

# Legislative Appropriations Request

For Fiscal Years 2018-2019

Submitted to the Office of the Governor, Budget Division, and the Legislative Budget Board

by

The Office of the State Prosecuting Attorney

August 5, 2016

# FY 2018-19 LEGISLATIVE APPROPRIATIONS REQUEST Office of the State Prosecuting Attorney

# **Table of Contents**

Administrator 5 Statement	1
Administrator's Statement Organizational Chart	2
Certificate of Dual Submissions	
2.A. Summary of Base Request by Strategy	4
2.B. Summary of Base Request by Method of Finance	5
2.C. Summary of Base Request by Object of Expense	9
2.D. Summary of Base Request Objective Outcomes	10
2.E. Summary of Exceptional Items Request	11
2.F. Summary of Total Request by Strategy	12
2.G. Summary of Total Request Objective Outcomes	14
Budget Overview	16
Budget Overview	
3.A. Strategy Request	17
<ul><li>3.A. Strategy Request</li><li>4.A. Exceptional Item Request Schedule</li></ul>	17
<ul> <li>3.A. Strategy Request</li></ul>	17 21 24
<ul><li>3.A. Strategy Request</li><li>4.A. Exceptional Item Request Schedule</li></ul>	17 21 24
<ul> <li>3.A. Strategy Request</li></ul>	17 21 24 26
<ul> <li>3.A. Strategy Request</li></ul>	17 21 24 26

#### **Administrator's Statement**

85th Regular Session, Agency Submission, Version 1 Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

#### 213 Office of the State Prosecuting Attorney

The State Prosecuting Attorney is statutorily authorized to represent the State, either alone or with the assistance of local district and county attorneys, in criminal cases in both the courts of appeals and the Court of Criminal Appeals. See Tex. Gov't Code §§ 42.001, 42.005.

The primary focus of the Office of the State Prosecuting Attorney (OSPA) is filing petitions for discretionary review in the Court of Criminal Appeals in selected cases when a court of appeals has ruled against the State. The majority of OSPA's caseload comes from smaller jurisdictions that may not have the expertise or manpower to handle complex appellate issues in the State's highest criminal court. But OSPA also assists and collaborates with large jurisdictions to facilitate a consistent position on issues of state-wide importance. Prosecutors from counties large and small seek OSPA's advice on trial and appellate issues on a daily basis.

OSPA is grateful for the Legislature's continued funding. OSPA requests restoration of its 4% baseline reduction to avoid the necessity of laying off its only administrative assistant, which would require attorneys to assume secretarial duties. An additional 10% reduction would require salary cuts for OSPA attorneys. During the 82nd Legislative session, OSPA's budget was substantially reduced. Last session, OSPA received partial restoration of those cuts and was able to return attorney salaries to their pre-reduction levels. This session, OSPA requests a modest salary increase for assistant state prosecuting attorneys, which, if implemented, would result in a raise of approximately 7.5% over a 10 year period.

OSPA also asks for the continued authority to carry over unexpended balances within the biennium to ensure flexibility in its budget.

# ORGANIZATIONAL CHART

Office of the State Prosecuting Attorney

State Prosecuting Attorney	Administrative Assistant
Lisa McMinn	(1.0 FTE)
Assistant State Prosecuting Attorneys (2.0 FTEs)	-



# CERTIFICATE

Agency Name Office of the State Prosecuting Attorney

This is to certify that the information contained in the agency Legislative Appropriation Request filed with the Legislative Budget Board (LBB) and the Office of the Governor, Budget Division, is accurate to the best of my knowledge and that the electronic submission to the LBB via the Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST) and the PDF file submitted via the LBB Document Submission application are identical.

Additionally, should it become likely at any time that unexpended balances will accrue for any account, the LBB and the Governor's office will be notified in writing in accordance with Article IX, Section 7.01 (2016–17 GAA).

Chief Executive Officer or Presiding Judge	<b>Board or Commission Chair</b>
Um Mui	
Signature	Signature
Lisa McMinn	
Printed Name	Printed Name
State Prosecuting Attorney	
Title	Title
August 5, 2016	
Date	Date
Chief Financial Officer	
Signature	
Printed Name	
Title	

