	11	70	386
Burleson	3,479	6	295	4	4	2	5
Burnet	6,907	25	1,638	1	5	18	77
Caldwell	7,860	17	821	0	12	30	300
Calhoun	4,558	14	872	2	2	7	24
Callahan	2,306	5	137	3	11	6	21
Cameron	103,515	205	13,116	34	47	126	1,130
Camp	2,535	5	362	0	5	4	12
Carson	1,130	0	0	3	3	0	0
Cass	5,070	10	662	1	14	0	0
Castro	2,068	2	73	4	7	5	14
Chambers	6,150	14	940	4	8	0	0
Cherokee	9,713	17	1,102	2	17	21	73
Childress	1,335	6	169	5	9	1	2
Clay	1,733	6	231	2	2	8	32
Cochran	819	1	16	1	0	1	81
Coke	600	2	29	0	0	1	3
Coleman	1,645	8	194	3	6	6	22
Collin	150,336	372	43,149	246	211	145	445
Collingsworth	501	2	80	1	3	0	0
Colorado	4,013	11	678	7	10	3	10
Comal	16,572	43	3,714	19	20	33	241
Comanche	2,508	11	302	1	6	6	28
Concho	393	2	85	1	0	0	0
Cooke	7,435	19	700	15	17	1	1
Coryell	13,863	31	2,524	9	21	30	140
Cottle	297	1	34	0	0	0	0
Crane	857	1	40	0	2	1	2

Licensing Statistics

County	Child Population Age 0-13	Licensed Day Care Operations	Licensed Day Care Capacity	Registered Child-Care Homes	Listed Family Homes	Residential Licensed 24 Hour Care Operations	Residential 24-Hour Care Capacity
Crockett	789	3	92	0	1	0	0
Crosby	1,607	0	0	2	3	1	2
Culberson	628	1	59	0	0	0	0
Dallam	1,455	3	240	1	1	2	9
Dallas	521,345	881	89,522	759	682	518	2,314
Dawson	3,034	7	277	2	7	7	37
Deaf Smith	5,109	7	515	1	26	4	16
Delta	852	1	58	0	1	4	13
Denton	129,454	248	25,294	218	216	104	428
De Witt	3,561	10	378	2	1	11	88
Dickens	331	0	0	2	1	0	0
Dimmit	2,407	6	327	0	9	1	3
Donley	562	2	24	1	5	1	5
Duval	2,967	6	212	2	4	2	12
Eastland	3,261	8	274	0	7	10	46
Ector	29,646	49	3,875	6	71	16	50
Edwards	335	1	56	0	0	0	0
Ellis	30,159	57	5,493	35	50	94	499
El Paso	177,056	442	27,211	77	420	212	1,027
Erath	6,713	22	898	24	10	8	108
Falls	3,380	5	174	1	6	12	82
Fannin	6,021	12	696	7	10	2	8
Fayette	3,887	15	479	5	10	6	36
Fisher	626	1	60	0	0	0	0
Floyd	1,799	2	227	2	0	2	6
Foard	239	1	35	0	0	0	0
Fort Bend	94,414	221	25,814	260	103	210	955
Franklin	1,660	6	299	0	2	0	0
Freestone	3,559	10	439	5	12	7	69
Frio	3,759	8	404	1	15	17	69
Gaines	3,804	4	126	1	4	5	12
Galveston	54,029	166	15,036	82	100	43	236
Garza	936	2	80	0	1	0	0
Gillespie	3,330	13	523	6	5	4	23
Glasscock	282	1	12	0	0	0	0
Goliad	1,279	3	151	1	3	11	73
Gonzales	4,137	8	447	0	7	10	161
Gray	3,824	11	719	0	4	4	30
Grayson	21,757	43	3,004	21	47	16	67
Gregg	23,606	59	5,746	22	48	24	93
Grimes	4,581	18	440	4	7	1	3
Guadalupe	21,711	36	2,526	31	39	34	129
Hale	8,702	14	890	6	16	4	14
Hall	756	1	37	1	1	0	0
Hamilton	1,341	3	131	8	4	7	37
Hansford	1,215	3	103	0	5	1	4
Hardeman	817	6	143	2	6	0	0
Hardin	9,674	15	1,463	1	3	8	42
Harris	838,084	1,648	169,029	1,854	1,256	1,052	5,398
Harrison	11,586	23	1,597	10	54	13	127
Hartley	952	1	150	1	1	1	6
Haskell	1,034	3	82	1	1	0	0

Licensing Statistics

County	Child Population Age 0-13	Licensed Day Care Operations	Licensed Day Care Capacity	Registered Child-Care Homes	Listed Family Homes	Residential Licensed 24 Hour Care Operations	Residential 24-Hour Care Capacity
Hays	25,176	78	5,134	33	48	54	467
Hemphill	625	1	68	0	0	1	3
Henderson	14,265	22	1,845	2	19	32	118
Hidalgo	193,260	473	26,306	172	285	115	593
Hill	6,947	13	518	6	9	9	104
Hockley	5,193	15	450	2	11	7	38
Hood	7,553	24	1,660	10	12	8	37
Hopkins	6,648	17	1,171	2	12	6	30
Houston	3,687	6	201	6	8	4	11
Howard	6,063	12	1,135	1	3	4	16
Hudspeth	713	1	17	0	2	1	4
Hunt	16,466	30	2,737	14	24	22	143
Hutchinson	4,641	8	367	7	10	7	31
Irion	222	1	20	1	1	0	0
Jack	1,513	1	12	0	4	0	0
Jackson	2,940	12	416	3	14	5	21
Jasper	6,975	10	702	3	7	6	25
Jeff Davis	275	0	0	1	1	1	84
Jefferson	48,542	91	8,517	39	31	56	399
Jim Hogg	1,143	3	180	2	2	0	0
Jim Wells	9,517	23	933	2	11	9	42
Johnson	31,885	43	4,450	20	37	57	228
Jones	3,251	5	135	2	14	4	10
Karnes	2,549	7	186	1	8	1	2
Kaufman	18,785	41	4,310	22	36	40	173
Kendall	4,970	15	1,207	3	5	27	173
Kenedy	95	0	0	0	0	0	0
Kent	86	0	0	0	0	1	12
Kerr	7,104	20	1,423	6	18	26	292
Kimble	765	3	112	0	3	1	4
King	47	0	0	0	1	0	0
Kinney	588	0	0	1	0	1	3
Kleberg	7,160	15	733	8	12	11	53
Knox	743	3	126	0	0	0	0
Lamar	9,739	27	1,734	8	16	7	23
Lamb	3,328	4	132	1	8	2	6
Lampasas	4,132	10	310	6	10	18	113
La Salle	1,476	2	99	0	1	0	0
Lavaca	3,286	20	711	7	15	3	14
Lee	3,263	11	548	2	0	19	101
Leon	2,658	6	145	0	6	4	13
Liberty	15,759	21	1,433	3	12	11	50
Limestone	4,302	12	694	8	4	5	30
Lipscomb	531	0	0	0	0	1	9
Live Oak	1,804	5	133	1	2	6	25
Llano	2,362	7	280	0	6	5	12
Loving	3	0	0	0	0	0	0
Lubbock	50,822	162	11,739	53	91	130	688
Lynn	1,306	2	37	1	7	0	0
Madison	2,253	8	239	2	1	1	2
Marion	1,718	3	121	1	5	0	0
Martin	1,216	2	86	0	3	1	3

