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## INTRODUCTION

The previously published Annual Performance Report has now been replaced by the Academic Excellence Indicators Report. Like the former Annual Performance Report, the purpose is to inform the community about the overall condition of the East Central Independent School District for the previous school year, 1990-91.

The 1990-91 school year was one of major changes in education throughout the state. The change having the most direct impact on students and curriculum was the first administration of the T.A.A.S. (Texas Assessment of Academic Skills) test, a more rigorous testing of student achievement than its predecessor, the T.E.A.M.S. (Texas Educational Assessment of Minimum Skills) test. Because of the changes in the testing, comparisons between the 1990-91 scores (TAAS) and the 1989-90 scores (TEAMS) are meaningless. However, each campus staff and the district instructional staff have all carefully analyzed the TAAS results to determine both strengths and weaknesses. The curriculum has consequently been adjusted to be sure that students receive adequate preparation for the tested areas. Unlike the TEAMS test, the TAAS test cannot be "taught." Rather, at all grade levels, emphasis must be placed on mastery of the essential elements, development of higher order thinking skills, problem solving, and general test-taking strategies.

## ECISD

In addition to being compared to state scores, the district is, for the first time, compared to a demographic group of similar enrollment, wealth, and percent of economically disadvantaged students. However, such comparisons can be misleading because of the wide ranges that they cover. East Central is in Group 9 enrollment 3,000-9,999 (East Central's enrollment in 1990-91 was 6,023); property wealth below average; and economically disadvantaged under $40 \%$ (in 1990-91 the percentage of economically disadvantaged in East Central was 34\%; yet the district is compared in this grouping to districts that had 0\% economically disadvantaged!)

In addition, each campus has been placed in its own demographic grouping. These groupings were determined by percent of economically disadvantaged students, percent of ethnic minorities, district property wealth, percent of Limited English Proficient students, and percent of student mobility. Based on these statistics, each school was assigned a positive or negative index number and all campuses (by elementary, middle school, and high school categories) were placed on the index in descending numerical order (This is a demographic order, not a performance order!). Each campus' demographic group is then composed of the 50 schools above it and the 50 schools below it on the index. None of the East Central schools appeared in the same demographic grouping, indicating some variation in these demographic factors from campus to campus.

## EXPLANATION OF DATA

Time frame - The data are taken from PEIMS information reported for the 1990-91 school year, not for the current school year.

Percent in advanced courses - The state did not have exact enrollment figures when data were figured for this part of the report. Also "honors" courses were not included in the list of courses counted. These figures will be corrected for the 1991-92 report.

Expected graduation rate - For this one year, the graduation rate was calculated as the number of students expected to graduate in 1990-91. East Central High School actually had 340 graduates in May 1991.

College Admission Tests - East Central showed a sharp rise in both the average SAT score (from 771 to 828 , a total on the average of 57 points) and also a rise in the percentage of students scoring above 1,000 (from 11.5\% to 19.6\%).

Limitations of Data - Although these many pages of statistics provide useful information to the district and to individual campuses for analysis and planning, they cannot give an accurate representation of the overall educational program. For such an overview the reader is referred to the following discussion of goal achievements.

## A. DISTRICT OVERVIEW

The 257-square mile East Central Independent School District is located in southeast Bexar County, mostly outside the corporate limits of San Antonio. The largely undeveloped rural area serves a heterogeneous population of approximately 6,219 students, pre-kindergarten through twelfth grade. The district is accredited by both the Texas Education Agency and the Southern Association of Colleges and Schools.

During the past ten years, many improvements in achievement and in plant facilities have occurred. To continue such progress, voters in 1984 approved a ten million dollar bond issue to finish air-conditioning all of the schools, to renovate and expand facilities in existing schools, and to build a new elementary school, which opened in the fall of 1986. However, to accommodate a growing student population and to meet unfunded state mandates, the District provided funds for programs and for additions, renovations and maintenance of existing facilities. In excess of $\$ 1.5$ million dollars in local funds has been utilized in these endeavors over the past five years.

At the same time that physical plant improvements occurred, the East Central Board of Trustees continue to raise teacher salaries in order to keep and attract quality teachers who could contribute to the consistent improvement in academic achievement. East Central offers a complete instructional
program, including full-day pre-kindergarten and kindergarten programs and first through twelfth grades. All schools have library, counseling and health care facilities, while schools at all levels boast one or more computer laboratories. At the high school, honors and Advanced Placement courses challenge the more academically oriented students. Bilingual and English-as-a-second language offerings for limited English proficient students, Chapter 1 reading remediation for the economically disadvantaged, special education for handicapped students, gifted programs for the academically talented and for creative and productive thinkers, vocational courses for career exploration, and district-wide assessment and evaluation procedures complete a comprehensive instructional program.

To round out the opportunities offered at East Central, secondary students may participate in many extracurricular activities, including UIL sports and literary events; band, choir, and art; various vocational competitions; and Junior ROTC. Constantly monitoring and adjusting its programs, the district strives to offer the best possible education to all of its students.

## ECISD

## Section I

## Prepared by East Central Independent School District October, 1991

## ECISD

## GOAL ACHIEVEMENT <br> for <br> 1990-91

## B. GOAL ONE: STUDENT PERFORMANCE

(Goal one: All students will meet their full educational potential.)

Academic achievement by East Central students was measured in a variety of ways through performance by third, fifth, seventh, ninth, and eleventh graders on the Texas Assessment of Academic skills (TAAS); through performance by students in grades two, four, six, seven, eight and ten on The Metropolitan Achievement Test (MAT6); through the number of high school students taking the Scholastic Aptitude Test (SAT) for college entrance; through teacher-made and criterionreferenced tests; by exceptional progress in special programs; and by scholarships offered to graduating seniors.

Results from both the TAAS and the MAT6 testing were carefully analyzed, with the data used to adapt and strengthen curriculum, especially in the basic skills areas of reading, writing, and mathematics. Since the TAAS, a more rigorous test, was administered for the first time in 1990-91, the district set as a goal that $75 \%$ of the students would master the test in its first administration. The goal was met by all third graders in both math and reading. Writing is still a focus area for third grade students in order to reach the $75 \%$ mastery. Fifth graders did not quite reach the goal in math and reading but exceeded the $75 \%$ goal in writing. While seventh graders were just short of the goal, they did score above the state average in both math and reading. Ninth graders exceeded both the goal and the state average in
reading but fell short of the goal in math and writing. Eleventh graders taking the Exit-level exam required for graduation scored well above the goal and the state averages in reading (90\% mastery) and writing (85\% mastery) while they missed the math goal by only $3 \%$.

The district's goal of achieving a mean percentile of 50 on the MAT6 achievement tests was attained and/or exceeded at all grade levels in language. In reading, three grade levels met the goal while two grades (2 and 4) did not. In math, four grade levels $(2,6,8,10)$ met the goal though grade 4 missed the goal by three percent.

In 1991, 49\%, almost half of East Central seniors, took the SAT test. When compared to 1989 when a similar percentage took the test, a rise of 17 points on verbal and 12 points on math is noted for this year.

The program for gifted and talented students reached more than seven percent of the student population in grades kindergarten through twelve. The Chapter 1 program in reading was very successful in raising student performance, largely due to the new one-on-one tutoring program called H.O.S.T.S. (Helping One Student to Succeed). In the bilingual program, students at all elementary grade levels demonstrated gains in oral language proficiency as measured by the Language Assessment Scales (LAS). Fall to fall MAT scores of bilingual students show that 2 nd grade scores were higher than those in other grades. Scores decreased at 3rd grade while at 4 th grade gains were made. At the secondary level, gains were
made at 7 th grade in reading and language. At 8 th grade, reading scores increased while language scores decreased. At ninth grade scores were stable.

Performance of high school students has continued to be competitive with area schools, indicated by an offering of over $\$ 650,616$ in scholarships to approximately 43 East Central students in 1991. Fifty-six percent of the 1990 East Central graduates enrolled in college in 1991.

Presidential Academic Fitness Awards were presented to eligible students at all schools. High school and middle school students achieved top ratings in many UIL competitions (e.g. band, choir, solo and ensemble, speech, poetry). Secondary students took honors at local, regional, state, and national history, science, and social studies competitions. JROTC and vocational students won honors at state and national competitions. Numerous middle school students were recognized by the Duke University Talent Identification Program.

Student mastery of the essential elements, especially in the fundamental areas of reading, writing and mathematics, remains a top priority. Campus curriculum coordinators at each elementary and secondary school provide leadership in this area with continued emphasis on curriculum guide revisions, student pre-assessment, staff development, and materials selection in order to match instruction in the essential elements with student pre-assessed needs.

## C. Goal TWo: CURRICULUM

(Goal Two: A well-balanced and appropriate curriculum will be provided to all students.)

In addition to offering a complete range of academic and vocational programs, pre-kindergarten through grade twelve, in 1990-1991 the district also provided special instruction through comprehensive special education, bilingual/English as a second language, reading Chapter $I$, gifted/talented programs and computer assisted instruction programs.

Students in pre-kindergarten through sixth grade received instruction in the basic subjects of English language arts, mathematics, science, health, social studies, physical education, and fine arts. At the middle schools, in addition to the basic subjects, students had a range of electives, including enriched reading, computer literacy, speech, life management skills, band, choral music, industrial technology, Spanish, journalism, and typing. At the high school, a complete array of subjects was available for completion of either the regular, the advanced academic, or the advanced academic with honors diploma. Vocational courses ranging from auto mechanics to agriculture, as well as electives in business, fine arts, speech, drama, computer science, and foreign languages, provided a well-balanced curriculum for students of varying interests and abilities.

At all levels subject matter was adapted to the students' ability levels so that there was remediation for those needing additional assistance in a particular subject and enriched/honors and Advanced Placement courses for those college-bound students seeking a more rigorous academic challenge. A comprehensive special education program, involving twelve percent of the school population, served handicapped students in a variety of alternative settings. For students with limited English proficiency, there was either a bilingual or English-as-a-second language program at all grade levels. At the elementary level, Chapter 1 reading met the needs of eligible students with reading problems through the implementation of a one-on-one tutoring program called H.O.S.T.S. (Help One Student to Succeed). In addition, approximately seven percent of the district's students were served in programs for the gifted and talented. A differentiated curriculum which focused on developing the creativity and critical thinking abilities of these exceptional learners in the areas of language arts and social studies was employed in grades K-12.

Computer education efforts increased with the addition of computers at all schools for the use of the general populations. At the high school, the writing laboratory used computers extensively to teach word processing, composition and editing. Computer-assisted instruction continued as a result of a Title VII grant which was part of the bilingual
and English-as-a-second language programs at all levels, prekindergarten through grade twelve. The Chapter I program used computers to teach reading. Computers were used in all special education and vocational programs. Josten's Integrated Learning labs were added at all elementary schools along with the Writing-to-Read labs for kindergarten and first-grade students. Ti-In interactive television provided high school students the opportunities to take courses not currently offered at East Central.

Summer school courses were taught at the middle school and high school levels. Students who had failed a course had an opportunity to make the course up at evening classes. Concurrent credit college courses were offered to students in English, history and math.

Students at the elementary and middle school level were offered an opportunity to take remedial through enrichment level instruction via a summer computer program, as well as math and art enrichment activities.

Learning media centers were available in each school. The facilities served teachers with professional books and periodicals, audio-visual equipment, laser disc equipment and software to enhance the teaching of all subjects. Students had regularly scheduled visits to the library and could visit anytime during the day when a full class was not in attendance. All centers were opened before class in the morning and remained opened after school dismissal in the afternoon. To provide more audio-visual software for the
teachers' use, an interlibrary loan system was established. This also provided for additional access to books if there were not enough copies available at a particular school for some special reading project. Magazines were available to be checked out on an overnight basis for student research projects. Computers were available in the media centers at all campuses, and plans were begun to automate all of the campus libraries, beginning with the high school. In addition, the District received a grant to have access to the Library of Congress so that students could pursue primary research without leaving their high school library. In summary, East Central continues to strengthen instructional programs already in place, to analyze those programs for strengths and weaknesses, and to adjust the instructional program to the changing demographics of the District, thus affirming its commitment to a program of quality education for all students.
D. GOAL THREE: PERSONNEL
(Goal Three: Qualified and effective personnel will be attracted and retained.)

Every available teaching position in 1990-91 drew an average of twelve applications. However, the specialty fields of special education and bilingual were scarce and demanded extensive recruiting efforts, including moderate use of Alternative Certification candidates.

East Central is proud of the caliber of teachers employed in the district. During 1991, 38.3 percent of the district's teachers and administrators held advanced degrees. Additionally, all East Central teachers were certified appropriately and qualified for their positions. To recognize the high quality performance of these teachers and to attract other highly professional educators, the board raised teacher salaries in 1990-1991 over the previous year's salaries. Teachers' salaries ranged from $\$ 19,932$ for a teacher with a bachelor's degree and no experience to $\$ 33,474$ for a teacher with a doctorate degree and more than 18 years' experience. One hundred and six of the district's staff, all who met the district requirements, were initially placed or continued on level II of the career ladder and received a $\$ 1500$ bonus in 1990. One hundred and thirty-two were placed on level III and received a $\$ 3,000$ bonus.

As part of the career ladder placement process, administrators spent more time observing teachers at work in their classrooms. To improve their skills, teachers enrolled in college courses andor attended a variety of inservice workshops. Several East Central teachers and administrators presented workshops at the Education Service Center and at professional meetings. Many teachers were able to gain advanced academic training through participation in Ti-In interactive television presentations at the high school or in district-sponsored workshops. East Central teachers at all levels supervised numerous student teachers from local universities. One outstanding elementary teacher was recognized by Trinity University's Excellence in Teaching Award. Several teachers wrote, received and implemented minigrants for student enrichment.

East Central continued to seek highly qualified teaching candidates and to recognize those district teachers who had performed at high levels of expectations.

In addition, all vacant administrative positions demanded careful scrutiny of the many applicants to select the most appropriate person for each job. To strengthen the instructional program, a mathematics specialist and an instructional technologist were added to the curriculum staff. In addition, each middle school received an additional halftime administrator and half-time counselor to focus on the atrisk population. A thoughtful reorganization of the Central Office staff focused on the strengths and interests of each
individual, bringing more cohesiveness and effectiveness to that group. Administrator salaries were also increased in order to attract and retain the most qualified personnel.

## E. GOAL FOUR: ORGANIZATION AND MANAGEMENT

(Goal Four: The organization and management of all levels of the educational system will be productive, efficient and accountable.)

While the 1985 bond program of $\$ 10$ million dollars greatly enhanced the District's posture with improved and expanded facilities, the District has also had to provide funds to accommodate the expanding student population and special programs through additions, renovations and maintenance of existing facilities. In excess of $\$ 1.5$ million dollars in local funds has been utilized in these endeavors over the past five years.