Date

# 2.A. Summary of Base Request by Strategy

# 85th Regular Session, Agency Submission, Version 1

Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

# 213 Office of the State Prosecuting Attorney

Goal / Objective / STRATEGY	Exp 2015	Est 2016	Bud 2017	Req 2018	Reg 2019
Representation of the State before the Court of Criminal Appeals					
<b>1</b> Representation of the State before the Court of Criminal Appeals					
1 REPRESENTATION BEFORE CCA	412,268	427,871	428,633	412,022	412,022
TOTAL, GOAL 1	5412,268	\$427,871	\$428,633	\$412,022	\$412,022
TOTAL, AGENCY STRATEGY REQUEST	\$412,268	\$427,871	\$428,633	5412,022	\$412,022
TOTAL, AGENCY RIDER APPROPRIATIONS REQUEST*				S0	S0
= GRAND TOTAL, AGENCY REQUEST	\$412,268	\$427,871	\$428,633	S412,022	\$412,022
METHOD OF FINANCING					
General Revenue Funds:					
I General Revenue Fund	389,768	405,371	406,133	389,522	389,522
	\$389,768	\$495,371	\$406,133	\$389,522	\$389,522
Other Funds:					
777 Interagency Contracts	22,500	22,500	22,500	22,500	22,500
SUBTOTAL	S22,500	S22,500	S22,500	\$22,500	S22,500
TOTAL, METHOD OF FINANCING	\$412,268	\$427,871	\$428,633	\$412,022	S412,022

2.A. Page 1 of 2

- -

2.B. Summary of Base Reque	st by Method of Finance
----------------------------	-------------------------

8/4/2016 9:23:27.

		=		Submission, Version 1 System of Texas (ABEST)	)		8/4/2010 9.23:27,
Agency code:	213	Agency nam	e: Office of t	the State Prosecuting	Attorney		
METHOD OF FINA	ANCING		Exp 2015	Est 2016	<b>Bud 2017</b>	Req 2018	Req 2019
<u>GENERAL RE</u>	VENUE						
	ral Revenue Fund ULAR APPROPRIATIONS						
Re	gular Appropriations from MOF Ta	able (2014-15 GAA)	\$360,229	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Re	gular Appropriations from MOF Ta	able (2016-17 GAA)	\$0	\$396,146	\$396,907	\$0	\$0
Re	gular Appropriations from MOF Ta	able 2018-19 GAA)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$389,522	\$389,522
TRAN	VSFERS						
Ar	t IX, Sec 17.06 Salary Increase for	General State Employees (20	14-15 GAA) \$7,032	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
An	t IV, Special Provisions, Sec. 11, A	ppropriation for Judicial Con	npensation (2014- \$15,000	-15 GAA) \$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
An	t IX, Sec 18.02, Salary Increase for	General State Employees (20	)16-17) \$0	\$5,125	\$5,125	\$0	\$0
			2.B.	Page 1 of 4			Page 5

8/4/2016 9:23:27AM

85th Regular Session, Agency Submission, Version 1

Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

Agency code:	213	Agency name:	Office of the S	tate Prosecuting Attorney			
METHOD OF F	INANCING		Exp 2015	Est 2016	Bud 2017	Req 2018	Req 2019
<u>GENERAL I</u>	REVENUE						
	Art IX, Sec. 18.02(c)(2), Salary It	ncrease for General State Employees	(2016-17 GAA) \$0	\$4,100	\$4,101	<b>\$</b> 0	\$0
LA	IPSED APPROPRIATIONS						
	Regular Appropriations from MO	F Table (2014-15 GAA)	\$(723)	\$0	<b>\$</b> 0	\$0	\$0
UN	NEXPENDED BALANCES AUTH	ORITY					
	Strategy A.1.1 Rep Before CCA (	2014-15 GAA)	\$8,230	\$0	\$0	<b>\$</b> 0	\$0
TOTAL,	General Revenue Fund		\$389,768	- \$405,371	\$406,133	\$389,522	\$389,522
TOTAL, ALL	GENERAL REVENUE		\$389,768	\$405,371	\$406,133	\$389,522	\$389,522

# OTHER FUNDS

777 Interagency Contracts

REGULAR APPROPRIATIONS

Regular Appropriations from MOF Table (2014-15 GAA)

		85th Regular Sess	<ul> <li>2.B. Summary of Base Request by Method of Finance</li> <li>85th Regular Session, Agency Submission, Version I</li> <li>Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)</li> </ul>				8/4/2016 9:23:27/	
Agency code:	213	Agency name:	Office of the f	State Prosecuting Attor	rney		1	
METHOD OF F	FINANCING		Exp 2015	Est 2016	Bud 2017	Req 2018	Req 2019	
<u>OTHER FU</u>	<u>NDS</u>		\$22,500	\$0	\$0	\$0	: \$0	
	Regular Appropriations from MOF Ta	'able (2016-17 GAA)	\$0	\$22,500	\$22,500	\$0	\$0	
	Regular Appropriations from MOF Ta	'able (2018-19 GAA)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$22,500	\$22,500	
TOTAL,	Interagency Contracts		\$22,500	\$22,500	\$22,500	\$22,500	\$22,500	
TOTAL, ALL	OTHER FUNDS		\$22,500	\$22,500	\$22,500	\$22,500	\$22,500	
GRAND TOTAI	L –		\$412,268	\$427,871	\$428,633	\$412,022	\$412,022	
	-		<u> </u>					