Licensing Statistics

County	Child Population Age 0-13	Licensed Day Care Operations	Licensed Day Care Capacity	Registered Child-Care Homes	Listed Family Homes	Residential Licensed 24 Hour Care Operations	Residential 24-Hour Care Capacity
Mason	560	2	68	0	3	1	3
Matagorda	8,176	12	952	7	22	4	12
Maverick	14,194	19	1,805	4	10	2	4
McCulloch	1,533	6	167	1	8	15	82
McLennan	44,891	124	9,919	36	78	72	633
McMullen	87	0	0	0	0	0	0
Medina	8,616	11	751	11	10	24	97
Menard	390	1	20	0	1	0	0
Midland	26,483	69	6,066	7	25	29	142
Milam	5,194	16	632	2	14	5	68
Mills	816	2	24	4	2	12	163
Mitchell	1,321	1	93	1	1	1	3
Montague	3,425	11	354	4	3	1	4
Montgomery	82,276	171	17,795	40	85	108	491
Moore	5,028	5	227	1	7	2	3
Morris	2,341	5	247	3	10	2	10
Motley	192	0	0	0	0	0	0
Nacogdoches	11,320	18	2,079	6	8	15	84
Navarro	10,272	23	1,719	18	20	93	417
Newton	2,573	3	107	0	0	2	10
Nolan	3,125	11	606	1	9	1	1
Nueces	69,017	187	13,332	59	122	127	652
Ochiltree	2,259	6	347	0	1	1	4
Oldham	377	2	95	0	1	1	437
Orange	15,736	36	2,677	13	20	11	56
Palo Pinto	5,356	8	457	7	16	4	20
Panola	4,128	9	688	2	8	2	11
Parker	19,263	36	3,187	11	20	39	203
Parmer	2,334	2	68	3	2	3	9
Pecos	3,393	7	355	2	3	0	0
Polk	7,644	12	785	1	2	8	42
Potter	27,953	49	4,465	36	95	45	252
Presidio	2,052	1	12	1	3	0	0
Rains	1,632	1	34	1	2	3	11
Randall	19,097	44	4,427	47	62	70	398
Reagan	776	1	60	0	2	0	0
Real	431	2	96	0	0	2	5
Red River	2,563	8	310	1	11	0	0
Reeves	2,658	5	203	0	2	0	0
Refugio	1,555	5	141	1	11	0	0
Roberts	132	0	0	0	1	0	0
Robertson	3,381	8	461	1	4	3	7
Rockwall	13,667	33	3,059	8	14	19	65
Runnels	2,194	8	211	3	12	1	2
Rusk	8,901	16	741	3	10	11	49
Sabine	1,574	1	100	0	0	0	0
San Augustine	1,618	6	208	1	1	5	24
San Jacinto	4,061	2	137	1	4	6	27
San Patricio	16,582	33	1,996	5	33	21	111
San Saba	1,051	2	43	5	3	11	121
Schleicher	586	2	32	0	1	0	0
Scurry	3,131	5	439	1	3	6	27

Licensing Statistics

County	Child Population Age 0-13	Licensed Day Care Operations	Licensed Day Care Capacity	Registered Child-Care Homes	Listed Family Homes	Residential Licensed 24 Hour Care Operations	Residential 24-Hour Care Capacity
Shackelford	619	1	51	1	3	4	16
Shelby	5,374	5	302	1	2	23	124
Sherman	597	1	35	1	1	1	6
Smith	38,910	95	8,242	18	60	84	458
Somervell	1,548	5	280	1	1	2	112
Starr	18,468	31	1,492	7	26	3	24
Stephens	1,828	3	130	2	4	4	14
Sterling	211	1	12	0	1	1	4
Stonewall	259	2	48	0	1	0	0
Sutton	869	3	75	1	2	0	0
Swisher	1,856	2	200	3	6	4	25
Tarrant	364,790	745	71,424	798	511	595	2,248
Taylor	27,323	53	4,924	45	69	52	280
Terrell	121	0	0	0	0	0	0
Terry	2,863	4	196	3	5	3	17
Throckmorton	285	0	0	1	0	0	0
Titus	7,289	8	1,156	3	11	0	0
Tom Green	20,413	52	4,730	32	58	19	177
Travis	174,329	492	41,146	197	286	258	1,230
Trinity	2,392	5	343	0	1	4	46
Tyler	3,535	9	327	0	2	4	60
Upshur	6,861	8	483	0	13	10	62
Upton	666	1	20	0	0	0	0
Uvalde	6,754	15	962	1	11	4	19
Val Verde	11,836	19	1,415	7	20	17	56
Van Zandt	9,266	19	1,430	2	16	25	110
Victoria	18,986	66	3,573	9	33	23	225
Walker	8,762	24	2,228	5	30	6	270
Waller	7,691	21	1,069	6	9	7	26
Ward	2,241	4	168	0	11	0	0
Washington	5,644	14	1,340	10	15	1	26
Webb	70,877	119	6,571	27	470	70	357
Wharton	8,686	17	1,733	4	37	7	19
Wheeler	833	1	12	0	2	3	8
Wichita	24,351	72	4,155	49	34	11	73
Wilbarger	2,837	8	444	12	7	2	7
Willacy	5,170	10	580	8	10	1	3
Williamson	74,288	239	22,226	139	208	181	883
Wilson	7,924	19	1,187	8	18	25	103
Winkler	1,526	2	77	3	4	0	0
Wise	11,350	23	1,340	8	19	10	34
Wood	5,959	12	568	2	8	11	86
Yoakum	1,789	3	58	0	2	0	0
Young	3,237	13	548	7	4	1	4
Zapata	3,623	8	479	0	9	2	12
Zavala	2,953	6	479	0	8	0	0
Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	2	6
State Totals	5,008,982	10,874	930,996	6,895	8,257	7,192	37,817

* Does not include 331 child-placing agencies, 2,570 CPS-approved foster/adoptive homes and 13 maternity homes.

Definitions

Adult Protective Services Program

Abuse (In-Home) – The negligent or willful infliction of injury, unreasonable confinement, intimidation, or cruel punishment with resulting physical or emotional harm or pain by a caretaker, family member, or other individual with whom the person who is elderly or disabled has an ongoing relationship.

Adult – A person 18 or older, or an emancipated minor.

Aged or Elderly Person – A person 65 or older.

Allegation (In-Home) – An assertion that a person who is elderly or who is an adult with a disability is in a state of, or at risk of, harm due to abuse, neglect, or exploitation.

