Legislative Appropriations Request

For Fiscal Years 2016 and 2017

Submitted to the Governor's Office of Budget, Planning and Policy and the Legislative Budget Board

by

Fourteenth Court of Appeals

August 4, 2014



Legislative Appropriations Request

For Fiscal Years 2016 and 2017

Submitted to the Governor's Office of Budget, Planning and Policy and the Legislative Budget Board

by

ļ

Fourteenth Court of Appeals

August 4, 2014

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Administrator's Statement	•••••	1. A. 1-2
Summary of Base Request By Strategy	•••••	2. A.1-2
Summary of Base Request By Method of Finance	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	2. B.1-6
Summary of Base Request By Object of Expense		2, C. 1
Operating Cost Detail Base Request		
Summary of Base Request Objective Outcomes		
Summary of Exceptional Items Request		2. E. 1
Summary of Total Request By Strategy		2. F.1-2
Summary of Total Request Objective Outcomes		
Strategy Request	•••••	3. A. 1-4
Rider Revisions and Additions Request		3. B. 1-2
Exceptional Item Request Schedule		4. A. 1
Exceptional nemb Stategy Anocation Schedule	********	
Exceptional Items Strategy Request		4. C. 1
Historically Underutilized Business Supporting Schedule		6. A. 1
Estimated Total of All Agency Funds Outside the GAA Bill Pattern		
Ten Percent Biennial Base Reduction Options Schedule		6. I. 1
Direct Administrative and Support Costs		7. B. 1-2
Organizational Chart	••••••	8. A. 1

Administrator's Statement 84th Regular Session, Agency Submission, Version 1 Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

234 Fourteenth Court of Appeals District, Houston

The core function of Texas intermediate appellate courts is to process, review, and decide by written opinion or order appeals and original proceedings from criminal and civil trial courts. Since 2004, the yearly average of new appeals filed in the State of Texas is 10,086. This long term trend of new case filings in concert with an ever increasing number of cases eligible for expedited review clearly demonstrates that the workload within the appellate courts is significant. To effectively manage the demands being placed on the appellate courts, the courts must employ a highly skilled and trained professional workforce, including appellate court lawyers and clerical staff, who assist the justices of the court in disposing of cases. This support is critical to the court's ability to resolve these legal disputes and dispose of these appeals and original proceedings. The ability to maintain this highly skilled workforce in concert with handling an increase in case filings has been challenging in recent years.

The courts of appeal initiated steps to address this issue during the 79th and 80th Legislative Sessions, by collectively developing funding requests that sought necessary resources to similarly fund same-sized appellate courts to: 1) create a career ladder for staff attorneys that would allow for the recruitment and retention of qualified attorneys; 2) reclassify the majority of law clerks as permanent staff attorneys; and 3) make salary adjustments for some non-legal staff to appropriately reflect levels of responsibility.

Going into the 81st Legislative Session, the courts updated the funding requests to continue the same-sized court initiative of implementing a career ladder for attorneys by more closely matching court attorney salaries to attorney salaries in state agencies and county government; adding one or more permanent staff attorneys; and making appropriate salary adjustments for non-legal staff to reflect increasing levels of responsibility. The Legislature provided a portion of the requested funding, including attorney salaries (capped at a lower amount than requested) and an additional staff attorney position for most courts; however, the partial funding was provided in FY 2011 only. In the interim, as part of state leadership's directive to cut budgets in the face of the national economic downturn, the approved funding was reduced further, such that the courts were able to provide only some staff attorney salary adjustments, but not all courts were able to hire additional staff attorneys.

During the 82nd Legislative Session, the courts of appeal again expressed a critical need to continue working toward full implementation of similar funding for same-sized courts. However, the courts collectively decided not to pursue the needed resources due to the continuing economic challenges in Texas. The courts decided to only ask the Legislature not to reduce budgets for FY 2012-13. Despite these efforts, the economic downturn resulted in the courts' budgets being cut approximately 6% from levels appropriated in FY 2011.

The state leadership's directive to cut budgets during the 82nd Legislative Session, coupled with a legislative mandate to expedite the processing of parental termination cases and an increased number of case filings, imposed significant pressures on the courts' ability to meet performance objectives and dispose of cases in a timely manner while maintaing a strong commitment to excellence. In the 83rd Legislative Session, with the improving economy, the courts once again sought the funding necessary to enable the courts to meet their performance objectives and process appeals in a timely manner. The courts requested the funds necessary to fully implement the similar funding for same-sized courts initiative. For FY 2014-15, the Legislature provided half of the funding requested by the courts. It is critical for the courts of appeals to continue working toward full implementation of the funding requests made in the 83rd Legislative Session. Funding the remaining portion of the amount requested in the 83rd Legislative Session not only will assist the public's access to justice as the courts continue to meet the increasing demands being placed on them but also will increase the courts' ability to meet their performance objectives and minimize backlogs in the appeal process.

Exceptional Item #1: Similar Funding for Same-Sized Courts.

The courts of appeals continue to be challenged in their efforts to recruit and retain the kind of top quality staff necessary to ensure timely and high-quality work product. Moreover, increasing demands continue to threaten the court's ability to meet its performance objectives. To achieve this important mission, the Fourteenth Court respectfully requests the remaining half of its previous request for similar funding for same-sized courts. The funding needed to fully implement this initiative is \$362,979 in the FY 2016-17 biennium. This amount will proportionally fund the Fourteenth Court of Appeals in relation to similar-sized appellate courts and will enable recruitment and retention of professional staff with the requisite skills and training to facilitate the appeals process and support the court's strong commitment to

Administrator's Statement 84th Regular Session, Agency Submission, Version 1 Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

234 Fourteenth Court of Appeals District, Houston

excellence.

Appellate work requires trained professionals with specialized knowledge and the ability to analyze appeals and original proceedings, assist with legal research and preparation of court opinions, and facilitate the processing of appeals to conclusion. The requisite skills can be obtained only through professional experience. Generally, law clerks do not possess the level of skills necessary for all aspects of the work and thus more experienced lawyers are needed to fully support the workload. The minimum number of lawyers an appellate court must have to perform at a reasonably productive and efficient level is two lawyers to each judge. Loss of experienced court lawyers creates difficulties in timely processing and disposing of appeals and in maintaining professional business practices. Funding of this item will allow the court to recruit and retain well qualified professional staff, which is a major factor in the court's ability to fulfill its core function of timely processing and disposing of appeals while maintaining the quality of justice to which the citizens of Texas are entitled.