ļ

# 2.B. Summary of Base Request by Method of Finance

8/4/2016 9:23:27AM

85th Regular Session, Agency Submission, Version 1

Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

Agency code: 213	Agency name: Office of the State Prosecuting Attorney				
METHOD OF FINANCING	Exp 2015	Est 2016	Bud 2017	Req 2018	Req 2019
FULL-TIME-EOUIVALENT POSITIONS					
REGULAR APPROPRIATIONS					
Regular Appropriations from MOF Table (2014-15 GAA)	4.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Regular Appropriations from MOF Table (2016-17 GAA)	0.0	4.0	4.0	0.0	0.0
Regular Appropriations from MOF Table (2018-19 GAA)	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.5	3.5
LAPSED APPROPRIATIONS					
Unauthorized Number Over (Below) Cap	0.0	(0.3)	0.0	0.0	0.0
TOTAL, ADJUSTED FTES	4.0	3.7	4.0	3.5	3.5

NUMBER OF 100% FEDERALLY FUNDED FTEs

# 2.C. Summary of Base Request by Object of Expense

85th Regular Session, Agency Submission, Version 1 Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

#### 213 Office of the State Prosecuting Attorney

OBJECT OF EXPENSE	Exp 2015	Est 2016	Bud 2017	BL 2018	BL 2019
1001 SALARIES AND WAGES	\$373,200	\$383,640	\$389,808	\$368,633	\$368,633
1002 OTHER PERSONNEL COSTS	\$14,786	\$8,109	\$8,178	\$8,578	\$8,638
2001 PROFESSIONAL FEES AND SERVICES	\$1,161	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
2003 CONSUMABLE SUPPLIES	\$546	\$600	\$700	\$700	\$700
2005 TRAVEL	\$1,764	\$2,500	\$2,500	\$2,500	\$2,500
2007 RENT - MACHINE AND OTHER	\$706	\$750	\$750	\$750	\$750
2009 OTHER OPERATING EXPENSE	\$20,105	\$32,272	\$26,697	\$30,861	\$30,801
OOE Total (Excluding Riders)	\$412,268	\$427,871	\$428,633	\$412,022	\$412,022
OOE Total (Riders) Grand Total	\$412,268	\$427,871	\$428,633	\$412,022	\$412,022

#### 2.D. Summary of Base Request Objective Outcomes

8/4/2016 9:23:27AM

# 85th Regular Session, Agency Submission, Version 1

Automated Budget and Evaluation system of Texas (ABEST)

#### 213 Office of the State Prosecuting Attorney

Goal/ Objective / Outcome	Exp 2015	Est 2016	<b>Bud 2017</b>	BL 2018	BL 2019			
I         Representation of the State before the Court of Criminal Appeals           1         Representation of the State before the Court of Criminal Appeals								
KEY 1 Petitions for Discretionary Review Granted by								
	13.00	14.00	17.00	17.00	17.00			

\_

\_

.

\_

85th Regular Session, Agency Submission, Version 1 Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

Agency code: 213	-3	Agency name:	Office of the	State Prosecuting Att	torney			
		2018			2019		Bien	nium
Priority Item	GR and GR/GR Dedicated	All Funds	FTEs	GR and GR Dedicated	All Funds	FTEs	GR and GR Dedicated	All Funds
1 Restore 4% baseline reduction	\$16,230	\$16,230	0.5	\$16,230	\$16,230	0.5	\$32,460	\$32,4
2 Increase attorney salaries	\$10,664	\$10,664		\$10,664	\$10,664		\$21,328	\$21,3
Total, Exceptional Items Request	\$26,894	\$26,894	0.5	\$26,894	\$26,894	0.5	\$53,788	\$53,7
Method of Financing								
General Revenue	\$26,894	\$26,894		\$26,894	\$26,894		\$53,788	\$53,7
General Revenue - Dedicated Federal Funds								
Other Funds								
	\$26,894	\$26,894	<b>· ·</b> ·	\$26,894	\$26,894		\$53,788	\$53,
Full Time Equivalent Positions			0.5			0.5		