Allegation (MH & MR Investigations Program) – A report by an individual that a person receiving services in a state operated and/or contracted setting that serves children and adults with mental illness or mental retardation has been or is in a state of abuse, neglect, or exploitation.

Alleged Perpetrator – (In-Home) A person who is reported to have abused, neglected or exploited a person who is elderly or who is an adult with a disability.

Alleged Perpetrator- (MH & MR Investigations Program) – Staff employed in a state operated and/or contracted setting that serves persons with mental illness or mental retardation who is reported to have abused, neglected or exploited a person served in a state operated and/or contracted setting.

Alleged Victim – (In-Home) An elderly person or an adult with a disability who has been reported to adult protective services staff to be in a state of or at risk of abuse, neglect, or exploitation.

Alleged Victim (MH & MR Investigations Program) – A person served who is alleged to have been abused, neglected, or exploited.

APS – Adult Protective Services

APS In-Home – The term used to refer to investigations and service delivery related to abuse, neglect, and exploitation of persons who are elderly and adults with disabilities who generally live in non-institutional settings, that is, private homes, small foster homes, and legally unlicensed room and board facilities. APS in-home caseworkers investigate exploitation in licensed facilities when the alleged perpetrator is not affiliated with the institution. In previous years Adult Protective Services in-home counts have been referred to as “community” cases. To avoid confusion with investigations in community MH & MR centers, the title “community” is no longer used when referring to the APS in-home program area.

APS MH & MR Investigations Program – Investigations conducted by APS related to abuse, neglect, or exploitation of persons with disabilities served by state operated mental health and mental retardation facilities and/or state contracted settings.

Capacity to Consent – Having the mental and physical ability to understand the current problems and the services offered and to accept or reject those services, knowing the consequences of the decision.

Caretaker – A guardian, representative payee, or other person who by act, words, or course of conduct has acted so as to cause a reasonable person to conclude that he has accepted the responsibility for protection, food, shelter, or care for a person who is elderly or an adult with a disability.

Client – A person who is elderly or an adult with a disability who has been determined in a validated finding to be in need of protective services.

Confidentiality – Records relating to the department’s protective clients are not open to public inspection.

Confirmed (MH and MR Investigations) – There is a preponderance of credible evidence to support that abuse, neglect, or exploitation occurred.

Court-Authorized Entry – To carry out an investigation of reported abuse, neglect, or exploitation, the court may authorize the department to enter the premises of an elderly or disabled person.

Designated Perpetrator – In-Home A person who has been determined in a validated finding to have abused, neglected or exploited a person who is elderly or who has a disability.

Designated Perpetrator (MH & MR Investigations Program) – Staff working in a state operated and/or contracted setting serving persons with mental illness or mental retardation who has been determined in a confirmed finding to have abused, neglected or exploited a person served in a state operated and/or contracted setting.

Designated Victim – An elderly person or an adult with a disability for which a finding of abuse, neglect, or exploitation by a caretaker has been validated.

Designated Victim (MH & MR Investigations Program) – A person served who has been abused, neglected, or exploited.

Developmental Disability – A severe, chronic disability of an individual that:

- results from a mental and/or physical impairment;
- begins before age 22;
- is likely to be life-long;
- results in major limitations in three or more areas of everyday functioning (self-care, receptive and expressive language, learning, mobility, self-direction, capacity for independent living, and economic self-sufficiency); and
- reflects the individual's need for special services that are individually planned and coordinated.

Disabled Person – A person with a physical, mental, or developmental disability that substantially impairs the person's ability to provide adequately for the person's care or protection and who is 18 years of age or older or under 18 years of age and who has had the disabilities of minority removed.

Emergency Client Services (ECS) – Emergency client services provided in accordance with §48.002(5) of the Human Resources Code, including, but not limited to, emergency shelter, medical and psychiatric assessments, in-home care, residential care, heavy housecleaning, minor home repairs, money management, transportation, emergency food, medication, and other supplies. Specific emergency client services are only available in a community if those specific services are not available through other state and local resources. To be eligible for emergency client services, a person who is elderly or an adult with disabilities must be receiving Adult Protective Services ??? from the Texas Department of Family and Protective Services in accordance with §§48.002(5) and 48.021(a) of the Human Resources Code and have a service plan that has been developed by the department under these sections and that indicates that emergency client services are needed to remedy abuse, neglect, or exploitation. All other available resources must be used where feasible before emergency client services are initiated.

Emancipated Minor – A person under age 18 who has the power and capacity of an adult. This includes a minor who has had the disabilities of minority removed by a court of law or a minor who, with or without parental consent, has been married.

Emotional or Verbal Abuse (In-Home) – Any use of verbal communication or other behavior to humiliate, intimidate, vilify, degrade, or threaten with harm.

Emotional or Verbal Abuse (MH & MR Investigations Program) – Any act or use of verbal or other communication, including gestures to curse, vilify or degrade a person served by a MH & MR facility or program, or threaten a person served with physical or emotional harm. The act or communication must result in observable distress or harm to the person served by a MH & MR facility or program, or be of such nature that a reasonable person would consider it harmful or causing distress.

Exploitation (In-Home) – The illegal or improper act or process of a caretaker, family member, or other individual who has an ongoing relationship with a person who is elderly or disabled using the resources of the person who is elderly or disabled for monetary or personal benefit, profit, or gain without the informed consent of the person who is elderly or disabled.

Exploitation (MH & MR Investigations Program) – The illegal or improper act or process of using a person served by a MH & MR facility or program or the resources of a person served by a MH & MR facility or program for monetary or personal benefit, profit, or gain when the alleged perpetrator is an employee, agent, or contractor.

False Reports – A person commits an offense if the person knowingly or intentionally reports information that the person knows is false or lacks factual foundation. Such an offense is a Class B misdemeanor.

Immunity – A person filing a report, participating in an investigation, testifying, or participating in any judicial proceeding arising from a petition, report, or investigation is immune from civil or criminal liability. A person, including an authorized department volunteer, medical personnel, or law enforcement officer, who participates in an investigation or the provision of services is also immune as long as the person is acting in good faith.

Incapacitated Person – An adult individual who, because of a physical or mental condition is substantially unable to provide food, clothing, or shelter for himself or herself, to care for the individual's own physical health, or to manage the individual's own financial affairs; a missing person; or a person who must have a guardian appointed to receive funds due the person from any governmental source. (A minor is also a legally incapacitated person.)

Incidence of Maltreatment – Ratio of the number of confirmed APS in-home cases in a geographic area to the total population in that area of persons who are elderly and persons who have disabilities.

Inconclusive (MH and MR Investigations) – There is not a preponderance of credible evidence to indicate that abuse, neglect, or exploitation did or did not occur due to lack of witnesses or other available evidence.

Information Management Protecting Adults and Children in Texas (IMPACT) – The browser-based software application by which Adult Protective Services (APS) and Child Protective Services (CPS) staff document cases.