RIDER REQUESTS:

The court also requests the following with regard to the across-the-board riders found in Article IV (p. IV-42):

- 1). Retain Article IV rider, Sec 4, Appellate Court Exemptions
- 2) Retain article IV rider, Sec 5, Appn: Unexpended Balances Between Fiscal Years within the Biennium
- 3) Delete Article IV rider, Sec 7, Appellate Court Salary Limits
- 4) Retain Article IV rider, Sec 8, Interagency Contracts for Assigned Judges for Appellate Courts.
- 5) Retain Article IV rider, Sec 9, Appellate Court Transfer Authority

Historically, the Legislature has granted the courts exemption from certain limitations in the General Appropriations Act. The Legislature also has granted the authority to carry over unexpended budget balances between years of the biennium. The flexibility afforded by these measures enhances the court's management ability, and we seek continuation of these budget features. The court seeks to delete the rider that establishes salary limits for the chief staff attorney or other permanent legal staff. The provision is antiquated as these positions are subject to the State of Texas Classification Plan.

INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY:

This court supports the consolidated budget approach represented in the biennial appropriations request of the Office of Court Administration. If the OCA's request is not fully funded for the 2016-17 biennium, this court would need additional funds to maintain its own, separate technology network.

CAPPS IMPLEMENTATION

This court has been designated as an agency eligible for conversion to CAPPS during the 2016-17 biennium. The Office of Court Administration is seeking additional funds in its biennial budget request to be used in the implementation of CAPPS at the courts of appeals. The Court supports the consolidated budget approach represented in the biennial appropriations request of the OCA. If the OCA's request for CAPPS deployment is not fully funded for the 2016-17 biennium, this Court would need additional funds to implement CAPPS during the biennium, including and not limited to, funds for project management services, backfill of critical positions, training and management services, IT programming support, computer operating and system updates, operation documentation updates, and travel costs.

Note: on Appropriated Receipts – At the direction of the LBB & Governor's Office, this court has included appropriated receipts in the amount of \$11,539, reflecting reimbursement for copies of opinions and other court documents. These amounts are merely an offset for additional expenses incurred by the court, and do not constitute additional funds available for general expenditures for the court. The amount can vary significantly from year to year.

Sincerely, Kem Thompson Frost, Chief Justice Fourteenth Court of Appeals

2.A. Summary of Base Request by Strategy

8/4/2014 3:23:06PM

84th Regular Session, Agency Submission, Version 1 Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

234 Fourteenth Court of Appeals District, Houston

		· · · · ·	1			
Goal / <i>Objective</i> / STRATEGY	·	Exp 2013	Est 2014	Bud 2015	Req 2016	Req 2017
1 Appellate Court Operations						
1Appellate Court Operations						
1 APPELLATE COURT OPERATIONS		3,987,992	4,326,015	4,478,039	4,403,039	4,403,039
TOTAL, GOAL 1		\$3,987,992	\$4,326,015	\$4,478,039	\$4,403,039	\$4,403,039
TOTAL, AGENCY STRATEGY REQUEST		\$3,987,992	\$4,326,015	\$4,478,039	\$4,403,039	\$4,403,039
TOTAL, AGENCY RIDER APPROPRIATIONS REQUEST*			í		\$0	\$0
GRAND TOTAL, AGENCY REQUEST		\$3,987,992	\$4,326,015	\$4,478,039	\$4,403,039	\$4,403,039
					· · · · · ·	

2.A. Page 1 of 2

2.A. Summary of Base Request by Strategy

8/4/2014 3:23:06PM

84th Regular Session, Agency Submission, Version 1

Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

234 Fourteenth Court of Appeals District, Houston

Goal / Objective / STRATEGY	Exp 2013	Est 2014	Bud 2015	Reg 2016	Reg 2017
METHOD OF FINANCING:					
General Revenue Funds:				-	
1 General Revenue Fund	3,560,410	3,870,776	4,033,948	3,958,948	3,958,948
SUBTOTAL	\$3,560,410	\$3,870,776	\$4,033,948	\$3,958,948	\$3,958,948
Other Funds:					
573 Judicial Fund	273,350	273,350	273,350	273,350	273,350
666 Appropriated Receipts	35,052	22,687	11,539	11,539	11,539
777 Interagency Contracts	119,180	159,202	159,202	159,202	159,202
SUBTOTAL	\$427,582	\$455,239	\$444,091	\$444,091	\$444,091
TOTAL, METHOD OF FINANCING	\$3,987,992	\$4,326,015	\$4,478,039	\$4,403,039	\$4,403,039

*Rider appropriations for the historical years are included in the strategy amounts.

2.A. Page 2 of 2

84th Regular Session, Agency Submission, Version 1

8/4/2014 3:23:08PM

Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

Agency code: 234	Agency name: Fourteenth Court of Appeals District, Houston				
IETHOD OF FINANCING	Exp 2013	Est 2014	Bud 2015	Req 2016	Req 201
GENERAL REVENUE					
1 General Revenue Fund					
REGULAR APPROPRIATIONS				,	
Regular Appropriations from MOF Table (20)	2-13 GAA) \$3,427,711	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	Ψ ³ 5 ⁴ <i>2</i> 1711	φU	ψU	φU	φ
Regular Appropriations from MOF Table (20)	4-15 GAA) \$0	\$3,790,690	\$3,790,690	\$0	\$0
Regular Appropriations	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$3,958,948	\$3,958,948
TRANSFERS					
Art IX, Sec 17.06 Salary Increase for General	State Employees (2014-15 GAA)				
	\$0	\$6,586	\$19,758	\$0	\$0
· · ·					
Sec.11. Article IV Special Provisions, Appn fo	r Judicial Compensation (2014-15 GAA)				
		\$148,500	\$148,500	\$0	\$0

Strategy A.1.1, Appellate Court Operations (2012-13 GAA)

2.B. Summary of Base Request by Method of Finance 84th Regular Session, Agency Submission, Version 1 Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