Through the use of local funds, twenty additional classrooms have been added to the District's facilities. Nine of these classrooms are permanent facilities while the remaining eleven classrooms are portable modular buildings. A new roof system and siding have been placed on the East Central Development Center. A portion of East Central High School has received a new roof system. Improvements to several flooring systems throughout the District have taken place. Handicap accessibility on the campuses has been programmatically updated. An asbestos abatement/management system has been put in place, and all drinking fountains have been brought to current lead-free standards. The number of student lockers has been increased plus old lockers have been refurbished. Fire alarm systems have also been upgraded in
older facilities. Every campus has experienced exterior face lifts, including several campus fencing projects and improved lighting, along with interior minor project renovations. The District stadium facility has also been upgraded.

Plans are already underway for three additional permanent classrooms with restrooms at John Glenn. Another summer project is a major Heating Ventilation Air Conditioning Retrofit with a new roof system on the 800 building at East Central High School. An all-weather track, field improvements at the District's stadium, and physical education/athletic field improvements at Salado are on the drawing board. The need for additional portable classroom facilities is also being studied.

Thus, through careful planning and monitoring, the District has been able to manage educational needs and requirements, despite the many unfunded state mandates.

Administrative leadership training sessions were held regularly throughout the school year. Administrators carried out the Texas Teacher Appraisal System successfully. In addition, the personnel staff developed appraisal systems differentiated by role and function for all staff.

District administrators took leadership positions in local, state and national professional and civic organizations. Many presented educational forums and published articles in professional journals. Several district administrators participated in statewide pilot management training programs.

During the 1990-1991 school year, efforts at paperwork reduction continued. Efforts were made to streamline all reporting procedures, especially those involving at-risk students.

Personnel and finance data were successfully submitted to TEA electronically as PEIMS (Public Education Information Management System) requirements were implemented. Tests and surveys which had previously been hand-scored were able to be machine-scored. In addition, the computer program produced summary sheets of the results, thus saving time and paperwork. Teachers were trained and provided with software and computer time to calculate student grades on the computer. Attendance procedures at all campuses were streamlined through the use of computers. Free/reduced lunch data was stored and calculated, using a district-developed computer program and regular updates were generated and distributed to each campus. Data entry, through district computers on line with mainframe computers at the Education Service Center, Region 20, facilitated recordkeeping and compilation of necessary campus and district reports, reducing paperwork and increasing accuracy.

Throughout the implementation of the law's mandates, parents and other district patrons were kept well-informed through the quarterly district newspaper, The School Bell Times, and through letters sent home to parents. Parent Teacher Association meetings, campus advisory committees, and the Superintendent's Parent Forum were also used as forums to
discuss changes. Students, teachers, and the community of East Central continued to take pride in learning, as the district sought to provide a quality education for all students.

## F. GOAL FIVE: FINANCE

(Goal Five: The financing of public education will be adequate and equitable.)

In 1990-1991 the financial condition of the district was sound even though the taxable values of the district were down approximately 4.4 percent as a result of reduced property values and additional agricultural exemptions being granted. Taxable values went from $\$ 633,153,767$ to $\$ 605,151,118$ while the tax went from $\$ .95$ to $\$ 1.08$.

The audited general operating budget contained $\$ 20,707,652$ which was a per pupil expenditure of $\$ 3,696$ with an average daily attendance of 5602 students.

Efficient use of resources was practiced through energy conservation as well as through continual evaluation of programs, facilities, and materials. A foundation previously established for the purpose of extending the district's resources and enriching the educational program continued to be utilized.
G. GOALS SIX AND SEVEN: PARENT AND COMMUNITY INVOLVEMENT (Goals Six and Seven: Parents will be full partners in the education of their children. Businesses and other members of the community will be partners in the improvement of schools.)

This partnership was demonstrated during the 1990-1991 school year by the active participation at the campus, district and state levels of parents, teachers and students in the Parent Teachers and Students Association. Many parents and patrons volunteered their services in the classroom and the Very Important Parent (VIP) program was active at all elementary and middle schools. Parents, as well as community members, such as the Brooks AFB employees, gave of their time to be mentors and tutors. In addition, students, parents and patrons met regularly and gave input into goal setting, program development and evaluation of the Chapter I Program, the Bilingual/English as a Second Language Program, the program for gifted and talented students, the Special Education Program, the Vocational Program, the district discipline management plan and the community Education Program. Parent Campus Forums continued to provide input to their respective schools. Booster clubs for athletics, band, and Future Farmers of America provided the kind of financial and moral support to make them first-class programs.

The East Central Historical Group met regularly to further develop the East Central Schools Museum which is a source of pride to the school district and to the community at large. School-business partnerships were established and maintained.

The Board of Trustees encouraged patron attendance and participation at work sessions and regular board meetings. In addition, the School Board appointed parents and other patrons to ad hoc committees dealing with issues directly affecting the schools. School business partnerships were expanded through speakers' bureaus, field trips, and mentorships.

The non-profit organization, The East Central School Foundation, Inc., was active. Foundation board members, who are community business leaders appointed by the Board of Trustees, met regularly to plan and carry out projects which would increase funding for school programs above and beyond the basic program. Foundation projects included a teacher mini-grant program, a district fundraiser, and support of the newly-formed alumni association.

The East Central Alumni Association, a non-profit organization, continued to support educational programs, update the mailing list of all alumni since 1951 , and organize reunion activities. The organization held regular meetings, had highprofile publicity, organized class representatives for each year, updated (on computer) the entire mailing list and sponsored the Second Annual Foundation-Alumni Reunion (together with the Foundation) Fundraiser.

## H. GOAL Eight: RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT

(Goal Eight: Instruction and administration will be improved through research that identifies creative and effective methods).

Each campus had several committees whose function was to analyze the effectiveness of both instruction and administration. Based upon this analysis and in cooperation with the central Office staff, each campus researched those programs and methods that would provide maximum efficiency and results. Using the research of recent years, most campus goals were based on the effective schools research. The T.A.A.S. and MAT 6 scores were carefully analyzed to determine strengths and weaknesses and to plan creative and effective methods to improve those scores. Teachers and administrators at all levels attended professional meetings and staff development as well as read professional and T.E.A. materials to stay abreast of the latest developments in research. Throughout the district, a posture of openness to new ideas and flexibility was maintained in order to achieve the best results.

District staff, along with the Board, continued to seek creative and alternative methods of funding in order to provide an equal and quality education to all of our students. The expansion of technological capabilities expanded our horizons in both research and practical applications of that research.
I. GOAL NINE: COMMUNICATIONS
(Goal Nine: Communications among all public education interests will be consistent, timely and effective.)

The Board of Trustees has long had a goal of expanding communication within the district at all levels. The Board solicited input and feedback from staff, students, parents and patrons. Teachers communicated with parents through open houses, conferences and written reports. All pre-kindergarten and kindergarten teachers held conferences at the end of the first six weeks. Special parent meetings were held for parents of prekindergarten students, gifted students, bilingual students, Chapter 1 students and special education students.

At the district level, the community was kept well-informed of school activities, policies, and goals through the quarterly district newspaper, The School Bell Times. Board members received monthly information packets. The vehicle for staff communication and recognition was the Network, distributed monthly with all employees' paychecks. Periodically curriculum, bilingual, gifted and talented and special education newsletters were given to teachers, administrators and paraprofessionals who work with students. News releases about decisions at board meetings, student awards and school activities were sent regularly to local media, which provided good coverage. A district brochure was distributed upon request. Student/parent handbooks were given to all students; a personnel brochure was distributed to all applicants; a substitute handbook was given to all substitutes; and a personnel handbook was presented to all
staff. Orientation of new teachers and staff was presented prior to the opening of school. Staff development provided for continued program orientation and two-way communication.

The superintendent met weekly with his administrative council which consisted of the assistant superintendent and the two administrative officers. He met monthly with the presidents of the professional and paraprofessional organizations within the district and with an advisory forum composed of teachers representing each campus. He also met monthly with the principals and central office administrators. The curriculum coordinators at the campuses and the assistant principals met monthly with the instructional officer and the curriculum staff.

District-wide committees on textbooks, curriculum development, calendar formation, students "at risk" and drug abuse involved staff, board members, parents and patrons. Communication also took place through special school and program advisory committees, campus newsletters to parents, booster clubs, school-business partnerships, the East Central Historical Group, the Parent Teacher Association, the East Central School Foundation, Inc., and the East Central Alumni Association.

## J. BOARD GOAL8 FOR 1991-1992

Based upon the evaluation of the district progress during the 1990-91 school year, the theme for 1991-92 is: PARENT-SCHOOL CONNECTION: NETWORK FOR LEARNING. In order to achieve this very important collaboration for the education of our children, the following East Central goals for $1991-92$ have been proposed.

Goal 1: All students will meet their full educational potential.

Goal 2: A well-balanced and appropriate curriculum will be provided to all students.

Goal 3: Qualified and effective personnel will be attracted and retained.

Goal 4: The organization and management of all levels of the educational system will be productive, efficient and accountable.

Goal 5: The financing of public education will be adequate and equitable.

Goal 6: Parents will be full partners in the education of their children.

Goal 7: Businesses and other members of the community will be partners in the improvement of schools.

Goal 8: Instruction and administration will be improved through research that identifies creative and effective methods.

Goal 9: Communications among all public education interests will be consistent, timely, and effective.

## ECISD

## Section II

## Prepared by the Texas Education Agency October, 1991

## Definition of Indicators

(1) Texas Assessment of Academic Skills (TAAS). This criterionreferenced test measures student achievement in reading, writing, and mathematics at grades 3, 5, 7, 9, and Exit Level (11). Two statistics are calculated for each grade:
a. The percent of students passing all tests taken is calculated as the number of students passing all tests taken, divided by the total number of students tested;
b. The percent of students meeting academic recognition is calculated as the number of students mastering all objectives on each subject area test and attaining a 4 on the written composition, divided by the total number of students tested. Similar statistics are figured for each subject area.
source of DATA: National Computer Systems. Reporting period:
October 1990.
(9) Percent Student Attendance for this report is average daily attendance (ADA) divided by average daily membership (ADM), calculated over the second six-week period for grades K-12. source of DATA: Texas Education Agency, PEIMS. Reporting period: 1990/91 school year.
(3) Drop-out Rate is calculated as the number of dropouts at each grade, 7 through 12, divided by the number of students enrolled in grades 7 through 12.
source of data: Texas Education Agency, PEIMS and 1989 Fall Sourvey of Pupils. Reporting period: Fall 1990 for 1989/90 dropouts, and fall 1989 for 1988/89 dropouts.
(1) Enrollment in Advanced Courses is calculated from teacher responsibility records. Because individual student enrollment in advanced courses is not available, the values may be based on duplicated counts of students - they may not be an actual percent of students enrolled in at least one advanced course. The values are calculated as the number of students enrolled in each advanced class divided by the number of students enrolled in all academic courses. A single student may be counted several times in each category, thus producing a duplicated (and lower) count.
source of data: Texas Education Agency, PEIMS, Fall 1990.

- Expected Graduation Rate is calculated as the number of 12th grade students expected to graduate in the spring of 1991 divided by the total number of 12 th graders enrolled. source of Data: Texas Education Agency, PEIMS, Fall 1990.
(1) Percent Graduates to Receive Advanced Seal on Transcript is calculated as the number of students expected to graduate with advanced or advanced with honors seals affixed to their diplomas, divided by the total number of students expected to graduate. source of data: Texas Education Agency, PEIMS. Reporting period: Fall 1990.
(2) College Admissions Tests are defined as the Scholastic Aptitude Test (SAT) and the Enhanced ACT of the American College Testing Program. Scores are available for both 1988/89 and 1989/90 for the SAT, but only 1989/90 for the Enhanced ACT. Three statistics are calculated from SAT and ACT data for graduating seniors:
a. The average score (SAT Total; ACT Composite);
b. The percent scoring at or above 1000 on the SAT and the percent scoring at or above 25 on the ACT, calculated as the number of graduates scoring at or above the cutoff divided by the total number of graduates taking the test;
c. The percent of students tested is calculated slightly differently for 1988/89 and 1989/90:
- For the 1988/89 SAT it is calculated as the number of students (who said they were graduating in 1988/89) taking the test divided by the total number of 12 th graders enrolled in fall 1988. (Source: 1988 Fall Survey of Pupils)
- For the $1989 / 90$ SAT and ACT it is calculated as the number of students (who said they were graduating in 1989/90) taking the test divided by the number of 12 th graders expected to graduate in 1990. (Source: PEIMS, Spring, 1990)
Note that scores for each graduating senior are from the most recently taken test.
source of data: Educational Testing Service (SAT); American College Testing Program (ACT).
© Texas Academic Skills Programs (TASP) is a basic skills test measuring reading, writing, and mathematics skills. It is required of all persons entering Texas colleges for the first time. The statistic reported is for students enrolled in Texas public institutions of higher education, and is calculated as the number of students passing all three portions of the TASP on the first attempt divided by the number of students tested.
source of data: National Evaluation Systems. Reporting period: students tested during 1989/90 (who graduated from high school in 1988/89).


## Explanation of Performance Section (final)

## Currently the Academic Excellence

 Indicator System is looking at eight outcome indicators for Texas schools. Not all indicators apply to all schools. Your school might have only one indicator applicable, or as many as all eight, depending on the school's grade span.Group refers to campus group on the Campus Performance Section (sent to each school), and to district group on the District Performance Section (sent to each district). The percent given in the group column is the median score. (The median is defined as that point in a distribution of scores, above and below which one-half of the scores fall.)
Campus Demographic Comparison Group- Each school has a unique comparison group of 101 schools. For further explanation on how each school's group is determined, see the altached Explanation of Campus Demographic Group.
District Comparison Group - Districts belong to one of 17 comparison groups. For further explanation on how the district group is determined, see the District Comparison Group Listing, atached to the district report.

Test values for Ethnic groups are calculated as: the number of students in that group passing a particular test. divided by the toal number of students in that group who took the test. Values on drop-out rate, expected graduation rate, and expected graduates with seals on transcripts are similarly calculated as: the number of students in that ethnic group who dropped out, or are expected to graduate, or have seals on their transcripts, divided by the total number of students in that ethnic group. Note that - for this year - ethnic group statistics are not available for student attendance or for the enrollment in advanced courses.


Percentages for students who are Economically Disadvantaged, Limited English Proficient, and in Special Education are calculated as: the number of sudents in each of the specific populations who passed or received academic recognition, divided by the total number of students in the specific population tested. Note that values for these special populations are currently available only for TAAS scores.

Not Available ( $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ ) indicates that the data are not available this year.

A dash ( - ) indicates that no students were in that classification.

An asterisk (*) indicates that fewer than 5 students were in this classification.

A question mark (?) indicates data that are statistically improbable.