Number of 100% Federally Funded FTEs

# 2.F. Summary of Total Request by Strategy

85th Regular Session. Agency Submission, Version 1 Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST) DATE : 8/4/2016 TIME : 9:23:28AM

Agency code: 213	Agency name:	Office of the State Prosecuting A					
Goal/Objective/STRATEGY		Base 2018	Base 2019	Exceptional 2018	Exceptional 2019	Total Request 2018	Total Request
1 Representation of the State before the C	ourt of Criminal Ap	peals					
1 Representation of the State before the	Court of Criminal A	ppeals					
<b>1 REPRESENTATION BEFORE CCA</b>		\$412,022	\$412,022	\$26,894	\$26,894	\$438,916	\$438,916
TOTAL, GOAL 1		\$412,022	\$412,022	\$26,894	\$26,894	\$438,916	\$438,916
TOTAL, AGENCY STRATEGY REQUEST		\$412,022	\$412,022	\$26,894	\$26,894	\$438,916	\$438,916
TOTAL, AGENCY RIDER APPROPRIATIONS REQUEST				<u> </u>			
GRAND TOTAL, AGENCY REQUEST	x	\$412,022	\$412,022	\$26,894	\$26,894	\$438,916	\$438,916

.

# **2.F. Summary of Total Request by Strategy** 85th Regular Session, Agency Submission, Version 1

Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

DATE 8/4/2016 TIME 9:23:28AM

Agency code: 213 Agen	ncy name:	Office of the State Prosecuting	Attorney				
Goal/Objective/STRATEGY		Base 2018	Base 2019	Exceptional 2018	Exceptional 2019	Total Request 2018	Total Request 2019
General Revenue Funds:							
1 General Revenue Fund		\$389,522	\$389,522	\$26,894	\$26,894	\$416,416	\$416,416
		\$389,522	\$389,522	\$26,894	\$26,894	\$416,416	\$416,416
Other Funds:							i i
777 Interagency Contracts		22,500	22,500	0	0	22,500	22,500
		\$22,500	\$22,500	\$0	\$0	\$22,500	\$22,500
TOTAL, METHOD OF FINANCING		\$412,022	\$412,022	\$26,894	\$26,894	\$438,916	\$438,916
FULL TIME EQUIVALENT POSITIONS		3.5	3.5	0.5	0.5	4.0	4.0
$\sim$							

2.F. Page 2 of 2

}

		85th Reg	mary of Total Request Object gular Session, Agency Submissi Budget and Evaluation system of	ion, Version 1		e: 8/4/2016 ne: 9:23:28AM
Agency code: 213	Agenc	y name: Office of the State Pr	rosecuting Attorney			
Goal/ <i>Objective</i> / <b>Ou</b>	itcome BL 2018	BL 2019	Ехср 2018	Excp 2019	Total Request 2018	Total Request 2019
-	entation of the State before the entation of the State before the					
KEY 1 Pe	titions for Discretionary Rev	iew Granted by the Ct Crimin	nal Appeals			
	17.00	17.00			17.00	17.00

#### 2.A. Summary of Base Request by Strategy

# 85th Regular Session, Agency Submission, Version 1

Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

#### 213 Office of the State Prosecuting Attorney

Goal / Objective / STRATEGY	Exp 2015	Est 2016	Bud 2017	Req 2018	Req 2019

\*Rider appropriations for the historical years are included in the strategy amounts.

2.A. Page 2 of 2

# Budget Overview - Biennial Amounts

# 85th Regular Session, Agency Submission, Version 1

Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

			213 Offic	e of the State F	Prosecuting Attorn	ney	_				
	Appropriation Years: 2018-19 GENERAL REVENUE FUNDS GR DEDICATED FEDERAL FUNDS OTHER FUNDS					ALL FU	ALL FUNDS				
	2016-17	2018-19	2016-17	2018-19	2016-17	2018-19	2016-17	2018-19	2016-17	2018-19	2018-19
Coal: 1. Representation of the State fore the Court of Criminal Appeals											
1.1. Representation Before Cca	811,504	779,044					45,000	45,000	856,504	824,044	53,788
Total, Goal	811,504	779,044					45,000	45,000	856,504	824,044	53,788
Total, Agency	811,504	779,044					45,000	45,000	856,504	824,044	53,788
Total FTEs									4.0	3.5	0.5