8/4/2014 3:23:08PM

Agency code: 234	Agency name: Fourteenth	Court of Appeals Distri	ct, Houston		
IETHOD OF FINANCING	Exp 2013	Est 2014	Bud 2015	Req 2016	Req 2017
					~
<u>GENERAL REVENUE</u>	\$132,699	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
		·		,	
Strategy A.1.1, Appellate Court Operations (2014-15 GAA)				
	\$0	\$(75,000)	\$75,000	\$Ô	\$0
OTAL, General Revenue Fund	-				······································
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	\$3,560,410	\$3,870,776	\$4,033,948	\$3,958,948	\$3,958,948
OTAL, ALL GENERAL REVENUE	\$3,560,410	\$2.970.77C	¢4.032.040	ea est e 4e	\$3.050.0.iõ
	\$5,500,410	\$3,870,776	\$4,033,948	\$3,958,948	\$3,958,948
OTHER FUNDS		2			
573 Judicial Fund No. 573					
REGULAR APPROPRIATIONS					
Regular Appropriations from MOF Table (20	12-13 GAA)				
	\$273,350	\$0	\$0	\$Ô	\$Ø
Regular Appropriations from MOF Table (20	14-15 GAA)				
	\$0	\$273,350	\$273,350	\$0	\$0
Regular Appropriations					
· ·	\$0	\$ 0	\$0	\$273,350	\$273,350

8/4/2014 3:23:08PM

84th Regular Session, Agency Submission, Version 1

Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

Agency code: 234 Agency name	e: Fourteent	h Court of Appeals Dist	rict, Houston		
METHOD OF FINANCING	Exp 2013	Est 2014	Bud 2015	Req 2016	Req 2017
OTHER FUNDS					
		-			
OTAL, Judicial Fund No. 573	\$273,350	\$273,350	\$273,350	\$273,350	\$273,350
	<i><i><i>w</i></i><i>xivyvvvvvvvvvvvvv</i></i>	<i>u₂,0,000</i>	\$2 70,000		0210,000
666 Appropriated Receipts	e e La companya da serie d				
REGULAR APPROPRIATIONS					
Regular Appropriations from MOF Table (2012-13 GAA)	\$11,539	\$0	\$0	\$0	· \$0
	411 ,007	~ ~~	4 0	4 0	÷
Regular Appropriations from MOF Table (2014-15 GAA)				-	
	\$0	\$11,539	\$11,539	\$0	\$0
Regular Appropriations					
	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$11,539	\$11,539
RIDER APPROPRIATION	. *				
Art IX, Sec 8.03, Reimbursements and Payments (2012-13 GAA)			*		
At $1x_{2}$ see 6.05, Kennoursements and Payments (2012-15 GAA)	\$23,513	\$0	\$0	\$0	, \$C
Art IX, Sec 8.03, Reimbursements and Payments (2014-15 GAA)	^		,		
	\$0	\$11,148	\$0	\$0	\$0

2.B. Page 3 of 6

8/4/2014 3:23:08PM

84th Regular Session, Agency Submission, Version 1
Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

Agency code: 234	Agency name:	Fourteenth C	ourt of Appeals Distric	t, Houston		
METHOD OF FINANCING		Exp 2013	Est 2014	Bud 2015	Req 2016	Req 2017
OTHER FUNDS						
TOTAL, Appropriated Receipts		i				
-		\$35,052	\$22,687	\$11,539	\$11,539	\$11,539
777 Interagency Contracts REGULAR APPROPRIATIONS	•					
Regular Appropriations from MOF Tab	le (2012-13 GAA)		•			
		\$42,500	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	2004 2004					
Regular Appropriations from MOF Tab	le (2014-15 GAA)	•				
		\$0	\$42,500	\$42,500	\$0	\$0
Regular Appropriations		۳۵.	\$0	\$0	\$42,500	\$42,500
		\$0	. ⊅ ∪ .	\$0	\$42,500	542;500
RIDER APPROPRIATION						
Art IX, Sec 8.03, Reimbursements and I	Payments (2012-13 GAA)					
		\$76,680	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Art IX, Sec 8.03, Reimbursements and I	Payments (2014-15 GAA)					
		\$0	\$116,702	\$116,702	\$0	\$0

84th Regular Session, Agency Submission, Version 1

Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

8/4/2014 3:23:08PM

Agency code: 234	Agency name: Fourteenth C	Court of Appeals Distri	ct, Houston		•
AETHOD OF FINANCING	Exp 2013	Est 2014	Bud 2015	Req 2016	Req 2017
OTHER FUNDS					
Art IX, Sec 8.03, Reimbursements and Payments (2016	-17 GAA)				
	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,16,702	\$116,702
OTAL, Interagency Contracts	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
	\$119,180	\$159,202	\$159,202	\$159,202	\$159,202
QTAL, ALL OTHER FUNDS	\$427,582	\$455,239	\$444,091	\$444,091	\$444,091
RAND TOTAL	\$3,987,992	\$4,326,015	\$4,478,039	\$4,403,039	\$4,403,039
				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
ULL-TIME-EQUIVALENT POSITIONS					
REGULAR APPROPRIATIONS					
Regular Appropriations from MOF Table (2012-13 GAA)	47.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Regular Appropriations from MOF Table (2014-15 GAA)	0.0	44.0	44.0	0.0	0.0
Regular Appropriations	0.0	0.0	0.0	44.0	44.0
UNAUTHORIZED NUMBER OVER (BELOW) CAP		н			
Unauthorized Number Over(Below) Cap	(5.4)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
OTAL, ADJUSTED FTES	42.1	44.0	44.0	44.0	44.0

2.B. Page 5 of 6

ntin di

8/4/2014 3:23:08PM

84th Regular Session, Agency Submission, Version 1

Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

Agency code:	234	Agency name:	Fourteenth Co	ourt of Appeals District	, Houston			
METHOD OF FIN	ANCING		Exp 2013	Est 2014	Bud 2015	Req 2016	Req 2017	

NUMBER OF 100% FEDERALLY

FUNDED FTEs

2.C. Summary of Base Request by Object of Expense

8/4/2014 3:23:10PM

84th Regular Session, Agency Submission, Version 1 Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

234 Fourteenth Court of Appeals District, Ho	ouston
--	--------

OBJECT OF EXPENSE	Exp 2013	Est 2014	Bud 2015	BL 2016	BL 2017
001 SALARIES AND WAGES	\$3,460,117	\$3,955,154	\$4,105,154	\$4,030,154	\$4,030,154
002 OTHER PERSONNEL COSTS	\$216,440	\$147,355	\$147,879	\$148,629	\$148,629
001 PROFESSIONAL FEES AND SERVICES	\$1,248	\$1,248	\$1,248	\$1,248	\$1,248
003 CONSUMABLE SUPPLIES	\$23,012	· \$16,637	\$16,637	\$16,637	\$16,637
005 ȚRAVEL	\$3,442	\$8,451	\$8,451	\$8,451	\$8,451
006 RENT - BUILDING	\$40,591	\$45,388	\$45,388	\$45,388	\$45,388
007 RENT - MACHINE AND OTHER	\$3,132	\$4,176	\$4,176	\$4,176	\$4,176
009 OTHER OPERATING EXPENSE	\$240,010	\$147,606	\$149,106	\$148,356	\$148,356
OOE Total (Excluding Riders)	\$3,987,992	\$4,326,015	\$4,478,039	\$4,403,039	\$4,403,039
OE Total (Riders) Grand Total	\$3,987,992	\$4,326,015	\$4,478,039	\$4,403,039	\$4,403,039