## Explanation of Campus

 ProfileEnrollment by Grade - The distribution of total enrollment by grade. Percentages are the total counts for each grade divided by total enrollment. (Source: PEIMS, Oct. 1990)

Ethnic Distribution - Counts of students reported as White, African American, Hispanic, or Other (Asian or Native American). Counts are also expressed as a percent of total enrollment. Percent minority, the sum of the non-white percentages, is one of five variables used to assign campuses to groups. For grouping purposes, percent minority is weighted 40 percent. (Source: PEIMS, Oct. 1990)

Mobility - Counts of students taking the TAAS who were enrolled in the district for two years or less. Percent mobility is this count divided by the total number of students taking the TAAS. Percent mobility is one of five variables used to assign campuses to groups. For grouping purposes, percent mobility is weighted five percent.
(Source: National Computer Systems, Oct.1990)

Economically Disadvantaged - Counts of students reported with any one of three economic disadvantage status codes. Percent economic disadvantaged is this count divided by total enrollment. Percent economically disadvantaged is one of five variables used to assign campuses to groups. For grouping purposes, percent economically disadvantaged is weighted 40 percent. (Source: PEIMS, Oct. 1990)

Limited English Proficient - Counts of students identified as limited English proficient (LEP). Counts are also expressed as a percent of total enroliment. Percent LEP is one of five variables used to assign campuses to groups. For grouping purposes, percent LEP is weighted five percent. (Source: PEIMS, Oct. 1990)


Total Staff by Ethnicity and Sex - Counts of total staff FTEs by combinations of major ethnic group and sex. Counts are also expressed as percentages of the total staff FTE. (Source: PEIMS, Oct. 1990)

Teachers by Years of Experience - The FTE count of ceachers with years of experience falling into the ranges shown. Experience in these categories is the total years of teaching experience for the individual, not years of experience in the reporting district or campus. Teacher counts within each span of years of experience are expressed as a percent of total teacher FTEs. A beginning teacher is a teacher reported with zero years of experience. (Source: PEIMS, Oct. 1990)

## Average Experience of Teachers and Average

 Experience of Teachers with District - Weighted averages obtained by multiplying each teacher's FTE count by years of experience. These amounts, when summed for all teachers and divided by the total teacher count, result in the averages shown.Average Experience uses the total years of teaching experience for the individual, while Average Experience with District uses tenure, the years employed in the reporting district. The formula makes adjustments for teachers with zero years of experience or tenure, so they are appropriately weighted. (Source: PEIMS, Oct. 1990)

## Average Teacher Salary by Years of Experience -

 Total teacher base pay for teachers within each experience group divided by the total teacher FTE for the group. Total teacher base pay is the actual salary amount earmed for regular duties. No supplement amounts are included. Career ladder supplements are not included. (Source: PEIMS, Oct. 1990)Educational Aides - The FTE count of staff reported with a role of 033. Counts of educational aides are expressed as a percent of the total staff FTE. (Source: PEIMS, Oct. 1990)

Campus Profile (cont.)

Professional Staff - Full-time equivalent (FTE) counts of teachers, professional support staff, and campus administrators. Staff are grouped according to the PEIMS roles reported for them (see Appendix A). Each type of professional staff is shown as a percentage of the total staff (FTE) of all staff (professional and para-professional) at the campus.
Full-time equivalents are calculated as the number of days employed multiplied by the percent of day worked, divided by 183 days. The appropriate portion of an FTE is allocated to each of the responsibilities associated with an individual based on a percentage of total time worked. (Source: PEIMS, Oct. 1990)


Operating Expenditures - The total for all operating expenditures with the excepton or expenditures budgeted at the campus level. The functions of Debt Services, Facilities Acquisition \& Construction, and Community (Ancillary) Services are non-operating expenditures and are not included. Operating Expenditures per Pupil is total operating expenditures divided by total enrollment. See Appendix B for the financial accounting codes that describe these items.
(Source: PEIMS, Budgeted Information, Oct. 1990)

Instructional Operating Expenditures - Operating expenditures for only those activities which deal directly with the instruction of pupils. Instructional operating expenditures per pupil is total instructional operating expenditures divided by total enrollment. See Appendix B for the financial accounting codes that describe these items. (Source: PEIMS, Budgeted Information, Oct. 1990)

## Student Enrollment by Program - Counts of

 students identified as participating in the special, vocational, bilingual or ESL, or gifted and talented education programs, expressed as a percent of total enrollment. These percentages can be duplicative; a student may be enrolled in more than one program. (Source: PEIMS, Oct. 1990)
## Teachers by Program (Population Served) -

 Teacher FTE counts categorized by the type of student populations served. Regular, special, compensatory, vocational, bilingua/ESL, gifted and talented, and miscellaneous other populations served are shown. Teacher FTE values are allocated across populations for teachers who serve mulliple population types. Percentages are expressed as a percent of total teacher FIEs. (Source: PEIMS, Oct. 1990)Instructional Operating Expenditures by Program - Expenditures categorized by the program for which the funds were expended. Regular, special, compensatory, vocational, bilingual/ESL, gifted and talented, and other programs are shown for instructional operating expenditures only. Percentages given are the percent of total instructional operating expenditures. See Appendix B for the financial accounting codes that describe these items. (Source: PEIMS, Budgeted Information, Oct. 1990)

## Explanation of District Profile

Total Enrollment - The number of students reported as enrolled on October 25, 1990 in early childhood education through grade 12. (Source: PEIMS, Oct. 1990)

Mobility - Counts of students taking the TAAS who were enrolled in the district for two years or less. Percent mobility is this count divided by the total number of students taking the TAAS. (Source: National Computer Systems, Oct. 1990)

Limited English Proficient - Counts of students identified as limited English proficient (LEP). Counts are also expressed as a percent of total enrollment. (Source: PEIMS, Oct. 1990)

Class Size by Subject Area - The number of classes and the average class size for elementary and selected secondary classes. Elementary class size averages were calculated by summing the number of students served and dividing by the total number of classes. The number of secondary classes was calculated by computing the cotal time spent on a subject area and dividing by a standard ciass period of 50 minutes. Secondary average class size is the number of students served divided by this calculated count of classes. (Source: PEIMS, Oct. 1990)

Total Adopted Tax Rate - The locally adopted total tax rate set by the school district as reported to the State Property Tax Board (SPTB). This rate is composed of a maintenance and operations (M\&.0) rate and an interest and sinking fund (I \& S) rate, and should be familiar to local taxpayers. The group average adopled tax rate and the state average adopted tax rate are derived by dividing total levies by the locally appraised property values. Rates are expressed per $\$ 100$ of value. (Source: SPTB, June 1991)

Standardized Tax Rates (SPTB Basis) - A rate calculated using property value equalized to 100 percent of market value by the SPTB. The components of the total standardized tax rate are calculated by dividing the levy amounts (either M \& O or I \& S) by the SPTB taxable value. The total standardized rate is the sum of the $M$ \& $O$ and I \& S standardized rates. Rates are expressed per $\$ 100$ of market, or taxable, value. (Source: SPTB, June 1991)
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## Enrollment by Grade Grouping

 - Counts of students in early childhood education, pre-kindergarten, kindergarten, grades one through six, grades seven and eight, and grades nine through 12. Percentages are the total counts for each grade grouping divided by total enrollment (Source: PEIMS, Oct. 1990)Ethnic Distribution Counts of students reported to be White, African American, Hispanic, or Other (Asian or Native American). Counts are also expressed as a percent of total enroilment (Source PEIMS, Oct. 1990)

Economically Disadvantaged - Counts of students reported with any one of three economic disadvantage status codes. Percent economically disadvantaged is this count divided by total enrollment. (Source: PEIMS, Oct. 1990)

## Explanation of District Profile (cont.)

Total Expenditures - The total for all expenditures budgeted in the General Fund, the Special Revenue Fund (excluding Adult Basic Education), and the Debt Service Fund. Total
Expenditures per Pupil is total expenditures divided by total enrollment.
\% Expenditures by Function - Expenditures for groups of functions expressed as a percent of total expenditures.
Instruction: all activities dealing directly with the instruction of pupils, including instruction through the use of computers.
Instructional Administration: the management and improvement of the quality of instruction and the curriculum.
Campus Administration: the operation and management of a school.
Central Administration: the general administration activities of the district, the development of personnel and curriculum, and data processing services.
Support: instruction-related services such as media and library materials, and pupil services such as counseling. promotion of attendance, and health services.
Plant Services: physical plant maintenance and operation.
Other: pupil transportation, food services, and co-curricular activities.
Debt Service: bond and lease/purchase principal, and all types of interes.
Capital Outlay: facilities acquisition/construction not made from the capital projects fund.
Community (ancillary) Services: activities involving the community.

Operating Expenditures - The lotal for all operating expenditures with the exception of expenditures budgeted for the functions of Debt Services, Facilities Acquisition \& Construction, and Community (Ancillary) Services. Operating Expenditures per Pupil is total operating expendiures divided by total enrollment.
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\% Expenditures
by Object -
Expenditures for groups of objects expressed as a percent of total expenditures.
Payroll: payroll expenditures for instruction, support, administration, plant services, and other staff functions. Other Operating: purchased and purchased services, supplies and materials, and all other operating expenditures. Non-Operating: debt service and capital outlay not made from the capital projects fund.

| 2.16 | 浽䊽 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 4.80 | \%s |
| 3.86 | \% |
| 10.08 |  |
| 10.06 |  |

Exclusions - Selected revenue and expenditure amounts that have been omitted from the financial information presented thus far. These amounts are separated to provide a more equalized financial picture.
Total Capital Projects Fund Revenues: The total of all revenues budgeted in the Capital Projects Fund. Expenditures: The total of all expenditures budgeted in the Capital Projects Fund.
Tuition Transfers: Tuition Services, Transportation Services, and Other Services. If there are no expenditures for Tuition Services, then Transportation Services and Other Services are not excluded.
Adult Basic Education: Expenditures budgeted in the Adult Basic Education Fund classification.

Educational Aides - The FIE count of staff reported with a role of 033 . Counts of educational aides are expressed as a percent of the total staff FTE. (Source: PEIMS, Oct. 1990)

Auxiliary Staff - The FTE count of staff reported without a role but with a PEIMS employment and payroll record. Counts of auxiliary staff are expressed as a percent of total staff. The FTE calculation for auxiliary staff differs from the calculation for professional staff. For auxiliary staff FTE is simply the value of the percent of day worked expressed as a fraction. (Source: PEIMS, Oct. 1990)

Total Staff by Ethnicity and Sex - Counts of total staff FTEs by combinations of major ethnic group and sex. Counts are also expressed as percentages of the total staff FTE. (Source: PEIMS, Oct. 1990)

Teachers by Years of Experience - The FTE count of Teachers by Years of Experience - The FTE count of shown. Experience in these categories is the total years of teaching experience for the individual, not years of experience in the reporting district or campus. Teacher counts within each span of years of experience are expressed as a percent of total teacher FIEs. A beginning teacher is a teacher reported with zero years of experience. (Source: PEIMS, Oct. 1990)

Student Teacher Ratio-Total enrollment divided by the total teacher FTE count. (Source: PEIMS, Oct. 1990)

Professional Staff - Full-time equivalent (FTE) counts of teachers, professional support staff, campus administrators, and central administrators. Staff are grouped according to the PEIMS roles reported for them (see Appendix A). Each type of professional staff is shown as a percentage of the total staff (FTE) of all staff (professional and para-professional) in the district.

Full-time equivalents are calculated as the number of days employed multiplied by the percent of day worked, divided by 183 days. The appropriate portion of an FTE is allocated to each of the responsibilities associated with an individual based on a percentage of total time worked. (Source: PEIMS, Oct. 1990)

# Explanation of District 

Average Teacher Salary by Years of Experience Total teacher base pay for teachers within each experience group divided by the total teacher FTE for the group. Total teacher base pay is the actual salary amount eamed for regular duties. No supplement amounts are included. Career ladder supplements are not included. (Source: PEIMS, Oct. 1990)

Average Experience of Teachers and Average Experience of Teachers with District - Weighted averages obtained by multiplying each teacher's FTE count by years of experience. These amounts, when summed for all teachers and divided by the total teacher count, result in the averages shown.

Average Experience uses the total years of teaching experience for the individual, while Average Experience with District uses tenure, the years employed in the reporting district. The formula makes adjustments for teachers with zero years of experience or tenure, so they are appropriately weighted in the formula. (Source: PEIMS,Oct. 1990)

Average Actual Salaries - For each staff type, the total salary divided by the total FTE count of staff who receive that salary. The total actual salary amount is pay for regular duties only (base pay) and does not include any supplements. Career ladder supplements are not included. (Source: PEIMS, Oct. 1990)

Teaching Permits by Type - The number of teaching permits issued. Teachers may be issued more than one permit. Permits are grouped as temporary classroom assignment, emergency teaching, and other; representing nonrenewable, special assignment, and vocational, respectively. (Source: PEIMS, Oct. 1990)

## Distribution of Teacher Appraisal Scores - The

 total FTE count of teachers receiving an appraisal score within each of the ranges is shown. The average appraisal score is not presented for any of these categories. In 1990-91, teacher appraisal scores ranged from 11 to 184 . The first range ( $.1-135.9$ ) represents three categories: unsatisfactory, below expectation, and meets expectation. The second range (136-159.9) represents a rating of exceeds expectation. The third range ( $160-184$ ) represents a performance rating of clearly outstanding. (Source: PEIMS, Oct. 1990)Teacher Career Ladder Status - The FTE counts of teachers and speech therapists on levels two and three. Career ladder counts may be under-reported for many districts due to placement decisions made after October. (Source: PEIMS, Oct. 1990)

## Explanation of District Profile (continued)

Instructional Operating Expenditures by Program - Expenditures categorized by the program for which the funds were expended. Regular, special, compensatory, vocational, bilingua/ESL, gifted and talented, and other programs are shown for instructional operating expenditures only. Percentages are calculated as the percent each program total is of cotal instructional operating expenditures. See Appendix B for the financial accounting codes that describe these items. (Source: PEIMS, Budgeted Information, Oct. 1990)

Staff - Individuals were identified as participants in a cooperative if their assigned campus number belonged in a district different from their employing district, or if they were reported with a campus number of 701. Only the portion of a person's total FTE amount associated with the campus in another district (or with the 701 record) was counted as cooperative. Cooperative auxiliary staff are those auxiliary staff with payroll amounts reported in the Trust and Agency Fiduciary Fund Group. None of these staff counts are included in any other Profile statistics. (Source: PEIMS, Oct. 1990)

Financial - Cooperative financial information is categorized into two groups: total revenues by source, and total expenditures by object. None of these financial amounts are included in any other Profile statistics.
Total revenues is the total for all revenues budgeted with fund codes indicating State Funded Cooperatives or Federally Funded Cooperatives. See page 2 for a description of local, state, and federal revenues and Appendix B for the financial accounting codes that describe these items.
Total Expenditures is the total for all expenditures budgeted with fund codes indicating State Funded Cooperatives or Federally Funded Cooperatives. See page 2 for a description of operating and non-operating expenditures and Appendix B for the financial accounting codes that describe these items. (Source: PEIMS, Budgeted Information, Oct. 1990)


Instructional Operating Expenditures - Instructional operating expenditures budgeted with State Funded Cooperatives or Federally Funded Cooperatives fund classifications. See page 2 for a description of instructional operating expenditures and Appendix B for the financial accounting codes that describe this item. (Source: PEIMS, Budgeted Information, Oct. 1990)

## APPENDIX A

PEIMS Rolo Identifications
Central Adumietratone
001 Administrative Officer (cempus 700 only)
004 Assistant Superintendent
012 Instructional Officer (campus 700 only)
027 Superintendent
040 Athletic Director (campus 700)
043 Business Manager
044 Tax Assessor Collector
045 Director of Personnel
054 Department Head (campus 700)
055 Register (campus 700)
Campus Administratorss
001 Administrative Officer (campus not 700)
003 Assistant Principal
012 Instructional Officer (campus not 700)
020 Principal
040 Athletic Director (campus not 700)
054 Department Head (campus not 700 )
055 Registrer (campus not 700)
Pnofesaional Suppont Starf
002 Art Therapist
005 Associate Psychologist
006 Audiologist
007 Corrective Therapist
008 Counselor
009 Deaf Instructor
011 Educational Diegnostician
013 Librarian
015 Music Theraplat
016 Occupational Therapist
017 Orientation \& Mobility Instructor
018 Physical Therapist
019 Physician
021 Recreation Therapist
022 School Nurse
023 School Pyschologist
024 Social Worker
026 Speech Therapist
028 Supervisor
030 Visiting Teacher
031 Visual Training Therapist
032 Vocational Education Coordinator
041 Teacher Facilitator
042 Appraiser
Teachers
025 Special Duty Teacher
029 Teacher
Eoucatiomal Ades
033 Educational Aide
Auximaty Starf
Employment record, but no responstbility records reponted.