Intake Priorities (In-Home) – In establishing priorities, the department defines the time frames for beginning an investigation and for conducting a face-to-face interview with the alleged victim. Adult protective services priorities are based on the degree of severity and immediacy of the alleged harm to the individual.

Priority I – APS reports that allege the victim is in a state of serious harm or is in danger of death from abuse or neglect. The caseworker must attempt a face-to-face visit with the alleged victim within 24 hours of the department's receipt of a Priority I report, which may include, but is not limited to, the following:

1. Serious injuries. Examples: spinal injury, fractured ribs puncturing lung, head injury, severe burns, broken hip, internal injuries.
2. Lack of life-sustaining medication.
3. Serious threats by caretaker to harm or kill alleged victim.
4. Lack of basic physical necessities severe enough to result in freezing, starvation, or dehydration.
5. Need for immediate medical attention to treat conditions that could result in irreversible physical harm, e.g., unconsciousness, acute pain, severe respiratory distress, gangrene, hemorrhaging, severe malnutrition.
6. Suicide threats or attempts unless there is clearly no immediate danger to the alleged victim.
7. Sexual abuse when there is danger of repeated abuse.
8. No caretaker is available; the alleged victim is unable to perform critical personal care activities, and his needs cannot be met by community care services.

Priority II – APS reports that allege the victim is abused, neglected, or exploited and, as a result, is at risk of serious harm. The caseworker must attempt a face-to-face visit with the alleged victim within three calendar days of the department's receipt of a Priority II report. For example, if the intake was received on 9-01, the caseworker

must attempt a face-to-face visit on or before 9-04. Priority II reports may include, but are not limited to, the following:

1. Critical need for mental health or medical treatment. Examples: suicidal but no immediate danger, overly aggressive behavior, open bedsores or other open wounds, malnutrition, sprains, fractures, or disease or illness of an acute nature.
2. Falling or being pushed, hit, or scratched, which is reported to have resulted in bruises, other injuries, or severe mental anguish.
3. Inadequate attention to physical needs. Examples: insufficient food or medicine.
4. Illegal or improper use of alleged victim's income or resources to the degree that alleged victim is unable to meet basic subsistence needs or is threatened with substantial loss of income or resources.
5. Unreasonable confinement.
6. Sexual abuse of the alleged victim by the caretaker, but clearly no immediate danger of repeated abuse.
7. Caretaker has threatened physical violence that would cause harm to the alleged victim.
8. Living conditions that pose a serious health or safety hazard. Examples: fecal contamination, dead animals, major structural damage to shelter.
9. Imminent eviction from a nursing home because the alleged victim's representative has failed to use the alleged victim's income to pay for his care.
10. Threatened loss of caretaker when the alleged victim is dependent for basic needs.

Priority III – Consists of all other APS reports that allege the victim is in a state of abuse or neglect. The caseworker must attempt a face-to-face visit with the alleged victim within seven calendar days of the department's receipt of a Priority III report. For example, if the intake was received on September 1, the caseworker must attempt a face-to-face visit on or before September 8. Priority III reports may include, but are not limited to, the following:

1. Verbal or emotional abuse. Examples: harassment, cursing, degrading remarks, intimidation.
2. Marginal care or threatened withdrawal of care by caretaker when the alleged victim needs some assistance with his basic activities of daily living.
3. Falling or being pushed, hit, or scratched when such actions are not reported to result in bruises, other injuries, or severe mental anguish.
4. Need for mental health or medical treatment that is not urgent. Examples: mild depression, delusional thinking that is not dangerous to the alleged victim or others, poor nutrition, or disease or illness that is not acute.

Priority IV – APS reports that allege exploitation when there is no danger of imminent impoverishment or deprivation of basic needs. The caseworker must attempt a face-to-face visit with the alleged victim within 14 calendar days from the date the department receives a Priority IV report. For example, if the intake was received on September 1, the caseworker must attempt a face-to-face visit on or before September 15. Priority IV reports may include, but are not limited to, the following:

1. Lack of appropriate contribution to food and shelter expenses by household members.
2. Misuse of a nursing home resident's personal needs allowance by someone who is not affiliated with the nursing home. (If the alleged perpetrator is an employee of the nursing home, refer to the Texas Department of Aging and Disability Services.)
3. Improper use of income or resources but the alleged victim's needs are still met.
4. Exploitation that is not ongoing and is not likely to recur.

Intake Priorities for MH & MR Investigations Program –

Priority I – Priority I reports have a serious risk that a delay in the investigation will impede the collection of

evidence, or allege that the victim has been subjected to maltreatment by an act or omission that caused or may have caused serious physical or emotional harm. Priority I reports include, but are not limited to: death, sexual abuse, serious physical abuse injury, serious verbal or emotional abuse, or incitement to harm self or others. The investigator must attempt a face-to-face contact with the alleged victim within 24 hours of receipt of the report by the department.

Priority II – Priority II reports have some risk that a delay in investigation will impede the collection of evidence, or allege that the victim has been subjected to a maltreatment by act or omission that caused or may have caused non-serious physical injury, or emotional harm not included in Priority I. Priority II reports include, but are not limited to, the following: non-serious physical injury, non-serious verbal/emotional abuse, and/or exploitation. The investigator must attempt a face-to-face contact with the alleged victim within three calendar days of receipt of the report by the department.

Priority III – Priority III reports allege maltreatment that would otherwise be classified as Priority I or II but the alleged incident occurred more than 30 days prior to the date of the report and there is no known or perceived risk. The investigator must attempt a face-to-face contact with the alleged victim within seven calendar days of receipt of the report by the department.

Institution – An establishment that furnishes, in one or more facilities, food and shelter to four or more persons who are unrelated to the proprietor of the establishment and provides minor treatment under the direction and supervision of a physician licensed by the Texas State Board of Medical Examiners, or other services that meet some need beyond the basic provision of food, shelter, and laundry.

Invalid (In-Home)- An invalid finding, based on the standard of preponderance of the evidence, means it is more likely than not that the abuse, neglect or exploitation did not occur.

Least Restrictive Alternative – An action or service that protects a client while allowing personal autonomy to the fullest degree possible.

MH & MR Facilities – State schools, state hospitals, and state centers.

MH & MR Non-Institutional Settings- Home and Community-Based Waiver (HCS-W) services, Texas Home Living Waiver program and Community MH & MR Centers. Community Centers may contract to provide a number of services including Intermediate Care for Persons with Mental Retardation (ICF-MR), home and community-based services (HCS) and adult day programs.

Neglect (In-Home) – The failure to provide for one’s self the goods or services, including medical services, which are necessary to avoid physical or emotional harm or pain or the failure of a caretaker to provide the goods or services.

Neglect (MH & MR Investigations Program) – When the alleged perpetrator is a mental health or mental retardation employee, agent, or contractor, neglect is defined as a negligent act or omission by any individual responsible for providing services to a person served by a MH & MR facility or program, which caused or may have caused physical or emotional injury or death to a person served or which placed a person served at risk of physical or emotional injury or death. Neglect includes, but is not limited to, the failure to:

1. establish or carry out an appropriate individual program plan or treatment plan for a person served, if such failure results in a specific incident or allegation involving a person served by a MH & MR facility or program;
2. provide adequate nutrition, clothing, or health care to a specific person served in a residential or inpatient program; or
3. provide a safe environment for a specific person served, including the failure to maintain adequate numbers of appropriately trained staff if such failure results in a specific incident or allegation involving a person served by a MH & MR facility or program.