# 3.A. Strategy Request

. -

- --

. \_\_\_

-

# 85th Regular Session, Agency Submission, Version 1 Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

		-		
213	Office of the	State	Prosecuting	Attorney

GOAL:	1 Representation of the State before the Court of Crit	minal Appeals				
OBJECTIVE:	1 Representation of the State before the Court of Crit	minal Appeals		Service Categori	es:	
STRATEGY:	1 Representation of the State before the Court of Crir	minal Appeals		Service: 01	Income: A.2	Age: B.3
CODE	DESCRIPTION	Exp 2015	Est 2016	<b>Bud 2017</b>	BL 2018	BL 2019
Output Meas	ures:					
1 Nun by the	aber of Briefs Filed in the Court of Criminal Appeals	14.00	18.00	20.00	20.00	20.00
-	ber of Petitions for Discretionary Review Filed by the	33.00	17.00	20.00	20.00	20.00
Explanatory/	Input Measures:					
1 Nun	ber of Court of Criminal Appeals Cases Reviewed	135.00	100.00	110.00	110.00	110.00
2 Nun	ber of Court of Appeals Cases Reviewed	228.00	165.00	163.00	163.00	163.00
Objects of Ex	pense:					
1001 SA	LARIES AND WAGES	\$373,200	\$383,640	\$389,808	\$368,633	\$368,633
1002 OT	HER PERSONNEL COSTS	\$14,786	\$8,109	\$8,178	\$8,578	\$8,638
2001 PR	OFESSIONAL FEES AND SERVICES	\$1,161	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
2003 CC	NSUMABLE SUPPLIES	\$546	\$600	\$700	\$700	\$700
2005 TR	AVEL	\$1,764	\$2,500	\$2,500	\$2,500	\$2,500
2007 RE	NT - MACHINE AND OTHER	\$706	\$750	\$750	\$750	\$750
2009 O'I	HER OPERATING EXPENSE	\$20,105	\$32,272	\$26,697	\$30,861	\$30,801
TOTAL, OB.	JECT OF EXPENSE	\$412,268	\$427,871	\$428,633	\$412,022	\$412,022

Method of Financing:

---

- -

\_

3.A. Page 1 of 4

ł

### 3.A. Strategy Request

85th Regular Session, Agency Submission, Version 1 Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

#### 213 Office of the State Prosecuting Attorney

GOAL:	1 Representation of the State before the Court of Crimi	nal Appeals				
OBJECTIVE:	1 Representation of the State before the Court of Crimi	nat Appeals		Service Categorie	es:	
STRATEGY:	I Representation of the State before the Court of Crimi	fore the Court of Criminal Appeals			Income: A.2	Age: B.3
CODE DI	ESCRIPTION	Exp 2015	Est 2016	Bud 2017	<b>BL 2018</b>	BL 2019
1 General	Revenue Fund	\$389,768	\$405,371	\$406,133	\$389,522	\$389,522
SUBTOTAL, MOF	F (GENERAL REVENUE FUNDS)	\$389,768	\$405,371	\$406,133	\$389,522	\$389,522
Method of Financir	ng:					
777 Interager	ncy Contracts	\$22,500	\$22,500	\$22,500	\$22,500	\$22,500
SUBTOTAL, MOF	F (OTHER FUNDS)	\$22,500	\$22,500	\$22,500	\$22,500	\$22,500
TOTAL, METHOE	D OF FINANCE (INCLUDING RIDERS)				\$412,022	\$412,022
TOTAL, METHOE	D OF FINANCE (EXCLUDING RIDERS)	\$412,268	\$427,871	\$428,633	\$412,022	\$412,022
FULL TIME EQUI	IVALENT POSITIONS:	4.0	3.7	4.0	3.5	3.5

#### STRATEGY DESCRIPTION AND JUSTIFICATION:

The Office of the State Prosecuting Attorney (SPA) is statutorily authorized, either alone or with the assistance of local district and county attorneys, to represent the State in criminal cases in the fourteen courts of appeals and in the Court of Criminal Appeals. See Tex.Gov't Code §§ 42.001, 42.005.

#### EXTERNAL/INTERNAL FACTORS IMPACTING STRATEGY:

The Office of the State Prosecuting Attorney is a small agency with highly specialized staff. The main factor that drives this strategy is the need to attract and retain highly knowledgeable attorneys to represent the State in the most important and complex criminal appellate cases.