## APPENDIX B

Financlal Accounting Codes for Revenue and Expenditure Items
(In alphabetical order by label)


## ACADEMIC EXCELLENCE INDICATOR SYSTEM

District Report


TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY
Academic Excellence Indicator System
Final 1990-91 Report

| Indicator |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | State |
| IAAS Grd. 3 (Interim Passing Sid. 65\%) |  |  |
| All Tests | \% Passing | 64.9\% |
| Reading | \% Academic Recog. \% Passing | 3.1\% |
|  | \% Academic Recog. | 48.3\% |
| Writing | \% Passing | 71.0\% |
|  | \% Academic Recog. | 4.6\% |
| Math | \% Passing <br> \% Academic Recog. | $\begin{aligned} & 86.9 \% \\ & 33.8 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| IAAS Grd. 5 (Interim Passing Sid. 65\%) |  |  |
| All Tests | \% Passing | 53.2\% |
|  | \% Acadomic Recog. | 3.1\% |
| Reading | \% Passing | 69.7\% |
| Writing | \% Passing | 81.1\% |
|  | \% Academic Recog. | 7.0\% |
| Math | \% Passing | 61.8\% |
|  | \% Academic Recog. | 18.1\% |
| IAAS Grd. 7 (Interim Passing Std. 60\%) |  |  |
| All Tests | \% Passing | 48.0\% |
|  | \% Academic Recog. | 2.3\% |
| Reading | \% Passing | 60.4\% |
|  | \% Academic Recog. | 20.7\% |
| Writing | \% Passing | 73.8\% |
|  | \% Acadomic Recog. | 5.7\% |
| Math | \% Passing \% Academic Recog. | $\begin{aligned} & 62.9 \% \\ & 15.6 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| IAAS Grd_ 9 (Interim Passing Std. 60\%) |  |  |
| All Tests | \% Passing | 49.2\% |
|  | \% Academic Recog. | 2.0\% |
| Reading | \% Passing <br> \% Academic Recog. | $\begin{aligned} & 77.8 \% \\ & \text { 28.0\% } \end{aligned}$ |
| Writing | \% Passing | 68.0\% |
|  | \% Academic Recog. | 4.8\% |
| Math | \% Passing | 59.1\% |
|  | \% Academic Recog. | 11.1\% |


| African American | Hispanic | White | Other | Economically Disadyantaged | LEP | Special Education |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 51.1\% | 52.9\% | 75.4\% | 77.0\% | 50.7\% | 35.0\% | 47.8\% |
| 1.0\% | 1.1\% | 4.8\% | 4.8\% | 0.9\% | 0.2\% | 1.3\% |
| 76.7\% | 77.3\% | 91.2\% | 91.7\% | 75.7\% | 60.4\% | 69.2\% |
| 34.3\% | 34.5\% | 60.0\% | 55.6\% | 33.1\% | 18.1\% | 33.6\% |
| 60.2\% | 61.2\% | 79.5\% | 81.7\% | 59.0\% | 43.8\% | 51.4\% |
| 2.0\% | 2.0\% | 6.8\% | 7.0\% | 1.8\% | 0.5\% | 2.2\% |
| 76.8\% | 80.0\% | 93.6\% | 92.3\% | 79.2\% | 69.0\% | 74.7\% |
| 19.1\% | 21.6\% | 44.6\% | 45.6\% | 20.8\% | 13.7\% | 22.3\% |
| 33.6\% | 39.3\% | 66.7\% | 73.1\% | 36.0\% | 15.1\% | 25.4\% |
| 0.8\% | 1.0\% | 4.8\% | 8.2\% | 0.8\% | 0.2\% | 0.7\% |
| 54.7\% | 57.5\% | 81.0\% | 82.5\% | 54.6\% | 26.9\% | 44.3\% |
| 20.9\% | 22.2\% | 46.1\% | 48.6\% | 20.1\% | 6.2\% | 17.0\% |
| 73.4\% | 74.0\% | 87.4\% | 90.4\% | 72.1\% | 48.5\% | 54.7\% |
| 3.4\% | 3.5\% | 9.9\% | 14.0\% | 2.9\% | 0.8\% | 2.1\% |
| 41.6\% | 49.0\% | 74.8\% | 81.7\% | 46.0\% | 26.8\% | 33.4\% |
| 6.7\% | 9.4\% | 26.0\% | 36.7\% | 8.3\% | 3.1\% | 7.1\% |
| 28.4\% | 32.6\% | 62.4\% | 68.8\% | 29.3\% | 7.5\% | 13.4\% |
| 0.4\% | 0.6\% | 3.7\% | 6.3\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% |
| 44.1\% | 44.7\% | 74.1\% | 77.5\% | 42.0\% | 13.4\% | 25.9\% |
| 9.8\% | 10.2\% | 29.7\% | 36.8\% | 9.1\% | 1.6\% | 5.3\% |
| 60.3\% | 65.5\% | 82.4\% | 83.4\% | 61.8\% | 33.2\% | 34.9\% |
| 2.0\% | 2.4\% | 8.6\% | 11.2\% | 2.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.9\% |
| 43.0\% | 49.1\% | 76.4\% | 83.0\% | 46.1\% | 22.6\% | 23.2\% |
| 4.6\% | 6.9\% | 23.4\% | 33.4\% | 6.0\% | 1.4\% | 2.5\% |
| 28.8\% | 34.8\% | 64.4\% | 67.5\% | 31.7\% | 10.2\% | 12.3\% |
| 0.3\% | 0.5\% | 3.4\% | 6.5\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% |
| 65.7\% | 67.3\% | 88.5\% | 82.2\% | 64.2\% | 31.9\% | 42.4\% |
| 14.6\% | 15.3\% | 40.0\% | 38.7\% | 13.7\% | 2.4\% | 8.1\% |
| 53.2\% | 58.8\% | 78.4\% | 77.3\% | 55.8\% | 29.6\% | 26.1\% |
| 1.5\% | 1.7\% | 7.6\% | 12.2\% | 1.4\% | 0.1\% | 0.4\% |
| 37.9\% | 44.4\% | 74.5\% | 79.4\% | 41.8\% | 20.0\% | 19.3\% |
| 2.7\% | 4.3\% | 17.4\% | 26.5\% | 4.0\% | 1.2\% | 1.7\% |

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY
Academic Excellence Indicator System
Final 1990-91 Report

| Indicator: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | State | African American | Hispanic | White | Other | Economically Disadvantaged | LEP | Special Education |
| IAAS Exit (Interim Passing Std. 60\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All Tests | \% Passing | 65.7\% | 46.2\% | 52.5\% | 76.7\% | 73.7\% | 48.2\% | 18.4\% | 23.5\% |
|  | \% Academic Recog. | 2.1\% | 0.5\% | 0.7\% | 3.0\% | 5.2\% | 0.6\% | 0.1\% | 0.2\% |
| Reading | \% Passing | 88.3\% | 80.7\% | 79.8\% | 94.6\% | 86.8\% | 75.7\% | 43.9\% | 55.3\% |
|  | \% Academic Recog. | 35.3\% | 21.7\% | 21.6\% | 45.2\% | 40.5\% | 17.9\% | 4.4\% | 11.1\% |
| Writing | \% Passing | 81.6\% | 72.9\% | 74.0\% | 87.7\% | 80.5\% | 71.6\% | 38.6\% | 43.3\% |
|  | \% Academic Recog. | 4.7\% | 1.8\% | 2.3\% | 6.5\% | 8.9\% | 1.9\% | 0.2\% | 0.8\% |
| Math | \% Passing | 75.5\% | 56.4\% | 64.5\% | 84.9\% | 87.3\% | 60.8\% | 40.3\% | 33.5\% |
|  | \% Academic Recog. | 18.6\% | 6.9\% | 10.6\% | 24.6\% | 36.2\% | 9.2\% | 3.5\% | 3.6\% |
| \% Studen | danca | 95.6\% | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Drop-out Rate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Curte | (89/90) | 5.1\% | 6.8\% | 7.2\% | 3.5\% | 4.3\% | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Prior | 88/89) | 6.1\% | 7.5\% | 8.1\% | 4.5\% | 5.4\% | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| \% Adyanc | urses | 3.4\% | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Expected | yation Bate | 98.9\% | 98.1\% | 99.0\% | 99.1\% | 99.0\% | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| \% Graduates to Recrive |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Adyanced | on Transcriot | 26.7\% | 18.8\% | 20.3\% | 30.9\% | 47.1\% | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| College Admissions_Tests |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scholastic Apt. Test (SAT) Current Year (89/90) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Avera | AT Total | 872 | 732 | 795 | 914 | 905 | n/a | n/a | n/a |
|  | Above 1000 | 26.6\% | 7.3\% | 13.4\% | 32.8\% | 33.1\% | n/a | n/a | n/a |
|  |  | 40.0\% | 28.8\% | 24.9\% | 44.9\% | 88.6\% | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Prior Year (88/89) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | e SAT Total | 876 | 729 | 797 | 917 | 884 | n/a | n/a | n/a |
|  | Above 1000 | 26.4\% | 7.6\% | 13.9\% | 33.4\% | 28.3\% | n/a | n/a | n/a |
|  |  | 38.3\% | 22.0\% | 19.6\% | 40.1\% | 99.4\% | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Enhanced American College Testing (ACT) Assessment Current Year (89/90) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | e ACT Composite | 18.8 | 17.0 | 17.8 | 20.9 | 20.7 | n/a | n/a | n/a |
|  | Above 25 | 15.3\% | 3.2\% | 6.2\% | 20.4\% | 23.2\% | n/a | n/a | n/a |
|  |  | 26.6\% | 15.6\% | 21.0\% | 27.4\% | 29.2\% | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Iexas Academic Skills Pram. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \% Pa | on 1st Attempt | 69.3\% | 44.0\% | 56.3\% | 77.2\% | 62.7\% | n/a | n/a | n/a |

District Name: EAST CENTRAL ISD District \#: 015911 District Group: 9

## Indicator:



## * Student Attendance

## Drop-out Rate

Current Year (89/90) Prior Year (88/89)

## \% Advanced Courses

Expected Graduation Rate
\% Graduates to Recelve Advanced Seal on Transcript

College Admissions Tests
Scholastic Apt. Test (SAT)

## Current Year (89/90)

 Average SAT Total \% at or Above 1000 \% TestedPrior Year (88/89) Average SAT Total * at or Above 1000 \% Tested

Enhanced American College Testing (ACT) Assessment
Current Year (89/90)
Average ACT Composite
$\%$ at or Above 25 \% at or Above 25 \% Tested

## Texas Academic Skills Prom.

TEXASEDUCATIDNAGENCY
Final 1990-91 District Performance

|  |  |  | 1------ | -- Ethni | c ---- | $---1$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| State | Group | District | African Amertcan | Hispanic | White | Other | Economic Disadv. | LEP | Spectal <br> Education |
| 65.7\% | 70.1\% | 65.2\% | 44.8\% | 51.4\% | 73.9\% | - | 40.8\% | * | * |
| 2.1\% | 1.3\% | 1. 3\% | 0.0\% | 0.9\% | 1.7\% | - | 0.0\% | * | * |
| 88.3\% | 90.8\% | 89.5\% | 86. $2 \%$ | 82. $2 \%$ | 93.2\% | - | 69.6\% | * | * |
| 35.3\% | 36.2\% | 33.0\% | 17.2\% | 19.6\% | 40.9\% | - | 17.4\% | * | * |
| $81.6 \%$ | 85. $2 \%$ | 84.6\% | 77.8\% | 79.4\% | 87.8\% | - | 70.8\% | * | - |
| 4.7\% | 3.3\% | 4.9\% | 7.4\% | 0.9\% | 6.3\% | - | 2.1\% | * | - |
| 75.5\% | 78.3\% | 72.3\% | 51.7\% | 59.3\% | 80.9\% | - | 51.1\% | * | * |
| 18.6\% | 18.1\% | 13.4\% | 0.0\% | $8.3 \%$ | 17.4\% | - | 2.1\% | * | * |
| 95.6\% | 95.8\% | 95.9\% | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | $n / a$ | n/a |
| 5.1\% | 3. $4 \%$ | 3.4\% | 3.0\% | 3.8\% | 3. $2 \%$ | 0.0\% | $n / 2$ | n/a | n/a |
| 6. 1\% | 4.6\% | 4.0\% | 4.0\% | 4.2\% | 3.9\% | - | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| 3.4\% | 2.9\% | 3.9\% | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| 98.9\% | 100.0\% | 99.7\% | 100.0\% | 99.1\% | 100.0\% | * | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| 26.7\% | 31.1\% | 32.7\% | 37.8\% | 30.8\% | 32. $2 \%$ | * | n/a | n/a | n/a |


| 872 | 876 | 828 | 736 | 778 | 869 | * | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 26.6\% | 28.8\% | 19.6\% | 5.6\% | 2.6\% | 30.1\% | * | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| 40.0\% | 39.8\% | 48.8\% | 41.9\% | 39.0\% | $52.3 \%$ | * | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| 878 | 875 | 771 | 723 | 721 | 799 | - | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| 28.4\% | 25.3\% | 11.5\% | 3.7\% | 7.5\% | 14.0\% | - | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| 38.3\% | 37.7\% | 52.5\% | 48.2\% | 46.1\% | 50.0\% | - | n/a | n/a | n/a |


| 19.8 15.3\% 26.6\% | $\begin{aligned} & 20.5 \\ & 19.1 \% \\ & 24.4 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 18.7 \\ 8.3 \% \\ 10.5 \% \end{gathered}$ | $4.7 \%$ | $\begin{gathered} 17.0 \\ 0.0 \% \\ 10.0 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 19.5 \\ & 15.0 \% \end{aligned}$ $10.2 \%$ | * | n/a n/a n/a | n/a n/a n/a | n/a n/a n/a |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 89.3\% | 74.7\% | 60.0\% | 71.4\% | 77.8\% | 56.3\% | * | n/a | n/a | /a |