Objection to Medical Treatment - Medical treatment may not be ordered for clients who object to treatment on religious grounds.

Physical Abuse (MH & MR Investigations Program) - When the alleged perpetrator is an employee, agent, or contractor, physical abuse is defined as:

1. an act or failure to act performed knowingly, recklessly, or intentionally, including incitement to act, which caused or may have caused physical injury or death to a person served;
2. an act of inappropriate or excessive force or corporal punishment, regardless of whether the act results in a physical injury to a person served; or
3. the use of chemical or bodily restraints on a person served not in compliance with federal and state laws and regulations.

Protective Services – The services furnished by the department or by a protective services agency to a person who is elderly or who has a disability and has been determined to be in a state of abuse, exploitation, or neglect. These services may include social casework, case management, and arranging for psychiatric and health evaluation, home care, day care, social services, health care, and other services consistent with chapter 48 of the Human Resource Code. Protective services are provided in In-Home cases and to recipients of HCSW services.

Reporter – A person who makes a referral to adult protective services staff about a situation of alleged abuse, neglect, or exploitation of a person who is elderly or has a disability.

Sexual Abuse (In-Home) – Any involuntary or non-consensual sexual conduct including conduct that would constitute an offense under Section 21.08, Penal Code, or Chapter 22, Penal Code.

Sexual Abuse (MH & MR Investigations Program) - any sexual activity, by a mental health or mental retardation employee, agent, or contractor, including but not limited to:

1. kissing a person served with sexual intent;
2. hugging a person served with sexual intent;
3. stroking a person served with sexual intent;
4. fondling a person served with sexual intent;
4. engaging in with a person served:
 - sexual conduct as defined in the Texas Penal Code, §43.01; or
 - any activity that is obscene as defined in the Texas Penal Code, §43.21;
5. requesting, soliciting, or compelling a person served to engage in:
 - sexual conduct as defined in the Texas Penal Code, §43.01; or
 - any activity that is obscene as defined in the Texas Penal Code, §43.21;
7. in the presence of a person served:
 - engaging in or displaying any activity that is obscene, as defined in the Texas Penal Code §43.21; or
 - requesting, soliciting, or compelling another person to engage in any activity that is obscene, as defined in the Texas Penal Code §43.21;
8. committing sexual exploitation as defined in §711.15 of this title (relating to “How is sexual exploitation defined?”) against a person served;
9. committing sexual assault as defined in the Texas Penal Code §22.011, against a person served;
10. committing aggravated sexual assault as defined in the Texas Penal Code, §22.021, against a person served; and
11. causing, permitting, encouraging, engaging in, or allowing the photographing, filming, videotaping, or depicting of a person served if the employee, agent, or contractor knew or should have known that the resulting photograph, film, videotape, or depiction of the person served is obscene as defined in the Texas Penal Code, §43.21, or is pornographic.

Unable to Determine (In-Home)- An Unable to Determine finding results when there is not a preponderance of evidence that supports either validation or invalidation.

Unconfirmed (MH and MR Investigations Program)- there is a preponderance of credible evidence to support that abuse, neglect, or exploitation did not occur.

Unfounded (MH and MR Investigations Program)- evidence gathered indicates that the allegation is spurious or patently without factual basis.

Valid (In-Home)- A Valid finding, based on the standard of preponderance of the evidence, means it is more likely than not that the abuse, neglect or exploitation occurred.

Child Protective Services Program

CPS Abuse and Neglect Definitions-The principal governing legislation for CPS investigations is Chapter 261 of the Texas Family Code (TFC). The TFC definitions of abuse or neglect investigated by CPS are:

Physical Abuse - Physical injury that results in substantial harm to the child, or the genuine threat of substantial harm from physical injury to the child, including an injury that is at variance with the history or explanation given and excluding an accident or reasonable discipline by a parent, guardian, or managing or possessory conservator that does not expose the child to a substantial risk of harm; failure to make a reasonable effort to prevent an action by another person that results in physical injury that results in substantial harm to the child; the current use by a person of a controlled substance as defined by Chapter 481, Health and Safety Code, in a manner or to the extent that the use results in physical injury to a child; or causing, expressly permitting, or encouraging a child to use a controlled substance as defined by Chapter 481, Health and Safety Code.

Sexual Abuse - Sexual conduct harmful to a child's mental, emotional, or physical welfare, including conduct that constitutes the offense of indecency with a child under Section 21.11, Penal Code, sexual assault under Section 22.011, Penal Code, or aggravated sexual assault under Section 22.021, Penal Code; failure to make a reasonable effort to prevent sexual conduct harmful to a child; compelling or encouraging a child to engage in sexual conduct as defined by Section 43.01, Penal Code; causing, permitting, encouraging, engaging in, or allowing the photographing, filming, or depicting of the child if the person knew or should have known that the resulting photograph, film, or depiction of the child is obscene (as defined by the Penal Code) or pornographic; or causing, permitting, encouraging, engaging in, or allowing a sexual performance by a child as defined by 43.25, Penal Code.

Emotional Abuse - Mental or emotional injury to a child that results in an observable and material impairment in the child's growth, development, or psychological functioning; causing or permitting the child to be in a situation in which the child sustains a mental or emotional injury that results in an observable and material impairment in the child's growth, development, or psychological functioning; or the current use by a person of a controlled substance as defined by Chapter 481, Health and Safety Code, in a manner or to the extent that the use results in mental, or emotional injury to a child.

Neglectful Supervision - Placing the child in or failing to remove the child from a situation that a reasonable person would realize requires judgment or actions beyond the child's level of maturity, physical condition, or mental abilities and that results in bodily injury or a substantial risk of immediate harm to the child; or placing a child in or failing to remove the child from a situation in which the child would be exposed to a substantial risk of sexual conduct harmful to the child.

Medical Neglect - Failing to seek, obtain, or follow through with medical care for a child, with the failure resulting in or presenting a substantial risk of death, disfigurement, or bodily injury or with the failure resulting in an observable and material impairment to the growth, development, or functioning of the child.

Physical Neglect - Failure to provide the child with food, clothing, or shelter necessary to sustain the life or health of the child, excluding failure caused primarily by financial inability unless relief services had been offered and refused.

Refusal to Assume Parental Responsibility - Failure by the person responsible for a child's care, custody, or welfare to permit the child to return to the child's home without arranging for the necessary care for the child after the child has been absent from the home for any reason, including having been in residential placement or having run away.

Abandonment - The leaving of a child in a situation where the child would be exposed to a substantial risk of physical or mental harm, without arranging for necessary care for the child, and a demonstration of an intent not to return by a parent, guardian, or managing or possessory conservator of the child.

