## Chapter 684

H.B. No. 372

1	ልክ ልርጥ

- 2 relating to the monitoring of the Internet access of certain sex
- 3 offenders placed on community supervision or released on parole or
- 4 to mandatory supervision.
- 5 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF TEXAS:
- 6 SECTION 1. Section 13G, Article 42.12, Code of Criminal
- 7 Procedure, is amended to read as follows:
- 8 Sec. 13G. PROHIBITIONS ON INTERNET ACCESS FOR CERTAIN SEX
- 9 OFFENDERS. (a) This section applies only to a person who is
- 10 required to register as a sex offender under Chapter 62, by court
- 11 order or otherwise, and:
- 12 (1) is convicted of or receives a grant of deferred
- 13 adjudication community supervision for a violation of Section
- 14 21.11, 22.011(a)(2), 22.021(a)(1)(B), 33.021, or 43.25, Penal
- 15 Code;
- 16 (2) used the Internet or any other type of electronic
- 17 device used for Internet access to commit the offense or engage in
- 18 the conduct for which the person is required to register under
- 19 Chapter 62; or
- 20 (3) is assigned a numeric risk level of <u>two or</u> three
- 21 based on an assessment conducted under Article 62.007.
- (b) If the court grants community supervision to a defendant
- 23 described by Subsection (a), the court as a condition of community
- 24 supervision shall:

- 1 (1) prohibit the defendant from using the Internet to:
- (A) (A) (A) access material that is obscene as
- 3 defined by Section 43.21, Penal Code;
- 4 (B) [<del>(2)</del>] access a commercial social networking
- 5 site, as defined by Article 62.0061(f);
- 6 (C) (3) communicate with any individual
- 7 concerning sexual relations with an individual who is younger than
- 8 17 years of age; or
- 9  $\underline{\text{(D)}}$  [ $\frac{\text{(4)}}{\text{)}}$ ] communicate with another individual
- 10 the defendant knows is younger than 17 years of age; and
- 11 (2) to ensure the defendant's compliance with
- 12 Subdivision (1), require the defendant to submit to regular
- 13 inspection or monitoring of each electronic device used by the
- 14 defendant to access the Internet.
- 15 (c) The court may modify at any time the condition described
- 16 by Subsection (b)(1)(D) [(b)(4)] if:
- 17 (1) the condition interferes with the defendant's
- 18 ability to attend school or become or remain employed and
- 19 consequently constitutes an undue hardship for the defendant; or
- 20 (2) the defendant is the parent or guardian of an
- 21 individual who is younger than 17 years of age and the defendant is
- 22 not otherwise prohibited from communicating with that individual.
- 23 SECTION 2. Section 508.1861, Government Code, is amended to
- 24 read as follows:
- 25 Sec. 508.1861. PROHIBITIONS ON INTERNET ACCESS FOR CERTAIN
- 26 SEX OFFENDERS. (a) This section applies only to a person who, on
- 27 release, will be required to register as a sex offender under

H.B. No. 372

- 1 Chapter 62, Code of Criminal Procedure, by court order or
- 2 otherwise, and:
- 3 (1) is serving a sentence for an offense under Section
- 4 21.11, 22.011(a)(2), 22.021(a)(1)(B), 33.021, or 43.25, Penal
- 5 Code;
- 6 (2) used the Internet or any other type of electronic
- 7 device used for Internet access to commit the offense or engage in
- 8 the conduct for which the person is required to register under
- 9 Chapter 62, Code of Criminal Procedure; or
- 10 (3) is assigned a numeric risk level of  $\underline{\text{two or}}$  three
- 11 based on an assessment conducted under Article 62.007, Code of
- 12 Criminal Procedure.
- 13 (b) If the parole panel releases on parole or to mandatory
- 14 supervision a person described by Subsection (a), the parole panel
- 15 as a condition of parole or mandatory supervision shall:
- 16 (1) prohibit the releasee from using the Internet to:
- (A) (A) (A) access material that is obscene as
- 18 defined by Section 43.21, Penal Code;
- $(B) \quad (B) \quad (Ca) \quad (B) \quad (Ca) \quad (Ca$
- 20 site, as defined by Article 62.0061(f), Code of Criminal Procedure;
- (C) [(3)] communicate with any individual
- 22 concerning sexual relations with an individual who is younger than
- 23 17 years of age; or
- (D) [(4)] communicate with another individual
- 25 the releasee knows is younger than 17 years of age; and
- (2) to ensure the releasee's compliance with
- 27 <u>Subdivision (1)</u>, require the releasee to submit to regular

H.B. No. 372

- 1 inspection or monitoring of each electronic device used by the
- 2 releasee to access the Internet.
- 3 (c) The parole panel may modify at any time the condition
- 4 described by Subsection (b)(1)(D) = (b)(4) if:
- 5 (1) the condition interferes with the releasee's
- 6 ability to attend school or become or remain employed and
- 7 consequently constitutes an undue hardship for the releasee; or
- 8 (2) the releasee is the parent or guardian of an
- 9 individual who is younger than 17 years of age and the releasee is
- 10 not otherwise prohibited from communicating with that individual.
- 11 SECTION 3. This Act takes effect September 1, 2015.