District Name: EAST CENTRAL ISD District \#: 015911 District Group: 9

## Indicator:

| Al1 Tests | $\begin{aligned} & \% \\ & \% \end{aligned}$ | Passing Academic | Recog. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reading | $\begin{aligned} & \% \\ & \% \end{aligned}$ | Passing Academic | Recog. |
| Writing | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \% } \\ & \text { \% } \end{aligned}$ | Passing Academic | Recog. |
| Math | $\begin{aligned} & \% \\ & \% \end{aligned}$ | Passing Academic | Recog. |
| TAAS Grd. 5 | ( In | t. Std. | 65\%) |
| All Tests | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \% } \\ & \% \end{aligned}$ | Passing Academic | Recog. |
| Reading | $\begin{aligned} & \% \\ & \% \end{aligned}$ | Passing Academic | Recog. |
| Writing | $\begin{aligned} & \boldsymbol{\%} \\ & \boldsymbol{\%} \end{aligned}$ | Passing Academic | Recog. |
| Math | $\begin{aligned} & \boldsymbol{\%} \\ & \boldsymbol{\%} \end{aligned}$ | Passing Academic | Recog. |

TAAS Grd. 7 (Int. Std. 60\%)

| All Tests | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \% } \\ & \text { \% } \end{aligned}$ | Passing Academic | Recog. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reading | $\begin{aligned} & \% \\ & \% \end{aligned}$ | Passing Academic | Recog |
| Writing | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \% } \\ & \text { \% } \end{aligned}$ | Passing Academtc | Recog. |
| Math | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \% } \\ & \text { \% } \end{aligned}$ | Passing Academic | Recog |
| TAAS Grd. 9 | ( In | t. Std. | \%) |
| All Tests | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \% } \\ & \text { \% } \end{aligned}$ | Passing Academic | Recog. |
| Reading | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \% } \\ & \text { \% } \end{aligned}$ | Passing Academic | Recog. |
| Writing | $\begin{aligned} & \% \\ & \% \end{aligned}$ | Passing Academic | Recog. |
| Math | $\begin{aligned} & \% \\ & \% \end{aligned}$ | Passing <br> Academic | Recog |

TEXAS.EDUCATIONAGENCY

State Group District American Hispanic White Dther Disadv. LEP Education | African |
| :--- |

| 64.9\% | 71.0\% | 58.6\% | 42.4\% | $54.0 \%$ | 62. $2 \%$ | * | 47.7\% | 15.4\% | 29.4\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3. 1\% | 3.4\% | 1.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% ${ }^{\prime}$ | 2.9\% | * | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| 84.8\% | 89.4\% | B3. 1\% | 79.7\% | 81.8\% | 84.5\% | * | 83.4\% | 80.0\% | 59.1\% |
| 48.3\% | 52.8\% | 42.9\% | 32.2\% | 40.9\% | 47.3\% | * | 40.0\% | 30.0\% | $22.7 \%$ |
| 71.0\% | 77.9\% | 65.0\% | 61.4\% | 61.4\% | 68.4\% | * | 56.3\% | 30.8\% | 29.6\% |
| 4.6\% | 5.8\% | 2.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.8\% | 4.4\% | * | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| 86.9\% | 91.4\% | 82.3\% | 72.9\% | 76.9\% | 88.2\% | * | 78.2\% | 58.3\% | 65.6\% |
| 33.8\% | 37.9\% | 24.9\% | 10.2\% | 20.1\% | 32.5\% | * | 15.0\% | 16.7\% | 6. $3 \%$ |
| 53. $2 \%$ | 55.4\% | 47.1\% | 30.2\% | 43.8\% | 53.0\% | * | 33.3\% | 33.3\% | 30.8\% |
| 3. 1\% | 2.7\% | 1.8\% | 2.3\% | 1.5\% | 1.8\% | * | 0.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| 69.7\% | 71.9\% | 70.3\% | 78.2\% | 68. $2 \%$ | 72. 2\% | * | 53.3\% | 68.7\% | 45.5\% |
| 34.8\% | 37.8\% | 32.7\% | 33.3\% | 30.8\% | 34.3\% | * | 19.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| 81.1\% | 82.9\% | B6. 3\% | 76. $2 \%$ | 87.6\% | 87.7\% | * | 83.6\% | 100.0\% | $81.8 \%$ |
| 7.0\% | 6.8\% | 6.7\% | 7.1\% | 7.0\% | 6.6\% | * | 4.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| 61.8\% | 63.7\% | 57. 1\% | 37. 2\% | 54.3\% | 63.5\% | * | 45. 1\% | 33.3\% | 27.3\% |
| 18.1\% | 17.6\% | 14.2\% | 4.7\% | 10.9\% | 18.3\% | * | 5.7\% | 16.7\% | 0.0\% |
| 48.0\% | 48.5\% | 46.5\% | 44.7\% | 36.0\% | 53.5\% | * | 33.8\% | 0.0\% | 30.0\% |
| 2.3\% | 2.2\% | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.3\% | * | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| 60.4\% | 63.9\% | 62. 1\% | 63.8\% | 49.3\% | 69.6\% | * | 47.5\% | 0.0\% | 50.0\% |
| 20.7\% | 20.9\% | 18.3\% | 19.1\% | 12.8\% | 22.0\% | * | 12.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| 73.8\% | 76.4\% | 68.6\% | 72.3\% | 61.9\% | 72.4\% | * | 60.7\% | 20.0\% | 69.2\% |
| 5.7\% | 4.8\% | 2.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.9\% | * | 2.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| 62.9\% | 65.8\% | 68.5\% | 61.7\% | 57. 1\% | 72.9\% | * | 53.6\% | 27.3\% | 40.0\% |
| 15.6\% | 15.9\% | 8.3\% | 2.1\% | 5.4\% | 11.1\% | * | 3.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| 49.2\% | 53. $2 \%$ | 41.5\% | 20.5\% | 32.3\% | 51.7\% | * | 28.3\% | 0.0\% | 18.7\% |
| $2.0 \%$ | 1.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | * | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| 77.8\% | 81.6\% | 78.1\% | 63.6\% | 70.3\% | 85.8\% | * | 70.6\% | 9.1\% | 50.0\% |
| 28.0\% | 30.5\% | 21.2\% | 9.1\% | 12.3\% | 29.6\% | * | 13.7\% | 0.0\% | 12.5\% |
| 68.0\% | 72.0\% | 54.8\% | 41.9\% | 48.8\% | 62.3\% | * | 46.7\% | 7.7\% | 14.3\% |
| 4.8\% | 4.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | * | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| 59.1\% | 63.8\% | 55.7\% | 25. ${ }^{\text {\% }}$ | 48.0\% | 67. $7 \%$ | * | 42.2\% | 8.3\% | 33.3\% |
| 11. \%\% | 10.9\% | 6.5\% | 2.3\% | 4.0\% | 8.9\% | * | 4.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |


(a) The denominator for this calculation uses only students who reported mobility information on the TaAS reports.

| District Name: EAST CENTRAL ISD County Name: BEXAR District: 015911 | A GENCY tor System Proflle | Section II - 2 <br> Enrollment: 3,000 to 9,999 <br> Wealth: below average <br> Low Income: under 40\% |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FINANCIAL INFORMATION (Continued) | District | Group | State |
| Revenues: Total Revenues Total Revenues per Pupil | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 22,434,591 \\ \$ 3,725 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 1,103,751,935 \\ \$ 3,798 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 13,990,551,507 \\ \$ 4,141 \end{array}$ |
| \% Revenues by Source <br> Local Tax <br> Other Local \& Intermediate State <br> Federal | $29.3 \%$ $7.8 \%$ $57.0 \%$ $5.9 \%$ | 42.0\% $5.7 \%$ $47.0 \%$ $5.4 \%$ | $46.4 \%$ $5.0 \%$ $41.4 \%$ $7.3 \%$ |
| Expenditures: <br> Total Expenditures <br> Total Expenditures per Pupil | \$22,539,983 $\mathbf{\$ 3 . 7 4 2}$ | \$1,121, 358,967 $\$ 3,858$ | \$14,189,795,292 |
| \% Expenditures by Function Operating |  |  |  |
| Instruction Instructional Administration | 2.3\% | 1.7\% | 2.1\% |
| instructional Administration | 4.5\% | 4.6\% | 4.8\% |
| Central Administration | 4.3\% | 4.9\% | 5. 1\% |
| Support | 6. $4 \%$ | 4.8\% | 5. 10\% |
| Plant Services | 10.7\% | 10.0\% | 10.0\% |
| Other | 12.0\% | 10.5\% |  |
| Non-Operating Debt Service | 8.3\% | 9.9\% | 7.6\% |
| Debt Service | 3.2\% | 2.6\% | 3. 1\% |
| Community Services | 0.3\% | 0.2\% | 0.2\% |
| \% Expenditures by Object Operating |  |  |  |
| Payroli | 68.8\% | 70.7\% 18.8\% | 73.1\% 16. $2 \%$ |
| Other Opersting Non-Operating | 19.8\% 11.5\% | 18.8\% 12.6\% | 16.2\% 10.8\% |
| Operating Expenditures | \$19,872,809 | \$978,007,460 | \$12,627,810.278 |
| Operating Expenditures per Pupli | \$3,299 | \$3,365 |  |
| Instructional Operating Expenditures | \$10,808, 857 | \$589,099, 718 | \$7,333,971,842 |
| Instructional Operating Expenditures per Pupll | \$1,795 | \$1,958 | \$2,171 |
| Exclusions:Total Capital Projects Fund |  |  |  |
| Revenues | \$0 | \$1,687,320 |  |
| Expendi tures | \$0 | $\$ 33,355,543$ | \$1,085,811,069 |
| Tuition Transfers | \$2,000 | $\$ 464.847$ $\$ 247$ | $\$ 9.185,735$ $\$ 4,712,199$ |
| Adult Basic Education | \$0 | \$247,313 | \$4,712,199 |


| District Name: EAST CENTRAL ISD County Name: BEXAR District: 015911 | TEXASEDUCATION Academic Excellence Indica Final 1990-91 District | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N C Y } \\ & \text { stem } \\ & \text { le } \end{aligned}$ | Section II - <br> Enrollment: 3,000 to 9,99 Wealth: below average Low Income: under 40\% |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| STAFF INFORMATION | I----District-------CountPergent |  | Group | State |
| Professional Staff: | 399.9 | 62.0\% | 63. $2 \%$ | 62.6\% |
| Teachers | $334.7$ | 51.9\% | 53.7\% | 52.7\% |
| Professional Support | 33.5 | 5.2\% | 5. $2 \%$ | 5. $4 \%$ |
| - Campus Administration | 18.113.7 | 2.8\%2.1\% | 2.7\% | $\begin{aligned} & 2.7 \% \\ & 1.7 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| Central Administration |  |  | 1.6\% |  |
| Educational Aldes: | 35.8 | 5.6\% | 7. 3\% | 8.0\% |
| Auxiliary Staff: | 209.6 | 32.5\% | 29.5\% | 29.4\% |
| Total Staff by Ethnicity and Sex: | 845.4 | n/a | 32,070.7 | 390,203.5 |
| White Male | 106.7 | 16.5\% | 18.8\% | 14.8\% |
| White Female | 379.1 | 58.7\% | 68.7\% | $52.3 \%$ |
| Hispanic Male | 43.8 | 6.8\% | 1.6\% 4.3\% | 8.3\% $14.6 \%$ |
| Hispanic Female | 88.0 10.0 | $\begin{array}{r}\text { 13.6\% } \\ 1.5 \% \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 4.3\% 2. $2 \%$ | $14.6 \%$ $3.0 \%$ |
| African American Male | 10.0 16.7 | 1.5\% 2.6\% | 2.2\% 5.9\% | 3.0\% $8.5 \%$ |
| Other Male | 1.0 | 0.2\% | 0.1\% | 0.2\% |
| Other Female | 0.0 |  | 0.3\% | 0.3\% |
| Teachers by Highest Degree Held: |  |  |  |  |
| No Degree | 6.0 | 1.8\% | 0.9\% | 1.4\% |
| Bachelors | 237.9 | 71.1\% | 70.1\% | 67.5\% |
| Masters | 90.8 | 27. 1\% | 28.7\% | $30.7 \%$ $0.4 \%$ |
| Doctorate | 0.0 | - | 0.2\% |  |
| Teachers by Years of Experience: |  |  |  |  |
| Beginning Teachers | 25.9 | 7.7\% | 5.7\% | 6. $3 \%$ |
| 1-5 Years Experience | 108.7 | 31.9\% | 25.1\% | 24.4\% |
| 6-10 Years Expertence | 71.8 | 21.4\% | 21.8\% | 20.7\% |
| 11-20 Years Experience | 93.3 | 27.9\% | 33.4\% | 33.8\% |
| Over 20 Years Experience | 37.0 | 11.1\% | 13.9\% | 14.8\% |
| Student/Teacher Ratio | n/a | 18.0 | 16.9 | 16.4 |




District Name: EAST CENTRAL ISD Campus Name: EAST CENTRAL H S Campus \#: 015911001


District Name: EAST CENTRAL ISD Campus Name: EAST CENTRAL H S Campus ": 015911001

## Indicator:

College Admissions Tests
Scholastic Apt. Test (SAT)
Current Year (89/90) Average SAT Total \% at or Above 1000 \% Tested

Prior Year (88/89)
Average SAT Total \% at or Above 1000 \% Tested

Enhanced American College Testing (ACT) Assessment

## Current Year (89/90)

 Average ACT Composite \% at or Above 25 \% TestedTexas Academic Skills Prgm.
\% Passing on ist Attempt

TEXAS EDUCATIONAGENCY Academic Excellence Indicator System Final 1990-91 Campus Performance

Total Enroliment: 1652
Grade Span: 09 - 12 School Type: Secondary

African Economic Disadv.