2.C.1. Operating Costs Detail ~ Base Request

Date: 8/4/2014 Time: 3:23:09PM

84th Regular Session, Agency Submission, Version 1 Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

Agency Code: 234 Agency: Fourteenth Court of Appeals District, Houston

BASE REQUEST STRATEGY:

1-1-1 Appellate Court Operations

Code	Type of Expense	Expended 2013	Estimated 2014	Budgeted 2015	Requested 2016	Reguested 2017
2	Postage	\$10,000	\$20,000	\$20,000	\$20,000	\$20,000
6	Registrations/Training	889	3,217	3,217	3,217	3,217
7	Subscriptions/Periodicals	10,120	10,062	10,062	10,062	10,062
12	Maintenance & Repair - Equipment	1,633	1,850	1,850	1,850	1,850
13	Furniture & Equipment (Expensed)	37,694	3,692	3,692	3,692	3,692
15	Printing & Reproduction	50	500	500	500	500
24	Freight/Delivery	570	750	750	750	750
26	Books (expensed)	44,793	27,587	27,587	27,587	27,587
27	Membership Dues	8,801	8,925	8,925	8,925	8,925
28	Liability Insurance	124	6,124	6,124	6,124	6,124
35	Computer Equip./Software, Non-cap	12,155	0	0	0	0
37	Computer Software / Upgrades	1,995	1,995	1,995	1,995	1,995
38	Computer Parts and Supplies	236	2,100	2,100	2,100	2,100
45	Telephone/Communication Services	4,994	4,218	4,218	4,218	4,218
55	Computer Furn & Equip-Controlled	20,880	7,875	7,875	7,875	7,875
64	SORM Assessment	4,154	7,660	7,660	7,660	7,660
68	Remodeling	41,949	0	0	0	0
94	Awards	4,916	1,500	1,500	1,500	1,500
187	1% salary benefits fee	34,057	39,551	41,051	40,301	40,301
	Total, Operating Costs	\$240,010	\$147,606	\$149,106	\$148,356	\$148,356

2.D. Summary of Base Request Objective Outcomes

84th Regular Session, Agency Submission, Version 1 Automated Budget and Evaluation system of Texas (ABEST)

234 Fo	ourteenth Court of Appeals Distr	ict, Houston			
Goal/ <i>Objective</i> / Outcome	Exp 2013	Est 2014	Bud 2015	BL 2016	BL 2017
1 Appellate Court Operations					-
1 Appellate Court Operations	•				
KEY 1 Clearance Rate					
	100.60%	98.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
KEY 2 Percentage of Cases Under Submission for 1	Less Than One Year	. *		r	
	99.50%	99,50%	99.00%	99.00%	. 99.00%
KEY 3 Percentage of Cases Pending for Less Than	Two Years				
	99.80%	99.80%	99.00%	99.00%	99.00%

2.E. Summary of Exceptional Items Request

DATE: 8/4/2014 TIME : 3:23:12PM

84th Regular Session, Agency Submission, Version 1 Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

Agency code: 234

Agency name: Fourteenth Court of Appeals District, Houston

		2016			2017		Biên	nlum
Priority Item	GR and GR/GR Dedicated	All Funds	FTEs	GR and GR Dedicated	All Funds	FTEs	GR and GR Dedicated	Åll Funds
1 Similar Funding Same-Size Courts	\$362,979	\$362,979	3.0	\$362,979	\$362,979	3.0	\$725,958	\$725,958
Total, Exceptional Items Request	\$362,979	\$362,979	3.0	\$362,979	\$362,979	3.0	\$725,958	\$725,958
Method of Financing								
General Revenue	\$362,979	\$362,979		\$362,979	\$362,979		\$725,958	\$725,958
General Revenue - Dedicated								
Federal Funds								
Other Funds								
	\$362,979	\$362,979		\$362,979	\$362,979		\$725,958	\$725,958
Full Time Equivalent Positions			3.0			3.0		

Number of 100% Federally Funded FTEs

2.F. Summary of Total Request by Strategy 84th Regular Session, Agency Submission, Version 1 Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

DATE : 8/4/2014 TIME : 3:23:12PM

Agency code: 234	Agency name:	Fourteenth Court of Appeals D	istrict, Houston				
Goal/Objective/STRATEGY		Base 2016	Base 2017	Exceptional 2016	Exceptional 2017	Total Request 2016	Total Request 2017
1 Appellate Court Operations							
1 Appellate Court Operations							
1 APPELLATE COURT OPERATIONS		\$4,403,039	\$4,403,039	\$362,979	\$362,979	\$4,766,018	\$4,766,01
TOTAL, GOAL 1		\$4,403,039	\$4,403,039	\$362,979	\$362,979	\$4,766,018	\$4,766,01
TOTAL, AGENCY STRATEGY REQUEST		\$4,403,039	\$4,403,039	\$362,979	\$362,979	\$4,766,018	\$4,766,01
TOTAL, AGENCY RIDER APPROPRIATIONS REQUEST			<u> </u>				
GRAND TOTAL, AGENCY REQUEST		\$4,403,039	\$4,403,039	\$362,979	\$362,979	\$4,766,018	\$4,766,01

	2.F. Summary of Total Request by Strategy 84th Regular Session, Agency Submission, Version 1 Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)				DATE : TIME :	8/4/2014 3:23:12PM	
Agency code: 234 Agency	name: Fou	rteenth Court of Appeals I	District, Houston		<u></u>		and the second
Goal/Objective/STRATEGY		Base 2016	Base 2017	Exceptional 2016	Exceptional 2017	Total Request	Totäl Request 2017
General Revenue Funds:							
1 General Revenue Fund		\$3,958,948	\$3.958.948	\$362,979	\$362,979	\$4,321,927	\$4,321,927
Other Funds:		\$3,958,948	\$3,958,948	\$362,979	\$362,979	\$4,321,927	\$4,321,927
573 Judicial Fund		273,350	273.350	0	0	273,350	273,350
666 Appropriated Receipts		11,539	11.539	0	0	11,539	11,539
777 Interagency Contracts		159,202	159.202	0	0	159,202	159,202
		\$444,091	\$444,091	\$0	\$0	\$444,091	\$444,091
TOTAL, METHOD OF FINANCING		\$4,403,039	\$4,403,039	\$362,979	\$362,979	\$4,766,018	\$4,766,018
FULL TIME EQUIVALENT POSITIONS		44.0	44.0	3.0	3.0	47.0	47.0