3.A. Page 2 of 4

--- -

# 3.A. Strategy Request

# 85th Regular Session, Agency Submission, Version 1 Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

# 213 Office of the State Prosecuting Attorney

\_ \_

GOAL:	1 Representation of the State before the Court of Criminal						
OBJECTIVE:	1 Representation of the State before the Court of Criminal	sentation of the State before the Court of Criminal Appeals sentation of the State before the Court of Criminal Appeals		Service Categori	Service Categories:		
STRATEGY:	1 Representation of the State before the Court of Criminal	Representation of the State before the Court of Criminal Appeals				Age: B.3	
CODE	DESCRIPTION	Exp 2015	Est 2016	Bud 2017	BL 2018	BL 2019	

### EXPLANATION OF BIENNIAL CHANGE (includes Rider amounts):

STRATEGY BIENNIA	L TOTAL - ALL FUNDS	BIENNIAL	EXPLAN	IATION OF BIENNIAL CHANGE
Base Spending (Est 2016 + Bud 2017)	Baseline Request (BL 2018 + BL 2019)	<u>CHANGE</u>	\$ Amount	Explanation(s) of Amount (must specify MOFs and FTEs)
\$856,504	\$824,044	\$(32,460)	\$(32,460)	General Revenue funding has been reduced as a result of the 4% budget reduction requirement.
		-	\$(32,460)	Total of Explanation of Biennial Change

3.A. Page 3 of 4

---

# **3.A. Strategy Request** 85th Regular Session, Agency Submission, Version 1 Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

\_\_\_\_

.

\_\_\_\_

# SUMMARY TOTALS:

OBJECTS OF EXPENSE:	\$412,268	\$427,871	\$428,633	\$412,022	\$412,022
METHODS OF FINANCE (INCLUDING RIDERS):				\$412,022	\$412,022
METHODS OF FINANCE (EXCLUDING RIDERS):	\$412,268	\$427,871	\$428,633	\$412,022	\$412,022
FULL TIME EQUIVALENT POSITIONS:	4.0	3.7	4.0	3.5	3,5

-

\_

3.A. Page 4 of 4

4.A. Exceptional Item Request Schedule

85th Regular Session, Agency Submission, Version 1

Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

Agency code:	213 Agency name:			
	Off	ce of the State Prosecuting Attorney		
CODE DESC	CRIPTION		Excp 2018	Ехер 2019
	Item Name:	Restore 4% baseline reduction		
	Item Priority:	1		
	IT Component:	No		
	Anticipated Out-year Costs:	Yes		
	Involve Contracts > \$50,000:	No		
Include	es Funding for the Following Strategy or Strategies:	01-01-01 Representation of the State before the Court of Criminal Appea	ls	
BJECTS OF EX	KPENSE:			
1001	SALARIES AND WAGES		15,000	15,000
1002	OTHER PERSONNEL COSTS	·	75	75
2009	OTHER OPERATING EXPENSE		1,155	1,155
T	OTAL, OBJECT OF EXPENSE		\$16,230	\$16,230
ETHOD OF FI	NANCING:			
1	General Revenue Fund		16,230	16,230
Т	OTAL, METHOD OF FINANCING		\$16,230	\$16,230
ULL-TIME EQ	UIVALENT POSITIONS (FTE):		0.50	0.50

# DESCRIPTION / JUSTIFICATION:

Because salaries and other personnel costs make up almost 95% percent of OSPA's budget, this reduction must come from salaries. Instead of cutting attorney salaries, OSPA would implement this reduction by eliminating its administrative assistant position, requiring the attorneys to handle all of the secretarial functions.

#### **EXTERNAL/INTERNAL FACTORS:**

OSPA receives digital copies of all petitions for discretionary review filed in the Court of Criminal Appeals, as well as opinions from all fourteen courts of appeals and the Court of Criminal Appeals. In addition to receiving, reviewing, circulating, and maintaining these files, OSPA's administrative assistant answers phones, e-files legal documents, and updates OSPA's website. Eliminating this position would divert attorney resources away from their primary duties to perform these secretarial functions.

# **DESCRIPTION OF ANTICIPATED OUT-YEAR COSTS :**

Restoration of the reduced funding would continue in future biennia in order to retain OSPA's administrative assistant.