Special Education

| 872 | 828 | 861 | 828 | 736 | 778 | 869 | * | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 26.6\% | 19.6\% | 23.8\% | 19.6\% | 5.6\% | 2.6\% | 30. 1\% | * | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| 40.0\% | 48.8\% | 31.3\% | 48.8\% | 41.9\% | 39.0\% | 52.3\% | * | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| 878 | 771 | 888 | 771 | 723 | 721 | 799 | - | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| 26. $4 \%$ | 11.5\% | 25.0\% | 12.0\% | 4.0\% | 8.0\% | 14.0\% | - | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| 38.3\% | 52.5\% | 28.8\% | 52.5\% | 48.2\% | 46.1\% | 50.0\% | - | n/a | n/a | n/a |


| 19.8 | 18.7 | 19.8 | 18.7 | * | 17.0 | 19.5 | * | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 15.3\% | 8.3\% | 14.1\% | 8.3\% | * | 0.0\% | 15.0\% | * | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| 28.6\% | 10.5\% | 28.8\% | 10.5\% | 4. $7 \%$ | 10.0\% | 10.2\% | * | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| 69.3\% | 60.0\% | 68.7\% | 80.0\% | 71.4\% | 77.8\% | 56. $3 \%$ | * | n/a | n/a | n/a |



[^0]District Name: EAST CENTRAL ISD Campus Name: EAST CENTRAL H S Campus \#: 015911001

TEXASEDUCATION AGENCY Academic Excellence Indicator System Final 1990-91 Campus Profile

Section II - 2
Total Enrollment: 1,652
Grade Span: 09-12
School Type: Secondary


[^1]District Name: EAST CENTRAL ISD
Campus Name: EAST CENTRAL H S
Campus \#: 015911001

TEXASEDUCATION AGENCY Academic Excellence Indicator System Final 1990-91 Campus Profile


(a) Indicates this variable was used to assign campuses to demographic groups.
(b) The denominator for this calculation uses only students who reported mobility information on the taAs reports.

| District Name: EAST CENTRAL ISD Campus Name: STUDENT ADJUSTMENT CTR Campus \#: 015911003 | EXASED Academic Exce Final 199 | TION e Indicato Campus Pro |  | Total Enroll Grade Span: School Type | ondary |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| STAFF INFORMATION | Count |  <br> Percent | Group | District | State |
| Professional Staff: | 1.7 | 100.0\% | - | 62.0\% | 62.6\% |
| Teachers | 1.7 | 100.0\% | - | 51.9\% | 52.7\% |
| Professional Support | 0.0 |  |  | 5.2\% | 5.4\% |
| Campus Administrators | 0.0 | - | - | 2.8\% | 2.7\% |
| Educational Afdes: | 0.0 | - | - | 5.6\% | 8.0\% |
| Total Staff By Ethnicity and Sex: | 1.7 | n/a |  | 645.4 | 390,203.5 |
| White Male | 0.0 | - | - | 18.5\% | 14.8\% |
| White Female | 0.0 | - | - | 58.7\% | $52.3 \%$ |
| Hispanic Male | 0.0 | 2\% | - | 6.8\% | 6. ${ }^{\text {6\% }}$. $6 \%$ |
| Hispanic Female | 1.0 | 59.2\% |  | 13.6\% | 14.6\% |
| African American Male | 0.7 | 40.8\% |  | 1.5\% | 3.0\% |
| African American Female Other Male | 0.0 | - | - | 2.6\% $0.2 \%$ | 8.5\% |
| Other Male Other Female | 0.0 | - | - | - | 0.3\% |
| Teachers by Years of Experience: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Beginning Teachers | 0.0 | - | - | 7.7\% | 6.3\% |
| 1-5 Years Experience | 0.0 | - | - | 31.9\% | 24.4\% |
| 6-10 Years Experience | 0.0 | - |  | 21.4\% | 20.7\% |
| Over 20 Years Experience | 0.7 | 40.8\% |  | 27.9\% | 33.8\% |
|  | 1.0 | 59.2\% |  | 11.1\% |  |
|  | Campus |  | Group | District | State |
| Average Experience of Teachers: <br> Average Experience of Teachers with District: |  | $\begin{aligned} & 21.7 \\ & 29.0 \end{aligned}$ | 9.35.7 |  | $\begin{array}{r} 11.3 \\ 7.6 \end{array}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average Teacher Salary by Years of Experience: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Beginning Teachers $1-5$ Years Experience |  | \$0 | * | \$21,445 | \$22,421 |
| -10 Years Experience |  | \$0 | * | \$24,346 | \$25,289 |
| 11-20 Years Experience |  | \$25,399 | * | \$27,284 | \$29.332 |
| Over 20 Years Experience | \$35,784 |  | * | \$32,028 | \$33,422 |
| Average Actual Salaries: |  | \$31.547 | * | \$24,670 | \$26,840 |
| Professional SupportCampus Administration |  | \$31.547 | * | \$31,446 | $\$ 32,522$ |
|  |  | \$0 | * | \$42,673 |  |



| District Name: EAST CENTRAL ISD Campus Name: OAK CREST MIDDLE Campus ": 015911041 |  | TEXASEDUCATIONAGENCY Academic Excellence Indicator System Final 1990-91 Campus Performance |  |  |  |  |  | ```Section 1-1 Total Enrollment: 701 Grade Span: 06 - 08 School Type: Middle``` |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | State | District | Group | Campus | African American | Htspanic | White | Other | Economic Disadv. | LEP | Special <br> Education |
| TAAS Grd. 7 (Int. Std. 60\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All Tests \% Passing | 48.0\% | 46.5\% | 52.4\% | 48.2\% | * | 28.4\% | 54. $2 \%$ | - | 27.4\% | 0.0\% | 28.6\% |
| \% Academic Recog. | 2. 3\% | 0.7\% | 2. $2 \%$ | 1.4\% | * | 0.0\% | 2. 1\% | - | 1.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Reading \% Passing | 60.4\% | 62.1\% | 65.5\% | 60.8\% | * | 41.8\% | 69.1\% | - | 43.5\% | 0.0\% | 33.3\% |
| \% Academic Recog. | 20.7\% | 18.3\% | 22.9\% | 16.3\% | * | 4.5\% | 22.3\% | - | 9.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Writing \% Passing | 73.8\% | 68.6\% | 78. $4 \%$ | 68.3\% | * | 52.3\% | 75.0\% | - | $51.7 \%$ | 22.2\% | * |
| \% Academic Recog. | 5.7\% | 2.1\% | 5.8\% | 3.4\% | * | 0.0\% | $5.0 \%$ | - | 3.3\% | 0.0\% | * |
| Math \% Passing | 62.9\% | 66.5\% | 67.5\% | 62.5\% | * | 47.0\% | 69.8\% | - | 46. $7 \%$ | 30.0\% | * |
| \% Academic Recog. | 15.6\% | 8.3\% | 17.5\% | 8.2\% | * | 1.5\% | 11.5\% | - | 5.0\% | 0.0\% | * |
| \% Student Attendance | 95.6\% | 95.9\% | 98.2\% | 96.3\% | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Orop-out Rate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Current Year (89/90) | $5.1 \%$ | 3. $4 \%$ | 1.1\% | 2.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.7\% | 1.4\% | * | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Prior Year (88/89) | 6. 1\% | 4.0\% | 1.4\% | 0.2\% | - | - | 0.3\% | * | n/a | n/a | n/a |



[^2]TEXASEDUCATIONAGENCY Academic Excellence Indicator System Final 1990-91 Campus Proflle

Section II - 2
Total Enrollment:
Grade Span: 06-0
School Type: Middle
oistrict Name: EAST CENTRAL ISO
Campus Name: OAK CREST MIDDLE
Campus \#: 015911041

STAFF INFORMATION
Professional Staff:
Teachers
Professional Support
Campus Administrators
:------Campus------:

| 39.6 | $95.4 \%$ | $92.0 \%$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 35.4 | $85.3 \%$ | $81.7 \%$ |
| 2.3 | $5.6 \%$ | $5.7 \%$ |
| 1.9 | $4.5 \%$ | $4.5 \%$ |
| 1.9 | $4.6 \%$ | $8.0 \%$ |


| District | State |
| ---: | ---: |
|  |  |
| $62.0 \%$ | $62.6 \%$ |
| $51.9 \%$ | $52.7 \%$ |
| $5.2 \%$ | $5.4 \%$ |
| $2.8 \%$ | $2.7 \%$ |
| $5.6 \%$ | $8.0 \%$ |

Educational Aides:
$41.5 \quad n / a$
4,587.9
645.4

390, 203.5

White Female
Hispanic Male
Hispanic Female
8.0
27.2
27.2

Hispanic remale
$19.3 \%$
$65.5 \%$
$2.4 \%$
$8.0 \%$
$2.4 \%$
$2.4 \%$
-
-

Other Male
Other Male
Other Female
1.0
0.0
0.0
-

Teachers by Years of Experience:
Beginning Teachers
$1-5$ Years Experience
B-10 Years Experience
$11-20$ Years Experience

| $14.1 \%$ | $7.0 \%$ |
| ---: | ---: |
| $22.6 \%$ | $23.1 \%$ |
| $14.8 \%$ | $22.3 \%$ |
| $37.1 \%$ | $32.8 \%$ |
| $11.3 \%$ | $14.9 \%$ |
|  |  |
| Campus | Group |
|  |  |
| 10.2 | 11.2 |
| 7.0 | 7.2 |


| $7.7 \%$ | $6.3 \%$ |
| ---: | ---: |
| $31.9 \%$ | $24.4 \%$ |
| $21.4 \%$ | $20.7 \%$ |
| $27.9 \%$ | $33.8 \%$ |
| $11.1 \%$ | $14.8 \%$ |
|  |  |
| District | State |
|  |  |
| 9.3 | 11.3 |
| 5.7 | 7.6 |

```
Average Experience of Teachers:
Average Experience of Teachers with District:
```

Average Teacher Salary by Years of Experience:
Beginning Teachers
1-5 Years Experience
B-10 Years Experience
B-10 Years Experience
11-20 Years Experience
$\$ 18,989$
$\$ 18,989$
$\$ 21,354$
11-20 Years Experience
$\$ 21,354$
Over 20 Years Experience
$\$ 27,711$
$\$ 31.243$
\$20,318
$\$ 22,058$
$\$ 22,058$
$\$ 24,783$
$\$ 18.932$
$\$ 21.445$
$\$ 21.445$
$\$ 24,346$
\$27, 284
$\$ 20.259$
$\$ 22.421$

District Name: EAST CENTRAL ISD Campus Name: OAK CREST MIDDLE Campus \#: 015911041

TEXASEDUCATIONAGENCY Academic Excellence Indicator System Final 1990-91 Campus Profile

Section II - 3
Total Enrollment:
Grade Span: 06 - 08
School Type: Middle

| FINANCIAL INFORMATION | Campus | Group | District | State |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

## Expenditures:

Operating Expenditures
Operating Expenditures Per Pupil
$\$ 1,580.611$
$\$ 2,255$
\$155,631,649
$\$ 2,517$
$\$ 19,117,680$
$\$ 12,016,538,649$
$\$ 3,557$
\% Expenditures by Function:
Instruction
Instructional Administration
Campus Administration
Other Campus Costs

| $62.2 \%$ | $75.1 \%$ |
| ---: | ---: |
| $7.8 \%$ | - |
| $30.0 \%$ | $8.0 \%$ |
|  | $16.9 \%$ |

Other Campus Costs
\$983,717
Instructional Operating Expenditures
Per Pupil
\$1,403
$\$ 116,830.743$
$\$ 1.890$
$\$ 10,808,857$
\$7,334, 266, 819
\$2,171

| PROGRAM INFORMATION | : | Group | District | State |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

Student Enrollment by Program:
Special Education
Vocational Education
Bilingual/ESL Education
Gifted \& Talented Education

## 19.7 <br> 2. 1\%

75
137
137
15
15
69
10.0\%

1. $5 \%$
$2.4 \%$
$10.5 \%$
10.7\%
9.6\%

Gifted Talented Education
9.8\%
$10.5 \%$
$18.1 \%$
$2.4 \%$
2. $\mathbf{6} \%$
. $\%$
$13.0 \%$
$8.4 \%$

Teachers by Program (Population Served):

| Regular Education | 27.1 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Special Education | 3.0 |
| Compensatory Education | 2.8 |
| Vocational Education | 1.0 |
| Bilingual/ESL Education | 0.3 |
| Gifted \& Talented Education | 1.2 |
| Other | 0.0 |

3.0
2.8
1.0
0.3
1.2
0.0
76.5\% Special Education
$8.5 \%$
$7.8 \%$
2.8\%
$77.0 \%$
$9.1 \%$ 9. 1\%
5.7\%
2. $4 \%$
$2.4 \%$
$0.8 \%$
$0.8 \%$
$2.3 \%$
$2.3 \%$
$2.8 \%$
1.0\%
3.4\%
$69.9 \%$
$10.6 \%$
$14.5 \%$
$1.0 \%$
$4.0 \%$
$74.0 \%$
$10.7 \%$
$9.4 \%$
$2.2 \%$
$1.1 \%$
$2.6 \%$

| Regular Education | $\$ 687,638$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Special Education | $\$ 104,278$ |
| Compensatory Education | $\$ 142,827$ |
| Vocational Education | $\$ 0$ |
| Bilingual/ESL Education | $\$ 10,006$ |
| Gifted B Talented Education | $\$ 38,968$ |
| Other | $\$ 0$ |

Other



[^3]

District Name: EAST CENTRAL ISD Campus Name: SALADO MIDDLE Campus \#: 015911042

TEXASEDUCATIONAGENCY Academic Excellence Indicator System Final 1990-91 Campus Profile

Section II -
Total Enrollment
Grade Span: 06 - 08
School Type: Middle


District Name: EAST CENTRAL ISD Campus Name: JOHN GLENN EL Campus A: 015911101

| Indicator: |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TAAS Grd. 3 | (Int. Std. | 85\%) |
| All Tests | \% Passing <br> \% Academic | Recog. |
| Reading | \% Passing <br> \% Academic | Recog. |
| Writing | \% Passing <br> \% Academic | Recog. |
| Math | * Passing <br> \% Academic | Recog. |
| TAAS Grd. 5 | (Int. Std. | 85\%) |
| All Tests | \% Passing <br> \% Academic | Recog. |
| Reading | \% Passing <br> \% Academic | Recog. |
| Writing | \% Passing <br> \% Academic | Recog. |
| Math | \% Passing <br> \% Academic | Recog. |