President of the Senate

H.B. No. 372 Speaker of the House

I certify that H.B. No. 372 was passed by the House on April 13, 2015, by the following vote: Yeas 143, Nays 0, 1 present, not voting; and that the House concurred in Senate amendments to H.B. No. 372 on May 26, 2015, by the following vote: Yeas 145, Nays 0, 2 present, not voting.

Chief Clerk of the Hu

I certify that H.B. No. 372 was passed by the Senate, with amendments, on May 22, 2015, by the following vote: Yeas 31, Nays 0.

Secretary of the Senate

10 - 7015

Date

Date

FILED IN THE OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY OF STATE 4:09 pm 0'CLOCK

Secretary of State

### FISCAL NOTE, 84TH LEGISLATIVE REGULAR SESSION

## May 22, 2015

**TO:** Honorable Joe Straus, Speaker of the House, House of Representatives

FROM: Ursula Parks, Director, Legislative Budget Board

IN RE: HB372 by Riddle (Relating to the monitoring of the Internet access of certain sex offenders placed on community supervision or released on parole or to mandatory supervision.), As Passed 2nd House

No significant fiscal implication to the State is anticipated.

The bill would amend Code of Criminal Procedure to include a person assigned a sex offender registry risk level of two, rather than the current level of three, in prohibiting the accessing of the Internet for certain purposes as a condition of community supervision. The bill would also require the defendant to submit to regular inspection or monitoring of each electronic device used by the defendant to access the Internet to ensure compliance with this section.

This analysis assumes the provisions of the bill addressing felony sanctions for criminal offenses would not result in a significant impact on state correctional agencies.

#### **Local Government Impact**

The Bexar County Community Supervision and Corrections Department reported the bill would not have an impact on the department.

The Caldwell, Comal, and Hays Counties Community Supervision and Corrections Department reported the provisions of the bill are already implemented in the department. Costs associated with the monitoring fee would be offset by a fee paid by the offenders.

No significant impact fiscal impact is anticipated.

**Source Agencies:** 696 Department of Criminal Justice, 697 Board of Pardons and Paroles

## FISCAL NOTE, 84TH LEGISLATIVE REGULAR SESSION

May 20, 2015

TO: Honorable John Whitmire, Chair, Senate Committee on Criminal Justice

FROM: Ursula Parks, Director, Legislative Budget Board

IN RE: HB372 by Riddle (Relating to the monitoring of the Internet access of certain sex offenders placed on community supervision or released on parole or to mandatory

supervision.), Committee Report 2nd House, Substituted

No significant fiscal implication to the State is anticipated.

The bill would amend Code of Criminal Procedure to include a person assigned a sex offender registry risk level of two, rather than the current level of three, in prohibiting the accessing of the Internet for certain purposes as a condition of community supervision. The bill would also require the defendant to submit to regular inspection or monitoring of each electronic device used by the defendant to access the Internet to ensure compliance with this section.

This analysis assumes the provisions of the bill addressing felony sanctions for criminal offenses would not result in a significant impact on state correctional agencies.

### **Local Government Impact**

The Bexar County Community Supervision and Corrections Department reported the bill would not have an impact on the department.

The Caldwell, Comal, and Hays Counties Community Supervision and Corrections Department reported the provisions of the bill are already implemented in the department. Costs associated with the monitoring fee would be offset by a fee paid by the offenders.

No significant impact fiscal impact is anticipated.

**Source Agencies:** 696 Department of Criminal Justice, 697 Board of Pardons and Paroles

### FISCAL NOTE, 84TH LEGISLATIVE REGULAR SESSION

#### May 18, 2015

TO: Honorable John Whitmire, Chair, Senate Committee on Criminal Justice

FROM: Ursula Parks, Director, Legislative Budget Board

IN RE: HB372 by Riddle (Relating to the monitoring of the Internet access of certain sex offenders placed on community supervision or released on parole or to mandatory

supervision.), As Engrossed

#### No significant fiscal implication to the State is anticipated.

The bill would amend Code of Criminal Procedure to include a person assigned a sex offender registry risk level of two, rather than the current level of three, in prohibiting accessing the Internet for certain purposes as a condition of community supervision. The bill would also require the defendant to submit to regular inspection or monitoring of each electronic device used by the defendant to access the Internet to ensure compliance with this section.