2.G. Summary of Total Request Objective Outcomes

84th Regular Session, Agency Submission, Version 1 Automated Budget and Evaluation system of Texas (ABEST) Date : 8/4/2014

Time: 3:23:14PM

Agency code: 234	4 Agency	name: Fourteenth Court of A	ppeals District, Houston	n _.	2	
Goal/ <i>Objective</i> / C			: 		Total	Total
• •	BL 2016	BL 2017	Ехср 2016	Ехср 2017	Request 2016	Request 2017
1 Appe	llate Court Operations					40 - 10 - 1
1 Appel	llate Court Operations					
KEY 1	Clearance Rate				,	
	100.00%	100.00%			100.00%	100.00%
KEY 2	Percentage of Cases Under Sub	nission for Less Than One Yes	n			
	99.00%	99.00%			99.00%	99.00%
KEY 3	Percentage of Cases Pending for	Less Than Two Years				
	99.00%	99.00%			99,00%	99.00%

2.G. Page 1 of 1

3.A. Strategy Request

84th Regular Session, Agency Submission, Version 1 Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

		234 Fourteenth Court of Appea	ls District, Houston		,	
GOAL:	1 Appellate Court Operations			Statewide Goal/	Benchmark: 0	0
OBJECTIVE:	1 Appellate Court Operations			Service Categor	ies:	
STRATEGY:	1 Appellate Court Operations			Service: 01	Income: A.2	Aget B.3
CODE	DESCRIPTION	Exp 2013	Est 2014	Bud 2015	BL 2016	BL 2017
Output Measure	es:		,			
	er of Civil Cases Disposed	683.00	620.00	700.00	720.00	735.00
2 Numbe	er of Criminal Cases Disposed	633.00	606.00	610.00	620.00	635.00
Explanatory/Inp	out Measures:					
1 Numbe	er of Civil Cases Filed	707.00	700.00	700.00	735.00	740.00
2 Numbe	er of Criminal Cases Filed	609.00	625.00	600.00	625.00	635.00
3 Numbe	er of Cases Transferred in	72.00	41.00	45.00	45.00	40.00
4 Numbe	er of Cases Transferred out	8.00	4.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
bjects of Exper	nse:					
1001 SALA	ARIES AND WAGES	\$3,460,117	\$3,955,154	\$4,105,154	\$4,030,154	\$4,030,154
1002 OTHE	ER PERSONNEL COSTS	\$216,440	\$147,355	\$147,879	\$148,629	\$148,629
2001 PROF	FESSIONAL FEES AND SERVICES	\$1,248	\$1,248	\$1,248	\$1,248	\$1,248
2003 CONS	SUMABLE SUPPLIES	\$23,012	\$16,637	\$16,637	\$16,637	\$16,637
2005 TRAV	VEL	\$3,442	\$8,451	\$8,451	\$8,451	\$8,451
2006 RENT	- BUILDING	\$40,591	\$45,388	\$45,388	\$45,388	\$45,388
2007 RENT	- MACHINE AND OTHER	\$3,132	\$4,176	\$4,176	\$4,176	\$4,176
2009 OTHE	ER OPERATING EXPENSE	\$240,010	\$147,606	\$149,106	\$148,356	\$148,356

3.A. Page 1 of 4

3.A. Strategy Request 84th Regular Session, Agency Submission, Version 1 Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

234	Fourteenth Court of Appea	ls District, Houston				
GOAL: 1 Appellate Court Operations			Statewide Goal	Statewide Goal/Benchmark: 0 0		
OBJECTIVE: 1 Appellate Court Operations		Service Categor	ies:			
STRATEGY: 1 Appellate Court Operations			Service: 01	Income: A.2	Age: B.3	
CODE DESCRIPTION	Exp 2013	Est 2014	Bud 2015	BL 2016	BL 2017	
TOTAL, OBJECT OF EXPENSE	\$3,987,992	\$4,326,015	\$4,478,039	\$4,403,039	\$4,403,039	
Method of Financing:	•					
1 General Revenue Fund	\$3,560,410	\$3,870,776	\$4,033,948	\$3,958,948	\$3,958,948	
SUBTOTAL, MOF (GENERAL REVENUE FUNDS)	\$3,560,410	\$3,870,776	\$4,033,948	\$3,958,948	\$3,958,948	
Method of Financing:						
573 Judicial Fund	\$273,350	\$273,350	\$273,350	\$273,350	\$273,350	
666 Appropriated Receipts 777 Interagency Contracts	\$35,052 \$119,180	\$22,687 \$159,202	\$11,539 \$159,202	\$11,539- \$159,202	\$11,539 \$159,202	
SUBTOTAL, MOF (OTHER FUNDS)	\$427,582	\$455,239	\$444,091	\$444,091	\$444,091	
OTAL, METHOD OF FINANCE (INCLUDING RIDERS)				\$4,403,039	\$4,403,039	
QTAL, METHOD OF FINANCE (EXCLUDING RIDERS)	\$3,987,992	\$4,326,015	\$4,478,039	\$4,403,039	\$4,403,039	
FULL TIME EQUIVALENT POSITIONS:	42.1	44.0	44.0	44.0	44.0	
TRATEGY DESCRIPTION AND JUSTIFICATION:	· · · · ·					

3.A. Page 2 of 4

3.A. Strategy Request 84th Regular Session, Agency Submission, Version 1

Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

			234 Fourteenth Court of Ap	peals District, Hous	ston			
GOAL:	1	Appellate Court Operations			Statewide G	oal/Benchmark:	0	0
OBJECTIVE:	1	Appellate Court Operations			Service Cate	egories:		•
STRATEGY:	1	Appellate Court Operations			Service: 01	Income: A.2		Age: B.3
CODE	DESC	RIPTION	Exp 2013	Est 201	4 Bud 2015	BL 2016		BL 2017

The Fourteenth Court of Appeals was created in 1967 by amendment to the Article 1817, V.T.C.S. pursuant to the authority granted by Article 5, Section 1, Texas Constitution. This court has intermediate appellate jurisdiction in civil cases in which the judgment rendered exceeds \$100, exclusive of costs, and, effective September 1, 1981, in criminal cases, except those in which the death penalty has been assessed.