\% student Attendance

TEXAS EDUCATI ON AGENCY Final 1990-91 Campus Performance

Total Enrollment: 872
Grade Span: KG - 05
School Type: Elementary

| State | District | Group | Campus | African Amertcan | Hispanic | White | Other | Economic Disadv. | LEP | Special <br> Education |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 64.9\% | 58.6\% | 69. $1 \%$ | 83.8\% | * | 58.8\% | 68. $1 \%$ | - | 68.0\% | * | 40.0\% |
| 3. 1\% | 1.7\% | 1.8\% | 2. 1\% | * | 0.0\% | 2.8\% | - | 0.0\% | * | 0.0\% |
| 84.8\% | 83. $1 \%$ | 88.6\% | 76.1\% | * | 80.0\% | 80.6\% | - | 79.2\% | * | * |
| 48.3\% | 42.9\% | 51.5\% | 45.7\% | * | 26.7\% | 50.0\% | - | 29.2\% | * | * |
| 71.0\% | B5.0\% | 73.1\% | 72.5\% | * | B2.5\% | 76. 1\% | - | 79.2\% | * | * |
| 4.6\% | 2.8\% | 3.0\% | 4.4\% | * | 0.0\% | 5.6\% | - | 0.0\% | * | * |
| 86.9\% | 82. 3\% | 91.1\% | 82.0\% | * | 64.7\% | 89.6\% | - | 76.0\% | * | 80.0\% |
| 33.8\% | 24.9\% | 34.8\% | 31.5\% | * | 5.9\% | 38.8\% | - | 20.0\% | * | 0.0\% |


| 53.2\% | 47.1\% | 58.7\% | B1.0\% | - | 61. $1 \%$ | 61.0\% | - | 45.8\% | - | * |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3.1\% | 1.8\% | 2.0\% | 2.0\% | - | 0.0\% | 2.4\% | - | 0.0\% | - | * |
| 69.7\% | 70.3\% | 75.9\% | 75.0\% | - | 72. $2 \%$ | 75.6\% | - | 62.5\% | - | * |
| 34.8\% | 32.7\% | 40.0\% | 38.0\% | - | 33.3\% | 36.6\% | - | 20.8\% | - | * |
| 81.1\% | 86.3\% | 85.7\% | 89.8\% | - | 100.0\% | 87.5\% | - | 100.0\% | - | * |
| 7.0\% | 6.7\% | 6. $0 \%$ | $5.1 \%$ | - | 5.6\% | 5.0\% | - | 4.2\% | - | * |
| 61.8\% | 57.1\% | 67. 2\% | 69.0\% | - | 88.7\% | 69.5\% | - | 50.0\% | - | * |
| 18.1\% | 14.2\% | 17.2\% | 20.0\% | - | 11.1\% | 22.0\% | - | 4.2\% | - | * |
| 95. $6 \%$ | 95.9\% | 97.0\% | 97.0\% | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |



[^4]District Name: EAST CENTRAL ISD Campus Name: JOHN GLENN EL
Campus \#: 015911101

TEXASEDUCATIONAGENCY Academic Excellence Indicator System Final 1990-91 Campus Profile

Total Enroliment
Section II - 2 672 Grade Span: KG - 05 School Type: Elementary


District Name: EAST CENTRAL ISD Campus Name: JOHN GLENN EL
Campus \#: 015911101

TEXASEDUCATIONAGENCY Academic Excellence Indicator System Final 1990-91 Campus Profile

Total Enrollment
Section II - 3
Grade Span: KG - 05
School Type: Elementary

## FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Expenditures:

Campus
$\$ 1,643,568$
$\$ 2.446$
\$2,446
$72.4 \%$
-
$6.1 \%$
$21.4 \%$
\$1, 190, 139

Operating Expenditures Per Pupil
\% Expenditures by Function:
Operating Expenditures
Operating Expenditures Per Pu
Expenditures by Function:
Instruction
Instructional Administration
Campus Administration
Other Campus Costs

Campus Administration
structional Operating Expenditures
Instructional Operating Expenditures Per Pupil

Group
District
\$122,484,851
$\$ 2.510$
$80.4 \%$
$0.1 \%$
$6.4 \%$
6. $4 \%$
$13.1 \%$
\$98, 492,203
\$2,018
$\$ 19$,
117,680
$\$ 3,174$
$\$ 12.016,538,649$
$\$ 3,557$
$56.5 \%$
$2.7 \%$
$5.3 \%$
$35.5 \%$
$\$ 10.808 .857$
$\$ 1.795$
$30.8 \%$
\$7,334,266,819 \$2,171

## PROGRAM INFORMATION

## Count $\begin{aligned} & \text { Percent } \\ & \text { Compus-------- }\end{aligned}$

Group District
State

Student Enrollment by Program:
Special Education
Vocational Education
Bilingual/ESL Education
Gifted \& Talented Education
$10.7 \%$
$10.7 \%$
$18.1 \%$
$2.4 \%$
B. $1 \%$

Vocational Ectuc 22
3. $\mathbf{3 \%}$
$0.1 \%$
0. 1\%
4.0\%
7. $1 \%$
2. $\mathbf{B}$. $\%$
$13.0 \%$
$8.4 \%$
Gifted \& Talented Education

|  |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Regular Education | 26.7 |
| Special Education | 3.0 |
| Compensatory Education | 0.0 |
| Vocational Education | 0.0 |
| Bilingual/ESL Education | 4.7 |
| Gifted \& Talented Education | 2.0 |
| Other | 0.0 |

$73.4 \%$
$8.3 \%$
$\sim$
$12.8 \%$
$5.5 \%$
-
B1. $3 \%$
$9.4 \%$
4.2\%
2. $2 \%$
$2.7 \%$
$0.1 \%$
$67.9 \%$
$9.3 \%$
$7.9 \%$
$4.6 \%$
$6.4 \%$
$2.5 \%$
$1.6 \%$

Instructional Operating Expenditures by Program:

| Regular Education | $\$ 977,641$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Special Education | $\$ 138,104$ |
| Compensatory Education | $\$ 595$ |
| Vocational Education | $\$ 0$ |
| Bilingual/ESL Education | $\$ 30,560$ |
| Gifted \& Talented Education | $\$ 43,239$ |
| Other | $\$ 0$ | $11.6 \%$

- 

$2.6 \%$
$77.6 \%$
$10.6 \%$
$7.7 \%$
$1.4 \%$
$2.6 \%$
68. $4 \%$
$12.5 \%$
$9.6 \%$
$5.0 \%$
$1.6 \%$
$2.8 \%$
$70.9 \%$
$10.5 \%$
Spectal Education
\$977,641
$\$ 595$
Compensatory Education
Bilingual/ESL Education
$\$ 30.560$
Gifted \& Talented Education
other
Regular Education
.0
Compensatory Education
ocational Education
Gifted \& Talented Education
y Program:

595
$\$ 0$
560

43, 239
-
-

District Name: EAST CENTRAL ISD
Campus Name: HARMONY EL
Campus \#: 015911102

## Indicator:

TAAS Grd. 3 (Int. Std. 65\%)

| All Tests | \% Passing |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | \% Academic Recog. |
| Reading | \% Passing |
|  | \% Academic Recog. |
|  | \% Passing |
|  | \% Academic Recog. |
| Math | \% Passing |
|  | \% Academic Recog. |

TAAS Grd. 5 (Int. Std. 65\%)

| All Tests | \% Passing |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | \% Academic Recog. |
| Reading | \% Passing |
|  | \% Academic Recog. |
|  | \% Passing |
|  | \% Academic Recog. |
| Math | \% Passing |
|  | \% Academic Recog. |

\% Student Attendance 95.6\%
53. 2\%
69.7\% 34.8\%

B1. 1\%
$7.0 \%$
61.8 $61.8 \%$
$18.1 \%$
 Final 1990-91 Campus Performance

Total Enrollment: 641
Grade Span: 01-05 School Type: Elementary

## ----.-------- Ethnic

American
H
Economic Disadv.
Other
$47.0 \%$
$0.0 \%$
$83.8 \%$
$40.4 \%$
$52.1 \%$
$1.0 \%$

$80.8 \%$
$20.2 \%$
-

| $40.0 \%$ | $52.7 \%$ | - | $30.8 \%$ | $14.3 \%$ | $60.0 \%$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | - | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| $77.3 \%$ | $89.1 \%$ | - | $80.4 \%$ | $83.3 \%$ | $*$ |
| $38.6 \%$ | $41.8 \%$ | - | $37.3 \%$ | $33.3 \%$ | $*$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $44.2 \%$ | $58.5 \%$ | - | $38.0 \%$ | $28.8 \%$ | $*$ |
| $0.0 \%$ | $1.9 \%$ | - | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $*$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $77.3 \%$ | $83.8 \%$ | - | $75.0 \%$ | $68.7 \%$ | $80.0 \%$ |
| $13.6 \%$ | $25.5 \%$ | - | $11.5 \%$ | $16.7 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |


| 47.1\% | 48.5\% | 35. 2\% | * | 31.9\% | 37.5\% | - | 20.0\% | * | * |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1.8\% | 1.1\% | 2.9\% | * | 2.1\% | 3.6\% | - | 2.5\% | * | * |
| 70.3\% | 85. $2 \%$ | 60. 2\% | * | 57.4\% | 63.0\% | - | 37.5\% | * | * |
| 32.7\% | 31.3\% | 28.2\% | * | 25.5\% | 25.9\% | - | 15.0\% | * | * |
| 86.3\% | B1. 1\% | 83.5\% | * | 87.2\% | 81.5\% | - | 80.0\% | * | * |
| 6.7\% | 3.7\% | 14.6\% | * | 14.9\% | 14.8\% | - | 7.5\% | * | * |
| $57.1 \%$ | 55.8\% | 48.6\% | * | 42.6\% | 53.6\% | - | 37.5\% | * | * |
| 14.2\% | 14.7\% | 9.5\% | * | 6. $4 \%$ | 12.5\% | - | 5.0\% | * | * |
| 95.9\% | 96.8\% | 96.0\% | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |

Section I-1

(a) Indicates this variable was used to assign campuses to demographic groups.
(b) The denominator for this calculation uses only students who reported mobility information on the TAAS reports.



| District Name: EAST CENTRAL ISD Campus Name: PECAN VALLEY EL Campus \#: 015911103 |  |  |  |  | TEXASEDUCATION AGENCY Academic Excellence Indicator System Final 1990-91 Campus Performance |  |  |  |  |  | Total Enrollment: 883 Grade Span: KG - 05 School Type: Elementary |  |  | Section I-1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Indicator: |  |  |  | State | District | Group | Campus | African American | Hispanic | White | Other | Economic Disadv. | L.EP | Spectal Education |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TAAS Grd. 3 (Int. Std. 65\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All Tests |  | Passing Academíc | c | $\begin{array}{r} \mathbf{6 4 . 9 \%} \\ 3.1 \% \end{array}$ | $56.6 \%$ $1.7 \%$ | $60.8 \%$ 1.3\% | $\begin{array}{r} 58.7 \% \\ 0.9 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 56.3 \% \\ 0.0 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 62.3 \% \\ 0.0 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 53.8 \% \\ 2.6 \% \end{array}$ | * | $\begin{gathered} 58.2 \% \\ 0.0 \% \end{gathered}$ | * | $\begin{array}{r} 30.0 \% \\ 0.0 \% \end{array}$ |
| Reading |  | Passing |  | 84.8\% | 83.1\% | 84.4\% | 88.8\% | 87.5\% | 88.5\% | 89.5\% | * | 87.0\% | * | 71.4\% |
|  | \% | Academic | Recog. | 48.3\% | 42.9\% | 42.5\% | 47.7\% | 58.3\% | 46.2\% | 47.4\% | * | 48.3\% | * | 42.9\% |
| Writing |  | Passing |  | $71.0 \%$ | 65.0\% | 69.3\% | 67.0\% | 60.0\% | 72.5\% | 61.5\% | * | 67.9\% | * | 28.8\% |
|  | \% | Academic | Recog. | 4.6\% | 2.8\% | 1.9\% | 0.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.6\% | * | 0.0\% | * | 0.0\% |
| Math | \% | Passing |  | 88.9\% | 82.3\% | 84.5\% | 83.0\% | 87.5\% | 78.4\% | B6. $8 \%$ | * | 81.1\% | * | 50.0\% |
|  | \% | Academic | Recog. | 33.8\% | 24.9\% | 26.9\% | 27.4\% | 18.8\% | 27.5\% | 31.6\% | * | 18.9\% | * | 20.0\% |
| TAAS Grd. 5 (Int. Std. 65\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All Tests | \% | Passing |  | 53.2\% | 47.1\% | 45.0\% | 44.6\% | 0.0\% | 43.2\% | 55.0\% | - | 29.4\% | * | * |
|  | \% | Acatemic | Recog. | 3.1\% | 1.8\% | 1.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | - | 0.0\% | * | * |
| Reading |  | Passing |  | 69.7\% | 70.3\% | 85. $2 \%$ | 68.5\% | 62.5\% | 65.9\% | 72.5\% | - | 52.9\% | * | * |
|  |  | Academic | Recog. | 34.8\% | 32.7\% | 28.7\% | 29.3\% | 0.0\% | 27.3\% | 37.5\% | - | 11.8\% | * | * |
| Writing |  | Passing |  | B1.1\% | 86.3\% | 80.4\% | 85.7\% | 50.0\% | 88.4\% | 92.3\% | - | 79.4\% | * | * |
|  | \% | Academíc | Recog. | 7.0\% | B.7\% | 4.0\% | 1.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.6\% | - | 0.0\% | * | * |
| Math |  | Passing |  | 61.8\% | 57.1\% | 55.4\% | 58.0\% | 25.0\% | 55.8\% | 62.5\% | - | 45.5\% | * | * |
|  | \% | Academic | Recog. | 18.1\% | 14.2\% | 12.8\% | 14.3\% | 0.0\% | 11.6\% | 20.0\% | - | 12.1\% | * | * |
| \% Student Attendance |  |  |  | 95.8\% | 95.9\% | 96.6\% | 97.1\% | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |


(a) Indfcates this variable was used to assign campuses to demographic groups.
(b) The denominator for this calculation uses only students who reported mobility information on the taAs reports.