Children in Foster Care – All children in DFPS’ legal responsibility who are in a placement paid by DFPS or other public facility. These placements include foster homes, foster group homes, institutions, residential treatment facilities, and juvenile facilities. This is a subset of Children in Substitute Care.

Children in Substitute Care – Children in Substitute Care – Children under 18 years of age in DFPS’ legal responsibility who are removed and placed outside their own home (home of origin). This includes foster homes, institutions, foster group homes, residential treatment facilities, hospitals, adoptive homes, juvenile facilities, kinship care placements, and independent living arrangements. Also included are the youth who age out of DFPS’ legal responsibility and continue in foster care placements to complete vocational training by age 19 or to graduate from high school before they turn 22 years old.

Kinship care- The term used to describe those situations in which children, who are no longer able to live with their own parents, are cared for by relatives or other people known as “fictive kin” that have a significant relationship with the child or the child’s family, such as a God Parent or family friend.

Children in the Legal Responsibility of the Texas Department of Family and Protective Services – All children for whom the courts have appointed the Texas Department of Family and Protective Services legal responsibility by temporary or permanent managing conservatorship or other court ordered legal basis. These children may be residing in an out-of-home placement or may have been returned to their own home (home of origin). When there is an immediate danger to the physical health or safety of a child, the Texas Family Code(TFC) provides three options for intervention by DFPS into a family: (1) emergency removal of the child from the home prior to obtaining a court order; (2) removal of the child after obtaining an ex parte order; or, (3) removal of the child after notice and hearing. Within 14 days from the date the child is taken into possession, a Full Adversary Hearing is held at which time the child is either returned home or if there is a continuing danger, temporary orders for managing conservatorship are issued. Within 12 months from the order appointing DFPS as the child’s temporary managing conservator, the court must either return the child to the parent and dismiss the suit, appoint a parent, relative, or DFPS as managing conservator on a permanent basis, or grant a one-time extension of the lawsuit, not to exceed 180 days.

CPS Investigations of Child Abuse and Neglect – The agency is required by state law to conduct civil investigations of reports of suspected child abuse or neglect. The objectives of the investigation are to ensure child safety, determine whether abuse or neglect occurred, determine whether children are at risk for abuse or neglect in the future, provide child or family needed safety services and refer the family to services available in the community, if needed. At the conclusion of the investigation, staff must assign a disposition to each allegation to specify conclusion regarding the occurrence of abuse or neglect. The dispositions that staff must use are: Reason to Believe, Ruled Out, Unable to Complete, or Unable to Determine.

Intake Priorities – To establish time frames for investigations, CPS assigns each report of child abuse and neglect to one of two priority groups. The level of identified risk and child safety concerns determine the priority assigned. Initial assessment regarding the immediacy of risk and severity of harm to the child are based on information provided by the reporter and other available information about the alleged perpetrators, child vulnerability, prior history, specific nature of the harm, and whether the harm has occurred.

The two priority groups are as follows:

Priority I – Intake reports that concern children who appear to face an immediate risk of abuse or neglect that could result in death or serious harm. CPS must initiate the investigation within 24 hours of receiving a Priority I report.

Priority II – All reports of abuse or neglect that are not assigned as Priority I are assigned as Priority II. These are reports that contain allegations of abuse or neglect in which there does not appear to be an immediate threat of serious harm or death. CPS must initiate the investigation within 72 hours of receiving a Priority II report. The initial priority may be changed if information gathered during the intake stage indicates that the abuse or neglect is either more or less serious than originally reported.

Service Level – DFPS seeks to place each child in the department’s conservatorship with a foster caregiver who is well qualified to meet the child’s needs. To achieve this, the department participates in a statewide system for classifying the needs of children and the capabilities of foster caregivers in four Service Levels:

a) What is the description of the Basic Service Level?

The Basic Service Level consists of a supportive setting, preferably in a family, that is designed to maintain or improve the child’s functioning, including:

- (1) routine guidance and supervision to ensure the child’s safety and sense of security;
- (2) affection, reassurance, and involvement in activities appropriate to the child’s age and development to promote the child’s well-being;
- (3) contact, in a manner that is deemed in the best interest of the child, with family members and other persons significant to the child to maintain a sense of identity and culture; and
- (4) access to therapeutic, habilitative, and medical intervention and guidance from professionals or paraprofessionals, on an as-needed basis, to help the child maintain functioning appropriate to the child’s age and development.

b) What is the description of the Moderate Service Level?

(a) The Moderate Service Level consists of a structured supportive setting, preferably in a family, in which most activities are designed to improve the child’s functioning including:

- (1) more than routine guidance and supervision to ensure the child’s safety and sense of security;
- (2) affection, reassurance, and involvement in structured activities appropriate to the child’s age and development to promote the child’s well-being;
- (3) contact, in a manner that is deemed in the best interest of the child, with family members and other persons significant to the child to maintain a sense of identity and culture; and
- (4) access to therapeutic, habilitative, and medical intervention and guidance from professionals or paraprofessionals to help the child attain or maintain functioning appropriate to the child’s age and development.

(b) In addition to the description in subsection (a) of this section, a child with primary medical or habilitative needs may require intermittent interventions from a skilled caregiver who has demonstrated competence.

c) What is the description of the Specialized Service Level?

(a) The Specialized Service Level consists of a treatment setting, preferably in a family, in which caregivers have specialized training to provide therapeutic, habilitative, and medical support and interventions including -

- (1) 24-hour supervision to ensure the child’s safety and sense of security, which includes close monitoring and increased limit setting;
- (2) affection, reassurance, and involvement in therapeutic activities appropriate to the child’s age and development to promote the child’s well-being;
- (3) contact, in a manner that is deemed in the best interest of the child, with family members and other persons significant to the child to maintain a sense of identity and culture; and
- (4) therapeutic, habilitative, and medical intervention and guidance that is regularly scheduled and professionally designed and supervised to help the child attain functioning appropriate to the child’s age and development.

(b) In addition to the description in subsection (a) of this section, a child with primary medical or habilitative needs may require regular interventions from a caregiver who has demonstrated competence.

d) What is the description of the Intense Service Level?

(a) The Intense Service Level consists of a high degree of structure, preferably in a family, to limit the child’s access to environments as necessary to protect the child. The caregivers have specialized training to provide intense therapeutic and habilitative supports and interventions with limited outside access, including -

- (1) 24-hour supervision to ensure the child’s safety and sense of security, which includes frequent one-to-

one monitoring with the ability to provide immediate on-site response.