EXTERNAL/INTERNAL FACTORS IMPACTING STRATEGY:

Courts of Appeals are by nature, small agencies with highly specialized staff. The main factor which drives this strategy is the need to attract and retain highly trained and knowledgeable professional staff to work on an increasing caseload.

3.A. Strategy Request 84th Regular Session, Agency Submission, Version 1 Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

SUMMARY TOTALS:

• ,					
OBJECTS OF EXPENSE;	\$3,987,992	\$4,326,015	\$4,478,039	\$4,403,039	\$4,403,039
METHODS OF FINANCE (INCLUDING RIDERS):				\$4,403,039	\$4,403,039
METHODS OF FINANCE (EXCLUDING RIDERS);	\$3,987,992	\$4,326,015	\$4,478,039	\$4,403,039	\$4,403,039
FULL TIME EQUIVALENT POSITIONS:	42.1	44.0	44.0	44.0	44.0

3.A. Page 4 of 4

3.B. Rider Revisions and Additions Request

Agency Code:	Agency Name:		Prepared By:	Date:	Request Level:			
234	Fourteenth Cou	urt of Appeals	Kelly McIntosh/Chris Prine	August 4, 2014	Baseline			
Current Rider Number	Page Number in 2014-15 GAA	Proposed Rider Language						
4 IV-42 Appellate Court Exemptions. The following provisions of Article IX of this Act do not apply to the a. Article IX, § 6.10, Limitation on State Employment Levels b. Article IX, § 6.13, Performance Rewards and Penalties c. Article IX, § 14.03, Limit on Expenditures - Capital Budget Request continuation of this rider.								
5 IV-42 Appropriation: Unexpended Balances Between Fiscal Years within the Biennium. Any unexpended bal appropriations made to the appellate courts for fiscal year 2014-2016 are hereby appropriated to the same cou 2015-2017 for the same purposes. Request continuation of this rider. Change years to reflect the new biennium.								
7 IV-42		chief staff attorney p the intent of the Leg September 1, 2013 n Request deletion of t determines the class rider was used to dis increases for all stat ensure there is no ov the courts of appeals they can earn that is	Hary Limits. It is the intent of the Legislature promoted or hired after September 1, 2013, mo islature that no intermediate appellate court m more than \$84,175 annually. This provision do this rider. These positions are covered under the ification and compensation range of each posi- stinguish salary increases given specifically to the employees. Subsequent legislatures have an verlap or duplication of salary actions for spec- s are the only position classification employees to lower than the maximum allowed by the Posi- ter necessary, thus, the courts request that it be	the than \$94,950 annually under ay pay other permanent legal states es not apply to law clerk position the State of Texas Position Class ition in the courts (and all state of the courts for attorney salaries laressed this issue through direc- cific classes of state employees. s across the state with a mandat tion Classification Plan.	this provision. Further, it is aff hired or promoted after ins at any appellate court: ification Act, which agencies). Originally, this from across-the-board tive riders in Article IX to Currently, staff attorneys a			

3.B. Rider Revisions and Additions Request (continued)

8	IV-42	Interagency Contracts for Assigned Judges for Appellate Courts. Out of funds appropriated in this Article to Strategies A.1.1, Appellate Court Operations, the Supreme Court of Texas, the Court of Criminal Appeals, or any of the 14 Courts of Appeals may enter into a contract with the Office of the Comptroller for fiscal years 2012 2016 and 2013 2017, for the purpose of reimbursing the Comptroller for amounts expended for judges assigned under Chapter 74, Government Code to hear cases of the appellate courts. It is the intent of the Legislature that any amounts reimbursed under this contract for judges assigned to the appellate courts are in addition to amounts appropriated for the use of assigned judges in Strategy A.1.3, Visiting Judges - Appellate in the Judiciary Section, Comptroller's Department. <i>Request continuation of this rider. Change years to reflect the new biennium.</i>
9	IV-42	Appellate Court Transfer Authority. The Chief Justice of the Supreme Court of Texas, the Presiding Judge of the Court of Criminal Appeals, or the Chair of the Council of Chief Justices is authorized to transfer funds between appellate courts, notwithstanding any other provision in this Act and subject to prior approval of any transfer of funds by the Legislative Budget Board and the Governor. Any such transfer shall be made for the purpose of efficient and effective appellate court operations and management of court caseloads. Request continuation of this rider.

3.B. Page 2

4.A. Exceptional Item Request Schedule 84th Regular Session, Agency Submission, Version 1

84th Regular Session, Agency Submission, Version 1 Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST) DATE: 8/4/2014 TIME: 3:23:16PM

Agency code: 234	Agency name:			
	Fourteenth Court of A	Appeals District, Houston		
CODE DESCRIPTION			Excp 2016	Excp 2017
	Item Name: Similar Fundin	g Same-Size Courts		
	Item Priority: 1			
Includes Funding for the Following S	trategy or Strategies: 01-01-01 Ap	pellate Court Operations		
5				
DBJECTS OF EXPENSE:				
1001 SALARIES AND WAGES			362,979	362,979
1001 SALADES AND WROLS				502,717
TOTAL, OBJECT OF EXPEN	E	·	\$362,979	\$362,979
ETHOD OF FINANCING				
IETHOD OF FINANCING:			2(2.070	à ca arta
1 General Revenue Fund	·		362,979	362,979
TOTAL, METHOD OF FINAN	CING		\$362,979	\$362,979
ULL-TIME EQUIVALENT POSITIONS (FT	C):		3.00	3.00
	- / -			

DESCRIPTION / JUSTIFICATION:

During the 83rd Legislative Session, the courts of appeals submitted a request to fully implement funding in their Similar Funding for Same-Sized Courts initiative. The Legislature graciously approved half of the amounts requested by the courts of appeals. However, challenges remain in recruiting and retaining top quality staff, and increasing demands continue to threaten the court's ability to meet its performance objectives. To achieve this mission, the Fourteenth Court respectfully requests the remaining portion of its previous request for similar funding for same-sized courts. The funding needed to fully implement this initiative is \$362,979 in the FY 2016-17 biennium. This amount will proportionally fund the Fourteenth Court of Appeals in relation to similar-sized appellate courts and will enable recruitment and retention of professional staff with the requisite skills and training to facilitate and process the appeals and original proceedings.