District Name: EAST CENTRAL ISD
Campus Name: PECAN VALLEY EL
Campus f: 015911103

TEXASEDUCATIDNAGENCY Academic Excellence Indicator System Final 1990-9i Campus Profile

## I-----.--Campus--------1

42.5 93.4\%
$37.9 \quad 83.3 \%$
37.9
2.6 2.6
6.6\%
$n / a$
4.313.2
645.4
16.5\% 58.7\% 6. $8 \%$
$13.6 \%$ $13.6 \%$
$1.5 \%$ 2. $2 \%$ 0.2\%
-

| $6.6 \%$ | $7.7 \%$ | $6.3 \%$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $27.3 \%$ | $31.9 \%$ | $24.4 \%$ |
| $19.6 \%$ | $21.4 \%$ | $20.7 \%$ |
| $31.0 \%$ | $27.9 \%$ | $33.8 \%$ |
| $15.4 \%$ | $11.1 \%$ | $14.8 \%$ |
|  |  |  |
| Group | District | State |
|  |  |  |
| 11.0 | 9.3 | 11.3 |
| 7.7 | 5.7 | 7.6 |

## Average Experience of Teachers:

Average Experfence of Teachers with District:
Average Teacher Salary by Years of Expertence:
Beginning Teachers
Beginning Teachers
$1-5$ Years Experience
1-5 Years Experience
6-10 Years Experience
6-10 Years Experience
11-20 Years Experience
Over 20 Years Experience
Average Actual Salaries:
Teachers
Professional Support
Campus Administration

| 0.0 | - |
| ---: | ---: |
| 19.0 | $50.1 \%$ |
| 11.9 | $31.5 \%$ |
| 5.0 | $13.2 \%$ |
| 2.0 | $5.3 \%$ |

Campus

### 7.0 3.4

$\$ 0$
$\$ 21.102$
$\$ 21.102$
$\$ 24.050$ \$28, 208 $\$ 31,496$
$\$ 23,514$
\$31, 128
$\$ 40,950$
$\$ 20.648$
\$22,500
24,964
$\$ 28,972$ $\$ 28,972$
$\$ 33,382$
$\$ 26,549$
$\$ 30,231$ \$42,224
\$18,932
$\$ 21.445$
$\$ 24.346$
\$27, 284
$\$ 32,028$
$\$ 24.670$ $\$ 31,446$ \$42,673
$\$ 20.259$
\$22,421
\$25,289
$\$ 25.289$
$\$ 29.332$
$\$ 29,332$
$\$ 33,422$
$\$ 26.840$
\$32,522 $\$ 42.167$


District Name: EAST CENTRAL ISD Campus Name: SINCLAIR EL Campus ": 015911104
Indicator:
TAAS Grd. 3 (Int. Std. 65\%)

| All Tests | \% Passing |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | \% Academic Recog. |
| Reading | \% Passing |
|  | \% Academic Recog. |
|  | \% Passing <br>  <br>  <br> Math Academic Recog. |
|  | \% Passing |
|  | \% Academic Recog. |

$\qquad$ (Int. Std. 65\%)

| All Tests | \% Passing |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | \% Academic Recog. |
| Reading | \% Passing |
|  | \% Academic Recog. |
| Writing | \% Passing |
|  | \% Academic Recog. |
| Math | \% Passing |
|  | \% Academic Recog. |

\% Student At tendance

TEXASEDUCATIONAGENCY Academic Excellence Indicator System Final 1990-91 Campus Performance

```
Total Enroilment: 705
Grade Span: KG - OS
School Type: Elementary
```

| State | District | Group | Campus | African American | Hispanic | White | Other | Economlc <br> Disadv. | LEP | Special Education |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 64.9\% | 58.8\% | 81.5\% | 57.0\% | 41.0\% | 59.1\% | 72.1\% | - | 35.3\% | * | 14.3\% |
| 3. 1\% | 1.7\% | 1. $2 \%$ | 3.7\% | 0.0\% | 4.5\% | 7.0\% | - | 0.0\% | * | 0.0\% |
| 84.8\% | 83. 1\% | 84.6\% | 82.9\% | 79.5\% | 90.5\% | 81.0\% | - | 87.5\% | - | 44.4\% |
| 48.3\% | 42.9\% | 47.0\% | 38.1\% | 23.1\% | 42.9\% | 50.0\% | - | 43.8\% | - | 11.1\% |
| 71.0\% | 65.0\% | 69.8\% | 68.2\% | 64.1\% | 68.2\% | 74.4\% | - | 41.2\% | * | 23.1\% |
| 4.6\% | 2.8\% | 2. 2\% | 4.7\% | 0.0\% | 4.5\% | 7.0\% | - | 0.0\% | * | 0.0\% |
| 86.9\% | 82.3\% | 87.3\% | 83.2\% | 71.8\% | 81.8\% | 93.0\% | - | 82.4\% | * | 66.7\% |
| 33.8\% | 24.9\% | 30.1\% | 21.5\% | 7.7\% | 27.3\% | 32.6\% | - | 5.9\% | * | 0.0\% |


| 53.2\% | 47.1\% | 51.5\% | 48.0\% | 35.3\% | 57. 1\% | 56.1\% | * | 48.0\% | - | 33.3\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3.1\% | 1.8\% | 1.5\% | 2.0\% | 2.9\% | 4.8\% | 0.0\% | * | 0.0\% | - | 0.0\% |
| 69.7\% | 70.3\% | 68.4\% | 78.1\% | 78.8\% | 81.0\% | 77.5\% | * | 70.8\% |  | * |
| 34.8\% | 32.7\% | 32.6\% | 39.6\% | 39.4\% | 47.6\% | 37.5\% | * | 37.5\% | - | * |
| 81. $1 \%$ | 86.3\% | 81.4\% | 88. $2 \%$ | 81.8\% | 80.0\% | 92.3\% | * | 79.2\% | - | * |
| 7.0\% | 6. $7 \%$ | 5.1\% | $5.3 \%$ | 9.1\% | 5.0\% | 2.6\% | * | 8.3\% | - | * |
| 61.8\% | 57. 1\% | 60.1\% | 55. 1\% | 38.2\% | 68.7\% | 65.9\% | * | 52.0\% | - | * |
| 18.1\% | 14.2\% | 13.4\% | 13.3\% | 5.9\% | 19.0\% | 17.1\% | * | 0.0\% | - | * |
| 95.6\% | 95.9\% | 97.0\% | 97.5\% | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |


| District Name: EAST CENTRAL ISD <br> Campus Name: SINCLAIR EL <br> Campus \#: 015911104 | EXASE Academic Ex Final | TION Ce Indicator Campus Prof |  | Total Grade School | Enrollmen Span: KG Type: El | ```Section II 705 ary``` |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| STUDENT INFORMATION | Count | Percent | Group | Dis | trict | State |
| Total Enrollment: | 705 | n/a | 49,404 |  | 6,023 | 3,378,318 |
| Enrollment by Grade: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Early Childhood Education | 0 | - | 0.5\% |  | 0.2\% | 0.3\% |
| Pre-Kindergarten | 0 | - | 3. $0 \%$ |  | 2.4\% | 2. $3 \%$ |
| Kindergarten | 109 | 15.5\% | 11.3\% |  | 6.9\% | 7.6\% |
| Grade 1 | 115 | 16.3\% | 14.9\% |  | 8. $2 \%$ | 8.8\% |
| Grade 2 | 118 | 16.7\% | 15.5\% |  | 7.6\% | 8.3\% |
| Grade 3 | 132 | $18.7 \%$ | 16.9\% |  | 7.9\% | 8.1\% |
| Grade 4 | 120 | 17.0\% | 16.8\% |  | 8.1\% | 8.1\% |
| Grade 5 | 111 | 15.7\% | 17.2\% |  | 7.7\% | 8.0\% |
| Grade 6 | 0 | - | 3.8\% |  | 7.9\% | 7.7\% |
| Grade 7 | 0 | - | 0.1\% |  | 8.1\% | 7.6\% |
| Grade 8 | 0 | - | - |  | 7.6\% | 7.3\% |
| Grade 9 | 0 | - | - |  | 8. $2 \%$ | 8. 1\% |
| Grade 10 | 0 | - | - |  | 6. $4 \%$ | 6.6\% |
| Grade 11 | 0 | - | - |  | 6.9\% | $5.8 \%$ |
| Grade 12 | 0 | - | - |  | 5.9\% | 5.3\% |
| Ethnic Distribution: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hispanic (a) | 155 | $22.0 \%$ | $29.8 \%$ |  | 33.6\% | $33.9 \%$ |
| African American (a) | 227 | 32.2\% | 17.0\% |  | 12.2\% | 14.4\% |
| Dther (a) | 4 | 0.6\% | $2.0 \%$ |  | 0.3\% | 2. 2\% |
| Mobility (a) | 71 | 37.0\% (b) | 29.2\% |  | 29.7\% (b) | 24.3\% |
| Economically Disadvantaged ( a) | 231 | 32.8\% | 45.8\% |  | 34.9\% | 39.1\% |
| Limited English Proficient (LEP) (a) | 17 | 2.4\% | 6.6\% |  | 2.6\% | 9.4\% |
| Student/Teacher Ratio | n/a | 18.2 | 16.4 |  | 18.0 | 16.4 |

(b) The denominator for this calculation uses only students who reported mobility information on the TAAS reports.


District Name: EAST CENTRAL ISD Campus Name: SINCLAIR EL
Campus H: 015911104

TEXASEDUCATION AGENCY Academic Excellence Indicator System Final 1990-91 Campus Profile

Total Enrollment :
Section II - 3
Grade Span: KG - 05
School Type: Elementary


Disfrict Name: EAST CENTRAL ISD Campus Name: EAST CENTRAL DEV CTR Campus \#: 015911105

## Indicator:

## \% Student Attendance

Drop-out Rate
Current Year (89/90)
Prior Year (88/89)

## \% Advanced Courses

Expected Graduation Rate \% Graduates to Receive
Advanced Seal on Transcript

## College Admissions Tests

Scholastic Apt. Test (SAT)

| Current Year (89/90) Average SAT Total \% at or Above 1000 \% Tested | $\begin{gathered} 872 \\ 28.8 \% \\ 40.0 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 828 \\ 19.6 \% \\ 48.8 \% \end{gathered}$ | n/a <br> n/a <br> n/a | - | - | - | - | $\stackrel{-}{-}$ | n/a <br> n/a <br> n/a | n/a <br> n/a <br> n/a | n/a n/a n/a |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Prior Year (88/89) Average SAT Total \% at or Above 1000 \% Tested | $\begin{gathered} 876 \\ 26.4 \% \\ 38.3 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 771 \\ 11.5 \% \\ 52.5 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & n / a \\ & n / a \\ & n / a \end{aligned}$ | - | - | - | - | - | n/a <br> n/a <br> n/a | n/a <br> n/a <br> n/a | n/a n/a n/a |
| Enhanced Amertcan College Testing (ACT) Assessment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Current Year (89/90) <br> Average ACT Composite <br> \% at or Above 25 <br> \% Tested | $\begin{aligned} & 19.8 \\ & 15.3 \% \\ & 26.6 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 18.7 \\ 8.3 \% \\ 10.5 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { n/a } \\ & \text { n/a } \\ & \text { n/a } \end{aligned}$ | - | - | - | - | - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { n/a } \\ & \text { n/a } \\ & \text { n/a } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & n / a \\ & \text { n/a } \\ & \text { n/a } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & n / a \\ & \text { n/a } \\ & \text { n/a } \end{aligned}$ |
| Texas Academic Skills Prom. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \% Passing on 1st Attempt | 69.3\% | 60.0\% | n/a | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | n/a | $n / a$ |


a) Indicates this variable was used to assign campuses to demographic groups.
(b) The denominator for this calculation uses only students who reported mobility information on the taAs reports.

District Name: EAST CENTRAL ISD Campus Name: EAST CENTRAL DEV CTR Campus \#: 015911105

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Academic Excellence Indicator System final 1990-91 Campus Profile

Total Enrollment:
Section II - 2 250 Grade Span: EE - 1 School Type: Elem/Secondary


District Name: EAST CENTRAL ISD Campus Name: EAST CENTRAL DEV CTR Campus H: 015911105

TEXASEDUCATIONAGENCY Academic Excellence Indicator System Final 1990-91 Campus Profile

Section II - 3 250
Total Enrollment:
Grade Span: EE - 12 School Type: Elem/Secondary

| FINANCIAL INFORMATION |  |  |  | Campus | Group | District | State |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Expenditures: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Operating Expenditures <br> Operating Expenditures Per Pupil |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 790,202 \\ \$ 3,161 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 0 \\ & \$ 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 19,117,680 \\ \$ 3,174 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 12,016,538,649 \\ \$ 3,557 \end{array}$ |
| \% Expenditures by Function: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Instruction |  |  |  | 71.7\% | - | 58.5\% | 81.0\% |
| Instructional Administration |  |  |  |  | - | 2. $7 \%$ | 2.5\% |
|  | Campus Administration |  |  | 7. 1\% | - | 5.3\% | 5.7\% |
| Other Campus Costs |  |  |  | 21.3\% | - | 35.5\% | 30.8\% |
| Instructional Operating Expenditures |  | Per Pupil |  | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 566,331 \\ \$ 2,265 \end{array}$ | $\$ 0$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 10,808,857 \\ \$ 1,795 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 7,334,266,819 \\ \$ 2,171 \end{array}$ |
| PROGRAM I | INFORMATION | I-----Campus------Count Percent |  |  | Group | District | State |
| Student Enrollment by Program: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Special Education |  |  | 32 | 12.8\% | - | 10.7\% | 9.6\% |
| Vocational Education |  |  | 0 |  |  | 18.1\% | 13.0\% |
| Bilingual/ESL Education |  |  | 22 | 8.8\% | - | 2. $4 \%$ | 8.4\% |
| Gifted \& Talented Ectucation |  |  | 0 | - | - | 6. 1\% | $\because \quad 6.9 \%$ |
| Teachers by Program (Population Served): |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Regular Education |  |  | 4.7 | 31.3\% | - | $67.9 \%$ | 72.1\% |
| Special Education |  |  | 2.4 | 15.8\% | - | 9.3\% | 9.0\% |
| Compensatory Education |  |  | 6.9 | 46. 2\% | - | 7.9\% | 5.6\% |
| Vocational Echucation |  |  | 0.0 | - |  | 4.6\% | 4. $1 \%$ |
| Bilingual/ESL Education |  |  | 1.0 | B.6\% | - | 6. $5 \%$ | 5. $2 \%$ |
| Other |  |  | 0.0 | - | - | 2.5\% | 2. $1.8 \%$ |
|  |  |  | 0.0 | - | - | 1.6\% | 1.8\% |
| Instructional Operating Expenditures by Program: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 221,657 | 39.1\% | - | 68.4\% | 70.9\% |
|  | Special Education |  | 199,583 | 35. $2 \%$ | - | 12.5\% | 10.5\% |
|  | Compensatory Ectucation |  | \$81,770 | 14.4\% | - | 9.6\% | 10.0\% |
|  | Vocational Education |  | \$0 | $7{ }^{-}$ | - | 5.0\% | 4.2\% |
|  | B11 ingual/ESL Education |  | \$42,334 | 7.5\% | - | 1.6\% | 2.6\% |
|  | Gifted \& Talented Education |  | \$20.987 | 3.7\% | - | $2.8 \%$ | 1.7\% |
|  | Other |  | \$0 | - | - | - | 0.2\% |

ST. MARY'S UNIVERSITY ACADEMIC LIBRARY ONE CAMINO SANTA MAR ${ }^{1}$ SAN ANTONIO, TEXAS 78228-8608



ST. MARY'S UNIVERSITY
LIBRARY


[^0]:    (a) Indicates this variable was used to assign campuses to demographic groups.
    (b) The denominator for this calculation uses only students who reported mobility information on the taAS reports.

[^1]:    * Indicates that the data for this $i t e m$ fell outside a reasonable range.

[^2]:    (a) Indicates this variable was used to assign campuses to demographic groups.
    (b) The denominator for this calculation uses only students who reported mobility information on the TAAS reports.

[^3]:    (a) Indicates this variable was used to assign campuses to demographic groups.
    (b) The denominator for this calculation uses only students who reported mobility information on the taAs reports.

[^4]:    (a) Indicates this variable was used to assign campuses to demographic groups.
    (b) The denominator for this calculation uses only students who reported mobility information on the taAs reports.