This analysis assumes the provisions of the bill addressing felony sanctions for criminal offenses would not result in a significant impact on state correctional agencies.

### **Local Government Impact**

The Bexar County Community Supervision and Corrections Department reported the bill would not have an impact on the department.

The Caldwell, Comal, and Hays Counties Community Supervision and Corrections Department reported the provisions of the bill are already implemented in the department. Costs associated with the monitoring fee would be offset by a fee paid by the offenders.

No significant impact fiscal impact is anticipated.

Source Agencies: 696 Department of Criminal Justice, 697 Board of Pardons and Paroles

#### FISCAL NOTE, 84TH LEGISLATIVE REGULAR SESSION

### March 11, 2015

TO: Honorable Jim Murphy, Chair, House Committee on Corrections

FROM: Ursula Parks, Director, Legislative Budget Board

IN RE: HB372 by Riddle (Relating to the monitoring of the Internet access of certain sex offenders placed on community supervision or released on parole or to mandatory supervision.), As Introduced

#### No significant fiscal implication to the State is anticipated.

The bill would amend Code of Criminal Procedure to include a person assigned a sex offender registry risk level of two, rather than the current level of three, in prohibiting accessing the Internet for certain purposes as a condition of community supervision. The bill would also require the defendant to submit to regular inspection or monitoring of each electronic device used by the defendant to access the Internet to ensure compliance with this section.

This analysis assumes the provisions of the bill addressing felony sanctions for criminal offenses would not result in a significant impact on state correctional agencies.

### **Local Government Impact**

The Bexar County Community Supervision and Corrections Department reported the bill would not have an impact on the department.

The Caldwell, Comal, and Hays Counties Community Supervision and Corrections Department reported the provisions of the bill are already implemented in the department. Costs associated with the monitoring fee would be offset by a fee paid by the offenders.

No significant impact fiscal impact is anticipated.

**Source Agencies:** 696 Department of Criminal Justice, 697 Board of Pardons and Paroles

#### CRIMINAL JUSTICE IMPACT STATEMENT

#### 84TH LEGISLATIVE REGULAR SESSION

May 20, 2015

TO: Honorable John Whitmire, Chair, Senate Committee on Criminal Justice

FROM: Ursula Parks, Director, Legislative Budget Board

IN RE: HB372 by Riddle (Relating to the monitoring of the Internet access of certain sex offenders placed on community supervision or released on parole or to mandatory supervision.), Committee Report 2nd House, Substituted

No significant impact on the programs and workload of state corrections agencies or on the demand for resources and services of those agencies is anticipated from any provisions of this bill that authorize or require a change in the sanctions applicable to adults convicted of felony crimes.

**Source Agencies:** 

LBB Staff: UP, JPo, KJo, LM

### **CRIMINAL JUSTICE IMPACT STATEMENT**

#### 84TH LEGISLATIVE REGULAR SESSION

May 18, 2015

TO: Honorable John Whitmire, Chair, Senate Committee on Criminal Justice

FROM: Ursula Parks, Director, Legislative Budget Board

IN RE: HB372 by Riddle (Relating to the monitoring of the Internet access of certain sex offenders placed on community supervision or released on parole or to mandatory supervision.), As Engrossed

No significant impact on the programs and workload of state corrections agencies or on the demand for resources and services of those agencies is anticipated from any provisions of this bill that authorize or require a change in the sanctions applicable to adults convicted of felony crimes.

**Source Agencies:** 

LBB Staff: UP, KJo, LM, JPo

#### CRIMINAL JUSTICE IMPACT STATEMENT

#### 84TH LEGISLATIVE REGULAR SESSION

### March 11, 2015

TO: Honorable Jim Murphy, Chair, House Committee on Corrections

FROM: Ursula Parks, Director, Legislative Budget Board

IN RE: HB372 by Riddle (Relating to the monitoring of the Internet access of certain sex offenders placed on community supervision or released on parole or to mandatory supervision.), As Introduced

No significant impact on the programs and workload of state corrections agencies or on the demand for resources and services of those agencies is anticipated from any provisions of this bill that authorize or require a change in the sanctions applicable to adults convicted of felony crimes.

**Source Agencies:** 

LBB Staff: UP, KJo, LM, JPo