EXTERNAL/INTERNAL FACTORS:

Appellate work requires specialized knowledge with the ability to analyze cases on appeal and original proceedings, assist with court opinions, and facilitate the processing of cases to conclusion. Effective management of this workload requires personnel that possess the requisite skills that can be obtained only through professional experience. Generally, law clerks do not possess the skills necessary to maximize efforts to assist the court in its workload. In addition, entry-level support staff lack the requisite skills to fully support the court in its workload. The minimum number of lawyers an appellate court must have to perform at a reasonably productive and efficient level is two lawyers to each judge. Loss of experienced court lawyers creates difficulties in timely processing and disposal of appeals and original proceedings and in maintaining professional business practices. Funding of this item will allow the court to recruit and retain well qualified professional staff, which is a major factor in the court's ability to fulfill its core function of timely processing and disposing of cases while maintaining the quality of justice to which the citizens of Texas are entitled.

4.B. Exceptional Items Strategy Allocation Schedule 84th Regular Session, Agency Submission, Version 1

Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

DATE: 8/4/2014 TIME: 3:23:17PM

Agency code: 234	Agency name: Four	rteenth Court of Appeals District, Hous	ton	
		-		
Code Description	·		Excp 2016	Excp 2017
Item Name:	Similar Funding	Same-Size Courts		
Allocation to Strategy:	1-1-1	Appellate Court Operations		
STRATEGY IMPACT ON OUTC	OME MEASURES:			
<u>1</u> Clearance Ra	ate		100.00%	100.00%
OBJECTS OF EXPENSE:				1
1001 SAL	ARIES AND WAGES		362,979	362,979
TOTAL, OBJECT OF EXPENSE			\$362,979	\$362,979
METHOD OF FINANCING:		•		
1 Genera	l Revenue Fund		362,979	362,979
TOTAL, METHOD OF FINANCI	NG		\$362,979	\$362,979
FULL-TIME EQUIVALENT POS	SITIONS (FTE):		3.0	3.0

4.B. Page 1 of 1

		4.C. Exce 84th Regular Se Automated Budget	DATË: TIME:	8/4/2014 3:23:19PM			
Agency Code:	234	Agency name:	Fourteenth Court of Appeal	s District, Houston		i ti mayo i na si sa danti nan antari i ta sa	K-2 Comparison (Comparison of Neurosci Neurosci Neurosci Comparison) (Comparison of Neurosci Neurosci Neurosci Neurosci Comparison) (Comparison of Neurosci Neurosci Neurosci Neurosci Neuros
GOAL:	1 Appellate Court Operations			Statewide Goa	al/Benchmark:	Ò	- 0
OBJECTIVE:	1 Appellate Court Operations			Service Categ	ories:		
STRATEGY:	1 Appellate Court Operations			Service: 01	Income:	A.2 Åge:	B.3
CODE DESCRIF	PTION				Excp 2016		Excp 2017
)BJECTS OF EX	PENSE:						
1001 SALAR	IES AND WAGES				362,979		362,979
Total, O	Dijects of Expense				\$362,979	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	\$362,979
1ETHOD OF FIN	NANCING:						-
1 General	Revenue Fund				362,979		362,979
Total, N	Aethod of Finance				\$362,979	<u> </u>	\$362,979
ULL-TIME EQU	UIVALENT POSITIONS (FTE):				3.0		ć <u>3.</u> 0
	N						

EXCEPTIONAL ITEM(S) INCLUDED IN STRATEGY:

Similar Funding Same-Size Courts

6.A. Historically Underutilized Business Supporting Schedule 84th Regular Session, Agency Submission, Version 1 Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

Date: 8/4/2014 Time: 3:23:21PM

m / 1

Agency Code: 234 Agency: Fourteenth Court of Appeals District, Houston

COMPARISON TO STATEWIDE HUB PROCUREMENT GOALS

A, Fiscal Year 2012 - 2013 HUB Expenditure Information

						Total			•		l otal
Statewide	Procurement		HUB Ex	(penditures)	FY 2012	Expenditures	1	HUB Ex	penditures FY	2013	Expenditures
HUB Goals	Category	% Goal	% Actual	Diff	Actual \$	FY 2012	% Goal	% Actual	Diff	Actual \$	FY 2013
24,6%	Other Services	24.6 %	21.6%	-3.0%	\$5,191	\$23,986	24.6 %	29.8%	5.2%	\$3,256	\$10,928
21,0%	Commodities	21.0 %	67,6%	46.6%	\$75,812	\$112,177	21.0 %	69.7%	48.7%	\$73,821	\$105,891
	Total Expenditures		59.5%		\$81,003	\$136,163		66.0%		\$77,077	\$116,819

B. Assessment of Fiscal Year 2012 - 2013 Efforts to Meet HUB Procurement Goals

Attainment:

The agency more than exceeded the applicable statewide HUB procurement goals in FY2012 and FY2013 in the categories where HUB's were available for use.

Applicability:

The "Heavy Construction," Building Construction," "Special Trade," and "Professional Service," categories are not applicable to agency operations in either fiscal year 2012 or fiscal year 2013 since the agency did not have any strategies or programs related to these categories.

Factors Affecting Attainment:

In fiscal year 2012, the goal of "Other Services" category were not met due to the following:

- printing expenditures are exempt from bidding for Judicial agencies per Texas Const. Sec. 21
- the lowest bid was from a non-hub vendor
- only source available

In fiscal year 2013 "Other Services" were exceeded due to the following:

= consistent repeat purchases to HUB vendors were utilized

In fiscal year 2012 and 2013, the goal of "Commodities" were exceeded due to the following:

- major purchases were made with HUB vendors
- consistent repeat purchases to HUB vendors were utilized

"Good-Faith" Efforts:

The agency made the following good faith efforts to comply with statewide HUB procurement goals per 1 TAC Section 111.13c:

- ensured that contract specifications, terms, and conditions reflected the agency's actual requirements, were clearly stated, and did not impose unreasonable or unnecessary contract requirements
- gathered information on HUB vendors from the on-line system and contacted the vendor directly for a bid
- used the TBPC state term contracts where applicable, not always resulting in the use of a HUB vendor

6.H. Estimated Total of All Agency Funds Outside the GAA Bill Pattern Fourteenth Court of Appeals

\$ ESTIMATED GRAND TOTAL OF AGENCY FUNDS OUTSIDE THE 2016-17 GAA BILL PATTERN

Fund Name Estimated Beginning Balance in FY 2014 Estimated Revenues FY 2014 386.000 Estimated Revenues FY 2015 390,000 FY 2014-15 Total \$ 776.000 Estimated Beginning Balance in FY 2016 Estimated Revenues FY 2016 390.000 Estimated Revenues FY 2017 390,000 FY 2016-17 Total \$ 780,000

Constitutional or Statutory Creation and Use of Funds:

Pursuant to section 22.202 of the Government code, counties other than Harris County composing the First and Fourteenth Court of Appeals Districts shall annually reimburse Harris County for the costs incurred by Harris County during its previous fiscal year for supplemental salaries and fringe benefits for the justices of those courts. In addition, these counties are also to provide reimbursement for furnishings, equipment, supplies and utility expenses for those courts.