- (2) affection, reassurance, and involvement in therapeutic activities appropriate to the child's age and development to promote the child's well-being;
 - (3) contact, in a manner that is deemed in the best interest of the child, with family members and other persons significant to the child, to maintain a sense of identity and culture;
 - (4) therapeutic, habilitative, and medical intervention and guidance that is frequently scheduled and professionally designed and supervised to help the child attain functioning more appropriate to the child's age and development; and
 - (5) consistent and frequent attention, direction, and assistance to help the child attain stabilization and connect appropriately with the child's environment.
- (b) In addition to the description in subsection (a) of this section, a child with developmental delays or mental retardation needs professionally directed, designed and monitored interventions to enhance mobility, communication, sensory, motor, and cognitive development, and self-help skills.
- (c) In addition to the description in subsection (a) of this section, a child with primary medical or habilitative needs requires frequent and consistent interventions. The child may be dependent on people or technology for accommodation and require interventions designed, monitored, or approved by an appropriately constituted interdisciplinary team.

Permanency Goal Definitions – Permanency goals for children must be one of the following:

- 1) **Family Preservation** – identifies a child's own home as the safe and permanent living situation toward which CPS services are directed, without removing the child from the home.
- 2) **Family Reunification** – identifies that the child was removed from his or her home and that with CPS assistance, the family appears able and willing to reduce the risk of abuse or neglect enough for the child to return home and live there safely for the foreseeable future.
- 3) **Alternative Family Placement with Long Term Commitment** – indicates that the child has been removed from the home, family reunification is not appropriate, and a family has been found or is being sought as follows:
 - (A) adoption and care by a relative;
 - (B) permanent conservatorship and care by a relative;
 - (C) adoption and care by an unrelated family;
 - (D) permanent conservatorship and care by an unrelated family;
 - (E) care by a foster family with DFPS having permanent conservatorship;
 - (F) care in some other family arrangement with DFPS having permanent conservatorship;
- 4) **Another Planned Living Arrangement with Support of a Family** – indicates that the youth has been removed from the home, family reunification or another higher ranking permanency goal is not appropriate, and CPS will provide services directed toward:
 - (A) preparation for independent living, for youth who are at least 16 years old and have no developmental disability; or
 - (B) preparation for adult living with community assistance in the most integrated setting, for youth who are at least 18 years old and who have a developmental disability.

Recidivism – Refers to the re-occurrence of child abuse or neglect involving confirmed victims where the second incidence occurs within six months of the first incidence.

Reports of Child Abuse and Neglect – The agency receives many reports of children who are in situations that are not optimal for their growth or development, but do not appear to involve child abuse or neglect as defined by law. Only the reports that appear to meet the statutory definition of abuse or neglect are required by state law to be investigated by the agency.

Risk Assessment of Child Abuse/Neglect – CPS workers investigate allegations of abuse and neglect and make assessments regarding risk. The terms “at risk” and “not at risk” are conclusions regarding whether there is a reasonable likelihood that the child will be abused or neglected in the foreseeable future. The decision to provide services is based on the assessment of risk, not on the disposition of the allegations. Risk assessment is a casework process in which the worker explores individual and family functioning associated with the recurrence of abuse or neglect, and individual and family strengths and resources associated with protective capacities. There are four possible risk findings:

- **No Significant Risk Factors** – No significant risk factors were identified in the family’s current situation or history.
- **Risk Factors Controlled** – Risk factors were identified; however, family strengths and available resources are sufficient to provide for the safety of the child without CPS assistance.
- **Risk Indicated** – Risk factors were identified, and there are not sufficient family strengths and available resources to manage the risk conditions without CPS assistance.
- **Risk Assessment Not Applicable**- This finding is documented if the investigation involved school personnel or an only child who died, or the investigation was administratively closed or given a disposition of “unable to complete”.

By using a risk-based system for provision of services, CPS is able to identify children in need of protection and direct its resources to those most in need.

Child Care Licensing Program

Abuse - An intentional, knowing, or reckless act or omission by someone working under the auspices of an operation that causes or may cause emotional harm or physical injury to, or the death of, a child that the operation serves. See the Texas Family Code, §261.401(a)(1)

Administrative Review - An administrative review is an informal evaluation that Licensing staff conducts to determine whether a Licensing decision or action was appropriate.

Adverse Actions - Adverse actions are steps that the Licensing division may take to force an operation or home to close. Adverse actions are taken when an operation or home has been cited for violations that pose a risk to the health and safety of children, or if there are indications of a continued failure to comply with minimum standards, rules or law. Adverse actions may be a denial of an application to operate, a revocation, a suspension or an adverse amendment with conditions to a license, registration, certification or listing. If adverse action is taken, the operation or home has a right to request an administrative review and a hearing. If the adverse action is upheld the operation or home must close. When they receive notice from the department that an adverse action will be taken the operation or home must notify each parent, guardian, or managing conservator of the children served in their operation or family home of the actions being taken. Parents, guardians, or managing conservators must also be notified if they are seeking to enroll children after the operation has received a revocation or suspension notice.

Capacity - The maximum number of children that a permit holder may care for at one time.

Child-Care Administrator - A child-care administrator is a person who supervises and exercises direct control over a residential child-care operation that has a permit to serve seven or more children; and, is responsible for the operation's program and personnel, regardless of whether he has an ownership interest in the operation or shares his duties with anyone.

Child-Care Facility - An establishment subject to regulation by Licensing which, provides assessment, care, training, education, custody, treatment, or supervision for a child who is not related by blood, marriage, or adoption to the owner or operator of the facility, for all or part of the 24-hour day, whether or not the establishment operates for profit or charges for its services. A child-care facility includes the people, administration, governing body, activities on or off the premises, operations, buildings, grounds, equipment, furnishings, and materials. A child-care facility does not include child-placing agencies, listed family homes, or maternity homes.

Child Care Licensing (CCL) - The division within DFPS that regulates child day care and residential child-care operations and other child-care activities, such as assessment services, maternity homes, child-placing agencies, and the licensing of child-care administrators. To search or inquire about a child-care operation on-line, visit http://www.dfps.state.tx.us/Child_Care/Search_Texas_Child_Care/default.asp

Child-Placing Agency (CPA) - A person, agency, or organization other than a parent who places or plans for the placement of a child in an adoptive home or other residential care setting. Child Placing Agencies verify foster family homes, foster group homes, and adoptive homes. A child-placing agency does not provide direct care for children. The agency is responsible for regulating its homes for compliance with minimum standards. Licensing inspects the CPA to ensure the CPA is meeting minimum standards. DFPS Child Protective Services is a licensed CPA.

CPA Adoptive Home - A person or persons approved by a CPA to accept adoptive placements.

CPA Foster Family Home - An operation that provides care for six or fewer children, up to the age of 18 years for 24 hours a day under the regulation of a child-placing agency.

CPA Foster Group Home - An operation that provides care for seven to 12 children, up to the age of 18 years for 24 hours a day under the regulation of a child-placing agency.

Complete Application - A packet of materials submitted by an applicant that contains all of the documentation required to apply for a permit.

Corrective Action - Corrective actions are steps that Licensing may impose upon an operation or home to assist it in becoming compliant with minimum standards, rules, and laws. These actions are imposed when an operation or home has repeated violations with standards that do not endanger the health and safety of children. Licensing staff may place the operation or home on evaluation or probation rather than taking adverse action. When an operation or home is placed on evaluation or probation, a Corrective Action Plan is developed to help the operation or home identify and correct the causes of the violations. If the Plan is followed, it will help the operation or home come into compliance and avoid adverse action.