### ESTIMATED ANTICIPATED OUT-YEAR COSTS FOR ITEM:

2020	2021	2022
\$16,230	\$16,230	\$16,230

DATE:

TIME:

8/4/2016

9:23:30AM

#### 4.A. Exceptional Item Request Schedule

### 85th Regular Session, Agency Submission, Version 1

Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

Agency code:	213 Agency name:			
	Off	e of the State Prosecuting Attorney		
CODE DES	CRIPTION		Ехср 2018	Excp 2019
	Item Name:	Increase assistant state prosecuting attorney salaries		
	Item Priority:	2		
	IT Component:	No		
	Anticipated Out-year Costs:	Yes		
	Involve Contracts > \$50,000:	No		
Includ	es Funding for the Following Strategy or Strategies:	01-01-01 Representation of the State before the Court of Criminal A	ppeals	
BJECTS OF EX	XPENSE:			
1001	SALARIES AND WAGES		10,506	10,506
1002	OTHER PERSONNEL COSTS		53	53
2009	OTHER OPERATING EXPENSE	-	105	105
Т	'OTAL, OBJECT OF EXPENSE	-	\$10,664	\$10,664
1ETHOD OF FI	NANCING:			
1	General Revenue Fund	_	10,664	10,664
Т	<b>`OTAL, METHOD OF FINANCING</b>		\$10,664	\$10,664

#### **DESCRIPTION / JUSTIFICATION:**

This item requests a salary increase of 5% for current assistant state prosecuting attorney salaries. OSPA's work is highly specialized and requires expertise in criminal appellate practice. To fill attorney vacancies, OSPA must draw from either the staff of the Court of Criminal Appeals or from larger District Attorney's Offices with a substantial criminal appellate caseload.

#### **EXTERNAL/INTERNAL FACTORS:**

Staff attorneys at the Court of Criminal Appeals (Attorney V) currently earn over \$2,000 per year more than assistant state prosecuting attorneys, whose job classification is General Counsel IV. State-wide, the median salary for a General Counsel IV is well above the salary for assistant state prosecuting attorneys. Assistant district attorneys in larger jurisdictions with significant appellate experience receive higher salarics as well. Lower attorney salaries put OSPA at a distinct disadvantage when attracting qualified applicants to fill vacancies.

# **DESCRIPTION OF ANTICIPATED OUT-YEAR COSTS:**

Funding requested for assistant state prosecuting attorneys' salaries is anticipated to remain within OSPA's base funding in order to maintain and recruit qualified applicants to fill vacancies.

DATE:

TIME:

8/4/2016

9:23:30AM

DATE: **8/4/2016** TIME: **9:23:30AM** 

gency code: 213	Agency name:	the State Prosecuting Attorn			
ODE DESCRIPTION	Office of	the state r rosecuting Attorn	icy	Excp 2018	Excp 20
FIMATED ANTICIPATED OUT-Y	EAR COSTS FOR ITEM:				
	EAR COSTS FOR ITEM: 2020	2021	2022		2469-2

# 4.B. Exceptional Items Strategy Allocation ScheduleE85th Regular Session, Agency Submission, Version 11

Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

DATE: 8/4/2016 TIME: 9:23:30AM

# Agency code: 213 Agency name: Office of the State Prosecuting Attorney

ode Description		Excp 2018	Excp 2019
Item Name:	Restore 4% baselir	ne reduction	
Allocation to Strategy:	1-1-1	Representation of the State before the Court of Criminal Appeals	
<b>OBJECTS OF EXPENSE:</b>			
1001	SALARIES AND WAGES	15,000	15,000
1002	OTHER PERSONNEL COSTS	75	75
2009	OTHER OPERATING EXPENSE	1,155	1,155
TOTAL, OBJECT OF EXP	PENSE	\$16,230	\$16,230
METHOD OF FINANCIN	G:		
1	General Revenue Fund	16,230	16,230
FOTAL, METHOD OF FI	NANCING	\$16,230	\$16,230
FULL-TIME EQUIVALEN	T POSITIONS (FTE):	0.5	0.5

4.B. Exceptional Items Strategy	Allocation Schedule
---------------------------------	---------------------

85th Regular Session, Agency Submission, Version 1 Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

Agency code: 213

Agency name: Office of the State Prosecuting Attorney

. .

Code Description	<u> </u>	Excp 2018	Excp 2019
Item Name:	Increase assistant st	ate prosecuting attorney salaries	
Allocation to Strategy:	Representation of the State before the Court of Criminal Appeals		
<b>OBJECTS OF EXPENSE:</b>			
1001	SALARIES AND WAGES	10,506	10,506
1002	OTHER PERSONNEL COSTS	53	53
2009	OTHER OPERATING EXPENSE	105	105
TOTAL, OBJECT OF EXP	'ENSE	\$10,664	\$10,664
METHOD OF FINANCING	3:		
1	General Revenue Fund	10,664	10,664
TOTAL, METHOD OF FIN	NANCING	\$10,664	\$10,664