Method of Calculation and Revenue Assumptions:

Each county is to pay a share based on the proportion of their population to the total population of all counties in these districts. To effectuate the billing and payment process, the Harris County Commissioners Court is required to furnish each county liable for expenses with a statement of that county's share. Furthermore, the statement must be approved by the Chief Justices of the Courts of Appeals.

780.000

6.I. Percent Biennial Base Reduction Options

10 % REDUCTION

84th Regular Session, Agency Submission, Version 1 Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST) Date: 8/4/2014 Time: 3:34:36PM

Agency code: 234 Agency name: Fourteenth Court of Appeals District, Houston

	REVENUE LOSS			REDUCTION AMOUNT			TARGET
Item Priority and Name/ Method of Financing	2016	2017	Biennial Total	2016	2017	Biennial Total	

1 10% GR-RELATED REDUCTION

Category: Programs - Service Reductions (Other)

Item Comment: A 10% reduction in the Fourteenth Court's General Revenue (GR) will result in the loss of two permanent staff attorneys; the loss of three administrative assistant type positions, and one Deputy Clerk II. The loss of two permanent staff attorneys represents 10% of the Court's permanent legal staff. The loss of three administrative assistant type positions and one Deputy Clerk II represents 33% of the Court's upper-level administrative staff. As an alternative, the Court could implement across-the-board reductions in salaries. Such reductions would drop salaries significantly below those of other comparable positions in both the public and private sectors and would likely deter top candidates from applying with the Court.

A reduction equates to \$702,880 of the Court's biennial funds. A reduction of this magnitude will severely impact the Court's ability to fulfill its mission of providing timely appellate review to the ten counties in its jurisdiction. Because a majority of the Court's funding is dedicated to salaries, and because the Court has previously reduced its operating expenses to the lowest possible amount, a 10% reduction can be achieved only through eliminating positions or lowering salaries. If such a plan were implemented, the Court no longer would have the resources needed to timely process and decide appeals and original proceedings. The cuts and reductions necessitated by a 10% reduction in GR would adversely affect clearance rates, contribute to a significant backlog in case dispositions, and clog the Court's docket with pending cases.

Strategy: 1-1-1 Appellate Court Operations

General Revenue Funds									
1 General Revenue Fund	\$0		\$0			\$ 0	\$362,369	\$362,369	\$724,738
General Revenue Funds Total	\$0		\$0			\$0	\$362,369	\$362,369	\$724,738
Item Total	\$0		\$0			\$0	\$362,369	\$362,369	\$724,738
FTE Reductions (From FY 2016 and FY 2017 Base Request)							6.0	6.0	
AGENCY TOTALS							. •	- -	
General Revenue Total							\$362,369	\$362,369	\$724,738 \$724,738
Agency Grand Total	\$0		\$0	, i	:	\$0	\$362,369	\$362,369	\$724,738
Difference, Options Total Less Target			1.12		,				na da ser al del
Agency FTE Reductions (From FY 2016 and FY 2017 Base	e Requ	est)	. '				6.0	6.0	

6.I. Page 1 of 1

7.B. Direct Administrative and Support Costs 84th Regular Session, Agency Submission, Version 1 Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

DATE: 8/4/2014 TIME : 3:23:32PM

Agency code: 234	Agency name: Fourteenth Court of Appeals District, Houston									
Strategy		Exp 2013	Est 2014	Bud 2015	BL 2016	BL 2017				
1-1-1 Appellate Court Operations										
OBJECTS OF EXPENSE:										
1001 SALARIES AND WAGES		\$3,460	\$3,955	\$4,105	\$4,030	\$4,030				
1002 OTHER PERSONNEL COSTS		216	147	147	155	155				
2001 PROFESSIONAL FEES AND SERVICES		1	1	1	1	1				
2003 CONSUMABLE SUPPLIES		23	17	17	17	17				
2005 TRAVEL		3	8	8	8	8				
2006 RENT - BUILDING		41	45	45	45	45				
2007 RENT - MACHINE AND OTHER		3	4	4	4	. 4				
2009 OTHER OPERATING EXPENSE	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	240	148	149	148	148				
Total, Objects of Expense	• •	\$3,987	\$4,325	\$4,476	\$4,408	\$4,408				
METHOD OF FINANCING:				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-					
1 General Revenue Fund	· · ·	3,987	4,325	4,476	4,408	4,408				
Total, Method of Financing		\$3,987	\$4,325	\$4,476	\$4,408	\$4,408				
FULL-TIME-EQUIVALENT POSITIONS (FTE):	· ·	4.4	4.4	4.4	4.4	4.4				

DESCRIPTION

The administrative and support costs in this strategy are related to the percentage of salaries and related operating costs of court personnel performing administrative functions.

7.B. Direct Administrative and Support Costs 84th Regular Session, Agency Submission, Version 1 Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

gency code: 234	Agency name: Fourteenth Court of Appeals District, Houston										
		E	Cxp 2013	Est 2014	Bud 2015	BL 2016	BL 201				
							·				
RAND TOTALS						1					
bjects of Expense	•					•					
1001 SALARIES AND WAGES			\$3,460	\$3,955	\$4,105	\$4,030	\$4,02				
1002 OTHER PERSONNEL COSTS			\$216	\$147	\$147	\$155	\$15				
2001 PROFESSIONAL FEES AND SERVICES			\$1	\$1	\$1	\$1					
2003 CONSUMABLE SUPPLIES			\$23	\$17	\$17	\$17	\$1				
2005 TRAVEL			\$3	\$8	\$8	\$8	5				
2006 RENT - BUILDING			\$41	\$45	\$45	\$45	\$4				
2007 RENT - MACHINE AND OTHER			\$3	\$4	\$4	\$4	9				
2009 OTHER OPERATING EXPENSE			\$240	\$148	\$149	\$148	\$14				
Total, Objects of Expense			\$3,987	\$4,325	\$4,476	\$4,408	\$4,40				
ethod of Financing			÷								
1 General Revenue Fund		к. 4. ^{с.} 1	\$3,987	\$4,325	\$4,476	\$4,408	\$4,40				
Total, Method of Financing		;	\$3,987	\$4,325	\$4,476	\$4,408	\$4,40				
Full-Time-Equivalent Positions (FTE)		1	4.4	4.4	4.4	4.4	4				

- . .