Deficiency - Any failure to comply with a rule, including a minimum standard, law, specific term of your permit, or condition of your evaluation, probation, or suspension. Also referred to as a “violation.”

Emergency Shelter - An operation that provides short-term care (less than 30 days), for 13 or more children up to the age of 18 years for 24 hours a day.

Evaluation - Evaluation is a corrective action imposed on an operation or home when violations present a lower risk to children and the operation or home has not been able to come into compliance. Conditions may be imposed beyond the minimum standard rules and the basic permit requirements, and inspections may be conducted more frequently. The length of time for evaluation is not less than 30 days or more than six months.

Foster Family Home (Independent) - An operation that provides care for six or fewer children up to the age of 18 years for 24 hours a day.

Foster Group Homes (Independent) - An operation that personally provides care for seven to twelve children up to the age of 18 years for 24 hours a day.

Halfway House - An operation that provides transitional living services for no more than 24 children, ages 15 through 18 years for 24 hours a day. The purpose of this operation is to prepare older children for independent living.

Inspection - An inspection is an on site observation of an operation by Licensing staff to determine an operation's compliance with minimum standards, Licensing law and rules. Licensed and certified operations must have at least one annual unannounced inspection. Registered family homes must have at least one unannounced inspection every three years. Listed Family Homes and agency homes are inspected only if there is a report of abuse/neglect or if operating illegally. Inspections may be more frequent than the minimum required based upon a monitoring plan. After the inspection a written report is given to the operation and any violations must be discussed with the person in charge. Follow-ups done by Licensing staff ensure the corrections are made.

Investigation - When a report is received from someone alleging a violation of the minimum standards or Licensing law, an investigation is conducted to determine the validity of the allegations and to ensure the protection of children in care. When a report is received as a self-report from an operation or home an investigation is conducted to determine that the operation handled the incident in compliance with regulations that there is no future risk to children in care. An investigation may or may not require an inspection of the operation, but all reports have some degree of investigation.

Issuance - When the Licensing division determines that an operation or home has met minimum standards and may operate as a child-care center, home, or child-placing agency, a permit to operate is issued.

Licensed Child-Care Center - An operation providing care for seven or more children under 14 years of age for less than 24 hours per day at a location other than the permit holder's home.

Licensed Child-Care Home - The primary caregiver provides care in the caregiver's own residence for children from birth through 13 years. The total number of children in care varies with the ages of the children, but the total number of children in care at any given time, including the children related to the caregiver, must not exceed 12.

Listed Family Home - A caregiver at least 18 years old that provides care in her own home for compensation, for three or fewer children unrelated to the caregiver, birth through 13 years, for at least four hours a day, three or more days a week, and more than nine consecutive weeks. The total number of children in care, including children related to the caregiver, may not exceed 12.

Maternity Home - An operation that provides care for four or more minor and/or adult women and her children during pregnancy and/or during the six-week postpartum period for 24 hours a day.

Minimum Standards - Minimum requirements for permit holders, which are enforced by PRS to protect the health, safety and well-being of children.

Monitoring Plan - A monitoring plan is a schedule of the frequency of inspections at a home or operation. Various criteria are evaluated before selecting the monitoring plan for an operation. Some of them include: (1) Number and type of violations (2) Repetition or pattern of violations (3) whether corrections are made in a timely manner (4) Interaction between caregiver and children (5) Level of supervision given to children in care as well as staff and (6) In residential child-care operations only, the treatment and programming for the children in care.

Neglect - Neglect is an act or omission that is a breach of a duty by a person working under the auspices of an operation that causes or may cause substantial emotional harm or substantial physical injury to a child. See the Texas Family Code §261.401

Operation - A person or entity offering a program that may be subject to Licensing regulation. An operation includes the building and grounds where the program is offered, any person involved in providing the program, and any equipment used in providing the program. An operation includes a child-care facility, child-placing agency, listed family home, or maternity home.

Operation Serving Children With Mental Retardation - An operation that provides care for 13 or more children up to the age of 18 years for 24 hours a day. The children in care are significantly below average in general intellectual functioning and also have deficits in adaptive behavior.

Operation Providing Basic Child Care - An operation that provides care for 13 or more children up to the age of 18 years for 24 hours a day. The care does not include specialized care programs.

Permit - A permit (license, registration, certificate, or listing) is granted by Licensing allowing the operation or home to legally care for children. This also includes a child-care administrator's license.

Probation - Probation is a corrective action imposed on an operation or home when a specific incident or pattern of violations could lead to adverse action. The length of time for probation is not less than 30 days or more than one year.

Registered Child-Care Home - The primary caregiver provides care in the caregiver's own residence for not more than six children from birth through 13 years, and may provide care after school hours for not more than six additional elementary school children. The total number of children in care at any given time, including the children related to the caregiver, must not exceed 12. The child in care does not live at the caregiver's home.

Registration - A type of permit issued by licensing to provide child day care in a registered child-care home.

Regulation - The enforcement of statutes and the development and enforcement of rules, including minimum standards. Regulation includes the licensing, certifying, registering, and listing of an operation or child-care administrator.

Report - A report is an expression of dissatisfaction or concern about an operation, received by Licensing staff involving possible risk to children in care. A report may be a self-report from an operation informing Licensing staff of the occurrence of a serious incident at the operation. A report may also come from anyone alleging a violation of minimum standards, Licensing law or rules, or abuse and neglect. Regardless of the source, all reports receive some level of investigation.

Residential Care - Residential Care is care provided to children 24 hours a day outside the child's own home in the absence of a child's parent. The operation becomes the child's residence. Residential Operations include operations such as emergency shelters, foster homes, residential treatment centers, half-way houses, and therapeutic camps.

Residential Treatment Center - An operation that provides care and treatment for 13 or more emotionally disturbed children up to the age of 18 years for 24 hours a day.

Self-Report - A “self-report” is an account from an operation of a serious incident that occurred at the operation or home. All regulated operations are required to make reports to Licensing about certain types of incidents such as serious illness or injury to a child, criminal activity, unsafe building, grounds or equipment and other incidents that could pose a risk to children in care. Licensing staff is required to investigate all self-reports of serious incidents.

Serious Incident - Any non-routine occurrence that has an impact on the care, supervision, or treatment of a child or children. This includes, but is not limited to, suicide attempts, injuries requiring medical treatment, runaways, commission of a crime, and allegations of abuse or neglect or abusive treatment.

Technical Assistance - Assistance that licensing staff give to a permit holder, applicant, and operation employees to help them comply with applicable law and the minimum standard rules.

Therapeutic Camp - An operation that provides a camping program for 13 or more children, ages thirteen to eighteen for 24 hours a day. It is designed to provide an experiential therapeutic environment for children who cannot function in their home school or community.



Texas Department of Family and Protective Services

2008 DATA BOOK