4.C. Exceptional Items Strategy Request 85th Regular Session, Agency Submission, Version 1 Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)						
egories:						
Income:	A.2 Age:	B.3				
Excp 2018		Excp 2019				
25,506		25,506				
128		128				
1,260		1,260				
\$26,894		\$26,894				
26,894		26,894				
\$26,894		\$26,894				
0.5		0.5				
	\$26,894	\$26,894				

# EXCEPTIONAL ITEM(S) INCLUDED IN STRATEGY:

Restore 4% baseline reduction

Increase assistant state prosecuting attorney salaries

85th Regular Session, Agency Submission, Version 1

Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

Date: 8/4/2016 Time: 9:23:31AM

Agency Code: 213 Agency: Office of the State Prosecuting Attorney

#### COMPARISON TO STATEWIDE HUB PROCUREMENT GOALS

#### A. Fiscal Year 2014 - 2015 IIUB Expenditure Information

						Total					Total	
Statewide	Procurement	Procurement		HUB Ex	HUB Expenditures FY 2014		Expenditures		HUB Expenditures FY 2015			Expenditures
HUB Goals	Category	% Goal	% Actual	Diff	Actual \$	FY 2014	% Goal	% Actual	Diff	Actual \$	FY 2015	
11.2%	Heavy Construction	0.0 %	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	\$0	0.0 %	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	\$	
21.1%	Building Construction	0.0 %	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	\$0	0.0 %	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	\$0	
32.9%	Special Trade	0.0 %	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	\$0	0.0 %	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	\$ <u>0</u>	
23.7%	Professional Services	0.0 %	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	\$0	0.0 %	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	\$	
26.0%	Other Services	0.0 %	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	\$1,799	0.0 %	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	\$2,98	
21.1%	Commodities	30.0 %	100.0%	70.0%	\$484	\$484	35.0 %	100.0%	65.0%	\$71	\$71	
	<b>Total Expenditures</b>		21.2%		\$484	\$2,283		2.3%		\$71	\$3,05	

#### B. Assessment of Fiscal Year 2014 - 2015 Efforts to Meet HUB Procurement Goals

#### Attainment:

The agency attained or exceeded one of one, or 100.0%, of the applicable agency HUB procurement goals in fiscal year 2014. The agency attained or exceeded one of one, or 100.0%, of the applicable agency HUB procurement goals in fiscal year 2015.

#### **Applicability:**

The "Heavy Constructions", "Building Construction", "Special Trade", and "Professional Services" categories are not applicable to the agency operations in either fiscal year 2014 or 2015. Additionally, the agency does not procure services with the "Other Services" category except for items unavailable to be sourced through HUB vendors (e.g. computer-assisted legal and investigative research services on Texas Council on Competitive Government contracts, transcripts from court reporters, and State Bar of Texas training); therefore a HUB goal was not set in either fiscal year. If an unexpected need arises in any of these HUB categories, the agency will make a good-faith effort to meet or exceed the Statewide HUB Goal.

#### **Factors Affecting Attainment:**

The agency attained or exceeded all the HUB goals set for fiscal years 2014 and 2015.

#### "Good-Faith" Efforts:

Each year, the OSPA exceeds the Statewide goal in the "Commodities" category. OSPA will continue to make a good-faith effort to utilize HUBs by following the guidelines established under 34 TAC, Sec. 20.13(d) through the competitive bid process, promoting HUB subcontracting opportunities, and participating in HUB forums.

Agency code: 213 Agency name: Office of the State Prosecuting Attorney

	REVENUE LO	SS	I	REDUCTION AM	OUNT		TARGET
tem Priority and Name/ Method of Financing	2018	2019	Biennial Total	2018	2019	Biennial Total	
1 - Reduce assistant state prosecuting attorney sala	ries						
Category: Programs - Service Reductions (FTEs-L Item Comment: A 10% reduction, in addition to 10% reduction cannot be accomplished without sa Strategy: 1-1-1 Representation of the State befor	the 4% baseline reduct alary cuts.		uire salary cuts for 0	DSPA's assistant st	ate prosecuting at	torney positions. T	The
General Revenue Funds		rippens					
1 General Revenue Fund	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$38,952	\$38,952	\$77,904	
General Revenue Funds Total	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$38,952	\$38,952	\$77,904	
Item Total	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$38,952	\$38,952	\$77,904	
FTE Reductions (From FY 2018 and FY 2019 Base	e Request)						
AGENCY TOTALS							
General Revenue Total				\$38,952	\$38,952	\$77,904	\$77,904
Agency Grand Total	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$38,952	\$38,952	\$77,904	
Difference, Options Total Less Target							

Agency FTE Reductions (From FY 2018 and FY 2019 Base Request)